

TIMELY OBJECTIONS TO THE DIP MOTION

Objecting Parties	Nature of Objection	Nature of Resolution (if any)	Basis for the Objections to be Overruled
Lewisville Independent School District (Texas) [Docket No. 292]	Treatment of ad valorem taxes	Resolved. Language has been added to the Final Order to clarify that ad valorem taxes will not be primed. See Final Order, footnote 3.	n/a
Burleson ISD, City of Burleson, city of Colleyville, Grapevine-Colleyville ISD, City of Grapevine, Clear Creek ISD, Woodlands Metro MUD, Woodlands RUD #1, and Baybrook MUD #1 (Texas) [Docket No. 290]	Same as above	Same as above	n/a
Bell County and County of Denton (Texas) [Docket No. 161]	Same as above	Same as above	n/a
Dallas/Fort Worth International Board [Docket No. 303]	(i) Objection to the extent certain surety bonds are deemed DIP Collateral (ii) Objection to DIP Agents of DIP Lenders receiving a security interest in avoidance actions	(i) See next column (ii) See next column	(i) The DIP Agents and the Debtors acknowledge that the surety bonds described in the objection are not DIP Collateral. (ii) The DIP Agents required that such interests in avoidance actions be a part of the DIP Collateral. In exercising their business judgment, the Debtors granted these liens. However, the DIP Agents have recently advised the Debtors that they will relinquish their lien upon avoidance actions recoverable under the provisions of Chapter 5 of the Bankruptcy Code other than recoveries under sections 506 and 549.
Verizon Communications, Inc. [Docket No. 319]	Clarification that certain equipment leased to the Debtors was part of DIP Collateral	Resolved. No changes to the Final Order needed.	n/a
The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors [Docket No. 340]	As described in the body of the response		As described in the body of the response