MERCER

March 21, 2011

Borders Group, Inc.
Restructuring Compensation Programs

John Dempsey

john.dempsey@mercer.com

This report is confidential to Borders Group, Inc. restructuring. It may not be used for any other purpose. Receipt of and use of this document in connection with Borders' restructuring shall serve as acceptance of the confidentiality of the material herein.

www.mercer.com

Contents

- Introduction and Background
- Guiding Principles
- Rationale for Immediate Action
- Recommended Plans
 - Overview
 - Proposed KEIP Design Elements
 - Proposed KERP Design Elements
- Appendix
 - Appendix A: KEIP Cost Comparison
 - Appendix B: Non-insider Retention Bonus Plans
 - Appendix C: Market Compensation Data



Introduction and Background

- Borders Group, Inc. ("Borders") is seeking to restructure its balance sheet and operations to maintain solvency through a traditional bankruptcy
- Mercer has been retained by the Board of Directors of Borders to advise on the development of a market competitive compensation program in order to create alignment of interests between key employees and the Company's financial stakeholders during the restructuring
- The purpose of this report is to provide Mercer's recommendations on the implementation of a Key Employee Incentive Plan ("KEIP") and Key Employee Retention Plan ("KERP") for employees critical to accomplishing a successful reorganization
- The remainder of this report provides:
 - An overview of Mercer's recommendations regarding the KEIP and KERP
 - Recommended award sizes for eligible employees
 - Details of competitive positioning



Guiding Principles

Mercer developed the following guiding principles based on competitive practices and our experience in designing compensation programs for reorganizations, with input from management

- Create incentive to <u>successfully restructure rapidly and emerge from bankruptcy quickly</u> while preserving the operational platform: stores still serving the reading public as well as a
 - Distribution channel for content producers, and
 - Tenant for landlords
- Store and SG&A rationalizations have to be implemented to complete restructuring; <u>successful</u> implementation of a mutually agreeable rationalization is implicit in the plan design
- Do not reward for liquidation or a protracted bankruptcy
- Proposed plan design elements and program costs consistent with recent bankruptcies
- Create and maintain <u>market competitive pay opportunities</u>, reflecting practices in the markets in which Borders competes for talent and bring compensation closer to market competitive levels for most critical employees
 - Below market median compensation in 2011

Rationale for Immediate Action

- The company has reduced corporate headcount by over 55% in the last two years. During that same period over 85 directors and officers have exited. The leadership group in place today has been selected to be the team that will manage through the restructuring and manage the on-going business. It is an extremely lean team as validated by Alix Partners as part of the SGA rationalization and consequently we do not anticipate significant SGA savings from headcount reduction.
- In the absence of annual and long term incentives at the Officer level, compensation will be far below competitive levels and employee engagement may suffer.
 - This risk of disengagement could be higher among the short service leaders whose future careers and reputations are less directly linked to Borders' survival, but who are critical to that survival.
 - The KEIP will bridge that gap and provide incentive for the officers to quickly and effectively move through and emerge with a plan of reorganization.
 - 70% of the 17 recommended KEIP participants have less than 18 months of service; of that, 50% have less than one year of service. Because of the short tenure, these leaders have been unable to accrue any value for the risk taken.
- The Director level lead the day to day execution; Should any of this team chose to leave, it will be extremely difficult, it not impossible to replace them during the bankruptcy, leaving significant functional leadership and talent gaps.
- The recommended KEIP and KERP presented herein are designed to provide the incentives to avoid liquidation and right size the Company to deliver positive financial results for stakeholders.



Overview of Recommended Plans

| | Description | Eligibility | Maximum Cost |
|--|---|--|---|
| Key Employee Incentive Plan ("KEIP") Senior & Executive Leaders | Awards earned based on completion of restructuring and payment will be based on the effective date of the Plan of Reorganization ("POR") Target award levels equal 150% of historical annual incentive target opportunities Participants can earn up to 150% of the target KEIP award, based upon timing from emergence (maximum) | KEIP program to be implemented for key executives focused on restructuring efforts and ongoing business operations, including the Top 6 executives 17 employees, VP level and above | Target Cost ~\$4.7 M Maximum Cost ~\$7.1 M |
| Key Employee Retention Plan ("KERP") Directors and Other Key Employees | Awards are based upon continued employment through the completion of the restructuring and paid upon emergence A lump-sum award equal to historical annual incentive target opportunities; no upside provided Other key employees would be eligible to participate in the discretionary pool based on management judgment Awards would be determined on an individual basis and will not exceed \$20,000 | KERP program to be implemented for 25 key directors focused on restructuring efforts and ongoing business operations Excludes Officers and KEIP eligible employees Limited number of other key employees critical to restructuring | ~ \$1.2 M¹ |
| | | Total Target Cost | ~\$6.0 M |
| | | Total Maximum Cost | ~\$8.3 M |

\$8.3M represents 0.36% of Borders' 2010 revenue, which falls below the average for comparables of 0.39% See pages 11-12 for additional details of comparables

¹Includes discretionary pool of \$300K for a limited number of other key employees critical to restructuring



Proposed KEIP Design Elements Individual Award Opportunities

- Target award opportunities are set at 150% of historic annual incentive target opportunities
 - For the Top 5 Executives, target award opportunities range from 90% 150% of base salary and have an average award size of \$623K
 - For the Other 12 Key Executives, target award opportunities range from 60% 90% of base salary and have an average award size of \$135K
- Payout opportunities range from 0% 150% of target (i.e., maximum payout of 225% of historic annual target opportunity), based upon timing from emergence
- As time spent within restructuring increases, award opportunities for participants decrease*

