1	Mark W. Roth (#010708)	
2	Philip R. Rudd (#014026) Mary B. Martin (#019196)	
3	POLSINELLI SHUGHART PC 3636 North Central Avenue, Suite 1200	
4	Phoenix, AZ 85012 Telephone: (602) 650-2000	
5	Facsimile: (602) 264-7033 E-Mail: mroth@polsinelli.com	
6	E-Mail: <a href="mailto:prudd@polsinelli.com">prudd@polsinelli.com</a> E-Mail: <a href="mailto:mmartin@polsinelli.com">mmartin@polsinelli.com</a>	
7	Attorneys for the Debtors	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES BA	ANKRUPTCY COURT
9	THE DISTRICT O	F ARIZONA
10	In re:	Chapter 11 Proceedings
11	CRYSTAL SPRINGS PHASE I, LLC CRYSTAL SPRINGS INVESTORS, LLC	Case No. 2:10-bk-14516-RJH Case No. 2:10-bk-14519-RJH
12	Debtors.	Joint Administration under
13	<b>Decision</b>	Case No. 2:10-bk-14516-RJH
14		DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RELATING TO PLAN OF
15		REORGANIZATION DATED AUGUST 10, 2010
16		
17	I. INTRODUCTION	
18	Debtors Crystal Springs Phase I, LLC ("CSPI	") and Crystal Springs Investors, LLC ("CSI),
19	debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-refer	enced jointly administered cases (collectively
20	the "Debtors"), hereby submit to the Court and cr	editors of the Debtors' estates the following
21	"Disclosure Statement Relating to Plan of Reorganiza	ation Dated August 10, 2010" (the "Disclosure
22	Statement"). This Disclosure Statement is submitted	pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1125.
23	11 U.S.C. § 1125(b) prohibits the solicitation	on of acceptances or rejections of a Plan of
24	Reorganization unless such Plan is accompanied by	a copy of the Disclosure Statement which has
25	been approved by the Bankruptcy Court.	
26	The purpose of this Disclosure Statement is to	provide creditors and interested parties in this
27	bankruptcy proceeding with such information as ma	ay reasonably be deemed sufficient to allow

creditors and interested parties to make an informed decision regarding the Debtors' "Plan of Reorganization Dated August 10, 2010" (the "Plan").

Unless otherwise noted, those portions of the Plan and this Disclosure Statement providing factual information concerning the Debtors, their assets and liabilities, have been prepared from information submitted by the Debtors and their retained professionals.

This Disclosure Statement contains information that may influence your decision to accept or reject the Debtors' proposed Plan. Please read this document with care.

The financial information contained in this Disclosure Statement has not been subjected to an audit by an independent certified public accountant. For that reason, the Debtors are not able to warrant or represent that the information contained in this Disclosure Statement is without any inaccuracy. To the extent practicable, the information has been prepared from the Debtors' financial books and records and great effort has been made to ensure that all such information is fairly represented.

This Disclosure Statement and the Plan will classify all creditors into Classes. The treatment of each Class of creditors will be set forth in this Disclosure Statement and in the Plan. You should carefully examine the treatment of the Class to which your Claim will be assigned.

This Disclosure Statement requires approval by the Bankruptcy Court after notice and a hearing pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §1125(b). Once approved, the Disclosure Statement will be distributed with the Debtors' proposed Plan for voting. Approval of the Disclosure Statement by the Bankruptcy Court does not constitute either certification or approval of the Debtors' Plan by the Bankruptcy Court or that the Disclosure Statement is without any inaccuracy.

The Bankruptcy Court will confirm the Plan if the requirements of §1129 of the Bankruptcy Code are satisfied. The Bankruptcy Court must determine whether the Plan has been accepted by each impaired Class entitled to vote on the Plan. Impaired Classes entitled to vote on the Plan are those Classes of claims whose legal, equitable, or contractual rights are altered, as defined under §1124 of the Bankruptcy Code. An impaired Class of claims is deemed to have accepted the Plan if at least two-thirds (2/3) in amount of those claims who vote and more than one-half (1/2) in number

1 2 3

of those claims who vote have accepted the Plan. An impaired Class of interests is deemed to have accepted the Plan if the Plan has been accepted by at least two-thirds (2/3) in amount of the allowed interests who vote on the Plan.

Even if each Class of creditors does not accept the Plan, the Plan can be confirmed under \$1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, so long as one impaired Class of creditors accepts the Plan. This is referred to as the "cram down" provision of the Bankruptcy Code. The failure of each Class to accept the Plan could very well result in a conversion of this case to Chapter 7 or dismissal of the Chapter 11.

Only the votes of those creditors or interested parties whose ballots are timely received will be counted in determining whether a Class has accepted the Plan.

#### II. DEFINITIONS

The definitions set forth in Article I of the Plan apply in this Disclosure Statement except to the extent other definitions are set forth in this Disclosure Statement.

## III. THE DEBTOR, BACKGROUND, AND EVENTS PRECIPITATING THE CHAPTER 11

#### A. Background

The Debtors are Arizona limited liability companies. Crystal Springs Phase I, LLC was formed in September 2007 and Crystal Springs Investors, LLC in September 2006. The Debtors are authorized to do business in Arizona. The Debtors' manager is Crystal Springs Manager LLC.

The Debtors own and operate, through a third party property manager, Trillium Residential, LLC ("Trillium"), that certain 400 unit apartment community in Avondale, Arizona known as the Crystal Springs Apartments (the "Property"). The Property is currently approximately 94% occupied. The management agreement with Trillium expires in September 2010, but the Debtors intend to renew the management agreement.

Lenox Mortgage XII, LLC, ("Lenox") has asserted a claim against the Debtors, allegedly secured by the Property, in the aggregate amount of approximately \$28.5 million. The Debtors have not determined whether the amount asserted by Lenox is accurate or not and reserve the right to challenge the amount of Lenox's asserted secured claims and any post-petition charges asserted

by Lenox.

Debtors have obtained an appraisal of the Property indicating that the value of the Property is approximately \$34.8 million, as of the Petition Date (i.e., May 12, 2010). Any party desiring a copy of the appraisals may contact the Debtors' counsel and Debtors' counsel will provide copies as requested.

Prior to the Debtors' bankruptcy filing, Lenox sought the appointment of a receiver with respect to the Property. The Debtors filed their voluntary bankruptcy petitions for the purposes of (a) staying the state court proceedings for the appointment of a receiver, which would have undermined and, likely destroyed, the positive momentum and achievements of Trillium in its operations of the Property and (b) providing time to allow the Debtors to formulate a plan of reorganization which will maximize distributions to all relevant parties.

#### **B.** Operations

The Debtors have operated, and intend to continue operating, the Property as a multi-family apartment community. The Debtors continue to receive income from tenants to pay for the ordinary and necessary operating expenses of the Property, as well as any necessary repairs. In fact, the Debtors have obtained a Court Order authorizing the Debtors' use of Lenox's asserted cash collateral pursuant to a budget, a copy of which is attached to the cash collateral Order dated June 22, 2010 (the "Budget"). The Budget reflects the current anticipated revenues and expenses relating to the Property. The Debtors continue to market and rent vacant apartment units in the Property and to renew existing leases when appropriate.

In order to provide for efficient and productive operations, and to keep the Debtors' business competitive, the Debtors intend to retain the same management team and structure that existed pre-petition. The issues confronted by the Debtors that led to the bankruptcy filings were the product of market changes, not the Debtors' management or its structure. Thus, a change in management structure is not in the best interests of the Debtors or their creditors because the existing structure is appropriate to meet the needs of the Debtors.

By maintaining its current management and operational structure, the Debtors will avoid the

transactional costs associated with significant and unnecessary change. In addition, the institutional knowledge of the management team will be preserved.

Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" are the Debtors' projections of cash flow through December 2010, reflecting the Debtors' sources and uses of cash for the operation of the Property.

#### C. Preferences and Fraudulent Conveyances

To the extent that a preference or fraudulent conveyance occurred before the bankruptcy filings, such transfer may be recoverable by the bankruptcy estates for the benefit of the estates under §§ 544, 547, or 548 of the Bankruptcy Code. To date, no complaints have been filed under any of these theories, and the Debtors are not currently aware of any causes of action for the recovery of preferences or fraudulent conveyances. To the extent any such claims exist, they will be analyzed for their potential value to the estates. These potential claims are specifically preserved for the benefit of the bankruptcy estates. Any recovery that is obtained will be obtained for the benefit of the estates.

#### D. State Court Construction Defect Litigation

In December 2008, the Debtors and their predecessors initiated a lawsuit against the builder of the Property and others, asserting that there are certain defects in the construction of the Property and seeking damages for such construction defects. The lawsuit is pending in Maricopa County Superior Court in the State of Arizona and is titled *Pacific Capital Investments, et al vs. Crystal Springs 200 Apartments Limited Partnership*, Case No. 2008-055020 ("Lawsuit"). Currently, there are more than twenty-seven (27) defendants and third-party defendants in the litigation, which includes the claims of the general contractor against its subcontractors for indemnity for their negligence in the creation of the construction defects. Importantly, the defendants have significant insurance in place that likely will serve as the ultimate source of recovery in any settlement or upon a judgment against the defendants.

The parties to the Lawsuit are scheduled to attend mediation in September or October 2010.

A Trial Setting Conference is scheduled for September 2010.

#### IV. SIGNIFICANT EVENTS DURING THE CHAPTER 11 CASE

#### A. Administrative Proceedings

The Debtors filed their Petitions for Relief under Chapter 11 on May 12, 2010 (the "Petition Date"), and a first meeting of creditors was held on June 8, 2010.

#### **B.** Retention of Professionals

The Debtors retained Polsinelli Shughart, P.C. ("PS") to act as their bankruptcy counsel. The Court signed an Order approving the retention of PS on May 14, 2010.

On June 8, 2010, at the Debtors' request, the Court entered an Order authorizing the Debtors to retain the law firm of Elliott & Elliott, as special counsel, to prosecute the Lawsuit on behalf of the Debtors.

#### C. Appointment of Unsecured Creditors Committee

The United States Trustee's Office filed a statement stating that, despite its efforts to contact unsecured creditors, it was unable to appoint a Committee of Unsecured Creditors.

#### D. Motion to Use Cash Collateral

The Debtors filed a motion to use the revenues generated by the Property, which Lenox asserts constitute its cash collateral, on May 13, 2010. Lenox filed an objection to the use of its asserted cash collateral. The Court held an evidentiary hearing regarding Lenox's objection on June 21, 2010. Upon the evidence presented by the Debtors and Lenox at the hearing, the Court entered an Order for the Debtors' use of the asserted cash collateral, through August 31, 2010. An evidentiary hearing for the continued use of cash collateral is scheduled for August 23, 2010.

#### **E.** Operating Reports

The Debtors' monthly operating reports are current and copies can be obtained from the Court's electronic docket.

