Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 58

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

In re:

CITY OF CENTRAL FALLS RHODE ISLAND

Case No. 11-13105

Chapter 9 SECOND AMENDED DISCLOSURE

STATEMENT WITH RESPECT TO THE SECOND AMENDED PLAN FOR THE ADJUSTMENT OF DEBTS OF CITY OF CENTRAL FALLS

Dated: July 10, 2012

NOTE THAT THE EXHIBITS TO THE SECOND AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO SECOND AMENDED PLAN OF DEBT ADJUSTMENT ARE POSTED ON THE CITY'S WEBSITE AT: www.centralfallsri.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	SUMN	MARY	1		
I.	INTRODUCTION				
	A.	The Purpose of This Amended Disclosure Statement	8		
	B.	Summary of Entities Entitled to Vote on the Amended Plan and			
		of Certain Requirements Necessary for Confirmation of the	0		
	C.	Amended Plan Voting Procedures, Balloting Deadline, Confirmation Hearing, and			
	0.	Important Dates, Deadlines, and Procedures.			
	D.	Important Notices and Cautionary Statements	12		
	E.	Additional Information	14		
II.	BACK	GROUND INFORMATION	14		
III.	THE C	CITY'S LIABILITIES AND ASSETS	16		
	A.	Liabilities	16		
	B.	Assets	17		
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE AMENDED PLAN OF DEBT ADJUSTMENT				
	A.	Classification and Treatment of Claims	18		
	B.	Treatment of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases	35		
	C.	Means for Execution and Implementation of the Amended Plan	37		
	D.	Distributions	40		
	E.	Disputed Claims	43		
	F.	Continuing Jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court	44		
V.	CONF	FIRMATION AND EFFECTIVENESS OF THE AMENDED PLAN	44		
	A.	Voting and Right to Be Heard at Confirmation	45		
	B.	The "Best Interests" Test	46		
	C.	Feasibility	47		
	D.	Cram Down	47		
	E.	Conditions to the Occurrence of the Effective Date	48		
	F.	Effect of Confirmation	48		
VI.	CERT	AIN RISK FACTORS TO BE CONSIDERED	50		
VII.	CERTAIN FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES				
VIII.	RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION				

NOTE: THE BANKRUPTCY COURT HAS NOT YET APPROVED THIS SECOND AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 1125(B) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE FOR USE IN THE SOLICITATION OF THE SECOND AMENDED PLAN OF ADJUSTMENT OF DEBTS DESCRIBED HEREIN. THE FILING AND DISTRIBUTION OF THIS SECOND AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT THEREFORE IS NOT INTENDED AS, AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSTRUED TO BE, A SOLICITATION OF ACCEPTANCE OR REJECTION OF THE SECOND AMENDED PLAN OR ANY OTHER PLAN.

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 58

SUMMARY

The following pages summarize certain important information set forth elsewhere in this Disclosure Statement. Capitalized terms are defined in the text of this Second Amended Disclosure Statement and in the Second Amended Plan, and any capitalized term used but not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to it in the Amended Plan.

The Second Amended Disclosure Statement contains important information that is not summarized in this Summary and that may influence your decision regarding whether to accept or reject the Second Amended Plan or otherwise affect your rights. Please do not rely on this Summary standing alone, and please closely read this entire document and the accompanying materials.

The "Second Amended Plan For The Adjustment Of Debts Of City of Central Falls, Rhode Island, dated July 10, 2012 (amending plan filed on June 15, 2012)" (the "Amended Plan"), proposed by the City of Central Falls, Rhode Island (the "City"), if confirmed, will restructure the City's debt and its operations and put the City on a path towards fiscal stability. The Amended Plan also addresses and resolves (1) the City's obligations to current and former employees, including those relating to the collective bargaining agreements between the City and its labor organizations; (2) the City's obligation to pay pension benefits and to pay for other postemployment benefits to its current and future retirees; (3) claims of former employees who were not paid the full amount of accrued sick time and other benefits on their separation from the City; (4) Claims arising from tort and breach of contract lawsuits against the City; and (5) various other trade and vendor Claims. Importantly, the Amended Plan does not impair the City's bond obligations.

Payment to Holders of General Unsecured Claims and General Unsecured Convenience Claims will be paid a distribution from a pool totaling \$600,000 during the six-year plan horizon.

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 58

The General Unsecured Convenience Claims will be paid thirty-five percent (35%) of their Allowed Claim on or prior to June 30, 2013. Any balance remaining in FY 2013 claims pool will be added to the FY 2014 claims pool, and the General Unsecured Claims will share *pro rata* in the claims pool on or prior to the following payment dates: June 30, 2014, June 30, 2015, June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2017. However, in no event will the amount paid to any General Unsecured Creditor exceed forty-five percent (45%) of the Allowed Claim. In the event there are monies remaining in the General Unsecured Creditor's Pool on June 30, 2017, after distribution as stated herein, such monies will be deposited in the City's Capital Fund. While the City regrets that it cannot pay a higher percentage, the fact is that the City lacks the revenues to do so while maintaining an adequate level of municipal services such as the provision of fire and police protection and the repairing of the City's streets.

The Amended Plan does not alter the City's obligations towards funds that are restricted by grants, by federal law and by Rhode Island law; pursuant to the Tenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code that implement the Tenth Amendment, such funds cannot be impacted in the Bankruptcy Case.

The following chart summarizes key information, including the proposed treatment of the various classes of Claims:

<u>Debtor</u>	City of Central Falls, Rhode Island
<u>Bankruptcy Court</u>	United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Rhode Island, the Honorable Frank J. Bailey of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Massachusetts sitting by designation.
<u>Plan</u>	Amended Plan For The Adjustment Of Debts Of City of Central Falls, Rhode Island, Dated June 15, 2012.
<u>Purpose of the Amended</u> <u>Disclosure Statement</u>	To provide information of a kind, and in sufficient detail, that would enable a typical Holder of Claims in a class impaired under the Amended Plan to make an informed

Case 1:11-bk-13105	Doc 451	Filed 07/10/1	2 Enter	ed 07/10/12	14:33:00	Desc Main
Document Page 6 of 58						

judgment with respect to voting on the Amended Plan.

Balloting Information Ballots have been provided with this Amended Disclosure Statement to creditors known to have Claims that are impaired under the Amended Plan. Ballots must be returned to and received by the Ballot Tabulator by no later than 4:30 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, on August 3, 2012.

Ballot TabulatorTheodore Orson, Esq., Orson and Brusini Ltd., 144
Wayland Avenue, Providence, RI 02906. Fax No.
(401) 861-3103.
E-mail address: torson@orsonandbrusini.com

<u>Confirmation Hearing and</u> <u>Confirmation Objections</u> A hearing regarding confirmation of the Amended Plan will be held by the Bankruptcy Court on August 17, 2012, commencing at 10:30 a.m., Eastern Standard Time and continuing on August 20, 2012 if needed. Objections to confirmation must be filed and served by no later than August 3, 2012.

Treatment of ClaimsIf the Court confirms the Amended Plan and the Amended
Plan becomes effective, Claims will be treated as follows:

Administrative ClaimsPaid in full, except to the extent that the Holder of an
Administrative Claim agrees to a different treatment.

<u>Class 1 \$12,000,000 General</u> <u>Obligation School Bonds Claims</u> Unimpaired. The bonds are general obligations of the City, and all taxable property in the City is subject to *ad valorem* taxation without limitation as to rate or amount to pay the bonds and the interest thereon. Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 45-12-1, the bonds are secured by a Rhode Island statutory lien on property taxes and general fund revenues.

<u>Class 2 \$8,700,000 General</u> <u>Obligation Municipal Facility</u> <u>Bonds Claims.</u> Unimpaired. The bonds are general obligations of the City, and all taxable property in the City is subject to *ad valorem* taxation without limitation as to rate or amount to pay the bonds and the interest thereon. Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 45-12-1, the bonds are secured by a Rhode Island statutory lien on property taxes and general fund revenues.

<u>Class 3 \$1,300,000 General</u> <u>Obligation School Bonds Claims</u> Unimpaired. The bonds are general obligations of the City, and all taxable property in the City is subject to *ad valorem* taxation without limitation as to rate or amount to pay the bonds and the interest thereon. Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 45-12-1, the bonds are secured by a Rhode Island statutory lien on property taxes and general fund revenues.

<u>Class 4 \$750,000 General</u> <u>Obligation School Bonds</u> Unimpaired. The bonds are general obligations of the City, and all taxable property in the City is subject to ad *valorem* taxation without limitation as to rate or amount to pay the bonds and the interest thereon. Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 45-12-1, the bonds are secured by a Rhode Island statutory lien on property taxes and general fund revenues.

<u>Class 5 \$4,250,000 General</u> <u>Obligation School Bonds</u> Unimpaired. The bonds are general obligations of the City, and all taxable property in the City is subject to *ad valorem* taxation without limitation as to rate or amount to pay the bonds and the interest thereon. Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 45-12-1, the bonds are secured by a Rhode Island statutory lien on property taxes and general fund revenues.

<u>Class 6 April 10, 2009 Lease</u> <u>Claim</u> Impaired. On the Effective Date, the term of the Rescue Lease shall be extended by two (2) years, the annual principal payments shall be reduced by fifty percent (50%), and the annual interest rate shall be reduced by one percent (1%). The new payment schedule is set forth in *Exhibit Q* to the Amended Plan.

 $\frac{\text{Class 7 Retiree Health Insurance}}{\text{Claims}}$ Impaired. The Holders of these Claims will have their health care benefits modified in accordance with the restructured health benefits schedule set forth on *Exhibit C* to the Amended Plan, and will be required to pay twenty percent (20%) co-share premium payments. The Holders of these Claims are identified in *Exhibit D* to the Amended Plan.

Class 8 Retiree \$10,000 and
Under Pension ClaimsUnimpaired. The Holders of these Claims will not have
their annual pension benefits reduced under the newly-
designed Central Falls Pension Plan as set forth in *Exhibit*
E to the Amended Plan. The Holders of these Claims are
identified in **Exhibit F** to the Amended Plan.

Class 9 Retiree Reduced to
\$10,000 Pension ClaimsImpaired. The Holders of these Claims will have their
annual pension benefits reduced to \$10,000 under the
newly-designed Central Falls Pension Plan as set forth in
Exhibit E to the Amended Plan. The Holders of these

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 8 of 58

Claims are identified in **Exhibit G** to the Amended Plan.

<u>Class 10 Retiree 45% Pension</u> <u>Claims</u> Impaired. The Holders of these Claims will have their annual pension benefits reduced by fifty-five percent (55%) under the newly-designed Central Falls Pension Plan as set forth in *Exhibit E* to the Amended Plan. The Holders of these Claims are identified in **Exhibit H** to the Amended Plan.

<u>Class 11 Retiree Pension Claims</u> Impaired. The Holders of these Claims will have their annual pension benefits reduced by less than fifty-five percent (55%) in accordance with the formula as set forth under the newly-designed Central Falls Pension Plan as set forth in *Exhibit E* to the Amended Plan. The Holders of these Claims are identified in **Exhibit I** to the Amended Plan.

Class 12 Retiree Accidental
Disability Pension ClaimsImpaired.The Holders of these Claims will have their
accidental disability retirement benefits modified in
accordance with the restricted benefit schedule set forth in
Exhibit E to the Amended Plan. The Holders of these
Claims are identified in Exhibit J to the Amended Plan.

<u>Class 13 Employees Covered by</u> <u>the Collective Bargaining</u> <u>Agreement between the City and</u> <u>R.I. Council 94, AFSCME, AFL-CIO Local 1627 for November 23,</u> <u>2011 to June 30, 2016</u>

<u>Class 14 Employees Covered by</u> <u>the Collective Bargaining</u> <u>Agreement between the City and</u> <u>the Fraternal Order of Police,</u> <u>Lodge 2 for November 23, 2011 to</u> <u>June 30, 2016</u>

<u>Class 15 Employees Covered by</u> <u>the Collective Bargaining</u> <u>Agreement between the City and</u> <u>Local 1485, International</u> <u>Association of Fire Fighters,</u> <u>AFL-CIO for November 23, 2011</u> <u>to June 30, 2016</u>

<u>Class 16 General Unsecured</u> <u>Claims</u> Impaired. The Holders of these Claims have had their compensation, healthcare, and other benefits reduced under the Amended Plan. The Holders of these Claims are identified in **Exhibit K** to the Amended Plan.

