

SUMMARY OF OBJECTIONS

	NAME OF OBJECTING LANDLORD	DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	ASSERTED GROUNDS FOR OBJECTING	DEBTORS' RESPONSE
1	Mt. Kisco Associates L.P.	263	3/7/2011	Objecting Landlord alleges that Debtors' motion for extension is premature, because the chapter 11 case is still in its infancy.	The DIP Facility contains numerous milestones tied to the deadline to assume or reject leases. Because the Debtors must adhere to these deadlines, if the deadline to assume or reject the Unexpired Leases is not extended, they will be required to liquidate all inventory in all stores, because they are not prepared to assume all of the leases. Second, the complexity of these cases necessitates that the Debtors receive the statutory 90-day extension. The Debtors operate all of their stores pursuant to their leases, which are vital to their business and, thus, a successful reorganization. Without the 90-day extension, the Debtors must analyze operations, negotiate with landlords and develop a plan for these critical assets within the initial 120-day period.
2	Toys Center, LLC	270	3/7/2011	Objecting Landlord alleges that Debtors have not made post-petition payments for the Stub Period Rent.	The Debtors are going to pay the Stub Period Rent on or before April 18, 2011.
3	Faber Bros., Inc.	289	3/7/2011	Objecting Landlord alleges that Debtors have not made post-petition payments for March 2011 rent when due.	As of the date of this Reply, the Debtors have paid or in the process of paying March 2011 rent.
4	First Interstate Mentor Centers, L.P. and Ledgewood Equities, LLC	296	3/7/2011	Objecting Landlord alleges that Debtors' motion for extension is premature, because the chapter 11 case is still in its infancy. Objecting Landlord alleges that Debtors have not made post-petition payments for the Stub Period Rent. Objecting Landlord alleges that Debtors' motion for extension lacks "cause" as required by section 365(d)(4)(B).	The Debtors are going to pay the Stub Period Rent on or before April 18, 2011. The DIP Facility contains numerous milestones tied to the deadline to assume or reject leases. Because the Debtors must adhere to these deadlines, if the deadline to assume or reject the Unexpired Leases is not extended, they will be required to liquidate all inventory in all stores, because they are not prepared to assume all of the leases. Second, the complexity of these cases necessitates that the Debtors receive the statutory 90-day extension. The Debtors operate all of their stores pursuant to their leases, which are vital to their business and, thus, a successful reorganization. Without the 90-day extension, the Debtors must analyze operations, negotiate with landlords and develop a plan for these critical assets within the initial 120-day period.