## F. Motion for Reconsideration of Order Authorizing Retention of Elliott & Elliott as Special Counsel for the Debtors

On July 8, 2010, Lenox filed a motion requesting that the Court reconsider its Order authorizing the Debtors' retention of Elliott & Elliott, alleging that the proceeds of the Lawsuit, if any, constitute Lenox's cash collateral, and that the Debtors cannot use such alleged cash collateral

to pay the contingency fee to Elliott & Elliott without either Lenox's consent or the Court's finding that Lenox's alleged interests in the proceeds of the Lawsuit are adequately protected. The Debtors 2 3 have objected to the motion for reconsideration. On August 4, 2010, the Court held a hearing on the motion, which has been continued to August 31, 2010. 5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

#### G. **Motion for Turnover**

On July 8, 2010, Lenox filed a motion requiring Debtors' counsel to transfer funds from counsel's retainer account into the Debtors' cash collateral account. The Debtors have objected to the motion. After a hearing held on August 4, 2010, the Court took the matter under advisement.

#### H. **Stay Relief Motion**

On July 12, 2010, Lenox filed a motion seeking to terminate the automatic stay to allow it to exercise its state law rights against the Property. The Debtors have objected to the motion for stay relief. On August 9, 2010, the Court held a preliminary hearing on the motion for stay relief and scheduled an evidentiary hearing for September 22, 2010.

#### I. Plan of Reorganization

On August 10, 2010, the Debtors filed their Plan of Reorganization.

#### $\mathbf{V}$ . DESCRIPTION OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF THE DEBTORS

#### A. Assets

The values ascribed to the Debtors' assets below are based on the Debtors' best estimate and other factors such as the purchase price, comparable sales, tax assessments, and appraisals.

- 1. Real Property – \$34.8 million, combined. Phase I is valued at approximately \$17.2 million and Phase II at approximately \$17.6 million.
- 2. **Operating Bank Accounts** – Approximately \$700,871 as of the Petition Date. The Debtors have accumulated, and continue to accumulate, net cash from operations of the Property since the Petition Date. The current amount of cash held by the Debtors is reflected in the most recent Monthly Operating Reports filed by the Debtors.
- 3. **Tenant Security Deposit Accounts** – Approximately \$143,270. Security deposits received from tenants at the Property are held in a segregated bank account.

- 4. Other Accounts and Deposits The Debtors also have an interest in certain cash retainers held by professionals that provided services to the Debtors pre-petition. As reflected in the Schedules, the law firm of Brier, Irish, Hubbard & Erhart is holding a retainer in the amount of \$7,500 and the law firm of Jonathon Christianson is holding a retainer in the total amount of \$30,000.
- **5. Accounts Receivable** The Debtors own certain accounts receivable from tenants for unpaid rent in the total amount of approximately \$33,360.47.
- **6. Personal Property** The Debtors own certain personal property, consisting primarily of office equipment, model unit furniture, fixtures and computer software. The value of the personal property is currently unknown but is nominal in relation to the value of the Debtors' real property.

#### B. Liabilities

The following is an overview of the Debtors' known liabilities.

#### 1. Priority Claims

The Debtors are not aware of the existence of any pre-petition priority claims.

#### 2. Secured Claims

- a. The Debtors' schedules list Lenox as a secured creditor with a first position lien on the Property in the total amount of approximately \$27.3 million. In its motion for stay relief, Lenox asserts that the amount owing on its secured claim is approximately \$28.5 million as of the Petition Date. The Debtors dispute this assertion.
- b. CSPI's schedules list the law firm of Jonathon Christianson as a secured creditor with a claim of approximately \$6,216.50 secured by a cash retainer held by Jonathon Christianson in the amount of \$15,000.
- c. CSI's schedules list the law firm of Jonathon Christianson as a secured creditor with a claim of approximately \$6,216.50 secured by a cash retainer held by Jonathon Christianson in the amount of \$15,000.

- d. CSPI's schedules list the law firm of Brier, Irish, Hubbard & Erhart as a secured creditor with a claim of approximately \$5,000 secured by a cash retainer held by Brier, Irish, Hubbard & Erhart in the amount of \$7,500.
- e. CSPI's schedules list Javier Vande Steeg as a secured creditor with a claim of approximately \$4,000 secured by a lien on certain model unit furniture at the Property.
- f. CSI's schedules list Javier Vande Steeg as a secured creditor with a claim of approximately \$6,000 secured by a lien on certain model unit furniture at the Property.
- g. The Debtors' schedules list the Maricopa County Treasurer as a secured creditor with a claim in the aggregate amount of approximately \$147,908.

#### 3. Unsecured Claims

According to the Debtors' Schedules of Assets and Liabilities, the total aggregate amount of unsecured claims, not including any deficiency claims of secured creditors, is approximately \$2,200,836. Of this amount, approximately \$1,909,410 is held by Lou Weinstein. Mr. Weinstein acquired these claims against the Debtors from a party related to the Debtors prior to the Petition Date. The Debtors are informed and believe that Mr. Weinstein has agreed to, and will, substantially discount his claims against the Debtors.

#### C. Administrative Expenses

The Debtors' administrative expenses consist of the fees and costs of attorneys and other professionals necessary to the Debtors' operations, bankruptcy case, and plan of reorganization. The fees and costs of these professionals will not be precisely known until the Bankruptcy Case is completed. However, as set forth below, the Debtors' professionals anticipate that either (a) the retainers they presently have will be sufficient to cover the services they have rendered, and will render, in the Bankruptcy Case, or (b) for those professionals that do not have retainers and will be paid by some other manner, their projected anticipated fees and costs for their services will be commensurate with their historical fees and costs incurred by the Debtors.

The Debtors' bankruptcy counsel is PS. PS is currently in possession of a retainer in the

amount of \$150,000. PS anticipates its fees will be less than the amount of the retainer. However, to the extent that PS's fees and costs exceed the amount of the retainer, PS's fees and costs will constitute administrative claims against the Debtors' Estates.

#### VI. PLAN SUMMARY

The following statements concerning the Plan are merely a summary of the Plan and are not complete. The statements are qualified entirely by express reference to the Plan. Creditors are urged to consult with counsel or each other in order to understand the Plan fully. The Plan is complete, inasmuch as it proposes a legally binding agreement by the debtor, and an intelligent judgment cannot be made without reading it in full.

#### VII. CLASSIFICATION OF CLAIMS AND INTERESTS.

#### A. Class 1: Priority Claims

- 1. Class 1-A consists of Allowed Priority Claims under 11 U.S.C. § 503 and § 507(a)(2) (Administrative Claims).
- 2. Class 1-B consists of Allowed Priority Claims under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(3) (Wage Claims).
- 3. Class 1-C consists of Allowed Priority Claims under 11 U.S.C. §507(a)(8) (Tax Claims).

#### B. Class 2: Secured Claims

- Class 2-A consists of the Allowed Secured Claim of Lenox secured by CSPI's interest in Phase 1 of the Property.
- 2. Class 2-B consists of the Allowed Secured Claim of Lenox secured by CSI's interest in Phase 2 of the Property.
- 3. Class 2-C consists of the Allowed Secured Claim of Javier Vande Steeg in certain personal property owned by CSPI.
- 4. Class 2-D consists of the Allowed Secured Claim of Javier Vande Steeg in certain personal property owned by CSI.
  - 5. Class 2-E consists of the Allowed Secured Claim of Jonathon Christianson

#### IX. TREATMENT OF CLASSES.

#### A. Class 1: Priority Claims

#### 1. Class 1-A: Administrative Claims

This Class consists of Allowed Priority Claims under 11 U.S.C. §§ 503 and 507(a)(2) – administrative priority claims. Unless Claimants holding Claims in this Class agree to an alternative form of treatment, the Allowed Claims of Class 1-A shall be paid in full, in cash, on or before the Effective Date, or as the same are Allowed and ordered paid by the Court. Any Class 1-A Claim not allowed as of the Effective Date shall be paid as soon thereafter as it is allowed by the Court according to the terms of this Class. This Class is not impaired.

#### 2. Class 1-B: Wage Claims

This Class consists of Allowed Priority Claims under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4) – wage claims. As provided in 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(9)(B), unless Claimants holding Claims in this Class agree to an alternative form of treatment, the Allowed Priority Claims of Class 1-B shall be paid in full, in cash, on or before the Effective Date. The Debtor does not believe that any claims exist under this Class. Any Class 1-B Claim not allowed as of the Effective Date shall be paid as soon thereafter as they are allowed by the Court according to the terms of this Class. This Class is not impaired.

#### 3. Class 1-C: Tax Claims

This Class consists of Allowed Priority Claims under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8) – tax Claims which are not otherwise treated as secured claims herein. As provided in 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(9)(C), unless Claimants holding Claims in this Class agree to an alternative form of treatment, the Allowed Priority Claims of Class 1-C shall be paid in full, in cash, on or before the Effective Date, or, at the Debtor's option, such Allowed Claims shall be paid, on account of such Allowed Claim, deferred cash payments, over a period not exceeding six years after the date of assessment of such Claim, of a value, as of the Effective Date of the Plan, equal to the allowed amount of such Claim. Any Class 1-C Claims not allowed as of the Effective Date shall be paid as soon thereafter as they are allowed by the Court according to the terms of this Class. This Class is not impaired.

#### **B.** Class 2: Secured Claims

## 1. <u>Class 2-A – Allowed Secured Claim of Lenox secured by CSPI's Interests in Phase 1 of the Property</u>

This Class consists of the Allowed Secured Claim of Lenox secured by CSPI's interests in Phase 1 of the Property. The amount of Lenox's Allowed Secured Claim in this Class shall be subject to determination by the Court if Lenox files a proof of claim and the Debtors object to such proof of claim. This Class is unimpaired.

On the Effective Date of the Plan (or as soon thereafter as the Court determines, by a Final Order, the reinstatement amount to be paid to Lenox, if such amount has not been determined by a Final Order of the Court prior to the Effective Date), CSPI will fully reinstate and deaccelerate Lenox's Allowed Secured Claim secured by Phase 1 of the Property by:

- (a) making a cash payment to Lenox to pay and cure any existing monetary default under the loan documents owned and held by Lenox and secured by Phase 1 of the Property, the amount of which cure will be determined by the Court;
  - (b) reinstating the maturity of Lenox's claim secured by Phase 1 of the Property;
- (c) making a cash payment to Lenox to compensate Lenox for any damages, as determined by the Court, incurred by Lenox as a result of Lenox's reasonable reliance on any contractual provisions in the loan documents between CSPI and Lenox (or Lenox's predecessors) that entitled Lenox to demand or receive accelerated payment of its Allowed Claim secured by Phase 1 of the Property after the occurrence of a default;
- (d) to the extent that any portion of Lenox's Allowed Claim arises from CSPI's failure to perform a non-monetary obligation, making cash payment to Lenox to compensate Lenox for any actual pecuniary loss, as determined by the Court, incurred by Lenox as a result of such failure; and
- (e) not otherwise altering the legal, equitable or contractual rights of Lenox under the loan documents giving rise to Lenox's Allowed Secured Claim secured by Phase 1 of the Property.

Notwithstanding any contractual provision or applicable law that entitles or entitled Lenox to demand or receive accelerated payment of Lenox's Allowed Secured Claim after the occurrence

of a default, CSPI's cure and reinstatement of Lenox's Allowed Secured Claim secured by Phase 1 of the Property will reinstate, without acceleration, the loan and existing loan documents between CSPI and Lenox, and Lenox's legal, equitable or contractual rights against CSPI, pursuant to such loan documents, will otherwise remain unaltered. Further, the maturity of Lenox's Allowed Secured Claim shall be reinstated as it existed before any default by CSPI under the loan documents.