Impaired. The Holders of these Claims have had their compensation, health benefits, retirement benefits and other benefits reduced under the Amended Plan. The Holders of these Claims are identified in **Exhibit L** to the Amended Plan.

Impaired. The Holders of these Claims have had their compensation, health benefits, retirement benefits and other benefits reduced under the Amended Plan. The Holders of these Claims are identified in **Exhibit M** to the Amended Plan.

Impaired. Holders of Allowed General Unsecured Claims will receive their *pro rata* share of the \$600,000 (after

payment of the full distribution to the Holders of Allowed Class 17 General Unsecured Convenience Claims) during the six-year Amended Plan duration from the General Unsecured Claims Pool after distributions are made to or reserves are created for the Holders of the Class 17 Convenience General Unsecured Claims. Because this class of Claims will include contract and lease rejection Claims which are currently unliquidated, it is impossible at this time to estimate the percentage recovery to Holders of these Claims. The recovery will not exceed forty-five percent (45%) of the amount of the Claim. The Holders of these Claims are identified in **Exhibit N** to the Amended Plan.

<u>Class 17 General Unsecured</u> <u>Convenience Claims of \$5,000 or</u> <u>less</u>	Impaired. The Holders of these Claims will receive thirty- five percent (35%) of the amount of their Allowed Claim on or prior to June 30, 2013 in a single lump sum payment. The Holders of these Claims are identified in Exhibit O to the Amended Plan.
<u>Class 18 Property Tax</u> <u>Overpayment Claims</u>	Unimpaired. The Holder of these Claims will have the overpayment of their property taxes credited to their fiscal year 2013 tax bills. The Holders of these Claims are identified in Exhibit P to the Amended Plan.
<u>Class 19 State of Rhode Island</u> <u>Claims</u>	Impaired. The Holder of this Claim will be paid in full but most of the payments will be paid after the term of the plan. A portion of the payments to the Holder of this Claim will be paid from any recovery of a Claim Recovery Trustee to be appointed to recover from any judgment against elected officials in the City.
Background Information :	See Executive Summary of Six Year Financial Projection filed herewith as <i>Exhibit 2</i> .
Questions:	Please contact the Debtor's counsel, Theodore Orson, Orson and Brusini Ltd., 144 Wayland Avenue, Providence, RI 02906 (torson@orsonandbrusini.com)

Any terms not defined in the Amended Disclosure Statement, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Amended Plan. To the extent that there is any inconsistency between the Amended Plan (including the Exhibits and any supplements to the Amended Plan) and the description in the Amended

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 10 of 58

Disclosure Statement, the terms of the Amended Plan (including the Exhibits to the Amended Plan) will govern.

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

The City filed a petition under Chapter 9 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "<u>Bankruptcy Code</u>") on August 1, 2011 (the <u>"Petition Date"</u>), which was designated Case Number 11-13105 (the "<u>Chapter 9 Case</u>") and is currently pending before the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Rhode Island (the "<u>Bankruptcy Court</u>").

The City is the proponent of the Amended Plan, a copy of which is attached to this Amended Disclosure Statement as *Exhibit 1*. By Order dated July ____, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court determined that this Amended Disclosure Statement contains "adequate information" within the meaning of section 1125 of the Bankruptcy Code and authorized the City to transmit it to Holders of Impaired Claims in connection with the solicitation of votes with respect to the Amended Plan.

The City relied, among other things, on the following documents and information in formulating its Amended Plan of Debt Adjustment, which are filed as Exhibits to this Amended Disclosure Statement.

<u>Exhibit 1</u>	Amended Plan of Debt Adjustment with Exhibits
Exhibit 2	Executive Summary of Six Year Financial Projection
Exhibit 3	Six Year Financial Projection
Exhibit 4	Actuarial Analysis and Viability Analysis for Restructured Central
	Falls Pension Plan
Exhibit 5	Explanation of Active Health Care Changes
<u>Exhibit 6</u>	Explanation of Retiree Health Care Changes
<u>Exhibit 7</u>	Outstanding Debt Service Schedules
<u>Exhibit 8</u>	Schedule of Pending Litigation
<u>Exhibit 9</u>	Amended Creditors List

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 11 of 58

- Exhibit 10 Memorandum of Agreement between the City and R.I. Council 94, AFSCME, AFL-CIO, Local 1627 dated November 23, 2011
- Exhibit 11 Memorandum of Agreement between the City and Fraternal Order of Police, Lodge 2 dated November 23, 2011
- Exhibit 12 Memorandum of Agreement between the City and Local 1485, International Association of Fire Fighters, AFL-CIO dated December 8, 2011

NOTE THAT THE EXHIBITS TO THE AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT ARE NOT ANNEXED HERETO BUT ARE POSTED ON THE CITY'S WEBSITE AT: www.centralfallsri.com.

In addition, copies of the past five years audited financial statements which were considered by the City in formulating its Amended Plan and projections will be made available for review on the City's website.

As described more fully elsewhere in this document, the City believes that the Amended Plan provides the greatest and earliest possible recoveries to Holders of Claims, that acceptance of the Amended Plan is in the best interests of all parties, and that any alternative restructuring or reorganization would result in delay, uncertainty, expense, litigation and, ultimately, smaller or no distributions to creditors. Accordingly, **the City urges that you cast your ballot in favor of the Amended Plan.**

A. <u>The Purpose of This Amended Disclosure Statement.</u>

The Bankruptcy Code generally requires that the proponent of an Amended Plan of adjustment prepare and file with the applicable bankruptcy court a "Disclosure Statement" that provides information of a kind, and in sufficient detail, as would enable a typical Holder of Claims in a class impaired under that Amended Plan to make an informed judgment with respect to the Amended Plan. This Amended Disclosure Statement provides such information. *Parties in interest should read this Amended Disclosure Statement, the Amended Plan, and all of the exhibits accompanying such documents in their entirety in order to ascertain:*

- 1. How the Amended Plan will affect their Claims against the City;
- 2. Their rights with respect to voting for or against the Amended Plan;
- 3. Their rights with respect to objecting to confirmation of the Amended Plan; and
- 4. How and when to cast a ballot with respect to the Amended Plan.

This Amended Disclosure Statement, however, cannot and does not provide creditors with legal or other advice or inform such parties of all aspects of their rights. Claimants are urged and advised to consult with their attorneys and/or financial advisors to obtain specific and/or personalized advice regarding how the Amended Plan will affect them and regarding their best course of action with respect to the Amended Plan.

This Amended Disclosure Statement has been prepared in good faith and in compliance with applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. Based upon information currently available, the City believes that the information contained in this Amended Disclosure Statement is correct as of the date of its filing. The Amended Disclosure Statement, however, does not and will not reflect events that occur after June 15, 2012 (and, where indicated, specified earlier dates), and the City assumes no duty and presently does not intend to prepare or distribute any amendments or supplements to reflect such events.

B. <u>Summary of Entities Entitled to Vote on the Amended Plan and of Certain</u> <u>Requirements Necessary for Confirmation of the Amended Plan.</u>

Holders of Allowed Claims in the following Classes are entitled to vote on the Amended Plan because the Claims in each such Class are "impaired" under the Amended Plan within the meaning of Section 1124 of the Bankruptcy Code:

> Class 6 April 10, 2009 Lease Claim Class 7 Retiree Health Insurance Claims Class 9 Retiree Reduced to \$10,000 Pension Claims Class 10 Retiree 45% Pension Claims Class 11 Retiree Pension Claims Class 12 Retiree Accidental Disability Pension Claims Class 13 <u>Current Employees Covered by the Collective Bargaining Agreement</u> between the City and R.I. Council 94, AFSCME, AFL-CIO for November 23,

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 13 of 58

2011 to June 30, 2016

Class 14 <u>Current Employees Covered by the Collective Bargaining Agreement</u> between the City and Fraternal Order of Police, Lodge 2 for November 23, 2011 to June 30, 2016

Class 15 <u>Current Employees Covered by the Collective Bargaining Agreement</u> <u>between the City and Local 1485</u>, <u>International Association of Fire Fighters</u>, AFL-CIO for November 23, 2011 to June 30, 2016

Class 16 General Unsecured Claims

Class 17 General Unsecured Convenience Claims

Class 19 State of Rhode Island Claim

The Bankruptcy Court may confirm the Amended Plan only if at least one Class of impaired Claims has voted to accept the Amended Plan (without counting the votes of any insiders whose Claims are classified within that Class) and if certain statutory requirements are met as to both non-consenting members within a consenting Class and as to any dissenting Classes. A Class of Claims has accepted the Amended Plan only when at least one-half in number <u>and</u> at least two-thirds in amount of the Allowed Claims actually voting in that Class vote in favor of the Amended Plan.

In the event of a rejection of the Amended Plan by any of the Voting Classes, the City will request that the Bankruptcy Court confirm the Amended Plan in accordance with those portions of section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code that are applicable to the Chapter 9 Case, which provisions permit confirmation by a process known as "cram down" notwithstanding such rejection if the Bankruptcy Court finds, among other things, that the Amended Plan "does not discriminate unfairly" and is "fair and equitable" with respect to each rejecting Class. Other sections of this Amended Disclosure Statement provide a more detailed description of the requirements for acceptance and confirmation of the Amended Plan.

C. <u>Voting Procedures, Balloting Deadline, Confirmation Hearing, and Other</u> <u>Important Dates, Deadlines, and Procedures.</u>

1. Voting Procedures and Deadlines.

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 14 of 58

The City has provided copies of this Amended Disclosure Statement, without exhibits, and Amended Plan, without exhibits and ballots, to all known Holders of Impaired Claims in the Voting Classes. However with respect to Classes 13, 14 and 15, the Amended Disclosure Statement and Amended Plan, without exhibits, will be sent to counsel for their review and certification to their represented claimants that the Amended Plan complies with the terms of the respective Collective Bargaining Agreements or Settlement and Release Agreements, each of which have been approved by the Court by Orders entered January 9, 2012. All known Holders of Claims in other Impaired Classes will also receive notice that the exhibits to the Amended Disclosure Statement and Amended Plan will be available online at www.centralfallsri.us.

Those Holders of an Allowed Claim in each of the Voting Classes who seek to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan <u>must</u> complete a ballot and return it to the Court-appointed Ballot Tabulator so that their ballots actually are received by no later than the Balloting Deadline (as defined two paragraphs below). Holders of Allowed Claims in Class 13, 14 and 15 shall be deemed to have voted to accept the Amended Plan by ballot completed by their respective counsel. Ballots do not constitute proofs of claim and must be returned directly to the Ballot Tabulator, <u>not</u> to the Bankruptcy Court. The Ballots will also provide Holders of Allowed Class 16 General Unsecured Claims in excess of \$5,000 with the option to reduce their Allowed Claims to \$5,000 so that they may be classified as Holders of Class 17 General Unsecured Convenience Claims.

All ballots, including ballots transmitted by facsimile, must be completed, signed, returned to, and <u>actually received</u> by the Ballot Tabulator by not later than August 3, 2012, at 4:30 p.m. Eastern Standard Time (the "<u>Balloting Deadline</u>"). Neither Ballots received after the Balloting Deadline, nor ballots returned directly to the Bankruptcy Court rather than by the Ballot Tabulator, shall be counted in connection with confirmation of the Amended Plan.

2. <u>Date of the Confirmation Hearing and Deadlines for Objection to</u> <u>Confirmation of the Amended Plan.</u>

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 15 of 58

The hearing to determine whether the Bankruptcy Court will confirm the Amended Plan (the "<u>Confirmation Hearing</u>") will commence on August 17, 2012 at 10:30 a.m. Eastern Standard Time in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Rhode Island on the 6th Floor of the Federal Center, 380 Westminster Street, Providence, Rhode Island 02903 and will continue on August 20, 2012 at 10:30 a.m., if necessary. The Confirmation Hearing may be continued from time to time, including by announcement in open court, without further notice.

Any objections to confirmation of the Amended Plan must be filed with the Bankruptcy Court and served on the following entities so as to be <u>actually received</u> by no later than, August 3, 2012, and copies must be sent to Debtor's counsel, Theodore Orson, Esq., 144 Wayland Avenue, Providence, RI 02906. Objections that are not timely filed and served <u>may not be</u> <u>considered</u> by the Bankruptcy Court. *Please refer to the accompanying notice of the Confirmation Hearing for specific requirements regarding the form and nature of objections to confirmation of the Amended Plan.*

D. <u>Important Notices and Cautionary Statements.</u>

1. The historical financial data relied upon in preparing the Amended Plan and this Amended Disclosure Statement is based upon the City's books and records. Although certain professional advisors of the City assisted in the preparation of this Amended Disclosure Statement, in doing so such professionals relied upon factual information and assumptions regarding financial, business, and accounting data provided by the City and third parties, much of which has not been audited.

