Significant Lawsuits Concerning Fannie Mae & Freddie Mac Net Worth Sweep

Updated June 27, 2016

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
American European Insurance Company v. Federal National Mortgage Association, et al., Case No. 13-cv- 01169 (D.C. filed July 30, 2013)	Michael G. McLellan L. Kendall Satterfield Elizabeth R. Makriss FINKELSTEIN THOMPSON LLP Jeremy A. Lieberman Lesley F. Portnoy Patrick V. Dahlstrom POMERANTZ GROSSMAN HUFFORD DAHLSTROM & GROSS LLP	Breach of contract Breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing	Class action certification and appointment as Class Representative Awarding Plaintiffs and the Class the amount of damages they sustained as a result of defendants' breaches of contract and breaches of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing Granting appropriate equitable and injunctive relief to remedy defendants' breaches of contract and breaches of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing		Consolidated into Misc. Action No. 13- mc-1288 (D.C.)	

¹ Cases are listed in alphabetical order by the Plaintiff's name. Rows shaded in light orange indicate the litigation has been consolidated with another proceeding. Rows shaded in light green indicate that consolidated appellate proceedings are pending before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit.

² Excluding requests for costs and the catch-all prayer for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

This chart was prepared by *Troubled Company Reporter* and *Class Action Reporter* editors and posted at http://bankrupt.com/gselitigationsummary201606.pdf for the convenience of those newsletters' subscribers. All rights reserved. Copyright © 2016 Bankruptcy Creditors' Service, Inc., and Beard Group, Inc. Unaltered, this document may be freely shared with anyone for any purpose. For additional information, contact Peter A. Chapman at peter@beardgroup.com by e-mail or (215) 945-7000 by telephone.

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
American European Insurance Company v. USA, Case No. 13-496 (Ct. Fed. Cl. filed July 19, 2013)	Jeremy A. Lieberman Lesley F. Portnoy Patrick V. Dahlstrom POMERANTZ GROSSMAN HUFFORD DAHLSTROM & GROSS LLP Charles J. Piven BROWER PIVEN	Just compensation under the Fifth Amendment	Class certification and appointment as Class Representative Awarding Plaintiff and the Class just compensation for the Government's taking of their property		Consolidated with <i>Cacciapalle</i> <i>v. United States</i> , Case No. 13-466 (Ct. Fed. Cl.)	

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
Arrowood Indemnity Co., et al. v. Federal National Mortgage Association, et al., Case No. 13-cv-1439 (D.C. filed Sept. 12, 2013) (Lamberth, J.)	Drew W. Marrocco Michael H. Barr Richard M. Zuckerman Sandra D. Hauser DENTONS US LLP	Against Treasury and Secretary Lew for violation of the Administrative Procedure Act: (i) Treasury's conduct exceeds its statutory authority under HERA and (ii) Treasury's conduct was arbitrary and capricious Against FHFA and Acting Director DeMarco for violation of the Administrative Procedure Act: (i) The FHFA's conduct exceeds its statutory authority under HERA and (ii) the FHFA's conduct was arbitrary and capricious Against Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and FHFA, as Conservator, for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing	Declaring that the Third Amendment, and its adoption, are not in accordance with HERA Vacating and setting aside the Third Amendment and providing that all payments made by Fannie and Freddie under the Third Amendment, in excess of the amounts which would have been due as dividends absent the Third Amendment, be treated as a redemption of Senior Preferred Stock Enjoining Defendants from implementing, applying, or taking any action whatsoever pursuant to the Third Amendment If injunctive relief is not granted, awarding Arrowood damages [for the] par value of their Junior Preferred Stock	Treasury's Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 35) Fannie Mae's Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 36) Plaintiffs' Objection and Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment Filed (Docs. 44 and 45) Treasury's Reply Filed (Docs. 48 and 49) Fannie Mae's Reply Filed (Docs. 50 and 51) Plaintiff's Further Reply (Doc. 54) Case Dismissed (Doc. 59, Sept. 30, 2014).	On appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (No. 14-5243) Summary of Issues on Appeal available at http://is.gd/2qaxfK Institutional Appellants' Opening Brief filed June 29, 2015 Class Appellants' Opening Brief filed June 30, 2015 Seven <i>amicus</i> briefs in support of Shareholder- Appellants and one neutral <i>amicus</i> brief of filed July 6, 2015 Treasury and FHFA's Briefs filed Dec. 21, 2015 Appellants' Reply Briefs filed Feb. 2, 2016 Oral argument held Apr. 15, 2016	07/06/2016 Class Plaintiffs' Supplemental Brief due (Doc. 1621168) 07/13/2016 FHFA, Fannie and Freddie's Supplemental Brief due (Doc. 1621168) 07/13/2016 Treasury's Supplemental Brief due (Doc. 1621168) 07/20/2016 Class Plaintiffs' Supplemental Reply Brief due (Doc. 1621168)

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
Arrowood Indemnity Co., et al. v. USA, Case No. 13-698 (Ct. Fed. Cl. filed Sept. 18, 2013) (Sweeney, J.)	Drew W. Marrocco Michael H. Barr Richard M. Zuckerman Sandra Hauser DENTONS US LLP	Against the United States of America for just compensation under the Fifth Amendment	Awarding Arrowood Parties just compensation for the Government's taking of their property	Requests by the Government for more time to answer or respond. <i>See</i> Docs. 19, 16, 15, 12, 11, 10, 9 and 8.	Government's answer or response to Arrowood's Complaint due within 60 days following completion of jurisdictional discovery in <i>Fairholme. See</i> Doc. 25.	
Borodkin, et al. v. Federal National Mortgage Association, et al., Case No. 13-cv- 01443 (D.C. filed Sept. 20, 2013)	Craig L. Briskin MEHRI & SKALET, PLLC Barbara J. Hart Thomas A. Skelton LOWEY DANNENBERG COHEN & HART	Breach of contract Breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing	Class action certification and appointment as Class Representative Awarding Plaintiffs and the Class the amount of damages they sustained as a result of defendants' breaches of contract and breaches of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing Granting appropriate equitable and injunctive relief to remedy defendants' breaches of contract and breaches of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing		Consolidated into Misc. Action No. 13- mc-1288 (D.C.)	

