(1.01.0100)		CIVIL	CO	VER SHEE	gras.	A A COMPANI		
The JS-44 civil cover shee by law, except as provided of the Clerk of Court for the	by local rules of court. T	his form, approve	ed by the .	Judicial Conference of the	: United Stati	es in Septem	ibér 1974, is requir	rs as required ed for the use
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	(a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	2006 DE		3: 44	
LYNN RUGGERI				BOEHRINGER-INGELHEIM CORPORATION				
				U.S. DISTRICT COURT BRIDGEPORT, CONN				
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST USTED PLAINTIFF Light House Poin (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANTFairfield, CT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)				
(EXOLF	THE C.S. PERIOTI OA			NOTE: IN LAND CONDE TRACT OF LAND				
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME J.Daniel Sagarin David A. Slossbe Andrew W. Skolnic Hurwitz Sagarin 147 N. Broad St.	rg ck			ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	DICTION (PLACE AN "	K" IN ONE BOX ONLY)		IZENSHIP OF PRIN Diversity Cases Only) P'	ICIPAL PA TF DEF		LACE AN "X" IN ONE BO WID ONE BOX FOR DEF	
U.S Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question Citiz (U.S. Government Not a Party)			itizen of This State	וום ונ		or Principal Place In This State	□ 4 X DK4
Defendant	び¥ Diversity Ci (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			izen of Another State ※2 □ 2 Incorporated and Principal Place □ 5 □ 5 of Business in Another State				
		5 5 6 4 4 4 4 4	С	itizen or Subject of a □ Foreign Country	13 🗆 3	Foreign Natio	PN	6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T <i>(PLACE AN "X" IN ON</i>	RTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKE	RUPTCY	OTHER STA	ATUTES
110 Insurance 120 Manne 130 Miller Act 140 Negotable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment 8 Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine	PERSONAL IN 362 Personal inju Med. Malpro 365 Personal inju Product Liak 366 Asbestos Pe Injury Product PERSONAL PRO	ury — ractica ury — bility arsonal act Liability PPERTY	610 Agricutture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure 67 Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health	☐ 423 Withd 28 US	SC 157 TY RIGHTS rights t	400 State Reappo 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banks	anking CC Rates/etc. Ruenced and nizations vice
(Excl. Veterans) [] 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Berrefits	(i) 345 Marine Product Liability (ii) 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Len 380 Other Perso	nding -	LABOR	SOCIAL	SECURITY	Exchange 875 Customer Ch 12 USC 3410	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	Property De 365 Property De Product Liei	mage	720 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI		☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act ☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PE						
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lesse & Ejectment	441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/	510 Motions to 1 Sentence HABEAS CORP	i	& Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act				
240 Torts to Land 245 Torts to Land 255 Tort Product Liability 250 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 444 Welfare 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Pena ☐ 540 Mandamus ☐ 550 Civil Rights		☐ 790 Other Lebor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. inc.				
		☐ 656 Prison Con		Security Act		SC 7609		
		· ·	W "X" IN C 4 Reinstat Reopen			□ 6 Multidistri Litigation	Jud ict 👝 ⁊ Mag	eal to District ge from jistrate gment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION VIolation of Fair	DO NOT CITE JURISDIC	TIONAL STATUTES UN	ILESS DIVER	S(TY.)				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS	A CLASS ACT	TION	DEMAND \$	_	HECK YES O	only if demanded in	n complaint:
VIII.RELATED CASE(IF ANY	S) (See Instructions): Ju	DGE			DOCKET (NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY O	RECORD		144		
December 11, 2006	 –	found,	Stor	rs_				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	Ι	avid A. Sl	.ossber	œ				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

FILED

X	
LUANN RUGGERI, on behalf of herself and	ł
others similarly situated,	

2006 DEC 11 P 3: 44

M.S. DISTRICT COURT BRIDGEPORT, CONN

CIVIL ACTION NO:

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

BOEHRINGER-INGELHEIM
CORPORATION ###!:

v.

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION AND RULE 23 CLASS ACTION

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Defendants.	