The professional advisors of the City have not independently verified such information and, accordingly, make no representations or warranties as to its accuracy. Moreover, although reasonable efforts have been made to provide accurate information, the City does not warrant or represent that the information in this Amended Disclosure Statement, including any and all financial information and projections, is without inaccuracy or omissions or that actual values or

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 16 of 58

distributions will comport with the estimates set forth herein.

2. No entity may rely upon the Amended Plan or this Amended Disclosure Statement or any of the accompanying exhibits for any purpose other than to determine whether to vote in favor of or against the Amended Plan. Nothing contained in such documents constitutes an admission of any fact or liability by any party, and no such information will be admissible in any proceeding involving the City or any other party, nor will this Amended Disclosure Statement be deemed evidence of the tax or other legal effects of the Amended Plan on Holders of Claims in the Chapter 9 Case.

3. Certain information included in this Amended Disclosure Statement and its Exhibits contains forward-looking statements. The words "believe," "expect," "anticipate" and similar expressions identify such forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are based upon information available when such statements are made, and are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in the statements. A number of those risks and uncertainties are described below. Readers therefore are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements in this Amended Disclosure Statement. The City undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

4. Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any other regulatory agency has approved nor disapproved this Amended Disclosure Statement, nor has any such agency determined whether this Amended Disclosure Statement is accurate, truthful or complete.

E. Additional Information.

If you have any questions about the procedures for voting on the Amended Plan, desire another copy of a ballot, or seek further information about the timing and deadlines with respect to confirmation of the Amended Plan, please write to counsel for the City as follows: Theodore Orson, Esq., Orson and Brusini Ltd., 144 Wayland Avenue, Providence, RI 02906

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 17 of 58

(torson@orsonandbrusini.com). Please note that counsel for the City cannot and will not provide creditors with any legal advice, including advice regarding how to vote on the Amended Plan or the effect that confirmation of the Amended Plan will have upon Claims against the City.

II. <u>BACKGROUND INFORMATION.</u>

The background information pertaining to the Receivership and the Formulation of the Amended Plan is contained in the Executive Summary of the Six Year Financial Projection filed herewith as *Exhibit 2*.

The financial foundation for the Amended Plan is set forth on the Six Year Financial Budget Projection filed herewith as *Exhibit 3*.

The City filed its Petition on August 1, 2011, together with a Statement of Qualifications, with supporting exhibits in support of eligibility. On that same date, the City also filed a Motion to Reject Lease or Executory Contract with respect to three collective bargaining agreements between the City and R.I. Council 94, AFSCME, AFL-CIO, Local 1627 ("the Local"); between the City and the Fraternal Order of Police, Lodge 2 ("FOP Lodge 2"); and between the City and Local 1485, International Association of Fire Fighters, AFL-CIO ("Local 1485"). The Police and Firefighter Retirees and their Associations (the "Represented Retirees") filed an Objection to the City's eligibility.

The City negotiated with counsel for the Represented Retirees and entered into a Settlement and Release Agreement executed by the Receiver and the Director of the Rhode Island Department of Revenue on November 30, 2011 (the "Settlement and Release Agreement") to resolve and release their Claims against the City. As part of the Settlement and Release Agreement, the Represented Retirees withdrew their Objection to the City's eligibility.

On December 1, 2011, this Court entered an Order for Relief finding the City to be an eligible debtor under Chapter 9.

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 18 of 58

The Settlement and Release Agreement was accepted by the requisite number of Represented Retirees, as well as a number of unrepresented retirees, collectively denominated as "Participating Retirees," and was approved by this Court by Order entered January 9, 2012. The primary condition to the enforceability of the Settlement and Release Agreement was the passage of Appropriation Legislation by the Rhode Island General Assembly to fund supplemental payments to the Participating Retirees for a period of five years, resulting in their retirement benefits being reduced by no more than seventy-five percent (75%) during the five year period. The Appropriation Legislation was passed by the General Assembly on June 12, 2012, and signed by the Governor on June 15, 2012. The supplemental payments to the Participating Retirees are not part of the City's Amended Plan, but will be paid separately from the Participating Retirees' Restricted 5-Year Account which is a restricted account established by the City for funds received by the State of Rhode Island pursuant to the Appropriation Legislation. An explanation of the Restructured Retiree Benefits and supplemental payments to be paid pursuant to the Settlement and Release Agreement is filed with the Amended Plan as *Exhibit R*.

The City negotiated with each of its labor unions throughout the fall of 2011. On November 23, 2011, the City and its labor unions entered into new collective bargaining agreements covering the period from November 23, 2011 through June 30, 2016. The City and the Local entered into a Memorandum of Agreement dated November 23, 2011, which was approved by Order of this Court entered on January 9, 2012. A true and accurate copy of the Memorandum of Agreement dated November 23, 2011, which was approved by Order of this Court entered on January 9, 2012. A true and accurate copy of the Memorandum of Agreement dated November 23, 2011, which was approved by Order of this Court entered hereto as *Exhibit 10*. The City and the FOP Lodge 2 entered into a Memorandum of Agreement dated November 23, 2011, which was approved by Order of this Court entered on January 9, 2012. A true and accurate copy of the Memorandum of Agreement is attached hereto as *Exhibit 11*. The City and Local 1485 entered into a Memorandum of Agreement dated December 8, 2011, which was approved by Order of this

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 19 of 58

Court entered on January 9, 2012. A true and accurate copy of the Memorandum of Agreement is attached hereto as *Exhibit 12*.

The City filed an adversary proceeding on December 30, 2011, seeking a determination regarding whether the Central Falls School District was part of the City, in order to ascertain whether the revenues, expenditures, collective bargaining agreements and the overall budget of the Central Falls School District need to be part of the City's Amended Plan. After hearings on the City's Motion for Summary Judgment, on March 27, 2012 the Court issued Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law finding that the Central Falls School District was not part of the City. The City did not object to the Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and the adversary proceeding was dismissed by stipulation of all parties filed with the Court on May 14, 2012.

Consequently, the City has not included the Central Falls School District or its budget as part of its Amended Plan, except to the extent that the City bills certain City services to the Central Falls School District and remains obligated to issue and pay school related bonds.

In recognition of, among other things, "the increased likelihood that the city will exit Chapter 9 bankruptcy..." on June 29, 2012, Moody's Investors Service placed the City on review for a ratings upgrade. A copy of the Moody's Investors Service report is annexed hereto as <u>Exhibit ____</u>.

III. <u>THE CITY'S LIABILITIES AND ASSETS</u>

A. <u>Liabilities.</u>

The City's liabilities include its outstanding financing obligations, its present and future pension and other post-employment benefit obligations, and vendor and other general unsecured obligations as described in the City's Schedule of Creditors filed with the Court, as amended and filed on June 6, 2012 and filed herewith as *Exhibit 9*.

B. <u>Assets.</u>

1. <u>Capital Assets.</u>

The City owns all manner of capital assets, including buildings, roads, bridges, infrastructure and utility improvements, parks, undeveloped real property and service vehicles (such as fire trucks, police cars and street equipment). Virtually all of these municipal assets are used daily in the performance of public functions and cannot be easily liquidated — particularly under current market conditions. They are valued in the City's books and records at depreciated historical cost, which does not represent the cash value that could be recognized by the City in the event of a voluntary sale.

2. <u>Claims and Causes of Action Against Third Parties.</u>

Parties in interest may not rely on the absence of a reference in this Amended Disclosure Statement or in the Amended Plan as any indication that the City ultimately will not pursue any and all available claims, rights and causes of action against them. <u>All parties who previously dealt with the City hereby are on notice that the Amended Plan preserves certain of the City's rights, claims, causes of action, interests and defenses.</u> <u>The City expects that any and all meritorious claims will be pursued and litigated after the Effective Date to the extent they remain vested in the City.</u>

IV. SUMMARY OF THE AMENDED PLAN OF ADJUSTMENT.

The discussion of the Amended Plan set forth below is qualified in its entirety by reference to the more detailed provisions set forth in the Amended Plan and its exhibits, the terms of which are controlling. Holders of Claims and other interested parties are urged to read the Amended Plan and its exhibits, copies of which are filed with this Amended Disclosure Statement as *Exhibit 1*, in their entirety so that they may make an informed judgment regarding the Amended Plan.

The Amended Plan is premised upon the Executive Summary of Six Year Financial Projection and the Six Year Financial Plan, which are the financial planning documents that present strategies for the City to maintain a balanced operating budget, to address the City's

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 21 of 58

debts, to rebuild fiscal stability, and to enable the City to continue to provide municipal services through June 30, 2017 and hopefully beyond. The Executive Summary of Six Year Financial Projection is filed herewith as *Exhibit 2* and the Six Year Financial Plan is filed herewith as *Exhibit 3*. Note that to the extent that there is any inconsistency between the Amended Plan (including the Exhibits and any supplements to the Amended Plan) and the description in the Amended Disclosure Statement, the terms of the Amended Plan (including the Exhibits to the Amended Plan) will govern.

A. Classification and Treatment of Claims.

1. <u>Unclassified Claims.</u>

The Amended Plan governs the treatment of certain Claims that are not classified into Classes under the Amended Plan.

a. <u>Administrative Expense Claims.</u>

Administrative Expense Claims are Claims of the kind described in sections 503(b) and 507(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code. Article II, Section A of the Amended Plan provides for the treatment of Administrative Claims. Throughout the course of the Chapter 9 Case, the City has endeavored to satisfy administrative expenses as they became due. Accordingly, the City believes that most Claims that otherwise would constitute Allowed Administrative Claims previously have been or will be satisfied in the ordinary course of business prior to and after the Effective Date.

(i) Treatment of All Other Administrative Claims Other Than Professional Claims.

The Amended Plan provides that, except as provided in Article II, Section B of the Amended Plan, with respect to Professional Claims, or to the extent that the Holder of an Allowed Administrative Claim agrees to a different treatment, the City or its agent will pay to each Holder of an Allowed Administrative Claim, in full satisfaction, release and discharge of such Claim, cash in an amount equal to such Allowed Administrative Claim in the ordinary course of the City's business, but in no event later than the later of the date that is practicable after (i) the Effective Date, or (ii) the date on which such Claim becomes an Allowed Administrative Claim.

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 22 of 58

Professional Claims are Claims of professionals for unpaid services and costs incurred by or for the benefit of the City prior to the Effective Date or for services to be rendered following the Effective Date or expenses to be incurred in rendering such services following the Effective Date either in the Chapter 9 Case or incident to the Amended Plan. No Professional Claims are to be paid for professional fees incurred by or on behalf of any third party or creditors.

Article II, Section B of the Amended Plan provides that, pursuant to section 943(a)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code, all Professional Claims must be disclosed and be reasonable and that, upon being deemed reasonable, the City will pay to each Holder of a Professional Claim, in full satisfaction, release and discharge of such Claim, cash in an amount equal to that portion of such Claim that is deemed reasonable.

The City intends to pay the reasonable fees of its bankruptcy counsel, labor counsel, municipal finance counsel and other professionals, as well as the fees of counsel for the Committee, on a regular basis during the Chapter 9 Case.

The fees described in the preceding paragraph are not subject to Bankruptcy Court review or approval, as Bankruptcy Code § 326 *et seq.* does not apply in Chapter 9 cases.

b. <u>Bar Date for Assertion of Requests for Payment of Administrative</u> <u>Claims (Other Than Ordinary Course Administrative Claims) and</u> <u>Professional Claims.</u>

Article II, Section D of the Amended Plan provides that all requests for approval of Administrative Expense and Professional Claims must be filed with the Bankruptcy Court and served upon the City no later than thirty (30) days after the date on which the Notice of Effective Date is mailed pursuant to the Amended Plan.

Except as otherwise provided herein, all requests for payment or any other means of preserving and obtaining payment of Administrative Claims (other than Ordinary Course Administrative Claims) that have not been paid, released, or otherwise settled, and all requests for approval of Professional Claims, must be filed with the Bankruptcy Court and served upon the City no later than thirty (30) days after the date on which the Notice of Effective Date is mailed. Any request for payment of an Administrative Claim or a Professional Claim that is not timely filed by such date will be forever barred, and

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 23 of 58

Holders of such Claims shall be barred from asserting such Claims in any manner against the City.