## 2. <u>Class 2-B – Allowed Secured Claim of Lenox secured by CSI's Interests in Phase 2 of the Property</u>

This Class consists of the Allowed Secured Claim of Lenox secured by CSI's interests in Phase 2 of the Property. The amount of Lenox's Allowed Secured Claim in this Class shall be subject to determination by the Court if Lenox files a proof of claim and the Debtors object to such proof of claim. This Class is unimpaired.

On the Effective Date of the Plan (or as soon thereafter as the Court determines, by a Final Order, the reinstatement amount to be paid to Lenox, if such amount has not been determined by a Final Order of the Court prior to the Effective Date), CSI will fully reinstate and deaccelerate Lenox's Allowed Secured Claim secured by Phase 2 of the Property by:

- (a) making a cash payment to Lenox to pay and cure any existing monetary default under the loan documents owned and held by Lenox and secured by Phase 2 of the Property, the amount of which cure will be determined by the Court;
  - (b) reinstating the maturity of Lenox's claim secured by Phase 2 of the Property;
- (c) making a cash payment to Lenox to compensate Lenox for any damages, as determined by the Court, incurred by Lenox as a result of Lenox's reasonable reliance on any contractual provisions in the loan documents between CSI and Lenox (or Lenox's predecessors) that entitled Lenox to demand or receive accelerated payment of its Allowed Claim secured by Phase 2 of the Property after the occurrence of a default;
- (d) to the extent that any portion of Lenox's Allowed Claim arises from CSI's failure to perform a non-monetary obligation, making cash payment to Lenox to compensate Lenox for any actual pecuniary loss, as determined by the Court, incurred by Lenox as a result of such failure;

and

(e) not otherwise altering the legal, equitable or contractual rights of Lenox under the loan documents giving rise to Lenox's Allowed Secured Claim secured by Phase 2 of the Property.

Notwithstanding any contractual provision or applicable law that entitles or entitled Lenox to demand or receive accelerated payment of Lenox's Allowed Secured Claim after the occurrence of a default, CSI's cure and reinstatement of Lenox's Allowed Secured Claim secured by Phase 2 of the Property will reinstate, without acceleration, the loan and existing loan documents between CSI and Lenox, and Lenox's legal, equitable or contractual rights against CSI, pursuant to such loan documents, will otherwise remain unaltered. Further, the maturity of Lenox's Allowed Secured Claim shall be reinstated as it existed before any default by CSI under the loan documents.

### 3. <u>Class 2-C – Allowed Secured Claim of Javier Vande Steeg secured by</u> certain personal property owned by CSPI

This Class consists of the Allowed Secured Claim of Javier Vande Steeg secured by certain personal property owned by CSPI. This Class is unimpaired.

On the Effective Date of the Plan, to the extent that there are any existing defaults under the loan documents between CSPI and Javier Vande Steeg as of the Effective Date of the Plan, CSPI will fully reinstate and deaccelerate Javier Vande Steeg's Allowed Secured Claim secured by certain personal property owned by CSPI by:

- (a) making a cash payment to Javier Vande Steeg to pay and cure any existing monetary default under the loan documents owned and held by Javier Vande Steeg and secured by the personal property owned by CSPI, the amount of which cure will be determined by the Court;
- (b) reinstating the maturity of Javier Vande Steeg's claim secured by the personal property owned by CSPI;
- (c) making a cash payment to Javier Vande Steeg to compensate him for any damages, as determined by the Court, incurred by Javier Vande Steeg as a result of his reasonable reliance on any contractual provisions in the loan documents between CSPI and Javier Vande Steeg that entitled or may have entitled Javier Vande Steeg to demand or receive accelerated payment of its Allowed Claim secured by certain personal property owned by CSPI after the occurrence of a

default, if any;

- (d) to the extent that any portion of Javier Vande Steeg's Allowed Claim arises from CSPI's failure to perform a non-monetary obligation, making cash payment to Javier Vande Steeg to compensate him for any actual pecuniary loss, as determined by the Court, incurred by Javier Vande Steeg as a result of such failure; and
- (e) not otherwise altering the legal, equitable or contractual rights of Javier Vande Steeg under the loan documents giving rise to Javier Vande Steeg's Allowed Secured Claim secured by certain personal property owned by CSPI.

Notwithstanding any contractual provision or applicable law that entitles or entitled Javier Vande Steeg to demand or receive accelerated payment of his Allowed Secured Claim after the occurrence of a default, CSPI's cure and reinstatement of Javier Vande Steeg's Allowed Secured Claim secured by certain personal property owned by CSPI will reinstate, without acceleration, the loan and existing loan documents between CSPI and Javier Vande Steeg, and Javier Vande Steeg's legal, equitable or contractual rights against CSPI, pursuant to such loan documents, will otherwise remain unaltered. Further, the maturity of Javier Vande Steeg's Allowed Secured Claim shall be reinstated as it existed before any default by CSPI under the loan documents, if any.

## 4. Class 2-D – Allowed Secured Claim of Javier Vande Steeg secured by certain personal property owned by CSI

This Class consists of the Allowed Secured Claim of Javier Vande Steeg secured by certain personal property owned by CSI. This Class is unimpaired.

On the Effective Date of the Plan, to the extent that there are any existing defaults under the loan documents between CSI and Javier Vande Steeg as of the Effective Date of the Plan, CSI will fully reinstate and deaccelerate Javier Vande Steeg's Allowed Secured Claim secured by certain personal property owned by CSI by:

- (a) making a cash payment to Javier Vande Steeg to pay and cure any existing monetary default under the loan documents owned and held by Javier Vande Steeg and secured by the personal property owned by CSI, the amount of which cure will be determined by the Court;
  - (b) reinstating the maturity of Javier Vande Steeg's claim secured by the personal property

owned by CSI;

(c) making a cash payment to Javier Vande Steeg to compensate him for any damages, as determined by the Court, incurred by Javier Vande Steeg as a result of his reasonable reliance on any contractual provisions in the loan documents between CSI and Javier Vande Steeg that entitled or may have entitled Javier Vande Steeg to demand or receive accelerated payment of its Allowed Claim secured by certain personal property owned by CSI after the occurrence of a default, if any;

- (d) to the extent that any portion of Javier Vande Steeg's Allowed Claim arises from CSI's failure to perform a non-monetary obligation, making cash payment to Javier Vande Steeg to compensate him for any actual pecuniary loss, as determined by the Court, incurred by Javier Vande Steeg as a result of such failure; and
- (e) not otherwise altering the legal, equitable or contractual rights of Javier Vande Steeg under the loan documents giving rise to Javier Vande Steeg's Allowed Secured Claim secured by certain personal property owned by CSI.

Notwithstanding any contractual provision or applicable law that entitles or entitled Javier Vande Steeg to demand or receive accelerated payment of his Allowed Secured Claim after the occurrence of a default, CSI's cure and reinstatement of Javier Vande Steeg's Allowed Secured Claim secured by certain personal property owned by CSI will reinstate, without acceleration, the loan and existing loan documents between CSI and Javier Vande Steeg, and Javier Vande Steeg's legal, equitable or contractual rights against CSI, pursuant to such loan documents, will otherwise remain unaltered. Further, the maturity of Javier Vande Steeg's Allowed Secured Claim shall be reinstated as it existed before any default by CSI under the loan documents, if any.

## 5. <u>Class 2-E – Allowed Secured Claim of Jonathon Christianson against CSPI secured by a cash retainer</u>

This Class consists of the Allowed Secured Claim of Jonathon Christianson against CSPI secured by cash retainer held by Jonathon Christianson. This Class is unimpaired.

On the Effective Date of the Plan, Jonathon Christianson will be entitled to, and shall, apply the retainer currently held by Jonathon Christianson against the allowed amount of Jonathon Christianson's claim against CSPI. To the extent that the amount of the retainer is insufficient to

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

16

15

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

pay Jonathon Christianson's Allowed Secured Claim in full on the Effective Date of the Plan, CSPI will pay any remaining balance of such Allowed Secured Claim, in cash, on the Effective Date of the Plan from the New Value contributed by the Contributing CSPI Interest Holders. To the extent that the amount of the retainer exceeds the amount of Jonathan Christianson's Allowed Secured Claim against CSPI, such excess will be delivered to CSPI and will be added to CSPI's cash on hand.

#### <u>Class 2-F – Allowed Secured Claim of Jonathon Christianson against</u> <u>CSI secured by a cash retainer</u> 6.

This Class consists of the Allowed Secured Claim of Jonathon Christianson against CSI secured by cash retainer held by Jonathon Christianson. This Class is unimpaired.

On the Effective Date of the Plan, Jonathon Christianson will be entitled to, and shall, apply the retainer currently held by Jonathon Christianson against the allowed amount of Jonathon Christianson's claim against CSI. To the extent that the amount of the retainer is insufficient to pay Jonathon Christianson's Allowed Secured Claim in full on the Effective Date of the Plan, CSI will pay any remaining balance of such Allowed Secured Claim, in cash, on the Effective Date of the Plan from the New Value contributed by the Contributing CSI Interest Holders. To the extent that the amount of the retainer exceeds the amount of Jonathan Christianson's Allowed Secured Claim against CSI, such excess will be delivered to CSI and will be added to CSI's cash on hand.

#### 7. Class 2-F – Allowed Secured Claim of Brier Irish against CSI secured by a cash retainer

This Class consists of the Allowed Secured Claim of Brier Irish against CSI secured by cash retainer held by Brier Irish. This Class is unimpaired.

On the Effective Date of the Plan, Brier Irish will be entitled to, and shall, apply the retainer currently held by Brier Irish against the allowed amount of Brier Irish's claim against CSI. To the extent that the amount of the retainer is insufficient to pay Brier Irish's Allowed Secured Claim in full on the Effective Date of the Plan, CSI will pay any remaining balance of such Allowed Secured Claim, in cash, on the Effective Date of the Plan from the New Value contributed by the Contributing CSI Interest Holders. To the extent that the amount of the retainer exceeds the amount of Brier Irish's Allowed Secured Claim against CSI, such excess will be

delivered to CSI and will be added to CSI's cash on hand.

## 8. <u>Class 2-G – Allowed Secured Claim of Maricopa County against CSPI secured by Phase 1 of the Property</u>

This Class consists of the Allowed Secured Claim of Maricopa County, Arizona ("Maricopa County") that is secured by a real property tax lien on Phase 1 of the Property. This Class is impaired.

Commencing on the Effective Date, the Allowed Secured Claim of Maricopa County encumbering Phase 1 of the Property, will be paid in equal quarterly payments of principal and interest over a term of 1 year. Interest will accrue and will be paid at the statutory rate plus 2%. The County will retain its existing secured interest in the Phase 1 of the Property until its Allowed Secured Claim in this class has been satisfied in full.

## 9. <u>Class 2-H – Allowed Secured Claim of Maricopa County against CSI secured by Phase 2 of the Property</u>

This Class consists of the Allowed Secured Claim of Maricopa County that is secured by a real property tax lien on Phase 2 of the Property. This Class is impaired.

