THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN APPROVED BY THE COURT

This proposed Disclosure Statement is not a solicitation of acceptance or rejection of the Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization. Acceptances or rejections may not be solicited until the Bankruptcy Court has approved this Disclosure Statement under Bankruptcy Code § 1125. This proposed Disclosure Statement is being submitted for approval only, and it has not yet been approved by the Bankruptcy Court.

Further, the Plan Proponents provide no assurance that the Disclosure Statement, including any exhibits to the Disclosure Statement, that is ultimately approved in the Chapter 11 Cases (1) will contain any of the terms in the current document or (2) will not contain different, additional, material terms that do not appear in the current document. Therefore, making investment decisions based upon the information contained in this Disclosure Statement, the Plan and the exhibits in the Exhibit Book is *highly speculative*, and the documents should not be relied upon in making such investment decisions with respect to (1) the Debtors or (2) any other parties that may be affected by the Chapter 11 Cases.

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:)	Chapter 11
)	
W. R. GRACE & CO., et al., 1)	Case No. 01-1139 (JKF)
)	Jointly Administered
Debtors.)	
)	

DEBTORS' DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FOR THE FIRST AMENDED JOINT PLAN OF REORGANIZATION UNDER CHAPTER 11 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE OF W. R. GRACE & CO., ET AL., THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY CLAIMANTS, THE ASBESTOS PI FUTURE CLAIMANTS' REPRESENTATIVE, AND THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF EQUITY SECURITY HOLDERS DATED AS OF _______, 2008FEBRUARY 3, 2009

IMPORTANT DATES

- Date by which Ballots and Master Ballots must be received by the Voting Agent: [_____], 2009
- Date by which objections to the Plan must be filed and served: [________, May 20, 2009]
- Hearing on Confirmation of the Plan: [_____], 2009; Phase I: June 22-25, 2009; Phase II: September 8-11. 2009

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

David M. Bernick, P.C. Janet S. Baer 200 East Randolph Drive Chicago, Illinois 60601 Telephone: (312) 861-2000

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

Theodore L. Freedman Deanna D. Boll Craig A. Bruens 153 East 53rd Street New York, New York 10022

Telephone: (212) 446-4800

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

Laura Davis Jones (Bar No. 2436) James E. O'Neill (Bar No. 4042) Timothy P. Cairns (Bar No. 4228) 919 North Market Street, 17th Floor P.O. Box 8705 Wilmington, Delaware 19899-8705

(Courier 19801)

¹ The "Debtors," and all capitalized terms not defined in this Disclosure Statement, are defined in the Plan. The Exhibit Book (and each exhibit thereto) is incorporated by reference into this Disclosure Statement.

Telephone: (302) 652-4100

Co-Counsel for the Debtors and Debtors in Possession

THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN APPROVED BY THE COURT

NOTICE TO HOLDERS OF CLAIMS AND/OR EQUITY INTERESTS AND GENERAL DISCLAIMERS WITH RESPECT TO THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

PLEASE READ THIS IMPORTANT INFORMATION

The Bankruptcy Code requires that a party proposing a chapter 11 plan of reorganization prepare and file a document with the Bankruptcy Court called a "Disclosure Statement." This document is the Debtors' proposed Disclosure Statement for the Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization filed by the Debtors, the Asbestos PI Committee, the Asbestos PI FCR and the Equity Committee. All Exhibits to this Disclosure Statement are incorporated into and made a part of this Disclosure Statement as if set forth in full herein, including the Definitions section of the Plan wherein many of the capitalized terms used herein are defined.

The Debtors are providing the information in this Disclosure Statement solely for the purposes of providing information concerning the Plan to Holders of Claims against or Equity Interests in the Debtors so that those who are entitled to vote on the Plan can make an informed decision with respect to voting on acceptance or rejection of the Plan.

No one is authorized to provide to any other party information concerning the Plan other than the contents of this Disclosure Statement. Except as set forth in this Disclosure Statement, no representations concerning the Debtors, their assets, past or future business operations, their financial information or the Plan are authorized, nor should any such representations be relied upon in arriving at a decision with respect to the Plan. Holders of Claims or Equity Interests should not rely on any information, representations, or inducements made to obtain acceptance or rejection of the Plan that are other than, or inconsistent with, the information contained herein and in the Plan. Any representations made to secure acceptance or rejection of the Plan other than those contained in this Disclosure Statement should be reported to counsel for the Debtors. The statements and information about the Debtors, including all historical financial information included as, or incorporated by reference into, Exhibit 12 in the Exhibit Book (the "Financial Information") and information regarding Claims or Equity Interests contained herein, have been prepared from documents and information prepared by the Debtors or their Professionals.

Nothing contained in this Disclosure Statement is, or shall be deemed to be, an admission or statement against interest by the Plan Proponents for purposes of any pending or future litigation matter or proceeding. Moreover, this Disclosure Statement does not constitute, and may not be construed as, an admission of fact or liability, a stipulation, or a waiver. Instead, this Disclosure Statement should be construed as a statement made in settlement negotiations related to contested matters, adversary proceedings and other pending or threatened litigation or actions.

The Plan constitutes a settlement of all Claims and Demands against the Debtors on, and subject to, the terms described in the Plan and the other Plan Documents. Nothing in the Plan Documents constitutes an admission by the Debtors as to the existence, merits or amount of the Debtors' actual present or future liability on account of any Claim or Demand except to the extent that such liability is specifically provided for in the Plan or the other Plan Documents in accordance with the Confirmation Order effective as of the Effective Date.

The description herein of the Plan is only a summary, and Holders of Claims and/or Equity Interests are urged to review the entire Plan, which is included as Exhibit 1 to the Exhibit Book. In the event that there is any inconsistency or conflict between this Disclosure Statement and the Plan, the terms of the Plan shall control.

This Disclosure Statement also summarizes Financial Information and other documents. The Financial Information and other documents incorporated by reference herein are qualified in their entirety by reference to those documents. In the event there is any inconsistency or discrepancy between a description in this Disclosure Statement and the Financial Information or other documents so described, the underlying Financial Information or other documents, as the case may be, shall govern for all purposes.

Further, each Holder of a Claim and/or Equity Interest that is entitled to vote is encouraged to seek the advice of its own counsel before casting a Ballot and/or Master Ballot, as applicable.

Although certain of the attorneys, accountants, advisors and other Professionals retained and/or employed by the Plan Proponents have assisted in preparing this Disclosure Statement, which is based upon factual information and assumptions respecting financial, business and accounting data found in the books and records of the Debtors, they have not independently verified such information. The attorneys, accountants, advisors, and other Professionals retained and/or employed by the Plan Proponents do not provide any warranty, representation or guaranty regarding the accuracy of any information contained in this Disclosure Statement or any of the Plan Documents and shall have no liability for any inaccurate, untrue or incomplete information contained in this Disclosure Statement or any of the Plan Documents.

Further, there has been no independent audit of the pro forma or prospective financial information contained in this Disclosure Statement, and no fairness opinion has been obtained regarding the value of the Debtors' assets and the amount of their liabilities. The factual information regarding the Debtors and their assets and liabilities has been provided by the Debtors or otherwise derived from the Debtors' schedules, available public records, pleadings, reports on file with the Court, the Debtors' internal documents and related documents specifically identified herein. While the Debtors endeavored to provide accurate information herein, the Debtors cannot, and do not, warrant or represent that the information contained in this Disclosure Statement does not contain any material inaccuracy.

The Debtors and their Professionals have also endeavored to identify in this Disclosure Statement and the Plan certain pending litigation claims and potential causes of action and objections to Claims. However, no reliance should be placed on the fact that a particular litigation claim or potential cause of action or objection to a Claim is, or is not, identified in this Disclosure Statement, the Plan, or any Plan Document. The Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, the Asbestos PI Trust or the Asbestos PD Trust, as applicable may seek to investigate, file and prosecute litigation claims and projected causes of action and objections to Claims after the Effective Date of the Plan, irrespective of whether this Disclosure Statement, the Plan or any Plan Document identifies any such claims, causes of action, or objections to Claims.

The Bankruptcy Court's approval of this Disclosure Statement does not constitute the Bankruptcy Court's approval of the merits of the Plan, an endorsement of the Plan or a guarantee of the accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein.

THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT HAS NOT BEEN APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED BY THE SEC OR ANY OTHER FEDERAL OR STATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, NOR HAS THE SEC OR ANY OTHER FEDERAL OR STATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PASSED UPON THE ACCURACY OR ADEQUACY OF THE STATEMENTS CONTAINED HEREIN. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

The securities described herein will be issued in reliance on the exemptions set forth in Bankruptcy Code § 1145 and without registration under the Securities Act, or any similar federal, state or local law. The Debtors recommend that potential recipients of any securities pursuant to the Plan consult their own legal counsel concerning the securities laws governing the transferability of any such securities.

This Disclosure Statement contains forward-looking statements, that is, information related to future, not past, events. Such information generally includes the words "believes," "plans," "intends," "targets," "will," "expects," "anticipates," "continues" or similar expressions. For these statements, including, without limitation, the liquidation analyses, pro forma and prospective financial information, and the timing and amounts of actual distributions to Claimants, the Debtors claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The Debtors are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements or that could cause other forward-looking information to prove incorrect. Factors that could cause actual results to materially differ from those contained in the forward-looking statements include: the Debtors' bankruptcy, plans of reorganization proposed by the Debtors and others, the Debtors' legal proceedings (especially the Montana criminal proceeding and environmental proceedings), the cost and availability of raw materials and energy, the Debtors' unfunded pension liabilities, costs of environmental compliance, risks related to foreign operations, especially, security, regulation and currency risks and those factors set forth herein in Article 9 under the caption "Important Considerations and Risk Factors" and in the Parent's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the first-and, second and third quarters ending March 31, 2008 and 2008, June 30, 2008 2008, and September 30, 2008, respectively, and current reports on Form 8-K, all of which have been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and are readily available on the Internet at http://investor.grace.com or http://www.sec.gov. Reported results should not be considered as an indication of future performance. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date thereof. The Debtors undertake no obligation to publicly release any revisions to the forwardlooking statements contained in this Disclosure Statement, or to update them to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this Disclosure Statement.

The Debtors make the statements and provide the Financial Information contained herein as of the date hereof unless otherwise specified. Holders of Claims and/or Equity Interests reviewing this Disclosure Statement should not infer at the time of such review that the facts set

forth herein have not changed since the date hereof unless so specified. Each Holder of an impaired Claim or Equity Interest that is entitled to vote should therefore carefully review all of the Plan Documents. *See* Article 9 of this Disclosure Statement for a discussion of various considerations and risk factors to be considered in deciding whether to accept the Plan.

This Disclosure Statement does not constitute legal, business, securities, financial or tax advice. All Entities desiring such advice or any other advice should consult with their own advisors. Further, neither this Disclosure Statement (including the Plan and all of the Exhibits in the Exhibit Book) nor any of the Plan Documents should be relied upon in making any investment decisions with respect to the Debtors or any other parties that may be affected by the Plan.

A vote by a Claimant or Holder of an Equity Interest - whether for or against the Plan - does not constitute a waiver or release of any claims or rights of the Debtors (or any party in interest) to object to that Claimant's Claim against or Holder's Equity Interest in estate assets, regardless of whether any claims or defenses of the Debtors or their respective estates are specifically or generally identified herein.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page
1.	EXE	CUTIVE	SUMMARY OF THE PLAN	<u>12</u> 3
	1.1		sclosure Statement	_
	1.2	The Pla	ın	<u>13<mark>4</mark></u>
		1.2.1	What Claims and Equity Interests Are Affected by the Plan?	
		1.2.2	How Will Asbestos Claims be Treated?	
		1.2.3	How Will the Treatment of Asbestos PI Claims be Effectuated	? <u>19</u> 11
		1.2.4	How Will the Treatment of Asbestos PD Claims be	
			Effectuated?	<u>1912</u>
		1.2.5	How Will the Treatment of CDN ZAI PD Claims be	
			Effectuated?	20 12
		1.2.6	How Will Non-Asbestos Claims be Treated Under the Plan?	<u>20</u> 12
		1.2.7	How Will Equity Interests be Treated Under the Plan?	<u>20</u> 13
		1.2.8	How Will the Plan be Funded?	
2.	DES	CRIPTIO	N OF THE DEBTORS	2115
~.	2.1		Overview of the Debtors	
	2.2		btors' Current Businesses	
	2.2	2.2.1	Grace Davison Operating Segment	
		2.2.1	2.2.1.1 Refining Technologies	
			2.2.1.2 Materials Technologies	
			2.2.1.3 Specialty Technologies	
		2.2.2	Grace Construction Products Operating Segment	
		2.2.3	Additional Information	
	2.3		ss Strategy	
	2.4		/ees	
	2.5		ies	
	2.6		s of the Debtors' Asbestos Liabilities	
		2.6.1	Asbestos-Added Products	
		2.6.2	Libby Vermiculite	
		2.6.3	Zonolite Attic Insulation	
	2.7	The De	btors' Asbestos-Related Litigation	
		2.7.1	Asbestos Personal Injury Litigation	
		2.7.2	Asbestos Property Damage Litigation	
		2.7.3	Litigation Related to Zonolite Attic Insulation and Bar Date	
		2.7.4	Asbestos Medical Monitoring Claims	31 <mark>26</mark>
	2.8		btors' Other Litigation Settled and Ongoing Matters	
		2.8.1	Libby and Vermiculite-Related Proceedings	
			2.8.1.1 Libby, Montana	
			2.8.1.2 Libby Property Owners	
			2.8.1.3 State of Montana's Claims Against the Debtors	
			2.8.1.4 Former Plant in Minneapolis, Minnesota	
			≛ '	

	2.8.2	The Multi-	-Site Settlement Agreement with the EPA and Other			
		Settling Fe	ederal Agencies	34 <u>28</u>		
	2.8.3	Other Sign	nificant Environmental Proceedings and Claims	36 <u>30</u>		
		2.8.3.1	Cape Cod Pipeline Remediation	36 <u>30</u>		
		2.8.3.2	Massachusetts Department of Environmental			
			Protection Settlement	37 <u>32</u>		
		2.8.3.3	City of Cambridge Claim	38 <u>32</u>		
		2.8.3.4	Samson Hydrocarbons Claims	38 <u>32</u>		
		2.8.3.5	Jersey City Chromium Contamination Remediation	<u>38<mark>32</mark></u>		
		2.8.3.6	New Jersey Department of Environmental			
			Protection Claim	38<u>33</u>		
		2.8.3.7	Environmental Insurance Litigation	39 <u>34</u>		
	2.8.4	Fraudulen	t Transfer Litigation	40 <u>35</u>		
	2.8.5	Tax Claim	ıs	41 <u>35</u>		
		2.8.5.1	IRS Proposed Tax Adjustments	41 <u>35</u>		
		2.8.5.2				
		2.8.5.3	State Income Tax Claims	42 <u>36</u>		
2.9	Liabilitie	s Other than	Litigation Claims	42 <u>36</u>		
	2.9.1	Current Li	abilities not Subject to Compromise Under the			
		1	y Code	42 <u>36</u>		
	2.9.2	\mathbf{r}				
			y Code			
	2.9.3	Liabilities	Subject to Compromise Under the Bankruptcy Code			
		2.9.3.1	Debt and Accrued Interest			
		2.9.3.2	Income Taxes			
		2.9.3.3	Post-Retirement Benefits Other than Pensions			
		2.9.3.4	Unfunded Special Pension Arrangements			
		2.9.3.5	Accounts Payable			
		2.9.3.6	Other Accrued Liabilities			
2.10	Assets an	_	ghts			
	2.10.1		al Property			
	2.10.2		Rights			
		2.10.2.1	Overview			
		2.10.2.2	Primary Insurance Coverage			
		2.10.2.3	Excess Insurance Coverage			
		2.10.2.4	Estimated Insurance Recoveries			
	2.10.3		Retained Causes of Action			
		2.10.3.1	Preservation of Causes of Action			
		2.10.3.2	Maintenance of Causes of Action			
		2.10.3.3	Avoidance Actions	50 44		
		2.10.3.4	Preservation of All Causes of Action not Expressly			
			Settled or Released			
2.11	Estimated	d Value of the	he Reorganized Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates	5145		

		2.11.1	Reorganized Enterprise Value of the Reorganized Debtors and	
			Non-Debtor Affiliates	<u>5145</u>
			2.11.1.1 Comparable Public Company Analysis	
			2.11.1.2 The Precedent Transaction Analysis	
		2.11.2	Calculation of Reorganized Equity Value	
			2.11.2.1 Net Debt	
			2.11.2.2 Minority Interest	
			2.11.2.3 Non-Core Liabilities	
			2.11.2.4 Deferred Payment Agreements 53 47	
			2.11.2.5 Warrant Issued to Trust	
			2.11.2.6 Summary	<u>5348</u>
3.	THE	СНАРТЕІ	R 11 FILINGS AND RELATED CANADIAN PROCEEDINGS	<u>544</u> 9
٠.	3.1		w of Chapter 11	
	3.2		ant Events During the Course of the Chapter 11 Cases	
	3 .2	3.2.1	First Day Motions and Further Developments in the Chapter 11	ee <u>12</u>
		3.2.1	Cases	<u>55</u> 49
			3.2.1.1 Retention and Employment of Professionals by the	ee <u>12</u>
			Debtors	<u>55</u> 49
			3.2.1.2 Financing and Critical Trade Motions	
			3.2.1.3 Operational Motions	
		3.2.2	Motions to Assume Pre-petition Executory Contracts and	
			Leases	56 50
		3.2.3	Appointment of Official Committees of Creditors, the Official	
			Equity Committee and the Future Claims Representative	57 51
			3.2.3.1 Official Committees of Creditors	
			3.2.3.1.1 Unsecured Creditors' Committee	
			3.2.3.1.2 Asbestos PI Committee	
			3.2.3.1.3 Asbestos PD Committee	
			3.2.3.2 Official Equity Committee	<u>58<mark>52</mark></u>
			3.2.3.3 Representative for Future Asbestos PI Claimants	
			3.2.3.4 Representative for Future Asbestos PD	
			<u>Claimants</u>	53
		3.2.4	Section 341(a) Meeting of Creditors	<u>5853</u>
		3.2.5	Selected Adversary Proceedings	<u>5853</u>
			3.2.5.1 Stay of Asbestos-Related Litigation Against	
			Various Affiliates	58 <u>53</u>
			3.2.5.2 Indirect PI Trust Claims	61 <u>56</u>
			3.2.5.3 Indirect PD Trust Claims	64 <u>59</u>
			3.2.5.4 Enjoining Bond Payments by National Union	65 <u>60</u>
		3.2.6	Extension of Exclusivity Period and Termination of Exclusivity	
			Period	
		3.2.7	Motions to Lift the Automatic Stay	<u>66<mark>61</mark></u>
		3.2.8	Certain Post-Petition Litigation Matters	67 61

Litigation Related to the Debtors' Savings and

3.2.8.1

			Investment Plan	67<u>61</u>
			3.2.8.2 The Scotts Company Litigation	<u>68<mark>63</mark></u>
			3.2.8.3 Montana Clean Air Act Criminal Litigation	69<u>64</u>
			3.2.8.4 Bank Claims Default Interest Litigation	70 65
		3.2.9	Motion for Entry of Case Management Order, the Estimation	
			Hearing, and Settlement of all Asbestos PI Claims	71 66
		3.2.10	Debtors' Bar Date for Asbestos PD Claims (Excluding US ZAI	_
			PD Claims), Non-Asbestos Claims, and Asbestos Medical	
			Monitoring Claims	73 68
		3.2.11	The ADR Program	73 68
		3.2.12	The Judge Wolin Mandamus and Recusal Proceedings	
		3.2.13	Significant Asset Purchases and Dispositions	
			3.2.13.1 Significant Asset Purchases and Investments	
			3.2.13.2 Significant Asset Dispositions	
		3.2.14	Tax Related Motions and Settlements	
			3.2.14.1 Tax Related Motions	
			3.2.14.2 Tax =Related Settlements	
		3.2.15	The Tersigni Investigation	
		3.2.16	Various Additional Claim Settlements During the Course of the	_
			Chapter 11 Cases	78 73
		3.2.17	Various Motions Filed on an Annual Basis	
		3.2.18	Previous Plans	
	3.3		nadian Proceedings	
		3.3.1	General Information	80 75
		3.3.2	Notice of the Canadian Proceedings	
		3.3.3	Quarterly Reports	
		3.3.4	Court Orders in the Canadian Proceedings	
			3.3.4.1 Orders Extending the Stay of Proceedings in	_
			Canada	82 77
			3.3.4.2 Recognition of the Debtors' March 2003 Bar Date	_
			Order	82 77
			3.3.4.3 The Corporate Reorganization Order	
		3.3.5	Pre-petition Canadian Lawsuits	
			3.3.5.1 Canadian Asbestos Personal Injury Lawsuits	
			3.3.5.2 Asbestos Property Damage Claims for Buildings	_
			Located in Canada	83 78
		3.3.6	Post-Petition Canadian Lawsuits	
		3.3.7	Canadian Claims	
l .	SUM	MARY O	F THE PLAN	86 81
	4.1	Overvie	w of the Chapter 11 Plan	86 81
	4.2	PROVIS	SIONS FOR PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	
		AND PF	RIORITY TAX CLAIMS	<u>8681</u>

4.3	CLASSII	FICATION .	AND TREATMENT OF CLAIMS AND EQUITY	
	INTERE	STS		<u>8782</u>
	4.3.1	Summary.		<u>87<mark>83</mark></u>
		4.3.1.1	Class 1. Priority Claims	<u>88</u> 83
		4.3.1.2	Class 2. Secured Claims	
		4.3.1.3	Class 3. Employee Benefit Claims	<u>88</u> 84
		4.3.1.4	Class 4. Workers' Compensation Claims	
		4.3.1.5	Class 5. Intercompany Claims	
		4.3.1.6	Class 6. Asbestos PI Claims	<u>89<mark>85</mark></u>
		4.3.1.7	Class 7. Asbestos PD Claims	
		4.3.1.8	Class 8. CDN ZAI PD Claims	<u>9187</u>
		4.3.1.9	Class 9. General Unsecured Claims	
		4.3.1.10	Class 10. Equity Interests in the Parent	<u>96<mark>92</mark></u>
		4.3.1.11	Class 11. Equity Interests in the Debtors Other than	
			the Parent	
4.4	MODIFI	CATION O	R WITHDRAWAL OF THE PLAN	<u>9692</u>
4.5	PROVIS:	IONS FOR	TREATMENT OF DISPUTED CLAIMS AND	
	ASBEST	OS CLAIM	S GENERALLY	<u>9792</u>
	4.5.1	Objections	s to Claims (other than Asbestos <u>PI</u> Claims);	
		Prosecutio	n of Disputed Claims	<u>9792</u>
	4.5.2	Resolution	of Asbestos PI Claims	97 <u>93</u>
	4.5.3	Resolution	of Asbestos PD Claims	97 <u>93</u>
	4.5.4	Resolution	of CDN ZAI PD Claims	97 <u>93</u>
4.6	ACCEPT	TANCE OR	REJECTION OF THE PLAN	97 <u>93</u>
4.7	IMPLEM	1ENTATIO	N OF THE PLAN	<u>9894</u>
	4.7.1	Corporate	Governance	<u>9894</u>
		4.7.1.1	Amendment of Certificates of Incorporation of the	
			Debtors	
		4.7.1.2	Amendment of By-Laws of the Parent	<u>9994</u>
		4.7.1.3	Precedence of Share Issuance Obligations	
		4.7.1.4	Warrant	99 95
		<u>4.7.1.5</u>	Trading Restrictions on Parent Common Stock	<u>96</u>
	4.7.2	The Asbes	tos PI Trust	100 2 7
		4.7.2.1	Creation of the Asbestos PI Trust	100 2 7
		4.7.2.2	Funding of the Asbestos PI Trust	101 <u>97</u>
		4.7.2.3	Transfer of Claims and Demands to the Asbestos PI	
			Trust	102 <u>98</u>
		4.7.2.4	Assignment and Enforcement of Trust Causes of	
			Action	102 <u>98</u>
		4.7.2.5	Appointment and Termination of Trustees	
		4.7.2.6	Creation and Termination of the Asbestos PI TAC	103 9 9
		4.7.2.7	Cooperation Agreement	103<u>99</u>

		4.7.2.8	Institution and Maintenance of Legal and Other	
			Proceedings	
	4.7.3	The Asbes	stos PD Trust	
		4.7.3.1	Creation of the Asbestos PD Trust	103 99
		4.7.3.2	Funding of the Asbestos PD Trust	104<u>100</u>
		4.7.3.3	Transfer of Claims and Demands to the Asbestos	
			PD Trust	104 101
		4.7.3.4	Assignment and Enforcement of Asbestos PD	
			Trust Causes of Action	102
		<u>4.7.3.5</u>	Appointment and Termination of Asbestos PD	
			Trustee	104 <u>102</u>
		4.7.3.6	Creation and Termination of the Zonolite Attic	
			Insulation TAC	102
	4.7.4	Payments	and Distributions Under the Plan	105 <u>102</u>
	4.7.5	Delivery of	of Distributions and Undeliverable or Unclaimed	
			ons	105 <u>103</u>
	4.7.6	Payments	Under the Plan	106 104
	4.7.7	Condition	s to Occurrence of the Confirmation Date	106 104
	4.7.8	Condition	s to Occurrence of the Effective Date	106 104
	4.7.9	Managem	ent of the Reorganized Debtors	107 <u>105</u>
	4.7.10	Corporate	Action	107 <u>105</u>
	4.7.11	Effectuati	ng Documents and Further Transactions	107 <u>105</u>
	4.7.12	Allocation	n of Plan Distributions Between Principal and Interest	. 107 <u>105</u>
	4.7.13	No Succes	ssor Liability	107 <u>105</u>
	4.7.14	Deemed C	Consolidation of the Debtors for Plan Purposes Only	108 <u>106</u>
	4.7.15	Insurance	Neutrality	109 106
4.8	Injunctio	ns, Release	s and Discharge	109 <u>107</u>
	4.8.1	Discharge		110 <u>107</u>
		4.8.1.1	Discharge of the Debtors and Related Discharge	
			Injunction	110 <u>107</u>
		4.8.1.2	Discharge of Liabilities to Holders of Asbestos PI	
			Claims	
		4.8.1.3	Discharge of Liabilities to Holders of Asbestos PD	
			Claims	110<u>108</u>
		4.8.1.4	Discharge of Liabilities to Holders of CDN ZAI PD)
			Claims	111 <u>108</u>
		4.8.1.5	Disallowed Claims and Disallowed Equity Interests	s. 111 <u>109</u>
		4.8.1.6	Non-Dischargeable ERISA Liability	111 <u>109</u>
	4.8.2	The Asbes	stos PI Channeling Injunction	112<u>109</u>
		4.8.2.1	Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction	112<u>110</u>
		4.8.2.2	Reservations from Asbestos PI Channeling	
			Injunction	
	4.8.3	The Asbes	stos PD Channeling Injunction	113 112

4.8.3.2 Reservations from Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction		4.8.3.1	Asbestos PD Cha	nneling Injunction	<u>114112</u>
Injunction		4.8.3.2	Reservations from	n Asbestos PD Channeling	
4.8.4 Asbestos Insurance Entity Injunction(s)				_	<u>115113</u>
4.8.4.1.1 Injunction for the Benefit of the Asbestos PI Trust	4.8.4	Asbestos I	nsurance Entity Inj	unction(s)	<u>115<mark>114</mark></u>
4.8.4.1.1 Injunction for the Benefit of the Asbestos PI Trust					
Asbestos PI Trust					
4.8.4.1.2 Reservations from the Injunction for the Benefit of the Asbestos PI Trust					115
the Benefit of the Asbestos PI Trust					
4.8.5.1 Injunction					
4.8.5.1 Injunction	4.8.5	Successor	Claims Injunction.		<u>117116</u>
Parties and Fresenius Indemnified Parties			-		
Parties and Fresenius Indemnified Parties	4.8.6	Injunction	s and Releases Rela	ated to the Sealed Air Indemnif	ied
4.8.7 Terms of Certain Injunctions and the Automatic Stays in Existence Immediately Prior to Confirmation					
4.8.7.1 Injunctions and/or Automatic Stays in Existence Immediately Prior to Confirmation	4.8.7				
Immediately Prior to Confirmation			_	-	
4.8.7.2 Injunctions Provided for in the Plan			•	<u> </u>	
4.8.8 Additional Releases and Indemnification 119118 4.8.8.1 Release of Sealed Air Indemnified Parties 119118 4.8.8.2 Reservation of Rights With Respect to Cryovac Transaction Contractual Obligations 120119 4.8.8.3 Release of Fresenius Indemnified Parties 120119 4.8.8.4 Assumption of the 1998 Tax Sharing Agreement and Section 4.04 of the TSIA 121120 4.8.8.5 Effect of the Fresenius Settlement Agreement, the Fresenius Settlement Order, the Sealed Air Settlement Order 121120 4.8.8.6 Release of Avoidance Actions 121120 4.8.8.7 Specific Releases by Holders of Claims or Equity Interests 122121 4.8.8.8 Release by Debtors and Estate Parties 122121 4.8.8.9 Indemnification of Representatives of the Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates 123122 4.8.8.10 Indemnification of Reorganized Debtors and Their Representatives by the Asbestos PI Trust 122 4.8.8.11 Indemnification of the Reorganized Debtors and Their Representatives by the Asbestos PD Trust 123 EXECUTORY CONTRACTS, UNEXPIRED LEASES, LETTERS OF CREDIT, SURETY BONDS, COMPENSATION, INDEMNITY AND BENEFIT PROGRAMS 124 4.9.1 Assumption of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases 124 <		4.8.7.2	•		
4.8.8.1 Release of Sealed Air Indemnified Parties	4.8.8	Additional			
4.8.8.2 Reservation of Rights With Respect to Cryovac Transaction Contractual Obligations					
Transaction Contractual Obligations					
4.8.8.3 Release of Fresenius Indemnified Parties					120 119
4.8.8.4 Assumption of the 1998 Tax Sharing Agreement and Section 4.04 of the TSIA		4.8.8.3			
and Section 4.04 of the TSIA					
4.8.8.5 Effect of the Fresenius Settlement Agreement, the Fresenius Settlement Order, the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement, and the Sealed Air Settlement Order			-		
Fresenius Settlement Order, the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement, and the Sealed Air Settlement Order		4.8.8.5			
Settlement Agreement, and the Sealed Air Settlement Order				<u> </u>	
Settlement Order				•	
4.8.8.6 Release of Avoidance Actions			_		<u>121</u> 120
4.8.8.7 Specific Releases by Holders of Claims or Equity Interests		4.8.8.6			
Interests					
4.8.8.8 Release by Debtors and Estate Parties			*	•	•
4.8.8.9 Indemnification of Representatives of the Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates		4.8.8.8			
and Non-Debtor Affiliates			•		
4.8.8.10 Indemnification of Reorganized Debtors and Their Representatives by the Asbestos PI Trust				=	
Representatives by the Asbestos PI Trust		4.8.8.10			
4.8.8.11 Indemnification of the Reorganized Debtors and Their Representatives by the Asbestos PD Trust123 EXECUTORY CONTRACTS, UNEXPIRED LEASES, LETTERS OF CREDIT, SURETY BONDS, COMPENSATION, INDEMNITY AND BENEFIT PROGRAMS					
Their Representatives by the Asbestos PD Trust123 EXECUTORY CONTRACTS, UNEXPIRED LEASES, LETTERS OF CREDIT, SURETY BONDS, COMPENSATION, INDEMNITY AND BENEFIT PROGRAMS		4.8.8.11		· ·	
EXECUTORY CONTRACTS, UNEXPIRED LEASES, LETTERS OF CREDIT, SURETY BONDS, COMPENSATION, INDEMNITY AND BENEFIT PROGRAMS		11010111		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
CREDIT, SURETY BONDS, COMPENSATION, INDEMNITY AND BENEFIT PROGRAMS	EXECUT	TORY CON			
BENEFIT PROGRAMS					
4.9.1 Assumption of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases124		•	*	•	
12/2/2 12/2/2011 1 Vector Communication and 12/4	10/01		_		
		11/11/1	1400umpuon 110	<u> </u>	

		4.9.1.2 Rejection	of Certain Executory Contracts and	
		<u>Unexpired</u>	Leases	<u>125</u>
		4.9.2 Letters of Credit and	Surety Bonds	126
		4.9.3 Compensation, Inden	nity and Benefit Program	126
	4.10	RETENTION OF JURISDICTIO	N	<u>125126</u>
	4.11	MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIO	NS	126<u>127</u>
~	TD A		DE EVECUTED DI COMUNICATION	
5.			BE EXECUTED IN CONJUNCTION OATE	
	5.1		greement	
	5.2		Payment Agreement	
	5.3		Payment Agreement	
	5.4	_Grace Guaranty-130_Agreements	3	131
	5.3 <u>5.</u> 3	Share Issuance Agreement		<u>130132</u>
	5.4 <u>5.</u> 6	Warrant		<u>130132</u>
	5.5 <u>5.</u> 2	Plan Registration Rights Agreeme	ent	<u>130132</u>
	5.6 <u>5.8</u>	Asbestos PD Note		130 <u>PI/PD Inter-C</u>
6.	I IMI	TED SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLID	ATION	121 123
0.	LIMII	TED SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLID	ATION	131 <u>133</u>
7.	VOT	NG AND CONFIRMATION PRO	CEDURES	<u>133135</u>
	7.1	Voting Procedures		<u>133135</u>
			d Deadline	
	7.2	Confirmation Procedures		<u>134<u>136</u></u>
		7.2.1 Confirmation Hearing		<u>134136</u>
		7.2.2 Objections to Confirma	ation of the Plan	<u>134<u>137</u></u>
		7.2.3 Questions About the D	isclosure Statement, Plan, or Ballots an	nd
		Master Ballots		136<u>139</u>
8.	DEO	IIDEMENTS EOD CONEIDMATI	ON OF THE PLAN	127 120
0.	8.1		ly	
	8.2		nce	
	0.2			
	8.3			<u>137142</u> <u>141143</u>
	8.4			
	8.5		vernance, Officers, and Directors of the	
	0.5	•		
			nagement of the Debtors	
		<u>-</u>	; Limitation of Director Liability	
		8.5.2 Management Compens	ation and Incentive Program	143<u>143</u>
9.	IMPO	RTANT CONSIDERATIONS AN	D RISK FACTORS	144147
	9.1			
	9.2		rt Law Considerations	

	9.2.1	Parties in Interest May Object to the Debtors' Classification of	
		Claims and Equity Interests	144<u>147</u>
	9.2.2	A Delay in Plan Confirmation May Disrupt the Debtors'	
		Operations and Have Potential Adverse Effects of Prolonged	
		Confirmation Process	144 <u>147</u>
	9.2.3	The Debtors May Not be Able to Secure Confirmation or	
		Consummation of the Plan	144 <u>147</u>
	9.2.4	The Court May Find the Debtors Are Required to Pay	
		Default Interest	148
	9.2.5	There is a Risk of Post-Confirmation Default	145<u>148</u>
	<u>9.2.6</u>	The Debtors May Object to the Amount or Classification of	•
		a Claim	149
	9.2.5 <u>9.2.</u>	7 Resale of Parent Common Stock May be Subject to	
		Requirements Under Applicable Securities Laws	146<u>149</u>
9.3	Factors A	Affecting the Distributions to Holders of Allowed Claims After	
	the Effec	ctive Date	146<u>149</u>
	9.3.1	Financial Information is Based on the Debtors' Books and	
		Records and, Unless Otherwise Stated, No Audit Was	
		Performed	146<u>149</u>
	9.3.2	Variance from the Pro Forma and Prospective Financial	
		Information	146 149
	9.3.3	Risk that Amounts of Allowed Claims Will Exceed the	
		Debtors' Projections	147 150
9.4	Insuranc	e-Related Risk Factors	147 <u>150</u>
9.5	Factors A	Affecting the Parent Common Stock	148 151
	9.5.1	The Reorganized Debtors May Not be Able to Achieve	
		Projected Financial Results	149 152
	9.5.2	The Reorganized Debtors May Not be Able to Meet Post-	
		Reorganization Debt Obligations and Finance All Operating	
		Expenses, Working Capital Needs and Capital Expenditures	149 152
	9.5.3	Certain Tax Implications of the Debtors' Bankruptcy and	
		Reorganization May Increase the Tax Liability of the	
		Reorganized Debtors	149 152
9.6	Factors A	Associated with the Business	
	9.6.1	Reorganized Grace May Not Obtain Post-Confirmation	
		Financing	149 152
	9.6.2	Certain Debtors are Currently Under Criminal Indictment in	
		Connection with the Former Vermiculite Mining and	
		Processing Activities in Libby, Montana	149 15 2
	9.6.3	The Debtors are Subject to Environmental Clean-up Fines,	
		Penalties and Damage Claims that have been and Continue to	
		be Costly	150 153

		9.6.4	The Debtors' Ability to Use Future NOL Carryovers to Reduce	·
			Future Tax Payments May be Limited if there is a Change in	
			Ownership of the Debtors or if the Debtors do not Generate	
			Sufficient U.S. Taxable Income	150<u>153</u>
		9.6.5	The Debtors have Unfunded and Underfunded Pension Plan	
			Liabilities which will Likely Require them to use Current and	
			Future Operating Cash Flow to Fund the Shortfall but There is	
			no Assurance that the Debtors will Generate Sufficient Cash	
			Flow to Satisfy these Obligations	151<u>154</u>
		9.6.6	The International Scope of the Debtors' Operations Subjects	
			them to the Risks of Doing Business in Foreign Countries,	
			which could Adversely Affect their Business, Financial	
			Condition and Results of Operations	151 <u>154</u>
		9.6.7	The Debtors are Exposed to Currency Exchange Rate	
			Fluctuations that Could Impact their Profitability	152<u>155</u>
		9.6.8	There are many Business Factors that Create Risks for the	
			Debtors' Current Business Operations, as well as Reorganized	
			Grace's Future Operations	152 155
	9.7	Risk that	the Information in this Disclosure Statement May be Inaccurate	
10.	ALTE	ERNATIVI	ES TO CONFIRMATION AND CONSUMMATION OF THE	
	PLAN	J		154<u>157</u>
	10.1	Continua	tion of the Chapter 11 Cases	154 157
	10.2		ve Plans of Reorganization	
	10.3	Chapter 7	7 Liquidation	155 <u>158</u>
11.	FEDE		OME TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE PLAN	
	11.1	Federal I	ncome Tax Consequences to the Debtors	
		11.1.1	General Discussion	157<u>160</u>
		11.1.2	Deduction of Amounts Transferred to Satisfy Asbestos Claims	157<u>160</u>
		11.1.3	Cancellation of Debt Income	158<u>161</u>
		11.1.4	Net Operating Losses	158<u>161</u>
	11.2	Federal I	ncome Tax Consequences to Holders of Claims, the Asbestos PI	
			d the Asbestos PD Trust	
		11.2.1	Holders of Asbestos PI Claims and Holders of PD Claims	159 <u>162</u>
		11.2.2	Treatment of the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust.	159 162
		11.2.3	Consequences to Holders of General Unsecured Claims	159<u>162</u>
		11.2.4	Consequences to Holders of Equity Interests	
	11.3	Backup V	Withholding	
		•	č	
12.	SECU	RITIES L	AW MATTERS	160<u>163</u>
	12.1	Plan Seco	urities	160<u>163</u>
	12.2	Issuance	Of Plan Securities Under The Plan	160 <u>163</u>
	12.3		Of Plan Securities	

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Through this Disclosure Statement, the Debtors are seeking approval of the Plan, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. The confirmation of a plan of reorganization, which is the vehicle for satisfying the rights of holders of Claims (and, in this case, Demands) against and Equity Interests in a debtor, is the overriding purpose of a chapter 11 case.

The Plan resolves, among other things, asbestos personal injury litigation against the Debtors that dates back to the 1980s, as well as lengthy chapter 11 cases that commenced on April 2, 2001. As of the Petition Date, the Parent and certain of its subsidiaries were defendants in 65,656 asbestos-related lawsuits, 17 involving claims for property damage (one of which has since been dismissed), and the remainder involving 129,191 claims for personal injury.

Since the inception of these Chapter 11 Cases, the amount of the Debtors' present and future asbestos liabilities has been the subject of significant dispute. This dispute has led to a protracted chapter 11 case, involving litigation in both the Bankruptcy Court and the District Court. The issues in such litigation have included the estimation of the amount of the Debtors' asbestos personal injury liability, the determination of whether the Debtors' ZAI product created an unreasonable risk of harm and the adjudication of objections to in excess of 4,000 Asbestos PD Claims. For a further description of this litigation, *see* Sections 2.7 and 2.8.

On April 6, 2008, in the midst of litigation concerning the estimation of the Debtors' liability for Asbestos PI Claims, the Debtors, the Asbestos PI Committee, the Asbestos PI FCR and the Equity Committee reached an agreement in principle (the "Asbestos PI Settlement") to resolve all Asbestos PI Claims against the Debtors and to cooperate in the confirmation of a plan of reorganization. The Asbestos PI Settlement, which is the foundation for the Plan and will be implemented pursuant to the terms of the Plan, requires the following assets to be paid into the Asbestos PI Trust to be established pursuant to section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code:

- Cash in the amount of \$250 million plus interest thereon from January 1, 2009 until (and including) the Effective Date at the same rate applicable to the Debtors' senior debt;
- A Warrant to acquire 10 million shares of the Parent's common stock at an exercise price of \$17.00 per share, expiring one year from the effective date of the Plan;
- Rights to proceeds under the Debtors' asbestos-related insurance coverage;
- Certain cash and stock under certain litigation settlement agreements with Sealed Air Corporation, Cryovac, Inc. and Fresenius Medical Care Holdings, Inc.; and
- Deferred payments at \$110 million per year for five years, beginning in 2019, and \$100 million per year for ten years beginning in 2024; the deferred payments will be obligations of the Reorganized Debtors backed by 50.1% of the Parent's common stock to meet the requirements of section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code.

1

The Asbestos PI Trust will provide for the resolution of all Asbestos PI Claims and Successor Claims arising out of or based on any Asbestos PI Claim, including those arising subsequent to the date hereof. In addition, the Asbestos PI Settlement sets forth the treatment of other key classes of Claims against the Debtors, thereby forming the basis for the treatment of such Claims under the Plan. Section 3.2.9 and Article 5 provide a further description of the Asbestos PI Settlement and the transactional documents contemplated thereby, which will be executed in connection with the implementation of the Plan.

The Plan also provides for the resolution of Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims, including US ZAI PD Claims and CDN ZAI PD Claims. Pursuant to the Plan, all Asbestos PD Claims, and Successor Claims arising out of or based on any Asbestos PD Claim, including those arising subsequent to the date hereof, will be channeled to the Asbestos PD Trust-and all. All Allowed Asbestos PD Claims, other than US ZAI PD Claims and CDN ZAI PD Claims, shall be paid in full by the Asbestos PD Trust, and. US ZAI PD Claims will be paid pursuant to the ZAI TDP, consistent with the class settlement agreement discussed herein. CDN ZAI PD Claims will be channeled to the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund and resolved in accordance with the terms of the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement.