2. <u>Classes of Claims.</u>

a. <u>Class 1</u> – Claims of Holders of \$12,000,000 City of Central Falls, Rhode Island General Obligation School Bonds, dated July 15, 1999, outstanding in the amount of approximately \$6,060,000 as of the date of the filing of the Amended Plan, bearing the CUSIP numbers filed with the Amended Plan as *Exhibit A*. (Registered owner for notice purposes as of the date of the filing of the Amended Plan: The Depository Trust Company ("DTC")). The bonds are general obligations of the City, and all taxable property in the City is subject to *ad valorem* taxation without limitation as to rate or amount to pay the bonds and the interest thereon. Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 45-12-1, the bonds are secured by a Rhode Island statutory lien on property taxes and general fund revenues. Subject to an annual appropriation by the Rhode Island General Assembly, the City receives state aid reimbursements for 97% of principal and interest on the Bonds. These Claims will hereinafter be referred to as the "Class 1 \$12,000,000 General Obligation School Bonds Claims."

This Class is Unimpaired by the Amended Plan. The legal, equitable and contractual rights of the Holders of Claims in this Class shall remain unaltered. Holders of Claims in this Class are not entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan. Under Bankruptcy Code § 1126(f), this Class is conclusively presumed to have accepted the Amended Plan.

The City shall honor its legal, equitable and contractual obligations to Holders of Claims in this Class. The legal, equitable and contractual rights of the Holders of Claims in this Class shall remain unaltered. Note that any reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred by the Holder, if legally entitled to payment of its reasonable attorney fees and expenses, and allowed by the Bankruptcy Court shall be treated as a Class 16 General Unsecured Claim or a Class 17 General

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 24 of 58

Unsecured Convenience Claim, as the case may be.

b. <u>Class 2</u> – Claims of Holders of \$8,700,000 City of Central Falls, Rhode Island General Obligation Municipal Facility Bonds, dated October 1, 2007, outstanding in the amount of approximately \$7,680,000 as of the date of the filing of the Amended Plan, bearing the CUSIP numbers filed with the Amended Plan as *Exhibit B*. (Registered owner for notice purposes as the date of the filing of the Amended Plan: DTC.) The bonds are general obligations of the City, and all taxable property in the City is subject to *ad valorem* taxation without limitation as to rate or amount to pay the Bonds and the interest thereon. Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws \$45-12-1, the Bonds are secured by a Rhode Island statutory lien on property taxes and general fund revenues. These Claims will hereinafter be referred to as the "Class 2 \$8,700,000 General Obligation Municipal Facility Bonds Claims."

This Class is Unimpaired by the Amended Plan. The legal, equitable and contractual rights of the Holders of Claims in this Class shall remain unaltered. Holders of Claims in this Class are not entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan. Under Bankruptcy Code § 1126(f), this Class is conclusively presumed to have accepted the Amended Plan.

The City shall honor its legal, equitable and contractual obligations to Holders of Claims in this Class. The legal, equitable and contractual rights of the Holders of Claims in this Class shall remain unaltered. Note that any reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred by the Holder, if legally entitled to payment of its reasonable attorney fees and expenses, and allowed by the Bankruptcy Court shall be treated as a Class 16 General Unsecured Claim or a Class 17 General Unsecured Convenience Claim, as the case may be.

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 25 of 58

c. <u>Class 3</u> – Claims of Holders of \$1,300,000 City of Central Falls, Rhode Island General Obligation School Bonds, dated August 15, 2007, outstanding in the amount of \$1,115,000 as of the date of the filing of the Amended Plan. (Registered owner for notice purpose as of the date of the filing the Amended Plan: the Rhode Island Health and Educational Building Corporation ("RIHEBC"). (These bonds are part of a pool securing publicly offered bonds issued by RIHEBC—DTC is Registered Owner for notice purposes of bonds issued by RIHEBC). The bonds are general obligations of the City, and all taxable property in the City is subject to *ad valorem* taxation without limitation as to rate or amount to pay the bonds and the interest thereon. Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 45-12-1, the bonds are secured by a Rhode Island statutory lien on property taxes and general fund revenues. Subject to annual appropriation by the Rhode Island General Assembly, the City receives state aid reimbursement for 97% of principal and interest on the bonds which are intercepted by the General Treasurer and paid directly to the bond trustee to pay the bonds. These Claims will hereinafter be referred to as the "Class 3 \$1,300,000 General Obligation School Bonds Claims."

This Class is Unimpaired by the Amended Plan. The legal, equitable and contractual rights of the Holders of Claims in this Class shall remain unaltered. Holders of Claims in this Class are not entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan. Under Bankruptcy Code § 1126(f), this Class is conclusively presumed to have accepted the Amended Plan.

The City shall honor its legal, equitable and contractual obligations to Holders of Claims in this Class. The legal, equitable and contractual rights of the Holders of Claims in this Class shall remain unaltered. Note that any reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred by the Holder, if legally entitled to payment of its reasonable attorney fees and expenses, and allowed by the Bankruptcy Court shall be treated as a Class 16 General Unsecured Claim or a Class 17 General

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 26 of 58

Unsecured Convenience Claim, as the case may be.

d. Class 4 – Claims of Holders of \$750,000 City of Central Falls, Rhode Island General Obligation Qualified Construction School Bonds, 2010 Series 1, dated June 29, 2010, outstanding in the amount of approximately \$665,000 as of the date of the filing of the Amended Plan. (Registered owner as of the date of the filing the Amended Plan: RIHEBC.) The bonds are general obligations of the City, and all taxable property in the City is subject to ad valorem taxation without limitation as to rate or amount to pay the bonds and the interest thereon. Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 45-12-1, the bonds are secured by a Rhode Island statutory lien on property taxes and general fund revenues. Subject to appropriation by the federal government and certain other conditions, the City receives an interest rate subsidy to pay interest on the bonds subject to annual appropriation by the Rhode Island General Assembly; the City receives state aid reimbursements for 97% of the principal on the bonds which is intercepted by the General Treasurer and paid directly to the paying agent to pay the bonds. Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 45-12-32, the City has directed that additional state aid be intercepted to pay the remaining 3% of principal. These Claims will hereinafter be referred to as the "Class 4 \$750,000 General Obligation School Bonds."

This Class is Unimpaired by the Amended Plan. The legal, equitable and contractual rights of the Holders of Claims in this Class shall remain unaltered. Holders of Claims in this Class are not entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan. Under Bankruptcy Code § 1126(f), this Class is conclusively presumed to have accepted the Amended Plan.

The City shall honor its legal, equitable and contractual obligations to Holders of Claims in this Class. The legal, equitable and contractual rights of the Holders of Claims in this Class shall remain unaltered. Note that any reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred by the Holder,

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 27 of 58

if legally entitled to payment of its reasonable attorney fees and expenses, and allowed by the Bankruptcy Court shall be treated as a Class 16 General Unsecured Claim or a Class 17 General Unsecured Convenience Claim, as the case may be.

Class 5 – Claims of Holders of \$4,250,000 Central Falls, Rhode Island General e. Obligation School Bonds, 2010 Series 2, dated June 30, 2010, outstanding in the amount of approximately \$3,775,000 as of the date of the filing of the Amended Plan. (Registered owner as of the date of the filing the Amended Plan: RIHEBC.) These "borrower bonds" secure qualified school construction bonds issued by RIHEBC which were privately placed in the Washington Trust Company (the "RIHEBC Bonds"). The borrower bonds are general obligations of the City, and all taxable property in the City is subject to *ad valorem* taxation without limitation as to rate or amount to pay the bonds and the interest thereon. Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 45-12-1, the bonds are secured by a Rhode Island statutory lien on property taxes and general fund revenues. Subject to an appropriation by the federal government and certain other conditions, RIHEBC receives an interest rate subsidy to pay interest on the RIHEBC bonds which is applied to reduce the payment required from the City on the borrower bonds. Subject to an annual appropriation by the Rhode Island General Assembly, the City receives state aid reimbursements for 97% of principal on the borrower bonds, which is intercepted by the General Treasurer and paid directly to the RIHEBC bond trustee to pay the borrower bonds. Pursuant to R.I. Gen. Laws § 45-12-32, the City has directed that additional state aid be intercepted to pay the remaining 3% of principal on the borrower bonds. These Claims will hereinafter be referred to as the "Class 5 \$4.250,000 General Obligation School Bonds."

This Class is Unimpaired by the Amended Plan. The legal, equitable and contractual rights of the Holders of Claims in this Class shall remain unaltered. Holders of Claims in this

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 28 of 58

Class are not entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan. Under Bankruptcy Code § 1126(f), this Class is conclusively presumed to have accepted the Amended Plan.

f. <u>Class 6</u> – Claim of lessor of Lease dated April 10, 2009 by and between First Municipal Leasing Corporation and the City (the "Rescue Lease"), outstanding in the amount of approximately \$83,403 as of the date of the filing of the Amended Plan. The Rescue Lease is secured by a Horton rescue vehicle Vin No. 1FDFX47R78EE39070. This Claim will hereinafter be referred to as the "Class 6 April 10, 2009 Lease Claim." The fiscal year 2012 lease payment is secured by a Rhode Island Stationary lien on property taxes and general fund revenues.

This Class is Impaired by the Amended Plan since the treatment of this Class will affect the legal, equitable and/or contractual rights of the Holder of the Claim. Accordingly, the Holder of the Claim in this Class is entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan.

On the Effective Date, the term of the Rescue Lease shall be extended by two (2) years, the annual principal payments shall be reduced by fifty percent (50%), and the annual interest rate shall be reduced by one percent (1%). The new payment schedule shall be as set forth in *Exhibit Q* filed with the Amended Plan. All other terms and conditions of the Rescue Lease shall remain unaltered and in full force and effect. On or prior to the Effective Date, if either party so elects in writing, the parties shall enter into a modified lease agreement reflecting these modifications.

The City and the lessor are working on an Amended Lease and Lease Schedule consistent with the terms of the Second Amended Plan and the City expects to add those documents to the disclosure statement when complete.

g. <u>Class 7</u> – Claims of the police, fire and municipal employee retirees for the loss of a portion of their health insurance benefits as a result of the restructuring of the health

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 29 of 58

insurance benefits to be provided by the City as set forth in *Exhibit C* filed with the Amended Plan. The Class 7 Claimants are specifically identified in *Exhibit D* filed with the Amended Plan. These Claims will hereinafter be referred to as the "Class 7 Retiree Health Insurance Claims."

This Class is Impaired by the Amended Plan since the treatment of this Class will affect the legal, equitable and/or contractual rights of the Holders of these Claims. Accordingly, the Holders of these Claims in this Class are entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan.

The Holders of these Claims will have their health care benefits modified in accordance with the restructured health benefits schedule set forth on *Exhibit C* filed with the Amended Plan, and will be required to pay twenty percent (20%) co-share premium payments.

The majority of the Claimants in this Class are Participating Retirees under the Settlement and Release Agreement with the City, approved by Order of the Court on January 9, 2012. Pursuant to the Terms of the Settlement and Release Agreement, the Participating Retirees have agreed to vote to accept the Amended Plan, provided its terms comport with the terms of the Settlement and Release Agreement.

h. <u>Class 8</u> - Claims of the police, fire and municipal employee retirees, including any spouses or beneficiaries, in the John Hancock Pension Plan and the Central Falls 1% Pension Plan who receive an annual pension benefit of \$10,000 or less. The Class 8 Claimants are specifically identified in *Exhibit F* filed with the Amended Plan. These Claims will hereinafter be referred to as the "Class 8 Retiree \$10,000 and Under Pension Claims."

This Class is Unimpaired by the Amended Plan. The legal, equitable and contractual rights of the Holders of Claims in this Class shall remain unaltered. Holders of Claims in this Class are not entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan. Under Bankruptcy Code § 1126(f), this Class is conclusively presumed to have accepted the Amended Plan.

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 30 of 58

The Holders of these Claims will not have their benefits reduced under the newlydesigned Central Falls Pension Plan as set forth in *Exhibit E* filed with the Amended Plan. The City has determined, as a matter of governmental policy, that because the annual pension benefits in this group are relatively low, and the average age of the individuals in this group is older than the other retiree classes, there is a smaller unliquidated benefit and therefore a lesser impact on the City and the Amended Plan. Moreover, the City has further determined, as a matter of governmental policy, that because these individuals have less ability to adjust to and absorb a reduction in benefits, the City will not impact its most vulnerable retirees by reducing their benefits.

i. <u>Class 9</u> – Claims of the police, fire and municipal employee retirees, including any spouses or beneficiaries, in the John Hancock Pension Plan and the Central Falls 1% Pension Plan, who, after application of the pension formula set forth in the newly-designed Central Falls Pension Plan, *Exhibit E* filed with the Amended Plan, would receive an annual pension benefit of \$10,000. The Class 9 Claimants are specifically identified in *Exhibit G* filed with the Amended Plan. These Claims will hereinafter be referred to as the "Class 9 Retiree Reduced to \$10,000 Pension Claims."