Commencing on the Effective Date, the Allowed Secured Claim of Maricopa County encumbering Phase 2 of the Property, will be paid in equal quarterly payments of principal and interest over a term of 1 year. Interest will accrue and will be paid at the statutory rate plus 2%. The County will retain its existing secured interest in the Phase 2 of the Property until its Allowed Secured Claim in this class has been satisfied in full.

#### C. Class 3: Tenant Security Deposits

## 1. <u>Class 3-A – Allowed Claims of Tenants of Phase 1 of the Property for the return of tenant security deposits</u>

This Class consists of all Allowed Unsecured Claims of tenants in Phase 1 of the Property for pre-petition security deposits held by CSPI. This Class is unimpaired.

CSPI shall retain its right and ability to determine whether and what extent a tenant is entitled to the return of its security deposit pursuant to the terms of the lease between CSPI and the tenant and applicable state law. CSPI will pay any proper and authorized tenant deposit claims as such claims become due and payable to such tenants pursuant to the terms of the lease between

CSPI and the tenant and applicable state law. Any allowed legal, equitable and/or contractual rights or claims of tenants for a return of their tenant security deposits from CSPI will remain unaltered.

## 2. <u>Class 3-B – Allowed Claims of Tenants of Phase 2 of the Property for the return of tenant security deposits</u>

This Class consists of all Allowed Unsecured Claims of tenants in Phase 2 of the Property for pre-petition security deposits held by CSI. This Class is unimpaired.

CSI shall retain its right and ability to determine whether and what extent a tenant is entitled to the return of its security deposit pursuant to the terms of the lease between CSI and the tenant and applicable state law. CSI will pay any proper and authorized tenant deposit claims as such claims become due and payable to such tenants pursuant to the terms of the lease between CSI and the tenant and applicable state law. Any allowed legal, equitable and/or contractual rights or claims of tenants for a return of their tenant security deposits from CSI will remain unaltered.

#### D. Class 4: Allowed Unsecured Claims

#### 1. <u>Class 4-A – Allowed Unsecured Claims against CSPI</u>

This Class consists of all Allowed Unsecured Claims of Creditors holding Allowed Unsecured Claims against CSPI that are not otherwise specifically treated in this Plan. This Class is unimpaired.

Unless Claimants holding Claims in this Class agree to an alternative form of treatment, the Allowed Claims of Class 4-A shall be paid in full, in cash, on the Effective Date, or as the such Claims are Allowed and ordered paid by the Court. Any Class 4-A Claim not Allowed as of the Effective Date shall be paid, in full, within ten (10) business days of an Order by the Court allowing such Claim.

#### 2. <u>Class 4-B – Allowed Unsecured Claims against CSI</u>

This Class consists of all Allowed Unsecured Claims of Creditors holding Allowed Unsecured Claims against CSI that are not otherwise specifically treated in this Plan. This Class is unimpaired.

Unless Claimants holding Claims in this Class agree to an alternative form of treatment, the

Allowed Claims of Class 4-B shall be paid in full, in cash, on the Effective Date, or as the such Claims are Allowed and ordered paid by the Court. Any Class 4-B Claim not Allowed as of the Effective Date shall be paid, in full, within ten (10) business days of an Order by the Court allowing such Claim.

#### E. Class 5: Interest Holders

#### 1. Class 5-A – Allowed Interests of CSPI Interest Holders

Class 5-A consists of all Allowed Interests of CSPI Interest Holders. This Class is impaired. The CSPI Interest Holders will be given the opportunity to contribute New Value to CSPI in the form of a cash infusion in the amount of at least \$1.1 million. The New Value will be used to:

- (a) pay the amount necessary to pay all CSPI Class 1 Allowed Priority Claims as set forth above:
- (b) together with any cash on hand held by the Debtors as of the Effective Date of the Plan, pay the amounts necessary to cure and reinstate/deaccelerate Lenox's Allowed Secured Claims against CSPI, as set forth above and as such amounts are determined by the Court;
- (c) pay any deficiency amounts to Jonathan Christianson against CSPI, to the extent that his retainer is insufficient to pay his Allowed Secured Claims in full;
  - (d) pay CSPI's Allowed Unsecured Claims in Class 3 as set forth above; and
- (f) fund a reserve account necessary to fund, among other things, (1) tenant improvements, (2) any potential cash flow shortfalls to avoid any monetary defaults under Lenox's reinstated loan to CSPI, and (3) other necessary and appropriate capital expenses of Phase 1 of the Property to ensure that the value of Phase 1 of the Property is maintained.

In the event a CSPI Interest Holder makes a contribution of New Value (a "Contributing CSPI Interest Holder"), such Contributing CSPI Interest Holder will be entitled to receive a priority return of any such contributed New Value, plus a premium of 20% per annum on such contribution, before any distributions to CSPI Interest Holders that do not make a contribution of New Value (a "Non-Contributing CSPI Interest Holder"). Furthermore, upon any sale of Phase 1 of the Property, Contributing CSPI Interest Holders will receive their pro rata distribution of 10%

of any net sale proceeds (after payment of costs of sale and any remaining claims against CSPI), after return of the New Value contributions plus the 20% premium, but before any distributions to Non-Contributing CSPI Interest Holders. Finally, Non-Contributing CSPI Interest Holders will be entitled to receive their respective pro rata distributions from the sale of Phase 1 of the Property upon payment in full of (i) all claims against CSPI, (ii) the return of the New Value contributions made by Contributing CSPI Interest Holders, (iii) the payment of the 20% premium on the New Value contributions made by Contributing CSPI Interest Holders, and (iv) the 10% priority return to Contributing CSPI Interest Holders.

Upon Confirmation, the Operating Agreement of CSPI is, and will be, amended consistent with the terms of this Plan.

#### 2. <u>Class 5-B – Allowed Interests of CSI Interest Holders</u>

Class 5-B consists of all Allowed Interests of CSI Interest Holders. This Class is impaired. The CSI Interest Holders will be given the opportunity to contribute New Value to CSI in the form of a cash infusion in the amount of at least \$1.1 million. The New Value will be used to:

- (a) pay the amount necessary to pay all CSI Class 1 Allowed Priority Claims as set forth above;
- (b) together with any cash on hand held by the Debtors as of the Effective Date of the Plan, pay the amounts necessary to cure and reinstate/deaccelerate Lenox's Allowed Secured Claims against CSI, as set forth above and as such amounts are determined by the Court;
- (c) pay any deficiency amounts to Jonathan Christianson and/or Brier Irish against CSI to the extent that their respective retainers are insufficient to pay their Allowed Secured Claims in full;
  - (d) pay CSI's Allowed Unsecured Claims in Class 3 as set forth above; and
- (f) fund a reserve account necessary to fund, among other things, (1) tenant improvements, (2) any potential cash flow shortfalls to avoid any monetary defaults under Lenox's reinstated loan to CSI, and (3) other necessary and appropriate capital expenses of Phase 1 of the Property to ensure that the value of Phase 1 of the Property is maintained.

In the event a CSI Interest Holder makes a contribution of New Value (a "Contributing CSI

Interest Holder"), such Contributing CSI Interest Holder will be entitled to receive a priority return of any such contributed New Value, plus a premium of 20% per annum on such contribution, 3 before any distributions to CSI Interest Holders that do not make a contribution of New Value (a "Non-Contributing CSI Interest Holder"). Furthermore, upon any sale of Phase 1 of the Property, Contributing CSI Interest Holders will receive their pro rata distribution of 10% of any net sale 5 proceeds (after payment of costs of sale and any remaining claims against CSI), after return of the 6 New Value contributions plus the 20% premium, but before any distributions to Non-Contributing 7 CSI Interest Holders. Finally, Non-Contributing CSI Interest Holders will be entitled to receive their respective pro rata distributions from the sale of Phase 1 of the Property upon payment in full 9 of (i) all claims against CSI, (ii) the return of the New Value contributions made by Contributing 10 CSI Interest Holders, (iii) the payment of the 20% premium on the New Value contributions made 11 by Contributing CSI Interest Holders, and (iv) the 10% priority return to Contributing CSI Interest 12

Holders.

D.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Upon Confirmation, the Operating Agreement of CSI is, and will be, amended consistent with the terms of this Plan.

### MEANS FOR EXECUTING THE PLAN.

#### 1. **Funding**

The Plan will be funded by (a) cash on hand held by the Debtors as of the Effective Date of the Plan, (b) the New Value contributed to the Reorganized Debtors by the Contributing CSPI Interest Holders and Contributing CSI Interest Holders, and (c) continued operations of the Property.

To the extent that there are insufficient funds raised from Contributing CSPI Interest Holders and/or Contributing CSI Interest Holders to satisfy the necessary New Value contribution, the Debtors will solicit and raise funds from third parties who will contribute New Value ("Contributing Third Parties") to the Debtors. Contributing Third Parties, if any, will receive the same treatment as Contributing CSPI Interest Holders and Contributing CSI Interest Holders, respectively. To the extent that any Contributing Third Parties contribute New Value, then upon Confirmation, the Debtor's respective Operating Agreements are, and will be, amended consistent

with the terms of this Plan to include the Contributing Third Parties as members of the Reorganized Debtors.

#### 2. Liquidation of Estate Property

The Reorganized Debtors shall have the authority to retain such brokers, agents, counsel, or representatives as it deems necessary to manage, market, and/or lease the Property.

#### 3. Management

The Plan will be implemented by the retention of the Debtors' existing manager, Crystal Springs Manager, LLC and by the Reorganized Debtors' retention of Trillium as property manager for the Property upon terms and conditions mutually acceptable to the Debtors and Trillium. This implementation will also include the management and disbursement of the funds infused by the Contributing CSPI Interest Holders and Contributing CSI Interest Holders as set forth above and in accordance with the terms of this Plan.

#### 4. <u>Disbursing Agent</u>

The Reorganized Debtors shall act as the Disbursing Agent under the Plan.

#### 5. <u>Documentation of Plan Implementation</u>

In the event any entity which possesses an Allowed Secured Claim or any other lien in any of the Debtors' property for which the Plan requires the execution of any documents to incorporate the terms of the Plan, fails to provide a release of its lien or execute the necessary documents to satisfy the requirements of the Plan, the Debtors may record a copy of this Plan or the Confirmation Order with the appropriate governmental agency and such recordation shall constitute the lien release and creation of any necessary new liens to satisfy the terms of the Plan. If the Debtors deem advisable, they may obtain a further Order from the Court that may be recorded in order to implement the terms of the Plan.

#### 6. New Obligations

Any Allowed Claims which are otherwise impaired herein, and which are paid in deferred payments, shall be a New Obligation of the Reorganized Debtors under the terms described herein and completely replace any pre-confirmation obligations of the Debtors.

#### X. EFFECT OF CONFIRMATION.

Except as otherwise provided in the Plan or the Confirmation Order, Confirmation acts as a Discharge, effective as of Confirmation, of any and all debts of the Debtors that arose any time before the entry of the Confirmation Order including, but not limited to, all principal and all interest accrued thereon, pursuant to §1141(d)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Discharge shall be effective as to each Claim, regardless of whether a Proof of Claim thereon was filed, whether the Claim is an Allowed Claim, or whether the Holder thereof votes to accept the Plan.