| | | 50.000.000 | | | VE IS | | ZEISSS A | · Carles in the care | | | 16 | |
|--|----------------------|--|--|--|---|---|------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | <u>ncentive</u> | | <u>Target</u> | | ut - Timing of | | | il Compensa | |
| Incumbent | Position Title | Base | /6 01 Base | • 5 value | % of Base | Target - \$ | <=6 Months | MANUAL PRODUCTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE | >≈ 9 Months | A LOUIS STOCKE AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY | | >= 9 Months |
| March (All March March and Contact and Con | | Militaria Matalana da manta da Camanda de Ca | | and the state of t | north den men on New Procession de management de mais en la company | artiniano da kompo para l'omo partino por ma distri | 150% | 100% | 0% | Establishment of the common and a facilities and a second property of the common and a second property of the second property of the common and a second property of the commo | Salary + KEIP F | Marine Control of the |
| Edwards, Mike | CEO | \$750 | 100% | \$750 | 150% | \$1,125 | \$1,688 | \$1,125 | \$0 | \$2,438 | \$1,875 | \$750 |
| Henry, Scott | EVP CFO BGI | \$600 | 80% | \$480 | 120% | \$720 | \$1,080 | \$720 | \$0 | \$1,680 | \$1,320 | \$600 |
| Cloutier, Michele | EVP CMO | \$500 | 80% | \$400 | 120% | \$600 | \$900 | \$600 | \$0 | \$1,400 | \$1,100 | \$500 |
| Frering, James M | EVP Store Operations | \$350 | 80% | \$280 | 120% | \$420 | \$630 | \$420 | \$0 | \$980 | \$770 | \$350 |
| Thompson,Rosalind | SVP Human Resources | \$275 | 60% | \$165 | 90% | \$248 | \$371 | \$248 | \$0 | \$646 | \$523 | \$275 |
| | | | Delining of the control of the contr | | | | | | And the Control of th | | 200000000000000000000000000000000000000 | ENGELSKEIGERECKEIN GERONOSA (SPECIAL) |
| Top 5 Aggregate | | \$2,475 | | \$2,075 | | \$3,113 | \$4,669 | \$3,113 | \$0 | \$7,144 | \$5,588 | \$2,475 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other 12 Key Execut | ives Aggregate | \$2,580 | | \$1,082 | | \$1,623 | \$2,435 | \$1,623 | \$0 | \$5,015 | \$4,204 | \$2,580 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aggregate - All Parti | cipants | \$5,055 | | \$3,157 | | \$4,736 | \$7,104 | \$4,736 | \$0 | \$12,159 | \$9,791 | \$5,055 |
| | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Ī | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Maximum | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Award | | | | | |

^{*}Note: Payouts are interpolated based on actual timing of emergence between 6 and 9 months

In addition to the amounts shown above, the company intends to seek court approval to honor the following prepetition arrangements:

Michele Cloutier and Scott Henry: guaranteed deferred compensation of \$200,000

Glen Tomaszewski and Jason Cline; amounts earned with respect to pre-petition services of \$100,000 and \$75,000, respectively

Proposed KEIP Design Elements (cont.) Additional Terms

| Additio | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Element | Proposed Plan Design | | Market Practice / Considerations | | |
| Payout Timing | Payment will be based on the effective date of the and is contingent upon employment on the confir date To occur as soon as possible after the effective of | Majority pay immediately upon the completion of the performance period Most common performance period is effective date or consummation of POR | | | |
| Performance Measures | Completion of restructuring transaction as soon a reasonably possible and, in any event, within nine Payment alternately triggered upon sale of all or substantially all the assets or sale of business un participant Performance period occurs from filing date until emergence from Chapter 11 | e months | Rewards participants for achieving a successful restructuring that enables emergence from bankruptcy while maximizing value for stakeholders 150% of Target by 6 Months | | |
| | Emergence KEIP Award within Adjustment <= 6 months 150% 9 months 100% >= 9 months 0% *Note: Award adjustment is interpolated for emergence between 6 and 9 months | Payout as a % of Target 100% 100% 50% 50% | 100% of target by 9 Months Expires at 9 Months | | |

25%

2/16/2011

8/16/2011

11/16/2011



Proposed KEIP Design Elements (cont.) Market Benchmarking

- If no action is taken, executives will receive salary only and will be at 38% of market median total direct compensation (TDC) overall¹
- Overall, assuming target KEIP payout, TDC (base + target KEIP award)² for the 17 participating executives is significantly below the market median, falling at 73% of the market median
 - Target TDC for the Top 5 Executives falls at 62% of the market median
 - Target TDC for the Other 12 Key Executives falls at 95% of the market median
- Assuming maximum KEIP payout, total direct compensation for participating executives also falls below the market median, falling at 91% of the market median

| | | Base | Target | Target | Target | Max | Max | Mar | ket TDG (§ | 000) | <u>Ma</u> | rket_TDC in | dex |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------|--------|--|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Incumbent | Position Title | Salary | KEIP % | KEIP\$ | TDC | KEIP \$ | TDC | 25th %ile | 50th %ile | 75th %ile | 25th %ile | 50th %ile | 75th %ile |
| Edwards, Mike | CEO | \$750 | 150% | \$1,125 | \$1,875 | \$1,688 | \$2,438 | \$2,941 | \$4,279 | \$6,685 | 64% | 44% | 28% |
| Henry, Scott | EVP CFO BGI | \$600 | 120% | \$720 | \$1,320 | \$1,080 | \$1,680 | \$1,137 | \$1,294 | \$1,938 | 116% | 102% | 68% |
| Cloutier, Michele | EVP CMO | \$500 | 120% | \$600 | \$1,100 | \$900 | \$1,400 | \$1,435 | \$1,555 | \$2,139 | 77% | 71% | 51% |
| Frering, James M | EVP Store Operations | \$350 | 120% | \$420 | \$770 | \$630 | \$980 | \$842 | \$1,247 | \$1,577 | 91% | 62% | 49% |
| Thompson, Rosalino | 1 SVP Human Resources | \$275 | 90% | \$248 | \$523 | \$371 | \$646 | \$463 | \$620 | \$876 | 113% | 84% | 60% |
| | | - CO 47F | | £0.449 | AF 200 | 64.000 | 0.7/4.44 | - C 040 | \$8,994 | 842 N/E | 920/ | CON | 430/ |
| Top 5 Aggregate | | \$2,475 | | \$3,113 | \$5,588 | \$4,669 | \$7,144 | \$6,818 | \$0,394 | \$13,215 | 82% | 62% | 42% |
| Other 12 Key Ex | ecutives Aggregate | \$2,580 | | \$1,623 | \$4,204 | \$2,435 | \$5,015 | \$3,273 | \$4,422 | \$6,192 | 128% | 95% | 68% |
| | | | | S. S | | | | | | | | | |
| Aggregate - All | | \$5,055 | | \$4,736 | \$9,791 | \$7,104 | \$12,159 | \$10,091 | \$13,416 | \$19,406 | 97% | 73% | 50% |