This Class is Impaired by the Amended Plan since the treatment of this Class will affect the legal, equitable and/or contractual rights of the Holders of these Claims. Accordingly, the Holders of these Claims in this Class are entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan.

The Holders of these Claims will have their annual pension benefits reduced to 10,000 under the newly-designed Central Falls Pension Plan as set forth in *Exhibit E* filed with the Amended Plan. Although the strict application of the new pension plan formula would reduce these individuals' benefits to less than 10,000 annually, the City has determined, as a matter of

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 31 of 58

governmental policy, that it will not reduce benefits below this \$10,000 threshold. The City determined that because the annual pension benefits in this group are relatively low, there is a smaller unliquidated benefit and therefore a lesser impact on the City and the Amended Plan. Moreover, the City has further determined, as a matter of governmental policy that it would be unfair to reduce benefits below the \$10,000 threshold, and therefore, the City would lessen the impact of the newly-designed plan on this group of retirees.

The majority of the Claimants in this Class are Participating Retirees under the Settlement and Release Agreement with the City, approved by Order of the Court on January 9, 2012. Pursuant to the Terms of the Settlement and Release Agreement, the Participating Retirees have agreed to vote to accept the Amended Plan, provided its terms comport with the terms of the Settlement and Release Agreement.

j. <u>Class 10</u> - Claims of the police, fire and municipal employee retirees, including any spouses or beneficiaries, in the John Hancock Pension Plan and the Central Falls 1% Pension Plan who, after application of the formula set forth in the newly-designed Central Falls Pension Plan, *Exhibit E* filed with the Amended Plan, limited by the forty-five percent (45%), would receive an annual pension benefit of forty-five percent (45%) of their prior pension benefit. The Class 10 Claimants are specifically identified in *Exhibit H* filed with the Amended Plan. These Claims will hereinafter be referred to as the "Class 10 Retiree 45% Pension Claims."

This Class is Impaired by the Amended Plan since the treatment of this Class will affect the legal, equitable and/or contractual rights of the Holders of these Claims. Accordingly, the Holders of these Claims in this Class are entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan.

The Holders of these Claims will have their annual pension benefits reduced by fifty-five percent (55%) under the newly-designed Central Falls Pension Plan as set forth in *Exhibit E* filed with the Amended Plan. Although strict application of the new pension plan formula would

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 32 of 58

reduce these individuals' benefits to an amount which would be less than forty-five percent (45%) of the amount of their pre-petition pension benefit annually, the City has determined, as a matter of governmental policy, that it will not reduce benefits below this forty-five percent (45%) threshold. The City has further determined, as a matter of governmental policy that it would be unfair to reduce benefits below forty-five percent (45%) of pre-petition pension benefits, and therefore, the City would lessen the impact of the newly-designed Amended Plan on this group of retirees.

The majority of the Claimants in this Class are Participating Retirees under the Settlement and Release Agreement with the City, approved by Order of the Court on January 9, 2012. Pursuant to the Terms of the Settlement and Release Agreement, the Participating Retirees have agreed to vote to accept the Amended Plan, provided its terms comport with the terms of the Settlement and Release Agreement.

k. <u>Class 11</u> – Claims of the police, fire and municipal employee retirees, including any spouses or beneficiaries, in the John Hancock Pension Plan and the Central Falls 1% Pension Plan who will receive a reduced benefit under the newly designed Central Falls Pension Plan, *Exhibit E* filed with the Amended Plan (excluding those Claimants identified as Claimants of Class 8 Retiree \$10,000 and Under Pension Claims, Class 9 Retiree Reduced to \$10,000 Pension Claims, Class 10 Retiree 45% Pension Claims and Class 12 Retiree Accidental Disability Pension Claims). The Class 11 Claimants are specifically identified in *Exhibit I* filed with the Amended Plan. These Claims will hereinafter be referred to as the "Class 11 Retiree Pension Claims."

This Class is Impaired by the Amended Plan since the treatment of this Class will affect the legal, equitable and/or contractual rights of the Holders of these Claims. Accordingly, the Holders of these Claims in this Class are entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan.

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 33 of 58

The Holders of these Claims will have their benefits reduced in accordance with the formula set forth under the newly-designed Central Falls Pension Plan. These reductions will be in amount less than fifty-five percent (55%) of the prior annual pension benefit. See *Exhibit E* filed with the Amended Plan.

The majority of the Claimants in this Class are Participating Retirees under the Settlement and Release Agreement with the City, approved by Order of the Court on January 9, 2012. Pursuant to the Terms of the Settlement and Release Agreement, the Participating Retirees have agreed to vote to accept the Amended Plan, provided its terms comport with the terms of the Settlement and Release Agreement.

1. <u>Class 12</u> – Claims of the police, fire and municipal employee retirees, including any spouses or beneficiaries, in the John Hancock Pension Plan and the Central Falls 1% Pension Plan who have had their accidental disability retirement benefits reduced in accordance with the newly designed Central Falls Pension Plan as set forth in *Exhibit E* filed with the Amended Plan. The benefits to these individuals have not been reduced by the application of an Early Retirement Factor. The Class 12 Claimants are specifically identified in *Exhibit J* filed with the Amended Plan. These Claims will hereinafter be referred to as the "Class 12 Retiree Accidental Disability Pension Claims."

This Class is Impaired by the Amended Plan since the treatment of this Class will affect the legal, equitable and/or contractual rights of the Holders of these Claims. Accordingly, the Holders of these Claims in this Class are entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan.

The majority of the Claimants in this Class are Participating Retirees under the Settlement and Release Agreement with the City, approved by Order of the Court on January 9, 2012. Pursuant to the Terms of the Settlement and Release Agreement, the Participating Retirees

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 34 of 58

have agreed to vote to accept the Amended Plan, provided its terms comport with the terms of the Settlement and Release Agreement.

m. <u>Class 13</u> – Claims of municipal employees covered by the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City and R.I. Council 94, AFSCME, AFL-CIO, Local 1627 (the "Local") for November 23, 2011 to June 30, 2016 who have had their compensation, health benefits, and other benefits reduced under the Amended Plan. These Claimants are specifically identified in *Exhibit K* filed with the Amended Plan. These Claims will hereafter be referred to as the "Class 13 Council 94 Claims."

This Class is impaired by the Amended Plan since the treatment of this Class will affect the legal, equitable and/or contractual rights of the Holders of these Claims. Accordingly, the Holders of these Claims are entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan.

The Holders of these Claims had certain compensation and benefits reduced in accordance with the Pendency Plan instituted by the Receiver by Memorandum to "All Employees of the City of Central Falls" dated August 19, 2011, effective August 1, 2011. These Claims were settled by Memorandum of Agreement entered into between the City and the Local dated November 23, 2011 and approved by Order of this Court entered January 9, 2012. Pursuant to the Terms of the Memorandum of Understanding, these employees have agreed to vote to accept the Amended Plan, provided its terms comport with the terms of the Agreement between R.I. Council 94, AFSCM, AFL-CIO, Local 1627 and The City of Central Falls, November 23, 2011 to June 30, 2016, annexed to the Amended Plan.

n. <u>Class 14</u> – Claims of municipal employees covered by the Collective Bargaining Agreements between the City and the Fraternal Order of Police, Lodge 2 ("FOP Lodge 2") for November 23, 2011 to June 30, 2016, who have had their compensation, health benefits, retirement benefits and other benefits reduced under the Amended Plan. These Claimants are

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 35 of 58

specifically identified in *Exhibit L* filed with the Amended Plan. These Claims will hereinafter be referred to as the "Class 14 FOP Claims."

This Class is impaired by the Amended Plan since the treatment of this Class will affect the legal, equitable and/or contractual rights of the Holders of these Claims. Accordingly, the Holders of these Claims are entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan.

The Holders of these Claims had certain compensation and benefits reduced in accordance with the Pendency Plan instituted by the Receiver by Memorandum to "All Employees of the City of Central Falls" dated August 19, 2011, effective August 1, 2011. These Claims are settled by Memorandum of Agreement entered into between the City and FOP Lodge 2 dated November 23, 2011 and approved by Order of this Court entered January 9, 2012. Pursuant to the Terms of the Memorandum of Understanding, these employees have agreed to vote to accept the Amended Plan, provided its terms comport with the terms of the City of Central Falls Rhode Island and Fraternal Order of Police Lodge 2 Collective Bargaining Agreement for November 23, 2011 to June 30, 2016, annexed to the Amended Plan.

o. <u>Class 15</u> – Claims of municipal employees covered by the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City and Local 1485, International Association of Fire Fighters, AFL-CIO ("Local 1485") for November 23, 2011 to June 30, 2016, who have had their compensation, health benefits, retirement benefits and other benefits reduced under the Amended Plan. These Claimants are specifically identified in *Exhibit M* filed with the Amended Plan. These Claims will hereinafter be referred to as the "Class 15 Local 1485 Claims."

This Claim is impaired by the Amended Plan since the treatment of this Class will affect the legal, equitable and/or contractual rights of the Holders of these Claims. Accordingly, the Holder of these Claims are entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan.

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 36 of 58

The Holders of these Claims had certain compensation and benefits reduced in accordance with the Pendency Plan instituted by the Receiver by Memorandum to "All Employees of the City of Central Falls" dated August 19, 2011, effective August 1, 2011. These Claims were settled by Memorandum of Agreement entered to between the City and Local 1485 dated December 8, 2011 and approved by Order of this Court entered January 9, 2012. Pursuant to the Terms of the Memorandum of Understanding, these employees have agreed to vote to accept the Amended Plan, provided its terms comport with the terms of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Central Falls and Local 1485, International Association of Fire Fighters, AFL-CIO, November 23, 2011 to June 30, 2016, annexed to the Amended Plan.

p. <u>Class 16</u> – Claims of General Unsecured Creditors (excluding Claims of Claimants of Class 17 General Unsecured Convenience Claims). These Claims will hereinafter be referred to as the "Class 16 General Unsecured Claims." These Claimants are specifically identified in *Exhibit N* filed with the Amended Plan.

This Class is Impaired by the Amended Plan since the treatment of this Class will affect the legal, equitable and/or contractual rights of the Holders of these Claims. Accordingly, the Holders of these Claims in this Class are entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan.

The Holders of these Allowed Claims shall share *pro rata* on or prior to June 30, 2013 from the balance of the General Unsecured Claims Pool after distributions are made to or reserves are created for the Holders of the Allowed Class 17 Convenience General Unsecured Claims and then the Holders of these Allowed Class 16 General Unsecured Claims shall share *pro rata* on or prior to June 30, 2014, June 30, 2015, June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2017 from the General Unsecured Claims Pool in an amount not to exceed forty-five percent (45%) of their Allowed Claims.

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 37 of 58

q. <u>Class 17</u> – Claims of General Unsecured Claims either (i) Allowed in an amount of less than Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) or (ii) Allowed in an amount greater than \$5,000, but which the Holder elects to reduce to Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) when said Holder submits its Ballot to the City. (Said Ballots shall have a section providing for such an election.) This class is being established for convenience purposes so that the City is not required to pay these small claims in separate distributions over a period of years. These Claims will hereinafter be referred to as the "Class 17 General Unsecured Convenience Claims."

These Claimants are specifically identified in *Exhibit O* filed with the Amended Plan.

This Class is Impaired by the Amended Plan since the treatment of this Class will affect the legal, equitable and/or contractual rights of the Holder of the Claim. Accordingly, the Holders of these Claims in this Class are entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan.

The Holders of these Allowed Claims shall receive a one-time single lump sum distribution of thirty-five percent (35%) of the amount of their Allowed Claim from the General Unsecured Claims Pool on or prior to June 30, 2013.

r. <u>Class 18</u> – Claims of property owners that overpaid their property taxes to the City prior to the Petition Date. These claims will hereinafter be referred to as the "Class 18 Property Tax Overpayment Claims." These claimants are specifically identified in *Exhibit P* filed with the Amended Plan.