#### XI. LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS

The Plan provides for the reinstatement of Lenox's pre-petition claims and for the payment of all claims in full. Consequently, all creditors will necessarily recover as much, if not more, under the Plan than they would receive in a Chapter 7 liquidation of the Debtors' assets, and confirmation of the Plan is the best interests of the creditors.

#### XII. TAX CONSEQUENCES

Pursuant to §1125(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors are to provide a discussion of the potential material tax consequences of the Plan to the Debtors, any successor to the Debtors, and a hypothetical investor typical of the holders of claims or interests in the case, that would enable such a hypothetical investor of the relevant Class to make an informed judgment about the Plan. However, the Debtors need not include such information about any other possible or proposed plan. In determining whether the Disclosure Statement provides adequate information, the Court shall consider the complexity of the case, the benefit of additional information to creditors and other parties in interest, and the cost of providing additional information. The following discussion summarizes certain considerations that may affect the anticipated federal income tax consequences of the Plan's implementation to Creditors and to the Debtors. It does not address all federal income tax consequences of the Plan nor does it address the state or local income tax or other state or local tax consequences of the Plan's implementation to Creditors or to the Debtors.

This description of the federal income tax consequences of implementing the Plan is based

on Debtors' interpretation of the applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "IRC"), the regulations promulgated thereunder, and other relevant authority. Debtors' interpretation, however, is not binding on the IRS or any court. The Debtors have not obtained, nor do they intend to obtain, a private letter ruling from the IRS, nor have the Debtors obtained an opinion of counsel with respect to any of these matters. The discussion below is general in nature and is not directed to the specific tax situation of any particular interested taxpayer. For these reasons, all Creditors and the Interest Holder should consult with their own tax advisors as to the tax consequences of implementation of the Plan to them under applicable federal, state, and local tax laws.

#### **A.** Tax Consequences to the Debtors

In general, the amount of any debt of a business entity that is partially or totally discharged pursuant to a Title 11 bankruptcy case is excluded from gross income. Generally, the amount of debt discharge income ("DDI") that is excluded from gross income must be applied to reduce the tax attributes of the Debtors. The Debtors' tax attributes are reduced in the following order: (1) net operating losses ("NOLs"); (2) general business credits; (3) minimum tax credit; (4) capital loss carryovers; (5) reduction in tax basis of the Debtors' property; (6) passive activity loss and credit carryovers; and (7) foreign tax credit carryovers. The Debtors may elect to apply the debt discharge exclusion first to depreciable property and thereafter to the tax attributes in the above-prescribed order.

#### B. Tax Consequences to the Secured and Unsecured Creditors

Both the Secured Claimants and/or the Unsecured Claimants may be required to report income or be entitled to a deduction as a result of implementation of the Plan. The exact tax treatment depends on, among other things, each Claimant's method of accounting, the nature of each Claimant's claim, and whether and to what extent such Claimant has taken a bad debt deduction in prior taxable years with respect to the particular debt owed to it by one of the Debtors.

Each Holder of a secured claim or an unsecured claim is urged to consult with his, her, or its own tax advisor regarding the particular tax consequences of the treatment of his, her, or its

claim under the Plan.

#### XIII. OBJECTIONS TO AND ESTIMATIONS OF CLAIMS.

#### A. Objections and Bar Date for Filing Objections.

As soon as practicable, but in no event later than 45 days after the Effective Date, objections to Claims shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court and served upon the holders of each of the Claims to which objections are made pursuant to the Bankruptcy Code and the Bankruptcy Rules. Objections filed after such date will be barred.

#### **B.** Settlement of Claims.

Settlement of any objection to a Claim not exceeding \$10,000 shall be permitted on the eleventh (11th) day after notice of the settlement has been provided to the Debtors, the Creditors, the settling party, and other persons specifically requesting such notice, and if on such date there is no written objection filed, such settlement shall be deemed approved. In the event of a written objection to the settlement, the settlement must be approved by the Court on notice to the objecting party.

#### C. Estimation of Claims.

For purposes of making distributions provided for under the Plan, all Claims objected to shall be estimated by the Disbursing Agent at an amount equal to (i) the amount, if any, determined by the Court pursuant to §502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as an estimate for distribution purposes; (ii) an amount agreed to between the Debtors and the Claimant; or, (iii) that amount set forth as an estimate in the Plan or Disclosure Statement. Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, no distributions shall be made on account of any Claim until such Claim is an Allowed Claim.

#### D. Unclaimed Funds and Interest.

Distribution to Claimants shall be mailed by the Reorganized Debtors to the Claimants at the address appearing on the master mailing matrix unless the Claimant provides the Reorganized Debtors with an alternative address. For a period of one year from the date that a distribution was to be made by the disbursing agent but has gone uncollected by the Claimant, the disbursing agent shall retain any distributions otherwise distributable hereunder which remain unclaimed or as to

which the disbursing agent has not received documents required pursuant to the Plan. Thereafter, the unclaimed funds shall be deposited in the appropriate distribution account for distribution to other Claimants entitled to participate in such respective fund.

#### XIV. NON-ALLOWANCE OF PENALTIES AND FINES.

No distribution shall be made under the Plan on account of, and no Allowed Claim, whether Secured, Unsecured, Administrative, or Priority, shall include any fine, penalty, exemplary or punitive damages, late charges, default interest or other monetary charges relating to or arising from any default or breach by the Debtors, and any Claim on account thereof shall be deemed Disallowed, whether or not an objection was filed to it.

#### XV. CLOSING OF CASE.

Until these cases are officially closed, the Reorganized Debtors will be responsible for filing pre- and post-confirmation reports required by the United States Trustee and paying the quarterly post-confirmation fees of the United States Trustee, in cash, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1930, as amended. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §1129(a)(12), all fees payable under §1930 of Title 28, as determined by the Court at the hearing on confirmation of the Plan, will be paid, in cash, on the Effective Date.

#### XVI. MODIFICATION OF THE PLAN.

In addition to its modification rights under §1127 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors may amend or modify the Plan at any time prior to Confirmation without leave of the Court. The Debtors may propose amendments and/or modifications of the Plan at any time subsequent to Confirmation with leave of the Court and upon notice to Creditors. After Confirmation of the Plan, the Debtors may, with approval of the Court, as long as it does not materially or adversely affect the interests of Creditors, remedy any defect or omission or reconcile any inconsistencies of the Plan, or in the Confirmation Order, if any may be necessary to carry out the purposes and intent of the Plan.

## 

## 

### 

## 

### 

## 

### 

#### 

#### 

### 

## 

### 

#### 

#### 

## 

#### 

#### 

#### 

### 

#### 

#### 

#### XVII. JURISDICTION OF THE COURT.

The Court will retain jurisdiction until the Plan has been fully consummated for, including but not limited to, the following purposes:

- 1. The classification of the Claims of any Creditors and the re-examination of any Claims which have been allowed for the purposes of voting, and for the determination of such objections as may be filed to the Creditor's Claims. The failure by the Debtors to object to or examine any Claim for the purpose of voting shall not be deemed to be a waiver of the Debtors' rights to object to or to re-examine the Claim in whole or in part.
- 2. To determine any Claims which are disputed by the Debtors, whether such objections are filed before or after Confirmation, to estimate any Un-liquidated or Contingent Claims pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(c)(1) upon request of the Debtors or any holder of a Contingent or Un-liquidated Claim, and to make determination on any objection to such Claim.
- 3. To determine all questions and disputes regarding title to the assets of the Estate, and determination of all causes of action, controversies, disputes or conflicts, whether or not subject to action pending as of the date of Confirmation, between the Debtors and any other party, including but not limited to, any rights of the Debtors to recover assets pursuant to the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 4. The correction of any defect, the curing of any omission or any reconciliation of any inconsistencies in the Plan, or the Confirmation Order, as may be necessary to carry out the purposes and intent of the Plan.
- 5. The modification of the Plan after Confirmation, pursuant to the Bankruptcy Rules and the Bankruptcy Code.
  - 6. To enforce and interpret the terms and conditions of the Plan.
- 7. The entry of an order, including injunctions, necessary to enforce the title, rights and powers of the Debtors, and to impose such limitations, restrictions, terms and conditions of such title, right and power that this Court may deem necessary.
  - 8. The entry of an order concluding and terminating this case.

#### XVIII. RETENTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF CLAIMS.

Pursuant to §1123(b)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Reorganized Debtors shall retain and may enforce any and all claims of the Debtors, except those claims specifically waived herein. Any retained causes of action include, but are not limited to, all avoidance actions, fraudulent conveyance actions, preference actions, and other claims and causes of action of every kind and nature whatsoever, arising before the Effective Date which have not been resolved or disposed of prior to the Effective Date, whether or not such claims or causes of action are specifically identified in the Disclosure Statement.

Any recovery obtained from retained causes of action shall become an additional asset of the Debtors, unless otherwise ordered by the Court, and shall be available for distribution in accordance with the terms of the Plan.

#### XIX. EXECUTORY CONTRACTS.

The Debtors hereby expressly assume any and all tenant leases in existence as of the Confirmation Date and all executory contracts listed in the Debtors' Schedules of Assets and Liabilities. The Debtors specifically assume any property management agreements between the Debtors and Trillium in existence on the Effective Date. Every other executory contract and/or unexpired lease of the Debtors not expressly assumed by this Plan is hereby rejected.

Claims under § 502(g) of the Code arising as a result of the rejection of executory contracts or unexpired leases shall be filed no later than 30 days after the Confirmation Date. Any such Claims not timely filed and served shall be Disallowed.

#### XX. REVESTING.

Except as provided for in the Plan or in the Confirmation Order, on the Effective Date the Reorganized Debtors shall be vested with all the property of the Estate free and clear of all claims, liens, charges, and other interests of Creditors, arising prior to the Effective Date. Upon the Effective Date, the Reorganized Debtors shall operate their business free of any restrictions.

#### XXI. DISCLAIMER.

Court approval of this Disclosure Statement and the accompanying Plan of Reorganization,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

is not a certification of the accuracy of the contents thereof. Furthermore, Court approval of these documents does not constitute the Court's opinion as to whether the Plan should be approved or disapproved.

#### XXII. PROPONENTS RECOMMENDATION/ALTERNATIVES TO THE PLAN.

The Debtors recommend that all creditors entitled to vote for the Plan do so. The Debtors' Plan will pay Lenox the full amount of its secured claim and provide funds to pay unsecured creditors. The alternatives to confirmation of the Plan would be either conversion of this case to a case under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code or its dismissal.

Dismissal of this case would result in the foreclosure of the Property by Lenox. In such a case, Unsecured Creditors may receive nothing on account of their claims.

Conversion will result in the appointment of a Chapter 7 trustee and, most likely, the hiring of an attorney by the trustee. Expenses incurred in administering the Chapter 7 case would take priority in the right to payment over allowed, administrative expenses incurred in the Chapter 11 case. Both Chapter 7 and Chapter 11 administrative expenses take priority over the payment of unsecured claims without priority. In other words, conversion would likely decrease the net amount available to pay currently existing creditors.

The most likely effect of conversion of the case to a Chapter 7 would be a foreclosure on the Property by Lenox, and, as a result, Unsecured Creditors would receive nothing.

For all these reasons, the Debtors urge you to vote to accept the Plan and to return your ballots in time to be counted.