The Plan reflects an agreed to resolution of the US ZAI PD Claims which had been the subject of years of litigation and negotiation. A term sheet among the Debtors, the Equity Committee, the ZAI Claimants' Special Counsel and the Asbestos PD FCR was signed on November 21, 2008 and on January 16, 2009, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order preliminarily approving the class settlement agreement entered into by the parties. The US ZAI PD settlement resolves all current and future US ZAI PD claims through the instrumentalities of a certified US ZAI class and through employment of a trust for asbestos property damage claims under section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code. The proposed US ZAI class consists of all individuals who filed proofs of claim with respect to US ZAI PD Claims on or before October 31, 2008 (the bar date that was established for US ZAI PD Claims). Class members' claims, and any and all future US ZAI PD Claims, are channeled to the Asbestos PD Trust which will pay US ZAI PD Claims based on the provisions set forth in the ZAI TDP. The Asbestos PD Trust will be funded for the benefit of US ZAI PD Claims with an initial payment of \$30 million plus interest from April 1, 2009 to the Effective Date accrued at the same rate applicable to the Debtors' senior Exit Financing. A deferred payment of \$30 million will be paid three years after the Effective Date. Thereafter, a series of up to ten contingent payments of \$8 million each over the ensuing 20 years are available, provided certain conditions are met, including that the assets available in the Asbestos PD Trust to pay US ZAI PD Claims fall below \$10 million.

With respect to the CDN ZAI PD Claims, on September 2, 2008, the Debtors, certain non-Debtor parties, and the CCAA Representative Counsel appointed to represent the interests of CDN ZAI PD Claimants and CDN ZAI PI Claimants entered into a settlement to settle all the CDN ZAI PD Claims and CDN ZAI PI Claims. Under the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement, which were approved by the Canadian Court on October 17, 2008, the Debtors agreed to pay, on the Effective Date, approximately \$6.5 million (CDN dollars) to the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund to pay all valid CDN ZAI PD Claims, and all CDN ZAI PI Claims are channeled to the Asbestos PI Trust on the Effective Date.

2

In connection with confirmation of the Plan, the Debtors will ask the Court to enter the PDClass 7A Case Management Order setting forth the procedures for determining the allowance or disallowance of the Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims.

The Plan is also intended to be consistent with and incorporate the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement and the Fresenius Settlement Agreement by which a total of more than \$1 billion in Cash and other consideration will be transferred to the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust. Except as may be expressly agreed in writing by Sealed Air Corporation, Cryovac, Inc., and Fresenius in their absolute discretion, nothing in the Plan or any Plan Document shall be deemed to alter, modify, amend, or otherwise change, in any way the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement or the Fresenius Settlement Agreement. Those settlements are described more fully in Section 2.8.4 herein.

The Asbestos PI Settlement, the US ZAI PD settlement, the CDN ZAI settlement and the Plan reflect a delicate compromise reached by the Plan Proponents to resolve all issues and allocate values to various constituencies. The Debtors' Boards of Directors believe that the Plan is in the best interests of all of the Debtors' creditors and other stakeholders. The Asbestos PI Committee and the Equity Committee support the Plan and encourage their constituencies to vote in favor of the Plan. The Asbestos PI FCR also supports the Plan. The Plan allocates recoveries for all parties on a fair and equitable basis as set forth on the treatment chart in Section 1.2.1. Further, the Plan provides a mechanism for the Debtors to fully discharge all Asbestos PI Claims, including CDN ZAI PI Claims, Asbestos PD Claims, including US ZAI PD Claims through the creation of the Asbestos PI Trust, the Asbestos PD Trust and the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund.

The Unsecured Creditors' Committee is not a co-proponent of the Plan. For a more detailed discussion of the Unsecured Creditors' Committee's objections to the treatment of Class 9 Claims, *see* the discussion in Section 4.3.1.9.

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE PLAN

The following is a brief summary of this Disclosure Statement and of the Plan. This summary is just that - a summary. It is incomplete by definition and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the more detailed information appearing elsewhere in this Disclosure Statement, in the Plan, and in the other Plan Documents.

1.1 The Disclosure Statement

This Disclosure Statement describes the Debtors (in Article 2), discusses the events leading to the filing of the Chapter 11 Cases (in Article 2), and describes the main events that have occurred in the Chapter 11 Cases (in Article 3), including the related international proceedings (in Section 3.3) and proposed limited substantive consolidation (in Article 6).

This Disclosure Statement goes on to summarize the Plan's contents (in Article 4), describe the chapter 11 voting procedures (in Article 7), and the process the Court will follow in determining whether to confirm the Plan (in Articles 7, 8). This Disclosure Statement then outlines risk factors associated with the Plan (in Article 9), alternatives to the Plan (in Article

10), certain potential federal income tax consequences (in Article 11), and securities implications of the Plan (Article 12). Finally, this Disclosure Statement makes clear that the Debtors recommend that Holders of Claims and Equity Interests who are eligible to vote on the Plan vote to accept the Plan (in Article 13).

1.2 The Plan

1.2.1 What Claims and Equity Interests Are Affected by the Plan?

The following table summarizes the classification and treatment of Claims and Equity Interests under the Plan. The figures in the column entitled "Estimated Amount of Allowed Claims" are consistent with the Debtors' books and records and include the Debtors' estimates for certain Claims that are disputed, which Claims may ultimately be estimated or valued significantly higher or lower.

CLASS	DESCRIPTION OF CLASS	IMPAIRED	TREATMENT UNDER THE PLAN	ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF ALLOWED CLAIMS ²	ESTIMATED PERCENTAGE RECOVERY
N/A	Administrative Expense Claims	N/A	Subject to the provisions of Bankruptcy Code §§ 330(a), 331, and 503, each Holder of an Allowed Administrative Expense Claim shall be paid the Allowed Amount of its Administrative Expense Claim either (i) in full, in Cash, by the Reorganized Debtors, on the Effective Date or as soon as practicable thereafter, or (ii) upon such other less favorable terms as may be mutually agreed upon between the Holder of an Allowed Administrative Expense Claim and the Reorganized Debtors or otherwise established pursuant to an order of the Bankruptcy Court; provided that (A) Administrative Expense Claims representing liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business by the Debtors in Possession on or after the Petition Date or assumed by the Debtors in Possession pursuant to the Plan or an order of the Bankruptcy Court shall be paid by the Reorganized Debtors in accordance with the terms and conditions of the particular transactions and any agreements relating thereto or any order of the Bankruptcy Court and (B) Allowed Administrative Expense Claims of Professionals shall be paid pursuant	\$ <u>[</u> 33.8 million ³ <u>[</u> 4	100%

² This estimate is for the principal amount of the Claim only. The payment will include interest, at the applicable rate as provided for in the Plan or otherwise ordered by the Court.

,

Includes amounts to be paid on the Effective Date or as soon as practicable thereafter (\$[33,734,229]) and unliquidated amounts that would be paid as Administrative Expense Claims if and when allowed (\$[25,197]).

⁴ All of the bracketed financial information contained herein was contained in the Debtors' Disclosure Statement dated as of
September 19, 2008. The Debtors are currently preparing their final financial reports for fiscal year 2008. Upon completion of the
Debtors' year-end financial reports, the financial information will be updated as of December 31, 2008. The updated financial
information will be provided in the final Disclosure Statement and Exhibits thereto to be filed on February 27, 2009.

CLASS	DESCRIPTION OF CLASS	IMPAIRED	TREATMENT UNDER THE PLAN	ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF ALLOWED CLAIMS ²	ESTIMATED PERCENTAGE RECOVERY
			to an order of the Bankruptcy Court.		
N/A	Priority Tax Claims	N/A	Each Holder of an Allowed Priority Tax Claim shall be paid the Allowed Amount of its Priority Tax Claim, at the option of the Reorganized Debtors, either (i) in full, in Cash, by the Reorganized Debtors, on the Effective Date or as soon as practicable thereafter, or (ii) upon such other terms as may be agreed upon by the Holder of an Allowed Priority Tax Claim and approved by the Bankruptcy Court, or (iii) in equal quarterly Cash payments commencing on the Initial Tax Distribution Date and, thereafter, on each Quarterly Tax Distribution Date in an aggregate amount equal to such Allowed Priority Tax Claim, together with interest at 4.19% per annum, over a period not exceeding six (6) years after the date of assessment of such Allowed Priority Tax Claim, or upon such other terms (including such other rate of interest) determined by the Bankruptcy Court, which will provide the Holder of such Allowed Priority Tax Claim deferred Cash payments having a value, as of the Effective Date, equal to such Allowed Priority Tax Claim deferred Cash payments having a value, as of the Effective Date, equal to such Allowed Priority Tax Claim; provided, however, that each Holder of a Priority Tax Claim which by operation of the Fresenius Settlement Agreement is an obligation for Fresenius Indemnified Taxes promptly shall be paid in full in Cash as such Fresenius	\$[33.4 million]	100%
~1			Indemnified Taxes become due and payable.	45	1000
Class 1	Priority Claims	No	Each Holder of an Allowed Priority Claim shall be paid the Allowed Amount of its Allowed Priority Claim plus interest at 4.19%, from the Petition Date, compounded annually, or if pursuant to an existing contract, interest at the non-default contract rate, at the option of the Reorganized Debtors, either (i) in full, in Cash, on the later of (A) the Effective Date or as soon as practicable thereafter or (B) the date such Priority Claim becomes an Allowed Priority Claim, or as soon as practicable thereafter, or (ii) upon such other less favorable terms as may be agreed upon by the Holder of an Allowed Priority Claim.	\$ <u>[</u> 709,873 ⁴ <u>5]</u>	100%

.

⁴⁵ Includes amounts to be paid on the Effective Date or as soon as practicable thereafter (\$[209,873]) and unliquidated amounts that would be Class 1 Claims if and when allowed and paid in accordance with their terms (\$[500,000]).

CLASS	DESCRIPTION OF CLASS	IMPAIRED	TREATMENT UNDER THE PLAN	ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF	ESTIMATED PERCENTAGE
	OF CLASS			ALLOWED	RECOVERY
				CLAIMS ²	RECOVERT
Class	Secured Claims	No	Each Holder of an Allowed Secured Claim shall	\$[5,754,496 ⁵ 6]	100%
2			be paid the Allowed Amount of its Allowed	Ψ[3,734,470]	
			Secured Claim plus interest at 4.19%, from the		
			Petition Date, compounded annually, or if		
			pursuant to an existing contract, interest at the		
			non-default contract rate, at the option of the		
			Reorganized Debtors, either (i) in full, in Cash,		
			on the later of (A) the Effective Date or as soon as practicable thereafter or (B) the date such		
			Secured Claim becomes an Allowed Secured		
			Claim, or as soon as practicable thereafter; (ii)		
			upon such other less favorable terms as may be		
			agreed upon by the Holder of an Allowed		
			Secured Claim; (iii) by the surrender to the		
			Holder or Holders of any Allowed Secured		
			Claim of the property securing such Secured		
			Claim; or (iv) notwithstanding any contractual		
			provision or applicable law that entitles the		
			Holder of a Secured Claim to demand or receive payment thereof prior to the stated maturity		
			from and after the occurrence of a default, by		
			reinstatement in accordance with Bankruptcy		
			Code § 1124(2)(A)-(D).		
Class	Employee	No	Employee Benefit Claims shall be reinstated	\$ <u>[</u> 165.3	100%
3	Benefit Claims		under the Plan and paid pursuant to the written	million ⁶⁷]	
			benefit plan or plans that the Debtors intend to	_	
			continue pursuant to Section 9.3.1 of the Plan,		
			subject to the terms and conditions of such		
			plans. Thus, the Plan leaves unaltered the legal, equitable, and contractual rights to which each		
			such Claim entitles the Holder of such Claim.		
Class	Workers'	No	The Plan leaves unaltered the legal, equitable,	Allowed Claims	100%
4	Compensation		and contractual rights to which each Workers'	have already	
	Claims		Compensation Claim entitles the Holder of such	been paid	
			Workers' Compensation Claim. For the	pursuant to first	
			avoidance of doubt, in no event shall any of the	day orders of	
			Sealed Air Indemnified Parties or the Fresenius	the Bankruptcy Court and	
			Indemnified Parties have any liability with respect to any Workers' Compensation Claim.	continue to be	
			respect to any workers. Compensation Claim.	paid in the	
				ordinary course	
				as they become	
				due.	

⁵⁶ Includes amounts to be paid on the Effective Date or as soon as practicable thereafter (\$[562,100]), amounts paid after the Effective Date in accordance with their terms (\$[3,500,000]) and unliquidated amounts that would be Class 2 claims if and when allowed (\$[1,692,396]).

⁶² Includes approximately \$\$\frac{1}{2}0.9\$ million] of post-retirement benefits other than pensions as described in Section 2.9.3.3 herein and approximately \$\$\frac{1}{2}0.4.4\$ million] of unfunded special pension arrangements as described in Section 2.9.3.4 herein. Includes amounts to be paid on the Effective Date or as soon as practicable thereafter (\$\$\frac{1}{2}15.8\$ million]) and amounts paid after the Effective Date in accordance with their terms (\$\$\frac{1}{2}149.5\$ million]).

CLASS	DESCRIPTION OF CLASS	IMPAIRED	TREATMENT UNDER THE PLAN	ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF ALLOWED	ESTIMATED PERCENTAGE RECOVERY
				CLAIMS ²	RECOVERT
Class 5	Intercompany Claims	No	The Plan leaves unaltered the legal, equitable, and contractual rights to which each Intercompany Claim entitles the Holder of such Intercompany Claim.	For pro forma cash flow purposes all Claims will have no impact upon the Plan as all payments under the Plan are based upon the Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates as consolidated.	100%
Class 6	Asbestos PI Claims	Yes	All Asbestos PI Claims shall be resolved in accordance with the terms, provisions, and procedures of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement and the Asbestos PI TDP (unless previously allowed pursuant to an order of the Court or agreement of the Parties). All Asbestos PI Claims shall be paid by the Asbestos PI Trust solely out offrom the Asbestos PI Trust Assets as and to the extent provided in the Asbestos PI TDP. Asbestos PI Claims shall not be deemed allowed or Disallowed (unless an order or agreement approved by the Court allowing such Claim has been previously entered) but rather, shall be resolved by the Asbestos PI Trust pursuant to the terms of the Asbestos PI TDP.	N/A	Unknown

CLASS	DESCRIPTION	IMPAIRED	TREATMENT UNDER THE PLAN	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED
CLASS	OF CLASS	IMITAIRED	TREATMENT UNDER THE I LAN	AMOUNT OF	PERCENTAGE
	01 02.155			ALLOWED	RECOVERY
				CLAIMS ²	
Class	Class 7A:	No(Vote	Each Holder of an Asbestos PD Claim_in Class	\$ 109 112	100%
7	Asbestos PD	being	7A that is Allowed as of the Effective Date	million ⁷ 8	10070
	Claims (other	solicited for	pursuant to a PD Settlement Agreement, or	IIIIIIOII =	
	than US ZAI	purposes of	other stipulation, order or agreement, shall be		
	PD Claims)	§ 524(g) of	paid the Allowed Amount of its Allowed		
		the	Asbestos PD Claim in Cash in full by the		
		Bankruptc	Asbestos PD Trust as and when due, without		
		<u>y Code)</u>	any deduction, proration, reduction, setoff or		
			<u>discount</u> , pursuant to the terms of the respective		
			PD Settlement Agreements, or other stipulation,		
			order or agreement, by the Asbestos PD Trust		
			pursuant to and the terms of the Asbestos PD		
			Trust Agreement. Asbestos PD Claims, other		
			than US ZAI PD Claims, (which Asbestos PD Trust shall be deemed by this Plan, the		
			Confirmation Order and the Asbestos PD		
			Trust Agreement to have assumed the		
			obligations of such PD Settlement		
			Agreements). Asbestos PD Claims in Class		
			7A that are unresolved prior to the Effective		
			Date are identified in Exhibit 21 in the Exhibit		
			Book. Such Asbestos PD Claims, together with		
			the US ZAI PD Claims, are known as the		
			"Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims," and shall be		
			paid pursuant to the following procedures: (i) in		
			connection with confirmation of the Plan, the		
			Court shall enter the PD Case Management		
			Order Class 7A CMO setting forth procedures		
			for determining the allowance or disallowance of the Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims; and (ii)		
			Allowed Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims, and (ii)		
			be paid in full, in Cash, by the Asbestos PD		
			Trust pursuant to the terms of the Asbestos PD		
			Trust Agreement. All Allowed Asbestos PD		
			Claims in Class 7A shall be paid in full by the		
			Asbestos PD Trust solely from the Asbestos PD		
			Trust Assets that are designated for Class 7A		
			<u>Claims</u> . The inclusion of Demands as Asbestos		
			PD Claims and US ZAI PD Claims in Class 7A		
			and any reference to Demands related to		
			Asbestos PD Claims or US ZAI PD Claims in		
			<u>Class 7A</u> in the Plan does not constitute an		
			admission by the Debtors and the other Plan		
			Proponents that an Entity which did not have an allowable US ZAI PD Claim or other Asbestos		
			PD Claim in Class 7A against the Debtors as of		
			the Effective Date could assert a valid claim		
			against the Asbestos PD Trust contemplated		
			under the Plan, and all rights and defenses to the		
			allowance of such a claim by the Asbestos PD		
			Trust are expressly reserved pursuant to the		
			Plan.		

CTAGG	DESCRIPTION	IMPAIRED	The atmospherical property of the Dram	ECTIMATED	ECTIMATED
CLASS	DESCRIPTION OF CLASS	IMPAIRED	TREATMENT UNDER THE PLAN	ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF	ESTIMATED PERCENTAGE
	OF CLASS			ALLOWED	RECOVERY
				CLAIMS ²	RECOVERT
	Class 7B: US	Yes	All Asbestos PD Claims in Class 7B shall be	\$[]	
	ZAI PD Claims	16	resolved in accordance with the terms,	<u>v[]</u>	
	<u> ZATTO CIAMIS</u>		provisions, and procedures of the Asbestos		
			PD Trust Agreement and the ZAI TDP		
			(unless previously allowed pursuant to an		
			Order of the Court or agreement of the		
			parties). All Asbestos PD Claims in Class 7B		
			shall be paid by the Asbestos PD Trust solely		
			from the Asbestos PD Trust Assets that are		
			designated for Class 7B Claims under the		
			Asbestos PD Trust Agreement and as		
			provided in the ZAI TDP. Asbestos PD		
			Claims in Class 7B shall not be deemed		
			Allowed or Disallowed (unless an order or		
			agreement approved by the Court allowing		
			the Claim has been previously entered), but rather shall be resolved by the Asbestos PD		
			Trust pursuant to the terms of the ZAI TDP.		
			The inclusion of Demands as US ZAI PD		
			Claims in Class 7B and any reference to		
			Demands related to US ZAI PD Claims in		
			Class 7B in the Plan does not constitute an		
			admission by the Debtors and the other Plan		
			Proponents that an Entity which did not		
			have an allowable US ZAI PD Claim in Class		
			7B against the Debtors as of the Effective		
			Date could assert a valid claim against the		
			Asbestos PD Trust contemplated under the		
			Plan, and all rights and defenses to the		
			allowance of such a claim by the Asbestos PD		
			Trust are expressly reserved pursuant to the Plan.		
Class	CDN ZAI PD	Yes	All CDN ZAI PD Claims shall be resolved in	\$ 5 m 80	Unknown
Class 8	CDN ZAI PD Claims	168	accordance with the terms, provisions, and	\$6.5 million ⁸ 2	Olikilowil
0	Ciainis		procedures outlined in the CDN ZAI Minutes of		
			Settlement. All CDN ZAI PD Claims shall be		
			paid solely from the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund		
			in the manner set out in the CDN ZAI Minutes		
			of Settlement. CDN ZAI PD Claims shall not		
			be deemed Allowed or Disallowed, but rather		
			shall be resolved as set forth in the CDN ZAI		
			Minutes of Settlement. Confirmation of the		
			Plan shall constitute approval by the		
			Bankruptcy Court of the settlement reflected in		
			the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement for all		
			purposes including to the extent required by		
CI	C 1	010	Bankruptcy Rule 9019.	\$F926.2	1000/
Class	General	No ⁹ 10	Each Holder of an Allowed General Unsecured	\$ <u>[</u> 826.3	100%

⁷⁸ Represents the estimated amount of Asbestos PD the Class 7A Initial Payment. Amounts in excess of the Asbestos PD Initial Payment for PD Settlement Agreements which consists of \$93 million in signed, if any, will be paid by the Asbestos PD Note court-approved PD Settlement Agreements and \$19 million in preliminary PD Settlement Agreements as of February 3, 2009.

⁸² Represents amount of the Debtors' contribution to the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund under the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement.

CLASS DESCRIPTION OF CLASS	IMPAIRED	TREATMENT UNDER THE PLAN	ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF ALLOWED CLAIMS ²	ESTIMATED PERCENTAGE RECOVERY
9 Unsecured Claims		Claim shall be paid the Allowed Amount of its Allowed General Unsecured Claim plus postpetition interest on such Claim either (i) in Cash in full on the later of (A) the Effective Date or (B) the date such General Unsecured Claim becomes an Allowed General Unsecured Claim, or (ii) on such other less favorable terms as have been agreed upon by the Holder of an Allowed General Unsecured Claim and the Debtors or the Reorganized Debtors. Subject to Section 3.1.9(d) of the Plan, post-petition interest on Allowed General Unsecured Claims shall be calculated as follows: (i) either (A) for General Unsecured Claims arising from the Prepetition Credit Facilities, post-petition interest shall be calculated from the Petition Date through December 31, 2005 at the rate of 6.09% and thereafter at floating prime, in each case compounded quarterly through the Effective Date; (B) for General Unsecured Claims arising from Environmental Claims that include a liquidated amount for post-petition or future cleanup liability, post-petition interest shall be calculated at the rate of 4.19% from the date specified in any order allowing the Environmental Claim in such liquidated amount, compounded annually through the Effective Date or the date of payment of such General Unsecured Claim after the Effective Date; (C) for General Unsecured Claims arising from an existing contract that specifies payment of interest at a non-default rate of interest, post-petition interest shall be calculated at the non-default rate of interest provided in such contract from the Petition Date, compounded annually through the Effective Date or the date of payment of such General Unsecured Claim if it becomes an Allowed General Unsecured Claim after the Effective Date; or (D) for all other General Unsecured Claims, post-petition interest shall be calculated at the rate of 4.19% from the Petition Date, compounded annually through the Effective Date; or (D) for all other General Unsecured Claims, post-petition interest shall be calculated at the rate of 4.19% fr	million 1011	

⁹¹⁰ The Unsecured Creditors' Committee believes that the Plan impairs the rights of Class 9 Claims. *See* Section 4.3.1.9 herein for a discussion of the Committee's position in this regard.

Includes amounts to be paid on the Effective Date or as soon as practicable thereafter of \$[666.6 million] (\$[30.3 million] accounts payable, \$[500.0 million] under the Debtors' Pre-petition Credit Facilities, \$[24.2 million] under drawn letters of credit and other debt, \$[76.3 million] of Environmental Claims and \$[35.8 million] of other General Unsecured Claims) and amounts to be paid after the Effective Date in accordance with their terms of \$[159.7 million] (\$[69.8 million] of Environmental Claims, \$[67.2 million] of tax reserves and \$[22.7 million] of other General Unsecured Claims).

CLASS	DESCRIPTION OF CLASS	IMPAIRED	TREATMENT UNDER THE PLAN	ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF ALLOWED CLAIMS ²	ESTIMATED PERCENTAGE RECOVERY
			such General Unsecured Claim if it becomes an Allowed General Unsecured Claim after the Effective Date; or (ii) on such other less favorable terms as those that have been agreed upon by the Holder of an Allowed General Unsecured Claim and the Debtors or the Reorganized Debtors, including an agreement whereby no post-petition interest is paid on the Claim or post-petition interest begins to accrue on the Claim on a date other than the Petition Date. The Plan also provides procedures whereby the Holders of Claims based on the Debtors' Prepetition Credit Facilities, may request a determination of whether they are entitled to post-petition interest at a rate or calculation other than the treatment provided for in the Plan. See Section 4.3.1.9 herein for a further discussion of these procedures.		
Class 10	Equity Interests in the Parent	Yes	On the Effective Date, Class 10 Equity Interests in the Parent shall be retained, subject to the issuance of the Warrant—and, the terms of the Share Issuance Agreement, and the Stock Trading Restrictions Term Sheet.	N/A	N/A
Class 11	Equity Interests in Debtors Other than the Parent	No	The Plan leaves unaltered the legal, equitable, and contractual rights to which each Equity Interest in the Debtors other than the Parent entitles the Holder of such Equity Interest.	N/A	100%

1.2.2 How Will Asbestos Claims be Treated?

The Plan divides Asbestos Claims into three eategories Classes: (1) Asbestos PI Claims (Class 6), including CDN ZAI PI Claims, (2) Asbestos PD Claims (Class 7), including Asbestos PD Claims (other than US ZAI PD Claims) in Class 7A and US ZAI PD Claims in Class 7B, and (3) CDN ZAI PD Claims (Class 8). Asbestos PI Claims will be channeled to the Asbestos PI Trust to be resolved and paid by the Asbestos PI Trust pursuant to the Asbestos PI TDP, and Asbestos PD Claims will be channeled to the Asbestos PD Trust—and those; Allowed Asbestos PD Claims that are in Class 7A will be paid in Cash in full from the Asbestos PD Trust, and US ZAI PD Claims in Class 7B will be resolved and paid in accordance with the terms and procedures of the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement and the ZAI TDP. CDN ZAI PD Claims will be channeled to, and paid from, the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund according to the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement.

1.2.3 How Will the Treatment of Asbestos PI Claims be Effectuated?

The Asbestos PI Trust will, among other things, (1) assume liability for all Asbestos PI Claims (whether now existing or arising at any time in the future), (2) process and resolve all Asbestos PI Claims, and (3) pay all Asbestos PI Claims in accordance with the Plan, the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement and the TDP. The Reorganized Debtors have certain deferred payment obligations to the Asbestos PI Trust for a period of 15 years beginning in 2019, backed

by a guaranty from the Parent.

The Asbestos PI Trust will be the only Entity that a Holder of an Asbestos PI Claim may look to for recovery on account of such a Claim. Article 8 of the Plan (Injunctions, Releases & Discharge) makes this clear. The Asbestos PI Trust Agreement and the TDP govern more specifically the operation of the Asbestos PI Trust.

1.2.4 How Will the Treatment of Asbestos PD Claims be Effectuated?

The Asbestos PD Trust will, among other things, (4i) assume liability forwith respect to all Asbestos PD Claims (whether now existing or arising at any time in the future to the extent such future claims could occur) and (2including Demands, if any, that involve similar claims), (ii) pay and satisfy all Allowed Asbestos PD Claims in Cash in full pursuant to the terms of Class 7A in accordance, as applicable, with the Plan, the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement, the PD Settlement Agreements, the Class 7A CMO, and any Final Orders of the Bankruptcy Court allowing such claims. the Final Orders by the Court determining the Allowed Amount of the Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims in such a way that provides reasonable assurance that the Asbestos PD Trust will value, and be in a financial position to pay, present and future Asbestos PD Claims in Class 7A (including Demands, if any, that involve similar claims) in substantially the same manner and to otherwise comply with Bankruptcy Code § 524(g)(2)(B)(i); (iii) pay and satisfy all US ZAI PD Claims in Class 7B in accordance, as applicable, with this Plan, the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement and the ZAI TDP in such a way that provides reasonable assurance that the Asbestos PD Trust will value, and be in a financial position to pay, present and future US ZAI PD Claims in Class 7B (including Demands, if any, that involve similar claims) in substantially the same manner and to otherwise comply with Bankruptcy Code § 524(g)(2)(B)(i); (iv) preserve, hold, manage, and maximize the assets of the Asbestos PD Trust for use in paying and satisfying Asbestos PD Claims entitled to payment; (v) qualify at all times as a "qualified settlement fund" for federal income tax purposes within the meaning of the treasury regulations issued pursuant to section 468B of the IRC; and (vi) otherwise carry out the provisions of the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement, the ZAI TDP, and any other agreements into which the Asbestos PD Trustees have entered or will enter in connection with this Plan.

In connection with confirmation of the Plan, the Court shall enter the PD Case Management Order Class 7A CMO setting forth the procedures for determining the allowance or disallowance of the Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims. The PD Case Management Order Class 7A CMO includes a list of Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims and a scheduling order for resolving such Claims. The PD Case Management Order Class 7A CMO is attached in the form of Exhibit 25 included in the Exhibit Book. The ZAI TDP sets forth the procedures to be used for allowing and paying valid US ZAI PD Claims. The ZAI TDP will be in the form of Exhibit 33 included in the Exhibit Book.

The Asbestos PD Trust will be the only Entity that a Holder of an Asbestos PD Claim may look to for recovery on account of such a Claim. Article 8 of the Plan (Injunctions, Releases & Discharge) makes this clear. The Asbestos PD Trust Agreement governs more specifically the operation of the Asbestos PD Trust.

1.2.5 How Will the Treatment of CDN ZAI PD Claims be Effectuated?

CDN ZAI PD Claims will be channeled to, and paid from, the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund according to the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement. The CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund will be the only Entity that a Holder of ana CDN ZAI PD Claim may look to for recovery on account of such a Claim. Article 8 of the Plan (Injunctions, Releases & Discharge) makes this clear.

1.2.6 How Will Non-Asbestos Claims be Treated Under the Plan?

The Plan provides that all Holders of General Unsecured Claims will be paid the value of their Allowed Claims in Cash in full. Unless previously agreed to by a Holder of a General Unsecured Claim, such Holder will be entitled to payment of interest on such General Unsecured Claim at the applicable rate specified in the Plan. There is a dispute between the Debtors and the Unsecured Creditors' Committee regarding whether all General Unsecured Claims are receiving under the Plan Cash in the full amount of their respective Claims with post-petition interest. *See* the more detailed discussion in Section 4.3.1.9 herein.

The Debtors will satisfy certain other of their non-asbestos related liabilities, including tax, workers' compensation, employee-related benefits, pension and retirement medical obligations, and Intercompany Claims, as they become due and payable over time. In essence, these claims against the Debtors will "pass through" confirmation and be paid by the Reorganized Debtors in the ordinary course of their business unless any of such claims are expunged.

1.2.7 How Will Equity Interests be Treated Under the Plan?

The Plan provides that Parent Common Stock will remain outstanding. However, the interests of existing stockholders will be subject to dilution by, among other things, the issuance of Parent Common Stock upon exercise of the Warrant or under the Parent's obligation to issue shares of the Parent Common Stock if the Parent fails to perform its guaranty of Grace-Conn's obligations to make deferred payments to the Asbestos PI Trust andor the Asbestos PD Trust under the Asbestos PI Deferred Payment Agreement—and the, the Class 7A Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement.

1.2.8 How Will the Plan be Funded?

Funding of the Asbestos PI Trust will come from several sources, including: (1) \$250 million in Cash plus certain interest from the Debtors; (2) a Warrant to acquire 10 million shares of Parent Common Stock at an exercise price of \$17.00 per share; (3) deferred payments of \$110 million per year for five years beginning in 2019, and \$100 million per year for ten years beginning in 2024, as further set forth in the <u>Asbestos PI</u> Deferred Payment Agreement, backed by a guaranty; (4) insurance rights and proceeds; (5) the Cryovac Payment (comprised of a combination of Cash in the amount of \$512.5 million plus interest and 18 million shares of common stock of Sealed Air, each of which is subject to adjustment under the terms of the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement) reduced by Cryovac, Inc.'s direct transfers to the Asbestos PD Trust as part of the Asbestos PD Class 7A Initial Payment and the Class 7B Initial Payment, which will be transferred by Cryovac, Inc. directly to the Asbestos PI Trust; (6) the Fresenius Payment (Cash in the amount of \$115 million) reduced by the amount of Fresenius's direct

transfers to the Asbestos PD Trust as part of the Asbestos PDClass 7A Initial Payment and the Class 7B Initial Payment, which will be transferred by Fresenius directly to the Asbestos PI Trust; (7) an amount in Cash equal to the Asbestos PDClass 7A Initial Payment and the Class 7B Initial Payment from Grace-Conn or the Parent; (8) the Trust Causes of Action; and (9) following the transfer or vesting of the foregoing to or in the Asbestos PI Trust, any proceeds thereof and earnings and income thereon.

The initial payment percentage <u>for Asbestos PI Claims</u> ("IPP") shall be set promptly after the Effective Date by the Trustees, after consultation with the <u>Asbestos PI</u> TAC and the <u>Asbestos PI</u> FCR. The IPP shall be between 25% and 35%. The <u>ACCAsbestos PI Committee</u> and the <u>Asbestos PI</u> FCR believe it is prudent to set the precise IPP at that time to more accurately reflect the value of certain assets being transferred or contributed to the Asbestos PI Trust, including the Warrant, the Sealed Air Common Stock and the Asbestos Insurance Rights. The Warrant and the Sealed Air Common Stock are especially subject to market fluctuations which have recently been extraordinary and may continue to fluctuate at any time. Further, the value of the Asbestos Insurance Rights are also impossible to predict with any certainty at this point as the various insurers have notified the Plan Proponents that they may contest their applicable insurance contracts.

Funding of the Asbestos PD Trust will come from the Asbestos PD Trust Assets, which shall be comprised of **payments pursuant to:**

(1) the Asbestos PDi) the Class 7A Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement;

(ii) the Class 7B Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement;

(iii) the Asbestos PD Initial Payment, which consists of (A) the Class 7A Initial Payment, which is an amount in Cash equal to the sum of (A) \$109 million, the total payments due under settled Asbestos PD Claims, and (B1) approximately \$112 million which consists of \$93 million in signed, court-approved PD Settlement Agreements and \$19 million in preliminary PD Settlement Agreements as of February 3, 2009, and (2) an amount agreed to by the Parent, Sealed Air Corporation, Cryovac, Inc., Fresenius, and the Asbestos PD FCR, constituting an estimate of the first six months of the Asbestos PD Trust Expenses for Claims in Class 7A, to be transferred equally by Cryovac, Inc. and Fresenius directly to the Asbestos PD Trust on the Effective Date, provided, however, that the Fresenius payment Cryovac. Inc.'s transfer to the Asbestos PD Trust as part of the Class 7A Initial Payment when aggregated with Cryovac, Inc.'s transfer to the Asbestos PD Trust as part of the Class 7B Initial Payment shall not exceed 50% of the Cash component of the Cryovac Payment; and provided, further, that the Fresenius transfer to the Asbestos PD Trust as part of the Class 7A Initial Payment when aggregated with Fresenius' transfer as part of the Class 7B Initial Payment shall not exceed 65% of the Fresenius Payment; and (2) the Asbestos PD Note, pursuant to which the Parent shall commit to payB)

the Class 7B Initial Payment, which is an amount in Cash equal to \$30 million plus interest from April 1, 2009 to the Effective Date accrued at the same rate applicable to the Debtors' senior Exit Financing, to be transferred equally by Cryovac, Inc. and Fresenius directly to the Asbestos PD Trust on January 1 and July 1 of each year, a dollar amount equal to (A) the amount of the Asbestos PD Claims that were Allowed against the Asbestos PD Trust during the preceding six month period, plus interest thereon accruing at the then applicable federal judgment rate per annum from the date of allowance of each such Asbestos PD Claim; and (B) the Asbestos PD Trust Expenses payment for the next succeeding sixmonth period following the Asbestos PD Trust Expenses payment paid as part of the Asbestos PD Initial Payment. The Asbestos PD Note shall be secured by Parent's obligation to issue 50.1% of Parent Common Stock as of the Effective Date the Effective Date for the benefit of holders of Claims and Demands in Class 7B; provided, however, that Cryovac, Inc.'s transfer to the Asbestos PD Trust as part of the Class 7B Initial Payment when aggregated with Cryovac, Inc.'s transfer to the Asbestos PD Trust as part of the Class 7A Initial Payment shall not exceed 50% of the Cash component of the Cryovac Payment; and provided, further, that the Fresenius transfer to the Asbestos PD Trust as part of the Class 7B Initial Payment when aggregated with Fresenius' transfer as part of the Class 7A Initial Payment shall not exceed 65% of the Fresenius Payment:

(iv) the Grace PD Guarantee Agreement for Class 7A;

(v) the Grace PD Guarantee Agreement for Class 7B (which includes a deferred payment obligation of \$30 million for Class 7B three years after the Effective Date and a series of up to ten contingent payments for Class 7B of \$8 million each over the ensuing 20 years provided certain conditions are met, including that the assets available in the Asbestos PD Trust to pay Class 7B US ZAI PD Claims fall below \$10 million); and

(vi) the Asbestos PD Trust Causes of Action.

On the Effective Date, the Asbestos PD Trust shall also be funded with all funding as set forth in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement (approximately 6.5 million CDN dollars), and the Asbestos PD Trust shall immediately transfer such amounts to the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund to be used in the manner set forth in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement

The Reorganized Debtors will fund distributions to all other Classes directly, with funds from a number of sources including: (1) Cash on hand; (2) the Exit Financing; and (3) cash flow from future operations.

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEBTORS

2.1 General Overview of the Debtors

W. R. Grace & Co. (the "Parent") is a global holding company that conducts substantially all of its business through a direct, wholly-owned subsidiary, W. R. Grace & Co.-Conn. ("Grace-Conn"). Grace-Conn owns substantially all of Grace's United States assets, properties, and rights directly or through direct or indirect U.S. and non-U.S. subsidiaries. The Parent and 61 of its 76 direct or indirect U.S. subsidiaries, including Grace-Conn, are Debtors in the Chapter 11 Cases. The Parent's other domestic subsidiaries and its non-U.S. subsidiaries are Non-Debtor Affiliates; however, their outstanding ownership interests are assets of the Debtors and the Debtors control such entities. The Debtors, the Non-Debtor Affiliates, and their respective businesses are managed on a consolidated basis by the Board of Directors and officers of the Parent.

Grace is engaged in specialty chemicals and materials businesses, operating on a global basis through two operating segments: Grace Davison and Grace Construction Products.

2.2 The Debtors' Current Businesses

2.2.1 Grace Davison Operating Segment

Grace Davison accounted for approximately [64]% of Grace's 2007200[7] sales. Grace Davison markets its products to a wide range of industrial customers, including those in the energy and refining industry, consumer, industrial and packaging industries, petro-/bio- chemical industry and the pharmaceutical and life sciences industry. Grace Davison includes the following product groups:

2.2.1.1 Refining Technologies

Refining Technologies includes: (a) fluid catalytic cracking, or FCC, catalysts, that help to "crack" the hydrocarbon chain in distilled crude oil to produce transportation fuels, such as gasoline and diesel fuels, and other petroleum-based products; and FCC additives used to reduce sulfur in gasoline, maximize propylene production from refinery FCC units, and reduce emissions of sulfur oxides, nitrogen oxides and carbon monoxide from refinery FCC units; and (b) hydroprocessing catalysts, marketed through the Advanced Refining Technologies, LLC joint venture with Chevron Products Company, in which Grace holds a 55% economic interest, that are used in process reactors to upgrade heavy oils into lighter, more useful products by removing impurities such as nitrogen, sulfur and heavy metals, allowing less expensive feedstocks to be used in the petroleum refining process.

_

^{44&}lt;u>12</u> As used within Article 2 of this Disclosure Statement, "Grace" means either the Debtors and the Non-Debtor Affiliates, or the business of the Parent and its subsidiaries in general, as the context requires.

2.2.1.2 Materials Technologies

Materials Technologies includes: (a) silica-based and silica-alumina-based engineered materials used in (i) industrial applications, such as rubber and tires, plastics, precision investment casting, refractory, insulating glass windows, and drying applications, fulfilling various functions such as reinforcement, high temperature binding and moisture scavenging, (ii) consumer applications, as a free-flow, carrier or processing aid in food and personal care products, as a toothpaste abrasive, and for the processing and stabilization of edible oils and beverages, and (iii) coatings and print media applications consisting of functional additives that: provide matting effects and corrosion protection for industrial coatings, enable enhanced media and paper quality in ink jet coatings, and act as a functional filler and retention aid in paper; and (b) sealants and coatings used in rigid food and beverage packaging, including can and closure sealants used to seal and enhance the shelf life of can and bottle contents, and coatings for cans and closures that prevent metal corrosion, protect package contents from the influence of metal and ensure proper adhesion of sealing compounds and technologies designed to reduce off-taste effects and extend the shelf-life of packaged products.

2.2.1.3 Specialty Technologies

Specialty Technologies includes: (a) polyolefin catalysts and catalyst supports that are essential components in the manufacture of polyethylene and polypropylene resins, and other chemical catalysts used in a variety of industrial, environmental and consumer applications, and (b) silica-based materials and chromatography columns, instruments, consumables and accessories used in analytical chemistry applications and life sciences.

2.2.2 Grace Construction Products Operating Segment

Grace Construction Products ("GCP") accounted for approximately [36]% of Grace's 2007200[7] sales. GCP produces and sells specialty construction chemicals and materials, including: concrete admixtures and fibers used to improve the durability and working properties of concrete; additives used in cement processing to improve energy efficiency, enhance the characteristics of finished cement and improve ease of use; building materials used in commercial and residential construction and renovation to protect buildings from water, vapor and air penetration; and fireproofing materials used to protect buildings in the event of fire.

GCP is organized into geographic regions and most product lines, with certain regional variations, are offered in each region. GCP manages its business under a geographic organizational structure that focuses on the following regions: Americas – including North, Central and South America; Europe – including Eastern and Western Europe, the Middle East, Africa and India; and Asia – including China, Japan, South Korea, South Asia (excluding India), Pacific Rim countries, Australia and New Zealand.

2.2.3 Additional Information

For additional information about the Debtors' business operations, please refer to the Parent's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the first-and₂ second and third quarters of 2008 respectively, 2008, and any other recent report to the Securities and Exchange Commission. These filings are

available by visiting the Securities and Exchange Commission's website at http://www.sec/gov or the Debtors' website at http://investor.grace.com.

2.3 Business Strategy

Grace's business strategy is to seek increased enterprise value by profitably growing its specialty chemicals and materials businesses in the global marketplace and achieving high levels of efficiency. To achieve these objectives, Grace plans to: invest in research and development activities, with the goals of introducing new high-performance, technically differentiated products and services while continuing to enhance manufacturing processes and operations; expand sales and manufacturing into geographic areas with emerging market economies, including China, India, Eastern Europe, Latin America, South America, Africa and the Middle East; pursue selected acquisitions and alliances that complement current product offerings or provide opportunities for faster penetration of desirable market or geographic segments; and continue Grace's commitment to process and productivity improvements and cost-management, such as rigorous controls on working capital and capital spending, integration of functional support services worldwide, and programs for supply chain management, which include both procurement and materials management.

2.4 Employees

As of December 31, 2007,200[7], Grace employed approximately [6,500] persons, of whom approximately [3,050] were employed in the United States. Of Grace's total employees, approximately [3,850] work in Grace Davison facilities, approximately [1,850] work in GCP facilities, and approximately [800] are dedicated to corporate activities and/or are shared through globally managed professional groups such as financial and legal services, human resources, information technology, supply chain and environmental health and safety.

2.5 Properties

Grace operates manufacturing and other types of plants and facilities (including office, warehouse, and other service facilities) throughout the world. Some of these plants and facilities are shared by both operating segments. Grace owns all of its major manufacturing facilities. Substantially all of Grace's U.S. properties are subject to security interests under the Debtors' debtor-in-possession borrowing facility. As of December 31, 2007,200[7], Grace Davison operated [40] facilities in the following regions:

	Number	of
Region	Facilities	
North America	[15]	
Europe	[12]	
EuropeLatin America		
Asia Pacific		

Grace's largest Grace Davison facilities are located in Baltimore, Maryland; Lake Charles, Louisiana; and Worms, Germany. Grace Davison also operates sales offices and warehouses in various regions.