DECEMBER 11, 2006

INTRODUCTION AND CERTAIN DEFINITIONS

- 1. This is an action for violation of federal wage and hour laws by and on behalf of former and current employees of Defendants, referred to below as "Covered Employees." The Covered Employees include Luann Ruggeri, Plaintiff. Pursuant to a decision, policy and plan, these employees are unlawfully classified by Defendants as exempt from laws requiring overtime pay, but actually were and are non-exempt and entitled to overtime pay.
- 2. In this pleading, the term "Covered Employees" means all persons who have been, are, or in the future will be employed by any of the Defendants in any sales representative position, including but not limited to any job whose title is or was referred to by any of the following titles, and employees who performed substantially the same

work as employees with those titles (discovery may reveal additional titles and employees that should be included),

- a. Sales Representative
- b. Professional Sales Representative
- c. Specialty Sales Representative
- d. Senior Specialty Sales Representative

and who were employed during the statute of limitations period for the particular claim for relief in which the term Covered Employees is used, including time during which the statute of limitation was or may have been tolled or suspended. The above job positions are referred to herein as "Covered Positions."

- 3. In this pleading, "Defendants" means "defendants and each of them" and refers to the defendants named in the particular claim for relief in which the word "Defendants" appears. Defendants include Boehringer-Ingelheim Corporation and all domestic affiliates and subsidiaries.
- 4. The allegations in this pleading are made without any admission that, as to any particular allegation, Plaintiff bears the burden of pleading, proof, or persuasions.

 Plaintiff reserves all rights to plead in the alternative.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has original federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this case is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq. ("FLSA").
- 6. Venue is proper in this District because Defendants' principal executive offices are in this District, and a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to

the claims occurred in this District. Venue is also proper in this District because there is personal jurisdiction in this District over all Defendants. Presently and at all times, Defendants have conducted substantial, continuous and systematic commercial activities in this District.

PARTIES

- 7. Defendant Boehringer-Ingelheim Corporation ("Boehringer") is a Nevada corporation, with its United States headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut. Together with the other Defendants, Boehringer does business in all fifty states and the United States Territories.
- 8. Plaintiff Luann Ruggeri ("Plaintiff") is a Florida resident and was employed by Defendants for approximately six years ending in August 2005, starting as a Professional Specialty Sales Representative and subsequently as a Professional Sales Representative. Plaintiff worked hours in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, without receiving overtime compensation as required by federal law.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that at all relevant times each defendant, directly or indirectly, or through agents or other persons, employed Plaintiff and/or other Covered Employees, and exercised control over the wages, hours and working conditions of Plaintiff and Covered Employees. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that, at all relevant times, each defendant was the principal, agent, partner, joint venturer, officer, director, controlling shareholder, subsidiary, affiliate, parent corporation, successor in interest and/or predecessor in interest of some or all of the other Defendants in a joint enterprise for profit, and bore such other relationships to some or all of the other

Defendants so as to be liable for their conduct with respect to the matters alleged below. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each defendant acted pursuant to and within the scope of the relationships alleged above, that each defendant knew or should have known about, and authorized, ratified, adopted, approved, controlled, aided and abetted the conduct of all other Defendants.

FACTS

- 10. Plaintiff hereby consents to sue Defendants under the FLSA § 16.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendants employ and have employed within the relevant periods thousands of pharmaceutical sales representatives in the United States.
- 12. Plaintiff and Covered Employees were paid a salary plus a bonus for work performed.
- 13. Specifically, upon information and belief, Defendants' managers, with the knowledge and consent of corporate management, systemically violated the law throughout the United States, in the following respects:
 - Failing to pay employees overtime compensation for hours worked
 in excess of forty hours per week; and
 - b. Failing to maintain accurate records of employees' time.
 - 14. As set forth above, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants.
- 15. During this time, Plaintiff on a regular basis worked over 40 hours per week.
- 16. Plaintiff sustained substantial losses from Defendants' failure to pay her overtime compensation.

- 17. Upon information and belief, other employees who worked for Defendants throughout the United States and who are FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and/or members of the classes (as defined below) were subjected to the same policies and practices and have sustained similar losses of compensation for numerous hours worked on behalf of Defendants.
- Defendants, through their corporate management deliberately trained, supervised, instructed, and authorized managerial employees to engage in the above unlawful practices and have ratified their actions thereafter, in order to enhance corporate profits and reduce their labor costs.