DATED: August 10, 2010.

POLSINELLI SHUGHART PC

By:/

Mark W. Roth Philip R. Rudd

Mary B. Martin Security Title Plaza

3636 N. Central Ave., Suite 1200

Phoenix, AZ 85012

Attorneys for the Debtors

1 2	<b>COPY</b> of the foregoing mailed (or served via electronic notification if indicated by an "*") on August 10, 2010, to:	
3	U.S. TRUSTEE'S OFFICE 230 N. 1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue, Suite 204	Donald L. Gaffney * dgaffney@swlaw.com Jonathan M. Saffer * jmsaffer@swlaw.com
4	Phoenix, AZ 85003	Andrew A. Harnisch * aharnisch@swlaw.com SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.
5		One Arizona Center Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202
6		Attorneys for Lenox Mortgage XII, LLC
7	Barbara Lee Caldwell * blc@ashrlaw.com AIKEN SCHENK HAWKINS & RICCIARDI PC	
8	4742 N. 24 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 100 Phoenix, AZ 85016-4859	
9	Counsel for Maricopa County	
10	By:/s/ Cathie Bernales	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
	d .	

# **EXHIBIT A**

(s16.66H		
)o8D□(100□(s0P□(s:	dr.	
CECT_1280		

Cur. Period: 07/10 Sel. Period: 06/10 0EGEA 02 900

PROFIT & LOSS VARIANCE Pacific Capital Investments Crystal Springs Apartments As of June 30, 2010

PAGE 1 SYSTEM DATE: 07/15/2010 SELECT DATE: 07/15/2010 10:11:02 PROJECT SQ FT 386,564 PROJECT UNITS 400

					,	/ C U R	RENT	MONTH	N F O	RMATIO	/ N O								
	JAN	FEB ACTUAL	MAR ACTUAL	APR	MAY	JUN	JUN	VARIANCE	JUN ACTUAL	JUN BUDGET	VARIANCE	JUL BUDGET	AUG BUDGET	SEP BUDGET	OCT BUDGET	NOV BUDGET	DEC BUDGET	TOTAL	PER
INCOME Gross Potential Rent Loss to Lease	399,024 67,458~	399,024 63,343-	399,024	399,024 57,315-	399,024 55,673-	399, 024 50, 079-	399,024 51,000-	921	2,394,144 2 353,418-	2,388,144 - 338,700-	6,000 14,718-	399,024 45,000-	399,024 40,000-	399, 024 35, 000-	399,024 30,000-	399,024 25,000-	399,024 4, 20,000-	.788,288 548,418-	11, 971 1, 371-
***Gross Scheduled Rent***	331,566	335, 681	339,474	341,709	343,351	348,945	348,024	921	2,040,726 2,049,444	2,049,444	8,718-	354,024	359,024	364,024	369,024	374,024	379,024 4,	239,870	10,600
RENT LOSSES Vacancy Loss Bad Debt Model Concessions Employee Rent Allowance	49,178~ 0 985- 40,379- 1,944-	35,221- 0 985- 49,054- 1,944-	27,298- 0 985- 52,120- 859-	17,341- 0 985- 56,395- 859-	15,038- 0 985- 57,545- 859-	18,349- 0 985- 57,007- 859-	13,921- 0 985- 57,105- 859-	4,428- 0 0 98	162,425- 0 5,910- 312,500- 7,324-	190, 671- 17, 014- 5, 910- 321, 975- 10, 579-	28,246 17,014 0 9,475 3,255	14,161- 0 985- 56,922- 859-	14,361- 0 985- 59,900- 859-	14,561- 0 985- 61,372- 859-	14,761 0 985- 62,989 859	14,961- 0 985- 60,123- 859-	15,161- 3 0 985- 54,700- 6	250,391- 0 11,820- 668,506- 12,478-	626- 0 30- 1,671- 31-
TOTAL RENT LOSSES	92, 486-	87,204-	81,262-	75, 580-	74,427-	77,200-	72,870-	4,330-	488, 159-	546,148-	57,989	72,927-	76,105-	-111,17	79,594-	76,928-	71,705-	943,195-	2,358
TOTAL RENTAL REVENUE	239, 080	248,476	258,212	266,130	268,924 271,745	271,745	275,154	3,409-1	3,409-1,552,567 1,503,296	1,503,296	49,271	281,097	282,919	286,247	289,430	297,096	307,319 3,296,675	296,675	8,242
OTHER REVENUE Corporate Apartment Premium Non-Refundable Fees	1,722	1,722	2,230	4,135 445	2,767 152	1,865	2,200	335- 69-	14,439	2,200	12,239	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200	27,639	69
Lease Buyout Fees Pet Rent	1,308	12,600	2,600	5, 100 1, 392	1,519	10,800	1,500	330	36,800	52,500 6,150	2,410	3,200 1,500	1,550	3,200 1,550	3,200 1,600	3,200	1,600	26,000 17,960	140 45
Storage Late Fees	3, 540	356 1,921	1,653	2,210	426 2,645	3,471	3,168	303	2,234	17,952	2,512-	2,850	2,850	2,850	2,850	2,850	2,850	32,540	81
Month to Month Fees NSF Fees	319 275	167 50	0 25	137	259 100	438 100	250	188 25	1,321 600	1,600 1,419	279- 819-	250 75	250 75	300 75	300 75	300 75	300 75	3,021 1,050	യന
Application Fees Move Out Fees	396	374 6,177	548 3,740	764 4,334	461 2,796	486. 9,894	475 3,300	11 6,594	3,029 27,653	2,599 19,800	430	475 3,300	3,300	475 3,300	3,300	3,300	3,300	47,453	113
Utility Reimbursements Bad Debt Recovery	7,377	6,558 13,441-		6,072 9,402-	5,882 1,605-	5,279 15,790-	6, 200 6, 500-	921- 9,290-	38,061 50,120-	61,200 66,500-	23,139- 16,380	6,200 6,500-	6,200	6, 200 6, 500-	6,200 6,500-	6, 200 6, 500-	6, 200 6, 500	75,261 89,120-	188 223-
Concession Pay Back Clubhouse Rental	1, 694 0	7,898		4,540	1,361	4,053 0	2,200 450	1,853 450-	21,799 0	2,200 3,075	19,599 3,075-	2,200	2,200	2, 200 450	2,200 450	2,200 450	2,200 450	34,999 2,700	88 ~
Revenue Share Vending	0 16	570 12	0 28	274	588 0	0 62	900	600~ 49	1,158	1,200	42-	30 0	300	8 8	<b>0</b> g	0 g	90 90 90	2,358 654	. 6
Interest Transfor Does		00	00	000	00	00	90	00	1,000	750	750-	00	200	00	200	00	200	1,600	0 4
Garage Cers	2,470	2,347	2,417	2,831	2,819	3,072	2,800	272.	15,955	15,300	655	2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800	32,755	85
Legal rees Miscellaneous Revenue	1, 230	54	107	415	1,382	50	150	141-	2,048	400	1,648	150	150	150	150	150	150	2,948	7
TOTAL OTHER REVENUE	23, 524	29,515	19,123	24, 631	22,752	26,632	20,098	6,534	146,178	127,915	18,263	19,880	20,130	20,630	20,280	20,080	20,880	268,058	0.29
TOTAL REVENUE	262, 604	277,991	277,335	290, 761	291,677	298,377	295,252	3,125 1	3,125 1,698,745 1	1,631,211	67, 534	300,977	303,049	306, 877	309,710	317,176	328, 199 3,	564,733	8, 912

PROFIT & LOSS VARIANCE
Pacific Capital Investments
Crystal Spings Apartments
As of As of June 30, 2010

 $07/10 \\ 06/10$ 

Period: | Period: |

Cur. Sel.

PAGE 2 07/15/2010 07/15/2010 10:11:02 T 386,564

SYSTEM DATE: (
SELECT DATE: (

SQ FT

PROJECT :

PER 142 205 205 205 205 33 33 34 44 111 111 111 111 206 53 206 53 7 356 142,324 26,864 26,165 26,165 20,279 41,949 11,559 11,233 11 DEC BUDGET 4,722 3,035 7,016 4,180 1,130 1,130 1,750 1,750 1,785 37,935 13,128 12,688 NOV BUDGET 4,722 3,035 7,016 1,180 1,130 1,130 1,750 1,750 1,785 12,388 4,722 3,035 7,016 0 0 4,180 1,130 1,130 1,130 1,130 1,750 1,750 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 37,935 DUDGET 12,276 4,722 3,035 7,016 0 4,180 1,130 1,130 1,130 1,750 1,750 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 SEP BUDGET 12,122 3,035 7,016 4,180 4,180 1,130 1,130 1,750 1,750 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,780 AUG 4,722 3,035 7,016 4,180 1,130 1,130 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 JUL BUDGET 37,935 12,040 10,256 10,237 10,237 2,411 2,411 1,462 699 2,40 1,462 2,440-INFORMATION YTD YTD 65,242 JUN BUDGET 28,333 18,210 26,280 26,280 6,781 10,509 1,025 10,706 10,7 JUN 28,531 7,955 10,2791 16,869 7,689 1,940 67,682 322-322-1, 444 1, 1446 1, 1447 1, 14 RONTHOR 99 3,212 VARIANCE JUN BUDGET 3,722 3,035 7,016 4,180 1,130 1,130 1,750 1,750 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 /--- CURRENT JUN ACTUAL 5,045 2,944 5,677 2,736 2,736 962 36 878 878 125 3,108 7,520 1,873 1,8 34,723 11,711 4,703 6,69 2,848 2,848 2,848 1,493 1,493 1,409 1,809 1,809 1,809 1,752 1,752 1,752 1,753 1,753 1,752 1,809 1,752 1,752 1,809 1,752 1,809 1,752 1,752 1,752 1,752 1,753 1,752 1,7 MAY ACTUAL 11,620 35,027 4,703 6,518 2,941 2,317 1,029 24 24 27 3,778 3,778 6,622 1,809 1,80 11,630 APR 1,344-1,344-1,344-1,235-1,336-1,236-1,236-1,236-1,236-1,336-1,162-1, 11,093 MAR 40,682 11,124 5,020 7,341 1936 1,022 2,022 2,022 126 1,461 1,461 1,898 1,8 FEB 10,504 JAN 2,683 6,412 6,412 6,412 0 3,150 1,218 1,218 1,218 879 879 879 1,174 1,17 32,433 Housekeeper Maintenance Utility Allowa Maint FICA Maint FUTA Commissions Idmin 401k ER Contribution Maint SUTA Maint Workman's Comp Maint Medical Insurance Maint Uniforms Workman's Comp Medical Insurance fiscellaneous Personnel Maintenance Supervisor Assistant Maintenance Manager Assistant Manager Leasing Consultants Bookkeeper ERSONNEL EXPENSES POTAL PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT FEE Admin Madmin Madmin Madmin Madmin Madmin U