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
Cacciapalle, et al. v. Federal National Mortgage Association, et al., Case No. 13-cv- 01149 (D.C. filed July 29, 2013) (Lamberth, J.)	Hamish P.M. Hume BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP Lee D. Rudy Eric L. Zagar KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK, LLP	Breach of contract Breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing	Class action certification and appointment as Class Representative Awarding Plaintiffs and the Class the amount of damages they sustained as a result of defendants' breaches of contract and breaches of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing Granting appropriate equitable and injunctive relief to remedy defendants' breaches of contract and breaches of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing		Consolidated into Misc. Action No. 13- mc-1288 (D.C.)	

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
Cacciapalle, et al. v. USA, Case No. 13-466 (Ct. Fed. Cl. filed July 10, 2013) (Sweeney, J.)	Hamish P.M. Hume BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP Lee D. Rudy Eric L. Zagar KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK, LLP	Against the United States of America for just compensation under the Fifth Amendment	Class action certification and appointment as Class Representative Awarding just compensation for the Government's taking of their property	Government's Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 42)	Briefing regarding the motion to dismiss is stayed pending the conclusion of jurisdictional discovery in <i>Fairholme</i> . Once the parties in <i>Fairholme</i> file a postdiscovery joint status report, the court will issue an order in this case regarding further proceedings. <i>See</i> Doc. 46. Granted permission to participate in jurisdictional discovery in <i>Fairholme</i> . <i>See</i> Doc. 59 filed in <i>Cacciapalle v.</i> <i>United States</i> , Case No. 13-466 (Ct. Fed. Cl.).	

This chart was prepared by *Troubled Company Reporter* and *Class Action Reporter* editors and posted at http://bankrupt.com/gselitigationsummary201606.pdf for the convenience of those newsletters' subscribers. All rights reserved. Copyright © 2016 Bankruptcy Creditors' Service, Inc., and Beard Group, Inc. Unaltered, this document may be freely shared with anyone for any purpose. For additional information, contact Peter A. Chapman at peter@beardgroup.com by e-mail or (215) 945-7000 by telephone.

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
Cane v. Federal Housing Finance Agency, et al., Case No. 13-cv-01184 (D.C. filed Aug. 1, 2013)	David L. Wales BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN, LLP	 Breach of contract against FHFA for (i) modification of the certificates and series of stock without shareholders' consent and (ii) elimination of dividends Anticipatory breach of contract against FHFA for repudiation of shareholders' right to any eventual liquidation surplus Breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing against FHFA Violation of the Administrative Procedure Act because Treasury and FHFA's conduct (i) exceeded their statutory authority and (ii) was arbitrary and capricious No just compensation under the Fifth Amendment 	Class action certification and appointment as Class Representative Compensatory damages to be determined at trial Just compensation under the Fifth Amendment for Treasury and FHFA's taking of Plaintiffs' property Declaring that the Third Amendment, and its adoption, violate HERA Vacating and setting aside the Third Amendment Enjoining Defendants from implementing, applying, or taking any action whatsoever pursuant to the Third Amendment, and from any further violations of HERA		Consolidated into Misc. Action No. 13- mc-1288 (D.C.)	

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
Continental Western Insurance Company v. The Federal Housing Finance Agency, et al., Case No. 14-cv-00042 (S.D. Iowa filed Feb. 5, 2014) (Pratt, J.; Walters, M.J.)	Charles J. Cooper Vincent J. Colatriano David H. Thompson Peter A. Patterson COOPER & KIRK, PLLC Matthew G. Whitaker Matt M. Dummermuth Kendra L. Mills Arnold WHITAKER HAGENOW & GUSTOFF, LLP	 FHFA's conduct exceeds its statutory authority as conservator Violation of the Administrative Procedure Act: FHFA's conduct was arbitrary and capricious Treasury's conduct exceeded its statutory authority Violation of the Administrative Procedure Act: Treasury's conduct was arbitrary and capricious Breach of contract Breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing Breach of fiduciary duty 	Declaring that the Net Worth Sweep and its adoption are [illegal] Declaring that the dividends to Treasury violated HERA Declaring that Treasury's post- 2009 payments to Fannie and Freddie violate HERA Vacating and setting aside the Net Worth Sweep, the dividends, and Treasury's post- 2009 payments Reducing the liquidation preference of the Government Stock; Enjoining further dividend payments or tinkering with the accounting Awarding Plaintiff damages resulting from FHFA's breaches	 FHFA's Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 23); Treasury's Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 24); Continental Western's Response to Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 45); and Replies Filed (Docs. 46 and 47). Motion to Compel production of Administrative Record Denied. See Doc. 42. Supplemental Briefs re Judge Lamberth's Sept. 30 Ruling Filed (Docs. 49 and 52-55). Case Dismissed (Doc. 69, Feb. 5, 2015). 		

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
Dennis v. Federal Housing Finance Agency, et al., Case No. 13-cv-01208 (D.C. filed Aug 5, 2013)	Reuben A. Guttman Geoffrey C. Jarvis GRANT & EISENHOFER	Breach of contract Breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing Breach of fiduciary duty	Class action certification, appointment as Class Representative, and confirmation that this a proper derivative action Declaring that defendants breached the certificates of designation of the Series S & T Preferred Stock Awarding compensatory damages Declaring that the Net Worth Sweep was unfair to Fannie Mae, did not further any valid business purpose, did not reflect a good faith business judgment as to what was in the best interests of Fannie Mae or its shareholders, and constituted waste and a gross abuse of discretion Declaring that, through the Net Worth Sweep, defendants breached their respective fiduciary duties to Fannie Mae Awarding compensatory damages and disgorgement in favor of Fannie Mae against defendants as a result of their breach of their fiduciary duties Granting equitable relief, including rescission of the Net Worth Sweep		Consolidated into Misc. Action No. 13- mc-1288 (D.C.)	