¹See page 18 for compensation definition

²For Borders, KEIP bonus is in place of long-term incentives; there is no annual incentive program for current fiscal year

Proposed KERP Design Elements

Mercer

The KERP will help to promote continuity of business and retain and motivate important members of management throughout the restructuring process

| Element | Proposed Plan Design | Market Practice / Considerations |
|--|--|---|
| Award Opportunities | Provide 25 eligible directors with award opportunities equal to historic annual incentive target opportunities Average award size of \$37K All plan participants have consistent award opportunities equal to 30% of base salary | Provide an effective means to retain non-insider key employees throughout the reorganization process High risk of turnover and little bench strength; considerable cost and the likelihood to finding a suitable replacement for such employees during this crucial period of time |
| Plan Costs | KERP cost: \$933KDiscretionary Pool: \$300K | ■ Market practices vary widely |
| Payout Timing | Lump sum award payment will be made at the effective date of the POR Also paid upon involuntary termination without cause offset by any severance paid | Recognizes that strategic and financial achievements prior to termination will likely be realized over the performance period |
| Eligibility (Excludes "insiders) | Key employees critical to restructuring efforts and ongoing business operations A small number of other key employees would participate in the discretionary pool based on management judgment; individual awards not to exceed \$20,000 | Recognizes the lack of annual incentives and will close the gap to market competitive levels for critical employees Recognize above and beyond efforts during restructuring |
| Plan Term | Contingent upon Borders' successful restructuring and emergence from Chapter 11 | |

Appendix A: KEIP Cost Comparison



Competitive Practice – Chapter 11 Comparator Group

- We examined a group of 20 companies that filed on or after January 1, 2009, with each company having a Supplemental Incentive Plan approved by the courts
 - All revenue sizes, ranging from approx.
 \$350 million to \$50 billion
 - All asset sizes, ranging from approx. \$500 million to \$30 billion
 - Excluding financial services industry and TARP companies
- We looked at market practices for both traditional and prepackaged/prearranged bankruptcies
- Borders' current revenues fall between the median and average of the comparator group
 - Borders' projected revenues upon emergence fall below the median and average of the comparator group

| | | | | Reve | nue (\$M) | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------|---|---------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | Assets | Filing | Emergence - | Before | Emergence / | |
| Company | (\$M) | Date | Date | Filing ¹ | Most Recent ² | |
| Companies Filed On or After January | 1, 2009 | | | | | |
| General Growth Properties | \$27,392 | 4/16/09 | n/a | \$3,400 | \$3,136 | |
| Almatis B.V | \$6,873 | 4/30/10 | 10/1/10 | \$400 | \$534 | |
| Extended Stay Inc | \$9,000 | 6/15/09 | n/a | \$1,000 | \$792 | |
| Orleans Homebuilders, Inc. | \$7,387 | 3/1/10 | 2/14/11 | \$330 | \$239 | |
| Reader's Digest Association, Inc.+ | \$8,072 | 8/24/09 | 2/22/10 | \$2,200 | \$2,121 | |
| Nortel Networks | \$3,064 | 1/14/09 | n/a | \$7,623 | \$4,088 | |
| Six Flags+ | \$29,577 | 6/11/09 | 5/3/10 | \$1,021 | \$953 | |
| American Media Operations, Inc. | \$1,818 | 11/17/10 | 12/22/10 | \$462 | \$412 | |
| Chemtura Corporation | \$3,816 | 3/18/09 | n/a | \$3,500 | \$2,541 | |
| Smurfit-Stone Container Corporation | \$1,984 | 1/26/09 | 6/30/10 | \$7,420 | \$5,883 | |
| Journal Register+ | \$3,966 | 2/21/09 | 8/7/09 | \$460 | \$365 | |
| Spansion Incorporated | \$676 | 3/1/09 | 5/10/10 | \$2,282 | \$1,222 | |
| Lear+ | \$3,031 | 7/7/09 | 11/9/09 | \$13,600 | \$9,740 | |
| Pliant+ | \$7,133 | 2/11/09 | 12/3/09 | \$1,127 | \$1,045 | |
| Lyondell Chemical Company | \$622 | 1/6/09 | 4/30/10 | \$50,706 | \$36,768 | |
| Abitibi Bowater | \$941 | 4/16/09 | 12/9/10 | \$6,800 | \$4,663 | |
| NTK Holdings (Nortek, Inc.) | \$932 | 10/21/09 | 12/17/09 | \$2,270 | \$1,808 | |
| Newark Group | \$1,530 | 6/9/10 | 8/16/10 | \$723 | \$712 | |
| Tarragon Corporation | \$1,134 | 1/12/09 | 7/6/10 | \$368 | · | |
| Cooper-Standard Holdings | \$716 | 8/3/09 | 5/27/10 | \$2,595 | \$2,157 | |
| Summary Statistics* | | | | | | |
| Median | \$3,047 | | | \$2,235 | \$1,808 | |
| Average | \$5,086 | | Klashiri, 1904 (M.1914 at 111 | \$5,683 | \$4,346 | |
| Borders | \$1,452 | 2/16/2011 | n/a | \$2,300 | \$1,500 | |

⁺ Pre-packaged or pre-arranged bankruptcy

^{*} Success Plan

[#] General Growth Properties and Cooper Standard Holdings are excluded from the average statistics

¹ Revenue reflects the annual sales of the corporation for the latest fiscal year end prior to the petition date

² Revenue reflects the annual sales of the corporation for the year in which the company emerged, where available. For companies still in Chapter 11, the most recent year's reported revenue is reflected. For companies that emerged in 2010 or emerged private, financial projections are reflected. See pages 12-13 for details

³ Nortel Networks revenue excludes operations in Europe, Middle East, & Africa (where employees were not considered for participation in the US court-approved plan)



Competitive Practice – Chapter 11 Comparator Group – Emergence/Most Recent Revenue