As of December 31, $\frac{2007}{200[7]}$, GCP operated out of [55] facilities in the following regions:

	Number of
Region	Facilities
North America	[23]
Europe	[13]
Latin America	[3]
Asia Pacific	[16]

The largest GCP facilities are located in Cambridge, Massachusetts; Chicago, Illinois; and Slough, England. Because of the nature of GCP's products and markets, GCP requires a greater number of facilities to service customers than Grace Davison. These facilities are generally smaller and less capital intensive than Grace Davison facilities. Grace's principal executive offices are located at 7500 Grace Drive, Columbia, Maryland 21044.

2.6 Genesis of the Debtors' Asbestos Liabilities

Grace's first significant involvement in the manufacture and sale of asbestos-containing products began in 1963, when its Dewey & Almy Division purchased the business and assets of Zonolite Company ("Zonolite"). Zonolite purchased asbestos from commercial suppliers and incorporated it into certain building products. It also mined and processed vermiculite from a mine near Libby, Montana and another mine in South Carolina. At the Libby mine, the vermiculite product contained small amounts of asbestos, as more fully described below. Grace ended U.S. sales of asbestos-added products in 1973 and Canadian sales of such products in 1976. Grace closed the Libby vermiculite mine in 1990 and ceased shipping Libby vermiculite in April, 1993.

2.6.1 Asbestos-Added Products

The principal asbestos-added products produced by Grace were spray-on fireproofing, acoustical plasters and textured ceiling finishes. They consisted of binders, insulating materials (e.g. gypsum, cement, clay, vermiculite), and added asbestos purchased from asbestos producers. The fireproofing product, Monokote-3 ("MK-3"), was sprayed on steel structural-components of buildings to prevent or delay the steel from collapsing in the event of a fire.

2.6.2 Libby Vermiculite

From 1963 to 1990, Grace owned and operated a mining and processing facility in Libby, Montana at which it mined vermiculite and processed vermiculite products. Vermiculite is a mineral that expands into popcorn-like, low-density pieces when heated. This exfoliated or expanded vermiculite is lightweight and fire-resistant, and thus can be used for insulation, fireproofing, potting soil and other applications. Vermiculite is itself an inert mineral that is not a form of asbestos and has no known toxic properties. Vermiculite ore from the Libby mine, however, contained numerous secondary minerals, including a form of asbestos consisting of

asbestiform amphiboles. Liamants allegedly injured by exposure to asbestos from Grace's operations in Lincoln County, Montana (the "Libby Claimants") assert that amphibole asbestos is far more deadly than the more common chrysotile asbestos. The Libby Claimants assert that the long, needle-like amphibole asbestos fibers can easily become embedded in the lungs and cause a highly progressive form of asbestos pleural disease, which is often fatal.

The Libby facility milled the mined ore into a concentrate through a crushing, screening, washing and flotation separation process that Grace alleges removed most impurities, including the amphibole. The asbestos content of the vermiculite concentrate after milling trended downward during the 1970's and, by the 1980's, the vermiculite concentrate contained 1-3% on average and generally less than 1% asbestos. At Grace's "expansion plants" throughout the country, the concentrate was passed through furnaces at temperatures approaching 2,000 degrees Fahrenheit, which resulted in the further reduction of asbestos content. The expanded vermiculite was bagged and sold under the Zonolite trademark.

2.6.2.1 Personal Injury Claims from Libby Operations

The Libby Claimants assert that over 1,500 Libby residents – workers at the Libby mine, their families, and townspeople – have been diagnosed with asbestos disease stemming from amphibole asbestos from Libby. The Libby Claimants assert that over 200 people have died as a result of this exposure and others are approaching the end stage. According to the Libby Claimants, there is no question concerning exposure to Grace's asbestos or Grace's responsibility for the Libby Claimants' injury. Grace and other parties in interest dispute the validity of many of the Libby Claimants' claims.

2.6.3 Zonolite Attic Insulation

One of Grace's principal commercial vermiculite products was a loose-fill attic insulation product that was sold primarily under the brand name Zonolite Attic Insulation ("ZAI"). ZAI was poured into attics in houses and other buildings. Like other expanded Libby vermiculite, ZAI often contained trace quantities of asbestos. Asbestos was not added to ZAI and, as noted above, the milling and expansion processes removed nearly all asbestos contaminants from the vermiculite ore. Because the asbestos impurities were reduced to trace levels, ZAI is not an asbestos-containing product as defined in federal regulations. Nonetheless, and notwithstanding the preceding note regarding federal regulations, Asbestos PI Claims and Asbestos PD Claims include within their scope Claims relating to ZAI (including CDN ZAI PI Claims and US ZAI PD Claims). Moreover, although the Asbestos PD Committee and ZAI

^{42&}lt;u>13</u> Asbestiform amphibole impurities in vermiculite are atypical and not characteristic of most vermiculite deposits. Grace believes that the amount of impurities is related to the extreme depth of the ore deposit in Libby. Most vermiculite deposits — such as those at Grace's Enoree, South Carolina mine — are relatively shallow.

⁴³¹⁴ As identified in the Amended and Restated Verified Statement of Cohn Whitesell & Goldberg LLP and Landis Rath & Cobb LLP Pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2019 [D.I. 18664], as it may be amended and restated from time to time.

^{44&}lt;u>15</u> Under federal regulations, "materials" containing less than 1% asbestos by weight are not included in the regulations as "asbestos-containing materials." *See, e.g.*, 40 Code of Federal Regulations §§ 61.141 and 763.83.

claimants have asserted that ZAI has been found to contain more than 1% asbestos, the Debtors have concluded that such samples are exceedingly rare and have no relevance to the question of whether ZAI poses an unreasonable risk of harm. As discussed in Section 2.7.3 *infra*, the Bankruptcy Court has ruled ZAI does not pose an unreasonable risk of harm.

2.7 The Debtors' Asbestos-Related Litigation

The pre-chapter 11 litigation and Claims against the Debtors alleging asbestos-related injuries and damages ("Asbestos Claims," as defined more fully in the Plan) are primarily the following: Claims for personal injury from asbestos exposure; and asbestos-related property damage Claims, including US ZAI PD Claims. The majority of claims stemmed from asbestos exposure from Grace's products with added asbestos, but some claims have been based on asbestos exposure from Grace's vermiculite products.

For many years, the Debtors faced a substantial volume of Asbestos Claims, but were able to resolve such Claims primarily through negotiated settlements. Although the Debtors believed that a high percentage of these Asbestos Claims were without merit, they agreed to settle most of these Claims rather than incur the significant costs and practical difficulties associated with simultaneously litigating thousands of independent Claims in multiple jurisdictions nationwide. This strategy of negotiated settlements was initially successful, as the amounts and number of Asbestos Claims were manageable, and the funds required to satisfy such Claims were fairly predictable. Prior to 2000, the Debtors were able to resolve asbestos-related claims through direct negotiations and litigation, a process which resulted in payments and legal costs totaling over \$2 billion over a 20-year period.

However, beginning in the year 2000, the Debtors experienced a precipitous increase in the number of personal injury Claims and the amount of money required to resolve such Claims. Specifically, in 2000 and the first quarter of 2001, the litigation environment changed with an unexpected 81% increase in personal injury Claims filed against the Debtors, which the Debtors believe was caused by a surge in unmeritorious claims. The Debtors also became defendants in class action lawsuits alleging damages from ZAI. Trends in claims filing and settlement demands showed no sign of returning to pre-2000 levels and these unfavorable trends were exacerbated by the bankruptcy filings of several of the Debtors' co-defendants in asbestos personal injury litigation. These trends greatly increased the risk that the Debtors would not be able to resolve their pending and future asbestos-related claims under the state court system. Furthermore, this increase seriously threatened the Debtors' core business operations, and the Debtors concluded that there was no way to define and resolve their asbestos liabilities while preserving the value and viability of their core business operations, other than to reorganize under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

As of the Petition Date, the Parent and certain of its subsidiaries were defendants in 65,656 asbestos-related lawsuits, 17 involving claims for property damage (one of which has since been dismissed), and the remainder involving 129,191 claims for personal injury. Due to the filing of the petitions for relief commencing the Chapter 11 Cases, holders of Asbestos Claims have been stayed from continuing to prosecute pending litigation and from commencing new lawsuits against the Debtors.

2.7.1 Asbestos Personal Injury Litigation

Asbestos PI Claimants allege adverse health effects due to asbestos exposure from products formerly manufactured by certain of the Debtors and vermiculite products and vermiculite mined and processed by the Debtors. Historically, the Debtors' cost to resolve such claims has been influenced by numerous variables, including the nature of the disease alleged, the proof of exposure to a Debtor's product, the solvency of other former producers of asbestos containing products, cross-claims by co-defendants, the rate at which new claims are filed, the jurisdiction in which the claims are filed, and the defense costs associated with these claims.

Cumulatively through the Petition Date, 16,354 asbestos personal injury lawsuits involving approximately 35,720 claims were dismissed without payment of any damages or settlement amounts (primarily on the basis that Debtors' products were not involved) and approximately 55,489 lawsuits involving approximately 163,698 claims were disposed of (through settlements and judgments) for a total of \$645.6 million. As of the Petition Date, 129,191 claims for personal injury were pending against the Debtors. The Debtors believe that a substantial number of additional personal injury claims would have been received after the Petition Date had such claims not been stayed by the Bankruptcy Court.

2.7.2 Asbestos Property Damage Litigation

Asbestos PD Claims generally seek payment for the cost of removing or containing asbestos in buildings. On the Petition Date, ¹⁶17 there were eight asbestos property damage lawsuits (not including the nine ZAI lawsuits described in Section 2.7.3 below) pending against the Debtors. ¹⁷18 However, approximately 4,300 Asbestos PD Claims were submitted prior to the March 2003 Bar Date. ¹⁸19 In 2005, the Debtors filed omnibus non-substantive and substantive

⁴⁵¹⁶ One personal injury case is on appeal, *Edwards*, which relates to a judgment entered by the Texas state court on March 28, 2000 in the sum of \$39,427,617.78 plus interest. Grace sought to appeal the case and was required to post a Supersedeas Bond. The Bond was obtained from Fireman's Fund Insurance Company in the amount of \$43,038,931.91. The Fireman's Fund Bond is backed by a letter of credit issued by Wachovia Bank N.A. in the current amount of \$11,877,500. Under the Plan, claims based on payment of the Bond and Letter of Credit are treated as Indirect PI Trust Claims to be channeled to the Asbestos PI Trust.

⁴⁶¹⁷ Prior to the Petition Date, out of 380 asbestos property damage cases filed, 140 were dismissed without payment of any damages or settlement amounts; judgments were entered in favor of the Debtors in nine cases (excluding cases settled following appeals of judgments in favor of Grace); judgments were entered in favor of the plaintiffs in eight cases (one of which is on appeal) for a total of \$86.1 million; 207 asbestos property damage cases were settled for a total of \$696.8 million; and 16 cases remained pending as described herein.

⁴⁷¹⁸ Plaintiffs in these eight traditional property damage lawsuits are seeking damages allegedly arising from the effects of Grace's asbestos-containing acoustical plaster as well as Monokote-3 fireproofing in their buildings. Debtors are aware of approximately 300 buildings involved. Two cases are currently on appeal (Solow -- judgment against Grace -- and Ohio Hospital -- summary judgment granted for Grace); one case has been stayed since 1990 (Jefferson Parish) and Grace was dismissed at the trial level in another case (District of Columbia -- not a final order). Four cases are pending: Anderson Memorial (motion to certify the class granted after the Petition Date as to the other defendants but not as to Grace), Orange County (putative class action served), Pacific Freeholds (case pending against but stayed as to Grace) and Prudential (case pending against but stayed as to Grace). Proofs of Claim were filed with respect to buildings at issue in each of the above actions except District of Columbia. The Solow judgment is for \$25.8 million plus interest and Grace obtained an Undertaking on Appeal (Appeal Bond) with respect to that appeal from St. Paul Companies. Under the Plan, claims based on payment of the Appeal Bond are treated as Indirect PD Trust Claims to be channeled to the Asbestos PD Trust.

⁴⁸¹⁹ Of these approximately 4,300 Asbestos PD Claims, approximately 280 claims originally categorized as Asbestos PD Claims were recategorized to different claim category types. Therefore, the total number of Asbestos PD Claims was 4,035.

objections to almost all of these claims on a number of different grounds, including lack of authority from the claimants at the time the claims were filed, superseded or duplicative claims, lack of product identification, statute of limitations, and lack of proof of hazard.

In late 2005, various claimants responded to these objections, with general responses as well as specific responses for certain claims. Some claimants also supplemented their proof of Claim forms. Most of the non-evidentiary objections were heard and adjudicated during asbestos property damage claims hearings conducted by the Bankruptcy Court from January 24 to 26, 2006, as a result of which a large number of Asbestos PD Claims were disallowed, withdrawn or reclassified. The Bankruptcy Court disallowed and expunged additional Asbestos PD Claims in mid and late 2006, including Minnesota stigma claims, certain claims for buildings located in the State of Georgia, and certain claims for which the claimants' counsel did not obtain claimants' signatures.

In August 2006, the Bankruptcy Court directed the Debtors and the Asbestos PD Claimants to negotiate a case management order establishing a process for adjudicating certain issues applicable to many of the Asbestos PD Claims. Pursuant to the Asbestos PD Claims case management order approved by the Bankruptcy Court in August 2006 and amended on October 13, 2006, summary judgment motions regarding the Debtors' substantive objections related to product identification, statute of limitations, and properties located in Libby, Montana were filed on November 16, 2006 and February 16, 2007 and were argued before the Bankruptcy Court on April 9, 2007 and September 10, 2007. As a result of the April 9, 2007 summary judgment arguments, shortly thereafter the Bankruptcy Court disallowed and expunged approximately 70 Asbestos PD Claims. Also, from April 23-25, 2007, the Bankruptcy Court held a hearing to adjudicate product identification objections to 19 claims. As a result of this hearing, 3 Asbestos PD Claims were disallowed and expunged. On October 10, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court granted the Debtors' motion for summary judgment regarding California Asbestos PD Claims. Claimants filed a notice of appeal, which was docketed in the District Court on November 20, 2008. On November 21, 2008, the District Court issued a Notice of Docketing indicating that the appeal shall be referred to the Appellate Mediation Panel and briefing will be deferred. The Bankruptcy Court has not yet ruled on pending motions for summary judgment that the Debtors filed in February 2007 with respect to the statute of limitations for Canadian Claims and certain Claims located in multiple states but subject to Delaware's statute of limitations. On November 24, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court heard oral argument regarding the Debtors' Canadian limitations motion.

On April 17, 2007, the Bankruptcy Court also disallowed and expunged 44 claims filed by the Speights & Runyan firm without authority from the claimants (Docket Nos. 15209, 15210). On December 6, 2007, the District Court affirmed this ruling (District Court of Delaware Case Nos. 07-287 - 07-330). The claimants have appealed the ruling to the Third Circuit Court of Appeals (Third Circuit Case No. 08-1044). The appeal is fully briefed. On November 26, 2008, the Third Circuit issued a letter advising counsel that the appeal will be

1

^{49&}lt;u>20</u> The claimant appealed the order expunging its claims (District Court of Delaware Case No. 06-745). Oral argument was set for April 2, 2007. However, the District Court adjourned such argument because a settlement was reached. The case was closed on March 27, 2008.

decided on the briefs and there will be no oral argument. In addition, between April 2007 and November 2008, the Debtors entered into settlements with 377 Asbestos PD Claimants, the Bankruptcy Court approved these settlements, no appeals were filed, and the time period for appeals has expired. The settlements allow such Asbestos PD Claims in the total amount of approximately \$93 million.

As of December 17, 2008, February 2, 2009, following the reclassification, withdrawal, expungement or settlement of claims, there remain 11090 active non-settled Asbestos PD Claims -- 55 for buildings located in Canada and 3835 for buildings located in the United States.

On July 5, 2007, the Bankruptcy Court heard argument with respect to a motion for class certification, seeking certification of a class of current and future Asbestos PD Claimants, filed by the Speights & Runyan firm on behalf of Anderson Memorial Hospital. On May 29, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court denied the motion (Docket No. 18821). On June 9, 2008, Anderson Memorial Hospital filed a notice of appeal to the District Court and a motion for leave to appeal (District Court of Delaware Case Nos. 08-118 and 08-431). On June 19, 2008, the Debtors filed their opposition to the motion for leave to appeal. On July 7, 2008, Anderson Memorial Hospital filed a reply brief in support of their motion for leave to appeal, and a request for oral argument in the District Court. On July 15, 2008, the Debtors filed a sur-reply in opposition to the motion for leave to appeal. On August 4, 2008, the District Court entered an order staying the briefing schedule for the appeal pending resolution of the motion for leave to appeal. On September 4, 2008, the District Court entered an order and issued a memorandum opinion denying the motion for leave to appeal. On November 13, 2008, the District Court entered an order and issued a memorandum opinion denying Anderson Memorial Hospital's motion for reconsideration of the denial of the motion for leave to appeal. On December 12, 2008, Anderson Memorial Hospital filed a Notice of Appeal to the Third Circuit. On December 20, 2008, the Third Circuit issued an order directing Grace and Anderson Memorial Hospital to file statements addressing the issue of the Third Circuit's authority to hear the appeal. The parties filed these statements on January 13, 2009.

Under the Plan, those Asbestos PD Claims not consensually resolved or disallowed through the objection process will be paid in Cash in full upon allowance.

2.7.3 Litigation Related to Zonolite Attic Insulation and Bar Date

In 2000 and 2001, prior to the Petition Date, nine lawsuits (one of which has since been dismissed) styled as class actions were filed in various jurisdictions on behalf of owners of homes allegedly containing ZAI, seeking damages and other relief, including removal of the attic insulation, because of its alleged asbestos content. Some of the lawsuits were filed on behalf of residents of particular states while others purport to be nationwide in scope. As of the Petition Date, many of the lawsuits had not proceeded beyond the initial pleadings. Four of the federal class actions were consolidated for pretrial purposes in a multi-district litigation proceeding; a motion for class certification was pending in that proceeding as of the Petition Date. A statewide class was certified by a trial court in a Washington state case, but the Debtors' Motion for Leave to Appeal that certification order was pending as of the Petition Date. None of the cases reached a decision on the merits, although the Washington state court denied a motion seeking a preliminary injunction that would have required the Debtors to warn all state residents of the

alleged hazards of ZAI. In October 2004, two class action lawsuits were filed in Canada. Thereafter, an additional eight class action suits asserting similar claims were filed as further described in Section 3.3.6 *infra*. The plaintiffs allege that ZAI is in millions of homes and that removal would cost several thousand dollars per home.

In April 2002, the Debtors filed ten proofs of Claim on behalf of individual Claimants for Claims relating to ZAI and subsequently filed objections thereto to establish a forum for determining whether ZAI creates an unreasonable risk of harm (the "ZAI Science Trial"). The US ZAI PD Claims and objections, and subsequent responses and summary judgment motions, formed the basis for the ZAI Science Trial. The Bankruptcy Court held a hearing on the ZAI Science Trial motions on October 18, 2004. On December 14, 2006, the Bankruptcy Court issued an opinion and order holding that, although ZAI is contaminated with asbestos and can release asbestos fibers when disturbed, there is no unreasonable risk of harm from ZAI. The ZAI claimants sought an interlocutory appeal of the opinion and order with the District Court (District Court of Delaware Case No. 07-005). On March 26, 2007, the District Court denied this request. On April 19, 2007, the District Court also denied the ZAI claimants' motion for reconsideration. The ZAI claimants have indicated they still intendintended to appeal such opinion and order when it becomes a final order became a final order. However, the ZAI claimants have now entered into the US ZAI Settlement described below which resolves all US ZAI Claims against the Debtors.

On March 18, 2008, the Debtors asked the Bankruptcy Court to establish a bar date for US ZAI PD Claims and approve a related notice program that would require persons with a ZAI Claim to submit individual proofs of Claim. On the same date, the ZAI claimants asked the Bankruptcy Court for various forms of relief: (1) to take such actions as would finalize the December 14, 2006 order and permit an appeal to be taken; (2) to allow the US ZAI PD Claims to return to the state court tort system; (3) to appoint an expert to estimate the number of homes containing ZAI; and (4) to permit the filing of a class proof of Claim on behalf of Washington state residents. Objections to these motions were raised by the Debtors, ZAI Claimants, the Crown, and the Canadian claimants. The Bankruptcy Court ordered the Debtors and ZAI claimants to submit to mediation on April 22, 2008, and on May 7, 2008, the Honorable Kevin Gross was appointed as Settlement Judge. The mediation did not result in a settlement. On June 2, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court ordered a bar date of October 31, 2008 (the "ZAI Bar Date") for all US ZAI PD Claims and denied the ZAI Claimants' motions for relief other than the class request (Docket Nos. 18934, 18936 and 18937). The Washington State class motion was argued on July 22, 2008 and taken under advisement by the Bankruptcy Court. The ZAI Claimants had filed 16,218 Claims as of the ZAI Bar Date. On October 29, 2008, the ZAI Claimants filed a motion for certification of a nationwide class, which iswas not set for hearing. On November 21, 2008, the Debtors, the Equity Committee, the US ZAI Claimants' counsel and the counsel for the Asbestos PD FCR entered into a Term Sheet for the resolution of US ZAI Claims via a class action settlement (the "US ZAI Settlement"). On December 15, 2008, the US ZAI Claimants filed a Motion for Preliminary Approval of the US ZAI Class-Settlement, which motion attached the proposed class action settlement. The Motion is set for hearing on January 13, Two limited objections were filed and resolved. On January 16, 2009, the Court entered an Order preliminarily approving the US ZAI Class Settlement (as amended to, among other things, resolve the limited objections that were filed) and proposed Notice to all Holders of timely filed US ZAI Claims and set a final hearing on the US ZAI Settlement for April 1, 2009.

Pursuant to the US ZAI Settlement, US ZAI Claims will be channeled to the Asbestos PD Trust. The Debtors and certain other Asbestos Protected Parties under the Plan, including Cryovac, Inc. and Fresenius will make certain payments directly to the Asbestos PD Trust for payment of valid US ZAI Claims. On the Effective Date of the Plan, the Asbestos PD Trust will receive \$30 million plus interest from April 1, 2009 to the Effective Date accrued at the same rate applicable to the Debtors' senior Exit Financing in Asbestos PD Trust Assets for US ZAI PD Claims. US ZAI PD Claims will be classified as "Class 7B Claims." Additional payments will be made over time to the Asbestos PD Trust by the Reorganized Debtors pursuant to the Class 7B Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement as follows: (1) the Reorganized Debtors shall make an additional \$30 million payment to the Asbestos PD Trust on account of Class 7B Claims in Cash on the third anniversary of the Effective Date of the Plan; (2) commencing on the fifth anniversary of the Effective Date, the Reorganized Debtors shall make one or more contingent payments in Cash to the Asbestos PD Trust on account of Class 7B Claims over the ensuing 20-year period as follows: up to but no more than ten annual payments of \$8 million, each due only in the event that the Asbestos PD Trust's assets dedicated to Class 7B Claims fall below \$10 million in value during the year preceding an anniversary of the Effective Date, payable on that anniversary of the Effective Date. For greater clarity, the obligation to make any one contingent \$8 million payment does not trigger any obligation to make any additional contingent payments. Such payments shall be guaranteed by Grace as set forth in the Grace PD Guarantee Agreement for Class 7B Claims and the Share Issuance Agreement. The ZAI TDP shall be structured so as to satisfy the requirements of section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code in providing for mechanisms by which valid US ZAI PD Claims in Class 7B shall be paid. The Asbestos PD Trust Agreement will provide that (a) all funds paid on account of Class 7B Claims will be segregated from funds dedicated to the payment of Class 7A Claims, and the funds for Class 7B Claims will only be used for the payment of Class 7B Claims and expenses related thereto; (b) a separate Asbestos PD Trustee will be appointed to adjudicate and pay the Class 7B Claims; and (c) the Asbestos PD Trust may, in its discretion, pay up to \$2 million over the first three years after the Effective Date, and up to \$500,000 for each three-year period thereafter to fund an educational program about ZAI.

2.7.4 Asbestos Medical Monitoring Claims

Approximately 1,000 proofs of Claim for asbestos medical monitoring based on alleged asbestos exposure were filed against the Debtors prior to the March 2003 Bar Date. However, a substantial number of those Claims were for personal injury. Under the Plan, Asbestos Medical Monitoring Claims are included within the Class of Asbestos PI Claims (Class 6).

2.8 The Debtors' Other Litigation -- Settled and Ongoing Matters

The Debtors are also parties to a number of pre-petition legal proceedings that do not involve Claims for personal injury arising out of exposure to asbestos, or property damage arising out of the installation of asbestos-containing products in buildings. Except as otherwise indicated, Claims with respect to such litigation will be treated as Class 9 General Unsecured Claims. Based on the amount that the Debtors reasonably believe to be involved, the following are the significant legal proceedings to which the Debtors are subject.

2.8.1 Libby and Vermiculite-Related Proceedings

2.8.1.1 Libby, Montana

In March 2001, the EPA filed a lawsuit in the Montana federal district court seeking recovery of costs allegedly incurred in response to the release or threatened release of asbestos in the Libby area relating to former vermiculite mining and processing activities. On August 26, 2003, the Montana federal district court issued a ruling in favor of the United States that requires the Debtors to reimburse the EPA for \$54.5 million (plus interest) in costs expended through December 2001, and for all appropriate future costs to complete the cleanup. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the judgment of the Montana federal district court. These costs include cleaning and/or demolition of contaminated buildings, excavation and removal of contaminated soil, health screening of Libby residents and former mine workers, and investigation and monitoring costs. The U.S. Supreme Court denied certiorari. The United States provided documentation for approximately \$108 million in additional EPA response costs incurred at the Libby site from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2005. The EPA has incurred additional response costs since that date, and will continue to incur response costs in the future.

To avoid further costly and protracted litigation with the United States over this matter, on March 12, 2008, the Debtors filed a motion seeking approval of a settlement agreement they had negotiated with the United States resolving the United States' proofs of Claim for the Libby site (the "Libby Settlement"). The Libby Settlement covers all past and future remediation costs in the Libby area, except for those relating to the Grace-owned mine (OU-3), for a total of \$250 million, plus interest, as further specified in the settlement agreement. The Libby Settlement also calls for the entire settlement amount (including interest) to be paid by the Debtors within 30 days of the Bankruptcy Court's entry of a final order approving the settlement. In return, the EPA agreed to take no action against the Debtors with respect to the Libby site. The Bankruptcy Court entered an order approving the Libby Settlement on June 3, 2008 (Docket No. 18848). On June 12, 2008, the Debtors wired to the EPA an initial payment of \$100 million plus then-accrued interest of \$1.6 million. On July 2, 2008, the Debtors paid the remaining \$150 million due plus \$356,000 of interest accrued since June 11, 2008 on the \$150 million balance.

2.8.1.2 Libby Property Owners

A class-action lawsuit was filed against certain of the Debtors in the Montana federal district court in February 2000 on behalf of all owners of improved private real property situated within 12 miles of Libby (District Court of Montana, Case No. CV-00-035-M). The complaint alleges that the class members have suffered harm in the form of environmental contamination and loss of property rights resulting from the Debtors' former vermiculite mining and processing operations, and seeks remediation, property damages, and punitive damages. This case has been stayed as a result of the Chapter 11 Cases. Class members filed proofs of Claim in the Chapter 11 Cases (e.g., proof of Claim 5567) but these Claims have been expunged. In addition, as described above, the EPA has been conducting remediation activities in and around Libby that include the remediation of private real property and the Debtors have recently settled the EPA's claims related to Libby as described in Section 2.8.1.1 *supra*. Accordingly, the Debtors have no reason to believe that they will incur material liability in addition to the amount of the EPA's recoverable costs for cleanup activities around Libby.

2.8.1.3 State of Montana's Claims Against the Debtors

After the Petition Date, certain residents of Libby, Montana filed an action captioned *Orr v. State of Mont.*, alleging that the State of Montana negligently failed to warn them of the hazardous conditions at the mine, as a result of which they suffered grave injuries. The Montana state district court dismissed the lawsuit but, on December 14, 2004, the Montana Supreme Court reversed the Montana state district court ruling and held that once the State was aware of the dangerously high asbestos levels in the Libby mine, it was required by law to protect the miners by warning them of the known hazards of working in the mine. The State of Montana has filed a proof of Claim in an unliquidated amount seeking indemnification from the Debtors for this lawsuit. As further detailed in Section 3.2.5.1 *infra*, the Debtors filed a motion to expand their preliminary injunction to include actions against the State of Montana, but the Bankruptcy Court denied the motion and the District Court affirmed that ruling. The matter is currently on appeal to the Third Circuit. To the extent that the State of Montana ultimately pursues its claim, it will be treated as an Indirect PI Trust Claim and will be channeled to the Asbestos PI Trust. However, the Debtors maintain that they have no indemnification obligation as the lawsuit relates to the state's own duty to warn.

The State of Montana has also filed two proofs of Claim against the Debtors in the approximate amount of \$15.6 million for the cost of future and past Medicaid reimbursement. The State alleges that the Debtors are responsible for such reimbursement. To the extent that the Claim is Allowed, it will be treated as a General Unsecured Claim. However, the Debtors maintain that they have no such reimbursement obligation.

The State of Montana Department of Environmental Quality ("MDEQ") also filed four proofs of Claim against the Debtors for clean-up costs in excess of \$55 million associated with the Libby site. Two of the Claims filed were expunged. The MDEQ and the Debtors have settled, contingent on *Bankruptcy Court approval*, the remaining Claims for \$5,167,000, except for liabilities associated with the property in or around the Zonolite mine owned by the Debtors (OU-3), which liabilities were specifically reserved. On July 21, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court approved the settlement.

2.8.1.4 Former Plant in Minneapolis, Minnesota

A class action lawsuit was filed in the Minnesota federal district court in October 2000, alleging loss of property values in the vicinity of a former Grace plant in Minneapolis (the Western Minerals Processing Site), which processed vermiculite from the Libby mine. This case has been stayed as a result of the Chapter 11 Cases. However, during the course of the Chapter 11 Cases, the EPA engaged in a program of removing suspected vermiculite processing byproducts from the yards and driveways of houses near the former Minneapolis plant. The Debtors settled the EPA's costs related to residential cleanup actions and remediation of industrial property in the area, including the former vermiculite processing plant for \$13.3 million as part of the overall Multi-Site Settlement Agreement. See Section 2.8.2 infra. Additionally, the Debtors resolved the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) claim for past and present remediation costs associated with the same site for \$360,000 (Docket No. 15809).

2.8.2 The Multi-Site Settlement Agreement with the EPA and Other Settling Federal Agencies

The EPA has designated certain of the Debtors (together, in most cases, with many other companies) as "potentially responsible parties" ("PRPs") for paying the costs of investigating and remediating pollution at various sites under the jurisdiction of federal, state, and/or local authorities.

On or about December 17, 2007, the Debtors and the EPA, the United States Department of Agriculture Forest Services, the United States Department of the Interior, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration of the United States Department of Commerce, and the United States Army Corps of Engineers (collectively, the "Settling Federal Agencies") entered into an agreement whereby the Debtors have agreed to settle the environmental claims at 35 sites around the country for a total of \$44.1 million, of which approximately \$36.3 million is payable to the United States on behalf of the EPA and the remainder is payable to seven PRPs to resolve their non-governmental claims against the Debtors (Motion at Docket No. 17670). The \$44.1 million settlement is divided between (a) administrative claims of \$2.3 million for certain postpetition costs at Debtor-owned sites, of which the Debtors requested that they be permitted to pay \$672,574.42 within 30 days of Bankruptcy Court approval of the settlement agreement, and (b) general unsecured claims of \$41.8 million. The balance of the claims will be paid pursuant to confirmation of the Plan but with respect to the remaining administrative claim, the United States reserved its rights to request earlier payment of that balance. In response to comments from creditor groups and the public, the parties revised and executed an amended settlement agreement (the "Multi-Site Settlement Agreement") and, on May 23, 2008, filed the Multi-Site Settlement Agreement with the Bankruptcy Court (Docket No. 18783).

The Bankruptcy Court entered an order approving the Multi-Site Settlement Agreement on June 3, 2008 (Docket No. 18847). On July 2, 2008, the Debtors timely wired to the EPA the \$672,574.42 of post-petition costs due within 30 days of the Bankruptcy Court's approval of the settlement. The Multi-Site Settlement Agreement is incorporated into the Plan, and the rights of the Settling Federal Agencies and the Debtors with respect to "Debtor Owned Sites," "Additional Sites," "Work Consent Decrees" and "Work Administrative Orders," as defined in the Multi-Site Settlement Agreement, shall be governed by the Multi-Site Settlement Agreement notwithstanding any other provision of the Plan or Confirmation Order to the contrary.

The sites that are liquidated in the Multi-Site Settlement Agreement are the following:

- 1. Acton Plant Site in Acton, Massachusetts
- 2. Amber Oil (Eco-Tech) Site in Milwaukee, Wisconsin
- 3. Aqua Tech Site in Greer, South Carolina
- 4. ATSDR Nationwide Claim, Libby, Montana
- 5. Cambridge Plant in Cambridge, Massachusetts
- 6. Casmalia Resources Site in Santa Barbara, California
- 7. Central Chemical Site in Hagerstown, Maryland
- 8. Ellwood City Zonolite Site in Ellwood City, Pennsylvania
- 9. Galaxy/Spectron Site in Elkton, Maryland
- 10. Green River Site in Maceo, Kentucky

- 11. Harrington Tools Site in Glendale, California
- 12. Intermountain Insulation Site in Salt Lake City, Utah
- 13. IWI Site in Summit, Illinois
- 14. Li Tungsten Site in Glen Cove, New York
- 15. Libby Asbestos Site, Access Litigation Consent Decree, Libby, Montana
- 16. Libby Asbestos Site, Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railroad Claim, Libby, Montana
- 17. Malone Services Co. Site in Texas County, Texas
- 18. N-Forcer Site in Dearborn, Michigan
- 19. Operating Industries Site in Monterey Park, California
- 20. Prince George's County Zonolite Site in Beltsville, Maryland
- 21. R&H Oil/Tropicana Site in San Antonio, Texas
- 22. RAMP Industries Site in Denver, Colorado
- 23. Reclamation Oil Site in Detroit, Michigan
- 24. Robinson Insulation Site in Minot, North Dakota
- 25. Solvents Recovery Service of New England Site in Southington, Connecticut
- 26. Vermiculite Intermountain Site in Salt Lake City, Utah
- 27. Vermiculite Northwest Site in Spokane, Washington
- 28. Watson Johnson Landfill Site in Richland Township, Pennsylvania
- 29. Wauconda Sand and Gravel Site in Wauconda, Illinois
- 30. Wells G&H Site in Woburn, Massachusetts
- 31. Western Minerals Processing Site in Denver, Colorado
- 32. Western Minerals Products Site a/k/a Western Minerals Processing Site in Minneapolis, Minnesota
- 33. Zonolite/Grace Site in Hamilton Township, New Jersey
- 34. Zonolite/Grace Site in New Castle, Pennsylvania
- 35. Zonolite/Grace Site in Wilder, Kentucky

In addition to settling the environmental claims at the above-mentioned 35 sites, the Debtors have also resolved their outstanding liability with respect to the Curtis Bay FUSRAP site in Baltimore, Maryland. On April 21, 2008 (Docket No. 18571), the Bankruptcy Court entered an order approving a settlement that: (a) allows the Debtors to undertake the performance of the future remediation work in consultation with the United States Army Corps of Engineers ("USACE"); (b) obligates the United States to reimburse the Debtors for 60% of the response costs incurred after the date the settlement agreement is approved by the Bankruptcy Court; and (c) resolves the USACE's claim for past costs as of the date the settlement agreement is approved (estimated at approximately \$13 million) with an Allowed Administrative Expense Claim in the amount of \$750,000 to be applied as a credit to reduce the USACE's future share of allocable costs. The Debtors estimate that the costs of implementing and performing the work called for under the agreement range from approximately \$37 to \$47 million, of which 60% will be reimbursed by the United States and completed over a period of roughly 5 years. The Debtors note that these costs and timeframes can vary significantly depending on the remedy ultimately selected.

The EPA's claims regarding its expenses in responding to alleged releases of asbestos at the Libby Asbestos Site in Libby, Montana were negotiated and resolved in a separate settlement agreement described in Section 2.8.1.1 *supra*.

Accordingly, of the claims asserted by the United States against certain of the Debtors, the only outstanding site is the Blackburn & Union Privileges site in Walpole, Massachusetts, which the parties are attempting to resolve through separate negotiations.

2.8.3 Other Significant Environmental Proceedings and Claims

Certain of the Debtors are parties to other legal proceedings and Claims involving federal, state and/or local government agencies and private parties regarding responsibility for alleged noncompliance with environmental laws and regulations. In addition, the Debtors may incur material liability in connection with future actions of governmental agencies or private parties relating to past or future practices with respect to the generation, storage, handling, discharge, disposition or stewardship of hazardous wastes and other materials. The proceedings that are significant are discussed immediately below.

2.8.3.1 Cape Cod Pipeline Remediation

Prior to the Petition Date, certain of the Debtors were involved in disputes over the remediation of an abandoned jet fuel pipeline on Cape Cod, Massachusetts. In 1993, Grace Energy Corporation ("Grace Energy"), a Debtor, sold certain of its subsidiaries, one of which then owned the Cape Cod pipeline, to Kaneb Pipe Line Operating Partnership L.P. ("Kaneb"). In 1995 and 1997, respectively, the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection and the U.S. Department of Justice (the "DOJ") made cleanup demands related to alleged pipeline leaks. The federal government has been engaged in extensive cleanup of the pipeline site. Federal officials have estimated that the remediation may cost as much as \$75 million. In 1997, Grace Energy brought an action in Texas state court against Kaneb and Support Terminal Services, Inc. ("STS") to: (a) determine the ownership of the pipeline, and (b) seek indemnification for cleanup costs (the "Texas Litigation"). The Texas state court held that the pipeline had been transferred to the defendants and that Grace Energy does not owe indemnity to Kaneb for the pipeline cleanup costs. The Texas state court awarded Grace Energy approximately \$1.8 million in attorneys' fees, but also found that Grace Energy was not entitled to indemnification for out-of-pocket remediation costs. Both sides appealed shortly before the action was stayed by the Chapter 11 Cases and the modified preliminary injunction that was subsequently entered by the Bankruptcy Court.

On March 20, 2003, Kaneb filed a Pproof of Claim ("Kaneb POC") against the Debtors related to alleged contamination at a site in Macon, Georgia. In the Kaneb POC, Kaneb referred to the potential for exposure at other sites. On May 5, 2004, the Debtors filed their Fifth Omnibus Objection to claims wherein they objected to the Kaneb POC, stating they had no liability on the claim because, by its terms, the environmental indemnity provided to Kaneb in the STS Agreement and Plan of Merger dated December 21, 1992 ("Merger Agreement") had expired. (Docket No. 5527). A copy of the Objection was served on Kaneb's Chairman who had filed the Kaneb POC. (Docket No. 5589). On July 19, 2004, the Kaneb POC was expunged. (Docket No. 6007). A copy of the Order expunging the claim was also served on Kaneb's Chairman. (Docket No. 6095). As a result of the foregoing, the Debtors assert that Kaneb does

not have a Claim against the Debtors. Thus, on the Effective Date of the Plan and pursuant to Section 8.1.1 of the Plan, the Debtors assert they will be discharged of any liability they may otherwise have had to Kaneb, and they will move for dismissal of the pending and stayed Appeal in the Texas Litigation because Kaneb will have no right to pursue a Claim and Kaneb will be precluded from pursuing a claim against the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors for damages or cost recovery in the Texas Litigation or otherwise.

Kaneb and STS do not agree with the Debtors' description of the Kaneb and STS situation. Kaneb and STS disagree with the Debtors' statement that Grace Energy sold certain of its subsidiaries, one of which then owned the Cape Cod pipeline, to Kaneb. Kaneb asserts that whether any Grace subsidiary which owned the Cape Cod/Otis Pipeline was sold to Kaneb is a matter at issue in the Texas Litigation. Kaneb and STS assert that their claims, including any indemnity claims, are based on the Merger Agreement, which they assert is an executory contract that has been neither assumed nor rejected by the Debtors' bankruptcy estates, or that such claims are not ripe, and therefore no proof of eClaim is yet due. They further assert that if the Merger Agreement is neither assumed nor rejected, it will continue to be binding on the Reorganized Debtors, so that Plan Confirmation will not discharge any obligations under the Merger Agreement. Kaneb and STS dispute that the Order expunging the Kaneb POC disallowed or expunged any claim other than the Macon, Georgia claim, if it did expunge a claim. Accordingly, Kaneb and STS contend that they will have a right to pursue claims against the Debtors in the appeal of the Texas Litigation and otherwise. Moreover, Kaneb and STS contend that, even if the Kaneb and STS Claims against the Debtors are discharged, if such claims are covered by insurance, Kaneb and STS have the right to pursue the Debtors nominally in order to collect on that insurance. Kaneb and STS also contend that, even if the Kaneb and STS claims against the Debtors are discharged, the Debtors' discharge only bars a "right to payment" to Kaneb or STS from the Debtors and that nothing about the discharge would prevent Kaneb and STS from asserting defensive matters, including defenses raised in the Texas Litigation and its appeal, defense and appeal of the Debtors' declaratory judgment action, setoff, and recoupment. On January 16, 2009, Kaneb and STS filed a motion in the Bankruptcy Court to lift the automatic stay so that they could proceed with their appeal in the Texas Litigation. The Debtors' response to that motion is due on February 10, 2009, and the Debtors intend to file an objection to the motion. The motion is set to be heard on February 23, 2009.

2.8.3.2 Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Settlement

The Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection ("MADEP") also filed three proofs of Claim against certain of the Debtors for obligations to continue to perform assessment, containment and removal activities in connection with environmental contamination at certain sites in Massachusetts. On May 16, 2005, the Debtors settled the MADEP's claims in exchange for an allowed unsecured, pre-petition, non-priority claim of \$700,298. The MADEP retained its right to assert setoff of that claim against a previously settled tax refund owed by the Massachusetts Department of Revenue to the Debtors. On January 22, 2009, MADEP filed an amended proof of Claim alleging that the Debtors are liable to them with respect to environmental liabilities at a site known as the Sutton Brook Superfund Site in Tewksbury, Massachusetts. MADEP contends that they only recently discovered the Debtors were a

potentially responsible party at the site. The Debtors deny they are a potentially responsible party, but, even if the Debtors have liability, the liability is negligible.

2.8.3.3 City of Cambridge Claim

The City of Cambridge, Massachusetts filed a proof of Claim for approximately \$14 million against certain of the Debtors in connection with alleged environmental contamination at "Russell Field" in Cambridge, Massachusetts. The Claim is disputed and is expected to be eliminated or substantially reduced through negotiations before the Confirmation Date.

2.8.3.4 Samson Hydrocarbons Claims

On the basis of indemnification agreements entered into by certain of the Debtors, Samson Hydrocarbon, a buyer of one of the Debtors' former Affiliates, Grace Petroleum Corporation, has filed two proofs of Claim for approximately \$8 million for indemnification of past and future remediation expenses related to the Cape Cod pipeline in Massachusetts and six other remediation sites. The Debtors anticipate addressing Samson's claims in the claims adjudication process as outlined in Section 10.3 of the Plan and preserve their right to object to the claims either prior to or after the Effective Date of the Plan. The Debtors may incur material liability in connection with these Claims.