PAGE 3 07/15/2010 07/15/2010	386,564		PER	) ) ) !	2		17	2	-	11	-	0	86	0	19	4	۲	24	2	ស	0	0	ო	П	1	H	208
DATE: DATE:	CT SQ FT CT UNITS		TOTAL	1	855	3,458	6,820	800	530	4,266	216	178	39,287	28	7,440	1,765	2,782	9,612	782	2,146	4	70	1,145	450	215	470	83,316
SYSTEM	PROJECT		DEC	; ;	99	250	0	350	75	0	0	0	3,200	0	909	0	155	750	75	200	0	0	100	0	0	75	5,896
			NOV BUDGET	; ; ; ;	99	250	0	25	75	0	0	0	3,200	0	009	0	155	750	75	150	0	O	100	150	0	75	5, 671
			OCT BUDGET	•	99	250	0	25	75	384	0	0	3,200	0	009	746	155	750	75	200	0	0	100	0	0	75	6,701
			SEP		99	250	0	350	75	0	0	0	3,200	0	009	0	155	750	75	150	0	0	100	150	215	75	6,211
			AUG BUDGET		99	250	0	22	75	0	0	0	3,200	0	900	0	155	750	75	200	0	0	100	0	0	75	5,571
			JUL BUDGET		99	250	0	52	75	384	0	0	3,200	0	900	0	155	750	75	150	0	0	100	150	0	75	6,055
,		/ N (	VARIANCE		63-	458-	6,820-	800	370	285-	216-	178-	887-	28-	1,060	1,019-	922-	612-	118	46-	4-	-01	55	450	430	430	7,892-
20. 40		MATIC	OUN JUN BUDGET		396	1,500	0	800	450	3,213	0	0	19,200	0	4,900	0	930	4,500	450	1,050	0	0	900	450	430	450	39, 319
& LOSS VARIANCE Papital Investments Springs Apartments	2010	INFOR	JUN JUN ACTUAL		459	1,958	6,820	O	80	3,498	216	178	20,087	28	3,840	1,019	1,852	5,112	332	1,096	4	70	545	0	0	20	47,211
	June 30, 2010	HLNOI	VARIANCE		Н	103-	1,442-	350	55	510~	- 20-	0	292-	28-	665	153-	145-	122-	75	141	0	0	10	0	215	55	1,278-
PROFI Pacific Crystal		RNT	JUN		99	250	0	350	75	0	0	0	3,200	0	800	0	155	750	75	200	0	0	100	0	215	75	6,311
		C U R I	JUN		99	353	1,442	0	20	510	20	0	3,492	28	135	153	300	872	0	59	0	0	90	0	0	20	7,589
			MAY ACTUAL		99	311	1,118	0	Đ	420	137	178	4,640	0	510	153	289	603	98	381	4	68	7	0	0	0	8,982
			APR ACTUAL		99	285	1,331	0	0	383	0	0	4,319	0	168	253	289	785	99	156	0	0	121	0		0	8,222
			MAR ACTUAL		131	317	974	0	52	300	29	0	2,444	0	1,473	153	290	1,065	80	189	0	2-	0	0	0	0	7,469
			FEB		99	401	959	0	16	1,627	0	0	2,466	0	633	153	290	868	88	155	0	71	122	0	0	0	7,915
			JAN ACTUAL		99	291	995	0	18	260	0	0	2,727	0	921	153	393	918	0	155	0	-89	205	0	0	0	7,034
	07/10 06/10			VE EXPENSES	rvice		95	plies		riptions	ivities	vining	nites	Per Month	tions	Permits	office Equipment Rental	hone	strative	Supplies	iil			1S	orts	oursement	STRATION
QCGL490 02 900	Cur. Period: 07/10 Sel. Period: 06/10			ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	Answering Service	Bank Charges	Merchant Fees	Computer Supplies	Courier	Dues & Subscriptions	Employee Activities	Employee Training	Furnished Suites	Other Legal Per Month	Legal - Evictions	Licenses & Permits	Office Equip	Office Telephone	Other Administrative	Other Office Supplies	Overnight Mail	Pagers	Postage	Printed Forms	Shopping Reports	Travel Reimbursement	TOTAL ADMINISTRATION

QCGL490 02 900	·						PROFI: Pacific ( Crystal	PROFIT & LOSS VARIANCE ific Capital Investmen ystal Springs Apartmen	PROFIT & LOSS VARIANCE Pacific Capital Investments Crystal Springs Apartments								SYSTEM   SELECT	DATE:	PAGE 4 07/15/2010 07/15/2010
Cur. Period: 07/10 Sel. Period: 06/10							ŕ	As or June 30, 20	2010								PROJECT	SOFINO	:11:02 386,564 400
			; ; ;		/ C D R	/ C U R R	ENTM	NTH	INFOR	MATIO	/ Z								
	JAN	FEB ACTUAL	MAR ACTUAL	APR	MAY	JUN	JUN BUDGET VAR	VARIANCE	JUN JUN ACTUAL	JUN JUN BUDGET VA	VARIANCE	JUL BUDGET	AUG BUDGET	SEP BUDGET	OCT BUDGET	NOV BUDGET	DEC BUDGET	TOTAL	PER UNIT
MARKETING & RETENTION EXPENSE											1 5 7 8 8 8 8 8 8	1	1	1	1				
Apartment Guide Brochures	1,168 0	1,168	2,638	1,168	1,083	698 0	698 0	90	8,095 0	5,214 4,100	2,881- 4,100	698	869 100	698 0	ტ98 ი	1,323	1,323	14,217 200	36
Business Cards	0	0	0	64	0		30	30	64	490	426	0	30	0	30	0	0	124	0
Direct Mail	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,500	0	0	0	0	0	2,500	٥
For Rent		0	0	0	. 646	0	0	0	646	0	646-	0	0	0	0	0	0	646	7
Internet Advertising	862	216	1,015	860	299	1,159	1,190	31	4,410	7,140	2, 730	1,190	1,190	1,190	1,190	1,190	1,190	11,550	53
Locator/Referral Services	344	474	72	290	1,108	384	120	264-	2,972	1,230	1,742-	375	120	120	375	120	120	4,202	11
Model Furn Rental	178	178	178	178	178	178	0	178-	1,067	0	1,067-	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,067	ო
Photography	320	155	125	0	0	0	0	0	630	350	-082	0	o	0	0	0	0	630	2
Printing	19	0	0	220	96	0	200	200	335	750	415	0	0	200	0	0	0	835	2
Resident Referrals	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	400	400	200	0	0	200	0	0	400	Н
Resident Hospitality	40	56	69	87	19	0	150	150	319	900	581	150	150	150	150	150	150	1,219	ო
Resident Screening	1,782	1,284	1,532	549	857	1,068	1,200	132	7,072	7,800	728	1,200	006	900	006	900	900	12,772	32
Signs/Banners/Balloons	121	242	206	. 630	307	122	400	278	1,629	3,700	2,071	300	300	300	300	300	300	3,429	Φ
discellaneous Marketing	629	630	919	.663	322	527	570	43	3,388	3,420	32	570	570	570	570	570	570	808'9	17
TOTAL MARKETING & RETENTION	5,492	4,403	6,451	5,010	4,963	4,307	5,029	722	30,628	35,494	4,866	7,354	4,229	4,599	4,584	4,653	4,553	60,600	152

0001490 02 900					•		PROF Pacific Crysta	PROFIT & LOSS VARIANCE acific Capital Investments Crystal Springs Apartments	PROFIT & LOSS VARIANCE Pacific Capital Investments Crystal Springs Apartments		•						SYSTEM	DATE: DATE:	PAGE 5 07/15/2010 07/15/2010
Cur. Period: 07/10 Sel. Period: 05/10		· ··· ···						As of June 30, 2010	010						•		PROJECT	SOF	11:02 386,564 400
					/	/ C U R R	ENTW	CNTH	~	¦	/ N O				† † † †	1	i ; ; ; ; ;		
	JAN ACTUAL	FEB ACTUAL	MAR	APR ACTUAL	MAY ACTUAL	JUN	JUN BUDGET V	VARIANCE	ACTUAL	YTD JUN BUDGET VAI	ARIANCE	JUL BUDGET	AUG BUDGET	SEP BUDGET	OCT BUDGET	NOV BUDGET	DEC BUDGET	TOTAL	PER UNIT
CONTRACTS - MONTHLY SERVICES				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1										111111111111111111111111111111111111111	,				
Landscape Contract Services	6, 600	6,600	6,600	6,600	6,600	6,600	6,600	0	39,600	39,600	0	009 '9	9,600	6,600	6,600	6,600	6,600	79,200	198
Landscape Irrigation Supply	130	156	366	48	113	79	75	4-	894	1,275	381	75	275	75	75	275	75	1,744	47
Landscape Irrigation Repair	502	0	0	380	0	0	0	0	882	Φ	882-	0	0	0	0	0	0	882	2
Landscape Seasonal Supplies	0	0	0	96	0	0	0	0	96	1,000	904	0	0	0	0	0	1,000	1,096	m
Landscape Seasonal Services	0	3,152	0	0	196	0	o	0	4,119	6,000	1,881	0	0	0	3,000	0	0	7,119	18
Landscape Supplies	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	25	0	150	150	25	25	25	52	52	52	150	0
Alarm Service	123	123	224	22	110	55	260	205	689	1,560	871	260	260	260	260	260	260	2,249	9
Extinguisher Maintenance	3,883	312	0	508	-802	0	0	0	4,195	4,865	670	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,195	10
Pest Control Service	805	380	460	099	525	380	630	250	3,210	3,780	570	630	630	630	630	630	630	6,990	17
Security Contract Services	624	624	624	624	624	0	624	624	3,119	3,744	625	624	624	624	624	624	624	6,863	17
TOTAL CONTRACTS - MONTHLY SUCS	12, 667	11,346	8,274	8,671	8,730	7,114	8,214	1,100	56,803	61,974	5,171	8,214	8,414	8,214	11,214	8,414	9,214	110,487	276