- On average, comparator companies saw a 18% decline in annual revenue, when revenue for the year of emergence is compared to revenue in the latest fiscal year-end prior to the petition date
- As a result, the maximum plan costs as a percentage of revenue increased overall
 - Median maximum plan costs as a percentage of annual revenue in the year of emergence for the comparator group are 0.47%
- Assuming Borders' projected emergence revenues of of \$1.5 billion, projected maximum plan costs are slightly above the market median, at 0.56%

| | | | Revenue (\$M) | | | % of | Revenue |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|--|---------------------|------------|--------|-------------|
| | Assets " | Before | Emergence / | % | Maximum " | Before | Emergence / |
| Company | (\$M) | Filing ¹ | Most Recent ² | Change ³ | Cost (\$M) | Filing | Most Recent |
| Companies Filed On or After Januar | y 1, 2009 | | | | | | |
| General Growth Properties | \$29,577 | \$3,400 | \$3,136 | -8% | \$70.80 | 2.08% | 2.26% |
| Almatis B.V | \$1,530 | \$400 | \$534 | 34% | \$4.30 | 1.08% | 0.80% |
| Extended Stay Inc | \$7,133 | \$1,000 | \$792 | -21% | \$8.80 | 0.88% | 1.119 |
| Orleans Homebuilders, Inc. | \$716 | \$330 | \$239 | -28% | \$2.38 | 0.72% | 1.00% |
| Reader's Digest Association, Inc.+ | \$3,966 | \$2,200 | \$2,121 | -4% | \$14.91 | 0.68% | 0.70% |
| Nortel Networks | \$9,000 | \$7,623 | \$4,088 | -46% | \$45.00 | 0.59% | 1.10% |
| Six Flags+ | \$3,031 | \$1,021 | \$953 | -7% | \$5.03 | 0.49% | 0.53% |
| American Media Operations, Inc. | \$941 | \$462 | \$412 | -11% | \$2.26 | 0.49% | 0.55% |
| Chemtura Corporation | \$3,064 | \$3,500 | \$2,541 | -27% | \$16.50 | 0.47% | 0.65% |
| Smurfit-Stone Container Corporation | \$7,387 | \$7,420 | \$5,883 | -21% | \$27.83 | 0.38% | 0.47% |
| Journal Register+ | \$932 | \$460 | \$365 | -21% | \$1.30 | 0.28% | 0.36% |
| Spansion Incorporated | \$3,816 | \$2,282 | \$1,222 | -46% | \$5.50 | 0.24% | 0.45% |
| Lear+ | \$6,873 | \$13,600 | \$9,740 | -28% | \$22.02 | 0.16% | 0.23% |
| Pliant+ | \$676 | \$1,127 | \$1,045 | -7% | \$1.58 | 0.14% | 0.15% |
| Lyondell Chemical Company | \$27,392 | \$50,706 | \$36,768 | -27% | \$60.00 | 0.12% | 0.169 |
| Abitibi Bowater | \$8,072 | \$6,800 | \$4,663 | -31% | \$6.00 | 0.09% | 0.13% |
| NTK Holdings (Nortek, Inc.) | \$1,984 | \$2,270 | \$1,808 | -20% | \$2.00 | 0.09% | 0.119 |
| Newark Group | \$622 | \$723 | \$712 | -2% | \$0.50 | 0.07% | 0.07% |
| Tarragon Corporation | \$1,134 | \$368 | - | - | \$0.17 | 0.04% | |
| Cooper-Standard Holdings | \$1,818 | \$2,595 | \$2,157 | -17% | \$1.04 | 0.04% | 0.05% |
| Summary Statistics | Median | \$2,235 | \$1,808 | -21% | \$5.26 | 0.33% | 0.479 |
| Juninary Jacustos | Average | \$5,683 | MANAGEMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF T | -18% | \$12.56 | 0.39% | 0.509 |
| Borders | \$1,452 | \$2,300 | \$1,500 | -35% | \$8,34 | 0.36% | 0.569 |

⁺ Pre-packaged or pre-arranged bankruptcy

Footnotes to the exhibit are on the following page

^{*} Success Plan

^{**} For companies still in Chapter 11, the most recent fiscal year's revenue is used. % Change is calculated as the change in average revenues for companies with revenue information available in both periods

[#] General Growth Properties and Cooper-Standard Holdings are excluded from the average statistics



Competitive Practice - Chapter 11 Companies - Emergence/Most Recent Revenue

Analysis Footnotes:

- 1) Revenue reflects the annual sales of the corporation for the latest fiscal year end prior to the petition date
- 2) Revenue reflects the annual sales of the corporation for the year in which the company emerged, where available. For companies still in Chapter 11, the most recent reported revenue is reflected. For companies that emerged private, financial projections are reflected
- 3) The percentage change calculates the difference in revenues between the revenue in the latest fiscal year end prior to petition date and in the year of emergence (or most recently reported revenues).
- 4) General Growth's supplemental incentive plan is uncapped and payouts can be paid above the highest performance level. As such, the value understates the actual maximum plan cost. Due to size, General Growth is excluded from the *average* statistics
- 5) Orleans Homebuilders has just recently emerged; financial projections for FY2011 revenues reflected
- 6) Reader's Digest emerged from bankruptcy as a private company, financial projections for FY2010 revenues disclosed
- 7) The maximum value of the cash and equity bonus to be awarded to Nortel's top executives upon emergence was \$103.50M; this value has not yet been earned
- 8) American Media Operations emerged from bankruptcy as a private company; financial projections for FY2010 revenues reflected
- 9) Smurfit-Stone has just recently emerged; financial projections for FY2010 revenues reflected
- 10) Journal Register emerged from bankruptcy as a private company, financial projections for FY2009 are disclosed
- 11) Pliant was acquired by Berry Plastics on December 3, 2009, financial projections for FY2009 disclosed
- 12) Lyondell Chemical emerged from bankruptcy as a private company, financial projections for FY2010 revenue disclosed
- 13) Abitibi Bowater has recently emerged, financial projections for FY2010 revenue disclosed
- 14) Newark Group emerged from bankruptcy as a private company, financial projects for FY2010 revenue disclosed
- 15) Revenue reflects the annual sales of the corporation for the latest fiscal year end prior to the petition date
- 16) Tarragon Corporation emerged from bankruptcy as a private company, financials are not disclosed
- 17) Cooper Standard emerged from bankruptcy as a private company, financial projections for FY2010 revenues reflected. Due to size, Cooper Standard is excluded from the *average* statistics