2.8.3.5 Jersey City Chromium Contamination Remediation

Beginning in 1995, citizens groups brought suit in the New Jersey federal district court against Honeywell International Inc. ("Honeywell") and certain of the Debtors for injunctive relief requiring the remediation of chromium contamination of certain property in Jersey City, New Jersey. The Debtors asserted cross-claims against Honeywell to recover all past and future costs and damages, and for injunctive relief requiring Honeywell to remediate the site. In May 2003, the New Jersey federal district court found in favor of the plaintiff and the Debtors.

Honeywell appealed the judgment to the Third Circuit Court of Appeals. The parties entered into a settlement agreement, which was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on October 13, 2004 (Docket No. 6608), and provided for settlement of the litigation, transfer of the Jersey City property to Honeywell, and payment to the Debtors of \$62.5 million, which was subsequently received by the Debtors.

2.8.3.6 New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Claim

On June 1, 2005, the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection ("NJDEP") filed a lawsuit against certain of the Debtors and two former employees (the "New Jersey Action") in the Superior Court of the New Jersey Law Division: Mercer County (N.J. Dept. of Environmental Protection v. W. R. Grace & Co. et al.) seeking civil penalties for alleged misrepresentations and false statements made in a Preliminary Assessment/Site Investigation Report and Negative Declarations (the "Report"). Certain of the Debtors had submitted the Report to the NJDEP in 1995 pursuant to the New Jersey Industrial Site Recovery Act. The Report was prepared by an independent environmental consultant in connection with the closing of a former plant owned by a Debtor in Hamilton Township, New Jersey. The Debtors

purchased the Hamilton plant assets in 1963 and ceased operations there in 1994. During this period, Grace produced spray-on fire protection products and vermiculite-based products at the plant.

By a notice filed in New Jersey Superior Court on September 19, 2005, the Debtors removed the New Jersey action to the New Jersey federal district court and moved that court to transfer the New Jersey Action to the District Court of Delaware (Case No. 07-536) so that the Bankruptcy Court might assume jurisdiction over the New Jersey Action. On September 19, 2005, the Debtors filed a motion for an injunction under sections 105 and 362 of the Bankruptcy Code enjoining the New Jersey Action from proceeding against the Debtors and the two former employees. On that same date, the Debtors also filed a Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief in the Bankruptcy Court (Adv. Pro. No. 05-52724) seeking a declaration that the continued prosecution of the New Jersey Action would violate section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code and seeking to enjoin the New Jersey Action. On April 1, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court granted the Debtors' motion seeking to enjoin the New Jersey Action; ruled that the New Jersey Action was filed in violation of the automatic stay; permanently enjoined the NJDEP from pursuing the Debtors and ordered the NJDEP to dismiss its lawsuit as to the Debtors, with prejudice; and preliminarily enjoined the NJDEP from pursuing its claims against the individual defendants until further order of the Bankruptcy Court (Adv. Pro. No. 05-52724, Docket No. 30). On April 8, 2008, the NJDEP filed a notice of appeal of this ruling with the District Court and the appeal is currently pending (District Court of Delaware Case No. 08-250).

On April 26, 2007, the NJDEP filed a motion for leave to file a late proof of Claim against certain of the Debtors in their Chapter 11 Cases in the approximate amount of \$31 million. The Debtors opposed the motion, alleging that the NJDEP had known of its claim for over 4 1/2 years and could not demonstrate the necessary excusable neglect to permit its filing at this late date. On August 2, 2007, the Bankruptcy Court denied the NJDEP's motion to file a late claim. The NJDEP thereafter appealed the Bankruptcy Court's denial of its motion to the District Court, which denial was upheld by the District Court on March 11, 2008. The NJDEP subsequently filed an appeal to the Third Circuit Court of Appeals on April 21, 2008 (Third Circuit Case No. 08-2069). The matter has not yet been briefed in the Third Circuit. On June 24, 2008, the Third Circuit denied NJDEP's request that the briefing schedule not be issued by the Third Circuit in order that the case may be consolidated with the District Court appeal described immediately above. Court-ordered mediation took place on July 24, 2008 and further mediation is scheduled to taketook place in Trenton, New Jersey in November, 2008. The mediation has not resulted in a resolution to date, and the Debtors anticipate the matter will be placed back on the Third Circuit docket and a briefing schedule set.

The Debtors are aware that the State of New Jersey and DOJ have each conducted criminal investigations related to the Debtors' former operations of the Hamilton plant. The Debtors are not aware of any activity since 2006 related to such investigations. The Debtors have been informed that the current property owners have concluded the remediation of the site as directed by the EPA. As discussed above in Section 2.8.2, per the terms of the Multi-Site Settlement Agreement, the Debtors consented to an allowed claim of approximately \$4.2 million to reimburse the EPA and the property owners for the costs they incurred in remediation of the Hamilton plant site.

2.8.3.7 Environmental Insurance Litigation

Certain of the Debtors were parties to three environmental insurance coverage actions with insurance companies, all of which were stayed as a result of the Chapter 11 Cases. Two of the three environmental insurance coverage actions were thereafter settled, in whole or in part, between certain of the Debtors and Continental Casualty Company, the only insurance carrier that remained as a party in those actions. Those actions were captioned Maryland Casualty Co. v. W. R. Grace & Co., 88 CIV 4337, filed in 1988, and Continental Casualty Company v. W. R. Grace & Co. and W. R. Grace & Co. Conn., 00 CIV 4524, filed in 2000. The Maryland Casualty action involved approximately 200 claims arising from environmental contamination of sites owned or once owned by certain of the Debtors and off-site, non-owned disposal sites. The Continental Casualty action involved approximately 45 claims of the same nature, including the Debtors' claims for coverage regarding certain former vermiculate mining operations in Libby, Montana. The confidential settlement agreement between the Debtors and Continental Casualty Company was finalized in December 2004. On March 15, 2005, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order approving the settlement. The settlement agreement resolved all of the claims at issue in the Maryland Casualty action and that action was dismissed with prejudice. The settlement agreement also resolved all of the claims at issue in the Continental Casualty action, with the exception of assertions of coverage for premises personal injury claims, including but not limited to, claims for coverage for wrongful death, per quod, and fear of cancer claims arising from Grace-related business operations in Libby, Montana ("Libby-Related Claims"). Continental Casualty action has not been dismissed, but rather was closed on the trial court's active docket shortly after the Debtors sought bankruptcy protection. At such time as the automatic stay arising from the Debtors' bankruptcy is lifted with respect to the Continental Casualty action, the parties will be free to reopen that action on the active docket and further litigate their respective rights and obligations with respect to the Libby-Related Claims or take other action.

The third environmental insurance coverage action was captioned *Unigard Security Ins. Co. v. W. R. Grace & Co.-Conn. and W. R. Grace & Co.* (Case No. 97-cv-08941) and was filed in 1997 in the Southern District of New York federal district court. In this suit, Unigard sought a declaration of no liability regarding potential bodily injury claims against certain of the Debtors arising from environmental contamination at four sites formerly owned by certain of the Debtors. The *Unigard* action was stayed as a result of the Debtors' bankruptcy. On March 8, 2002, the federal district court noted that the claims in the case were dormant, and the court's docket entries indicate that the case was closed on the active docket as of March 8, 2002. The Unigard policy at issue is a first-layer excess policy that was in effect from June 30, 1974 to June 30, 1975, with limits of \$10,000,000. Additionally, in June 2003, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, while interpreting coverage under the Continental Casualty Policy underlying the Unigard policy, held that section 46 of the New York Insurance Law, which was in effect from 1971 to 1982, prohibits coverage for claims arising from gradual pollution.

2.8.4 Fraudulent Transfer Litigation

In September 2000, the Parent and certain of its subsidiaries were named in a purported class action lawsuit filed in California Superior Court for the County of San Francisco, alleging that the 1996 reorganization involving a predecessor of the Parent and Fresenius AG and the

1998 reorganization involving a predecessor of the Parent and Sealed Air were fraudulent transfers (*Abner, et al., v. W. R. Grace & Co., et al.*). The District Court authorized the Asbestos PI Committee and Asbestos PD Committee to proceed with claims against Fresenius and Sealed Air on behalf of the Debtors' bankruptcy estates. On March 18, 2002 the Asbestos PI Committee and the Asbestos PD Committee filed the Sealed Air Action (Adv. Pro. No. 02-2210) and the Fresenius Action (Adv. Pro. No. 02-2211) on behalf of the Debtors' estates asserting asbestos, successor liability, and fraudulent transfer claims.

In November 2002, Fresenius and Sealed Air each announced that they had reached agreements in principle with the Asbestos PI Committee and Asbestos PD Committee to settle the Sealed Air Action and the Fresenius Action. On June 25, 2003, the District Court approved the Fresenius Settlement Agreement. Subject to certain conditions (including, without limitation, the provision of injunctions and releases in favor of Fresenius), Fresenius will pay \$115 million to the Debtors' estates as directed by the Bankruptcy Court upon confirmation of the Plan. The Bankruptcy Court approved the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement by order dated June 27, 2005 (Docket No. 8742). Under the terms of the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement and subject to the fulfillment of certain conditions (including, without limitation, the provision of injunctions and releases in favor of the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties), Cryovac, Inc. will transfer a total of \$512.5 million in Cash (plus interest at 5.5% compounded annually, commencing on December 21, 2002) and 9 million shares (now 18 million shares to reflect a two-for-one stock split) of Sealed Air Common Stock directly to the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust, as directed by the Bankruptcy Court upon confirmation of the Plan. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Cryovac Payment remains subject to adjustment, including by virtue of any setoff rights that Sealed Air has under the Settlement Agreement. To date, Sealed Air has neither exercised nor waived any such setoff rights, but may exercise such rights in the future. Assuming that no adjustment is made, the Cryovac Payment is collectively valued at \$[1,031.2 million] (as of [June 30, 2008]). One of the purposes of the Plan is to effectuate and comply with the terms of the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement.

2.8.5 Tax Claims

2.8.5.1 IRS Proposed Tax Adjustments

With respect to the 1993-1996 federal income tax audit, there is one issue outstanding relating to approximately \$7 million of research and experimentation credits claimed for the 1993-1996 taxable years on which the IRS and certain of the Debtors have reached a settlement. The settlement will result in a refund of tax of approximately \$6.3 million plus interest. The Bankruptcy Court entered an order on July 21, 2008 approving the settlement (Docket No. 19111) and the settlement has been approved by the Joint Committee on Taxation.

With respect to the 1997-2001 federal income tax audit, the Debtors have received revised examination reports from the IRS, which include the review of losses carried back to 1988-1989 (collectively, the "Examination Reports") asserting, in the aggregate, approximately \$32.5 million of net additional tax plus accrued interest. The most significant issue addressed in the Examination Reports concerns the carryback of a specified liability loss from the 1998 tax period to the 1989 taxable year. On March 22, 2007, certain of the Debtors received a Notice of Deficiency with respect to the carryback of the specified liability loss and certain other issues.

Certain of the Debtors filed a petition in the United States Tax Court to resolve the issues relating to the Notice of Deficiency. On December 10, 2007, the Tax Court granted the parties' motion to forward the case to the IRS Appeals Office for the purposes of conducting settlement negotiations. This case will not result in any cash taxes payable by the Debtors because the Debtors have other refund claims pending unrelated to this issue, which amount will not be paid by the IRS until this matter is resolved.

There are currently several IRS Claims pending against the Debtors, including one for approximately \$311 million in federal income taxes relating to the 1988 through 2001 tax periods. The Debtors estimate their liability for this Claim to be zero as a result of the various refunds owing to the Debtors and other matters outlined herein. Otherwise, all tax issues for the 1988 through 2001 tax periods have been resolved through settlements and related payments that have been approved by the Bankruptcy Court.

2.8.5.2 Bekaert Textiles N.V.

Under an indemnification agreement, certain of the Debtors are responsible for defense costs and payment of any tax assessments levied by the Belgian government on a former subsidiary, Bekaert Textiles N.V. ("Bekaert"), in connection with foreign bond transactions in 1989 and 1990. Shortly after receipt of the assessments, Bekaert filed tax protests with the Belgian taxing authority, which failed to act on the protests. To stop the running of interest, Bekaert commenced litigation in 2001 against the Belgian government on the issue, which is pending. The total amount allegedly owed for taxes and interest is approximately 462,607,092 BEF (approximately \$18 million USD as of June 16, 2008). Such Belgium proceedings are not affected by the automatic stay of the Chapter 11 Cases.

2.8.5.3 State Income Tax Claims

Certain state income tax Claims relating to past tax years may involve significant amounts. Although state income tax proofs of Claim have been filed against certain of the Debtors in the amount of approximately \$[44 million], the Debtors estimate that they will have to pay out approximately \$[33 million]. Of the \$[33 million], the Debtors estimate that they will be required to pay \$[12.5 million] in state taxes and \$[20.5 million] in interest accrued to the Petition Date.

2.9 Liabilities Other than Litigation Claims

2.9.1 Current Liabilities not Subject to Compromise Under the Bankruptcy Code

As of [June 30, 2008,2008], the Debtors had approximately \$[669.6 million] in current liabilities not subject to compromise under the Bankruptcy Code. This amount represents the sum of short-term debt, income taxes, and trade and other operating liabilities that are due or will become payable within one year after [June 30, 2008.2008]. These liabilities are expected to be satisfied in accordance with their terms.

2.9.2 Non-Current Liabilities not Subject to Compromise Under the Bankruptcy Code

As of [June 30, 2008,2008], the Debtors had approximately \$[461.8 million] in non-current liabilities not subject to compromise under the Bankruptcy Code. This amount consists of \$[35.0 million] of deferred income taxes, \$[312.2 million] of liabilities related to the Debtors' defined-benefit pension plans, \$[51.6 million] in other non-current liabilities, \$[62.7 million] of minority interest in consolidated entities and \$[0.3 million] in long-term debt. These liabilities relate to obligations that arose subsequent to the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases and will be satisfied in accordance with their terms.

2.9.3 Liabilities Subject to Compromise Under the Bankruptcy Code

2.9.3.1 Debt and Accrued Interest

As of [June 30, 2008,2008], as recorded on the Debtors' books, there was approximately \$[834.6 million] of debt and accrued interest. This amount consists of \$500 million under the Debtors' Pre-petition Credit Facilities (Class 9) plus \$[25.7 million] under drawn letters of credit and other debt (\$[1.5 million] Class 2 and \$[24.2 million] Class 9). This amount also includes \$[3.8 million] of interest relating to such letters of credit calculated at 4.19%, compounded annually. The Plan provides for interest at a rate of 6.09%, from the Petition Date through December 31, 2005 and thereafter at floating prime, in each case compounded quarterly, for obligations under the Debtors' Pre-petition Credit Facilities, which results in total accrued interest of approximately \$[305.1 million] as of [June 30, 2008.2008].

By letter dated April 21, 2008, certain holders (the "Objecting Lenders") of claims outstanding under the Debtors' Pre-petition Credit Facilities (the "Lender Claims") demanded that payment on the Lender Claims include post-petition interest at 100% of the contractual default rate, compounded quarterly, plus facility fees and other fees due and attorneys' fees and costs. On June 13, 2008, the Debtors filed an objection to the Lender Claims seeking an order from the Bankruptcy Court that post-petition interest at the contract default rate need not be paid in connection with Lender Claims (Docket No. 18922). The Objecting Lenders and the Unsecured Creditors' Committee, joined by JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. ("JPMorgan"), in its capacity as agent for all pre-petition lenders (the "Lenders"), responded to and opposed the Debtors' claim objection. (Docket Nos. 19072, 19073, and 19074). The matter was heard by the Bankruptcy Court on September 29, 2008 and the Bankruptcy Court's ruling is pending. For a more detailed discussion of this litigation, *see infra* section 3.2.8.4 (Bank Claims Default Interest Litigation).

-

Pursuant to Plan Sections 3.1.9 (d) and (e), the Plan provides procedures whereby the Holders of Class 9 Claims, other than the Holders of the Lenders' Claims, may request a determination of whether they are entitled to post-petition interest at a rate or calculation other than the treatment provided for in the Plan. *See* Section 4.3.1.9 herein for a further discussion of these procedures.

2.9.3.2 Income Taxes

As of [June 30, 2008,2008], the Debtors have established approximately \$[100.8 million] in reserves, for financial reporting purposes, for potential income taxes and related statutory interest (\$[30,000] Administrative Expenses, \$[33.4 million] Priority Tax, \$[200,000] Class 2 and \$[67.2 million] Class 9). This amount reflects the Debtors' estimated liability for domestic and foreign uncertain income tax positions.

2.9.3.3 Post-Retirement Benefits Other than Pensions

As of [June 30, 2008,2008], the Debtors had approximately \$[70.9 million] in liabilities relating to post-retirement benefits other than pensions. This amount represents the present value of the Debtors' estimated future annual obligations under their retiree medical program. This liability is being funded currently, and will pass through as a continuing obligation of the Reorganized Debtors under the Plan. The Reorganized Debtors will retain the ability to modify post-retirement benefits including those under the retiree medical program pursuant to the terms of the applicable post-retirement benefit plans.

2.9.3.4 Unfunded Special Pension Arrangements

As of [June 30, 2008,2008], the Debtors had approximately \$[94.4 million] of unfunded special pension arrangements. This amount represents the present value of various non-qualified, unfunded special pension arrangements with both current and former employees of the Debtors. Approximately \$[15.8 million] of this amount relates to due but unpaid amounts since the Petition Date under the Voluntary Supplemental Pension Payments and the Outside Directors' Retirement Plan as a result of funding limits set by the Bankruptcy Court in its order dated as of June 22, 2001 (Docket No. 559), and will be paid on the Effective Date or as soon as practicable thereafter. Pursuant to the Plan, the remainder will be re-instated as continuing obligations of the Reorganized Debtors and will be satisfied in accordance with their terms.

2.9.3.5 Accounts Payable

As of [June 30, 2008,2008], the Debtors had approximately \$[31.2 million] in liabilities to suppliers and other service providers that were due and payable as of the Petition Date (\$[100,000] Administrative Expense Claims, \$[200,000] Class 1, \$[600,000] Class 2 and \$[3.03 million] Class 9). These liabilities will include post-petition interest compounded annually at either the non-default contractual rate underlying the Claim, if available, or the federal judgment rate of 4.19% as of April 2, 2001. As of [June 30, 2008,2008], this interest amounted to approximately \$[10.9 million] (\$[40,000] related to Administrative Expense Claims, \$[70,000] related to Class 1 Claims, \$[200,000] related to Class 2 Claims and \$[10.6 million] related to Class 9 Claims).

39

Pursuant to Plan Sections 3.1.9 (d) and (e), the Plan provides procedures whereby the Holders of Class 9 Claims, other than the Holders of the Lenders' Claims, may request a determination of whether they are entitled to post-petition interest at a rate or calculation other than the treatment provided for in the Plan. See Section 4.3.1.9 herein for a further discussion of these procedures.

2.9.3.6 Other Accrued Liabilities

As of [June 30, 2008,2008], the Debtors had approximately \$[73.0 million] in other accrued liabilities (excluding accounts payable and the accrued interest thereon). This amount represents contractual obligations and estimates of costs to resolve pre-petition contingencies. Of this amount, approximately \$[46.3 million] is expected to be classified as Class 9 Claims paid on the Effective Date (\$[35.8 million] of liabilities plus \$[10.5 million] of accrued interest). The remaining amounts will be reinstated and satisfied in accordance with their terms.

2.10 Assets and Other Rights

2.10.1 Excess Real Property

The Debtors own a number of parcels of excess real property, most of which were used in previously divested operations, are not useful for the Debtors' current operations, and are not readily marketable. Some of the excess property is not marketable because it is undergoing environmental remediation, which is often an expensive and lengthy process. Although the Debtors are actively engaged in selling properties that are considered marketable, the net value of the Debtors' excess real property does not appear material.

2.10.2 Insurance Rights

2.10.2.1 **Overview**

Certain of the Debtors previously purchased liability insurance policies that provide coverage for the 1962 – 1985 period with respect to asbestos-related lawsuits and Claims. For the most part, coverage for years 1962 through 1972 has been exhausted, leaving coverage for years 1973 through 1985 available for pending and future asbestos claims. Since 1985, insurance coverage for asbestos-related liabilities has not been commercially available to the Debtors. Insurance policies that were purchased by the Debtors prior to 1962 previously were determined by the courts to be inapplicable because they were purchased prior to the year in which the Debtors acquired the Zonolite Company, through which the Debtors began producing asbestos-containing products. However, as part of the Zonolite acquisition, the Debtors obtained all rights under the insurance policies purchased by Zonolite.

2.10.2.2 Primary Insurance Coverage

The Debtors' primary insurance coverage for 1962-1985 is in the amount of \$1 million per occurrence with annual aggregate product-liability limits ranging from \$1 to \$2 million. In addition, as noted above, as part of the Zonolite acquisition, the Debtors obtained all rights under the insurance policies purchased by Zonolite. The coverage issued by Royal Indemnity Company ("Royal") to Zonolite for March 31, 1953 to April 1, 1963 was fully settled as to all asbestos-related claims in a January 5, 1995 Settlement Agreement in which Grace-Conn released Royal for claims "in any way relating to the New York Primary Action and/or payment or handling of Asbestos-Related Claims and other Product Claims under the Primary Policies" as provided in (and as those terms are defined) in the Settlement Agreement. With an exception described in the next sentence, coverage regarding the Grace and Zonolite primary policies for 1953-1985 has been fully settled and the settlement amounts paid in full. The only unsettled

primary coverage is that of Continental Casualty Company ("Continental") for 1973-1985. In a pending declaratory judgment action in the Southern District of New York federal district court entitled *Continental Casualty Company v. W. R. Grace & Co., et al.*, 00 CIV 4524, the Debtors assert that this Continental coverage still is available to pay certain asbestos-related Claims, specifically, bodily injury claims that fall within the premises coverage of these policies. Although portions of the *Continental Casualty* action were settled in 2004, the settlement agreement did not resolve assertions of coverage for premises personal injury claims against Continental. The *Continental Casualty* action has been stayed; once the stay is lifted, the parties may reopen the case or take other action. *See* Section 2.8.3.7 *supra* for a detailed discussion.

Notwithstanding the assertions contained in the preceding paragraph, the Libby Claimants assert that there is premises insurance coverage available for their claims against the Debtors from Royal for the period 1953-1963. The Debtors and Royal disagree and contend that the coverage issued for this period has been fully settled as to all asbestos-related claims as outlined above. The Libby Claimants also assert that the Debtors' 1991 settlement with MCC may be able to be set aside and that premises coverage may be available under MCC's policies for the years 1962-1973. The Debtors and MCC disagree and contend that the coverage issued for this period has been fully settled as to all asbestos-related claims. The Libby Claimants assert that there is premises coverage available from Continental for the years 1973-1985. The Plan Proponents note that MCC and Continental dispute the contentions as to them. The Libby Claimants further assert that they, and not the Asbestos PI Trust, should be entitled to pursue the premises coverage available or potentially available under primary policies written for the Debtors or its predecessors by Royal, MCC, and Continental. The Plan Proponents disagree with these assertions, and note that any unsettled premises coverage available to pay Claims against the Debtors is in no way limited to Claims arising solely from exposures in Libby, Montana.

2.10.2.3 Excess Insurance Coverage

The Debtors' excess coverage is for levels of loss above certain levels. The levels vary from policy to policy, creating "layers" of excess coverage. As of [June 30, 2008,2008], after subtracting previous reimbursements by insurers and allowing for discounts pursuant to certain settlement agreements, there remains approximately \$[917 million] of excess products/completed operations coverage from more than [54] presently solvent insurers.

The Debtors have entered into settlement agreements with various excess insurance carriers. These settlements involve amounts paid and to be paid to the Debtors. One such settlement agreement provides for reimbursement of a specified percentage of each dollar spent by the Debtors or the Non-Debtor Affiliates to settle or defend asbestos-related Claims. It is the Debtors' position that, under this agreement, a group of carriers has agreed to reimburse the Debtors for 20% of each dollar spent to settle or defend personal injury or property damage Claims, up to a remaining maximum reimbursement of approximately \$78 million. The other settlement agreements generally require that personal injury Claims be spread over the Claimant's exposure period and that each insurer pay a pro rata portion of each Claim based on the amount of coverage provided during each year of the total exposure period. The remaining maximum aggregate amount available to pay Asbestos PI Claims under these other settlement agreements is approximately \$356 million of excess products/completed operations coverage.

Nothing in Section 2.10.2.3 of this Disclosure Statement is intended to affect or vary the above-referenced settlement agreements.

In November 2006, the Debtors entered into a settlement and mutual release with an underwriter for \$90 million (the original face amount of such policies was \$136 million) of excess insurance coverage, which is included in the \$[917 million] referenced immediately above. The insurer paid the settlement amount of \$90 million directly to an escrow account for the benefit of the Holders of Claims for which the Debtors were provided coverage under the affected policies. The escrow account balance at [June 30, 2008] was approximately \$[96.2 million], including interest earned on the account. Funds will be distributed from this account directly to the Asbestos PI Trust for the benefit of the Asbestos PI Claimants at the direction of the escrow agent pursuant to the terms of a confirmed plan of reorganization or as otherwise ordered by the Bankruptcy Court. The Debtors will record the amount in the escrow account as an asset and reduce their asbestos insurance receivable balance if and when all contingencies for the release of such amount are satisfied.

Presently, the Debtors have no settlement agreements in place with insurers with respect to approximately \$[483 million] of excess products/completed operations coverage. In addition, the Debtors have a face value of approximately \$[253 million] of excess products/completed operations coverage with insurance carriers that are insolvent or in receivership. The Debtors have filed and continue to file claims in the insolvency and receivership proceedings of carriers. The Debtors periodically receive distributions from some of these insolvent carriers and expect to receive distributions in the future. However, it is likely that the full face value will not be recovered.

2.10.2.4 Estimated Insurance Recoveries

As of [June 30, 2008,2008], including the settlement discussed above and after subtracting previous reimbursements by insurers and allowing for discounts pursuant to certain settlement agreements, there remains approximately \$[917 million] of excess products/completed operations coverage for Asbestos PI Claims from [54] presently solvent insurers of which the proceeds thereof will be transferred by the Debtors to the Asbestos PI Trust pursuant to the Plan. Certain of the coverage may also cover Asbestos PD Claims. However that coverage will not be available to the Reorganized Debtors or to the Asbestos PD Trust to pay Asbestos PD Claims because the Debtors are contributing all insurance proceeds directly to the Asbestos PI Trust. The prospective financial information does not take into account recoveries from insolvent carriers.

The amount of insurance recovered on such Claims will depend on a number of factors that will only be determined at the time claims are paid including: the relevant exposure years, the timing of payment and the solvency of insurers. The amount of insurance recovered on such

Pursuant to the settlement agreement, the underwriter has d the right to terminate the settlement agreement, rendering it null and void, if, among other things, the Confirmation Order has was not been entered by the Bankruptcy Court by December 31, 2008, or such later date to which the parties to the settlement agreement agree. To date, the underwriter has not sought to terminate the agreement. The underwriter and the Plan Proponents currently are discussing a mutually acceptable resolution.

Claims may also depend on the resolution of certain objections that have been raised, by certain insurers, with respect to the Plan's assignment of Asbestos Insurance Rights to the Asbestos PI Trust and related issues, as discussed more fully below in Section 9.4.

2.10.3 Debtors' Retained Causes of Action

2.10.3.1 Preservation of Causes of Action

The Debtors are currently investigating whether to pursue potential causes of action against any Claimants or Entities. The investigation has not been completed. Except as otherwise expressly contemplated by the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement, the Fresenius Settlement Agreement or other Plan Documents, and except for the Trust Causes of Action and Asbestos Insurance Rights, from and after the Effective Date, the Reorganized Debtors are retaining the Debtors' rights to commence and pursue any and all claims, causes of action, including the Retained Causes of Action, or defenses against any parties, including holders of Asbestos PD Claims, other Claimants and Holders of Equity Interests, whether such causes of action accrued before or after the Petition Date. The potential causes of action include the following:

- All actual actions or potential actions, whether legal, equitable or statutory in nature, for, or in any way involving, the collection of accounts receivable or general ledger items that are due and owing to the Debtors, including trade receivables, rent and other lease and sublease charges, franchise and/or license fees, payments due under equipment leases and licenses, or other miscellaneous charges;
- All actual actions or potential actions, whether legal, equitable or statutory in nature, against customers, for accounts receivable, improper setoff, overpayment, or any other claim arising out of the customer relationship;
- All actual actions or potential actions, whether legal, equitable or statutory in nature, against vendors for overpayment, improper setoff, warranty, indemnity, or any other claim arising out of the vendor relationship;
- All actual actions or potential actions, whether legal, equitable or statutory in nature, against Entities, including vendors with respect to pre-petition violations of applicable federal or state securities laws;
- All actual actions or potential breach of contract actions against any customers, vendors or Entities who violated the automatic stay after the Petition Date;
- All actual actions or potential actions, whether legal, equitable or statutory in nature, against landlords, lessees, sublessees, or assignees arising from various leases, subleases and assignment agreements relating thereto, including actions for unpaid rent, overcharges relating to taxes, common area maintenance and other similar charges;

- All actual actions or potential actions, whether legal, equitable or statutory in nature, against purchasers of assets from the Debtors relating to breach of the purchase agreement or unpaid compensation thereunder;
- Any and all rights to payment against any taxing authority or other potentially liable party, including parties other than the government for reimbursement of taxes and tax payments, tax refunds, credits, overpayments or offsets that may be due and owing to the Debtors for taxes that the Debtors may have paid to any such taxing authority;
- All actual actions or potential actions, whether legal, equitable or statutory in nature, relating to deposits or other amounts owed by any creditor, lessor utility, supplier, vendor, landlord, sub-lessee, assignee or other Entity;
- All actual actions or potential actions, whether legal, equitable or statutory in nature, relating to environmental and product liability matters;
- All actual actions or potential actions, whether legal, equitable or statutory in nature, arising out of, or relating to, the Debtors' intellectual property rights;
- Any litigation or lawsuit initiated by any of the Debtors that is currently pending, whether in the Bankruptcy Court, before the American Arbitration Association, or any other court or tribunal or initiated against the Debtors after the Petition Date for which the Debtors may have counterclaims or other rights;
- All actual actions or potential actions, whether legal, equitable or statutory in nature, against any of the Debtors' former Professionals, except the Asbestos Protected Parties, for breach of fiduciary duty, breach of contract, negligence or professional misconduct or malpractice, or other tortuous conduct;
- All actual or potential contract and tort actions that may exist or may subsequently arise; and
- All actual actions or potential actions whether legal, equitable or statutory in nature, arising out of, or in connection with the Debtors' business or operations, except actions against the Asbestos Protected Parties to the extent they are released by the Plan.

Attached as Exhibit 19 in the Exhibit Book is a nonexclusive list of the currently pending claims or causes of action brought or anticipated to be brought shortly by one or more of the Debtors. The above categories of Retained Causes of Action will not be limited in any way by the inclusion of exhibits in the Exhibit Book nor are the categories intended to be mutually exclusive.

In addition, it is possible that there are numerous unknown causes of action. The failure to list any such unknown causes of action above, or in Exhibit 19 in the Exhibit Book, is not intended to limit the rights of the Reorganized Debtors to pursue any of these actions to the extent the facts underlying such unknown causes of action become known to the Debtors.

2.10.3.2 Maintenance of Causes of Action

Except as otherwise provided in the Plan, the Reorganized Debtors are retaining all of the Debtors' rights to commence and pursue, as appropriate, in any court or other tribunal including, without limitation, in an adversary proceeding filed in one or more of the Chapter 11 Cases, any and all causes of action, whether such causes of action accrued before or after the Petition Date, including those Retained Causes of Action listed in Exhibit 19 in the Exhibit Book.

Except as otherwise provided in the Plan, in accordance with Bankruptcy Code § 1123(b)(3), any Claims, rights, and causes of action, including the Retained Causes of Action, that the respective Debtors may hold against any Entity will vest in the Reorganized Debtors, and the Reorganized Debtors will retain and may exclusively enforce any and all such Claims, rights or causes of action, including Retained Causes of Action, and commence, pursue and settle the causes of action in accordance with the Plan. The Reorganized Debtors will have the exclusive right, authority, and discretion to institute, prosecute, abandon, settle, or compromise any and all such Claims, rights, and causes of action, including Retained Causes of Action, without the consent or approval of any third party and without any further order of the Court.

2.10.3.3 Avoidance Actions

The Debtors do not possess any causes of action for "Avoidance Actions" (actions or proceedings under Bankruptcy Code §§ 544, 545, 547, 548, or 553). Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code § 546(a), a debtor has two years after entry of the order for relief to bring Avoidance Actions. The Debtors' order for relief was entered on April 2, 2001; thus the deadline to bring Avoidance Actions was April 2, 2003. The Debtors analyzed potential Avoidance Actions and concluded that none should be commenced. The Debtors then provided their analysis to counsel for the Equity Committee and the various creditors' committees so that they could also determine whether there were any Avoidance Actions. Other than the Sealed Air Action and the Fresenius Action (which are respectively subject to the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement and the Fresenius Settlement Agreement, as described in Section 2.8.4 of this Disclosure Statement), no Avoidance Actions were brought by any party prior to the deadline. The Unsecured Creditors' Committee filed a motion seeking to extend the time within which the Avoidance Actions could be commenced but the Bankruptcy Court denied the motion.

2.10.3.4 Preservation of All Causes of Action not Expressly Settled or Released

Unless a Claim or Retained Cause of Action against a Claimant or other Entity is expressly waived, relinquished, released, compromised, settled, transferred or assigned in the Plan or any Final Order, the Debtors expressly reserve such Claim or Retained Cause of Action (including any unknown causes of action) for later adjudication by the Reorganized Debtors. Therefore, no preclusion doctrine, including the doctrines of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim preclusion, waiver, estoppel (judicial, equitable, or other) or laches will apply to such Claims or Retained Causes of Action upon or after the Confirmation Date or Effective Date of the Plan based on this Disclosure Statement, the Plan or the Confirmation Order, except where such Claims or Retained Causes of Action have been expressly released in the Plan or other Final Order. In addition, the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, and their successors expressly reserve the right to pursue or adopt any Claim alleged in any lawsuit in

which the Debtors are defendants or an interested party, against any Entity, including the plaintiffs or co-defendants in such lawsuits.

Any Entity that has incurred an obligation to the Debtors (whether on account of services, purchase or sale of goods or otherwise), or who has received services from the Debtors or a transfer of money or property of the Debtors, or who has transacted business with the Debtors, or leased equipment or property from the Debtors should assume that such obligation, transfer, or transaction may be reviewed by the Debtors or the Reorganized Debtors, and may, if appropriate, be the subject of an action after the Effective Date, whether or not (1) such Entity has filed a proof of Claim against the Debtors in the Chapter 11 Cases, (2) such Claimant's proof of Claim has been objected to, (3) such Claimant's Claim was included in the Debtors' Schedules, or (4) such Claimant's scheduled Claim has been objected to by the Debtors or has been identified by the Debtors as a Disputed Claim, a Contingent Claim, or an Unliquidated Claim.

<u>Pursuant to the Plan, the Asbestos PD Trust retains the rights to the Asbestos PD Trust Causes of Action as defined in the Plan.</u>

2.11 Estimated Value of the Reorganized Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates

The Debtors have been advised by The Blackstone Group L.P. ("Blackstone") with respect to the reorganized enterprise value of the Reorganized Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates (the "Reorganized Enterprise Value"). The Reorganized Enterprise Value is adjusted for estimates of the value of net debt, non-core liabilities, obligations under the <u>Asbestos PI Deferred Payment Agreement</u>, the Class 7A Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement, and the Warrant issued to the Asbestos PI Trust to arrive at the equity value of the Reorganized Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates (the "Reorganized Equity Value"). For purposes of this analysis, the Effective Date is assumed to be [December 31, 2008.2008].

2.11.1 Reorganized Enterprise Value of the Reorganized Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates

Two valuation methodologies were used to determine the Reorganized Enterprise Value: (a) an analysis of public market values for selected similar public companies and (b) an analysis of transaction values for selected similar public merger and acquisition transactions. Based on these two methodologies, the estimated Reorganized Enterprise Value at the Effective Date is approximately \$[3.20 billion] to \$[3.70 billion], with \$[3.45 billion] as the midpoint estimate.

2.11.1.1 Comparable Public Company Analysis

The comparable public company analysis ("Comparable Public Company Analysis") examines the market value of companies deemed similar to each of the businesses of the Reorganized Debtors and the Non-Debtor Affiliates: Grace Davison (excluding its Darex unit), Grace Construction Products, and Darex (each, a "Business" and, collectively, the "Businesses"). The Comparable Public Company Analysis determines the multiple of each comparable

company's current enterprise value divided by (a) its EBITDA²³²⁴ for the last twelve months (as of its most recently available financial statements) and (b) its calendar year 2008200[8] estimated EBITDA, which is based on consensus projections by equity research analysts of third-party financial institutions as compiled by Capital IQ / Reuters. The resulting ranges of multiples for each Business' comparable companies are then applied to the latest twelve months and projected 2008200[8] EBITDA of each of the Businesses to estimate the Reorganized Enterprise Value of the Reorganized Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates.

A key factor to this approach is the selection of companies with relatively similar business and operational characteristics to the Businesses of the Reorganized Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates. Criteria for selecting comparable companies include, among other relevant characteristics, similar lines of business, target market segments, market presence, size, scale of operations, and business risks. The selection of comparable companies is often difficult and subject to interpretation.

2.11.1.2 The Precedent Transaction Analysis

The precedent transaction analysis ("Precedent Transaction Analysis") estimates value by examining a comparable company's disclosed transaction value as a multiple of its key operating statistics. Transactions were identified in each of the three primary sectors in which the Businesses compete, and multiples for these transactions were calculated by dividing the purchase price paid (including the assumption of debt) by the target company's EBITDA for the last twelve months of available financial information prior to the transaction. A range of these multiples was then applied to the EBITDA for the last twelve months of each of the Businesses to estimate Reorganized Enterprise Value.

Due to the fact that the results of a Precedent Transaction Analysis often reflect a control premium, or are impacted by a competitive dynamic due to multiple bidders, the valuation multiples in a Precedent Transaction Analysis indicate aspects of value that may not necessarily be indicative of a company's inherent asset or operating value. Moreover, it should be noted that valuation conclusions cannot be based solely upon quantitative results. The reasons for, and circumstances surrounding, each acquisition transaction are specific to such acquisition, and there are inherent differences between the businesses, operations and prospects of each. Qualitative judgments must be made concerning the differences among the characteristics of these transactions and other factors and issues, which could affect the target company's value.

2.11.2 Calculation of Reorganized Equity Value

The Reorganized Enterprise Value is adjusted by the following items to determine the Reorganized Equity Value of the Reorganized Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates: (a) net debt, (b) minority interest, (c) non-core liabilities, (d) the present value of obligations under the Asbestos PI Deferred Payment Agreement, the Class 7A Asbestos PD Deferred Payment

EBITDA is defined as operating income (excluding the impact of non-recurring items) before interest and financing expenses, taxes, and depreciation and amortization. EBITDA is a supplemental measure that is not required by or presented in accordance with US GAAP. The EBITDA for Darex was developed solely for the purpose of estimating the Reorganized Enterprise Value.

<u>Agreement and the Class 7B Asbestos PD</u> Deferred Payment Agreement and (e) the Black-Scholes value of the warrants issued to the Asbestos PI Trust.

2.11.2.1 Net Debt

The estimated net debt, as of the assumed Effective Date of [December 31, 2008, 2008], consists of \$[1.5 billion] of funded debt and \$[381 million] of Cash upon emergence from bankruptcy. Net debt could ultimately be higher or lower than this estimate, depending on the resolution of Claims, actual cash funding requirements of the Plan and free cash flow generation before emergence.

2.11.2.2 Minority Interest

The value of certain joint ventures of the Reorganized Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates to unaffiliated third parties is recognized as minority interest and deducted from Reorganized Enterprise Value in calculating Reorganized Equity Value. As set forth in the Financial Information, the projected value of this account, which primarily pertains to Chevron Products Company's interest in Advanced Refining Technologies LLC, is estimated to be approximately \$[62 million] as of [December 31, 2008.2008].

2.11.2.3 Non-Core Liabilities

Non-core liabilities that pass through bankruptcy and are paid in future years are deducted from the Reorganized Enterprise Value in determining Reorganized Equity Value. The projected book value of non-core liabilities, estimated as of [December 31, 2008,2008], is approximately \$[328 million]. The actual value of these non-core liabilities could be higher or lower, depending on, among other things, the resolution of Claims, the timing of certain payments, and the level of contingency payments.

2.11.2.4 Deferred Payment Agreements

The present value of the obligations under the <u>Asbestos PI Deferred Payment Agreement</u>, the <u>Class 7A Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement and the Class 7B Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement is deducted from the Reorganized Enterprise Value as part of the calculation of Reorganized Equity Value. The five annual payments <u>under the Asbestos PI Deferred Payment Agreement</u> of \$110 million commencing on January 2, 2019 and ten annual payments of \$100 million commencing on January 2, 2024 have been discounted back to [December 31, 2008] at an assumed discount rate of [10]%. This discount rate reflects the subordinated nature of the deferred asbestos payments and results in a \$[338 million] liability on a present value basis at December 31, 2008. [December 31, 2008]. [Discussion of Class 7A Deferred Payment Agreement and Class 7B Deferred Payment Agreement to be added upon completion of year-end financial reports.]</u>

2.11.2.5 Warrant Issued to Trust

Under the Plan, a Warrant will be issued to the Asbestos PI Trust, with a one-year term, to acquire 10 million shares of Parent Common Stock at an exercise price of \$17.00 per share. The Warrant has an estimated value, based on the Black-Scholes option pricing methodology,

ranging from approximately \$[29 million] to \$[74 million]. This value has been deducted from the Reorganized Enterprise Value in arriving at the Reorganized Equity Value of the Reorganized Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates.

2.11.2.6 Summary

Based on the above assumptions, the estimated Reorganized Equity Value of the Reorganized Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates ranges from approximately \$[1.3 billion] to \$[1.8 billion]. Given that equity interests of the Debtors will pass through bankruptcy under the Plan, the Reorganized Debtors will have approximately [72.2 million] shares after emerging from bankruptcy. As a result, the Reorganized Equity Value on a share basis ranges from \$[18.28] per share to \$[24.59] per share (the "Reorganized Share Price") before accounting for the effects of the Warrant and the management incentive plan.

The estimates of Reorganized Enterprise Value, Reorganized Equity Value, and Reorganized Share Price do not purport to be appraisals, liquidation values, or estimates of the actual market value that may be realized if assets are sold. The estimates of Reorganized Enterprise Value, Reorganized Equity Value and Reorganized Share Price represent hypothetical values developed solely for purposes of the Plan and should not be relied upon in making investment decisions to purchase or sell Parent Common Stock at any time, now or in the future.

The estimates of Reorganized Enterprise Value, Reorganized Equity Value, and Reorganized Share Price are highly dependent upon achieving the future financial results set forth in the pro forma and prospective Financial Information as well as the realization of certain other assumptions which are not guaranteed, including assumptions regarding the value of unliquidated Claims and estimates presented herein regarding tax and cash assets as well as net debt and other liabilities. Because such estimates are inherently subject to uncertainties, neither the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates, Blackstone, nor any other person assumes responsibility for their accuracy.