PAGE 6 07/15/2010 07/15/2010 10:11:02	386, 564		PER UNIT	-	7 7	0	egr (	7 0		J [~		2	7		0 ;	12	<b>⊣</b> Γ	n c	9	9 (17)	0	φ,	r-1 (	<b>.</b>	7 C	- [	7 6	· c	9	112
ATE:	CI SO FI		TOTAL	21.5	873	16	1,440	200	83.4	2,794	531	901	772	350	14	5,981	303	1,412 A3	6.418	1,015	65	2,496	225	3,214	200	2,320	3,720	126	2,430	44,633
SYSTEM	PROJECT		DEC BUDGET	 	0	0	150	0 5	125	250	0	75	100	150	0	300	<u>-</u>	007	200	90	0	300	0	250	2 5	000	200	3	150	3,315
			NOV BUDGET		•	0	120	Σ <b>c</b>	125	250	0	75	100	0	0	320	٠	2	500	8 0	0	300	75	250	0 0	000	001	2		3,150
			OCT BUDGET	150	0	0	150	0.0	125	250	0	7.5	100	100	0	300	125	001	200	3	. 0	300	0 ;	250	0 0	000	200	200	0	3,600
			SEP BUDGET		9 09	0	150	υ é	125	250	0	7.5	100	0	0	350	0 (	200	9	30	0	300	75	250	) <u>.</u>	007	300	8 9	150	3,890
			AUG BUDGET		125	0	150	027	100	250	0	75	100	100	0	300	ے د	001	009	80	0	300	0	250	0 0	000	900	2	00	4,100
· .	-		JUL BUDGET		20	0	150	0 0	900	250	0	75	100	0	0	350	125	061	009	8	0	300	75	250	٥	320	900	200	0	4,100
٠.		/ N	VARIANCE	000	623-	16-	360	392	301	206	531-	224	878	450	46	2,081-	137	200	r o	25.	-69	1,104	225	214-	8 6	7/8	785	070	1,830-	227
		. –	JON JON BUDGET VA		125	0	006	629	2 2 2	1,500	0	675	1,050	450	09	1,950	250	006	3 200	920	O	1,800	225	1,500	000	005,1	1 200	7.41	300	22, 705
r & LOSS VARIANCE Capital Investments Springs Apartments As of	010	INFOR	JUN JUN ACTUAL	-	748	16	540	233	134	1.294	531	451	172	0	14	4,031	113	312	2 170	1,015	65	969	0	1,714	888	1,028	1, 918	07017	2,130	22,478
	June 30, 2010	ONTH	VARIANCE		<b>-</b>	0	8	2.0	2,0	177-	0	36-	100	150	0	438-	0 ;	150	160-	0	0	85	O.	180	0 (	7/7	1 326-	1,620-	150	974-
PROFI Pacific Crystal		ENI	JUN BUDGET V		<b>,</b>	0	150	ņ	2 6	250	2	75	100	150	0	300	0	120	200	3 =	0	300	0	250	0 (1	250	000	200	150	3,950
		C U R R	JUN		0	0	148	0	0 9	427		111	0	0	0	738	0	э c	0 22	<u> </u>	Ф	215	0	70	0 (	× 100	1 673	070 17		4,924
		-/	MAY ACTUAL		<b>&gt;</b> ©	0	33	0	<b>&gt;</b> c	0 67		42	0	0	0	766	0	24	9.5	850	659	98	0	0	ထ ( တ (	600	37 F	7	240	4,141
			APR		748	0	0	233	- g	20 P	531	8	208	0	0	790	0	288	# C C	591	0	140	0	502	0	229	ψ. Ψ.		1,175	6, 626
			MAR ACTUAL	,	7 °	0	315	0	9 0	756	r c	106		0	0	1,050	113	o	) 0 0 0	<b>,</b> c	0	69	0	833	0 ;	196	310	9	e 0	3,135
	,		FEB ACTUAL		9 0	16	0	0	<b>.</b>	9	200	104	0	0	14	553	0	0 5	. 116	770		175	0	309	0	402	er c	> <	00	2,091
•		1	JAN ACTUAL		0	0	38	0	9 0	⊃ ç	3 =	o	36-	0	О	135	0	00	<b>7</b>	7 C		0	0	0	°;	64	16	<b>-</b> (	715	1,561
OCGL490 02 900	Cur. Period: 07/10 Sel. Period: 06/10	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		REPAIRS & MAINTENANCE EXPENSE	Access Gate Repair Service	Carpet Cleaning/Repairs	Cleaning Supplies	Equipment Supplies	Equipment Service	Glass & Screen Supplies	Exterior Repairs	Kev & Lock Supplies	Paint Supplies	Parking Lot/Carport Supplies	Pest Control Supplies	Plumbing Supplies	Plumbing Service	Recreational Supplies	Window Treatment Supplies	Pool Licenses	Pool Firn/Fixt/Equip	Appliance Supplies	Appliance Service	Carpet Cleaning Service	Vinyl/Tile Repair Service	Electrical Supplies	HVAC Supplies		Roof Repair Supplies Roof Repair Service	TOTAL REPAIRS & MAINTENANCE

PROFIT & LOSS VARIANCE
Pacific Capital Investments
Crystal Springs Apartments
As of
June 30, 2010

PAGE SYSTEM DATE: 07/15/2010 SELECT DATE: 07/15/2010 10:11:02 ~ <0 FT 386,564

Cur. Period: 07/10 Sel. Period: 06/10

QCGL490 02 900

						/ C U R	RENT	HONTH	INFO	RMATI	) N O			***************************************					
	JAN ACTUAL	FEB ACTUAL	MAR	APR	MAY ACTUAL	JUN	JUN BUDGET	VARIANCE	JUN ACTUAL	JUN JUN BUDGET	VARIANCE	JUL BUDGET	AUG	SEP BUDGET	OCT BUDGET	NOV BUDGET	DEC BUDGET	TOTAL	PER UNIT
TURNOVER MAINTENANCE EXPENSES T/O Cleaning Supplies T/O Cantract Cleaning T/O Paint Supplies T/O Carpet Cleaning/Repair T/O Resurfacing T/O Resurfacing T/O Window Treatment Sup/Svc	220 220 523 1,749 202	29 290 802 2,269 180	163 210 210 785 1,083 77	81 0 885 1,658 0	8 00 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	32 0 747 2,200 2,39	264 0 0 990 2,200 150	232 243 0 0 0 89-	476 720 4,725 9,906 180 800	1,842 0 5,940 17,100 1,300	1,366 1,215 7,194 180- 500	264 0 990 2,200 150	264 0 990 2,200 150	264 0 990 2,200 150	264 0 990 2,200 150	264 0 990 2,200 150	264 0 990 2,200 150	2,060 720 10,665 23,106 1,700	27.7.7.2.2.5.4.4.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0
TOTAL TURNOVER MAINTENANCE	2,783	3,769	2,318	2,624	2,094	3,219	3,604	385	16,806	26, 182	9,376	3,604	3,604	3,604	3,604	3,604	3,604	38,430	96
UTLITY EXPENSE: Common Area Electric Model Electric Office/Cabana Electric Turnover Electric Common Area Water Sewer	3,253 247 1,986 6,129 4,910 2,140 2,056 3,339	3,386 3,366 3,454 3,454 3,335	3,315 66 1,296 1,296 7,452 6,141 0 2,889 3,350	3,388 1,392 1,392 9,569 9,569 1,968 3,344	6,029 105 3,254 822 9,950 5,626 5,626 0 0	3,065 1,125 302- 7,750 4,820 0 880 3,380	5,500 3,800 1,800 7,500 5,400 400 3,315	2, 435 2, 675 2, 675 2, 102 2, 102 2, 102 2, 102 125 103 105 880 65	22,416 607 8,435 3,733 43,910 34,027 2,140- 7,793	33,000 1,175 18,500 9,480 41,500 31,800 6,900 6,900 1,9,890	10,584 10,065 5,747 2,410- 2,227- 1,130 9,040 3,993-	5,500 3,800 3,800 1,800 7,800 5,500 1,200 1,900 3,315	5,500 3,800 1,800 7,800 5,500 5,500 3,315	5,500 3,800 1,800 1,800 5,600 3,315	5,500 3,500 3,500 1,500 7,500 5,600 1,500 1,900 3,315	5,500 2,800 1,400 6,500 5,700 2,100 3,315	5,500 2,800 1,400 6,500 5,700 2,100 3,315	55, 416 2, 257 2, 257 28, 935 13, 333 81, 810 67, 627 4, 760 4, 760 311, 593 31, 998	139 6 72 72 220 169 12 12 12 100
TOTAL UTILITIES	20,094	14,376	25,040	29,066	29,146	20,786	28,190	7,404	138,509	166,795	28,286	30,490	28,590	28,690	30,640	27,590	27,590	312,099	780
TAXES & INSURANCE EXPENSE Real Property Taxes Property & Casualty Ins	24,640 3,041	24,640 3,041	24,640	24,640	24,640 3,041	24,640 3,041	22,409 2,906	2,231- 135-	147,841 18,247	134,454 17,436	13,387- 811-	22,409	22, 409 2, 906	22,409 2,906	22, 409 2, 906	22,409 2,906	22,409 2,906	282,295 35,683	706 89
TOTAL TAXES AND INSURANCE	27,681	27,681	27, 681	27,681	27,681	27,681	25,315	2,366-	166,088	151,890	14,198-	25,315	25,315	25,315	25,315	25,315	25,315	317,978	795
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	120,249	123,850	132,145	133, 322	132,385	122,054	130,358	8,303	764,004	799,971	35, 966	135,107	130,280	130, 734	135,981	129,020	130,550 1	1,555,674	3,889
NET OPERATING INCOME/(LOSS)	142,355	154,141	142,355 154,141 145,190 157,439	157, 439	159,292	176,322	164,894	11,428	934,740	831,240	103,500	165,870	172,769	176, 143	173,729	188,156	197,649 2,009,058	850,600	5,023

QCGL450 02 900							PRO Pacifi Cryst	PROFIT & LOSS VARIANCE Pacific Capital Investments Crystal Springs Apartments	VARIANCE Investments Apartments								SYSTEM	DATE: DATE:	PAGE 8 07/15/2010 07/15/2010
Cur. Period: 07/10 Sel. Period: 06/10								As of June 30, 2010	010								PROJECT	SQ FT UNITS	10:11:02 386,564 400
	1	; ; ; ; ; ; ;	; ; ; ; ;	; ; ; ; ; ;		/ C U R	RENT	HLNOW	0	į H	/ N O			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
	JAN ACTUAL	FEB	MAR ACTUAL	APR ACTUAL	MAY ACTUAL	JUN	JUN BUDGET	VARIANCE	JUN ACTUAL	JUN JUN BUDGET V	VARIANCE	JUL BUDGET	AUG BUDGET	SEP	OCT BUDGET	NOV BUDGET	DEC BUDGET	TOTAL	PER
RECURRING REPLACEMENTS Carpet Replacement Viny1/Tile Replacement	4,871		3,918 8,142 0 0	6,581	4,996	4,214	4,800	586	32,721	33,800	1,079	4,800	4,800	3,600	3,600	3,600	3,600	56,721	142
Appliance Replacement	0		0	i	555	0	0	0	1,595	0	1,595-	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,595	4
TOTAL RECURRING REPLACEMENT	4,871	4,218	8,142	7,438	5,550	4,214	4,800	586	34,434	33,800	634-	4,800	4,800	3,600	3,600	3,600	3, 600	58,434	146
OTHER EXPENSES		6	6		,		ć	c	c c	٠.	6		•	c	•	c	•	6	
mortgage insurance Interest Expense- Senior	14,838	162,000	14,838 9,3/1 9,3/1 9,3/1 162,000 162,000 162,000	162,000	162,000	162,000	9 0	162,000-	972,000	- 0	972,000-	-0	- 0	- 0	<b>-</b> -	<b>5 6</b>	- 0	52,320 972,000	2,430
Professional Fees	0	0	14,699	3,416	0	3,370	0	3,370-	21,485	0	21,485-	0	0	0	0	0	0	21,485	54
Other Administration	0	0	0	800	24,845	0	0	0	25,645	0	25, 645-	0	0	0	0	0	0	25,645	64
TOTAL OTHER EXPENSES	176,838	: 1	171,371 186,069	175,587	196,215 165,370	165,370	0	165,370-1,071,449	071,449	0 1,	0 1,071,449-	0	0	0	0	0	0 1,	0 1,071,449	2,679
NET OPERATING INCOME/(LOSS)	39, 353-	21,448-	21,448- 49,021- 25,586- 42,474-	25,586-	42,474-	6, 738	160,094	153,356-	171,143-	797,440	968,583- 161,070		167,969	172,543	170,129	184,556	194,049	879,175	2,198