Appendix B: Non-insider Retention Bonus Plans



Non-insider Retention Bonus Plans Companies filing after January 1, 2009

| Company | Date of Filing | Date of Emergence | Number of Eligible Employees | Payment Schedule | Payment Amounts | Maximum Total Cost | Average Payment per Employee |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Lyondell Chemical Company | 1/6/2009 | 4/30/2010 | 350 | Amounts and timing of payments to be determined and approved in the future Retention period may range from 1-3 years | Up to 30% of salary over 1-3 years | \$15M ⁽¹⁾ | \$43,000 |
| Nortel Networks | 1/14/2009 | n/a | 880 | 25% on earlier of June 30, 2009 or 1st Milestone 25% on earlier of December 31, 2009 or 2nd Milestone 50% on earlier of June 30, 2010 or 3rd Milestone⁽²⁾ | • 13 – 41% of salary | \$22M | \$25,000 |
| Spansion | 3/1/2009 | 5/10/2010 | 101 ⁽³⁾ | 33% on October 1, 200967% on April 1, 2010 | ■ 5% – 30% of salary | \$2.7M ⁽⁴⁾ | \$27,000 |
| Crusader Energy Group | 3/30/2009 | n/a | 27 | 50% on earlier of (i) September 30, 2009, (ii) Closing Date of sale of substantially all of Debtors' assets, or (iii) Effective Date of confirmation of Plan of Reorganization or liquidation 50% on earlier of (i) Closing date and (ii) Effective Date⁽⁵⁾ | ■ 50% of salary | \$1.2M | \$44,000 |

⁽¹⁾ Up to \$10 Million to be allocated immediately

⁽²⁾ The three milestones are as follows:

^{1.} The achievement of North American objectives of Nortel's cost reduction plan

^{2.} The achievement of certain parameters, which have been disclosed to the Monitor, Committee and advisors to the Bondholder Group, that will result in a leaner and more focused organization

^{3.} The later of the confirmation of the Debtors' plan of reorganization or the confirmation by the Canadian Court of a plan or plans of restructuring and/or arrangement in Canada

⁽³⁾ Includes an undisclosed number of employees under a smaller Retention Bonus Plan

⁽⁴⁾ Includes \$200,000 from a smaller Retention Bonus Plan

⁽⁵⁾ If Closing Date or Effective Date occurs before September 30, 2009, each Qualified Employee will receive 100% of the retention payment on the earlier of the Closing Date and the Effective Date. Note: Actual Effective Date of confirmation of Plan of Reorganization was December 16, 2009

Appendix C: Market Compensation Data



Mercer developed a peer group from the population of specialty retailers, based on revenue size and scope (e.g. non-luxury, non-internet-only businesses)

| Ticker | Company | Sales | Assets | Sub-Industry | Business Description |
|--------|---------------------------|---------|---------|----------------------------------|---|
| BKS | Barnes & Noble | \$5,810 | \$3,706 | Specialty Stores | Barnes & Noble, Inc., together with its subsidiaries, operates as a bookseller in the United States. The company provides access to books, magazines, newspapers, and other content across its multi-channel distribution platform. |
| PETM | PetSmart, Inc. | \$5,336 | \$2,462 | Specialty Stores | PetSmart, Inc., together with its subsidiaries, operates as a specialty retailer of products, services, and solutions for pets in North America. |
| FL | Foot Locker Inc | \$4,854 | \$2,816 | Apparel Retail | Foot Locker, Inc. operates as a retailer of athletic footwear and apparel. The company operates in two segments, Athletic Stores and Direct-to-Customers. |
| DKS | Dicks Sporting Goods Inc | \$4,413 | \$2,245 | Specialty Stores | Dick's Sporting Goods, Inc. operates as a sporting goods retailer in the United States. |
| RSH | Radioshack Corp | \$4,276 | \$2,429 | Computer & Electronics Retail | RadioShack Corporation engages in the retail sale of consumer electronic goods and services through its RadioShack store chain and non-RadioShack branded kiosk operations. |
| WSM | Williams-Sonoma Inc | \$3,103 | \$2,079 | Homefurnishing Retail | Williams-Sonoma, Inc. operates as a specialty retailer of home products. |
| SBH | Sally Beauty Holdings Inc | \$2,637 | \$1,589 | Specialty Stores | Sally Beauty Holdings, Inc., together with its subsidiaries, engages in the distribution and retail of professional beauty supplies. The company operates in two segments, Sally Beauty Supply and Beauty Systems Group. |
| CAB | Cabelas Inc | \$2,632 | \$2,492 | Specialty Stores | Cabela's Incorporated, together with its subsidiaries, operates as a specialty retailer and direct marketer of hunting, fishing, camping, and related outdoor merchandise. |
| CHRS | Charming Shoppes Inc | \$2,065 | \$1,162 | Apparel Retail | Charming Shoppes, Inc. operates as a specialty apparel retailer primarily for women in the United State The company operates retail stores and related e-commerce Web sites under the LANE BRYANT, LAN BRYANT OUTLET, FASHION BUG, and CATHERINES PLUS. |
| JAS | Jo-Ann Stores Inc | \$1,991 | \$1,000 | Specialty Stores | Jo-Ann Stores, Inc. operates as a specialty retailer of fabrics and crafts in the United States. |
| URBN | Urban Outfitters Inc | \$1,938 | \$1,636 | Apparel Retail | Urban Outfitters, Inc. operates lifestyle specialty retail stores under the Urban Outfitters, Anthropologie, Free People, and Terrain brands; and engages in the apparel wholesale business under the Free People and Leifsdottir brands. |
| MW | Mens Wearhouse Inc | \$1,910 | \$1,232 | Apparel Retail | The Men's Wearhouse, Inc. operates as a specialty retailer of men's suits in the United States and Canada. The company operates its retail apparel stores under Men's Wearhouse, Men's Wearhouse at Tux, and K&G brand names in 47 states in the U.S. |
| ANN | Ann Taylor Stores Corp | \$1,829 | \$902 | Apparel Retail | AnnTaylor Stores Corporation, through its subsidiaries, operates as a specialty retailer of women's apparel, shoes, and accessories primarily in the United States. |
| CHS | Chicos Fas Inc | \$1,713 | \$1,319 | Apparel Retail | Chico's FAS, Inc., together with its subsidiaries, operates as a specialty retailer of casual-to-dressy clothing, intimates, complementary accessories, and other non-clothing gift items. |
| GCO | Genesco Inc | \$1,574 | \$864 | Apparel Retail | Genesco Inc. engages in the retail of branded footwear, licensed and branded headwear, and licensed sports apparel and accessories; and the wholesale of branded footwear primarily in the United States. |
| | n | = 15 | | | |
| | n | 60.004 | | | |