This class is Unimpaired by the Amended Plan, since the City intends to credit any overpayments to their Fiscal Year 2013 property tax bills and therefore the legal, and equitable rights of the Holders of Claims in this Class shall remain unaltered. The City has determined as a matter of governmental policy, that since these monies were paid to the City in error, they should be fully refunded; and further, that for administrative reasons, said amounts should be credited to the Fiscal Year 2013 tax bill, rather then sending separate checks for small amounts. Holders of Claims in this Class are not entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan.

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 38 of 58

Under Bankruptcy Code § 11 26(f) this Class is conclusively presumed to have accepted the Amended Plan.

s. <u>Class 19</u> – State of Rhode Island Claim –These Claims will hereinafter be referred to as the "Class 19 State of Rhode Island Claim."

This Class is Impaired under the Plan since the treatment of this Class will affect the legal, equitable, statutory and/or contractual rights of the Holder of this Claim. Accordingly, the Holder of the Claim is entitled to vote to accept or reject the Amended Plan.

Under Rhode Island Gen. Laws § 45-9-3(f), the City is required to pay the amounts sufficient for the proper administration of the Receiver and his staff or the State may deduct those funds from the City's distribution of state aid. Thus, the State could insist on full payment of Class 19 State of Rhode Island Claim in the current fiscal year. However, in order that the City be able to achieve balanced budgets during the term of the Amended Plan, the State has agreed to limit its distribution during the Amended Plan term to (i) the appropriations provided in the Six-Year Financial Projection, plus (ii) all amounts recovered by the Claim Recovery Trustee pursuant to the terms set forth in the following paragraph, net of the Claim Recovery Trustee's fees and costs. The balance of Class 19 State of Rhode Island Claim shall be paid after the Amended Plan term as set forth in the Six-Year Financial Projection.

After a Final Order enters in *Flanders vs. Moreau* et al., P.B. No 10-5615, against elected officials of the City, Allan M. Shine shall be deemed appointed as "Claims Recovery Trustee" with the duty to make a good effort to attempt to recover the maximum reasonably attainable recovery from the judgment debtors. The Claims Recovery Trustee will be compensated at the rate of twenty percent (20%) of any such recovery, plus his reasonable out of pocket expenses and may immediately pay himself said amount from any recovery, subject to final review and approval by the Rhode Island Superior Court. The Claims Recovery Trustee may seek instructions from the Rhode Island Superior Court regarding any issue relative to his trusteeship. In the event that the Claims Recovery Trustee resigns or is no longer able to serve, the Rhode Island Superior Court may appoint a successor Claims Recovery Trustee. Within

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 39 of 58

fourteen (14) days of any recovery obtained by the Claims Recovery Trustee, the Claims Recovery Trustee shall pay said amount to the State, net of his or her fees and expenses as aforesaid, and said payment shall act as a credit against the balance owing on the Class 19 State of Rhode Island Claims. When the Claims Recovery Trustee determines that no further amounts can be reasonably attained from the judgment debtors, he shall file a final report with the Rhode Island Superior Court and the Bankruptcy Court.

B. <u>Treatment of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases.</u> 1. <u>Generally.</u>

The Bankruptcy Code empowers debtors, subject to the terms of the Bankruptcy Code and the approval of the Bankruptcy Court, to assume or reject their executory contracts and unexpired leases. An "executory contract" generally means a contract under which material performance remains due by both parties.

A debtor's assumption of an executory contract or unexpired lease means that it will and must continue to honor its obligations under such agreement. In other words, as to such agreement, it is business as usual. Rejection of an executory contract or unexpired lease constitutes a prepetition breach of such agreement, excusing the debtor's future performance, but creating a Claim for the breach.

2. Assumption.

The City is a party to various executory contracts and unexpired leases. These include bond obligations described herein and in *Exhibit* 7 annexed hereto. The City will assume several such agreements and reject others pursuant to the Assumption/Rejection Motion to be filed with this Court. The City believes that it is current in its payments and other obligations under the executory contracts and unexpired leases that it will assume pursuant to the Assumption/Rejection Motion. However, after the provision of notice and the opportunity for a hearing on the Assumption/Rejection Motion, the Bankruptcy Court will resolve any disputes regarding: (a) the amount of any cure payment to be made in connection with the assumption of any contract or lease; and (b) any other matter pertaining to such assumption and assignment.

3. <u>Rejection.</u>

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 40 of 58

The City filed Rejection Motions, pursuant to section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, to seek approval and authorization for the rejection of the collective bargaining agreements with (i) Central Falls Police Department Fraternal Order of Police, Central Falls Lodge No. 2; (ii) International Association of Fire Fighters, Local 1485, AFL-CIO; (iii) Rhode Island Council 94, American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO, Local 1627; (iv) Employment Contract between the City and Joseph P. Moran, III, executed March 24, 2010; and (v) the Agreement pertaining to retirement of Rudolph Lezenga dated April 16, 1998. Such agreements are those that the City, in the exercise of its business judgment, deemed burdensome.

The Court entered an Order approving the rejection of the Employment Contract between the City and Joseph Moran on October 20, 2011. Mr. Moran appealed the Bankruptcy Court Order rejecting the Employment Contract to the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island and on May 4, 2012, the District Court affirmed the Bankruptcy Court's decision. Mr. Moran has appealed District Court's decision to the United States Court of Appeal for the First Circuit. The City believes it likely that United States Court of Appeal for the First Circuit will affirm the District Court decision.

The City has also objected to Mr. Moran's claim and it intends to pursue that objection.

The Court entered an Order approving rejection of the Agreement between the City and Rudolph Lezenga on October 28, 2011.

The Court entered an Order granting the rejection of the collective bargaining agreements with (i) Fraternal Order of Police, Central Falls Lodge 2, Rhode Island Council 94, AFSCME, and the International Association of Fire Fighters, Local 1485, AFL-CIO, was withdrawn by the City.

All proofs of claim on account of Claims arising from the rejection of executory contracts or unexpired leases must be filed with the Bankruptcy Court and served on the City no later than thirty (30) days after the date on which notice of entry of the order approving the rejection is mailed. Any Claim for which a proof of Claim is not filed and served within such

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 41 of 58

time will be forever barred and shall not be enforceable against the City or its assets, properties, or interests in property. Unless otherwise ordered by the Bankruptcy Court, all such Claims that are timely filed as provided herein shall be classified into Class 16 General Unsecured Claims or Class 17 General Unsecured Convenience Claims, as the case may be, and treated accordingly.

C. <u>Means for Execution and Implementation of the Amended Plan.</u>

The City will continue to operate under its Charter, governed by the City Council, following the Effective Date. It will continue to collect real property tax revenues, sales tax revenues, the user utility tax and other taxes following the Effective Date, spending such revenues on municipal services such as providing fire and police protection, paving roads, and facilitating the provision of funding for transportation services. The Six-Year Financial Plan provides the framework for sustainable operation of the City through June 30, 2017 by allocating available unrestricted revenues among the City's obligations. The Six-Year Financial Plan balances the need to satisfy prebankruptcy debt with the City's obligation to provide services to its residents and businesses. The Amended Plan implements the Six-Year Financial Plan by creating the General Unsecured Claims Pool, which will contain a total of \$600,000 in fiscal years 2013-2017 to be paid to Holders of General Unsecured Claims pro rata after distributions are made or reserves are created on or prior to June 30, 2013 for the Holders of General Unsecured Convenience Claims in the amount of thirty-five percent (35%) according to the amounts of the Claims of the Holders of General Unsecured Convenience Claims. It is estimated that the Holder of Allowed Class 16 General Unsecured Claims shall receive a distribution in an amount between twenty five percent (25%) to forty five percent (45%) on account of their Allowed Claim; provided however the distribution to Allowed Claims in the General Unsecured Claims Pool shall not exceed forty-five percent (45%) of the amount of the Allowed Claim. Any monies remaining in the General Unsecured Creditor's Claims Pool after all distributions have been made shall be deposited in the City's Capital Fund.

1. <u>Rights of Action.</u>

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 42 of 58

The Amended Plan provides that the City retains all of its Claims, causes of action, rights of recovery, rights of offset, recoupment rights to refunds and similar rights after the Effective Date. Unless a Right of Action is expressly waived, relinquished, released, compromised or settled in the Amended Plan, the City expressly reserves all Rights of Action for later adjudication and, as a result, no preclusion doctrine, including the doctrines of res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim preclusion, estoppel (judicial, equitable or otherwise) or laches, shall apply to such Rights of Action upon or after the confirmation or consummation of the Amended Plan or the Effective Date. In addition, the City expressly reserves the right to pursue or adopt against any other entity any claims alleged in any lawsuit in which the City is a defendant or an interested party. A Schedule of Pending Litigation against the City is annexed hereto as *Exhibit* 9.

2. <u>Compliance with Amended Plan Terms by Elected Officials or</u> <u>Fiduciary with the Power of Elected Officials.</u>

a. <u>City's Budget Must Remain in Balance during the Plan Term.</u>

The Amended Plan is a contract between the City, on the one hand, and its creditors, including the State of Rhode Island, and its other major stakeholders, including its labor unions and its retirees, on the other hand. Although the City's elected officials, or a fiduciary acting with the powers of elected officials (collectively, the "Elected Officials") under Act Relating to Cities and Towns – Providing Financial Stability, Rhode Island General Laws § 45-9-1 et seq., shall continue to make policy decisions during the Amended Plan term, they may only do so to the extent that such policy decisions do not make the City's fiscal budgets unbalanced. So, for example, if in a given year, the City is required to pay more than it has been budgeted for snow removal, or if the City replaces an employee creating an obligation to pay unemployment benefits, the City must find and demonstrate either additional revenues or reductions in expenditures to keep the City's budget in balance. It is the City's intention to establish reporting requirements for the City so that during the Amended Plan term, creditors, including the State of Rhode Island, and the City's other major stakeholders, including its labor unions and its retirees, will have adequate and timely financial information necessary to monitor whether the City remains in balance and whether the City is otherwise complying with the Amended Plan terms. It is also the City's intention to grant to creditors, including the State of Rhode Island, and the City's other major stakeholders, including its labor unions and its retirees,

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 43 of 58

standing to seek specific enforcement from the Bankruptcy Court to comply with the Amended Plan terms if the City takes any action(s) which makes the Amended Plan not in Material Conformity with the Amended Plan terms.

b. <u>Reporting Requirements.</u>

Within thirty (30) days after the City enacts a budget or an amended budget, each person acting with the powers of an elected official (i.e. the Mayor and each City Council member or a state-appointed fiduciary, as the case may be) shall be required to sign an "Annual Attestation Form," in the form set forth as *Exhibit S* annexed to the Amended Plan, attesting under oath, that to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, the budget is in Material Conformity with the terms of the Six-Year Financial Projection or to the extent that the budget is not Material Conformity with the Six-Year Financial Projection, to identify the specific line items in the budget that are not in Material Conformity with the Six-Year Financial Projection. "Material Conformity" shall mean that the budget is consistent with the Six-Year Financial Projection and/or that any increase in expenditures in any line item is offset by increases in revenues based upon additional revenues and/or decreases in expenditures. Any assumptions regarding any such additional revenues and/or decreases in expenditures must be reasonable. Each Annual Attestation Form shall be electronically filed with the Bankruptcy Court and a copy shall be sent by first class mail to the Rhode Island Director of Revenue at Rosemary Booth Gallogly, Director of Revenue, State of Rhode Island, Department of Revenue, One Capitol Hill, Providence, Rhode Island 02908.

Within fourteen (14) days after the Elected Officials file each Annual Attestation Form, the Administrative and Finance Officer appointed under R.I. Gen. Laws § 45-9-10 shall file an "Annual Administrative and Finance Officer Statement" in the form set forth as *Exhibit S* annexed to the Amended Plan stating that he or she agrees or disagrees with the attestations of the Elected Officials. If the Administrative and Finance Officer disagrees with the attestations of the Elected Official, he or she shall explain why. Each Annual Administrative and Finance Officer Statement shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court and a copy shall be sent by first class mail to the Rhode Island Director of Revenue at Rosemary Booth Gallogly, Director of Revenue, State of Rhode Island, Department of Revenue, One Capitol Hill, Providence, Rhode Island 02908.