- 9 -

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
<i>Dennis v. USA</i> , Case No. 13-542 (Ct. Fed. Cl. filed Aug. 5, 2013)	Jay W. Eisenhofer Geoffrey C. Jarvis GRANT & EISENHOFER P.A.	Violation of the Takings Clause	Class action certification Awarding Plaintiff and the other Class members just compensation for the unconstitutional taking of their property, in an amount to be proven at trial		Consolidated with <i>Cacciapalle</i> v. United States, Case No. 13-466 (Ct. Fed. Cl.)	- <u></u>
Edwards v. Deloitte, Case No.2016- 004986-CA-01 (Fla. 11th Cir. Ct.), removed to Case No. 16-cv-21221 (S.D. Fla.)	Steven W. Thomas THOMAS, ALEXANDER & FORRESTER LLP Hector Lombaba GAMBA & LOMBANA, P.A. Gonzalo R. Dorta GONZALO R. DORTA, P.A.	Negligent misrepresentation (Restatement (2d) of Torts § 552) Aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty	Actual, compensatory and consequential damages in an amount to be proven Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law	Complaint Filed (Doc. 1, Feb. 29, 2016)	FHFA's Renewed Motion to Substitute (Doc. 15, June 13, 2016)	08/01/2016 PROPOSED date for Edwards Plaintiffs' response to substitution motion (Doc. 16, June 24, 2016)
<i>Edwards v.</i> <i>Pricewaterhouse-</i> <i>Coopers,</i> Case No.2016-005875CA- 01 (Fla. 11th Cir. Ct.), removed to Case No. 16-cv- 21221 (S.D. Fla.)	Steven W. Thomas THOMAS, ALEXANDER & FORRESTER LLP Hector Lombaba GAMBA & LOMBANA, P.A. Gonzalo R. Dorta GONZALO R. DORTA, P.A.	Negligent misrepresentation (Restatement (2d) of Torts § 552) Aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty	Actual, compensatory and consequential damages in an amount to be proven Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law	Complaint Filed (Doc. 1, Mar. 9, 2016)	FHFA's Motion to Substitute (Doc. 7, Apr. 12, 2016) Case placed in civil suspense (Doc. 11, Apr. 21 2016) Court notified of MDL ruling (Doc. 13, June 3, 2016)	

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
Fairholme Funds, Inc., et al. v. The United States, Case No. 13-465 (Ct. Fed. Cl. filed July 9, 2013) (Sweeney, J.)	Charles C. Cooper Vincent J. Colatriano David H. Thompson Peter A. Patterson Brian W. Barnes COOPER & KIRK, PLLC Matthew G. Whitaker Matt M. Dummermuth Kendra L. Mills Arnold WHITAKER HAGENOW & GUSTOFF, LLP	Just compensation under the Fifth Amendment for the taking of private property for public use	Awarding compensation under the Fifth Amendment for the Government's taking of their property	Government's Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 20) [Briefing suspended pending resolution of jurisdictional discovery dispute] Government's Motion to Stay Proceedings pending resolution of D.C. Circuit Appeal (Doc. 103) Denied (Doc. 127, Tr. dated Jan. 28, 2015, at 33:9-10. Government's Supplemental Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 161) (challenging standing of post-Third Amendment Shareholders) and Stayed (Doc. 240)	Document production to occur in waves, subject to Protective Order (Docs. 72, 73 and 217) Bi-Weekly Status Conferences (Doc. 85) suspended following sealed status conference on Sept. 4, 2015 (Doc. 244) Jurisdictional discovery to be completed by Dec. 31, 2015 (Doc. 240) Fairholme's Motion to Compel Production of Privileged Documents (Doc. 272); Government's Response (Doc. 301); Fairholme's Reply (Doc. 297) Washington Federal's request for a status conference (Doc. 293)	$0_{-}/_{-}/2016 - Hearing ordecision onFairholme'sMotion to Compel0_{-}/_{-}/2016 + 45days Proposeddeadline to fileAmendedComplaint (Doc.288)0_{-}/_{-}/2016 + 165days Proposeddeadline to fileOmnibus Motionto Dismiss (Doc.288)0_{-}/_{-}/2016 + 255days Proposeddeadline torespond to Motionto Dismiss (Doc.288)0_{-}/_{-}/2016 + 345days Proposeddeadline to Replyto Response toMotion to Dismiss(Doc. 288)$