| BGP | Borders Group Inc | \$2,824 | \$1,425 |
|-----|-------------------|----------------|---------|
| | 75th Percentile | \$4,344 | \$2,446 |
| | 50th Percentile | \$2,632 | \$1,636 |
| | 25th Percentile | \$1,924 | \$1,197 |

Note: Financials are Fiscal 2009



Compensation Benchmarking Methodology

Borders' compensation was compared to the following market data:

| Compensation Element | Borders | Proxy Peer Group ^{1,2} | Survey ² | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Base Salary | 2010 base salary | Most recent base salary | Most recent base salary | | |
| Target Annual Incentive | none | Target percentage where available, otherwise 3-year average actual bonus as a % of base salary, applied to most recent base salary | Most recent target annual incentive | | |
| Target Total Cash Compensation (TCC) | Base salary | Base salary + target annual incentive | Base salary + target annual incentive | | |
| Equity and Long-Term Incentives (LTI) | KEIP Bonus | Most recent 3-year average grant value ³ | Most recent year's grant value ³ | | |
| Total Direct Compensation (TDC) | Base salary + KEIP Bonus | TCC + LTI | TCC + LTI | | |

¹ Proxy data will be the primary reference point for CEO, CFO, CMO and EVP Store Operations positions

² Data are aged to February 15, 2011 by 2.8% for 2010 and 3.0% for 2011, based on industry-specific data for executive positions from Mercer's 2010/2011 U.S. Compensation Planning Survey (median 2010 actual and 2011 expected salary increases)

³ Stock options are valued using the Black-Scholes pricing model; all other forms of equity are valued at face value or target payout level on date of grant. Cash LTI Plans are valued at target payout level



Proxy Data – Chief Executive OfficerMike Edwards – Chief Executive Officer

All values in USD '000s (except where noted)

| | | | | 2009 | 2009 Ta | rget STI | Total | 3-yr | Avg. LTI | Total |
|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Company | Revenue (MM USD) | Incumbent | Title | Base Salary | As a % of Base | As a USD Amount | Cash Comp | As a % of Base | As a USD Amount | Direct Comp |
| RadioShack | 4,276 | Day, J. | Chair. & CEO | 1,004 | 125% | 1,250 | 2,254 | 592% | 5,940 | 8,194 |
| Villiams-Sonoma | 3,103 | 1,2 Lester, W. | Chairman & CEO | 975 | 100% | 975 | 1,950 | 326% | 3,177 | 5,127 |
| oot Locker | 4,854 | ³ Hicks, K. | Chairman, President, and CEO | 1,100 | 125% | 1,375 | 2,475 | 706% | 7,764 | 10,239 |
| Dick's Sporting Goods | 4,413 | Stack, E. | Chair. & CEO | 700 | 200% | 1,400 | 2,100 | 405% | 2,837 | 4,937 |
| o Ann Stores | 1,991 | ⁴ Webb, D. | Chairman, President, CEO | 875 | 100% | 875 | 1,750 | 276% | 2,416 | 4,166 |
| fen's Wearhouse | 1,910 | Zimmer, G. | Chair & CEO | 956 | 6% | 53 | [‡] 1,009 | 7% | 69 | 1,078 |
| etSmart, Inc. | 5,336 | Moran, R. | Pres. & CEO | 903 | 75% | 678 | 1,581 | 211% | 1,903 | 3,484 |
| Barnes & Noble | 5,810 | ⁵ Lynch, Jr., W. | Chief Executive Officer | 900 | 150% | 1,350 | 2,250 | 862% | 7,757 | 10,007 |
| harming Shoppes | 2,065 | Fogarty, J. | President & CEO | 1,000 | 150% | 1,500 | 2,500 | 41% | 408 | 2,908 |
| nnTaylor Stores | 1,829 | ⁶ Krill, K. | Pres., CEO & Dir. | 1,200 | 110% | 1,320 | 2,520 | 448% | 5,372 | 7,892 |
| Jrban Outfitters Inc | 1,938 | ⁷ Senk, G. | CEO | 1,000 | 200% | 2,000 | 3,000 | 200% | 2,002 | 5,002 |
| Sally Beauty Holdings | 2,637 | Winterhalter, G. | President and CEO | 885 | 100% | 885 | 1,770 | 246% | 2,180 | 3,950 |
| Cabela's | 2,632 | Millner, T. | Pres & CEO | 800 | 100% | 800 | 1,600 | 141% | 1,125 | 2,725 |
| Senesco Inc | 1,574 | Dennis, R. | President & CEO | 779 | 80% | 623 | 1,401 | 181% | 1,411 | 2,812 |
| Chico's FAS | 1,713 | ⁸ Dyer, D. | Pres. & CEO | 950 | 100% | 950 | 1,900 | 97% | 919 | 2,819 |
| Analysis Summary Statisti | ics | | | | | | | | | |
| 5th Percentile | 4,344 | | | 1,027 | 138% | 1,399 | 2,428 | 426% | 4,390 | 6,685 |
| i0th Percentile | 2,632 | | | 976 | 100% | 1,001 | 2,003 | 246% | 2,239 | 4,279 |
| 25th Percentile | 1,924 | | | 904 | 100% | 860 | 1,720 | 161% | 1,302 | 2,941 |
| Average | 3,072 | | | 960 | 115% | 1,098 | 2,058 | 316% | 3,100 | 5,158 |
| Borders Group, Inc. | 2,300 | Edwards, Mike | CEO | 750 | | | | | Target TDC Max TDC | |