The Parent Common Stock of Grace is traded on the New York Stock Exchange (TICKER: GRA). The estimates of the range of Reorganized Share Price do not purport to be estimates of the pre- or post-reorganization trading value of the Parent Common Stock and the estimates of the Reorganized Share Price may not correlate with actual trading prices on the New York Stock Exchange. The estimated values set forth herein do not necessarily reflect values that could be attainable in public or private markets and do not consider market conditions, market trading characteristics, restrictions on stock acquisitions currently in effect by order of the Bankruptcy Court (which will terminate on the Effective Date), or perceptions in public or private markets about the Reorganized Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates or the value of the Parent Common Stock. The trading value of the Parent Common Stock may be materially different from the estimates set forth in this Estimated Value of the Reorganized Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates.

3. THE CHAPTER 11 FILINGS AND RELATED CANADIAN PROCEEDINGS

3.1 Overview of Chapter 11

Chapter 11 is the principal business reorganization chapter of the Bankruptcy Code. Chapter 11 authorizes a debtor to reorganize its business for its benefit and its stakeholders' benefit, whether those stakeholders are its creditors or equity interest holders. In addition to permitting a debtor to rehabilitate itself, chapter 11 also requires that any distributions to stakeholders ensure equality of treatment for similarly situated creditors and similarly situated equity interest holders.

Commencing a chapter 11 case creates an estate that comprises all of the legal and equitable interests of the debtor as of the filing date. The Bankruptcy Code provides that the debtor may continue to operate its business and remain in possession of its property as a "debtor in possession."

The principal objective of a chapter 11 case is to consummate a plan of reorganization. A plan of reorganization sets forth the means for satisfying claims against and equity interests in a debtor. Confirmation of a plan of reorganization by a bankruptcy court binds a debtor, any issuer of securities thereunder, any person acquiring property under the plan, and any creditor or equity interest holder of that debtor to the terms of the plan. Subject to certain limited exceptions, the confirmation order discharges a debtor from any debt that arose prior to the date of confirmation of the plan, and substitutes therefore the obligations specified in the confirmed plan.

3.2 Significant Events During the Course of the Chapter 11 Cases

Many pleadings have been filed with the Bankruptcy Court and District Court during the course of the Chapter 11 Cases, and many hearings have been conducted in connection with those pleadings. In order to obtain a comprehensive listing of the pleadings and events that have been filed in the Chapter 11 Cases, the docket for each case should be consulted. The relevant pleadings referenced below may be obtained and reviewed from the Bankruptcy Court or District Court, as applicable. The following is a general description of the more significant pleadings that have been filed during the Chapter 11 Cases which have not been previously discussed in Article 2 above. All docket numbers refer to Case No. 01-1139 unless otherwise stated.

3.2.1 First Day Motions and Further Developments in the Chapter 11 Cases

3.2.1.1 Retention and Employment of Professionals by the Debtors

The Bankruptcy Court approved the Debtors' request to retain certain professionals to represent them and assist them in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases on May 3, 2001. These professionals include: (a) Kirkland & Ellis LLP and Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl, Young, Jones & Weintraub, P.C. (now known as Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP) as bankruptcy counsel (Docket Nos. 180 and 181, respectively); (b) Blackstone as Financial Advisors (Docket No. 182); (c) Kekst & Company Incorporated as public relations consultant (Docket No. 183); (d) R.R. Donnelley & Sons Company as the notice agent (Docket No. 15); and (e) Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz as special corporate counsel (Docket No. 184). The Debtors were also authorized

to retain and employ and compensate certain Professionals utilized in the ordinary course of the Debtors' businesses (Docket No. 197).

In addition to the first day retention motions, the Debtors have also employed several other professionals during the course of these Chapter 11 Cases, the most significant of which are (a) Rust Consulting, Inc. as official claims agent (Docket No. 1097); (b) BMC Group, Inc. (f/k/a Bankruptcy Management Corporation) as claims reconciliation and solicitation consultant (Docket No. 2021); (c) Reed Smith LLP as special asbestos product liability defense counsel (Docket No. 707); and (d) Deloitte and Touche LLP, and certain related affiliates which have been employed to serve as customs services providers, tax service providers, compensation advisors, and providers of certain due diligence services, certain enterprise risk management services, and crisis management planning services (Docket Nos. 3932, 6462, 7253, 17427, 17882).

3.2.1.2 Financing and Critical Trade Motions

The Debtors filed their "Emergency Motion for Interim and Final Orders under 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 362, 363 and 364 Approving Post-Petition Financing and Related Relief and Setting Final Hearing Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 4001(c)" (the "Financing Motion") (Docket No. 26) on the Petition Date whereby the Debtors sought authority to enter into a post-petition credit agreement. The Bankruptcy Court granted the emergency relief and subsequently, on May 3, 2001, granted final relief in connection with the Financing Motion (Docket No. 194). Pursuant to the loan agreements, the Debtors had available up to \$250 million. The Debtors previously requested and were granted five extensions on their post-petition financing. On March 17, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court extended the Debtors' post-petition credit facility in the amount of \$165 million, with the right to receive additional financing for an additional amount up to \$85 million if under acceptable financial terms, until the earlier of (a) April 1, 2010 or (b) the Debtors' emergence from bankruptcy (Docket No. 18315).

As part of the first day motions, the Debtors also were granted authority to pay in the ordinary course of business the pre-petition claims of essential trade creditors up to the sum of \$4.5 million (Docket No. 195).

3.2.1.3 Operational Motions

As part of the first day motions, the Debtors were granted authority to (a) pay certain prepetition obligations, including certain sales, use and franchise taxes, as well as charges relating to shipping and most employee benefits and (b) maintain their existing bank accounts, business forms, cash management systems, and intercompany agreements (Docket Nos. 18 and 21 respectively). Additionally, the Debtors sought, and the Bankruptcy Court granted, authority to honor certain pre-petition obligations to customers and otherwise continue in the ordinary course of business certain customer programs and practices (Docket No. 19).

3.2.2 Motions to Assume Pre-petition Executory Contracts and Leases

On April 3, 2001, the Debtors moved the Bankruptcy Court for authority to assume the existing employment contracts with the following key employees: (a) Paul J. Norris (Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer), (b) David B. Siegel (Senior Vice President and General

Counsel), (c) Robert M. Tarola (Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer), (d) William M. Corcoran (Vice President, Public and Regulatory Affairs), (e) Wayne T. Smith (Vice President and General Manager of Grace Performance Chemicals), (f) Ann E. MacDonald (Sales and Marketing Manager), and (g) Johnny P. Forehand, Jr. (Vice President, Operations). The Bankruptcy Court granted the Debtors' motion on June 22, 2001 (Docket No. 560).²⁴²⁵

The Debtors have also periodically sought and received authority from the Bankruptcy Court to assume and/or assign certain leases.

3.2.3 Appointment of Official Committees of Creditors, the Official Equity Committee and the Future Claims Representative

3.2.3.1 Official Committees of Creditors

3.2.3.1.1 Unsecured Creditors' Committee

The Unsecured Creditors' Committee was formed on April 13, 2001 when the United States Trustee issued and filed an amended notice of its appointment (Docket No. 94). Subsequently, the Unsecured Creditors' Committee received Bankruptcy Court approval to employ (a) Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP, as counsel (Docket No. 340); (b) Duane, Morris & Heckscher LLP n/k/a Duane Morris LLP, as local counsel (Docket No. 550); (c) FTI Policano Manzo, as financial advisors (Docket No. 549); and (d) Capstone Corporate Recovery, LLC to replace FTI Policano Manzo as financial advisors except with respect to tax related services (Docket No. 5758). Thereafter, Navigant Consulting, Inc. f/k/a Chambers Associates was employed as the asbestos issues expert for the Unsecured Creditors' Committee.

As originally appointed, the Unsecured Creditors' Committee was comprised of nine members: (i) J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.; (ii) Wachovia Bank NA; (iii) Bank of America, N.A.; (iv) First Union National Bank; (v) ABN Amro Bank N.V.; (vi) The Bank of New York, as indenture trustee; (vii) Bankers Trust Co, as indenture trustee; (viii) Sealed Air Corporation; and (ix) Zhagrus Environmental Inc. During the course of the chapter 11 cases, certain members of the Unsecured Creditors' Committee resigned, one new member was appointed and subsequently resigned and certain members merged. The Committee currently has three members: (i) J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.; (ii) Wachovia Bank, NA; and (iii) Sealed Air Corporation.

3.2.3.1.2 Asbestos PI Committee

The Asbestos PI Committee was formed on April 13, 2001 when the United States Trustee issued and filed a notice of its appointment (Docket No. 95). Subsequently, the Asbestos PI Committee sought and received Bankruptcy Court approval to employ (a) Legal Analysis Systems, Inc., as consultants (Docket No. 206); (b) Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered, as counsel (Docket No. 208); (c) L. Tersigni Consulting, P.C., as financial advisors (Docket No. 209); (d)

52

²⁴²⁵ On April 24, 2005, the Bankruptcy Court approved the Debtors' entry into a post-retirement consulting agreement with Paul J. Norris who retired as the Debtors' CEO and also approved a Revised CEO Agreement with Alfred E. Festa who replaced Mr. Norris (Docket No. 8340). Additionally, on June 27, 2005, the Bankruptcy Court approved the Debtors' entry into a post-retirement consulting agreement with David Siegel, the Debtors' former general counsel and Chief Restructuring Officer (Docket No. 8716).

Ashby & Geddes, P.A., as local counsel for the period from April 12, 2001 through June 15, 2001 (Docket No. 697); and (e) Campbell & Levine, LLC, as local counsel beginning June 16, 2001 (Docket No. 698).

The Asbestos PI Committee has sought and received Bankruptcy Court approval to employ additional professionals including: (a) Anderson Kill & Olick, P.C. as special insurance counsel (Docket No. 8612) and (b) Charter Oak Financial Consultants, LLC, as Financial Advisor to replace L. Tersigni Consulting (Docket No. 16517).

3.2.3.1.3 Asbestos PD Committee

The Asbestos PD Committee was formed on May 11, 2001 when the United States Trustee issued and filed an amended notice of its appointment (Docket No. 252). Subsequently, the Asbestos PD Committee sought and received Bankruptcy Court approval to employ: (a) Bilzin Sumberg Dunn Baena Price & Axelrod LLP (now known as Bilzin Sumberg Baena Price & Axelrod LLP), as counsel (Docket No. 551); (b) Ferry & Joseph, P.A., as local counsel (Docket No. 553); (c) Conway, Del Genio, Gries & Co., as financial advisor and investment banker (Docket No. 1027); (d) Hamilton, Rabinovitz & Aschuler, Inc. (Docket No. 1735) and W.D. Hilton, Jr. (Docket No. 1736), as consultants; and (e) LECG, LLC as consultants (Docket No. 8416).

3.2.3.2 Official Equity Committee

The Equity Committee was formed on June 18, 2001 when the United States Trustee issued and filed a notice of its appointment (Docket No. 532). The Equity Committee sought and received Bankruptcy Court approval to employ: (a) Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP, as counsel (Docket No. 985); (b) Klett Rooney Lieber & Schorling, P.C. (now known as Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC), as co-counsel (Docket Nos. 1275 and 13288); and (c) Lexecon LLC as asbestos claims consultant (Docket No. 16653).

3.2.3.3 Representative for Future Asbestos PI Claimants

On May 24, 2004, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order appointing David T. Austern as the legal representative for the future asbestos personal injury claimants (the Asbestos PI FCR) (Docket No. 5645). The Asbestos PI FCR sought and received Bankruptcy Court approval to employ: (a) CIBC World Markets Corp. ("CIBC") as financial advisor (Docket No. 6479), and (b) Swidler Berlin LLP ("Swidler") as bankruptcy counsel (Docket No. 6480). Thereafter, the attorneys at Swidler responsible for representing the Asbestos PI FCR joined Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP ("Orrick") in 2006 and the Asbestos PI FCR sought and received leave from the Bankruptcy Court to employ Orrick (Docket No. 12379). The financial advisors employed by CIBC to work with the Asbestos PI FCR joined Piper Jaffray & Co. ("PJC") in 2006 and the Asbestos PI FCR sought and received Bankruptcy Court approval to employ PJC (Docket No. 11919). Joseph Radecki, Jr., the primary advisor to the Asbestos PI FCR, subsequently left PJC and formed Tre Angeli LLC ("Tre Angeli"). The Asbestos PI FCR then sought Bankruptcy Court approval to employ Tre Angeli to work with PJC and sought approval to modify the PJC retention agreement to reflect this new arrangement. This new retention arrangement was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on July 29, 2008 (Docket No. 19189).

3.2.3.4 Representative for Future Asbestos PD Claimants

On October 21, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order appointing Judge Alexander M. Sanders, Jr. as the legal representative for the future asbestos property damage claimants (the Asbestos PD FCR) (Docket No. 19818). The Asbestos PD FCR sought and received Bankruptcy Court approval to employ: (a) Alan B. Rich as counsel (Docket No. 20067), and (b) Karl Hill as Delaware counsel (Docket No. 20573).

3.2.4 Section 341(a) Meeting of Creditors

On May 18, 2001, the United States Trustee's office conducted the meeting of creditors required by Bankruptcy Code § 341(a). Representatives of the Debtors, as well as the Debtors' counsel, appeared at the section 341(a) meeting and responded to inquiries from the United States Trustee and creditors.

3.2.5 Selected Adversary Proceedings

3.2.5.1 Stay of Asbestos-Related Litigation Against Various Affiliates

Contemporaneously with the filings of their chapter 11 petitions, the Debtors filed the adversary proceeding entitled W. R. Grace & Co., et al. v. Margaret Chakarian, et al. and John Does 1-1000 (Adv. Pro. No. 01-771). A temporary restraining order restraining certain actions against non-debtor third parties was initially entered on April 2, 2001 and on May 3, 2001, the Bankruptcy Court issued a preliminary injunction. On January 22, 2002, the Bankruptcy Court entered an "Order Granting Modified Preliminary Injunction" (Adv. Pro. No. 01-771, Docket No. 87) which, among other things, enjoined the commencement or continuation of certain actions against non-debtor third-parties, including actions: (a) that arise from alleged exposure to asbestos, indirectly or directly, allegedly caused by the Debtors, against (1) Fresenius, (2) Sealed Air, (3) Merrill Lynch, (4) Credit Suisse First Boston Corporation, (5) Robinson Insulation, Co., (6) certain of the Debtors' insurance carriers, including Maryland Casualty Company, Continental Casualty Company and their affiliates, (7) Affiliates of the Debtors that are not filing entities for purposes of the Chapter 11 Cases, and (8) present and former officers, directors and employees of the Debtors; (b) for which there may be coverage under certain of the Debtors' insurance policies; (c) brought against certain of the Debtors' insurance carriers, which allege coverage for asbestos-related liabilities; and (d) against current and former officers, directors or employees of the Debtors that arise out of such officers', directors' and employees' employment or relationship with the Debtors (the "Preliminary Injunction").

On February 4, 2002, certain claimants who have identified themselves as claimants injured by exposure to asbestos from the Debtors' operations in Lincoln County, Montana (the "the Libby Claimants"), filed a motion to clarify the scope of the Preliminary Injunction or to modify the Preliminary Injunction (Adv. Pro. No. 01-771, Docket No. 86). Specifically, the Libby Claimants sought entry of an order stating that, to the extent their asserted causes of action against Maryland Casualty Company ("MCC"), one of the Debtors' insurers, were based upon MCC's own, independent tortious conduct, the Preliminary Injunction did not stay such litigation. On June 20, 2002, the Bankruptcy Court denied the motion for clarification (Adv. Pro. No. 01-771, Docket No. 109). The Libby Claimants appealed the Bankruptcy Court's June 20,

2002 order and also appealed the Bankruptcy Court's September 12, 2002 order denying the Libby Claimants' motion to reconsider the June 20, 2002 order. On July 16, 2003, the District Court vacated the Bankruptcy Court's June 20, 2002 order and remanded the matter to the Bankruptcy Court for further proceedings (Delaware District Court Case No. 02-1549). MCC appealed the District Court's decision, and, on October 28, 2004, the Third Circuit Court of Appeals vacated the District Court's order and affirmed the Bankruptcy Court's decision that the Preliminary Injunction stayed the litigation with respect to MCC (Third Circuit Case No. 03-3453). As a result, the Libby Claimants' lawsuits against MCC are presently stayed pursuant to the Preliminary Injunction. On October 7, 2004, the Bankruptcy Court expanded the scope of the Preliminary Injunction to also enjoin actions being brought by the Libby Claimants against Montana Vermiculite Company.

In addition, the following actions were also enjoined by the Preliminary Injunction: (a) *TIG Ins. Co. v. Smolker*, (Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC 173952), and all related appeals, (b) *Ellison v. FPC Disposal, Inc.* (Okla. Dist. Ct., Canadian County, Case No. CJ-99-151-01) as to Samson Investment Company, Samson Hydrocarbons Co., and its subsidiaries and affiliates, (c) *Exxon Corp. v. Samson Hydrocarbons* (District Court of Creek County Case No. CJ-99-390), and (d) *Youpee v. Samson Hydrocarbons Co.* (District Court of Montana Case No. CV-98-108-BLD-JDS), which has been conditionally settled in the Montana federal district court.

One limited exception to the Preliminary Injunction relates to the action entitled *Robert Locke v. W. R. Grace and Robert Bettacchi* (Mass. Sup. Ct., Middlesex County, Case No. 99-2530) (the "Locke Action"). Pursuant to a stipulation, the parties to the Locke Action were allowed to complete discovery, prosecute and defend a summary judgment motion, and take such actions as are necessary or appropriate to exercise their rights of appeal, if any, with respect to the summary judgment motion, until such rights have been exhausted. Subsequently, the state court granted summary judgment in the Debtors' favor on some, but not all of the counts of the complaint in the Locke Action. The remainder of the Locke Action is stayed pursuant to the automatic stay and the Preliminary Injunction.

On June 9, 2005, the State of Montana ("Montana") filed a motion for relief from the automatic stay in the Chapter 11 Cases. In its motion, Montana sought leave to join the Debtors as third-party defendants in approximately 120 cases brought by the Libby Claimants against Montana in its various state courts (the "State Court Actions"). Montana alleges that it was named as a defendant in the State Court Actions for claims arising from the Debtors' mining and processing of asbestos-containing vermiculite within the state. Montana alleges that it is necessary to join the Debtors in the State Court Actions because, to the extent that liability is imposed on Montana as a result of the State Court Actions, such liability could only be remote and derivative from, or concurrent with, the acts and liabilities of the Debtors. Montana asserts that it will seek indemnification from the Debtors to the extent judgments are entered against it in the State Court Actions for any sums that may be adjudged by the Libby Claimants against it and, to the extent Montana is found liable for damages, it will seek contribution from the Debtors and apportionment of liability on the basis of their comparative fault.

The Debtors and each of the official committees in the Chapter 11 Cases filed an opposition to Montana's motion for relief from stay. In addition, on August 22, 2005, the

Debtors filed a motion in their Chapter 11 Cases to expand their Preliminary Injunction to include the State Court Actions. The Debtors also filed a motion seeking leave to amend its Adversary Complaint (Adv. Pro. No. 01-771) to include Montana in the definition of Affiliated Entities protected by the Preliminary Injunction and to expand the defendants named in the Adversary Complaint to include the Libby Claimants who were plaintiffs in the State Court Actions. On April 16, 2007, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order denying the Debtors' request to expand the Preliminary Injunction to enjoin actions being brought against Montana. On April 26, 2007, the Debtors and Montana filed motions to alter and amend or reconsider this order, arguing that it was contrary to the precedent from the Third Circuit discussed above. On March 31, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court denied the motions to reconsider its April 16, 2007 denial of the expansion of the Preliminary Injunction to enjoin actions being brought against Montana. The Debtors sought leave to appeal the decision to the District Court (District Court of Delaware Case No. 08-246). On March 27, 2008, the District Court entered an order permitting leave to appeal and affirming the Bankruptcy Court's denial of the expansion of the preliminary injunction (Docket No. 484). The Debtors and Montana thereafter filed Notices of Appeal with the Third Circuit Court of Appeals. Montana also filed a Motion with the District Court, in which the Debtors joined, requesting a stay pending appeal. On October 28, 2008, the District Court denied the request for a stay pending appeal. Montana subsequently filed a motion with the Third Circuit requesting a stay pending appeal. This request, in which the Debtors joined, was denied by the Third Circuit. The Third Circuit has not yet set a briefing schedule on the appeal.

The Debtors were also notified by Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad ("BNSF"), MCC, Royal Insurance Company ("Royal") and Continental Casualty Company ("Continental") that hundreds of Libby Claimants were pursuing numerous complaints against BNSF with respect to alleged asbestos-related injuries they sustained while working for BNSF or as a result of their residing in and around the Libby, Montana area. BNSF notified the Debtors that they believe that the Debtors are liable to BNSF for both common law and contractual indemnities arising from these lawsuits on the grounds that they relate to exposure to the Debtors' vermiculite and vermiculite-containing products that may have been transported by BNSF or placed on BNSF property by the Debtors. The Debtors' insurance carriers notified the Debtors that they believe the Debtors are liable to them under contractual indemnities for any claims that the plaintiffs or BNSF may assert against them relating to these lawsuits. On February 15, 2007, BNSF filed a motion for clarification of the scope of the Preliminary Injunction. As a result, on March 26, 2007 the Debtors filed a motion to expand the Preliminary Injunction to enjoin the Libby Claimants from proceeding with their suits against BNSF. The Debtors also filed a motion seeking leave to amend their Adversary Complaint (Adv. Pro. No. 01-771) to include BNSF in the definition of Affiliated Entities protected by the Preliminary Injunction and to expand the defendants named in the Complaint to include additional Libby Claimants. The Bankruptcy Court entered a temporary stay as to the State Court Actions against BNSF and on April 14, 2008 entered an order expanding the scope of the Preliminary Injunction to also enjoin actions being brought against BNSF. The Preliminary Injunction has no expiration date. On April 24, 2008, the Libby Plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal to the District Court and motion for leave to appeal The motion for leave is fully briefed and remains pending. The appeal has not been briefed.

3.2.5.2 Indirect PI Trust Claims

Pursuant to the Plan, certain Claims defined as Indirect PI Trust Claims are included in the definition of Asbestos PI Claims and consequently receive the same treatment as Asbestos PI Claims, including being discharged with respect to the Debtors, channeled to the Asbestos PI Trust and subject to the Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction (which, among other things, enjoins the assertion of Asbestos PI Claims, including Indirect PI Trust Claims, against any of the Asbestos Protected Parties including without limitation the Settled Asbestos Insurance Companies) effective as of the Effective Date. Indirect PI Trust Claims include claims for (a) reimbursement, indemnification, subrogation or contribution on account of damages a Claimant has paid or may pay to plaintiffs in cases against such Claimant on account of claims that would constitute Asbestos PI Claims against the Debtors; and (b) Claims asserted against the Debtors by Claimants seeking reimbursement, indemnification, subrogation, or contribution from the Debtors with respect to any insurance settlement agreement, surety bond, letter of credit or other financial assurance issued by, or entered into, any Entity on account of or with respect to an Asbestos PI Claim. Claims in the first category include claims asserted against the Debtors by Claimants such as MCC, Montana, Continental and other similarly situated parties as well as any claims that may be asserted against the Debtors or the Reorganized Debtors by BNSF and The Scotts Company LLC ("Scotts") (as discussed below) for reimbursement, indemnification, subrogation or contribution on account of damages such Claimants have paid or may pay to the plaintiffs such as the Libby Claimants (discussed above), for death, bodily injury, sickness, disease, or other personal injuries to the extent caused or allegedly caused by exposure to asbestos or asbestos-containing products for which the Debtors have liability. Claims in the second category include Claims asserted against the Debtors by (a) Royal, Continental, MCC and other Settled Asbestos Insurance Companies arising out of insurance settlement agreements, (b) TempoLongacre Master Fund L.P. and Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Ltd. as successor to the Bank of America, N.A. (collectively "Tempo"), relating to letters of credit which have been drawn to reimburse National Union Fire Insurance Company and its affiliates ("National Union") for payments made on an Asbestos PI Settlement and (bc) the contingent claims of Fireman's Fund and Wachovia Bank, NA related to the Edwards judgment currently stayed on appeal (see footnote 1516 herein).

Pursuant to Section 5.6 of the Trust Distribution Procedures Asbestos PI TDP, Indirect PI Trust Claims shall be treated as presumptively valid and paid by the Asbestos PI Trust, subject to the applicable Payment Percentage, if the following conditions are met: (a) the claim has not been disallowed; and (b) the holder of such Claim (the "Indirect Claimant") establishes that (i) the Indirect Claimant has paid in full the liability and obligation of the Asbestos PI Trust to the individual claimant to whom the Asbestos PI Trust would otherwise have had a liability or obligation under the TDP (the "Direct Claimant"), (ii) the Direct Claimant and the Indirect Claimant have forever and fully released the Asbestos PI Trust from all liability to the Direct Claimant, and (iii) the claim is not otherwise barred by a statute of limitation or repose or by other applicable law.

To establish a presumptively valid Indirect PI Trust Claim, the Indirect Claimant's aggregate liability for the Direct Claimant's claim must have been fixed, liquidated and paid fully by the Indirect Claimant by settlement (with an appropriate full release in favor of the

Asbestos PI Trust) or a Final Order provided that such claim is valid under the applicable state law.

If an Indirect Claimant cannot meet the presumptive requirements set forth above, including the requirement that the Indirect Claimant provide the Asbestos PI Trust with a full release of the Direct Claimant's claim, the Indirect Claimant may request that the Asbestos PI Trust review the Indirect PI Trust Claim individually to determine whether the Indirect Claimant can establish under applicable state law that the Indirect Claimant has paid all or a portion of a liability or obligation of the Indirect Claimant the Asbestos PI Trust <a href="mailto:respecting an Asbestos PI Trust shall reimburse the Indirect Claimant the amount of the liability or obligation so paid, times the then applicable Payment Percentage. However, in no event shall such reimbursement to the Indirect Claimant be greater than the amount to which the underlying Asbestos PI Trust Claimant would have otherwise been entitled. Further, the liquidated value of any Indirect PI Trust Claim paid by the Asbestos PI Trust to an Indirect Claimant shall be treated as an offset to or reduction of the full liquidated value of any <a href="mailto:underlying-Asbestos PI Trust Claim that might be underlying Asbestos PI Trust Claim that might be subsequently asserted by the Direct Claimant against the Asbestos PI Trust.

Any dispute between the Asbestos PI Trust and an Indirect Claimant over whether the Indirect Claimant has a right to reimbursement for any amount paid to a Direct Claimant shall be subject to the ADR Procedures provided in Section 5.10 of the Trust Distribution Procedures Asbestos PI TDP. If such dispute is not resolved by said ADR Procedures, the Indirect Claimant may litigate the dispute in the tort system pursuant to Sections 5.11 and 7.6 of the Trust Distribution Procedures Asbestos PI TDP.

The Asbestos PI Trust—Trustees may develop and approve a separate proof of e<u>C</u>laim form for Indirect PI Trust Claims. Indirect PI Trust Claims that have not been disallowed, discharged, or otherwise resolved by prior order of the Bankruptcy Court shall be processed in accordance with procedures to be developed and implemented by the Trustees consistent with the provisions of Section 5.6 of the <u>Trust Distribution Procedures Asbestos PI TDP</u>, which procedures (a) shall determine the validity, <u>acceptability</u> and enforceability of such claims; and (b) shall otherwise provide the same liquidation and payment procedures and rights to the Holders of such claims as the Asbestos PI Trust would have afforded the Holders of the underlying valid Asbestos PI Trust Claims. <u>OneBeacon and Seaton contend that the Asbestos PI TDP does not provide an adequate mechanism for the resolution of, and payment of, their contractual indemnity claims against the Debtors. The Plan Proponents dispute this contention and assert that Sections 5.12 and 5.13 of the Asbestos PI TDP do provide such an adequate mechanism.</u>

Indirect PI Trust Claims that are Pre-Petition Liquidated Claims (as defined in the Trust Distribution Procedures Asbestos PI TDP) are not subject to the above-referenced conditions of Section 5.6 of the Trust Distribution Procedures Asbestos PI TDP and will receive the same treatment as other Pre-Petition Liquidated Claims as outlined in Section 5.2 of the Trust Distribution Procedures Asbestos PI TDP.

Any claim of Scotts, BNSF and any other Entity (individually, an "Insurance-Related Claimant") against any Settled Asbestos Insurance Company seeking insurance coverage under an Asbestos Insurance Policy which is the subject of an Asbestos Insurance Settlement Agreement (individually, an "Insurance-Related TDP Claim") that is channeled to the Asbestos PI Trust shall be reviewed, processed and if entitled to payment, paid by the Asbestos PI Trust in accordance with Section 5.12 of the Asbestos PI TDP.

Any claim of a Settled Asbestos Insurance Company seeking indemnification based upon or arising out of an Asbestos PI Claim pursuant to an indemnification obligation of Grace set out in an Asbestos Insurance Settlement Agreement (individually, an "Indemnified Insurer TDP Claim") that is channeled to the Asbestos PI Trust shall be reviewed, processed and if entitled to payment, paid by the Asbestos PI Trust in accordance with Section 5.13 of the Asbestos PI TDP.

On the Effective Date, all Claims against the Debtors shall be discharged and all Asbestos PI Claims, including Indirect PI Trust Claims, will be channeled to the Asbestos PI Trust. The Preliminary Injunction outlined above will be dissolved but it shall be replaced by a permanent injunction under sections 524(a) and 1141 of the Bankruptcy Code against the commencement or continuation of an action, the employment of process or an act to collect, recover or offset any such debt as a personal liability of the Debtors (see Section 8.1.1 of the Plan). Likewise, pursuant to the Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction, the sole recourse of the Holder of an Asbestos PI Claim shall be to the Asbestos PI Trust and such Holder shall have no right whatsoever to assert its Asbestos PI Claim against the Debtors, Reorganized Debtors or any other Asbestos Protected Party or any property interest of the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors or any other Asbestos Protected Party (see Section 8.2.1 of the Plan). Thus, the Libby Claimants will be prohibited from pursuing their Asbestos PI Claims against the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors and the Asbestos Protected Parties. The Plan will not prohibit the Libby Claimants from proceeding with their State Court Actions against third parties who are not Asbestos Protected Parties such as Montana and BNSF. However, any claims that those third parties may have against the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors arising out of the State Court Actions, other than any claims involving or relating to the independent liability of those third parties, will constitute Indirect PI Trust Claims as outlined above.

Certain potential Holders of Indirect PI Trust Claims such as Montana, BNSF, Scotts and Tempo have asserted that they believe their Claims against the Debtors for contribution and indemnification are not properly classified as Indirect PI Trust Claims and such Claims should be treated as Class 9 General Unsecured Claims or, in the case of Scotts, Montana and BNSF, as either post-petition or post-confirmation claims. Royal contends that its claims may include claims that should be separately classified from Indirect PI Trust Claims and contends that such claims should be given treatment at least as favorable as Class 9 General Unsecured Claims. OneBeacon America Insurance Company, Seaton Insurance Company and various other parties contend that their respective claims should be classified, either in whole or in part, as Class 9 General Unsecured Claims, as opposed to Class 6 Indirect PI Trust Claims. In the event that such Holders file the appropriate Voting Motion or as otherwise ordered by the Bankruptcy Court, the Debtors shall report to the Bankruptcy Court in connection with the Confirmation Hearing the tabulation of votes for all Claims using both (a) the classification of these Claims as

Class 6 Asbestos PI Claims as outlined in the Plan and (b) the classification of these Claims as requested in the Voting Motions.

Further, under the Plan, the Debtors and the Insurance Contributors are transferring all Asbestos Insurance Rights to the Asbestos PI Trust. However, the Debtors are not transferring any alleged rights of other parties, such as BNSF which has claimed that the Debtors obtained separate insurance for BNSF and/or named BNSF as an additional insured under the Debtors' policies which may provide BNSF coverage for the Claims being asserted against BNSF by the Libby Claimants as outlined above. Notwithstanding the aforementioned transfer and non-transfer of rights, BNSF will be prohibited by the Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction and/or the Asbestos Insurance Entity Injunction from pursuing any existing rights it may have to coverage for Asbestos PI Claims under the Asbestos Insurance Policies against any Asbestos Insurance Entity.

A few creditors have objected to the Voting Procedures based upon the designation of the value of Indirect Asbestos PI Claims at \$1.00. The Debtors believe that this aspect of the Voting Procedures is consistent with what the Bankruptcy Court has approved previously in other asbestos-related chapter 11 cases for similar contingent and unliquidated claims. In addition, the nominal valuation ascribed to Indirect Trust Claims for voting purposes is appropriate and is consistent with the typical treatment of such contingent and unliquidated claims under the Bankruptcy Code in non-asbestos cases. Lastly, the Debtors are aware of no other reliable method of valuing these claims. To the extent that a Class 6 Indirect PI Trust Claimant disagrees with the \$1.00 valuation of its claim for voting purposes only, that Claimant may file a Rule 3018 motion in accordance with the Voting Procedures. Further, in the event that the Claim of a Holder of an Indirect PI Trust Claim has in fact been liquidated and allowed, the Holder Shall be entitled to vote the allowed amount of such Claim.

3.2.5.3 Indirect PD Trust Claims

Pursuant to the Plan, any Claims asserted against the Debtors for reimbursement, indemnification, subrogation or contribution on account of damages such Claimants have paid or may pay to the plaintiffs for an Asbestos PD Claim or any Claim with respect to any insurance settlement agreement, surety bond, letter of credit or other financial assurance issued by, or entered into, any Entity on account of, or with respect to, an Asbestos PD Claim are Indirect PD Trust Claims. These Claims include the contingent claim of St. Paul Companies related to an appeal bond posted for the *Solow* Asbestos PD Claim currently stayed on appeal(*see* footnote 1718 herein.)

Pursuant to the Plan, Allowed Asbestos PD Claims, including Allowed Indirect PD Trust Claims, shall be paid in full, in Cash, by the Asbestos PD Trust pursuant to the terms of the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement. The Plan also provides that, in connection with confirmation, the Bankruptcy Court shall enter the PDClass 7A Case Management Order setting forth procedures for determining the allowance or disallowance of Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims.

On the Effective Date, all Claims against the Debtors shall be discharged and all Asbestos PD Claims, including Indirect PD Trust Claims, will be channeled to the Asbestos PD Trust. A permanent injunction under sections 524(a) and 1141 of the Bankruptcy Code shall

prohibit the commencement or continuation of an action, the employment of process or an act to collect, recover or offset any such debt as a personal liability of the Debtor (*see* Section 8.1.3 of the Plan). Likewise, pursuant to the Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction, the sole recourse of the Holder of an Asbestos PD Claim shall be to the Asbestos PD Trust and such Holder shall have no right whatsoever to assert its Asbestos PD Claim against the Debtors, Reorganized Debtors or any other Asbestos Protected Party or any property interest of the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors or any other Asbestos Protected Party.

3.2.5.4 Enjoining Bond Payments by National Union

The Debtors filed an adversary proceeding entitled *W. R. Grace & Co.-Conn. v. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, P.A., et al.* (Adv. Pro. No. 02-01657), on January 18, 2002, whereby they sought to enjoin National Union Fire Insurance Company ("National Union"), the issuer of surety bonds to the Debtors, from making any payment under the bonds in connection with two settlement protocols relating to certain asbestos personal injury litigation. On May 13, 2002, the Bankruptcy Court approved an interim settlement agreement, under which: (a) payment under one of the bonds was permanently enjoined, (b) a single payment of \$9,729,720.00 was authorized under the second bond, and (c) a letter of credit posted by Bank of America was permitted to be drawn on by National Union in that same amount, which letter of credit was obtained by the Debtors to secure the bonds. The Bankruptcy Court retained jurisdiction concerning the remainder of this dispute. National Union then paid \$9,729,720.00, and it drew that same amount from the Bank of America letter of credit.

After further litigation, on December 4, 2007, the Court entered an order approving a settlement agreement among the parties whereby (a) National Union, under its surety obligation, agreed to pay a portion of the remaining payment under the settlement protocols on certain of the settled Asbestos PI Claims in the total amount of \$15,350,000; (b) upon payment of the settlement amount by National Union, the surety bonds were cancelled and the adversary proceeding was dismissed; (c) in order to partially fund the payment of the settlement, National Union was permitted to draw down the remaining amount of \$733,935 on the letter of credit posted to partially secure the bond and to draw on a \$6 million Bank of America letter of credit which had been posted for an unrelated obligation; (d) National Union's remaining claim under the bond for the settlement payment, fees and surety premiums was allowed as an unsecured Claim in the approximate amount of \$9 million; and (e) the claims of the settled Asbestos PI Claimants were disallowed and expunged.

The Claims of National Union with respect to the surety bonds (which are now owned by Longacre Master Fund Ltd.) and the Claims of Bank of America, N.A. with respect to the letters of credit (which are now owned by Morgan Stanley Senior Funding and Tempo Master Fund LP) are Indirect Asbestos PI Trust Claims as discussed in Section 3.2.5.2 above.

3.2.6 Extension of Exclusivity Period and Termination of Exclusivity Period

Through the course of the Chapter 11 Cases, the Bankruptcy Court extended the Debtors' exclusive right to file a chapter 11 plan nine separate times. On September 11, 2006, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order extending the Debtors' exclusivity through the anticipated end of the estimation process, and specifically to the July 2007 omnibus hearing. Counsel for the

asbestos committees and for the Asbestos PI FCR filed a Notice of Appeal in the District Court (District Court of Delaware Case No. 06-689). On January 23, 2007, District Judge Buckwalter issued a two page Memorandum & Order affirming Judge Fitzgerald's decision extending exclusivity. The asbestos committees and the Asbestos PI FCR then appealed Judge Buckwalter's decision to the Third Circuit Court of Appeals (Third Circuit Case No. 07-1344 and 1378).

While the Third Circuit appeal was pending, on June 18, 2007, the Debtors moved for another extension of exclusivity. On July 26, 2007, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order denying the Debtors' request and terminating exclusivity. As a result, the asbestos committees and the Asbestos PI FCR dismissed their appeal with the Third Circuit. On November 5, 2007, the Asbestos PI FCR and Asbestos PI Committee filed their own chapter 11 plan premised upon a finding that the Debtors' liability for asbestos personal injury claims is equal to or exceeds \$4 billion, but no Disclosure Statement or other exhibits were filed in conjunction with their plan and no hearings were set with respect to it.

3.2.7 Motions to Lift the Automatic Stay

Throughout the Chapter 11 Cases, various parties have filed motions to lift the Debtors' automatic stay. The Debtors have successfully opposed efforts to modify the stay where the respective Claims would be payable out of assets that would otherwise be available for the payment of Claims. In certain situations, the Debtors have consented to modifications of the stay to (a) establish the amount of certain Claims, or (b) where the Claimants sought to proceed only against the Debtors' insurance, and, in the Debtors' estimation, any potential recovery from such insurance would not affect the amount of insurance available to pay other Claimants.

The Bankruptcy Court in the Chapter 11 Cases with the Debtors' consent lifted the automatic stay with regard to the following matters: (a) *CCHP*, *Inc. v. United States*, United States Court of Federal Claims, No. 99-158 T; (b) *Zapata v. W. R. Grace & Co.-Conn.*, S.D. Fla., Case No. 95-2420-CIV (Middlebrooks); (c) *Interfaith Community Org.*, *et al. v. Honeywell Int'l Inc.*, *Roned Realty of Jersey City, Inc.*, *W. R. Grace & Co.*, *et al.*, Case No. 95-2097 (DMC) (the "ICO Action"); and (d) *Hackensack Riverkeeper*, *Inc. v. Honeywell Int'l Inc.*, Case No. 00-1451 (JAG) (the "Riverkeeper Action"), to the extent such action does not raise new claims which have not been raised in the related ICO Action. To the extent new claims are raised, the Riverkeeper Action remains stayed. In addition, the Debtors agreed to a lifting of the stay to permit the case of *Kane v. Walt Disney World Co.*, *et al.*, Cir. Ct. Orange City., Florida, Case No. CI-00-6803, to proceed and the Debtors have agreed to non-party discovery in *City of Everett v. Barletta Engineering Corp.*, *et al.*, Massachusetts Superior Court, Middlesex Division, Case No. 00-4884, where the fourth–party defendant has asserted a potential claim against the Debtors.

The Debtors have also sought and received modification from the automatic stay so that they may commence tax court litigation to resolve certain tax claims (Docket No. 15369).

3.2.8 Certain Post-Petition Litigation Matters

3.2.8.1 Litigation Related to the Debtors' Savings and Investment Plan

In June 2004, a purported class action complaint (*Evans v. Akers et al.*) for violations of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA") was filed in Massachusetts federal district court against the Parent's Board of Directors, certain current and former officers and employees of the Debtors, and others, relating to the Debtors' 401(k) Savings and Investment Plan (the "S&I Plan"). The *Evans* complaint alleges that the decline in the price of Parent Common Stock from July 1999 through February 2004 resulted in significant losses to S&I Plan participants. The *Evans* complaint further alleges that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties under ERISA by failing to sell or take other appropriate action with regard to Parent Common Stock held by the S&I Plan during that period, and by failing to disclose to S&I Plan participants the risk of investing in Parent Common Stock. The *Evans* complaint seeks compensatory damages for the S&I Plan from the defendants. On December 6, 2006, the Massachusetts federal district court dismissed the *Evans* claims, on grounds that the *Evans* plaintiffs (former participants in the S&I Plan who had already received their benefits) lacked standing to bring suit. However, on July 18, 2008, the First Circuit Court of Appeals reversed the lower court's dismissal of their claims and remanded the case to the district court.

In October 2004, a purported class-action complaint (Bunch et al. v. W. R. Grace & Co. et al.) for breach of fiduciary duty was filed in the Eastern District of Kentucky federal district court (District Court of Kentucky Case No. 04-218), on behalf of present and former participants in the S&I Plan, against certain of the Debtors, the W. R. Grace Investment and Benefits Committee, the Parent's Board of Directors, certain current and former officers and employees of the Debtors, and others. The Bunch complaint alleges that the Debtors and their investment advisors breached fiduciary duties under ERISA by selling Parent Common Stock from the S&I Plan at a distressed price. The complaint further alleges that the Debtors breached fiduciary duties under ERISA by failing to provide adequate supervision over State Street Bank and Trust Company, the investment manager for the S&I Plan that the Debtors retained in December 2003, to determine whether to divest Parent Common Stock held by the S&I Plan. On the Debtors' motion, this case was transferred to the Massachusetts federal district court and consolidated with the Evans action described above. On January 30, 2008, the court ruled in favor of the defendants on the Bunch action, holding that State Street and the Debtors did not breach their fiduciary duties under ERISA. On February 13, 2008, the Bunch plaintiffs appealed the Massachusetts federal district court's decision to the First Circuit Court of Appeals. That appeal is pending.

In February 2008, a purported class action complaint (*Siamis v Akers et al.*) was filed in the Massachusetts federal district court by the plaintiff's counsel in the *Evans* action but with a different plaintiff. The *Siamis* complaint asserts the same claims previously asserted in the *Evans* complaint. On May 15, 2008, the Non-Debtor defendants filed a motion to dismiss the *Siamis* case on the grounds that the complaint failed to state a claim and is barred by the statute of limitations. That motion is pending in the Massachusetts federal district court. On or about August 20, 2008, the *Evans* complaint and the *Siamis* complaint were consolidated by the District Court.

The Debtors expect that they would have an obligation to indemnify the other defendants for any liability resulting from this litigation. The Debtors have \$50 million of employers' fiduciary liability insurance coverage that the Debtors believe would be available to pay liabilities arising out of these lawsuits. Since all of the Debtors' employees who had interests in the S&I Plan during the relevant periods are members of the purported class and defendants McGowan, Norris, Poling and Tarola had interests in the S&I Plan during these periods, they have interests in this litigation that may be adverse to the Debtors.