Within thirty (30) days after the end of each fiscal quarter, the Elected

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 44 of 58

Officials shall be required to sign a "Quarterly Attestation Form" in the form set forth as *Exhibit S* annexed to the Amended Plan, stating under oath whether or not actual performance by the City during the prior quarter and year-to-date remains in Material Conformity with the terms of the Six-Year Financial Projection. To the extent that an Elected Officials states that actual performance by the City during the prior quarter and year-to-date does not remain in Material Conformity with the terms of the Six-Year Financial Projection, the Elected Official shall identify the specific line items that do not remain in Material Conformity with the Six-Year Financial Projection. Each Quarterly Attestation Form shall be electronically filed with the Bankruptcy Court and a copy shall be sent by first class mail to the Rhode Island Director of Revenue at Rosemary Booth Gallogly, Director of Revenue, State of Rhode Island, Department of Revenue, One Capitol Hill, Providence, Rhode Island 02908.

Within fourteen (14) days after the Elected Officials file each Quarterly Attestation Form, the Administrative and Finance Officer shall file a "Quarterly Administrative and Finance Officer Statement" in the form set forth as *Exhibit S* annexed to the Amended Plan stating that he or she agrees or disagrees with the attestations of the Elected Officials. If the Administrative and Finance Officer disagrees with the attestations of the Elected Official, he or she shall explain why. Each Quarterly Administrative and Finance Officer Statement shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court and a copy shall be sent by first class mail to the Rhode Island Director of Revenue at Rosemary Booth Gallogly, Director of Revenue, State of Rhode Island, Department of Revenue, One Capitol Hill, Providence, Rhode Island 02908.

c. <u>Enforcement.</u>

Any creditor or stakeholder of the City under the Amended Plan, including the State of Rhode Island, the City's labor unions and the retirees, shall have power to seek specific enforcement from the Bankruptcy Court to require the City to comply with the Amended Plan terms and/or to require the City to make changes necessary for the City to be in Material Conformity with the Six-Year Financial Projection.

D. <u>Distributions.</u>

All distributions to any Holder of an Allowed Claim shall be made at the address of such Holder as set forth in the books and records of the City or its agents, unless the City has been notified by such Holder in a writing that contains an address for such Holder different

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 45 of 58

from the address reflected in its books and records. All such notifications of address changes and all address continuations should be mailed to: City of Central Falls, Attn: City Clerk, 580 Broad Street, Central Falls, Rhode Island 02863.

1. <u>Undeliverable Distributions.</u>

Holding Of Undeliverable Distributions. If any distribution to any Holders is returned to the City or its agent as undeliverable, no further distributions shall be made to such Holder unless and until the City is notified in writing of such Holder's then-current address. Unless and until the City is so notified, such distribution shall be deemed to be "Unclaimed Property."

Unclaimed Property. If any entity entitled to receive distributions pursuant to the Amended Plan does not present itself on the Effective Date or on such other date on which such entity becomes eligible for distribution, such distributions shall be deemed to be "Unclaimed Property." Unclaimed Property shall be set aside and held in a segregated account to be maintained by the City pursuant to the terms of the Amended Plan.

No later than sixty (60) days after June 30, 2017 the City shall file with the Bankruptcy Court a list of Unclaimed Property, together with a schedule that identifies the name and last-known address of Holders of the Unclaimed Property; the City otherwise shall not be required to attempt to locate any such entity. On August 31, 2017, all remaining Unclaimed Property and accrued interest or dividends earned thereon shall be remitted to and vest in the City, except as may be provided under the laws of the State of Rhode Island.

2. <u>Timeliness of Payments.</u>

Any payments or distributions to be made pursuant to the Amended Plan shall be deemed to be timely made if made within fourteen (14) days after the dates specified in the Amended Plan. Whenever any distribution to be made under the Amended Plan shall be due on a day that is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, such distribution instead shall be made, without interest, on the immediately succeeding day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, but shall be deemed to have been made on the date due.

3. <u>Compliance With Tax Requirements.</u>

The City will comply with all tax withholding and reporting requirements imposed on it by any governmental unit, and all distributions pursuant to the Amended Plan will be subject to such withholding and reporting requirements. In connection with each distribution with respect to which the filing of an information return (such as Internal Revenue Service Forms W-2, 1099 or 1042) or withholding is required, the City will file such information return with the Internal Revenue Service and provide any required statements in connection therewith to the recipients of such distribution, or effect any such withholding and deposit all monies so withheld to the extent required by law. With respect to any entity from whom a tax identification number, certified tax identification number, or other tax information required by law to avoid withholding has not been received by the City, the City at its sole option, may withhold the amount required and distribute the balance to such entity or decline to make such distribution until the information is received.

4. <u>Time Bar to Cash Payments.</u>

Checks issued by the City on account of Allowed Claims will be null and void if not negotiated within ninety (90) days from and after the date of issuance thereof. Requests for reissuance of any check shall be made directly to the City by the Holder of the Allowed Claim with respect to which such check originally was issued. Any claim in respect of such a voided check must be made on or before the second anniversary of the Effective Date. After such date, all claims in respect of voided checks will be discharged and forever barred and the City will retain all monies related thereto.

5. <u>No Distributions on Account of Disputed Claims.</u>

No distributions shall be made on account of any part of any Disputed Claim until such Claim becomes Allowed (and then only to the extent so Allowed). Distributions made after the Effective Date in respect of Claims that were not Allowed as of the Effective Date (but which later became Allowed) shall be deemed to have been made as of the Effective Date.

6. <u>No Postpetition Accrual.</u>

Unless otherwise specifically provided in the Amended Plan or Allowed by order of

the Bankruptcy Court, the City will not be required to pay to any Holder of a Claim any interest, penalty or late charge accruing with respect to such Claim on or after the Petition Date.

E. <u>Bar Date for Attorney Fees.</u>

Any holder of Class 1 \$12,000,000 General Obligation School Bonds Claims, Class 2 \$8,700,000 General Obligation Municipal Facility Bonds Claims, Class 3 \$1,300,000 General Obligation School Bonds Claims, Class 4 \$750,000 General Obligation School Bonds, of Class 5 \$4,250,000 General Obligation School Bonds, that seeks allowance of a Class 16 General Unsecured Claim or a Class 17 General Unsecured Convenience Claim for attorney fees, shall file a Proof of Claim with the Court no later than 30 days after the Court enters an Order confirming the Amended Plan or any such claim shall be deemed forever barred. The City reserves its right to object to the allowance of any such claims.

F. Incorporation of Agreements.

The following agreements are attached and incorporated into the Amended Plan by reference:

a. City of Central Falls Rhode Island and Fraternal Order of Police Lodge 2 Collective Bargaining Agreement for November 23, 2011 to June 30, 2016.

b. Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City of Central Falls and Local 1485, International Association of Fire Fighters, AFL-CIO, November 23, 2011 to June 30, 2016

c. Agreement between R.I. Council 94, AFSCM, AFL-CIO, Local 1627 and The City of Central Falls, November 23, 2011 to June 30, 2016.

d. Settlement and Release Agreement (with Central Falls retirees). Notwithstanding any set forth herein to the contrary, to the extent that the terms of Settlement and Release Agreement are inconsistent with the terms of the Amended Plan, the terms of the Settlement and Release Agreement shall govern. It should be noted that the City and retirees' counsel have reached a settlement in principal with counsel to the retirees to provide certain qualified retirees with funded HRA accounts. That agreement still needs

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 48 of 58

to be ratified by the retirees in order to be enforceable. If and when such an agreement is ratified and executed, the Second Amended Plan will be modified in order to incorporate the agreement by reference.

G. **Disputed Claims.**

1. <u>Claims Objection Deadline; Prosecution of Objections.</u>

The City shall have the right to object to the allowance of Claims filed with the Bankruptcy Court with respect to which liability or allowance is disputed in whole or in part. Unless otherwise ordered by the Bankruptcy Court, the City shall file and serve any such objections to Claims by the earlier of (i) the date such distribution would otherwise be due or (ii) not later than one hundred and eighty (180) days after the Effective Date (or, in the case of Claims lawfully filed after the Effective Date, by not later than one hundred and eighty (180) days after the and eighty (180) days after the date of filing of such Claims).

2. <u>Reserves, Payments, and Distributions With Respect to Disputed Claims.</u>

A. Prior to making *a pro rata* distribution to Holders of Class 16 General Unsecured Claims or Class 17 General Unsecured Convenience Claim, the City shall reserve from the amount of the distribution a reserve for such Class 16 General Unsecured Claims or Class 17 General Unsecured Convenience Claim to the extent that such Claims are Disputed Claims. The amount reserved for each such Claim shall be on the same *pro rata* basis as the distribution to Allowed Class 16 General Unsecured Claims and Allowed Class 17 General Unsecured Convenience Claims, as the case may be, based upon the lesser of (a) the amount asserted by the Holder of the Claim to be owing, and (b) such amount as the Bankruptcy Court may estimate for all distribution purposes upon motion of the City, which motion may be made initially or from time to time.

At such time as a Disputed Claim becomes an Allowed Claim, in whole or in part, the City or its agent shall distribute to the Holder thereof the distributions, if any, to which such Holder is then entitled under the Amended Plan. Such distributions, if any, shall be made as soon as practicable after the date that the order or judgment of the Bankruptcy Court allowing such

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 49 of 58

Disputed Claim becomes a Final Order (or such other date as the Claim becomes an Allowed Claim), but in no event more than sixty (60) days thereafter. Unless otherwise specifically provided in the Amended Plan or Allowed by order of the Bankruptcy Court, no interest shall be paid on Disputed Claims that later become Allowed Claims.

To the extend a Disputed Claim is disallowed, the amounts held in escrow for that Disputed Claim shall be removed from escrow and re-allocated to the remaining Allowed Claims and Disputed Claims for pro rata distribution or escrow on the next scheduled payment date.

H. <u>Continuing Jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court.</u>

The Amended Plan provides for the Bankruptcy Court to retain jurisdiction over a broad range of matters relating to the Chapter 9 Case, the Amended Plan, and other related items. Readers are encouraged to review the Amended Plan carefully to ascertain the nature of the Bankruptcy Court's continuing post-Effective Date jurisdiction.

V. <u>CONFIRMATION AND EFFECTIVENESS OF THE AMENDED PLAN</u>

Because the law with respect to confirmation of a plan of adjustment is complex, creditors concerned with issues regarding confirmation of the Amended Plan should consult with their own attorneys and financial advisors. The following discussion is intended solely for the purpose of providing basic information concerning certain confirmation issues. The City cannot and does not represent that the discussion contained below is a complete summary of the law on this topic.

Many requirements must be met before the Bankruptcy Court may confirm the Amended Plan. Some of the requirements discussed in this Amended Disclosure Statement include acceptance of the Amended Plan by the requisite number of creditors, and whether the Amended Plan is in the "best interests" of creditors. These requirements, however, are not the only requirements for confirmation, and the Bankruptcy Court will not confirm the Amended Plan unless and until it determines that the Amended Plan satisfies all applicable requirements, including requirements not referenced in this Amended Disclosure Statement.

A. Voting and Right to Be Heard at Confirmation.

1. <u>Who May Support or Object to Confirmation of the Amended Plan?</u>

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 50 of 58

Any party in interest may support or object to the confirmation of the Amended Plan. Even entities who may not have a right to vote (e.g., entities whose Claims are classified into an unimpaired Class) may still have a right to support or object to confirmation of the Amended Plan. (*See* Section I(C)(2) for information regarding the applicable deadlines for objecting to confirmation of the Amended Plan).

2. Who May Vote to Accept or Reject the Amended Plan?

A creditor generally has a right to vote for or against the Amended Plan if its Claim is both Allowed for purposes of voting and is classified into an Impaired Class. Generally, a Claim is deemed Allowed if a proof of claim was timely filed, provided, however, that if an objection to a Claim has been filed, the claimant cannot vote unless the Bankruptcy Court, after notice and hearing, either overrules the objection or allows the Claim for voting purposes. Thus, the definition of "Allowed Claim" used in the Amended Plan for purpose of determining whether creditors are entitled to receive distributions is different from that used by the Bankruptcy Court to determine whether a particular Claim is an "Allowed Claim" for purposes of voting. Holders of Claims are advised to review the definitions of "Allowed Claim," "Claim," and "Disputed Claim" set forth in A of the Amended Plan to determine whether they may be entitled to vote on, and/or receive distributions under, the Amended Plan.

3. <u>Who Is Not Entitled to Vote?</u>

The Holders of the following types of Claims are not entitled to vote on the Amended Plan: (a) Claims that have been disallowed; (b) Claims that are subject to a pending objection and which have not been Allowed for voting purposes; (c) Claims that are not impaired; and (d) Administrative Expense Claims, since such Claims are not placed in Classes and are required to receive certain treatment specified by the Bankruptcy Code.