(‡) Bonus and total cash compensation reflect 3-yr avg. bonus

Notes

- 2010 Data are reflected for Barnes & Noble and Sally Beauty Holdings in exhibit
- 1 Mr. Lester will retire as Chairman and CEO and as a member of our Board on May 26,2010. Mr. Lester will continue to provide consulting and advisory services following his retirement.
- ² Award made in conjuction with its review of fiscal 2009 performance and Mr. Lester's contribution to the company's performance, and as a part of its consideration of executive equity grants. The award will vest upon his retirement which is defined in the proxy as May 26,2010.
- 3 Did not participate in 2009 Annual Bonus Plan, but was entitled to an annual bonus calculated in the same manner as the Plan, with a guaranteed bonus equal to target
- ⁴ Pursuant to the employment agreement, Mr. Webb received an additional grant of restricted shares with a grant date value of \$3.2 million on March 16, 2009.
- ⁵ Mr. Lynch became entitled to a \$1,000,000 signing bonus upon entering into such employment agreement with the Company.
- ⁶ During fiscal year 2009, none of our Named Executive Officers received salary increases and will not in 2010.
- ⁷ One-third of the total number of performance stock units are eligible to vest on each of January 31, 2015, January 31, 2016, and January 31, 2017, except that additional performance stock units may vest on January 31, 2017. Performance measures beginning in Fiscal 2015.
- ⁸ 1 year perf period + 2 years time based vesting



Proxy Data – Chief Financial Officer Scott Henry – EVP Chief Financial Officer BGI

All values in USD '000s (except where noted)

| | | | | 2009 | 2009 Ta | rget STI | Total | 3-yr A | vg. LTI | Total |
|--|--------------|---|--|--------|-----------|----------|------------------|-----------|------------|--------|
| | Revenue | | | Base | As a % of | As a USD | | As a % of | As a USD | Direct |
| Company | (MM USD) | Incumbent | Title | Salary | Base | Amount | Cash Comp | Base | Amount | Comp |
| RadioShack | 4,276 | Gooch, J. | EVP & CFO | 595 | 93% | 555 | 1,150 | 397% | 2,358 | 3,508 |
| Williams-Sonoma | 3,103 | ¹ McCollam, S. | EVP, CFO & COO | 725 | 50% | 363 | 1,088 | 143% | 1,038 | 2,125 |
| Foot Locker | 4,854 | McHugh, R. | SVP & CFO | 575 | 75% | 431 | 1,006 | 158% | 906 | 1,912 |
| PetSmart, Inc. | 5,336 | Molloy, L. | SVP, CFO | 424 | 75% | 318 | 743 | 188% | 798 | 1,541 |
| Barnes & Noble | 5,810 | Lombardi, J. | CFO | 750 | 150% | 1,125 | 1,875 | 324% | 2,427 | 4,302 |
| Dick's Sporting Goods | 4,413 | Kullman, T. | EVP Finance&Admin. & CFO | 505 | 75% | 378 | 883 | 166% | 838 | 1,721 |
| Charming Shoppes | 2,065 | Specter, E. | EVP, CFO | 500 | 50% | 250 | 750 | 90% | 451 | 1,201 |
| Jo Ann Stores | 1,991 | Kerr, J. | EVP, CFO | 360 | 50% | 180 | 540 | 169% | 609 | 1,149 |
| AnnTaylor Stores | 1,829 | ² Nicholson, M. | EVP & CFO | 600 | 60% | 360 | 960 | 150% | 901 | 1,861 |
| Men's Wearhouse | 1,910 | Davis, N. | EVP CFO Treasurer | 399 | 36% | 145 | [‡] 543 | 21% | 85 | 628 |
| Jrban Outfitters Inc | 1,938 | Kyees, J. | CFO | 440 | 60% | 264 | 704 | | | - 704 |
| Sally Beauty Holdings | 2,637 | Flaherty, M. | SVP&CFO | 396 | 60% | 238 | 634 | 158% | 625 | 1,260 |
| Cabela's | 2,632 | Castner, R. | VP,CFO& Chair of World's Foremost Bank | 400 | 77% | 308 | 708 | 67% | 270 | 978 |
| Genesco Inc | 1,574 | Gulmi, J. | SVP, Finance, CFO & Treas. | 409 | 60% | 245 | 654 | 101% | 411 | 1,065 |
| Chico's FAS | 1,713 | Kleeberger, K. | EVP Finance, CFO & Treasurer | 550 | 80% | 440 | 990 | 45% | 247 | 1,237 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Analysis Summary Statist 75th Percentile | ucs 4,344 | | | 601 | 76% | 416 | 1,025 | 168% | 929 | 1,938 |
| 50th Percentile | 2,632 | | | 514 | 60% | 327 | 770 | 154% | 731 | 1,936 |
| 25th Percentile | 1,924 | | | 415 | 55% | 254 | 698 | 93% | 432 | 1,137 |
| Average | 3,072 | *************************************** | | 522 | 70% | 383 | 906 | 155% | 878 | 1,725 |
| Borders Group, Inc. | 2,300 | Henry, Scott | EVP CFO BGI | 600 | | | | | Target TDC | 1,320 |

(‡) Bonus and total cash compensation reflect 3-yr avg. bonus

Notes

²⁰¹⁰ Data are reflected for Barnes & Noble and Sally Beauty Holdings in exhibit

¹ Empl. Agreement base salary reflect 2009 salary decisions.

² During fiscal year 2009, none of our Named Executive Officers received salary increases and will not in 2010.



