



THE FOLLOWING ORDER
IS APPROVED AND ENTERED
AS THE ORDER OF THIS COURT:

DATED: February 27, 2013

Susan V. Kelley
Honorable Susan V. Kelley
United States Bankruptcy Judge

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN**

In re:	Case No. 11-20059-svk
ARCHDIOCESE OF MILWAUKEE,	Chapter 11
Debtor.	Hon. Susan V. Kelley

ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO SEQUENCE

Upon consideration of Certain Claimant’s *Motion to Sequence* (the “Motion”) [Dkt. No. 1479]; the *Statement of Claimants A-179, A-180, A-181, and A-182 in Support of Motion to Sequence* [Dkt. No. 1485]; *Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors’ Joinder to the Motion to Sequence* [Dkt. No. 1513]; *Statement of Claimants A-453, A-455, A-462, A-467, A-471, A-473, A-474, A-475, A-476, A-478, A-501 and A-503 in Support of Motion to Sequence* [Dkt. No. 1523]; Stonewall Insurance Company’s *Memorandum in Response to Motion to Sequence* [Dkt.

Daryl L. Diesing
Bruce G. Arnold
Francis H. LoCoco
Lindsey M. Johnson
Whyte Hirschboeck Dudek S.C.
555 East Wells Street, Suite 1900
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-3819
Telephone: (414) 978-5305
Facsimile: (414) 223-5000
Email: floco@whdlaw.com



112005913022700000000006

No. 1535]; *London Market Insurers' Opposition to Motion to Sequence* [Dkt. No. 1536]; and the *Debtor's Memorandum in Opposition to Claimant's Motion to Sequence* [Dkt. No. 1538], it is hereby ordered:

The Motion is DENIED. However, the Claims Objections will proceed as follows:

1. The objections to the claims filed by Claimants A-12 and A-13 can continue.
2. The Court intends to disallow the claim of Claimant A-282 upon the reconsideration of the Court's earlier ruling that granted the admissibility of mediation communications. Assuming that A-282 files an appeal, further consideration over all similar claims objections (*see* Group 3 in Debtor's January 31, 2013 letter [Dkt. No. 1473] which describes prior settlements) will be suspended pending the results of the appeal. If the ruling is not appealed, the Court will entertain further scheduling requests regarding Group 3.
3. The objections to the claims in Group 1 (represented by counsel at time of settlement or pre-petition lawsuits dismissed with prejudice) and Group 2 (claimants A-32 and A-85) can proceed. (*See* Groups 1 and 2 in Debtor's January 31, 2013 letter [Dkt. No. 1473])
4. The Debtor shall select two claims from Group 4 (non-debtor entities) and Group 5 (Debtor claims no fraud is evident because the alleged abuse occurred prior to time Debtor became aware of perpetrator's abuse) to proceed through the claims objection process. Debtor's attorney shall identify these claims to claimant's counsel by February 28, 2013. (*See* Groups 4 and 5 in Debtor's January 31, 2013 letter [Dkt. No. 1473])

With respect to paragraphs 3 and 4 above, if counsel for the Debtor and the claimants cannot agree on a schedule to get these objections before the Court, counsel should contact the Court.

#####