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
Fairholme Funds, Inc., et al. v. Federal Housing Finance Agency, et al., Case No. 13-cv-1053 (D.C. filed July 10, 2013) (Lamberth, J.)	Charles C. Cooper Vincent J. Colatriano David H. Thompson Peter A. Patterson COOPER & KIRK, PLLC	 FHFA's and Treasury's conduct exceeds their statutory authority Violation of the Administrative Procedure Act: FHFA's and Treasury's conduct was arbitrary and capricious Breach of contract against FHFA as Conservator of Fannie and Freddie Breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing against FHFA as Conservator of Fannie and Freddie Breach of fiduciary duty against FHFA as Conservator of Fannie and Freddie Breach of fiduciary duty against FHFA as Conservator of Fannie and Freddie 	Declaring that the Net Worth Sweep, and its adoption, violate HERA Declaring that, by entering the Net Worth Sweep, FHFA breached Fannie's and Freddie's contracts with Plaintiffs and the covenant of good faith and fair dealing implicit in those contracts Declaring that FHFA violated its fiduciary duty to Plaintiffs Vacating and setting aside the Net Worth Sweep [Directing] Treasury to return to FHFA all dividend payments made pursuant to the Net Worth Sweep or, alternatively, recharacterizing a portion of the payments as partial redemption of Government Stock rather than dividends * * * *	Treasury's Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 27) and FHFA's Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 28); Response Opposing Motions to Dismiss (Docs. 38 and 39); Treasury's Reply (Doc. 43) and FHFA's Reply (Doc. 45) Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 40); Treasury's Objection to Cross-Motion (Doc. 44) and FHFA's Objection to Cross- Motion (Doc. 46); Reply (Doc. 51) Case Dismissed (Doc. 57, Sept. 30, 2014).	On appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (No. 14-5243) Summary of Issues on Appeal available at http://is.gd/2qaxfK Institutional Appellants' Opening Brief filed June 29, 2015 Class Appellants' Opening Brief filed June 30, 2015 Seven <i>amicus</i> briefs in support of Shareholder- Appellants and one neutral <i>amicus</i> brief of filed July 6, 2015 Treasury and FHFA's Briefs filed Dec. 21, 2015 Appellants' Reply Briefs filed Feb. 2, 2016 Oral argument held Apr. 15, 2016	07/06/2016 Class Plaintiffs' Supplemental Brief due (Doc. 1621168) 07/13/2016 FHFA, Fannie and Freddie's Supplemental Brief due (Doc. 1621168) 07/13/2016 Treasury's Supplemental Brief due (Doc. 1621168) 07/20/2016 Class Plaintiffs' Supplemental Reply Brief due (Doc. 1621168)

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
Fisher, et al. v. USA, Case No. 13-608 (Ct. Fed. Cl. filed Aug. 26, 2013) (Sweeney, J.)	Noah M. Schubert Robert C. Schubert Miranda P. Kolbe SCHUBERT JONCKHEER & KOLBE LLP Edward F. Haber SHAPIRO HABER & URMY LLP	Derivatively on behalf of FNMA: Unlawful taking without just compensation under the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution	Derivatively on behalf of FNMA: Finding that the United States has unlawfully taken the private property of Fannie Mae for public use without just compensation in violation of the Takings Clause of the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution Determining and awarding Fannie Mae just compensation for the Government's taking of its property	Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 20)	Briefing regarding the motion to dismiss is stayed pending the conclusion of jurisdictional discovery in Fairholme. Once the parties in Fairholme file a post-discovery joint status report, the court will issue an order in this case regarding further proceedings. <i>See</i> Doc. 25. Granted permission to participate in jurisdictional discovery in <i>Fairholme. See</i> Doc. 59 filed in <i>Cacciapalle v.</i> <i>United States</i> , Case No. 13-466 (Ct. Fed. Cl.).	

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
In re Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement Class Action Litigations, Misc. Action No. 13- mc-1288 (D.C. filed Nov. 18, 2013) (Lamberth, J.)	David R. Kaplan Blair A. Nicholas John Rizio-Hamilton David L. Wales BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP Reuben A. Guttman Geoffrey C. Jarvis Michael J. Barry GRANT & EISENHOFER Hamish P.M. Hume Jonathan M. Shaw BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP Lee D. Rudy Eric L. Zagar Matthew A. Goldstein KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK, LLP Jeremy A. Lieberman Leslie F. Portnoy Partick V. Dahlstrom POMERANTZ GROSSMAN HUFFORD DAHLSTRON & GROSS LLP	Consolidated Amended Class Action and Derivative Complaint (Doc. 4) filed Dec. 3, 2013: Breach of contract Breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing Breach of fiduciary duty No just compensation under the Fifth Amendment Derivative Complaint (Doc. 39) filed July 30, 2014: Breach of fiduciary duty against Treasury and FHFA	Class action status and certification Declaring that this action is a proper derivative action and that pre-suit demand is excused Declaring that the Third Amendment [is both] entirely [and] intrinsically [un]fair to Fannie Mae, did not further any valid business purpose of Fannie Mae, did not reflect a good faith business judgment as to what was in the best interests of Fannie Mae or its shareholders, and constituted waste and a gross abuse of discretion * * * Awarding compensatory damages and disgorgement in favor of Fannie Mae and just compensation for property taken * * * Declaring that, through the Third Amendment, Defendants FHFA and Treasury breached their respective fiduciary duties to Freddie Mac	Treasury's Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 19) and Fannie Mae & FHFA's Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 20) Plaintiffs' Objection to Motions to Dismiss (Doc. 33) Fannie Mae & FHFA's Reply (Doc. 36) Treasury's Reply (Doc. 38) Case Dismissed (Doc. 47, Sept. 30, 2014)	On appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (No. 14-5243) Summary of Issues on Appeal available at http://is.gd/2qaxfK Institutional Appellants' Opening Brief filed June 29, 2015 Class Appellants' Opening Brief filed June 30, 2015 Seven <i>amicus</i> briefs in support of Shareholder- Appellants and one neutral <i>amicus</i> brief of filed July 6, 2015 Treasury and FHFA's Briefs filed Dec. 21, 2015 Appellants' Reply Briefs filed Feb. 2, 2016 Oral argument held Apr. 15, 2016	07/06/2016 Class Plaintiffs' Supplemental Brief due (Doc. 1621168) 07/13/2016 FHFA, Fannie and Freddie's Supplemental Brief due (Doc. 1621168) 07/13/2016 Treasury's Supplemental Brief due (Doc. 1621168) 07/20/2016 Class Plaintiffs' Supplemental Reply Brief due (Doc. 1621168)

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
In re: Third Amendment Litigation, MDL No.	Howard N. Cayne Asim Varma David V. Bergman	_	Transfer and consolidation of all present and future cases complaining about the Net	Transfer Motion Filed (Doc. 1, Mar. 15, 2016)		
2713 (J.P.M.D.L.)	ARNOLD & PORTER LLP		Worth Sweep pursuant to the Third Amendment to the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia	Transfer request denied (Doc. 37, June 2, 2016)		