Pursuant to the Parent's Certificate of Incorporation and By-Laws, the Parent is required to indemnify its directors, officers and employees for any liability arising out of any of these lawsuits. The Parent also has certain contractual indemnity obligations that may be triggered. However, the Parent believes that the allegations in each of the lawsuits are without merit and that any liability arising therefrom would in any event be covered by its fiduciary liability insurance.

Pursuant to Section 8.8.8 of the Plan, the Debtors propose (a) to provide their current and former directors, officers, and employees who are defendants in the above-referenced S&I Plan lawsuits with a full and complete release of all liability associated with any such litigation, and (b) to indemnify such defendants for any costs and/or expenses associated with any such litigation. However, to the extent that plaintiffs in the lawsuits have valid Claims against the Debtors, their pre-petition Claims will be treated as Class 9 General Unsecured Claims and their post-petition Claims will be treated as Administrative Expense Claims under the Plan.

3.2.8.2 The Scotts Company Litigation

On September 2, 2004, Scotts, a former vermiculite customer of the Debtors, filed an adversary proceeding in the Bankruptcy Court (Adv. Pro. No. 04-55083) against the Debtors and certain of the Debtors' insurance carriers, seeking declaratory relief with respect to its alleged entitlement under the Debtors' liability insurance policies. Scotts alleged that it was defending 76 asbestos-related bodily injury cases (involving approximately 4,192 plaintiffs) that were filed against Scotts after the Petition Date. Scotts has also alleged that, to the extent that it is found liable to any of the plaintiffs in such asbestos-related bodily injury cases, it will have an indemnity and contribution Claim against the Debtors. Scotts' adversary proceeding ("Scotts' Adversary") has been temporarily stayed by the Bankruptcy Court. As of June 28, December 2008, Scotts indicated that there were 20 open cases pending against Scotts.

Pursuant to the Plan, any contribution, indemnification and/or other state law Claims asserted against the Debtors by Scotts for reimbursement, indemnification, subrogation or contribution on account of damages Scotts may have paid or may pay to plaintiffs for death, bodily injury, sickness, disease, or other personal injuries to the extent caused or allegedly caused by exposure to asbestos or asbestos-containing products for which the Debtors have liability are Indirect PI Trust Claims and will be treated as outlined in Section 3.2.5.2 above.

On the Effective Date, all Claims against the Debtors shall be discharged and all Asbestos PI Claims, including any such claims that Scotts may have against the Debtors or against any other Asbestos Protected Party (including any Settled Asbestos Insurance Company), will be enjoined and channeled to and resolved by the Asbestos PI Trust. Scotts shall be

permanently enjoined under sections 524(a) and 1141 of the Bankruptcy Code against the commencement or continuation of an action, the employment of process or anany act to collect, recover or offset any such debt as a personal liability of the Debtors (see Section 8.1.1 of the Plan). Scotts contests the right of the Debtors in the Plan to channel eertain of its claims against Settled Asbestos Insurance Companies to the Asbestos PI Trust.

Under the Plan, the Debtors are transferring their insurance rights to the Asbestos PI Trust. However, the Debtors are not transferring any alleged insurance rights Scotts may have. Nevertheless, for the avoidance of doubt, pursuant to the Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction, Scotts will be permanently enjoined from pursuing claims against Settled Asbestos Insurance Companies for insurance coverage under any policy listed in Exhibit 5 of the Exhibit Book, including, but not limited to, the claims already asserted by Scotts against Settled Asbestos Insurance Companies in Scotts' Adversary.

3.2.8.3 Montana Clean Air Act Criminal Litigation

On October 29, 2004, the Debtors received a letter from the U.S. Attorney for the District of Montana informing the company that it was named a target of a federal grand jury investigation involving possible obstruction of federal agency proceedings, violations of federal environmental laws, and conspiring with others to violate federal environmental laws. This investigation related to the Debtors' former vermiculite mining and processing activities in Libby, Montana. By designating the Debtors as a "target" of the investigation, the U.S. Attorney asserted that it has substantial evidence linking the company to the commission of a crime.

On February 7, 2005, the DOJ announced the unsealing of a grand jury indictment against certain of the Debtors and seven former senior level employees relating to the Debtors' former vermiculite mining and processing activities in Libby, Montana (*United States of America v. W. R. Grace*, Case No. CR-05-07-M-DWM (D. Mont.)). The indictment accuses the defendants of (a) conspiracy to violate environmental laws and obstruct federal agency proceedings; (b) violations of the federal Clean Air Act; and (c) obstruction of justice. The Debtors purchased the Libby mine in 1963 and operated it until 1990; vermiculite processing activities continued until 1992. The grand jury charges that the conspiracy took place from 1976 to 2002. According to the DOJ, the Debtors could be subject to fines in an amount equal to twice the after-tax profit earned from their Libby operations or twice the alleged loss suffered by victims, plus additional amounts for restitution to victims. The indictment alleges that such after-tax profits were \$140 million. The Debtors have categorically denied any criminal wrongdoing and intend to vigorously defend themselves at trial.

In July 2006, the Montana federal district court dismissed a portion of the conspiracy count of a superseding indictment alleging conspiracy to knowingly endanger residents of the Libby area and others in violation of the Clean Air Act. In August 2006, the Montana federal district court granted a motion by the defendants to exclude as evidence sample results that included minerals that do not constitute asbestos under the Clean Air Act. The government appealed these and other rulings to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals (Ninth Circuit Case No. 06-30524). In September 2007, the Ninth Circuit overturned the July 2006 and August 2006 Montana federal district court rulings. In December 2007, the Debtors' petition for rehearing concerning these rulings was denied. The Debtors appealed the Ninth Circuit's ruling to the U.S.

Supreme Court. The Supreme Court denied the Debtors' petition for a writ of certiorari on June 23, 2008. As a result, the case has been was sent back to the District Court in Montana-which has scheduled a. A pretrial hearing for took place in the case on January 21-23, 2009 and the trial is set to commence on February 19, 2009. The trial is expected to last 3-5 months.

The Bankruptcy Court previously granted the Debtors' request to advance legal and defense costs to the former employees involved in this case, subject to a reimbursement obligation if it is later determined that the employees did not meet the standards for indemnification set forth under the appropriate state corporate law (Docket Nos. 6829 and 7143). The Debtors are unable to assess whether the indictment, or any conviction resulting therefrom, will have a material adverse effect on the results of operations or financial condition of the Debtors or affect the Debtors' bankruptcy cases.

3.2.8.4 Bank Claims Default Interest Litigation

In response to the Debtors' proposed term sheet for their joint chapter 11 plan of reorganization, which was publicly disclosed on April 7, 2008, certain Lenders, by their counsel, sought payment on the Bank Claims to include post-petition interest at 100% of the contractual default rate, compounded quarterly, plus facility fees and other fees due, as well as attorney fees and costs, in accordance with the terms set forth in the Pre-petition Credit Facilities. On June 13, 2008, the Debtors filed an objection to the Bank Claims seeking an order from the Bankruptcy Court that post-petition interest at the contract default rate need not be paid in connection with the Bank Claims. (Docket No. 18922). The Debtors took the position that section 502(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code did not mandate the payment of post-petition default interest. Moreover, the Debtors argued that default interest was inappropriate both because there has been no determination of the Debtors' solvency and because of equitable considerations, most notably that the Unsecured Creditors' Committee previously agreed in connection with the Debtors' January 2005 plan of reorganization (an agreement modified by those parties in February 2006), to the same post-petition interest rate now provided in the Debtors' proposed term sheet. The Objecting Lenders (Docket No. 19073) and the Unsecured Creditors' Committee (Docket No. 19072), joined by JP Morgan, in its capacity as agent for all Lenders (Docket No. 19074), responded to and opposed the Debtors' claim objection. Those parties argued, inter alia, that the Lenders are entitled to post-petition interest at the default rate because the Debtors' equity holders will retain significant value under the Plan, and, accordingly, payment in full, including post-petition default interest, must be paid to the Lenders to comply with the absolute priority rule under 11 U.S.C. § 1129(b)(2)(B)(ii). Those parties also argued that the Debtors are solvent as demonstrated by the retention of value by the existing equity holders and because no compelling equitable considerations exist, the Lenders' contractual rights should be enforced and payment made of post-petition interest at the default rate. Those parties also argued that the Unsecured Creditors' Committee's agreement to a post-petition interest rate with respect to the Debtors' January 2005 plan was not binding upon the Objecting Lenders and, in any event, such agreement was no longer operative because of subsequent events in the bankruptcy cases.

On or about September 5, 2008, after the parties conducted discovery in relation to the Debtors' objection, the Debtors filed their Trial Brief (Docket No. 19476), responding to the arguments raised by the Objecting Lenders and Unsecured Creditors' Committee and marshalling the evidence with respect thereto. The Objecting Lenders also filed a Pre-Trial

Memorandum on or about September 5, 2008 (Docket No. 19478), joined by the Unsecured Creditors' Committee (Docket No. 19477), reiterating the arguments previously asserted and marshalling the evidence with respect to those arguments. In addition to reiterating the argument set forth in their objection, the Debtors, in their Trial Brief, argued, *inter alia*, that the Lenders are not entitled to post-petition interest at the default rate because the Lenders' claims are not impaired under section 1124 of the Bankruptcy Code and because there has not been any determination of the Debtors' solvency. Accordingly, the Debtors asserted that neither the absolute priority rule nor the "fair and equitable" test of 11 U.S.C. § 1129(b)(2) is applicable. The Court held a hearing on the Debtors' objection on September 29, 2008 and the Court's ruling is pending.

3.2.9 Motion for Entry of Case Management Order, the Estimation Hearing, and Settlement of all Asbestos PI Claims

The Debtors filed their motion seeking entry of a case management order, establishment of bar date, approval of proofs of Claim forms, and approval of notice program (the "Original CMO Motion") on June 27, 2001 (Docket No. 586). The Original CMO Motion outlined the Debtors' proposal for resolution of all of the various key issues facing the Debtors, including issues relating to Asbestos PI Claims. The matter was fully briefed and set to be tried before District Judge Farnan on November 21, 2001 when the Third Circuit Court of Appeals reassigned the Chapter 11 Cases, along with the asbestos bankruptcy cases of four other debtors pending in Delaware, to the Honorable Judge Alfred M. Wolin.

Judge Wolin (a) retained the asbestos personal injury issues, the Sealed Air Action and the Fresenius Action (described in detail in Section 2.8.4 in this Disclosure Statement) and (b) referred all other matters to the Bankruptcy Court. Judge Wolin first addressed the fraudulent transfer lawsuits. After extensive briefing and negotiations, the matter was resolved in principle by the parties in November 2002 and will potentially result in payments to the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust having an estimated value of more than \$1 billion in the aggregate.

Judge Wolin did not immediately address the Debtors' potential liability for asbestos personal injury claims. Instead, he had the parties re-brief relevant issues and put the matter on hold pending resolution of the fraudulent transfer lawsuit. On June 21, 2002, the Debtors filed a supplemental brief regarding procedures for the litigation of common personal injury liability issues (Docket No. 2275). This supplemental brief provided a detailed summary of the Debtors' proposal concerning (a) the level of impairment that should be necessary for a party to assert an asbestos personal injury claim against the Debtors' estates and (b) procedures for implementing, and the need for the implementation of, a bar date covering asbestos personal injury claims. The District Court never considered the matter.

The Debtors filed a motion on November 13, 2004, seeking to estimate the aggregate amount needed to fund an asbestos personal injury trust to enable the asbestos personal injury trust to pay in full all allowed asbestos personal injury trust claims, and to set an order establishing a schedule and procedures for the requested estimation (Docket No. 6899). Through the estimation process, the Debtors sought to demonstrate that most Asbestos Claims have no value because they fail to establish any material property damage, health impairment or significant occupational exposure to asbestos from the Debtors' operations or products. If the

Bankruptcy Court agreed with the Debtors' position on the number of, and the amounts to be paid in respect of, allowed personal injury and property damage claims, then the Debtors believed that the amount needed to fund an asbestos personal injury trust for all Asbestos PI Claims could be less than \$1,613 million.

Concurrently, on November 24, 2004, the Debtors filed a motion with the District Court requesting that it refer jurisdiction over the Debtors' amended motion for entry of a CMO to the Bankruptcy Court. On January 13, 2005, District Judge Buckwalter entered an order referring jurisdiction over the Debtors' amended motion for entry of a CMO to the Bankruptcy Court (Docket No. 7547).

On August 29, 2005, the Bankruptcy Court entered a CMO for estimating liability for pending and future Asbestos PI Claims (Docket No. 9301). The Bankruptcy Court ordered that all Claimants with Asbestos PI Claims pending as of the Petition Date (other than settled, but unpaid, claims) complete detailed questionnaires providing information on, among other things, their medical condition, including diagnostic support, exposure to the Debtors' and non-Debtors' asbestos-containing products, employment history, and pending lawsuits against other companies. The Bankruptcy Court required questionnaires to be completed and returned on or before July 12, 2006. Approximately 60,000 questionnaires were returned before the July 12, 2006 deadline and approximately 43,000 questionnaires were returned following the deadline. The Debtors reviewed the questionnaires and found that a substantial percentage contained insufficient or incomplete responses. In response to a request by the Debtors to compel the Asbestos PI Claimants to cure these deficiencies, the Bankruptcy Court issued a ruling clarifying the information required of Claimants submitting questionnaire responses and ordered that supplemental responses be completed and returned by January 12, 2007. Approximately 35,000 supplemental responses were returned before the January 12, 2007 deadline.

The Bankruptcy Court also established procedures and deadlines for filing proofs of Claims for asbestos personal injury litigation claims pending as of the Petition Date. In August 2006, the Bankruptcy Court set a bar date for such asbestos personal injury litigation claims. Claimants asserting claims subject to enforceable written settlement agreements dated prior to the Petition Date, which had not been fully paid or satisfied, were required to file proofs of Claim by October 16, 2006. Proofs of Claim asserting approximately 34,000 settled claims were received. Claimants asserting claims that are not subject to such settlement agreements were required to file proofs of Claim by November 15, 2006. Proofs of Claim asserting approximately 100,000 unsettled claims were received.

Trial on the estimation of liability for Asbestos PI Claims began on January 14, 2008 and was scheduled to occur on a total of 20 trial dates between January 14, 2008 and June 4, 2008. However, on April 6, 2008, the Debtors entered into the Asbestos PI Settlement with the Asbestos PI Committee, the Asbestos PI FCR, and the Equity Committee to resolve all present and future Asbestos PI Claims. The settlement contemplated that the Debtors, the Asbestos PI

_

²⁵²⁶ The CMO was amended several times with the last amendment on January 30, 2008 (Docket No. 17941) as modified on March 20, 2008 (Docket No. 18340).

Committee, the Asbestos PI FCR and the Equity Committee would file a new joint plan of reorganization with the Bankruptcy Court reflecting the terms and conditions of the settlement. Accordingly, the estimation trial was suspended and the Plan Proponents have filed the Plan. The Unsecured Creditors' Committee, the Asbestos PD Committee, Sealed Air and Fresenius were not parties to the settlement.

3.2.10 Debtors' Bar Date for Asbestos PD Claims (Excluding US ZAI PD Claims), Non-Asbestos Claims, and Asbestos Medical Monitoring Claims

By an order dated April 22, 2002, the Bankruptcy Court established the March 2003 Bar Date as the last date for filing proofs of Claim for all pre-petition Claims relating to (a) Asbestos PD Claims (excluding US ZAI PD Claims), (b) non-Asbestos Claims (including all governmental Claims), and (c) Asbestos Medical Monitoring Claims (Docket No. 1963).

Approximately 14,900 proofs of Claim were filed by the March 2003 Bar Date. Of these claims, approximately 4,300 were filed as Asbestos PD Claims, approximately 1,000 were filed as Asbestos Medical Monitoring Claims, and approximately 9,400 were generally non-asbestos related. In addition, approximately 800 non-asbestos related proofs of Claim were filed after the March 2003 Bar Date.

Of the 10,200 generally non-asbestos related claims filed, approximately 7,000 involve claims by employees or former employees for future retirement benefits such as pension and retiree medical coverage which the Debtors view as contingent. The remaining claims include claims for payment of goods and services, taxes, product warranties, principal and interest under Pre-petition Credit Facilities, environmental remediation, indemnification or contribution to actual or potential parties in asbestos-related and other litigation, pending non-asbestos-related litigation, and non-asbestos-related personal injury.

The Debtors' analysis indicated that many claims were duplicates, represented the same claim filed against more than one of the Debtors, lacked supporting documentation, or provided insufficient supporting documentation. As of June 30, 2008, of the approximately 3,265 generally non-asbestos non-employee claims filed, approximately 1,855 have been expunged or withdrawn by claimants, approximately 1,140 have been resolved, and an additional approximately 270 claims are to be addressed through the claim objection process and the dispute resolution procedures approved by the Bankruptcy Court.

3.2.11 The ADR Program

On June 12, 2004, the Debtors filed a Motion for the entry of an order establishing an alternative dispute resolution ("ADR") program to liquidate certain pre-petition Claims that were submitted pursuant to the order setting the March 2003 Bar Date. On November 9, 2004, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order approving the Debtors' ADR program, as amended to address concerns raised by the Bankruptcy Court and other parties. The ADR program establishes procedures for resolving certain contested non-asbestos Claims through negotiation and then, if necessary, through mediation.

The Debtors have used the ADR program successfully. On October 23, 2007, the Debtors entered into a stipulation to settle five claims filed by Del Taco, Inc. and certain individuals for a total of \$3,750,000, plus interest from the date of Bankruptcy Court approval. The claims related to the interpretation of certain agreements dating back to as early as 1977. The parties had been in litigation for several years before the chapter 11 filing automatically stayed the litigation. The Bankruptcy Court approved the settlement with Del Taco on December 13, 2007.

3.2.12 The Judge Wolin Mandamus and Recusal Proceedings

On October 10, 2003, certain creditors of Owens Corning Corporation moved to have Judge Wolin recused from any further participation in the Owens Corning bankruptcy cases that were pending before him in the District Court. Shortly thereafter, certain creditors of the Debtors filed a similar motion. On October 30, 2003, the Third Circuit issued an order that stayed all matters before Judge Wolin in each of the five asbestos cases before him, including matters pertaining to the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases. The cases before Judge Wolin remained stayed, pending resolution of the Wolin recusal matters. The Third Circuit issued a Writ of Mandamus on May 17, 2004 which (a) ordered Judge Wolin to recuse himself from the five asbestos cases before him, including the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases, and (b) lifted the stay of all matters before Judge Wolin. On May 27, 2004 (Docket No. 5652), the Honorable Ronald E. Buckwalter was assigned all matters in the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases that were previously pending before Judge Wolin.

3.2.13 Significant Asset Purchases and Dispositions

At various times during the course of the Chapter 11 Cases, the Debtors have either purchased or disposed of certain assets, or otherwise expended assets of the estates or of their non-Debtor subsidiaries. The more significant of these transactions are described herein.

3.2.13.1 Significant Asset Purchases and Investments

March 2002	The Debtors obtained approval to acquire the masonry admixtures
	business of Addiment Incorporated for the sum of \$4 million subject
	to post-closing adjustment for receivables and inventory. (Docket
	No. 1851).

August 2002 Advanced Refining Technologies LLC ("ART"), Grace's non-Debtor hydroprocessing catalyst joint venture with Chevron, purchased a paid-up exclusive license (worldwide except for certain Arab and Islamic countries) of the HOP hydroprocessing catalyst technology and related assets of Japan Energy Corporation.

April 2003 The Separations Group, a non-Debtor subsidiary engaged in the chromatography columns business, acquired the chromatography columns business of MODcol Corporation.

August 2004 The Debtors obtained approval to advance funds, not to exceed \$57.9 million to the Separations Group, a wholly owned, non-debtor

subsidiary, to acquire the Alltech chromatography business (Docket No. 6009), and has continued its chromatography business under the Alltech name.

November 2004

The Debtors obtained permission to acquire the Triflex® roofing underlayment product line of Flexia Corporation, a Quebec Company for a sum not to exceed CDN \$6.1 million. (Docket No. 6607). The transaction also included authorization to enter into a contract manufacturing agreement with Flexia whereby Flexia will construct Tri-Flex® products on behalf of the Debtors and authorization to construct a production line for the manufacture of Tri-Flex® products at the Debtors' Chicago facility.

July 2005

The Debtors obtained approval to expend up to \$20 million to establish an additional manufacturing facility for the Specialty Building Materials ("SBM") business of Grace Construction Products. The SBM business was growing at such a pace that the business was projected to outgrow its plant capacity in late 2006. The Debtors constructed the facility on land purchased in Mount Pleasant, Tennessee, and the facility began operations in May 2007.

August 2005

The Debtors obtained permission to acquire the technology and certain other assets of Single-Site Catalysts, LLC ("SSCL"). (Docket No. 9292). The transaction provided for purchase of SSCL's metallocene catalyst technology and components, related trademarks, inventory, and customer contracts for \$1.5 million, plus payments equal to 3% of net sales of products manufactured using the acquired technology below \$5 million, 8% of net sales between \$5 million and \$10 million, and 5.5% of net sales exceeding \$10 million.

June 2006

The Debtors obtained permission to acquire the assets located in central, New Jersey of a privately held chemical company in order to expand the Debtors' operations in the polymerization catalyst business. (Docket No. 12438). The purchase price was \$20 million, with a post-closing adjustment based on any change in the working capital of the businesses from a specified base amount of \$3.5 million.

June 2007

ART made an investment in the shares of Kuwait Catalyst Company of Shuaiba, Kuwait, a manufacturer of hydroprocessing catalysts.

February 2008

The Debtors obtained authority to acquire a preferred stock interest in CeraTech, Inc. (Docket No. 17859). The transaction consisted of a \$3 million investment plus an option for CeraTech to request, or Grace at its own option to provide, an additional \$3 million investment. CeraTech has developed technology to make products that Construction Products wished to add to its product portfolio.

The Debtors and CeraTech also entered into an exclusive sales, marketing and distribution agreement under which the Debtors have the exclusive rights to manufacture and sell products utilizing the CeraTech technology.

3.2.13.2 Significant Asset Dispositions

During the course of the Debtors' bankruptcy cases, there has been only one significant disposition of assets. In August, 2007, the Debtors sold their Washcoat business to Rhodia, Inc. The Washcoat business was a business whereby the Debtors designed, manufactured, and marketed materials used in catalytic converters for automotive and other engines. The Bankruptcy Court approved the motion to sell the business on July 23, 2007 (Docket No. 16374) and the Debtors closed the sale of the Washcoat business on August 1, 2007, realizing proceeds of \$21.9 million.

The Debtors have also from time to time during the course of these chapter 11 cases disposed of other assets valued at less than \$5 million pursuant to the Debtors' Procedures for the Sale and Abandonment of *De Minimus* Assets dated August 2, 2001 (Docket No. 833).

3.2.14 Tax Related Motions and Settlements

3.2.14.1 Tax Related Motions

In order to limit the trading of its shares to prevent a change in control of the Parent for tax purposes, the Debtors filed a motion seeking an order to require notice and waiting periods on transfers of Parent Common Stock to give the Debtors time to review the purchases for tax purposes. The order imposes notice requirements and potential restrictions on stock acquisitions by those persons or entities that (a) currently own 4.75% or more of Parent Common Stock or (b) seek to acquire 4.75% or more of Parent Common Stock. A change in control of the Parent would severely limit the Debtors' ability to use their net operating losses to offset taxes on future income. Under the order, the Debtors have the right to object in Bankruptcy Court to those persons or entities acquiring Parent Common Stock if the acquisition poses a material risk of adversely affecting the Debtors' ability to use their net operating losses. A final order granting the request was entered on January 24, 2005 (Docket No. 7611). This order will terminate on the Effective Date.

On September 17, 2007, the Debtors filed a motion for approval of an Optimization Plan to (a) establish a more tax-efficient global capital structure, that serves as the foundation for chapter 11 emergence financing, (b) reduces foreign cash taxes, and (c) preserves the Debtors' anticipated U.S. federal consolidated net operating losses. The Bankruptcy Court entered an order approving the motion on October 30, 2007 (Docket No. 17216).

On March 17, 2008, the Debtors filed a motion seeking authorization to pay up to \$1.4 million to satisfy certain pre-petition real property tax claims and to stem the continued accrual of interest at the applicable statutory tax rates. The Bankruptcy Court entered an order approving

the motion on April 21, 2008 (Docket No. 18579). The Debtors have already made approximately \$1.1 million in payments to resolve four of the largest claims.

3.2.14.2 Tax-Related Settlements

In 1988 and 1989, the Debtors acquired COLI policies on the lives of certain employees as part of a strategy to fund the cost of postretirement employee health care benefits and other long-term liabilities. COLI premiums were funded in part by loans issued against the cash surrender value of the COLI policies and the Debtors claimed a total of approximately \$258 million in deductions attributable to interest accrued on such loans though the 1998 tax year, after which such deductions were no longer permitted by law. The IRS challenged the deductions of interest for years prior to 1999. In 2000, the Debtors paid \$21.2 million of tax and interest related to this issue for the tax years 1990 through 1992. Subsequent to 1992, the Debtors deducted approximately \$163.2 million in interest attributable to the COLI policy loans. On October 13, 2004, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order authorizing Grace to enter into a settlement agreement with the IRS that provided that the government allowed 20% of the aggregate amount of the COLI interest deductions and that the Debtors owed federal income tax and interest with respect to the remaining 80% of the COLI interest deductions disallowed (Docket No. 6606). This settlement agreement also provided that, with respect to the termination of the COLI policies, the Debtors included 20% of the gain realized in taxable income, with the government exempting 80% of such gain from tax. On January 20, 2005, the Debtors executed the COLI settlement agreement.

On February 14, 2005, the Debtors filed a motion with the Bankruptcy Court seeking an order (a) authorizing the Debtors to enter into a settlement agreement with the IRS with respect to certain issues relating to the taxable years ending December 31, 1993 through December 31, 1994 (the "1993-1996 Settlement Agreement"), and (b) directing the Debtors to pay to the IRS no later than April 15, 2005 the certain taxes owing under the 1993-1996 Settlement Agreement. The 1993-1996 Settlement Agreement resolved all issues for that audit period with the exception of a tax controversy with respect to research credits and research and experimentation expenditures, discussed below. On April 12, 2005, the Bankruptcy Court issued the requested order and on April 14, 2005, the Debtors made a tax payment in the amount of \$90 million under the 1993-1996 Settlement Agreement.

The IRS asserted approximately \$62 million of additional federal income tax withholding and Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA) taxes plus interest and related penalties against one of the Debtors' subsidiaries that operated a temporary health care staffing business until its sale in 1999. The IRS contended that certain per diem reimbursements made by the business should have been treated as wages subject to employment taxes and federal income tax withholding. The Debtors contended that the per diem and expense allowance plans were in accordance with statutory and regulatory requirements, as well as other published guidance from the IRS. On September 18, 2006, the Debtors filed a motion seeking to settle these claims in the amount of \$13 million, which settlement was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on October 30, 2006 (Docket No. 13513).

In 1999, Grace and de maximis, inc. ("de maximis"), an environmental project management company, formed a special purpose environmental remediation management joint

venture, Remedium Group, Inc. ("Remedium"), to centralize, manage and minimize environmental expenditures associated with certain Grace remediation sites. The joint venture was created by virtue of de maximis acquiring Remedium Class B stock. Grace reported a \$128.6 million capital loss from that sale. In September 2004, the IRS concluded that Grace's capital loss should be disallowed but that no penalties should be imposed. On January 18, 2008, the Debtors filed a motion seeking authority to settle this matter with the IRS whereby the Debtors would be allowed a capital loss in the amount of \$32.15 million or 25%, in lieu of the \$128.6 million capital loss that they originally claimed on its 1999 federal income tax return. The Bankruptcy Court entered an order approving the motion on March 7, 2008 (Docket No. 18247).

On June 16, 2008, the Debtors filed a motion seeking authorization to settle a federal income tax controversy relating to research credits and research experimentation expenditures (R&E Settlement Agreement) claimed for the taxable years ending December 31, 1993 through December 31, 1996. The R&E Settlement Agreement gives which gave the Debtors a significant portion of the research credits in dispute and, if approved, will result in a refund in the amount of approximately \$6.3 million of tax plus interest. The was approved by the Joint Committee on Taxation and the Bankruptcy Court entered an order on July 21, 2008 approving the R&E Settlement (Docket No. 19111) and the settlement has been approved by the Joint Committee on Taxation. The R&E Settlement resulted in a refund in the amount of approximately \$6.3 million of tax plus interest.

3.2.15 The Tersigni Investigation

On August 24, 2007, the United States Trustee filed a motion for the appointment of an examiner related to the conduct of L. Tersigni Consulting, P.C. ("Tersigni"), former financial advisor to the Asbestos PI Committee in these Chapter 11 Cases and several other asbestos chapter 11 cases. The Bankruptcy Court approved the appointment of an examiner on November 13, 2007 to investigate the billing practices and related conduct of Tersigni to determine whether the Debtors have any cause of action against Tersigni. On November 19, 2007, Tersigni filed for chapter 11 bankruptcy protection in Connecticut. The Connecticut bankruptcy judge appointed Hugh M. Ray of Andrews Kurth LLP as examiner in the chapter 11 case. The examiner iswas responsible for investigating both whether the various asbestos debtors have any causes of action against Tersigni as well as whether Tersigni is owed any money by the various asbestos debtors, including the Debtors. Although the Connecticut bankruptcy court also authorized Mr. Ray to act as a mediator, the Debtors elected to negotiate directly with Tersigni to resolve their claims. The Debtors have reached an agreement to settle those claims for a reimbursement to the Debtors in an amount approximating 11% of the fees previously paid to Tersigni. The agreement has beenwas approved by both the Connecticut bankruptcy court and the Bankruptcy Court, the settlement amount was paid and the Bankruptcy Court's order appointing an examiner was vacated.

3.2.16 Various Additional Claim Settlements During the Course of the Chapter 11 Cases

On May 12, 2005, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order approving a settlement agreement and a remediation agreement concerning the Hatco site in New Jersey and approving an associated professional service agreement. Under this settlement, the Hatco claim against the

Debtors in the amount of \$34 million was settled through a liability transfer program under which, in exchange for a one-time payment of \$21,353,794, a third-party environmental contractor assumed in perpetuity the Debtors' and others' environmental remediation and environmental legal liability in connection with a site in the State of New Jersey formerly owned and operated by the Debtors.

On July 7, 2005, the Bankruptcy Court entered an Order approving a settlement Agreement with Cytec Industries, Inc. ("Cytec") and Wyeth Holdings Corporation ("Wyeth"). Under the settlement agreement, Cytec, for itself and as indemnitor for Wyeth, committed to pay the Debtors \$5.25 million in settlement of liability for remediation costs at property now owned by the Debtors in Concord, Massachusetts, but formerly owned by Wyeth's predecessor, American Cyanamid & Chemical Corporation. The settlement agreement was structured whereby the first million dollars was paid immediately upon approval of the agreement, and the remaining \$4.25 million was placed into a qualified settlement fund to be held in trust, and used by the Debtors to pay or reimburse response costs and fees with respect to the investigation and remediation of the property. The full \$5.25 million was paid to the Debtors as of November 27, 2007.

On November 14, 2005, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order authorizing the Debtors to settle certain claims filed by Bank of America N.A. ("Bank of America") in the Debtors' Chapter 11 cases. By this stipulation, the Debtors agreed to allow Bank of America's unsecured claim, in the amount of \$9,779,720, representing drawn amounts on certain letters of credit and recognizing its contingent non-priority claim, in the amount of \$8,650,110, representing undrawn amounts under certain outstanding letters of credit. Under the agreement and, in the event any of the outstanding letters of credit are drawn, the amount drawn would be added to the allowed claim, and the contingent claim amount would be so reduced. In late December 2007, letters of credit in the amount of \$6,710,110 were drawn by National Union pursuant to the Settlement Agreement discussed in Section 3.2.5.2 *supra*. As a result, Bank of America now has an additional allowed liquidated claim of \$6,710,110 for those drawn letters of credit.

On September 25, 2007, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order authorizing the Debtors to enter into a settlement agreement with Continental Casualty Company ("CCC"). Pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreement, CCC agreed to pay nearly \$4 million to certain claimants who had filed non-asbestos fireproofing claims against the Debtors and the Debtors agreed to pay the remaining portion of the claims due to the deductibles under the CCC insurance policy (Docket No. 16912).

On August 26, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order authorizing the Debtors to enter into certain settlement agreements with Weja Inc., Sunrich, Shell and Sunoco, among others. Pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements, the Debtors are receiving \$1,130,000 to compensate the Debtors for certain response costs pursuant to claims asserted under the New Jersey Spill Compensation and Control Act and common law, and for leasehold damages (Docket No. 19374).

The Debtors entered into additional non-asbestos related settlements. A discussion of these settlements is included in Sections 2.8.1.1 and 2.8.2 *supra*.

3.2.17 Various Motions Filed on an Annual Basis

During the course of these Chapter 11 Cases, the Debtors have periodically filed motions for authorization to make legally required minimum contributions to the Debtors' defined benefit pension plans covering the Debtors' employees. The latest in the series of these motions was filed on May 19, 2008 (Docket No. 18746) and was approved on June 18, 2008 (Docket No. 18943). The Debtors have sought and received authority to make contributions in the amount of approximately \$48.5 million in 2003, \$20 million in 2004, \$24.1 million in 2005, \$101.4 million in 2006, \$76 million in 2007, \$32.7 million for the period from January 1, 2008 to July 14, 2008; and \$24.0 million for the period from July 15, 2008 to January 15, 2009.

Also during the course of these Chapter 11 Cases, the Debtors have periodically filed motions for authorization to implement the Debtors' Long Term Incentive Plan for certain key employees, as part of a continuing long-term, performance-based incentive compensation program for key employees. The latest in the series of these motions was with respect to the 2008-2010 Long Term Incentive Plan, which was approved on August 26, 2008 (Docket No. 19375).

3.2.18 Previous Plans

On November 13, 2004 the Debtors filed the Debtors' Plan of Reorganization (Docket No. 6895), Disclosure Statement for the Debtors' Plan of Reorganization Pursuant to Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (Docket No. 6896) and various related documents, including a Motion for Entry of An Order Approving Solicitation and Confirmation Procedures and Schedule (Docket No. 6900) (the "Previous Confirmation Procedures Motion"). On January 13, 2005 the Debtors filed their Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization (Docket No. 7560) and Amended Disclosure Statement for the Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization Pursuant to Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (Docket No. 7559). On November 5, 2007, the Asbestos PI Committee and Asbestos PI FCR filed their Plan of Reorganization Under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code of the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants and the Future Claimants' Representative for W. R. Grace & Co. et al., Dated as of November 5, 2007 (Docket No. 17306) (collectively the "Previous Plans"). The current Plan, Disclosure Statement and related documents, as amended, supersede all of the Previous Plans and the Previous Confirmation Procedures Motion.

3.3 The Canadian Proceedings

3.3.1 General Information

Although the Debtors' Canadian operating subsidiary Grace Canada, Inc. ("Grace Canada") is not a Debtor, the Debtors believed that Grace Canada could potentially become subject to asbestos-related Claims. Accordingly, the Debtors sought and obtained ancillary relief in Canada with respect to Grace Canada.

On April 4, 2001, the Canadian Court granted Grace Canada an order (the "Canadian Order"), pursuant to section 18.6(4) of the Canadian Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, R.S.C. 1985 c.C-36, as amended ("CCAA"), which, among other things (a) recognized the Chapter 11 Cases in Canada as foreign proceedings under the CCAA, (b) prohibited the

commencement or continuation of any asbestos-related suits against Grace Canada, and (c) appointed Pierre Le Bourdais as Grace Canada's Information Officer. The Information Officer is responsible for submitting interim information reports to the Canadian Court which address the Chapter 11 Cases, Grace Canada, and the development of any process for dealing with asbestos claims. On February 8, 2006, the Canadian Court granted an order discharging Mr. Le Bourdais as Information Officer and appointing Mr. Richard Finke as the new Information Officer of Grace Canada.

3.3.2 Notice of the Canadian Proceedings

In accordance with the terms of the Canadian Order, Grace Canada published notice of the Canadian proceedings in newspapers of national circulation in Canada on each of April 11 and April 12, 2001. These notices (a) advised Entities of the Chapter 11 Cases and the Canadian proceedings, (b) stated that Grace Canada could seek further relief from the Canadian Court to ensure fair and equal access for Canadians with Asbestos Claims against Grace Canada, and (c) instructed any Entity who wished to be made a party to the Canadian proceedings to contact counsel to Grace Canada. The parties to the service list are as follows:

- Ernst & Young Inc., financial advisor to The Attorney General of Canada (Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada);
- Aikins, MacAulay & Thorvaldson LLP, solicitors for Raven Thundersky and Rebecca Bruce:
- Lauzon Bélanger S.E.N.C., co-solicitors for Association Des Consommateurs Pour La Qualité Dans La Construction and Jean-Charles Dextras;
- Scarfone Hawkins LLP, co-solicitors for Association Des Consommateurs Pour La Qualité Dans La Construction and Jean-Charles Dextras;
- Department of Justice Canada, solicitors for Health Canada; and
- Merchant Law Group, counsel for various plaintiffs in proposed class action proceedings in the Federal Court, Saskatchewan, Alberta, and British Columbia, against the Debtors and others.

3.3.3 Quarterly Reports

In accordance with the terms of the Canadian Order, the Information Officer has filed twenty-six (26) quarterly reports with the Canadian Court. These reports have provided the Canadian Court with a description of matters affecting Grace Canada, as well as provided a summary of all material events taking place in the Chapter 11 Cases. Through these reports, the Information Officer has kept the Canadian Court informed as to the Debtors' reorganization process.

3.3.4 Court Orders in the Canadian Proceedings

Since the date of the Canadian Order, Grace Canada has appeared before the Canadian Court on numerous occasions and has received approval or recognition of a number of orders granted in the United States in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases. The following represents a summary of material matters approved by the Canadian Court:

- Since the date of the initial order, Grace Canada has, from time to time, applied to have the stay extended. The stay currently expires on April 1, 2009;
- On December 5, 2002, the Canadian Court granted an order recognizing the Bankruptcy Court's March 2003 Bar Date Order with respect to claims in Canada in accordance with its terms;
- On November 14, 2005, the Canadian Court recognized in Canada the modified preliminary injunction issued in Adv. Pro. No. 01-771 in accordance with its terms. The effect of the order was to, among other things, stay ten separate class actions asserting CDN ZAI Claims which had been commenced in Canada against Grace Canada, certain of the Debtors' affiliated entities, and certain third parties including Sealed Air Canada. The order also stayed the CDN ZAI Claims against the Crown. The Crown had been named as a defendant in the class action lawsuits; and
- On February 8, 2006, the Canadian Court granted an order appointing Lauzon Belanger S.E.N.C.R.L. and Scarfone Hawkins LLP collectively as CCAA Representative Counsel on behalf of Canadian claimants who assert CDN ZAI Claims and appointing Mr. Richard C. Finke as the new Information Officer.

3.3.4.1 Orders Extending the Stay of Proceedings in Canada

The stay of proceedings granted in the Canadian Order was originally set to expire on October 1, 2001. The Canadian Court has extended this deadline. The current stay of proceedings will expire on October 1, 2008, unless extended prior to that date.

3.3.4.2 Recognition of the Debtors' March 2003 Bar Date Order

As indicated above, on December 5, 2002, in order to give effect to the March 2003 Bar Date Order, the Canadian Court granted an order recognizing the March 2003 Bar Date with respect to non-asbestos claims, Asbestos PD Claims and Asbestos Medical Monitoring Claims in Canada in accordance with its terms.

3.3.4.3 The Corporate Reorganization Order

On April 14, 2004, Grace Canada applied for, and the Canadian Court granted, an Order (the "Canadian Reorganization Order") approving a corporate reorganization involving Grace Canada and certain of the Debtors. The proposed reorganization contemplated, *inter alia*, that Grace Canada would acquire, for valuable consideration, shares or debt in a number of the Debtors' Latin American subsidiaries with its equity investments replacing intercompany loans

and trade credit from the Debtors. The Canadian Reorganization Order was to be effective subject to the filing of a certificate of the directors of Grace Canada that they were satisfied that Grace Canada was receiving true value on a reasonable and realistic basis in respect of the assets being acquired. Grace Canada has now received the results of the appraisals for the property to be acquired and is in the process of reviewing and considering the results. The certificate of the directors of Grace Canada has not yet been filed and, accordingly, the Canadian Reorganization Order is not yet effective.

3.3.5 Pre-petition Canadian Lawsuits

3.3.5.1 Canadian Asbestos Personal Injury Lawsuits

On the Petition Date, there were no Canadian asbestos personal injury lawsuits pending against the Canadian Entities or any of the Debtors. All of the 44 asbestos personal injury lawsuits that were filed pre-petition in Canada against certain of the Debtors and the Canadian Entities were dismissed.

In addition to these lawsuits, there were also hundreds of lawsuits filed in the early 1990s in Texas state court involving Canadian plaintiffs. Under Canadian law, a provincial workers' compensation agency who pays benefits is subrogated to the workers' rights against third parties for work related injuries. Liberal Texas venue rules at that time allowed these cases to be filed in the Texas state courts. The Debtors believe that these lawsuits were either settled or dismissed.

3.3.5.2 Asbestos Property Damage Claims for Buildings Located in Canada

On the Petition Date, there were no Canadian asbestos property damage lawsuits pending against the Canadian Entities or any of the Debtors. Approximately 24 asbestos property damage lawsuits were filed pre-petition in Canada against certain of the Debtors and the Canadian Entities. One case was tried and resulted in a verdict in favor of the defendants; most of the remaining cases were settled or withdrawn voluntarily by the plaintiffs. Holders of Asbestos PD Claims concerning Canadian properties filed 439 proofs of Claim in the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases. The Debtors objected to all of these Claims on a variety of grounds. Most of these Claims have been withdrawn or expunged for the reasons set forth in Section 2.7.2 above. As of June 30, 2008, 55 Asbestos PD Claims concerning Canadian properties remain. All but one of these Asbestos PD Claims are subject to the Debtors' pending summary judgment motion regarding Canadian limitations periods.

3.3.6 Post-Petition Canadian Lawsuits

Between 2004 and 2005, eleven potential class actions were commenced across Canada in relation to the manufacture, distribution and sale of ZAI. Grace Canada, certain of the Debtors and Sealed Air Canada were named as defendants, as was the Attorney General of Canada. Those actions are as follows:

 On October 25, 2004, Raven Thundersky and Rebecca Bruce filed a complaint with the Queens' Bench for Winnipeg Centre against certain of the Debtors, certain of the Debtors' former Canadian subsidiaries, the Attorney General of Canada, and others. The complaint is styled as a purported class-action and seeks recovery for alleged injuries suffered by any Canadian resident as a result of the Debtors' marketing, selling, processing, manufacturing, distriburing and/or delivering asbestos or asbestos-containing products in Canada.