4. <u>Vote Necessary to Confirm the Amended Plan.</u>

The Bankruptcy Court cannot confirm the Amended Plan unless, among other things, (a) at least one impaired Class has accepted the Amended Plan without counting the votes of any insiders within that Class; and (b) either all impaired Classes have voted to accept the Amended Plan, or the Amended Plan is eligible to be confirmed by "cram down" with respect to any dissenting impaired Class.

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 51 of 58

A Class of Claims is considered to have accepted the Amended Plan when more than one-half in number <u>and</u> at least two-thirds in dollar amount of the Claims that actually voted in that Class have voted in favor of the Amended Plan.

B. <u>The "Best Interests" Test.</u>

The Bankruptcy Court also must determine that the Amended Plan is in the "best interests of creditors" pursuant to section 943(b)(7) of the Bankruptcy Code, which in the Chapter 9 context means that treatment under the Amended Plan must be better than the only alternative available, which is dismissal of the case. Dismissal permits every creditor to fend for itself in the race to the courthouse, since a municipality such as the City is not eligible under the Bankruptcy Code for a court-supervised liquidation under chapter 7.

The City submits that the Amended Plan is in the best interests of all creditors because significant payments will be made to all impaired Classes. While the Amended Plan permits the City to continue to maintain minimally acceptable levels of vital municipal services for its residents and businesses, and while it devotes substantial resources to the repayment of the City's creditors, it nevertheless further defers infrastructure maintenance as well as the optimal staffing of City service units such as police and fire.

In contrast, in the absence of the financial adjustments made in Amended Plan, the City's creditors would be left to "fend for themselves." Individual creditor collection actions likely would aggregate, through lawsuits, attempts at attachments and writs of mandamus, to make continued operation of the City untenable. Massive litigation costs would burden the City, its creditors and all parties in interest, although creditors financially equipped to pursue litigation most quickly (and thus win "the race to the courthouse") would benefit disproportionately. In short, the City cannot afford to pay its creditors absent the debt relief afforded by the Amended Plan, and dismissal of the Chapter 9 Case could well result in chaos, with few if any creditors emerging safely from the blizzard of inevitable litigation.

C. <u>Feasibility.</u>

To satisfy the requirement set forth in Bankruptcy Code section 943(b)(7) that the Amended Plan be feasible, the City must demonstrate the ability to make the payments

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 52 of 58

required under the Amended Plan and still maintain its operations at the level that it deems necessary to the continued viability of the City. The City submits that the Amended Plan is feasible. The financial underpinning of the Amended Plan, the Six-Year Financial Plan, filed herewith as *Exhibit 3*, constitutes a sustainable matching of revenues and expenses, including the expenses created by or modified in the Amended Plan. The Executive Summary of the Six Year Financial Projection, filed herewith as *Exhibit 2*, further supports the feasibility of the Amended Plan interns of revenue projection, necessary expenditures, and the continuation of municipal services to the residents of the City.

D. Cram Down.

The Bankruptcy Code provides that the Bankruptcy Court may confirm a plan of adjustment that is not accepted by all impaired classes if at least one impaired Class of Claims accepts the Amended Plan and the so-called "cram down" provisions set forth in sections 1129(b)(1), (b)(2)(A) and (b)(2)(B) of the Bankruptcy Code are satisfied. The Amended Plan may be confirmed under the cram down provisions if, in addition to satisfying the other requirements of section 943(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, it (a) is "fair and equitable", and (b) does not discriminate unfairly with respect to each Class of Claims that is impaired under and has not accepted the Amended Plan.

The "fair and equitable" standard, also known as the "absolute priority rule," requires, among other things, that unless a dissenting unsecured Class of Claims receives payment in full for its Allowed Claims, no Holder of Allowed Claims in any Class junior to that Class may receive or retain any property on account of such Claims. The "fair and equitable" standard also has been interpreted to prohibit any class senior to a dissenting Class from receiving more than one hundred percent (100%) of its Allowed Claims under a plan. The City believes that the Amended Plan satisfies the "fair and equitable" standard because, among other things, no classes junior to the classes of unsecured Claims are receiving or retaining any property under the Amended Plan.

The requirement that the Amended Plan not "discriminate unfairly" means, among other things, that a dissenting Class must be treated substantially equally with respect to other Classes of equal rank. The City does not believe that the Amended Plan unfairly

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 53 of 58

discriminates against any Class that may not accept or otherwise consent to the Amended Plan.

As noted above, the City has reserved the right to request the Bankruptcy Court to confirm the Amended Plan by "cram down" in accordance with sections 1129(b)(1), (b)(2)(a) and (b)(2)(b). The City also has reserved the right to modify the Amended Plan to the extent, if any, that confirmation of the Amended Plan under sections 943 and 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code requires such modifications.

E. <u>Conditions to the Occurrence of the Effective Date.</u>

The entry of the Confirmation Order in form and substance satisfactory to the City is a condition precedent to confirmation of the Amended Plan and the establishment of an Effective Date. The "effective date of the Amended Plan," as used in § 1129 of the Bankruptcy Code, shall not occur, and the Amended Plan shall be of no force and effect, until the said condition precedent is satisfied.

The Effective Date will occur on the thirtieth (30th) day after the Court enters a Final Order confirming the Amended Plan.

F. <u>Effect of Confirmation.</u>

Article X of the Amended Plan provides that confirmation of the Amended Plan and the occurrence of the Effective Date will have a number of important and binding effects, some of which are summarized below. Readers are encouraged to review Article X of the Amended Plan carefully and in its entirety to assess the various consequences of confirmation of the Amended Plan.

1. <u>Discharge of the City.</u>

Pursuant to section 944 of the Bankruptcy Code, on the Effective Date, the City will be discharged from all debts (as defined in the Bankruptcy Code) of the City and Claims against the City other than (a) any debt specifically and expressly excepted from discharge by the Amended Plan or the Confirmation Order, or (b) any debt owed to an entity that, before

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 54 of 58

the confirmation of the Amended Plan, had neither notice nor actual knowledge of the Chapter 9 Case.

The rights afforded in the Amended Plan and the treatment of Claims will be in exchange for and in complete satisfaction, discharge and release of all Claims of any nature whatsoever arising on or before the Effective Date, known or unknown, including any interest accrued or expenses incurred thereon from and after the Petition Date, whether against the City or any of its properties, assets, or interests in property. Except as otherwise provided in the Amended Plan, on the Effective Date, all Claims against the City will be deemed to be satisfied, discharged and released in full.

2. <u>Injunction.</u>

The Amended Plan provides that all entities who have held, hold or may hold pre-Effective Date Claims will be permanently enjoined, from and after the Effective Date, from (a) commencing or continuing in any manner any action or other proceeding of any kind with respect to any such pre-Effective Date Claim against the City; (b) enforcing, attaching, collecting, or recovering by any manner or means any judgment, award, decree or order against the City with respect to such pre-Effective Date Claims; (c) creating, perfecting, or enforcing any lien or encumbrance of any kind against the City or its property or interests in property; and (d) asserting any right of setoff, subrogation or recoupment of any kind against any obligation due to the City with respect to any such pre-Effective Date Claim, except as otherwise permitted by section 553 of the Bankruptcy Code.

3. <u>Term of Existing Injunctions and Stays.</u>

The Amended Plan provides that all injunctions or stays provided for in the Chapter 9 Case pursuant to sections 105, 362, or 922 of the Bankruptcy Code, or otherwise, and in existence on the Confirmation Date, will remain in full force and effect until the Effective Date.

VI. <u>CERTAIN RISK FACTORS TO BE CONSIDERED</u>

Confirmation of the Amended Plan and the occurrence of the Effective Date are not

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 55 of 58

without risk to the City and its creditors in that the sources of revenue projected in the Six Year Financial Plan could contract. Thus, while the City devoted considerable time and effort in formulating the Six Year Financial Plan, there can be no guaranty that it will be 100% accurate.

For example, few Rhode Island cities, if any, predicted the length and depth of the economic downturn that saw real property values (and thus real property tax revenues) plummet. Nor did city financial planners predict the high unemployment and underemployment that accompanied the burst of the housing bubble and reduced the amount of sales tax revenues to state and local governments. Conversely, while the General Fund expenditures projected in the Six Year Financial Plan are the City's best and most reasoned estimate of costs, the occurrence of a natural or human-caused disaster could and likely would cause costs to rise, if not to spike. These risk factors should not, however, be regarded as constituting the only risks involved in connection with the Amended Plan and its implementation.

The City submits, though, that the risk to creditors and parties in interest is greater if the Amended Plan is not confirmed and consummated than if it is.

VII. <u>CERTAIN FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES</u>

The implementation of the Amended Plan may have federal, state, local and foreign tax consequences to the City and its creditors. No tax opinion has been sought or will be obtained with respect to any tax consequences of the Amended Plan. However, because the City is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under its Charter and the Constitution of the State of Rhode Island, and is treated as a political subdivision of the State of Rhode Island for federal income tax purposes, the City believes that it will not be subject to any federal income tax liability from implementation of the Amended Plan. The City anticipates that, in conformity with past practice, it will not file any federal corporate income tax returns with respect to the periods in which the Amended Plan is implemented nor report any income for federal income tax purposes as a result of implementing the Amended Plan.

Because individual circumstances may differ, and the income tax consequences of a

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 56 of 58

Chapter 9 case are complex and uncertain, this summary does not address the federal income tax consequences that may be relevant to the creditors of the City as a result of the Amended Plan. Accordingly, the creditors should consult with their own tax advisors regarding the income tax consequences of the Amended Plan to them, including the effect, if any, the Amended Plan may have on prior outstanding obligations the interest components of which the creditors were treating as excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes.

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Service, you are hereby notified that any tax advice contained in this summary is not intended or written to be used by any taxpayer, and cannot be used by any taxpayer, for the purpose of avoiding tax-related penalties that otherwise may be imposed under the Internal Revenue Code on the taxpayer. Such advice was written in connection with the solicitation of votes in favor of the Amended Plan. The City and its creditors should seek tax advice regarding the tax consequences to them of the Amended Plan based on their particular circumstances from an independent tax advisor.

VIII. <u>RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION</u>

The City believes that confirmation and implementation of the Amended Plan is preferable to all other available and feasible alternatives. Accordingly, <u>the City urges</u> <u>Holders of Impaired Claims to vote to accept the Amended Plan by so indicating on</u> <u>their ballots and returning them as specified in this Amended Disclosure Statement</u> <u>and on their ballots.</u> DATED: July 10, 2012

CITY OF CENTRAL FALLS

By: <u>/s/ John F. McJennett III</u> as and only as Receiver of the City of Central Falls, and not individually

Submitted by:

CITY OF CENTRAL FALLS

/s/ Theodore Orson Theodore Orson, Esq. (No. 3871) Christine Curley, Esq. (No. 4529) Orson and Brusini Ltd. 144 Wayland Avenue Providence, RI 02906 (401) 861-0344 torson@orsonandbrusini.com

Case 1:11-bk-13105 Doc 451 Filed 07/10/12 Entered 07/10/12 14:33:00 Desc Main Document Page 58 of 58

EXHIBITS TO SECOND AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT WITH RESPECT TO THE SECOND AMENDED PLAN FOR THE ADJUSTMENT OF DEBTS OF CITY OF CENTRAL FALLS, RHODE ISLAND, DATED JULY 10, 2012 (AMENDING PLAN FILED ON JUNE 15, 2012)

- Exhibit 1 Amended Plan of Debt Adjustment with Exhibits
- Exhibit 2 Executive Summary of Six Year Financial Projection with Appendices
- Exhibit 3 Six Year Financial Projection
- Exhibit 4 Actuarial Analysis and Viability Analysis for Restructured Central Falls Pension Plan
- Exhibit 5 Explanation of Active Health Care Changes
- Exhibit 6 Explanation of Retiree Health Care Changes
- Exhibit 7 Outstanding Debt Service Schedules
- Exhibit 8 Schedule of Pending Litigation
- Exhibit 9 Amended Creditors List
- Exhibit 10 Memorandum of Agreement between the City and R.I. Council 94, AFSCME, AFL-CIO, Local 1627 dated November 23, 2011
- Exhibit 11 Memorandum of Agreement between the City and Fraternal Order of Police, Lodge 2 dated November 23, 2011
- Exhibit 12 Memorandum of Agreement between the City and Local 1485, International Association of Fire Fighters, AFL-CIO dated December 8, 2011

NOTE THAT THE EXHIBITS TO THE AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT ARE NOT ANNEXED HERETO BUT ARE POSTED ON THE CITY'S WEBSITE AT: www.centralfallsri.com.