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
Jacobs, et al. v. FHFA, et al., Case No. 15-708 (D. Del. filed Aug. 17, 2015) (Sleet, J.)	Myron T. Steele Michael A. Pittenger Christopher N. Kelly POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP	Directly against and derivatively on behalf of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac: The Net Worth Sweep is void and unenforceable because such a term is not permitted by Delaware or Virginia law applicable to preferred stock Breach of contract (<i>i.e.</i> , the preferred stock certificates of designation) Breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing Breach of fiduciary duty	Class certification Declaring that this action is a proper derivative action and that pre-suit demand is excused Rescission of the Net Worth Sweep and restitution of the monies paid by the Companies to Treasury Declaring that the Net Worth Sweep is void and unenforceable as a matter of Delaware and Virginia law Declaring that FHFA breached the terms of the Certificates of Designation governing the Companies' preferred stock and the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing inherent in the Certificates of Designation Declaring that FHFA and Treasury breached their fiduciary duties to the Companies and their stockholders Awarding compensatory damages	 FHFA's Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 18, Nov. 13, 2015) Treasury's Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 20, Nov. 13, 2015) Plaintiffs' Brief in Opposition to Motions to Dismiss (Doc. 23, Jan. 15, 2016) Defendants' Reply Briefs in support of Motions to Dismiss (Docs. 28 and 29) 	 Plaintiffs' Application to Certify Questions of Law to the Delaware and Virginia State Courts (Doc. 24, Jan. 15, 2016), Government's Objection (Doc. 27), and Plaintiffs' Reply (Doc. 34, Feb. 26, 2016) J. Timothy Howard's Motion to File Amicus Brief (Doc. 26, Feb. 2, 2016), Government's objection (Doc. 30), and Mr. Howard's Reply (Doc. 35, Feb. 29, 2016) FHFA, GSEs, Plaintiffs' and Treasury's Requests for Oral Argument on Motions to Dismiss (Docs. 31, 32 and 33) Proceedings stayed pending JPMDL decision on FHFA's Motion to Transfer and Consolidate (Doc. 44, Mar. 30, 2016) Court notified of MDL ruling (Doc. 46, June 3, 2016) 	

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
<i>Liao v. Lew, et al.,</i> Case No. 13-cv- 01094 (D.C. filed July 16, 2013)	Michael G. McClellan L. Kendall Satterfield Elizabeth R. Makris FINKELSTEIN THOMPSON LLP Lionel Z. Glancy Michael M. Goldberg Ex Kano S. Sams II GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG LLP	Illegal taking and/or extraction in violation of the U.S. Constitution Violation of the Administrative Procedures Act: the Treasury's conduct exceeds its statutory authority under HERA Violation of the Administrative Procedures Act: the Treasury's conduct was arbitrary and capricious Violation of the Administrative Procedures Act: the FHFA's conduct exceeds its statutory authority under HERA Violation of the Administrative Procedures Act: the FHFA's conduct exceeds its statutory authority under HERA	 Determining that this is a proper class action and certifying Plaintiff as a Class Representative Finding that Defendants have taken and/or illegally exacted the private property of Plaintiff and the Class in violation of the Due Process and Takings Clauses of the United States Constitution Declaring that the Third Amendment, and its adoption, [violate] HERA ; and that the Treasury and the FHFA acted arbitrarily and capriciously by executing the Third Amendment Vacating and setting aside the Third Amendment including its provisions that sweep the full amount of the Companies' net worth to the Treasury, that prevent redemption of the Government Preferred Stock, and that accelerate the Companies' dissolution Enjoining the Treasury [and the FHFA] from implementing, applying, or taking any action whatsoever pursuant to the Third Amendment 		Consolidated into Misc. Action No. 13- mc-1288 (D.C.)	

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
Marneu Holdings Co., et al. v. Federal Housing Finance Agency, et al., Case No. 13-cv-01421 (D.C. filed Sept. 18, 2013)	Geoffrey C. Jarvis GRANT & EISENHOFER P.A. Jeremy A. Lieberman Lesley F. Portnoy Patrick V. Dahlstrom POMERANTZ GROSSMAN HUFFORD DAHLSTROM & GROSS LLP	 Breach of Contract Breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing Violation of the APA Treasury's and FHFA's conduct exceeds its statutory authority Violation of the APA Treasury's and FHFA's conduct was arbitrary and capricious Breach of fiduciary duty 	Class certification [D]amages [for] defendants' breaches of contract and breaches of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing [R]escission of the Third Amendment Declaring that the Third Amendment was [un]fair to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, [and ha]d no[] valid business purpose , and constituted waste and a gross abuse of discretion. Declaring that the Third Amendment [violates] HERA; and that Treasury and FHFA acted arbitrarily and capriciously by executing the Third Amendment; Declaring that FHFA and the Treasury breached their fiduciary duties to Fannie and Freddie Compensatory damages and disgorgement in favor of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac against FHFA and the Treasury, jointly and severally in an amount to be proven at trial, including interest thereon		Consolidated into Misc. Action No. 13- mc-1288 (D.C.)	