	NAME OF OBJECTING LANDLORD	DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	ASSERTED GROUNDS FOR OBJECTING	DEBTORS' RESPONSE
5	The Macerich Company, RREEF Management Company, Related Urban Management, Cousins Properties, Incorporated, Corning Companies, Passco Companies, LLC, AEW Capital Management, LP, Urban Retail Properties, Inc., and Steadfast Companies	297	3/8/2011	<p>Objecting Landlord asserts that Debtors' motion for extension should exclude closed and closing store locations.</p> <p>Objecting Landlord alleges that Debtors have not made post-petition payments for the Stub Period Rent and March 2011 rent when due.</p> <p>Objecting Landlord asserts that Debtors' motion for extension should not extend beyond the confirmation date of any plan of reorganization.</p>	<p>The Debtors are going to pay the Stub Period Rent on or before April 18, 2011.</p> <p>The Debtors are withdrawing the relief sought in the Motion without prejudice solely as to the closing stores. However, the Debtors may need to extend the deadlines later in the process in a few cases if additional time is necessary to assume and assign such leases. H8</p>
6	Inland Southwest Management LLC, Inland American Retail Management LLC, Inland US Management LLC, Inland Commercial Property Management Corp.	298	3/8/2011	<p>Objecting Landlord alleges that Debtors have not made post-petition payments for March 2011 rent when due.</p> <p>Objecting Landlord alleges that Debtors' motion for extension lacks "cause" as required by section 365(d)(4)(B).</p>	<p>As of the date of this Reply, the Debtors have paid or in the process of paying March 2011 rent.</p> <p>The Debtors are going to pay the Stub Period Rent on or before April 18, 2011. The DIP Facility contains numerous milestones tied to the deadline to assume or reject leases. Because the Debtors must adhere to these deadlines, if the deadline to assume or reject the Unexpired Leases is not extended, they will be required to liquidate all inventory in all stores, because they are not prepared to assume all of the leases. Second, the complexity of these cases necessitates that the Debtors receive the statutory 90-day extension. The Debtors operate all of their stores pursuant to their leases, which are vital to their business and, thus, a successful reorganization. Without the 90-day extension, the Debtors must analyze operations, negotiate with landlords and develop a plan for these critical assets within the initial 120-day period.</p>
7	Coventry Retail, L.P.	304	3/8/2011	<p>Objecting Landlord asserts that Debtors do not have the right to assume or reject the Lease, because the Lease expired prior to the Petition Date.</p> <p>To the extent the Lease is property of the Debtors' estate, Objecting Landlord alleges that Debtors' motion for extension lacks "cause" as required by section 365(d)(4)(B).</p>	<p>The Debtors agree that the lease with Coventry Realty L.P. expired in January 31, 2011. The Objecting Landlord and the Debtors have agreed to a turn over date of the property and are in the process of entered into a joint stipulation.</p> <p>The Debtors are going to pay the Stub Period Rent on or before April 18, 2011. The DIP Facility contains numerous milestones tied to the deadline to assume or reject leases. Because the Debtors must adhere to these deadlines, if the deadline to assume or reject the Unexpired Leases is not extended, they will be required to liquidate all inventory in all stores, because they are not prepared to assume all of the leases. Second, the complexity of these cases necessitates that the Debtors receive the statutory 90-day extension. The Debtors operate all of their stores pursuant to their leases, which are vital to their business and, thus, a successful reorganization. Without the 90-day extension, the Debtors must analyze operations, negotiate with landlords and develop a plan for these critical assets within the initial 120-day period.</p>

	NAME OF OBJECTING LANDLORD	DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	ASSERTED GROUNDS FOR OBJECTING	DEBTORS' RESPONSE
8	Camino Real Limited Liability Company	306	3/8/2011	Objecting Landlord alleges that Debtors' motion for extension lacks "cause" as required by section 365(d)(4)(B), particularly with respect to Debtor's decision not to make its Goletta, California store, leased from Objecting Landlord, part of its "going forward" restructuring plan and the anticipated duration of the store closing sale.	The Debtors are going to pay the Stub Period Rent on or before April 18, 2011. The DIP Facility contains numerous milestones tied to the deadline to assume or reject leases. Because the Debtors must adhere to these deadlines, if the deadline to assume or reject the Unexpired Leases is not extended, they will be required to liquidate all inventory in all stores, because they are not prepared to assume all of the leases. Second, the complexity of these cases necessitates that the Debtors receive the statutory 90-day extension. The Debtors operate all of their stores pursuant to their leases, which are vital to their business and, thus, a successful reorganization. Without the 90-day extension, the Debtors must analyze operations, negotiate with landlords and develop a plan for these critical assets within the initial 120-day period.
9	Westfield, LLC	312	3/8/2011	Joinder to Docket No. 297.	The Debtors are going to pay the Stub Period Rent on or before April 18, 2011.
10	Simon Property Group, Inc.	314	3/8/2011	Objecting Landlord alleges that Debtors have not made post-petition payments for the Stub Period Rent.	The Debtors are going to pay the Stub Period Rent on or before April 18, 2011.
11	GGP Limited Partnership	345	3/10/2011	Objecting Landlord alleges that Debtors have not made post-petition payments for the Stub Period Rent.	The Debtors are going to pay the Stub Period Rent on or before April 18, 2011.
12	TigrisWoods, LLC	351	3/10/2011	Objecting Landlord alleges that Debtors have not made post-petition payments for the Stub Period Rent.	The Debtors are going to pay the Stub Period Rent on or before April 18, 2011.