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 19. Plaintiff brings the First Claim for Relief for violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") as a collective action pursuant to FLSA Section 16(b), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) on behalf of all Covered Employees employed by Defendants on or after the date that is three years before the filing of the Complaint in this case as defined herein ("FLSA Collective Plaintiffs").
- 20. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the other Covered Employees are and have been similarly situated, have had substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and have been subject to Defendants' decision, policy, plan and common policies, programs, practices, procedures, protocols, routines, and rulesof (1) willfully failing and refusing to pay them at the legally required time and a half rates for work in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, (2) willfully and inaccurately classifying them as exempt from overtime pay even though Defendants were aware that the Covered Employees were non-exempt and entitled to overtime pay, and (3) willfully failing to

keep records required by the FLSA. The claims of Plaintiff stated herein are essentially the same as those of the other Covered Employees.

21. The First Claim for Relief is properly brought under and maintained as an opt-in collective action pursuant to § 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. 216(b). The Covered Employees are readily ascertainable. For purpose of notice and other purposes related to this action, their names and addresses are readily available from the Defendants. Notice can be provided to the Covered Employees via first class mail to the last address known to Defendants.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs)

(FLSA Overtime And Record Keeping Violations, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq. Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of Herself

22. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, realleges and incorporates by reference all previous paragraphs.

- 23. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all relevant times, each Defendant has been, and continues to be, an "employer" engaged in interstate "commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203. At all relevant times, each Defendant has employed, and continues to employ, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs as "employee[s]" within the meaning of the FLSA. At all relevant times, each defendant has had gross operating revenues in excess of \$500,000.
- 24. Throughout the statute of limitations period covered by these claims,
 Plaintiff and the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs regularly worked in excess of forty (40)
 hours per workweek and continue to do so.

- 25. At all relevant times, Defendants have had, and continue to operate under, a decision, policy and plan, and under common policies, programs, practices, procedures, protocols, routines and rules of willfully failing and refusing to pay the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs at time and a half rates for work in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, and willfully failing to keep records required by the FLSA even though the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs have been and are entitled to overtime.
- 26. At all relevant times, Defendants willfully, regularly and repeatedly failed, and continue to fail to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs at the required overtime rates, one and a half times their regular hourly rates, for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.
- 27. At all relevant times, Defendants willfully, regularly and repeatedly failed, and continue to fail to make, keep and preserve accurate records required by the FLSA with respect to Plaintiff and the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, including records sufficient to accurately determine the wages and hours of employment pertaining to Plaintiff and the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs.
- 28. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, seeks damages in the amount of their respective unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated (double) damages as provided by the FLSA for overtime violations, attorneys' fees and costs, and such other legal and equitable relief as this Court deems just and proper.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Covered Employees, prays for relief as follows:

- A. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under FLSA;
- B. Certification of this action as a collective action brought pursuant to the FLSA § 216(b);
- C. Designation of Plaintiffs as representatives of the FLSA Collective Action;
- D. An award of damages, according to proof, including liquidated damages, to be paid by Defendants;
 - E. Penalties available under applicable law;
 - F. Costs of action incurred herein, including expert fees;
- G. Attorneys' fees, including fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216 and other applicable statutes;
 - H. Pre-Judgment and post-judgment interest, as provided by law; and
- I. Such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all other Covered Employees, hereby demand a jury trial on all causes of action and claims with respect to which they have a right to jury trial.

THE PLAINTIFF

J. Daniel Sagarin CT04289
David A. Slossberg CT13116
Andrew W. Skolnick CT13422

HURWITZ, SAGARIN, SLOSSBERG

& KNUFF, LLC

147 North Broad Street Milford, CT 06460

Tele: (203) 877-8000 Fax: (203) 878-9800 DSagarin@hssklaw.com DSlossberg@hssklaw.com ASkolnick@hssklaw.com

-and-

Charles Joseph JOSEPH & HERZFELD, LLP 757 Third Avenue, Suite 2500 New York, NY 10017 Tel. (212) 688-5640 Fax (212) 688-2548

Eric B. Kingsley Kingsley & Kingsley 16133 Venture Blvd., Suite 1200 Encino, CA 91436 Tel. (818) 990-8300 Fax (818) 990-2903

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Proposed Collective Action Members