

**EXHIBIT A**

**FAIRPOINT COMMUNICATIONS, INC.**

**DISCLOSURE STATEMENT OBJECTIONS**

<b>Docket No.</b>	<b>Party Objecting</b>	<b>Nature of Objections</b>	<b>FairPoint's Response</b>
714	<b>Affiliates of Verizon Communications</b> <b>("Verizon")</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The Disclosure Statement does not provide adequate information regarding the treatment of Verizon's Class 7 claims or Verizon's eligibility to vote on the Plan.</li><li>• The Disclosure Statement cannot be approved because a debtor cannot elect to assume or reject executory contracts after plan confirmation.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The Disclosure Statement contains detailed information about the parties that are eligible to vote on the Plan.</li><li>• This objection raises confirmation issues that should be addressed at the Confirmation Hearing.</li><li>• To the extent this Court determines that this objection is properly heard at this time, it should be overruled for the reasons more fully set forth above regarding the assumption/rejection of contracts.</li></ul>
720	<b>Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation</b> <b>("PBGC")</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The Disclosure Statement does not provide adequate information regarding the Pension Plans.</li><li>• The Plan or Disclosure Statement should not be construed as discharging FairPoint, reorganized FairPoint, or any party, from liability imposed by the Pension Plans under applicable law.</li></ul>	<p>FairPoint has added the following language to the Disclosure Statement to resolve the PBGC's objection:</p> <p>FairPoint Communications is the contributing sponsor for the FairPoint Communications Northern New England Pension Plan for Management Employees and the FairPoint Communications Northern New England Pension Plan for Represented Employees (together, the "Pension Plans"). The Pension Plans were originally spun-off from two of Verizon's defined benefit plans in connection with the Merger. The Pension Plans are covered by Title IV of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. section 1301 et seq. The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ("PBGC"), a United States</p>

Docket No.	Party Objecting	Nature of Objections	FairPoint's Response
			<p>Government corporation, guarantees the payment of certain pension benefits upon termination of a pension plan covered by Title IV of ERISA.</p> <p>Upon confirmation of the Plan, FairPoint Communications (including Reorganized FairPoint Communications) will assume and continue to maintain the Pension Plans, and contribute to the Pension Plans the amount necessary to satisfy the minimum funding standards under sections 302 and 303 of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 1082 and 1083, and sections 412 and 430 of the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. §§ 412 and 430. The Pension Plans may be terminated after the Effective Date only if the statutory requirements of either ERISA section 4041, 29 U.S.C. § 1341, or ERISA section 4042, 29 U.S.C. § 1342 are met.</p> <p>Nothing in the Plan will be construed as discharging, releasing or relieving FairPoint Communications or its successors, including the Reorganized FairPoint Communications, or any party, in any capacity, from any liability imposed under any law or legally valid regulatory provision with respect to the Pension Plans or PBGC. PBGC and the Pension Plans will not be enjoined or precluded from enforcing such liability as a result of any provision of the Plan or the Confirmation Order.</p> <p>FairPoint believes that the insertion of this language has resolved this objection.</p>

Docket No.	Party Objecting	Nature of Objections	FairPoint's Response
722	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Comcast Phone of Vermont, LLC and Comcast Phone of New Hampshire, LLC ("Comcast")</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Disclosure Statement fails to provide adequate information regarding the effect of the Plan on the Regulatory Settlements dated February 5, 2010, with the Staff Advocates of the New Hampshire PUC and with the Vermont Department of Public Service.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• FairPoint believes that the Disclosure Statement contains adequate information regarding the Regulatory Settlements. Indeed, the Regulatory Settlements are attached in their entirety to the Plan as exhibits D, E, and F and are self-explanatory.</li> <li>• Nevertheless, to further address Comcast's disclosure related concerns, FairPoint has added the following language to the Disclosure Statement: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Page 37: The New Hampshire Regulatory Settlement sets forth changes to the NH 2008 Order (as defined below).</li> <li>• Page 40: The Vermont Regulatory Settlement sets forth the changes to the VT 2008 Order (as defined below).</li> <li>• Page 44: The Maine Regulatory Settlement sets forth changes to the 2008 Merger Order (as defined below).</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>FairPoint believes that the insertion of this language should resolve this objection.</p>

Docket No.	Party Objecting	Nature of Objections	FairPoint's Response
723	<p><b>Central Vermont Public Service Corp., Green Mountain Power Corp., Vermont Electric Cooperative, Inc., Barton Village, Inc. Electric Department, Village of Enosburg Falls Water &amp; Light, Town of Hardwick Electric Department, Village of Hyde Park Electric Department, Village of Jacksonville Electric Company, Village of Lyndonville Electric Department, Village of Morrisville Water &amp; Light Department, Town of Readsboro Electric Department, Swanton Village, Inc. Electric Department, and Town of Stowe Electric Department (the “<u>Vermont Utilities</u>”)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Disclosure Statement fails to provide adequate information regarding the treatment of pole attachment tariffs.</li> <li>• Disclosure Statement fails to provide adequate information regarding (i) the nature and extent of the application of the pole attachment tariffs on FairPoint and their Plan, (ii) how the pole attachments tariffs are to be treated under a Plan (iii) and the impact on the estates of terminating the pole attachment tariffs.</li> <li>• The Disclosure Statement fails to provide adequate information regarding administrative claims and whether FairPoint will be able to comply with requirements of section 1129(a)(9) and (b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code.</li> <li>• The Disclosure Statement cannot be approved because a debtor cannot elect to assume or reject executory contracts after plan confirmation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This objection raises confirmation issues that should be addressed at the Confirmation Hearing.</li> <li>• To the extent this Court determines that this objection is properly heard at this time, it should be overruled for the reasons more fully set forth above regarding the assumption/rejection of contracts.</li> <li>• The Disclosure Statement provides adequate information regarding administrative claims and its ability to comply with sections 1129(a)(9) and (b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code on pages 47, 61-62, 100 - 105 of the Disclosure Statement, and Exhibits B (Projections), C (Liquidation Analysis), and D (Valuation Analysis) of the Disclosure Statement.</li> <li>• In addition, to further alleviate the concerns raised by the Vermont Utilities, FairPoint will pay all postpetition amounts due and owing to the Vermont Utilities in the ordinary course of business. Moreover, FairPoint will work with the Vermont Utilities prior to the Confirmation Hearing to review agreements between the parties and determine their appropriate treatment under the Plan no later than the entry of an order confirming the Plan.</li> </ul>
724	<p><b>ACE American Insurance Company (“<u>ACE</u>”)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Disclosure Statement fails to provide adequate information regarding ACE insurance policies.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• FairPoint has filed a motion to assume its agreements with ACE (Docket No. 750). FairPoint believes that the motion resolves ACE's concerns. In any event, this objection does not relate to the adequacy of disclosure but rather it relates to confirmation of the</li> </ul>

Docket No.	Party Objecting	Nature of Objections	FairPoint's Response
			Plan and should be addressed at the Confirmation Hearing.
756	CPA Associates 9, L.P. (" <u>CPA</u> ")	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Disclosure Statement cannot be approved because a debtor cannot elect to assume or reject executory contracts after plan confirmation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This objection has been resolved. FairPoint has agreed to make a final election to assume or reject agreements with CPA by Plan confirmation.</li> </ul>