- On October 29, 2004, two motions for authorization to institute a class action and to obtain the status of representative were filed by (a) Viviane Brosseau & Leotine Roberge-Turgeon and (b) the Association des Consummateurs Pour la Qualité Dans La Construction and Jean-Charles Dextras in the Superior Court for the Province of Quebec, District of Montreal. The motions seek authorization to institute a class-action lawsuit against Grace Canada, Inc. and the Attorney General of Canada on behalf of (1) every person who is the owner of a building insulated with vermiculite that was marketed under the brand name "Zonolite Attic Insulation" and (2) every person (or their heirs and successors, if applicable) who lives or has lived in a building insulated with ZAI and who has suffered, is suffering or will suffer from asbestosis, mesothelioma, or cancer of the lung.
- Eight additional class actions asserting similar claims to those described immediately above have been filed:
 - o Merv Nordick, et al. v. The Attorney General of Canada, et al., Queen's Bench, Regina, Saskatchewan, Case No. Q.B.C. No. 696 of 2005;
 - o Merv Nordick, et al. v. The Attorney General of Canada, et al., Federal Court, Regina, Saskatchewan, Case No. T-1503-05;
 - Merv Nordick, et al. v. Department of National Defense and Canadian Forces, et al., Supreme Court, Vancouver, British Columbia, Case No. L-052352;
 - o Merv Nordick, et al. v. The Attorney General of Canada, et al., Queen's Bench, Alberta, Calgary, Case No. 0501-07100;
 - o Merv Nordick, et al. v. The Attorney General of Canada, et al., Superior Court of Quebec, 550-06-00002-058;
 - Ernest Spencer, et al. v. Department of National Defense and Canadian Forces, et al., Superior Court of Justice, Ottawa, Ontario, Case No. 05-CV-32367;
 - Her Majesty The Queen In Right of the Province of Manitoba v. The Attorney General of Canada (Her Majesty The Queen In Right of Canada), et al., Queen's Bench, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Case No. C1-05-01-41069; and
 - o Ernest Spencer, et al. v. Department of National Defense and Canadian Forces, et al., Queen's Bench, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Case No. C1-05-01-44628.

On February 8, 2006, the Canadian Court granted an order appointing representative counsel for the plaintiffs in the above actions. The Debtors have retained Ogilvy Renault LLP to represent them in these proposed class actions.

The Crown and the Canadian claimants filed numerous objections in connection with the Debtors' request for the ZAI Bar Date and related motions. *See* Section 2.7.3 *supra*.

In November 2007, CCAA Representative Counsel brought a motion (the "Representative Counsel Motion") before the Canadian Court seeking, among other things:

- an order lifting the stay of proceedings;
- an order for direction on a protocol for communication between the Canadian Court and the Bankruptcy Court for purposes of facilitating development and implementation of a Canadian Litigation Procedure; and
- an order for direction of the Canadian Court on the establishment of a process pursuant to which advance costs/interim costs can be sought in favour of representative counsel, and for the appointment of a monitor for that purpose.

The Representative Counsel Motion was adjourned on a number of occasions and, on March 26, 2008, due to ongoing settlement discussions, the parties agreed to withdraw the motion without prejudice and without costs. However, settlement discussions subsequently broke down and, on May 15, 2008, CCAA Representative Counsel gave notice of its intention to have the CCAA Representative Counsel Motion heard. Additionally, CCAA Representative Counsel gave notice of a motion seeking the following relief: (a) leave to allow CCAA Representative Counsel to conduct oral and documentary discovery of records and information in the possession and control of the Crown relating to homes in Canada that may be insulated with ZAI; and (b) directions regarding the oral documentary discovery of records and information of the Crown relating to the identity of homes in Canada that may be insulated with ZAI. No date for these motions have been scheduled.

On September 2, 2008, the Debtors, Grace Canada and CCAA Representative Counsel entered into the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement to settle all the Canadian ZAI Claims. The Canadian settlement contemplates, among other things, a claims bar date for Canadian ZAI PD Claims (the "CDN ZAI PD Bar Date"). On October 17, 2008, the Canadian Court approved the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement. On October 20, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order setting a CDN ZAI PD Bar Date of August 31, 2009, and **approving** a notice program, intended to comprehensively reach unknown Holders of CDN ZAI PD Claims, by the Bankruptcy Court. Confirmation of the Plan shall constitute approval by the Bankruptcy Court of the settlement reflected in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement for all purposes including to the extent required by Bankruptcy Rule 9019. A copy of the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement is Exhibit 9 in the Exhibit Book.

3.3.7 Canadian Claims

Upon confirmation, Canadian Claims related to personal injury, including CDN ZAI PI Claims, shall be channeled to the Asbestos PI Trust along with all Asbestos PI Claims, and shall

be resolved in accordance with the TDP. Any Canadian property damage claims related to traditional asbestos property damage shall be channeled to the Asbestos PD Trust and resolved in accordance with the Plan and the PDClass 7A Case Management Order setting forth procedures for determining the allowance or disallowance of the Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims.

If the Canadian Settlement described immediately above is approved by the Canadian Court, CDN ZAI PD Claims shall be separately classified, channeled to (and paid from) the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund and resolved in accordance with the terms, provisions, and procedures outlined in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement. CDN ZAI PD Claims shall not be deemed Allowed or Disallowed, but rather shall be resolved as set forth in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement.

4. SUMMARY OF THE PLAN²⁶27

4.1 Overview of the Chapter 11 Plan

THE DISCUSSION OF THE PLAN SET FORTH BELOW IS MORE DETAILED THAN THE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY CONTAINED IN ARTICLE 1 OF THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT, BUT IT IS <u>NOT</u> A COMPLETE RECITATION OF THE TERMS OF THE PLAN. MOREOVER, CERTAIN KEY ASPECTS OF THE PLAN ARE HIGHLIGHTED IN THE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY BUT ARE NOT REPEATED IN THIS SECTION.

THE DEBTORS HAVE ATTEMPTED TO SUMMARIZE, IN THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT, THE KEY PROVISIONS OF THE PLAN, BUT SUCH A SUMMARY IS BY ITS VERY NATURE HIGHLY SUBJECTIVE AND PRONE TO DISPUTE. THEREFORE, THIS SUMMARY IS QUALIFIED IN ITS ENTIRETY BY REFERENCE TO THE MORE DETAILED PROVISIONS SET FORTH IN THE PLAN AND THE EXHIBITS IN THE EXHIBIT BOOK, THE TERMS OF WHICH ARE CONTROLLING.

A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE PLAN IS ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT 1 IN THE EXHIBIT BOOK. HOLDERS OF CLAIMS OR EQUITY INTERESTS AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES ARE <u>URGED</u> TO READ THE PLAN AND THE EXHIBITS THERETO IN THEIR ENTIRETY SO THAT THEY MAY MAKE AN INFORMED JUDGMENT CONCERNING THE PLAN.

4.2 PROVISIONS FOR PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES AND PRIORITY TAX CLAIMS

Section 2.1 of the Plan deals with unclassified Claims. In accordance with Bankruptcy Code § 1123(a)(1), Administrative Expense Claims and Priority Tax Claims are not classified

82

²⁶²⁷ The section number references in this Article 4 match up one to one with correspond to the section numbers of the Plan. For example, Section 4.3.1 of this Disclosure Statement correlatesponds to Section 3.1 of the Plan; Section 4.5.1 of this Disclosure Statement correlatesponds to Section 5.1 of the Plan.

and are excluded from the Classes set forth in Article 3 of the Plan. These Claims are not considered impaired and they do not vote on the Plan because they are automatically entitled to specific treatment provided for them in the Bankruptcy Code or upon such other less favorable terms as may be mutually agreed upon between the Holder of such unclassified Claim and the Reorganized Debtors or otherwise established pursuant to an order of the Bankruptcy Court; provided, however, that (A) Administrative Expense Claims representing liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business by the Debtors in Possession on or after the Petition Date or assumed by the Debtors in Possession pursuant to the Plan or an order of the Bankruptcy Court shall be paid by the Reorganized Debtors in accordance with the terms and conditions of the particular transactions and any agreements relating thereto or any order of the Bankruptcy Court, (B) Allowed Administrative Expense Claims of Professionals shall be paid pursuant to an order of the Bankruptcy Court, and (C) each Holder of a Claim which by operation of the Fresenius Settlement Agreement is an obligation for Fresenius Indemnified Taxes promptly shall be paid in full in Cash as such Fresenius Indemnified Taxes become due and payable.

Unless otherwise ordered by the Bankruptcy Court, except for Administrative Expense Claims for amounts incurred by the Debtors in the ordinary course of business during the Chapter 11 Cases, the Confirmation Order shall operate to set a bar date for Administrative Expense Claims against the Debtors (the "Administrative Claims Bar Date"), which bar date shall be the first Business Day that is the 90th day after the Effective Date. Claimants holding Administrative Expense Claims against the Debtors not paid prior to the Administrative Claims Bar Date may submit a request for payment of administrative expense on or before such bar date. The notice of confirmation to be served and delivered pursuant to Bankruptcy Rules 2002 and 3020(c) will set forth such date and constitute notice of the Administrative Claims Bar Date. The Reorganized Debtors and any other party in interest will have 270 days after the Administrative Claims Bar Date to review and object to such Claims before a hearing for determination of such Administrative Expense Claims is held by the Bankruptcy Court, provided, however, that such time may be extended by the Bankruptcy Court upon request of the Reorganized Debtors.

The Debtors estimate the total of all Allowed Administrative Expense Claims to be approximately \$[33.8 million]. Of this amount, approximately \$[30.0 million] relates to the accrual of professional fees and an estimate of other professional fees which will be incurred. The remaining \$[1.8 million] includes approximately \$[1.6 million] of administrative e\overline{\mathbb{E}}nvironmental Claims and unliquidated amounts of \$[25,197] that would be Administrative Expense Claims if and when allowed. The remaining \$[112,524] of Allowed Administrative Expense Claims is entitled to interest from the Petition Date at 4.19% per annum, compounded annually.

The Debtors estimate the total of all Allowed Priority Tax Claims to be approximately \$[33.0 million], which relates to state tax claims to be paid at emergence. 2728

83

²⁷²⁸ Each of these figures in this Section 4.2 is consistent with the Debtors' books and records and includes the Debtors' estimates for certain Claims that are disputed, which Claims may ultimately be determined to be significantly higher or lower.

The remainder of Article 2 of the Plan delineates in detail the treatment of these unclassified Claims, including treatment of liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business, fee applications by Professionals and payment of interest to Holders of Priority Tax Claims.

4.3 CLASSIFICATION AND TREATMENT OF CLAIMS AND EQUITY INTERESTS

Article 3 of the Plan deals with classification and treatment of Claims and Equity Interest.

4.3.1 Summary

Claims and Equity Interests are classified for all purposes, including voting, confirmation, and Distribution pursuant to the Plan and pursuant to Bankruptcy Code §§ 1122 and 1123(a)(1), as follows:

4.3.1.1 Class 1. Priority Claims

Class 1 consists of all Priority Claims against the Debtors. Each Holder of an Allowed Priority Claim shall be paid the Allowed Amount of its Allowed Priority Claim plus interest at 4.19%, from the Petition Date, compounded annually, or if pursuant to an existing contract, interest at the non-default contract rate, at the option of the Reorganized Debtors, either (a) in full, in Cash, on the later of (i) the Effective Date or as soon as practicable thereafter or (ii) the date such Priority Claim becomes an Allowed Priority Claim, or as soon as practicable thereafter, or (b) upon such other less favorable terms as may be agreed upon by the Holder of an Allowed Priority Claims. The Debtors estimate that there is approximately \$[709,873] of Allowed Priority Claims, plus interest at the applicable rate, if any. This amount includes unliquidated liabilities of \$[500,000] that would be Class 1 Claims if and when Allowed. As of [June 30, 2008,2008], the estimated amount of Allowed Priority Claims, including interest, was approximately \$782,607.[782,607]. Class 1 is unimpaired. The Holders of the Allowed Priority Claims in Class 1 are deemed to have voted to accept the Plan and, accordingly, their separate vote will not be solicited.

4.3.1.2 Class 2. Secured Claims

Class 2 consists of all Secured Claims against the Debtors. Each Holder of an Allowed Secured Claim shall be paid the Allowed Amount of its Allowed Secured Claim plus interest at 4.19%, from the Petition Date, compounded annually, or if pursuant to an existing contract, interest at the non-default contract rate, at the option of the Reorganized Debtors, either (a) in full, in Cash, on the later of (i) the Effective Date or as soon as practicable thereafter or (ii) the date such Secured Claim becomes an Allowed Secured Claim, or as soon as practicable thereafter; (b) upon such other less favorable terms as may be agreed upon by the Holder of an Allowed Secured Claim; (c) by the surrender to the Holder or Holders of any Allowed Secured Claim of the property securing such Claim; or (d) notwithstanding any contractual provision or applicable law that entitles the Holder of a Secured Claim to demand or receive payment thereof prior to the stated maturity from and after the occurrence of a default, by reinstatement in accordance with Bankruptcy Code § 1124(2)(A)-(D). The Debtors estimate the total of all Allowed Secured Claims on the Effective Date to be approximately \$[5.7 million] plus interest at the applicable rate, if any. This amount includes unliquidated liabilities of \$[1.7 million] that

would be Class 2 Claims if and when Allowed. As of [June 30, 2008,2008], the estimated amount of Allowed Secured Claims, including interest, was approximately \$[6.4 million]. To the extent an asserted Secured Claim is Allowed as a Secured Claim, it will be treated as a Secured Claim under the Plan. Class 2 is unimpaired. The Holders of the Allowed Secured Claims in Class 2 are deemed to have voted to accept the Plan and, accordingly, their separate vote will not be solicited.

4.3.1.3 Class 3. Employee Benefit Claims

Class 3 consists of all Employee Benefit Claims. Employee Benefit Claims are all Claims, including accrued but unpaid pension Claims from the Petition Date, for compensation or benefits arising out of Claimants' employment with the Debtors, but only to the extent and amount provided for under a written benefit plan sponsored by the Debtors. Workers Compensation Claims, Asbestos Claims or other Claims asserted by current or former employees are not Employee Benefit Claims under the Plan. Further, any Claim for damages or other relief asserted by a current or former employee that is not for compensation or benefits in an amount permitted pursuant to the Debtors' written benefit plans is not an Employee Benefit Claim. Pursuant to Section 3.1.3 of the Plan, Employee Benefit Claims shall be reinstated under the Plan and paid pursuant to the written benefit plan or plans that the Debtors intend to continue pursuant to Section 9.3.1 of the Plan, subject to the terms and conditions of such plans. Thus, the Plan leaves unaltered the legal, equitable, and contractual rights to which each such Claim entitles the Holder of such Claim.

The Debtors estimate the total of all Allowed Employee Benefit Claims, as of [June 30, 2008, 2008], to be approximately \$[165.3 million]. This amount includes approximately \$[70.9 million] of post-retirement benefits other than pensions as described in Section 2.9.3.3 supra and approximately \$[94.4 million] of unfunded special pension arrangements as described in Section 2.9.3.4 supra.

All other Allowed Employee Benefit Claims have already been paid pursuant to first day orders of the Bankruptcy Court or will be paid in the ordinary course as they become due. Class 3 is unimpaired. The Holders of the Employee Benefit Claims in Class 3 are deemed to have voted to accept the Plan and, accordingly, their separate vote will not be solicited.

4.3.1.4 Class 4. Workers' Compensation Claims

Class 4 consists of all Workers' Compensation Claims against the Debtors. The Plan leaves unaltered the legal, equitable, and contractual rights to which each such Claim entitles the Holder of such Claim. For the avoidance of doubt, in no event shall any of the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties or the Fresenius Indemnified Parties have any liability with respect to any Workers' Compensation Claim. All Allowed Workers' Compensation Claims have been paid pursuant to first day orders or will be paid in the ordinary course as they become due. Class 4 is

-

²⁸²⁹ This figure is consistent with the Debtors' books and records and includes the Debtors' estimates for certain Claims that are disputed, which Claims may ultimately be determined to be significantly higher or lower.

unimpaired. The Holders of the Workers' Compensation Claims in Class 4 are deemed to have voted to accept the Plan and, accordingly, their separate vote will not be solicited.

4.3.1.5 Class 5. Intercompany Claims

Class 5 consists of all Intercompany Claims. The Plan leaves unaltered the legal, equitable, and contractual rights to which each such Claim entitles the Holder of such Claim. For pro forma cash flow purposes, all Intercompany Claims will have no impact upon the Plan as all payments under the Plan are based upon the Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates as consolidated. Class 5 is unimpaired. The Holders of Intercompany Claims in Class 5 are deemed to have voted to accept the Plan and, accordingly, their separate vote will not be solicited.

4.3.1.6 Class 6. Asbestos PI Claims

Class 6 consists of all Asbestos PI Claims against the Debtors. All Asbestos PI Claims shall be resolved in accordance with the terms, provisions, and procedures of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement and the <u>Asbestos PI</u> TDP (unless previously allowed pursuant to an order of the Court or agreement of the parties). All Asbestos PI Claims shall be paid by the Asbestos PI Trust solely <u>out of from</u> the Asbestos PI Trust Assets as and to the extent provided in the <u>Asbestos PI</u> TDP. Asbestos PI Claims shall not be deemed allowed or Disallowed (unless an order or agreement approved by the Court allowing the Claim has been previously entered) but rather, shall be resolved by the Asbestos PI Trust pursuant to the terms of the <u>Asbestos PI</u> TDP.

The sole recourse of the Holder of an Asbestos PI Claim with respect to such Asbestos PI Claim (whether or not such Asbestos PI Claim has been previously allowed pursuant to an order of the Court or agreement of the parties) on account of such Asbestos PI Claim shall be to the Asbestos PI Trust pursuant to the provisions of the Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction, the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement, and the Asbestos PI TDP.

Class 6 is impaired. The Debtors are soliciting the votes of Holders of the Asbestos PI Claims in Class 6 to accept or reject the Plan in the manner and to the extent provided in the Confirmation Procedures Order.

4.3.1.7 Class 7. Asbestos PD Claims

Class 7 consists of all Asbestos PD Claims against the Debtors. <u>Class 7A consists of all Asbestos PD Claims (except US ZAI PD Claims) against the Debtors. Class 7B consists of all US ZAI PD Claims against the Debtors.</u>

Each Holder of an Asbestos PD Claim in Class 7A that is Allowed as of the Effective Date pursuant to a PD Settlement Agreement, or other stipulation, order, or agreement, shall be paid the Allowed Amount of its Allowed Asbestos PD Claim in full-in Cash, in Cash, by the Asbestos PD Trust as and when due, without any deduction, proration, reduction, setoff or discount, pursuant to the terms of the respective PD Settlement Agreements, or other stipulation, order, or agreement, by the Asbestos PD Trust pursuant to and the terms of the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement (which Asbestos PD Trust shall be deemed by this Plan, the Confirmation Order and the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement to have assumed the obligations of such PD

<u>Settlement Agreements</u>). Asbestos PD Claims in Class 7A that are unresolved prior to the Effective Date, other than US ZAI PD Claims, are identified in Exhibit 21 in the Exhibit Book. Such Asbestos PD Claims, together with the US ZAI PD Claims, are known as the "Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims," and shall be paid pursuant to the following procedures: (a) in connection with confirmation of the Plan, the Court shall enter the PD Case Management Order Class 7A **CMO** setting forth procedures for determining the allowance or disallowance of the Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims; and (b) Allowed Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims shall be paid in full, in Cash, by the Asbestos PD Trust pursuant to the terms of the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement. All Allowed Asbestos PD Claims in Class 7A shall be paid in full by the Asbestos PD Trust solely from the Asbestos PD Trust Assets that are designated for Class 7A Claims. The inclusion of Demands as Asbestos PD Claims and US ZAI PD Claims in Class 7A and any reference to Demands related to Asbestos PD Claims or US ZAI PD Claims in Class 7A in the Plan does not constitute an admission by the Debtors and the other Plan Proponents that an Entity which did not have an allowable US ZAI PD Claim or other Asbestos PD Claim in Class 7A against the Debtors as of the Effective Date could assert a valid claim against the Asbestos PD Trust contemplated under the Plan, and all rights and defenses to the allowance of such a claim by the Asbestos PD Trust are expressly reserved pursuant to the Plan.

All Asbestos PD Claims in Class 7B shall be resolved in accordance with the terms, provisions, and procedures of the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement and the ZAI TDP (unless previously allowed pursuant to an Order of the Court or agreement of the parties). All Asbestos PD Claims in Class 7B shall be paid by the Asbestos PD Trust solely from the Asbestos PD Trust Assets that are designated for Class 7B Claims under the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement and as provided in the ZAI TDP. Asbestos PD Claims in Class 7B shall not be deemed Allowed or Disallowed (unless an order or agreement approved by the Court allowing the Claim has been previously entered), but rather shall be resolved by the Asbestos PD Trust pursuant to the terms of the ZAI TDP. The inclusion of Demands as US ZAI PD Claims in Class 7B and any reference to Demands related to US ZAI PD Claims in Class 7B in the Plan does not constitute an admission by the Debtors and the other Plan Proponents that an Entity which did not have an allowable US ZAI PD Claim in Class 7B against the Debtors as of the Effective Date could assert a valid claim against the Asbestos PD Trust contemplated under the Plan, and all rights and defenses to the allowance of such a claim by the Asbestos PD Trust are expressly reserved pursuant to the Plan.

The votes of all Claimants in Class 7 will be solicited and tabulated as one class for purposes of section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code in the manner and to the extent provided in the Confirmation Procedures Order. Class 7A is unimpaired; however, the Debtors have agreed to solicit the votes of Holders of the Asbestos PD Claims in Class 7A to accept or reject this Plan solely for purposes of section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code. Class 7B is impaired. The Debtors are soliciting the votes of Holders of the Asbestos PD Claims in Class 7B to accept or reject this Plan in the manner and to the extent provided in the Confirmation Procedures Order both for purposes of section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code and for all other purposes contemplated by sections 1126(c) and 1129(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

The sole recourse of the Holder of an Asbestos PD Claim in Class 7A on account of such Asbestos PD Claim (whether or not such Asbestos PD Claim is Allowed as of the Effective

Date) shall be to the Asbestos PD Trust pursuant to the provisions of the Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction, the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement, the Class 7A CMO, and any orders entered by the Bankruptcy Court allowing such Asbestos PD Claims.

Class 7 is unimpaired. The Debtors have agreed to solicit the votes of Holders of the Asbestos PD Claims in Class 7 to accept or reject the Plan solely for purposes of section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code in the manner and to the extent provided in the Confirmation Procedures Order. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Debtors' agreement to solicit the acceptances of the Holders of US ZAI PD Claims or other Asbestos PD Claims is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to such claims, and seek to disqualify such claims for voting purposes prior to the Confirmation Date.

The sole recourse of the Holder of an Asbestos PD Claim in Class 7B on account of such Asbestos PD Claim (whether or not such Asbestos PD Claim is Allowed as of the Effective Date) shall be to the Asbestos PD Trust pursuant to the provisions of the Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction, the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement, and the ZAI TDP.

4.3.1.8 Class 8. CDN ZAI PD Claims

Class 8 consists of all CDN ZAI PD Claims against the Debtors.

All CDN ZAI PD Claims shall be resolved in accordance with the terms, provisions, and procedures outlined in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement. All CDN ZAI PD Claims shall be paid <u>solely</u> from the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund in the manner set out in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement. CDN ZAI PD Claims shall not be deemed Allowed or Disallowed, but rather shall be resolved as set forth in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement. Confirmation of the Plan shall constitute approval by the Court of the settlement reflected in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement for all purposes including to the extent required by Bankruptcy Rule 9019.

The sole recourse of the Holder of a CDN ZAI PD Claim on account of such CDN ZAI PD Claim shall be to the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund pursuant to the provisions of the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement, the Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction, and any orders by the Canadian Court allowing such CDN ZAI PD Claims.

Class 8 is impaired. The CCAA Representative Counsel shall be entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan on behalf of holders of CDN ZAI PD Claims in the manner and to the extent provided in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement and the Canadian Settlement Approval Order.

4.3.1.9 Class 9. General Unsecured Claims

Class 9 consists of all General Unsecured Claims against the Debtors.

Each Holder of an Allowed General Unsecured Claim shall be paid the Allowed Amount of its Allowed General Unsecured Claim plus post-petition interest on such Claim either (a) in Cash in full on the later of (i) the Effective Date or (ii) the date such General Unsecured Claim becomes an Allowed General Unsecured Claim, or (b) on such other less favorable terms as have been agreed upon by the Holder of an Allowed General Unsecured Claim and the Debtors or the

Reorganized Debtors. Subject to Section 3.1.9(d) of the Plan, post-petition interest on Allowed General Unsecured Claims shall be calculated as follows: (a) either (i) for General Unsecured Claims arising from the Pre-petition Credit Facilities, post-petition interest shall be calculated from the Petition Date through December 31, 2005 at the rate of 6.09% and thereafter at floating prime, in each case compounded quarterly through the Effective Date; (ii) for General Unsecured Claims arising from Environmental Claims that include a liquidated amount for post-petition or future cleanup liability, post-petition interest shall be calculated at the rate of 4.19% from the date specified in any order allowing the Environmental Claim in such liquidated amount, compounded annually through the Effective Date or the date of payment of such General Unsecured Claim if it becomes an Allowed General Unsecured Claim after the Effective Date; (iii) for General Unsecured Claims arising from an existing contract that specifies payment of interest at a non-default rate of interest, post-petition interest shall be calculated at the nondefault rate of interest provided in such contract from the Petition Date, compounded annually through the Effective Date or the date of payment of such General Unsecured Claim if it becomes an Allowed General Unsecured Claim after the Effective Date; or (iv) for all other General Unsecured Claims, post-petition interest shall be calculated from the Petition Date at the rate of 4.19%, compounded annually through the Effective Date or the date of payment of such General Unsecured Claim if it becomes an Allowed General Unsecured Claim after the Effective Date; or (b) on such other less favorable terms as those that have been agreed upon by the Holder of an Allowed General Unsecured Claim and the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors, including an agreement whereby no post-petition interest is paid on the Claim or post-petition interest begins to accrue on the Claim on a date other than the Petition Date.

The Debtors' obligations under the Multi-Site Settlement Agreement approved by the Bankruptcy Court on June 3, 2008 (Docket No. 18847) constitute Class 9 Claims, except for those obligations specifically identified therein as Allowed Administrative Expense Claims. The Multi-Site Settlement Agreement is incorporated into the Plan, and the rights of the Settling Federal Agencies and the Debtors with respect to "Debtor Owned Sites," "Additional Sites," "Work Consent Decrees" and "Work Administrative Orders," as defined in the Multi-Site Settlement Agreement, shall be governed by the Multi-Site Settlement Agreement notwithstanding any other provision of the Plan or Confirmation Order to the contrary.

If any Holder of a General Unsecured Claim, other than a Holder of a General Unsecured Claim arising from the Pre-petition Credit Facilities (which Claims are subject to a pending objection and litigation concerning the amount of post-petition interest to which the Holders are entitled) believes that it is entitled to post-petition interest at a rate or calculation other than the treatment set forth in Section 3.1.9(b) of the Plan, such Holder may File with the Bankruptcy Court a "Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice" by no later than the deadline established by the Bankruptcy Court for Claimants to vote to accept or reject the Plan. Any Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice that is Filed shall (a) identify the Claim and the requested rate of post-petition interest applicable to such Claim and (b) attach documentation supporting the payment of such rate of interest for the Claim. Failure to timely File a Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice with the required information and supporting documentation will be deemed an agreement to accept the post-petition interest treatment provided for in Section 3.1.9(b) of the Plan. The Debtors shall provide notice of the deadline to File a Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice in the manner and to the extent provided in the Confirmation Procedures Order.

The Debtors or Reorganized Debtors, as applicable, may dispute any Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice by Filing an objection thereto by no later than 60 days after the Effective Date. In objecting to a Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice, the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors, as applicable, may assert that the Holder of the General Unsecured Claim that Filed the Post-petition Interest Determination Notice is entitled to no post-petition interest under applicable law or that an amount of post-petition interest less than the amount provided for in Section 3.1.9(b) of the Plan should be paid on account of such Claim, and the Bankruptcy Court may so find in accordance with any such objection asserted by the Debtors or the Reorganized Debtors. If the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors, as applicable, object to a Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice, then they shall also assert any and all objections that they may have to the underlying General Unsecured Claim within the same objection notwithstanding the time to file such other objections set forth in Section 5.1 of the Plan.

The Debtors shall pay the principal amount of any Allowed General Unsecured Claim to which a Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice relates on the Effective Date or on the date on which such Claim becomes an Allowed General Unsecured Claim in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Plan, *provided, however*, that no payment of post-petition interest will be made with respect to any General Unsecured Claim for which a Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice has been resolved in accordance with Section 3.1.9(d) of the Plan. In addition, the Debtors shall pay the principal amount of the General Unsecured Claims arising from the Pre-petition Credit Facilities on the Effective Date, *provided, however*, that no payment of post-petition interest will be made with respect to such General Unsecured Claims until the Debtors' objection in relation thereto has been resolved by a Final Order. Post-petition interest shall not accrue with respect to any General Unsecured Claim after the Debtors have paid the principal amount of such Claim.

At any time, if the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors, as applicable, determine that the post-petition interest rate or calculation asserted in a Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice is appropriate, the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors, as applicable, may File a certificate of no objection with respect to such notice (without prejudice to their rights in relation to any other Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice). No hearing is required by the Bankruptcy Court with respect to any Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice for which a certificate of no objection is Filed or to which the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors, as applicable, do not timely File an objection, and the respective amount of post-petition interest shall be paid on the Post-Effective Distribution Date with respect thereto.

If the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors, as applicable, object to a Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice and no stipulation or agreement is reached with respect to the rate or calculation of post-petition interest for such General Unsecured Claim, the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors, as applicable, will ask the Bankruptcy Court to schedule a hearing on the particular Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice and the related objection at an appropriate time and shall pay the amount of post-petition interest determined by a Final Order in relation to such Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice on the Post-Effective Distribution Date in relation thereto. All litigation with respect to a disputed Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice shall be conducted in the Bankruptcy Court as claims allowance litigation, subject to the same bankruptcy rules and procedures that would have applied had the litigation been conducted before the Effective Date.

The Debtors or Reorganized Debtors, as applicable, and the Holder of the General Unsecured Claim that Filed the Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice at any time may enter into a stipulation or agreement as to the appropriate rate or calculation of post-petition interest with respect to such General Unsecured Claim without further action of the Bankruptcy Court and without any prejudice to the Debtors' or the Reorganized Debtors' objections to any other Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice.

Any Holder of a General Unsecured Claim, other than a Holder of a General Unsecured Claim arising from the Pre-petition Credit Facilities, who does not dispute the manner in which post-petition interest shall be calculated as provided for in Section 3.1.9(b)(i)(C) of the Plan, but who wishes to substantiate the existence of an existing contract that specifies payment of interest at a non-default rate of interest as contemplated by Section 3.1.9(b)(i)(C) of the Plan, shall submit a "Notice of Non-Default Contract Rate of Interest" to the Debtors' voting and claims reconciliation agent, BMC Group, Inc., by no later than the deadline established by the Bankruptcy Court for Claimants to vote to accept or reject the Plan. Any Notice of Non-Default Contract Rate of Interest shall (a) identify the Claim and the non-default contractual rate of interest applicable to such Claim, (b) attach a copy of the contract relating to such Claim and (c) be signed by the Holder of the Claim or its authorized representative under penalty of perjury. A Notice of Non-Default Contract Rate of Interest does not need to be Filed with the Bankruptcy Court. Provided that a Holder of a General Unsecured Claim or its authorized representative has not Filed a Post-Petition Interest Determination Notice, failure by a Holder of a General Unsecured Claim or its authorized representative to timely submit a Notice of Non-Default Contract Rate of Interest will be deemed an admission that no non-default contract rate of interest exists with respect to such Holder's General Unsecured Claim, and said Holder of the General Unsecured Claim shall receive interest as set forth in Section 3.1.9(b)(i)(D) of the Plan. The Debtors shall provide notice of the deadline to submit a Notice of Non-Default Contract Rate of Interest in the manner and to the extent provided in the Confirmation Procedures Order.

The Debtors may dispute any Notice of Non-Default Contract Rate of Interest by serving a written objection at any time before the Effective Date upon the Holder of a General Unsecured Claim who has submitted a Notice of Non-Default Contract Rate of Interest. After a written objection to a Notice of Non-Default Contract Rate of Interest has been served, the Debtors or the Reorganized Debtors, as the case may be, and the Holder of the applicable General Unsecured Claim shall negotiate to resolve the objection. If a resolution is not reached, the Holder of the General Unsecured Claim may request a hearing before the Bankruptcy Court to resolve the objection to its Notice of Non-Default Contract Rate of Interest, provided, however, that such request must be made no later than 60 days after the Effective Date and that the only issue to be determined by the Bankruptcy Court at such a hearing shall be the appropriate amount of non-default contract interest with respect to the General Unsecured Claim, which shall be paid on the Post-Effective Distribution Date in relation to a Final Order making such determination. If the Debtors do not dispute a Notice of Non-Default Contract Rate of Interest by serving a written objection upon the Holder of a General Unsecured Claim who has submitted a Notice of Non-Default Contract Rate of Interest, then the interest rate contained in the Notice of Non-Default Contract Rate of Interest shall govern and be paid.

To the extent that a Notice of Non-Default Contract Rate of Interest relates to an Allowed General Unsecured Claim and does not relate to a Claim that is also subject to a Post-Petition

Interest Determination Notice, the Debtors shall pay, on the Effective Date or on the date on which such Claim becomes an Allowed General Unsecured Claim, the principal amount of the Allowed General Unsecured Claim to which such notice relates plus post-petition interest at the rate of 4.19% from the Petition Date or, if applicable, the non-default contract rate of interest according to the Debtors' books and records, compounded annually, in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Plan pending resolution of any dispute concerning the amount of non-default contract rate of interest asserted in the Notice of Non-Default Contract Rate of Interest. Post-petition interest shall not accrue with respect to any General Unsecured Claim after the Debtors have paid the principal amount of such Claim.

The Debtors assert that Class 9 is unimpaired and that the Holders of the General Unsecured Claims in Class 9 are deemed to have voted to accept the Plan. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Debtors have agreed to provisionally solicit the votes of Holders of General Unsecured Claims in Class 9 in the manner and to the extent provided in the Confirmation Procedures Order.

The Unsecured Creditors' Committee contends that Class 9 is impaired because the Debtors' Plan impairs the rights of the Class 9 Creditors, as it does not leave the creditors' rights entirely unaltered. For a discussion of the parties' current positions and litigation with respect to the appropriate interest to be paid under the Debtors' Pre-petition Credit Facilities see Section 3.2.8.4 above. The Committee argues that the Debtors' Pre-petition Credit Facilities that govern the rights of certain holders of Class 9 Claims call for a payment equal to the Prime Rate plus 2% in the event of default, compounded quarterly. The current Plan calls for Class 9 creditors to receive post-petition rates selected by the Plan Proponents that the Committee alleges have no foundation in the Pre-petition Credit Facilities (6.09% interest through December 31, 2005 and thereafter at the floating Prime Rate compounded quarterly, with no default interest). The Committee alleges that the impairment is impermissible because it results solely from the treatment of the Class in the Plan. In addition, although the Plan now provides for a procedure by which "other" General Unsecured Creditors may litigate the post-petition interest amounts due them, the rights of such creditors are also altered by the Plan. Therefore, under the Plan, the Committee alleges that Class 9 must be classified as impaired. The Debtors and Unsecured Creditors' Committee agreed on the record at the court hearing held on October 27, 2008 that the Unsecured Creditors' Committee's arguments with respect to the impairment of Class 9 are preserved and will be raised by the Unsecured Creditors' Committee as an objection to confirmation of the Plan.

The Debtors contend that Class 9 is not impaired, because all Class 9 Creditors will be paid the full Allowed Amount of their Claims plus post-petition interest as set forth in the Plan. The Debtors assert that any alteration of the rights of Class 9 Creditors is a function of the Bankruptcy Code rather than the Plan itself and thus does not constitute impairment. In this regard, it is the Debtors' position that the amount of post-petition interest provided in the Plan to Holders of Claims arising from the Pre-petition Credit Facilities is all that such Holders of Claims are entitled to as a matter of law and equity based upon the specific facts of these cases. Among the factors that the Debtors believe support their position is that the Unsecured Creditors' Committee previously negotiated, and agreed to, the rate of post-petition interest set forth in the Plan in connection with the Debtors' January 2005 plan of reorganization (an agreement modified by those parties in February 2006). Thus, the Debtors believe that the Holders of such

Claims are not impaired. Lastly, the Debtors contend that the Holders of "other" General Unsecured Claims are not impaired, because the Plan provides a mechanism for such Holders to assert their rights, if any, to obtain a payment of post-petition interest in an amount different than that set forth in the Plan.

The Debtors estimate the total of all Allowed General Unsecured Claims (including interest calculated in accordance with the terms above) to be approximately \$[1,155.8 million] as of [June 30, 2008.²⁹2008].³⁰ This amount consists of \$500.0 million of principal under the Debtors' Pre-petition Credit Facilities and approximately \$[305.1 million] of accrued interest, approximately \$[154.4 million] of Environmental Claims, approximately \$[27.6 million] under drawn letters of credit and other debt (\$[24.2 million] of principal plus \$[3.4 million] of accrued interest), approximately \$[40.9 million] of accounts payable (\$[30.3 million] of principal plus \$[10.6 million] of accrued interest) and approximately \$[127.9 million] of other liabilities (\$[67.2 million] of tax reserves and \$[58.5 million] of other accrued liabilities plus \$[2.2 million] of interest).

4.3.1.10 Class 10. Equity Interests in the Parent

Class 10 consists of Equity Interests in the Parent. On the Effective Date, Class 10 Equity Interests in the Parent shall be retained, subject to the issuance of the Warrant—and, the terms of the Share Issuance Agreement, and the Stock Trading Restrictions Term Sheet. Class 10 is impaired. The Debtors are soliciting the votes of Holders of the Equity Interests in the Parent in Class 10 to accept or reject the Plan in the manner and to the extent provided in the Confirmation Procedures Order.

4.3.1.11 Class 11. Equity Interests in the Debtors Other than the Parent

Class 11 consists of Equity Interests in the Debtors other than the Parent. The Plan leaves unaltered the legal, equitable, and contractual rights to which each such Equity Interest in the Debtors other than the Parent entitles the Holder of such Equity Interest. Class 11 is unimpaired. The Holders of the Equity Interests in the Debtors other than the Parent in Class 11 are deemed to have voted to accept the Plan and, accordingly, their separate vote will not be solicited.

4.4 MODIFICATION OR WITHDRAWAL OF THE PLAN

Sections 4.1 and 4.2 of the Plan set forth the Plan Proponents' collective right to modify, amend or withdraw the Plan and/or the Plan Documents, and the effect of any such withdrawal. Section 4.1 provides that after the Confirmation Date, the Plan can be altered, amended or modified but only before its substantial consummation in accordance with Bankruptcy Code § 1127(b). These sections further provide, however, that in no event may the Plan Proponents or any other party amend, modify or supplement the Plan or any other Plan Document in a manner

93

^{29&}lt;u>30</u> This figure is consistent with the Debtors' books and records and includes the Debtors' estimates for certain Claims that are disputed, which Claims may ultimately be determined to be significantly higher or lower.

that (a) conflicts with the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement except to the extent that the modification or amendment is expressly consented to in writing by each of Sealed Air Corporation and Cryovac, Inc. or (b) conflicts with the Fresenius Settlement Agreement except to the extent that the modification or amendment is expressly consented to in writing by Fresenius.

4.5 PROVISIONS FOR TREATMENT OF DISPUTED CLAIMS AND ASBESTOS CLAIMS GENERALLY

4.5.1 Objections to Claims (other than Asbestos <u>PI</u>Claims); Prosecution of Disputed Claims

Section 5.1 of the Plan sets forth the right of the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors, as applicable, and the United States Trustee, to object to the allowance of any Claims, other than Asbestos **PI Claims, US ZAI PD Claims, and CDN ZAI PD** Claims. Unless otherwise provided for in the Plan or in an order of the Bankruptcy Court, the Reorganized Debtors have up to 180 days after the Effective Date to serve and File objections to Plan Claims and may seek additional extensions of their time to object to Plan Claims on notice to all Holders of Plan Claims (other than Asbestos **PI** Claims) that are still pending allowance and are not subject to a pending objection. It also delineates the ways in which such objections may be resolved.

Not later than ten (10) days before the Effective Date, the Debtors shall File with the Bankruptcy Court an exhibit listing all Plan Claims (other than Asbestos <u>PI Claims, US ZAI PD</u> <u>Claims, and CDN ZAI PD</u> Claims) that the Debtors have already analyzed and to which the Debtors have no objection (the "Undisputed Claims Exhibit"). Plan Claims listed on the Undisputed Claims Exhibit shall be Allowed Claims as set forth in Section 1.1.4 of the Plan. The Debtors or the Reorganized Debtors, as applicable, may File additional Undisputed Claims Exhibits with the Court at any time after the Filing of the initial Undisputed Claims Exhibit with respect to any remaining Plan Claims (other than Asbestos <u>PI Claims, US ZAI PD Claims, and CDN ZAI PD Claims</u>) if they have determined not to object to any of such Claims.

4.5.2 Resolution of Asbestos PI Claims

Section 5.3 of the Plan sets forth the way in which Asbestos PI Claims will be resolved by reference to the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement and the <u>Asbestos PI</u> TDP.

4.5.3 Resolution of Asbestos PD Claims

Section 5.4 of the Plan sets forth the way in which Asbestos PD Claims will be resolved by reference to the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement and (a) in the PDcase of Asbestos PD Claims in Class 7A, the Class 7A Case Management Order setting forth procedures for determining the allowance or disallowance of Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims; and (b) in the case of Asbestos PD Claims in Class 7B, the ZAI TDP setting forth procedures for resolving the US ZAI PD Claims in Class 7B.

4.5.4 Resolution of CDN ZAI PD Claims

Section 5.5 of the Plan sets forth the resolution of CDN ZAI PD Claims, which will be resolved by reference to the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement.

4.6 ACCEPTANCE OR REJECTION OF THE PLAN

Article 6 of the Plan discusses which Classes are impaired and which are not. Each Holder of a Plan Claim or Equity Interest in an impaired Class is entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan to the extent and in the manner provided in the Plan and the Confirmation Procedures Order. In addition, the Debtors have agreed to solicit the votes of Holders of the Asbestos PD Claims in Class 7<u>A</u> to accept or reject the Plan for purposes of section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code as described in Section 3.1.7(d) of the Plan. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Debtors' agreement to solicit the acceptances of the Holders of US ZAI PD Claims or other Asbestos PD Claims is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object to such claims, and seek to disqualify such claims for voting purposes prior to the Confirmation Datec) of the Plan. Further, the Debtors have agreed to solicit and tabulate the votes of the Holders of General Unsecured Claims in Class 9. Whether those votes will be given effect is subject to it being determined that Class 9 is an impaired Class.

Classes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7,7,4, 9 and 11 of Plan Claims and Equity Interests in Debtors other than the Parent are unimpaired. Under Bankruptcy Code § 1126(f), the Holders of Plan Claims and Equity Interests in such Classes (except for Class 7,4 with respect to section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code) are conclusively presumed to have voted to accept the Plan.

Section 6.5.1 of the Plan deals with confirmation in the event an impaired Class rejects the Plan. Impaired Equity Interests in the Parent and/or impaired Classes of Plan Claims that fail to accept the Plan may be "crammed down" in accordance with Bankruptcy Code § 1129(b). *See also* Section 8.2.1 of this Disclosure Statement for further discussion.

Section 6.5.2 of the Plan provides that if the Plan fails to be accepted by the requisite number and amount of the Holders of Plan Claims and Equity Interests required to satisfy Bankruptcy Code §§ 524(g) and 1129, then, notwithstanding any other provision of the Plan to the contrary, the Plan Proponents reserve the right to amend the Plan; *provided, however*, that in no event may the Plan Proponents amend, modify or supplement the Plan in a manner that (a) conflicts with the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement except to the extent that the amendment, modification or supplement is expressly consented to in writing by each of Sealed Air Corporation and Cryovac, Inc. or (b) conflicts with the Fresenius Settlement Agreement except to the extent expressly consented to in writing by Fresenius.