Proxy Data – Chief Merchandise OfficerMichele Cloutier – EVP CMO

All values in USD '000s (except where noted)

| | | | | 2009 | 2009 Ta | rget STI | Total | 3-yr A | vg. LTI | Total |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|----------------|
| Company | Revenue (MM USD) | Incumbent | Title | Base Salary | As a % of Base | As a USD Amount | Cash Comp | | As a USD Amount | Direct Comp |
| PetSmart, Inc. | 5,336 | Miller, M. | SVP and CMO | 319 | 50% | 159 | 478 | 294% | 937 | 1,415 |
| Dick's Sporting Goods | 4,413 | Manto, G. | EVP & Ch.Merch.Off. | 639 | 84% | 536 | [‡] 1,175 | 167% | 1,065 | 2,240 |
| Sally Beauty Holdings | 2,637 | Lowery, B. | SVP and General Merchandise Manager, Beauty System | 352 | 60% | 211 | 563 | 126% | 445 | 1,008 |
| RadioShack | 4,276 | 1 Whitsett, P. | EVP, Chief Merchandising Officer | 503 | 93% | 469 | 972 | 292% | 1,468 | 2,440 |
| PetSmart, Inc. | 5,336 | O'Leary, J. | SVP, Merchandising & Supply Chain | 461 | 75% | 346 | 806 | 175% | 806 | 1,612 |
| Cabela's | 2,632 | ² Snyder, P. | SVP of Merchandising & Chief Mktg | 475 | 80% | 380 | 855 | 113% | 537 | 1,392 |
| Analysis Summary Statistic | s | | | | | | | | | |
| 75th Percentile | 5,106 | | | 509 | 83% | 459 | 968 | 263% | 1,061 | 2,139 |
| 50th Percentile | 4,344 | | | 481 | 78% | 373 | 853 | 171% | 895 | 1,555 |
| 25th Percentile | 3,046 | | | 389 | 64% | 251 | 641 | 136% | 620 | 1,435 |
| Average | 4,105 | | | 471 | 74% | 360 | 830 | 194% | 900 | 1,730 |

(‡) Bonus and total cash compensation reflect 3-yr avg. bonus

Notes

²⁰¹⁰ Data are reflected for Sally Beauty Holdings in exhibit

¹ Mr. Whitsett ceased being a RadioShack employee on September 18, 2009.

² Patrick A. Snyder has been EVP & Chief Marketing Officer since January 2010.

³ As James Zimmer elected to forego a significant portion of his base salary and receive a stock option grant equal to such value instead, he has been excluded from the summary statistics



Proxy and Survey Data – Top Store Operations James M Frering – EVP Store Operations

Proxy Data – Chief Operating Officer – Weighted 50%

All values in USD '000s (except where noted)

| | | | | 2009 | 2009 Ta | rget STI | Total | 3-yr A | vg. LTI | Total |
|--------------------------|----------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------|-----------|----------|------------------|--|------------|--------|
| | Revenue | | | Base | As a % of | As a USD | | Maria de la companya | As a USD | Direct |
| Company | (MM USD) | Incumbent | Title | Salary | Base | Amount | Cash Comp | Base | Amount | Comp |
| Dick's Sporting Goods | 4,413 | Schmidt, J. | Pres & COO | 675 | 75% | 506 | 1,181 | 137% | 924 | 2,105 |
| Charming Shoppes | 2,065 | Baron, J. | EVP, COO | 532 | 50% | 266 | 798 | 71% | 379 | 1,177 |
| Jo Ann Stores | 1,991 | ¹ Smith, T. | Chief Operating Officer | 575 | 75% | 431 | 1,006 | 137% | 788 | 1,795 |
| Men's Wearhouse | 1,910 | Ewert, D. | Pres COO | 499 | 51% | 256 | [‡] 755 | 240% | 1,199 | 1,953 |
| Chico's FAS | 1,713 | ² Jones, J. | EVP, COO | 518 | 80% | 415 | 933 | 51% | 264 | 1,197 |
| RadioShack | 4,276 | Bevin, B. | EVP, Store Ops | 502 | 93% | 469 | 971 | 311% | 1,562 | 2,533 |
| Jo Ann Stores | 1,991 | Haverkost, K. | EVP, Store Operations | 400 | 50% | 200 | 600 | 128% | 512 | 1,112 |
| Analysis Summary Statist | ics | | | | | | | | | |
| 75th Percentile | 3,170 | | | 569 | 78% | 462 | 1,015 | 189% | 1,090 | 2,084 |
| 50th Percentile | 1,991 | | | 532 | 75% | 426 | 958 | 137% | 810 | 1,843 |
| 25th Percentile | 1,950 | | | 514 | 51% | 268 | 797 | 100% | 457 | 1,219 |
| Average | 2,623 | | | 543 | 68% | 373 | 916 | 154% | 826 | 1,742 |
| Borders Group, Inc. | 2,300 | Frering, James M | EVP Store Operations | 350 | | | | | Target TDC | 770 |

- Survey Data Top Operations Executive Weighted 50%
 - 10% premium for scope of responsibility

| E 25th %ile | Base Salar 50th %ile | y 75th %ile | 25th %ile | Farget TC0 50th %ile | 75th %ile | 25th %ile | arget TD 50th %ile | 2 75th %ile |
|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|----------------|
| \$249 | \$298 | \$397 | \$346 | \$423 | \$593 | \$465 | \$651 | \$1,069 |

- Job Description: Top position responsible for all store operations within a unique brand or business with full profit and loss responsibility. The responsibilities may include franchised operations. Develops operational plans and policies. Assists with long-range business planning and strategy. Ensures the successful implementation of staff policies or programs, but does not have staff or buying responsibility. Typically reports to a brand or business executive.



Survey Data

■ Rosalind Thompson – SVP Human Resources

- Position Match: Top Human Resources Executive

| | inc | :um; | oeni | : Da | <i>ta</i> | |
|-------------|-----|------|-------------|-------|-----------|-----|
| Bas Sala | | | 经被数据 | 10000 | | 200 |
| | 5 | | i i | | | |

| Survey Data | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Base Salary 25th %ile 50th %ile 75th %ile 25th % | Target TCC | Target TDC | | | | |
| 25th %ile 50th %ile 75th %ile 25th % | %ile 50th %ile 75th %ile | 25th %ile 50th %ile 75th %ile | | | | |
| \$251 \$302 \$373 \$38 | | | | | | |
| \$251 \$30Z \$373 \$30 | 4 9410 9380 | J403 J020 J010 | | | | |

 Job Description: Top position responsible for company policies in employment, employee relations, compensation and benefits, orientation and training, safety and health, and employee services. This is typically a single incumbent position and may report to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), or Chief Administrative Officer (CAO).

MERCER