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
<i>Pagliara v. Fannie</i> <i>Mae,</i> C.A. No. 12105-VCMR (Del. Ch. Ct. filed Mar. 14, 2016), removed to Case No. 16-cv- 00193 (D. Del.)	C. Barr Flinn Emily V. Burton Lakshmi A. Muthu Meryem Y. Dede YOUNG CONAWAY STARGAYY & TAYLOR LLP	Demand for Inspection Pursuant to 8 Del. C. § 220	An order permitting the immediate inspection of all documents set forth in the Demand.	Complaint Filed (Doc. 1) Mar. 14, 2016) Notice of Removal to Federal Court (Doc. 1, Mar. 25, 2016)	Court notified of MDL ruling (Doc. 6, June 6, 2016)	_
Pagliara v. Freddie Mac, Case No. CL- 2016-03860 (Va. Cir. Ct. filed Mar. 14, 2016), removed to Case No. 16-cv- 00337 (E.D. Va.)	N. Thomas Connally Christopher T. Pickens HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP	Order to Permit Inspection under Section 13.1-773	An order to permit the immediate inspection and copying of all documents set forth in the Demand.	Complaint Filed (Doc. 1) Mar. 14, 2016) Notice of Removal to Federal Court (Doc. 1, Mar. 25, 2016)	FHFA and Freddie's Motion to Dismiss or Substitute (Docs. 34 and 35, June 16, 2016)	07/19/2016 Plaintiffs' Response due (Doc. 32, June 7, 2016) 07/29/2016 Defendants' Reply due (Doc. 32, June 7, 2016) 08/04/2016 Hearing on Motion to Dismiss or Substitute (Doc. 32, June 7, 2016)

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
Perry Capital LLC v. [Treasury Sec'y] Jacob J. Lew, [Acting FHFA Director] Edward DeMarco, The Department of the Treasury, and The Federal Housing Finance Agency, Case No. 13-cv-1025 (D.C. filed July 7, 2013) (Lamberth, J.)	Theodore B. Olson Douglas R. Cox Matthew D. McGill Mikesh Jindal Derek S. Lyons Janet Weiss GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP	Violations of the Administrative Procedure Act: (i) Treasury's conduct exceeds its statutory authority under HERA, (ii) Treasury's conduct was arbitrary and capricious, (iii) the FHFA's conduct exceeds its statutory authority under HERA, and (iv) the FHFA's conduct was arbitrary and capricious	Declaring that the Third Amendment [is illegal] Vacating and setting aside the Third Amendment, including [the] sweep, that prevent redemption of the Government Preferred Stock, and that accelerate the Companies' dissolution Enjoining Treasury from implementing the Third Amendment Enjoining the FHFA from implementing the Third Amendment	Treasury's Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 31) and FHFA and Fannie's Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 32); Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment on Administrative Procedure Act Claims (Doc. 37); Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motions to Dismiss (Doc. 38); Treasury's Omnibus Reply (Doc. 40) and FHFA and Fannie's Omnibus Replies (Doc. 42, 43 and 44); Perry's Reply (Doc. 47) Case Dismissed (Doc. 51, Sept. 30, 2014)	On appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (No. 14-5243) Summary of Issues on Appeal available at http://is.gd/2qaxfK Institutional Appellants' Opening Brief filed June 29, 2015 Class Appellants' Opening Brief filed June 30, 2015 Seven <i>amicus</i> briefs in support of Shareholder- Appellants and one neutral <i>amicus</i> brief of filed July 6, 2015 Treasury and FHFA's Briefs filed Dec. 21, 2015 Appellants' Reply Briefs filed Feb. 2, 2016 Oral argument held Apr. 15, 2016	07/06/2016 Class Plaintiffs' Supplemental Brief due (Doc. 1621168) 07/13/2016 FHFA, Fannie and Freddie's Supplemental Brief due (Doc. 1621168) 07/13/2016 Treasury's Supplemental Brief due (Doc. 1621168) 07/20/2016 Class Plaintiffs' Supplemental Reply Brief due (Doc. 1621168)

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
<i>Rafter, et al. v. USA</i> , Case No. 14-740 (Ct. Fed. Cl. filed Aug. 14, 2014 (Sweeney, J.)	Lawrence D. Rosenberg Thomas F. Cullen James E. Gauch Paul V. Lettow JONES DAY Gregory P. Joseph JOSEPH HAGE AARONSON LLC	Just compensation under the Fifth Amendment for the taking of private property for public use Derivative claim for breach of implied contract	Awarding Plaintiffs just compensation under the Fifth Amendment for the Government's taking of their property Awarding to the Companies damages, rescission, disgorgement, equitable restitution or appropriate relief for the United States' breach of contract	Motion for leave to file Amended Complaint Filed Aug. 17, 2015 (Doc. 16)	Answer due within sixty days after the close of jurisdictional discovery in <i>Fairholme v.</i> <i>United States</i> (Doc. 9)	

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
Rafter, et al. v. The Department of the Treasury, et al., Case No. 14-cv-01404 (D.C. filed Aug. 15, 2014) ([Lamberth], J.)	Thomas F. Cullen Michael A. Carvin James E. Gauch Paul V. Lettow JONES DAY	Violation of the Administrative Procedure Act: Exceeding Statutory Authority and Arbitrary and Capricious Conduct Direct Claim for Breach of Fiduciary Duty under Delaware and Virginia Law Direct Claim for Breach of Fiduciary Duty under Delaware and Virginia Law: Conversion of the Government's Senior Preferred Stock to Common Stock; Creation of Improper Securities Claim under Delaware and Virginia Law for Improper Denial of Demand to Permit Inspection of Books and Records	Declaring that FHFA as conservator had and has a duty under HERA to put the Companies in a sound and solvent condition, carry on their business, and preserve and conserve their assets and property Declaring that the Net Worth Sweep Agreements and the Net Worth Sweeps [violate] HERA, and that Defendants acted arbitrarily and capriciously Vacating the Net Worth Sweep Agreements and rescinding the Net Worth Sweeps Enjoining Defendants from taking any action [under] the Net Worth Sweep Agreements Enjoining Treasury from exercising control over FHFA in its capacity as conservator of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and declaring null and void any provisions of the Stock Purchase Agreements purporting to authorize Treasury to do so Declaring that Plaintiffs have the right to inspect the Companies' stockholder lists Ordering Defendants to make available to Plaintiffs the Companies' stockholder lists		Complaint voluntarily withdrawn without prejudice (Doc. 16).	

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
<i>Reid v. USA</i> , Case No. 14-152 (Ct. Fed. Cl. filed Feb. 26, 2014) (Sweeney, J.)	Robert C. Schubert Noah M. Schubert Miranda P. Kolbe SCHUBERT JONCKHEER & KOLBE LLP Edward F. Haber SHAPIRO HABER & URMY LLP	Derivatively on behalf of FNMA: Unlawful taking without just compensation under the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution	Derivatively on behalf of FNMA: Finding that the United States has unlawfully taken the private property of Fannie Mae for public use without just compensation in violation of the Takings Clause of the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution Determining and awarding Fannie Mae just compensation for the Government's taking of its property		Answer due within sixty days after the close of jurisdictional discovery in <i>Fairholme v.</i> <i>United States</i> (Doc. 13) Granted permission to participate in jurisdictional discovery in <i>Fairholme. See</i> Doc. 59 filed in <i>Cacciapalle v.</i> <i>United States</i> , Case No. 13-466 (Ct. Fed. Cl.).	

This chart was prepared by *Troubled Company Reporter* and *Class Action Reporter* editors and posted at http://bankrupt.com/gselitigationsummary201606.pdf for the convenience of those newsletters' subscribers. All rights reserved. Copyright © 2016 Bankruptcy Creditors' Service, Inc., and Beard Group, Inc. Unaltered, this document may be freely shared with anyone for any purpose. For additional information, contact Peter A. Chapman at peter@beardgroup.com by e-mail or (215) 945-7000 by telephone.

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
<i>Roberts v. FHFA, et al.</i> , Case No. 16-cv- 02107 (N.D. III. filed Feb. 10, 2016) (Chang, J.)	Christian D. Ambler STONE & JOHNSON, CHTD.	FHFA's conduct exceeded its statutory authority as conservator Treasury's conduct exceeded its statutory authority Treasury's conduct was arbitrary and capricious	 Declaring that the Net Worth Sweep violate[s] HERA and that Treasury acted arbitrarily and capriciously by executing the Net Worth Sweep; Enjoining Treasury to return to Fannie and Freddie all dividend payments or recharacterizing such payments as a pay down of the liquidation preference and redemption of Treasury's Stock rather than mere dividends; Vacating and setting aside the Net Worth Sweep; Enjoining FHFA [and Treasury] fromtaking action pursuant to the Net Worth Sweep; Declaring that the PSPAs [conservatorship control provisions] violate HERA; Vacating and setting aside the provisions of the PSPAs and Treasury's securities declared invalid; Enjoining FHFA [and Treasury] from [acting on] the PSPAs [provisions] declared invalid; and Enjoining FHFA and its officers, employees, and agents from acting at the instruction of Treasury or any other agency of the government and from reinterpreting the duties of FHFA as conservator under HERA. 		Complaint Filed (Doc. 1, Feb. 10, 2016) and Redacted Amended Complaint Filed (Doc. 35, Apr. 15, 2016)	07/13/2016 Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and briefs due (Doc. 38, June 23, 2016) 08/12/2016 Plaintiffs' Response due (Doc. 38, June 23, 2016) 09/14/2016 Defendants' Replies due (Doc. 38, June 23, 2016) 11/01/2016 Status hearing

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
<i>Robinson v. FHFA,</i> <i>et al.</i> , Case No. 15- cv-00109 (E.D. Ky. filed Oct. 23, 2015) (Thapar, J.)	Robert B. Craig TAFT STETTINIUS & HOLLISTER LLP	FHFA's conduct exceeded its statutory authority as conservator Treasury's conduct exceeded its statutory authority Treasury's conduct was arbitrary and capricious	 Declaring that the Net Worth Sweep violate[s] HERA and that Treasury acted arbitrarily and capriciously by executing the Net Worth Sweep Enjoining Treasury to return to Fannie and Freddie all dividend payments made pursuant to the Net Worth Sweep or, alternatively, recharacterizing such payments as a pay down of the liquidation preference and a corresponding redemption of Treasury's Government Stock rather than mere dividends Vacating and setting aside the Net Worth Sweep Enjoining FHFA and Treasury from taking any action whatsoever pursuant to the Net Worth Sweep Enjoining FHFA from acting at the instruction of Treasury or any other agency of the government and from re- interpreting the duties of FHFA as conservator under HERA 	Treasury's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 22, Jan. 11, 2016) FHFA's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 23, Jan. 11, 2016) Plaintiffs' Objection to Treasury and FHFA's Motions to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 32, Feb. 12, 2016) under seal FHFA and Treasury's Replies to Motion to Dismiss (Doc.s 37 and 28, Mar. 14, 2016) FHFA's Supplemental Brief Fled (Doc. 53, June 16, 2016)	Complaint Filed (Doc. 1, Oct. 23, 2015) Summonses issued (Doc. 3, Oct. 23, 2015) Amended Complaint Filed (Doc. 17, Dec. 29, 2015) under seal and released from seal (Doc. 48, May 25, 2016)	07/07/2016 Deadline for Plaintiff to file a Reply to FHFA' Supplemental Brief, if it wishes (Doc. 51, June 8, 2016) 07/14/2016 Hearing on Defendants' Motions to Dismiss (Doc. 51, June 8, 2016)

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
Samuels, et al. v. Federal Housing Finance Agency, et al., Case No. 13-cv- 22399 (S.D. Fla. filed July 9, 2013) (Cooke, J.)	Charles Elsesser, Jr. Meena Jagannath Betsy Havens COMMUNITY JUSTICE PROJECT FLORIDA LEGAL SERVICES, INC.	Violation of the Administrative Procedures Act [Amended Complaint Filed (Doc. 30)]	Vacate and set aside as null and void FHFA's decision to indefinitely suspend payments by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to the Housing Trust Fund. Declare that Federal Defendants have violated the Administrative Procedure Act by acting in an arbitrary and capricious manner Order the FHFA to instruct Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac that Federal Defendants' challenged decisions to withhold payments from the Housing Trust Fund were null and void and that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac must proceed as if those decisions had never taken place.	Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 41) Response Filed (Doc. 52) Reply Filed (Doc. 61)	Case Dismissed (Doc. 85, Sept. 29, 2014)	

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
Saxton, et al. v. The Federal Housing Finance Agency, et. al., Case No. 15-cv- 00047 (N.D. Iowa) (Reade, C.J.)	Alexander M. Johnson, Esq. Sean P. Moore, Esq. BROWN, WINICK, GRAVES, GROSS, BASKERVILLE AND SCHOENEBAUM, P.L.C.	FHFA's conduct exceeds its statutory authority as Conservator Treasury's conduct exceeded its statutory authority Violation of the Administrative Procedure Act: Treasury's conduct was arbitrary and capricious Breach of contract against FHFA as Conservator of Fannie and Freddie Breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing against FHFA as Conservator of Fannie and Freddie	 Declaring that the Net Worth Sweep, and its adoption, are not in accordance with and violate HERA. , and that Treasury acted arbitrarily and capriciously by executing the Net Worth Sweep. Vacating and setting aside the Net Worth Sweep. Vacating and setting aside the Net Worth Sweep. Enjoining Treasury to return to FHFA as conservator of Fannie and Freddie all dividend payments [under] the Net Worth Sweep or, alternatively, recharacterizing a portion as a pay down of the liquidation preference and a corresponding partial redemption of Treasury's Government Stock rather than mere dividends. Enjoining [Defendants from] taking any action whatsoever pursuant to the Net Worth Sweep. Enjoining FHFA from acting at the instruction of Treasury or any other agency of the government and from re-interpreting the duties of FHFA as conservator under HERA. Awarding Plaintiffs damages, including contractually-due dividends on the preferred and common stock for each quarter when a dividend based on the net worth of the Companies was paid to Treasury. 	Treasury's Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 19, Sept. 4, 2015) Fairholme's Motion to File Sealed <i>Amicus</i> Brief (Doc. 29, Oct. 15, 2015) Denied (Doc. 48, Dec. 3, 2015) FHFA's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 76, Mar. 18, 2016) Treasury's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 77, Mar. 18, 2016) FHFA's Supplemental Brief Filed (Doc. 83, June 16, 2016)	Complaint Filed (Doc. 1, May 28, 2015) Amended Complaint Filed (Doc. 61, Feb. 9, 2016) under seal Investors Unite's Motion to File <i>Amicus</i> Brief (Doc. 40, Nov. 2, 2015), Government's Objection (Doc. 44, Nov. 19, 2015), and Investor Unite's Reply (Doc. 47, Nov. 24, 2015)	06/30/2016 Plaintiffs' Resistance to Motions to Dismiss due (Doc. 82, June 13, 2016) 08/01/2016 Defendants' Replies due (Doc. 82, June 13, 2016)

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
<i>Shipmon v. USA,</i> Case No. 13-672 (Ct. Fed. Cl. filed Sept. 12, 2013)	Noah M. Schubert Robert C. Schubert Miranda P. Kolbe Schubert Jonckheer & Kolbe LLP Edward F. Haber Shapiro Haber & URMY LLP	Derivatively on behalf of FNMA: Unlawful taking without just compensation under the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution	Derivatively on behalf of FNMA: Finding that the United States has unlawfully taken the private property of Fannie Mae for public use without just compensation in violation of the Takings Clause of the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution Determining and awarding Fannie Mae just compensation for the Government's taking of its property		Consolidated with <i>Fisher v.</i> <i>United States</i> , Case No. 13-608 (Ct. Fed. Cl.)	
<i>Voacolo v. Fannie</i> <i>Mae, et al.,</i> Case No. 16-cv-01324 (D. D.C filed June 26, 2016.)	Alexander J. E. English, Esq. Jessup, Md. - and - Afia SenGupta, Esq. Angela Lipsman, Esq. BRUS CHAMBERS LLC	Violation of the Administrative Procedures Act Illegal exaction without due process in violation of the Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution	Holding that Defendants' actions in executing and implementing the Third Amendment were arbitrary, capricious, or otherwise not in accordance with the law. Awarding monetary relief in the sum of \$2,500,000.		Complaint filed June 26, 2016.	08/25/2016 Defendants' Answers or other responses due

Title ¹	Plaintiffs' Lawyers	Claims for Relief	Relief Requested ²	Pre-Trial Summary Judgment Proceedings	Current Status	Next Calendar Event
Washington Federal, et al. v. USA, Case No. 13-385 (Ct. Fed. Cl. filed June 10, 2013) (Sweeney, J.)	Steve W. Berman HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP Robert M. Rosen James E. McGovern Joshua B. Kaplan SPECTOR ROSEMAN KODROFF & WILLIS, PC	Illegal taking and/or exaction without just compensation in violation of the U.S. Constitution	Class action status and certification Finding that the Defendant has taken and/or illegally exacted Plaintiffs' and the Classes' private property in violation of the Due Process and Takings Clauses of the Constitution Determining and awarding Plaintiffs and the Classes damages suffered by them by virtue of the Defendant's taking and/or illegal exaction in the amount of \$41 billion, or some other amount to be determined at trial	Government's Motion to Dismiss Filed (Doc. 31)	Briefing regarding the motion to dismiss is stayed pending the conclusion of jurisdictional discovery in <i>Fairholme</i> . Once the parties in <i>Fairholme</i> file a post-discovery joint status report, the court will issue an order in this case regarding further proceedings. <i>See</i> Doc. 44. Granted permission to participate in jurisdictional discovery in <i>Fairholme</i> . <i>See</i> Doc. 59 filed in <i>Cacciapalle v.</i> <i>United States</i> , Case No. 13-466 (Ct. Fed. Cl.).	