4.7 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PLAN

4.7.1 Corporate Governance

4.7.1.1 Amendment of Certificates of Incorporation of the Debtors

Section 7.1.1 of the Plan provides that the Certificates of Incorporation of each of the Debtors that is a corporation shall be amended as of the Effective Date. The amended Certificates of Incorporation of the Debtors shall, among other things: (a) prohibit the issuance of nonvoting equity securities, (b) as to any classes of securities possessing voting power, provide for an appropriate distribution of such power among such classes, including, in the case of any class of equity securities having a preference over another class of equity securities with respect to dividends, adequate provisions for the election of directors representing such preferred

class in the event of default in payment of such dividends, and (c) effectuate any other provisions of the Plan. The amended Certificates of Incorporation shall be filed with the Secretary of State or equivalent official in their respective jurisdictions of incorporation on or prior to the Effective Date and be in full force and effect without any further amendment as of the Effective Date.

4.7.1.2 Amendment of By-Laws of the Parent

Section 7.1.2 of the Plan deals with amendments to the Parent's by-laws. The form of the Parent's by-laws shall be filed as part of the Plan Supplement.

4.7.1.3 Precedence of Share Issuance Obligations

The covenants and agreements of the Parent (for purposes of Section 7.1.3 of the Plan and this Section 4.7.1.3 of the Disclosure Statement, as defined in the Share Issuance Agreement) under Section 5(d) of the Share Issuance Agreement shall take precedence and prevail over any inconsistent or contrary provision contained in the certificate of incorporation or by-laws of the Parent or any of its subsidiaries or in any contract, agreement or other instrument to which Parent or any of its subsidiaries is a party or otherwise bound (other than provisions, if any, that are inconsistent with, or contrary to, provisions of the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement or the Fresenius Settlement Agreement), and, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, any such inconsistent or contrary provision shall be nugatory and of no force and effect and shall not dilute, restrict, or impair the value or ownership rights of the shares issued to the Asbestos PI Trust thereunder. The issuance of stock to the **Trusts' Representative on behalf of the** Asbestos PI Trust **and the Asbestos PD Trust** pursuant to the Share Issuance Agreement, shall not be subject to or trigger any "poison pill," shareholder or stockholder rights plan, or other anti-takeover or takeover defense plan, contract, agreement, instrument, or provision adopted or implemented by the Parent.

4.7.1.4 Warrant

The Board of Directors of Reorganized Parent shall take all actions necessary so that the Asbestos PI Trust shall not be an "Acquiring Person" within the meaning of the Amended and Restated Rights Agreement, dated as of March 25, 2008, by and between the Reorganized Parent and Mellon Investor Services, LLC, as rights agent (as amended from time to time, the "Rights Agreement"). The Reorganized Parent shall not lower the Beneficial Ownership (as defined in the Rights Agreement) percentage in the Rights Agreement's definition of "Acquiring Person" until such time as the Asbestos PI Trust no longer owns the Warrant (either because of its transfer or expiration) or any shares of Parent Common Stock issued to the Asbestos PI Trust upon exercise of the Warrant. No "poison pill," shareholder or stockholder rights plan, or other anti-takeover or takeover defense plan, contract, agreement, instrument, or provision adopted or implemented by the Reorganized Parent shall apply to or be triggered by the issuance of the Warrant to, or the purchase of, Parent Common Stock upon exercise of the Warrant by, the Asbestos PI Trust.

If, prior to issuance of the Warrant to the Asbestos PI Trust, the Reorganized Parent shall issue or sell any shares of Parent Common Stock, other than Excluded Stock (defined below), or any rights to purchase or acquire, or securities convertible into or exchangeable for, shares of Parent Common Stock (including without limitation any (x) options (other than Excluded

Options, as defined below), warrants or other rights (whether or not at the time exercisable) to purchase or acquire Parent Common Stock, other than Excluded Stock, (y) securities by their terms convertible into or exchangeable (whether at the time so convertible or exchangeable) for Parent Common Stock, other than Excluded Stock or (z) options (other than Excluded Options), warrants or rights to purchase such convertible or exchangeable securities), for no consideration or for a consideration per share that is less than the securities exchange average closing price per share of Parent Common Stock for the twenty consecutive trading days preceding (and not including) the last trading day immediately prior to the day of such issuance or sale (the "Market Price"), then and in each such case (a "Trigger Issuance") the per share exercise price of the Warrant (initially, \$17.00) shall be reduced, immediately upon such Trigger Issuance, to the price determined by multiplying such exercise price by a fraction, (1) the numerator of which shall be (x) the number of shares of Parent Common Stock outstanding immediately prior to such issuance or sale plus (y) the number of shares of Parent Common Stock which the aggregate consideration received (or to be received) by the Reorganized Parent for the total number of such additional shares of Parent Common Stock so issued or sold (or issuable upon exercise, conversion or exchange) would purchase at the Market Price and (2) the denominator of which shall be the number of shares of Parent Common Stock outstanding (or issuable upon exercise, conversion or exchange) immediately after such Trigger Issuance. In the event of such an adjustment of such exercise price, the number of shares of Parent Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of the Warrant (initially, 10,000,000 shares of Parent Common Stock) shall be increased to a number obtained by dividing (1) the product of (x) the number of shares of Parent Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of the Warrant before such adjustment, and (y) the exercise price thereof in effect immediately prior to the Trigger Issuance by (2) the new exercise price determined in accordance with the immediately preceding sentence. Such adjustments shall be made whenever such shares of Common Stock or such rights, options (other than Excluded Options) or warrants or convertible securities are issued or sold. "Excluded Stock" means shares of Parent Common Stock issued and sold in a registered firm commitment underwritten public offering pursuant to a registration statement declared effective in accordance with the Securities Act, or any successor statute thereto. Excluded Stock shall not include a private placement of shares, including without limitation one which is followed by a public offering thereof. "Excluded Options" means options to purchase shares of Parent Common Stock issued to directors, officers, employees and consultants of any Reorganized Debtor (i) pursuant to an option plan or arrangement approved by either the stockholders of Parent or Reorganized Parent or the Bankruptcy Court and (ii) with an exercise price equal to the average of the high and the low trading prices of Parent Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange (or if Parent Common Stock is not traded on the New York Stock Exchange, on the principal stock exchange on which it trades) on the date of grant of the option.

At the time of issuance, the exercise price of, and number of shares issuable pursuant to, the Warrant shall be reflect any adjustment made pursuant to the preceding paragraph.

4.7.1.5 Trading Restrictions on Parent Common Stock

Following the Effective Date, the Board of Directors of the Reorganized Parent will be authorized, in certain circumstances, to impose trading restrictions on Parent Common Stock. The purpose of these restrictions is to limit the possibility that a 50 percentage point ownership change would occur with respect to Parent Common Stock within the meaning

of section 382 of the IRC. If an ownership change were to occur, the Reorganized Debtors' use of their net operating loss and certain other tax losses would become limited. See Section 11.1.4 infra for a more detailed discussion of these rules. The Board of Directors of the Reorganized Parent is permitted to implement these restrictions only if 25 percentage points of ownership change have occurred. In general, if the Board of Directors of the Reorganized Parent has determined to impose trading restrictions, such restrictions would only prohibit an acquisition of Parent Common Stock by a person or entity that is or would become a five percent shareholder of Reorganized Parent. No restrictions shall be imposed on the acquisition or sale of Parent Common stock by the Asbestos PI Trust or the Asbestos PD Trust or the ability of any person to acquire any or all of the Parent Common Stock from the Asbestos PI Trust or the Asbestos PD Trust to the extent the aforementioned Parent Common Stock is acquired by the Asbestos PI Trust or the Asbestos PD Trust from Grace. A term sheet summarizing the terms of these restrictions is Exhibit 32 in the Exhibit Book.

4.7.2 The Asbestos PI Trust

4.7.2.1 Creation of the Asbestos PI Trust

Upon the entry of the Confirmation Order, effective as of the Effective Date, the Asbestos PI Trust shall be created pursuant to section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code and in accordance with the Plan Documents. The Asbestos PI Trust shall be a "qualified settlement fund" for federal income tax purposes within the meaning of the treasury regulations issued pursuant to section 468B of the IRC.

The purpose of the Asbestos PI Trust shall be to, among other things: (a) assume the liabilities of the Debtors with respect to all Asbestos PI Claims; (b) process, liquidate, pay and satisfy all Asbestos PI Claims in accordance, as applicable, with the Plan, the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement and the Asbestos PI TDP and in such a way that provides reasonable assurance that the Asbestos PI Trust will value, and be in a financial position to pay, present and future Asbestos PI Claims (including Demands that involve similar claims) in substantially the same manner and to otherwise comply with Bankruptcy Code § 524(g)(2)(B)(i); (c) preserve, hold, manage, and maximize the assets of the Asbestos PI Trust for use in paying and satisfying Asbestos PI Claims entitled to payment; (d) qualify at all times as a "qualified settlement fund" for federal income tax purposes within the meaning of the treasury regulations issued pursuant to Section 468B of the IRC; and (e) otherwise carry out the provisions of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement and any other agreements into which the Asbestos PI Trustees have entered or will enter in connection with the Plan.

4.7.2.2 Funding of the Asbestos PI Trust

(a) On the Effective Date, Grace-Conn or Parent shall transfer to the Asbestos PI Trust (i) the sum of \$250 million in Cash that is part of the Asbestos PI Trust Assets, plus interest thereon from January 1, 2009 until (and including) the Effective Date at the same rate applicable to the Debtors' senior debt and (ii) an amount in Cash equal to the Asbestos PD Initial Payment. In addition to the foregoing, on the Effective Date, Grace-Conn or Parent, on behalf of the Reorganized Debtors and the Non-Debtor Affiliates, shall transfer, or cause the transfer of all

other Asbestos PI Trust Assets that are not otherwise identified, transferred, or assigned in Section 7.2.2 of the Plan and Section 7.2.4 of the Plan to the Asbestos PI Trust.

- by the <u>total aggregate</u> amount of Cryovac, Inc.'s transfers to the Asbestos PD Trust as part of the <u>Asbestos PDClass 7A Initial Payment and the Class 7B</u> Initial Payment) directly to the Asbestos PI Trust. Simultaneous with, and in exchange for, such direct transfer and payment to the Asbestos PI Trust and Cryovac. Inc.'s transfers to the Asbestos PD Trust described in <u>sSections</u> 7.3.2(a) and 7.3.2(b) of the Plan, the Plaintiffs shall deliver to Sealed Air: (i) the "Release" (as defined in the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement) duly executed by each of the Plaintiffs and the SA Debtors; (ii) a copy of the Plan, (iii) a copy of the Confirmation Order, and (iv) a duly executed Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice of the Sealed Air Action in the form annexed as Exhibit 4 to the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement, denying any other recovery against the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties, and (v) the Registration Rights Agreement, in the form annexed as Exhibit 1 to the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement, with appropriate insertions therein, duly executed by the "Initial Holders" (as defined in the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement).
- (c) On the Effective Date, Fresenius shall transfer the Fresenius Payment (reduced by the <u>total aggregate</u> amount of Fresenius' transfer to the Asbestos PD Trust as part of the <u>Asbestos PDClass 7A Initial Payment and the Class 7B</u> Initial Payment) directly to the Asbestos PI Trust.
- (d) (i) On the Effective Date, the Insurance Contributors shall execute and deliver the Asbestos Insurance Transfer Agreement to the Asbestos PI Trust.
- (ii) All Asbestos Insurance Rights, and all claims and causes of action asserted or to be asserted in furtherance of or connection therewith, shall be preserved for the benefit of the Asbestos PI Trust, for prosecution either by the applicable Insurance Contributor or the Asbestos PI Trust in accordance with the Asbestos Insurance Transfer Agreement. Upon execution and delivery of the Asbestos Insurance Transfer Agreement, all Asbestos Insurance Rights shall be irrevocably transferred to and vested in the Asbestos PI Trust, without any further action by the Debtors, the other Insurance Contributors, the Asbestos PI Trust, or the Bankruptcy Court. Asbestos Insurance Rights shall be so vested free and clear of all Encumbrances, liens, security interests, and other Claims or causes of action, except that all Asbestos Insurer Coverage Defenses are preserved.
- (iii) Upon its execution and delivery, the Asbestos Insurance Transfer Agreement shall be valid, binding, and enforceable. However, if a court of competent jurisdiction determines the Asbestos Insurance Transfer Agreement to be invalid, non-binding, or unenforceable, in whole or in part, then each Insurance Contributor shall (A) upon request by the Asbestos PI Trust and at the reasonable expense of the Asbestos PI Trust, take all reasonable actions to pursue any of the Asbestos Insurance Rights for the benefit of, and to the extent requested by, the Asbestos PI Trust and (B) immediately transfer any amounts recovered under or on account of any of the Asbestos Insurance Rights to the Asbestos PI Trust; provided, however, that while any such amounts are held by or under the control of any Insurance Contributor, such amounts shall be held in trust for the benefit of the Asbestos PI Trust.

4.7.2.3 Transfer of Claims and Demands to the Asbestos PI Trust

On the Effective Date, without any further action of any Entity, all liabilities, obligations, and responsibilities of any Asbestos Protected Party with respect to all Asbestos PI Claims shall be channeled to and assumed by the Asbestos PI Trust. Section 7.2.3 of the Plan is intended to further effect the Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction described in Section 8.2 of the Plan and the discharge described in Section 8.1 of the Plan. Section 7.2.3 of the Plan is not intended to, and it shall not, serve as a waiver of any defense to any claim the Debtors, the Asbestos PI Trust, or any other Asbestos Protected Party would otherwise have.

4.7.2.4 Assignment and Enforcement of Trust Causes of Action

On the Effective Date, by virtue of the confirmation of the Plan, without further notice, action, or deed, the <u>Asbestos PI</u> Trust Causes of Action shall be automatically transferred and assigned to, and indefeasibly vested in, the Asbestos PI Trust, and the Asbestos PI Trust shall thereby become the estate representative pursuant to section 1123(b)(3)(B) of the Bankruptcy Code with respect to the <u>Asbestos PI</u> Trust Causes of Action, with the exclusive right to enforce the <u>Asbestos PI</u> Trust Causes of Action, and the proceeds of the recoveries of such <u>Asbestos PI</u> Trust Causes of Action shall be deposited in and shall become the property of the Asbestos PI Trust; *provided*, *however*, that nothing in the Plan shall alter, amend, or modify the injunctions and/or releases provided under the Plan including the Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction, the Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction, the Successor Claims Injunction and the Asbestos Insurance Entity Injunction.

4.7.2.5 Appointment and Termination of Trustees

The three initial Asbestos PI Trustees of the Asbestos PI Trust shall be the persons identified in the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement. All successor Asbestos PI Trustees shall be appointed in accordance with the terms of the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement. Upon termination of the Asbestos PI Trust, the Asbestos PI Trustees' employment shall be deemed terminated and the Asbestos PI Trustees shall be released and discharged of and from all further authority, duties, responsibilities and obligations relating to or arising from or in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases.

4.7.2.6 Creation and Termination of the <u>Asbestos PI TAC</u>

The <u>Asbestos PI</u> Trust Advisory Committee shall be established pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement. The <u>Asbestos PI</u> TAC shall have four members and shall have the functions, duties and rights provided in the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement. On or before the Confirmation Date, the initial members of the <u>Asbestos PI</u> TAC shall be selected by the Asbestos PI Committee. Upon termination of the Asbestos PI Trust, the <u>Asbestos PI</u> TAC shall be deemed dissolved and the <u>Asbestos PI</u> TAC shall be released and discharged of and from all further authority, duties, responsibilities and obligations relating to or arising from or in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases.

4.7.2.7 Cooperation Agreement

On the Effective Date, the Reorganized Debtors and the Asbestos PI Trust shall enter into a cooperation agreement substantially in the form included as Exhibit 10 in the Exhibit Book.

4.7.2.8 Institution and Maintenance of Legal and Other Proceedings

As of the Effective Date, without any further action of the Bankruptcy Court or any Entity, the Asbestos PI Trust shall be empowered to initiate, prosecute, defend, and resolve all legal actions and other proceedings related to any asset, liability, or responsibility of the Asbestos PI Trust, including the **Asbestos PI** Trust Causes of Action.

4.7.3 The Asbestos PD Trust

4.7.3.1 Creation of the Asbestos PD Trust

Upon the entry of the Confirmation Order, effective as of the Effective Date, the Asbestos PD Trust shall be created pursuant to section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code and in accordance with the Plan Documents. The Asbestos PD Trust shall be a "qualified settlement fund" for federal income tax purposes within the meaning of the treasury regulations issued pursuant to section 468B of the IRC.

The purpose of the Asbestos PD Trust shall be to, among other things, (a) assume the liabilities of the Debtors with respect to all Asbestos PD Claims, (b) pay and satisfy all Asbestos PD Claims in Class 7A in accordance, as applicable, with the Plan, the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement, the PD Settlement Agreements, the Class 7A CMO, and the Final Orders by the Bankruptcy Court determining the Allowed Amount of the Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims in such a way that provides reasonable assurance that the Asbestos PD Trust will value, and be in a financial position to pay, present and future Asbestos PD Claims in Class 7A (including Demands, if any, that involve similar claims) in substantially the same manner and to otherwise comply with Bankruptcy Code § 524(g)(2)(B)(i); (c) pay and satisfy all US ZAI PD Claims in Class 7B in accordance, as applicable, with this Plan, the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement and the ZAI TDP in such a way that provides reasonable assurance that the Asbestos PD Trust will value, and be in a financial position to pay, present and future US ZAI PD Claims in Class 7B (including Demands, if any, that involve similar claims) in substantially the same manner and to otherwise comply with Bankruptcy Code § 524(g)(2)(B)(i); (d) preserve, hold, manage, and maximize the assets of the Asbestos PD Trust for use in paying and satisfying Asbestos PD Claims entitled to payment; (d and pay all expenses incurred in connection with such Claims; (e) qualify at all times as a "qualified settlement fund" for federal income tax purposes within the meaning of the treasury regulations issued pursuant to Section 468B of the IRC; and (ef) otherwise carry out the provisions of the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement, the ZAI TDP, and any other agreements into which the Asbestos PD Trustees have entered or will enter in connection with the Plan.

4.7.3.2 Funding of the Asbestos PD Trust

- The Asbestos PD Trust Assets shall be collectively comprised of payments pursuant to (i) the Asbestos PD Class 7A Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement; (ii) the Class 7B Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement; (iii) the Asbestos PD Initial Payment, which consists of (A) the Class 7A Initial Payment, which is an amount in Cash equal to the sum of (A) \$109 million, the total payments due under settled Asbestos PD Claims, and (B1) approximately \$112 million which consists of \$93 million in signed, court-approved PD Settlement Agreements and \$19 million in preliminary PD Settlement Agreements as of February 3, 2009, and (2) an amount agreed to by the Parent, Sealed Air Corporation, Cryovac. Inc., Fresenius, and the Asbestos PD FCR, constituting an estimate of the first six months of the Asbestos PD Trust Expenses for Claims in Class 7A, to be transferred equally by Cryovac, Inc. and Fresenius directly to the Asbestos PD Trust on the Effective Date, provided, however, that the Fresenius payment Cryovac, Inc.'s transfer to the Asbestos PD Trust as part of the Class 7A Initial Payment when aggregated with Cryovac, Inc.'s transfer to the Asbestos PD Trust as part of the Class 7B Initial Payment shall not exceed 50% of the Cash component of the Cryovac Payment; and provided, further, that the Fresenius transfer to the Asbestos PD Trust as part of the Class 7A Initial Payment when aggregated with Fresenius' transfer as part of the Class 7B Initial Payment shall not exceed 65% of the Fresenius Payment; and (ii) the Asbestos PD Note, pursuant to which the Parent shall commit to pay, and (B) the Class 7B Initial Payment, which is an amount in Cash equal to \$30 million plus interest from April 1, 2009 to the Effective Date accrued at the same rate applicable to the Debtors' senior Exit Financing, to be transferred equally by Cryovac, Inc. and Fresenius directly to the Asbestos PD Trust on January 1 and July 1 of each year, a dollar amount equal to (A) the amount of the Asbestos PD Claims that were Allowed against the Asbestos PD Trust during the preceding sixmonth period, plus interest thereon accruing at the then-applicable federal judgment rate per annum from the date of allowance of each such Asbestos PD Claim; and (B) the Asbestos PD Trust Expenses payment for the next succeeding six month period following the Asbestos PD Trust Expenses payment paid as part of the Asbestos PD Initial Payment. The Asbestos PD Note shall be secured by Parent's obligation to issue 50.1% of Parent Common Stock as of the Effective Date the Effective Date for the benefit of holders of Claims and Demands in Class 7B; provided, however, that Cryovac, Inc.'s transfer to the Asbestos PD Trust as part of the Class 7B Initial Payment when aggregated with Cryovac, Inc.'s transfer to the Asbestos PD Trust as part of the Class 7A Initial Payment shall not exceed 50% of the Cash component of the Cryovac Payment; and provided, further, that the Fresenius transfer to the Asbestos PD Trust as part of the Class 7B Initial Payment when aggregated with Fresenius' transfer as part of the Class 7A Initial Payment shall not exceed 65% of the Fresenius Payment; (iv) the Grace PD Guarantee Agreement for Class 7A: (v) the Grace PD Guarantee Agreement for Class 7B (which includes a deferred payment obligation of \$30 million for Class 7B three years after the Effective Date and a series of up to ten contingent payments for Class 7B of \$8 million each over the ensuing 20 years provided certain conditions are met, including that the assets available in the Asbestos PD Trust to pay Class 7B US ZAI PD Claims fall below \$10 million); and (vi) the Asbestos PD Trust Causes of Action.
- (b) On the Effective Date, (i) Cryovac, Inc. shall transfer directly to the Asbestos PD Trust its equal-share of the amount of the Asbestos PD Class 7A Initial Payment and Fresenius shall transfer directly to the Asbestos PD Trust its equal-share of the amount of the Asbestos PD

Initial Payment; and Class 7A Initial Payment (The Class 7A Initial Payment shall remain segregated from (a) the Class 7B Initial Payment pursuant to the terms of the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement and (b) any payments made to the Asbestos PD Trust on account of CDN ZAI PD Claims); (ii) the Asbestos PD Trust shall assume, or shall be deemed to have assumed, the PD Settlement Agreements and shall immediately reserve and segregate from the Class 7A Initial Payment all amounts required to be paid upon the occurrence of the Effective Date pursuant to PD Settlement Agreements that require such payment, and shall provide for the payment of such amounts in the manner and at the time set forth in such PD Settlement Agreements; (iii) Cryovac, Inc. shall transfer directly to the Asbestos PD Trust its share of the amount of the Class 7B Initial Payment and Fresenius shall transfer directly to the Asbestos PD Trust its share of the amount of the Class 7B Initial Payment (The Class 7B Initial Payment shall remain segregated from (a) the Class 7A Initial Payment pursuant to the terms of the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement and (b) any payments made to the Asbestos PD Trust on account of CDN ZAI PD Claims); and (iv) Grace-Conn or the Parent, on behalf of the Reorganized Debtors and the Non-Debtor Affiliates, shall transfer to the Asbestos PD Trust (A) the Asbestos PD Note and (B) all funds as set forth in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement; and the Asbestos PD Trust shall immediately transfer the amounts set forth in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement to the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund to be used in the manner set forth in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement. In no event shall the Asbestos PD Initial Payment (or any portion thereof) be transferred to the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund. After the Effective Date. Grace-Conn or Parent shall, on behalf of the Reorganized Debtors and the Non-Debtor Affiliates, transfer to the Asbestos PD Trust all funds as set forth in the Class 7A Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement and the Class 7B Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement. Funds transferred pursuant to the Class 7A Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement shall remain segregated from funds transferred pursuant to the Class 7B Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement pursuant to the terms of the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement.

4.7.3.3 Transfer of Claims and Demands to the Asbestos PD Trust

On the Effective Date, without any further action of any Entity, all liabilities, obligations, and responsibilities of any Asbestos Protected Party with respect to all Asbestos PD Claims shall be channeled to and assumed by the Asbestos PD Trust. Section 7.3.3 of the Plan is intended to further effect the Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction described in Section 8.3 of the Plan, and the discharge described in Section 8.1 of the Plan. Section 7.3.3 of the Plan is not intended to, and it shall not, serve as a waiver of any defense to any claim the Debtors, the Asbestos PD Trust, or any other Asbestos Protected Party would otherwise have.

4.7.3.4 Assignment and Enforcement of Asbestos PD Trust Causes of Action

On the Effective Date, by virtue of the confirmation of this Plan, without further notice, action, or deed, the Asbestos PD Trust Causes of Action shall be automatically transferred and assigned to, and indefeasibly vested in, the Asbestos PD Trust, and the Asbestos PD Trust shall thereby become the estate representative pursuant to section 1123(b)(3)(B) of the Bankruptcy Code with respect to the Asbestos PD Trust Causes of Action, with the exclusive right to enforce the Asbestos PD Trust Causes of Action, against

any Entity, except those related to Claims and Demands in Class 7A, which shall be enforced by the Reorganized Debtors on behalf of the Asbestos PD Trust, and the proceeds of the recoveries of such Asbestos PD Trust Causes of Action shall be deposited in and shall become the property of the Asbestos PD Trust; provided, however, that nothing herein shall alter, amend or modify the injunctions and/or releases provided under this Plan including the Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction, the Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction, the Successor Claims Injunction, and the Asbestos Insurance Entity Injunction.

4.7.3.4 Appointment and Termination of Asbestos PD Trustee

The initial Asbestos PD Class 7A Trustee of (as defined in the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement) of the Asbestos PD Trust shall be the person identified the person identified in the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement, and the initial Class 7B Trustee (as defined in the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement) of the Asbestos PD Trust shall be the person identified in the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement. Their functions are set forth more fully in the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement. All successor Asbestos PD Trustees shall be appointed in accordance with the terms of the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement. Upon termination of the Asbestos PD Trust, the Asbestos PD Trustee's Trustees' employment shall be deemed terminated and the Asbestos PD Trustee shall be released and discharged of and from all further authority, duties, responsibilities, and obligations relating to or arising from or in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases.

The Zonolite Attic Insulation Trust Advisory Committee ("ZTAC") shall be established pursuant to the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement. The ZTAC shall have three members and shall have the functions, duties and rights provided in the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement and the ZAI TDP. The initial members of the ZTAC shall be those three individuals named in the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement and the ZAI TDP. Upon termination of the Asbestos PD Trust, the ZTAC shall be deemed dissolved and the ZTAC shall be released and discharged of and from all further authority, duties, responsibilities and obligations relating to or arising from or in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases.

4.7.4 Payments and Distributions Under the Plan

Section 7.4 of the Plan sets forth the mechanics of Asbestos PI Trust payments, Asbestos PD Trust payments, and Distributions under the Plan. Among other things, Section 7.4 of the Plan provides that payments to Holders of Asbestos PI Claims shall be made by the Asbestos PI Trust in accordance with the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement and the <u>Asbestos PI TDP</u> and payments to Holders of Asbestos PD Claims shall be made by the Asbestos PD Trust <u>as and when due</u> in accordance with the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement, PD Settlement Agreements, and the Class 7A CMO, any Final Orders of the Bankruptcy Court allowing such claims Claims in Class 7A, and the ZAI TDP for Claims in Class 7B. Payments to Holders of CDN ZAI PD Claims shall be made pursuant to the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement by the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund. All other Distributions or payments required or permitted to be made under the Plan (other than to Professionals) shall be made by the Reorganized Debtors or, in their discretion, by a disbursing agent employed by the Reorganized Debtors, in accordance with the

treatment specified for each such Holder as specified in the Plan (unless otherwise ordered by the Bankruptcy Court); *provided*, *however*, that Distributions and transfers to the Asbestos PI Trust of the Asbestos PI Trust Assets shall be made on the Effective Date, and Distributions and transfers to the Asbestos PD Trust of the Asbestos PD Trust Assets and the funds set forth in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement payable to the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund shall be made on the Effective Date. Distributions to be made on the date that a Plan Claim becomes an Allowed Claim, rather than on the Effective Date, shall be deemed actually made on such date if made on or before the Post-Effective Distribution Date with respect to such Claim. Notwithstanding that Distributions to Allowed Claims may be deemed made on the date that a Plan Claim becomes an Allowed Claim as per the preceding sentence, nothing in Section 7.4.1 of the Plan shall modify the calculation of post-petition interest through the date of payment for General Unsecured Claims that become Allowed General Unsecured Claims after the Effective Date as set forth in Section 3.1.9(b) of the Plan. Except as otherwise provided herein, Professionals shall be paid pursuant to orders of the Bankruptcy Court.

Under no circumstances shall any fractional shares of Sealed Air Common Stock be transferred pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement such that any Entity shall be the transferee of less than one thousand shares of Sealed Air Common Stock, *provided*, *however*, that in no event shall the Asbestos PI Trust incur any costs or expenses associated with such one thousand share limitation.

4.7.5 Delivery of Distributions and Undeliverable or Unclaimed Distributions

Section 7.5.1 of the Plan provides that payments by the Asbestos PI Trust to Holders of Asbestos PI Claims shall be made in accordance with the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement and the **Asbestos PI** TDP; payments to Holders of Asbestos PD Claims shall be made by the Asbestos PD Trust as and when due in accordance with the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement, PD Settlement Agreements, and any Final Orders of the Bankruptcy Court allowing such claims; Claims in Class 7A, and the ZAI TDP for Claims in Class 7B; and payments to Holders of CDN ZAI PD Claims shall be made by the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund in accordance with the procedures set forth in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement, while Section 7.5.1 of the Plan provides further that other Distributions to Holders of Allowed Claims shall be made at the address of the Holder of such Allowed Claim as set forth on the Schedules, unless superseded by a new address, or as set forth in a further writing, including a filed proof of Claim. Section 7.5.2 of the Plan also provides for a mechanism to deal with undeliverable Distributions.

4.7.6 Payments Under the Plan

Section 7.6 of the Plan deals with the manner of Cash payments under the Plan and provides a mechanism to deal with fractional payments.

4.7.7 Conditions to Occurrence of the Confirmation Date

Section 7.7 of the Plan sets forth conditions precedent to confirmation of the Plan. Unless the Court makes all of the findings of fact, conclusions of law, orders and/or decrees listed in Section 7.7 of the Plan, the Plan cannot be confirmed. Among other things, these findings of fact and/or conclusions of law relate to: (a) compliance with all sections of the

Bankruptcy Code, including all subsections of Bankruptcy Code § 524(g); (b) the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust owning, or by the exercise of rights granted under the Plan entitled to own if specified contingencies occur, a majority of the voting shares of the Reorganized Parent; (c) the assumption by the Asbestos PI Trust of all liabilities of the Debtors with respect to all Asbestos PI Claims; (d) the assumption by the Asbestos PD Trust of all liabilities of the Debtors with respect to all Asbestos PD Claims; (e) the assumption by the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund contemplated by the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement of the liabilities of the Debtors with respect to all CDN ZAI PD Claims; (f) the essential and integral importance of each of the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement and the Fresenius Settlement Agreement to the Plan; (g) the Reorganized Debtors' ability to pay and satisfy in the ordinary course of business all of their respective obligations and liabilities as required by the Plan, the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement and the Fresenius Settlement Agreement; (h) the effectiveness of the various injunctions and releases provided for in the Plan, including those required under the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement and the Fresenius Settlement Agreement; (i) insurance matters; (j) effectiveness of the Asbestos PI Deferred Payment Agreement, the Class 7A Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement, the Class 7B Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement, Share Issuance Agreement, Grace PI Guaranty, the Grace PD Guarantee Agreement for Class 7A, the Grace PD Guarantee Agreement for Class 7B, the Plan Registration Rights Agreement—and, the Asbestos PI/PD Inter-Creditor Agreement, the Warrant and the Warrant Agreement; (k) findings relating to the rate for post-petition interest on account of the General Unsecured Claims arising from the Pre-petition Credit Facilities; and (1) entry of the Canadian Settlement Approval Order.

The Confirmation Order shall also be in a form and substance acceptable to (a) each of the Plan Proponents; (b) with respect to provisions that are required by, or related to, the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement, Sealed Air; and (c) with respect to provisions that are required by, or related to, the Fresenius Settlement Agreement, Fresenius.

4.7.8 Conditions to Occurrence of the Effective Date

Section 7.8 of the Plan sets forth conditions precedent to the Effective Date of the Plan. Until the conditions set forth in Section 7.8 of the Plan occur (or are waived in accordance with the requirements of Section 7.8 of the Plan), the Effective Date shall not occur and the Plan shall be of no force or effect. The conditions precedent to the Effective Date include, without limitation, entry of the Confirmation Order as specified; entry, issuance or affirmation of the order by the District Court approving or recognizing the Confirmation Order; the Confirmation Order shall have become a Final Order; transfer of the Asbestos PI Trust Assets and the Asbestos PD Trust Assets to the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust, respectively; approval and affirmation of the various injunctions and releases specified in the Plan; the execution of all Plan Documents, including each of the exhibits and attachments to the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement and the Fresenius Settlement Agreement; the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement and the Fresenius Settlement Agreement each being in full force and effect and all of the conditions precedent contained therein having been satisfied or waived; filing of the necessary corporate documents; the making of payments required under the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement; obtaining the necessary exit financing; and executing or finalizing various other documents or agreements.

4.7.9 Management of the Reorganized Debtors

Section 7.9 of the Plan sets forth the post-confirmation governance of the Reorganized Debtors, including specifications relating to the Board of Directors of the Reorganized Parent.

4.7.10 Corporate Action

Section 7.10 of the Plan provides that the approval and effectiveness of matters provided under the Plan related to the corporate structure of the Reorganized Debtors shall be deemed to have occurred and to have been authorized.

4.7.11 Effectuating Documents and Further Transactions

Section 7.11 of the Plan authorizes each of the officers of the Debtors and the Reorganized Debtors to execute, deliver, file, or record such agreements or documents and to take such actions as may be necessary or appropriate, for and on behalf of the Debtors and the Reorganized Debtors, to effectuate the Plan.

4.7.12 Allocation of Plan Distributions Between Principal and Interest

Section 7.12 of the Plan allocates Plan Distributions made under the Plan between principal and interest.

4.7.13 No Successor Liability

Except as otherwise expressly provided in the Plan, the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, the Asbestos PI Committee, the Asbestos PD Committee, the Asbestos PI FCR, the Asbestos PD FCR, the CCAA Representative Counsel and the Asbestos Protected Parties will not, pursuant to the Plan or otherwise, assume, agree to perform, pay, or indemnify creditors or otherwise have any responsibilities for any liabilities or obligations of the Debtors or any of the Debtors' past or present Affiliates, as such liabilities or obligations may relate to or arise out of the operations of or assets of the Debtors or any of the Debtors' past or present Affiliates or any of their respective successors, whether arising prior to, or resulting from actions, events, or circumstances occurring or existing at any time prior to the Confirmation Date. Neither the Asbestos Protected Parties, the Reorganized Debtors, the Asbestos PI Trust, the Asbestos PD Trust, nor the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund is, or shall be, a successor to the Debtors or any of the Debtors' past or present Affiliates by reason of any theory of law or equity, and none shall have any successor or transferee liability of any kind or character, except that the Reorganized Debtors, the Asbestos PI Trust, the Asbestos PD Trust, and the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund shall assume the obligations specified in the Plan and the Confirmation Order.

Except as otherwise expressly provided in the Plan, effective automatically on the Effective Date, the Asbestos Protected Parties shall be unconditionally, irrevocably and fully released from (a) any and all Asbestos-Related Claims, including (i) any and all Successor Claims, based on or arising from, in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, or related to the Cryovac Transaction and (ii) any and all Asbestos Claims, (b) any and all SA Claims, SA Debts, SA Damages, including Successor Claims, based on, arising from, or attributable to (in whole or in part, directly or indirectly) the Fresenius Transaction, and (c) any other claims and causes of

action arising under chapter 5 of the Bankruptcy Code or similar claims or causes of action arising under state or any other law, including, if applicable, claims in the nature of fraudulent transfer, successor liability, corporate veil piercing, or alter ego-type claims, as a consequence of transactions, events, or circumstances involving or affecting the Debtors (or any of their predecessors) or any of their respective businesses or operations that occurred or existed prior to the Effective Date. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing herein shall release any Asbestos Insurance Entity from its obligations under any Asbestos Insurance Settlement Agreement or Asbestos In-Place Insurance Coverage.

4.7.14 Deemed Consolidation of the Debtors for Plan Purposes Only

Section 7.14 of the Plan provides for the limited substantive consolidation of the Debtors as follows: Subject to the occurrence of the Effective Date, the Debtors shall be deemed consolidated under the Plan for Plan purposes only. Each and every Claim Filed or to be Filed against any of the Debtors shall be deemed Filed against the deemed consolidated Debtors and shall be deemed one Claim against and obligation of the deemed consolidated Debtors.

Such deemed consolidation, however, shall not (other than for purposes related to funding Distributions under the Plan and as set forth above in Section 7.14 of the Plan) affect: (a) the legal and organizational structure of the Debtors; (b) any Encumbrances that are required to be maintained under the Plan (i) in connection with executory contracts or unexpired leases that were entered into during the Chapter 11 Cases or that have been or will be assumed, (ii) pursuant to the Plan, or (iii) in connection with any Exit Financing; (c) the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement; and (d) the Fresenius Settlement Agreement.

Notwithstanding anything contained in the Plan to the contrary, the deemed consolidation of the Debtors shall not have any effect on any of the Plan Claims being reinstated and left unimpaired under the Plan, and the legal, equitable, and contractual rights to which the Holders of any such Plan Claims are entitled shall be left unaltered by the Plan. In addition, notwithstanding anything contained in the Plan to the contrary, the deemed consolidation of the Debtors shall not have any effect on Asbestos Insurance Rights, and the deemed consolidation shall not expand insurers' coverage obligations, or cause insurers to assume liability on behalf of non-consolidated Debtors whom the insurers did not originally contract to cover.

4.7.15 Insurance Neutrality

Section 7.15 of the Plan provides, among other things, that, except as set forth therein, none of the Confirmation Order, the Plan, or any of the Plan Documents will operate to, or have the effect of impairing any Asbestos Insurance Entity's legal, equitable or contractual rights, if any, in any respect. The provisions further detail that no action of the Court shall, with respect to any Asbestos Insurance Entity, constitute a trial or hearing on the merits or an adjudication or judgment under any of the Asbestos Insurance Policies, except that the Asbestos Insurance Entities shall be bound by the Court's findings and conclusions that under the Bankruptcy Code, the transfer of rights under the Asbestos Insurance Transfer Agreement is valid and enforceable against each Asbestos Insurance Entity notwithstanding any anti-assignment provision in or incorporated into any Asbestos Insurance Policy, Asbestos In-Place Insurance Coverage, Asbestos Insurance Settlement Agreement or applicable non-bankruptcy law.

If a non-settling Asbestos Insurance Entity asserts that it has rights of contribution, indemnity, reimbursement, subrogation or other similar claims (collectively, for purposes of Section 7.15(i) of the Plan, "Contribution Claims") against a Settled Asbestos Insurance Company, (a) such Contribution Claims may be asserted as a defense or offset against the Asbestos PI Trust or the Reorganized Debtors (as applicable) in any Asbestos Insurance Action including such non-settling Asbestos Insurance Entity, and the Asbestos PI Trust or the Reorganized Debtors (as applicable) may assert the legal or equitable rights, if any, of the Settling Asbestos Insurance Entity, and (b) to the extent such Contribution Claim is determined to be valid, pursuant to a Final Order, the liability (if any) of such non-settling Asbestos Insurance Entity to the Asbestos PI Trust or the Reorganized Debtors (as applicable) shall be reduced by the amount of such Contribution Claim.

Despite the inclusion of these Insurance Neutrality provisions, certain insurers (collectively, "Objecting Insurers") contend that the Plan is not fully "insurance neutral." Their contentions, and the fact that the Plan Proponents dispute their contentions, are discussed more fully in Section 9.4 below. Certain Objecting Insurers also contend that the Plan is not fully insurance neutral because the injunction provided under section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code and Section 8.2 of the Plan may prevent insurers who submit Indirect PI Trust Claims for contribution and indemnity to the Asbestos PI Trust, or who become involved in coverage litigation with the Asbestos PI Trust, from exercising their rights under state law to take discovery of the Debtors. The Plan Proponents do not believe that the injunction provisions or anything else in the Plan impair the insurers' state law rights to take such discovery.

4.8 Injunctions, Releases and Discharge

Section 7.13 and Article 8 of the Plan work together to shield the Debtors and certain other parties from any liability for any Claims dealt with under the Plan.

Article 8 of the Plan provides the following:

4.8.1 Discharge

4.8.1.1 Discharge of the Debtors and Related Discharge Injunction

The rights afforded in the Plan and the treatment of all Claims, Plan Claims, Demands and Equity Interests in the Plan shall be in exchange for and shall discharge all Claims, Plan Claims, Demands and Equity Interests of any nature whatsoever, including any interest accrued thereon from and after the Petition Date, against the Debtors and the Debtors in Possession, or their assets, properties, or interests in property. Except as otherwise provided in the Plan, on the Effective Date, all Claims, Plan Claims, Demands against, and Equity Interests in the Debtors and the Debtors in Possession shall be discharged. The Reorganized Debtors shall not be responsible for any obligations of the Debtors or the Debtors in Possession except those expressly assumed by the Reorganized Debtors pursuant to the Plan. All Entities shall be precluded and forever barred from asserting against the Debtors and the Reorganized Debtors, or their assets, properties, or interests in property any other or further Claims, Plan Claims, or Demands based upon any act or omission, transaction, or other activity, event, or occurrence of any kind or nature that occurred prior to the Effective Date, whether or not the facts of or legal

bases therefor were known or existed prior to the Effective Date, except as expressly provided in the Plan.

With respect to any debts discharged by operation of law under Bankruptcy Code §§ 524(a) and 1141, the discharge of the Debtors operates as an injunction against the commencement or continuation of an action, the employment of process, or an act, to collect, recover, or offset any such debt as a personal liability of the Debtors, whether or not the discharge of such debt is waived; *provided*, *however*, that the obligations of the Reorganized Debtors under the Plan and the other Plan Documents to be entered into on the Effective Date are not so discharged.

4.8.1.2 Discharge of Liabilities to Holders of Asbestos PI Claims

The transfer to, vesting in, and assumption by the Asbestos PI Trust of the Asbestos PI Trust Assets as contemplated by the Plan, among other things, shall discharge the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors and their Representatives for and in respect of all Asbestos PI Claims, subject to the reservations listed in Section 8.2.2 of the Plan. On the Effective Date, the Asbestos PI Trust shall assume the liabilities of the Debtors with respect to all Asbestos PI Claims and shall pay Asbestos PI Claims entitled to payment in accordance with the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement and the **Asbestos PI** TDP.

4.8.1.3 Discharge of Liabilities to Holders of Asbestos PD Claims

The transfer to, vesting in, and assumption by the Asbestos PD Trust of the Asbestos PD Trust Assets as contemplated by the Plan, among other things, shall discharge the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors and their Representatives for and in respect of all Asbestos PD Claims, subject to the reservations listed in Section 8.3.2 of the Plan. On the Effective Date, the Asbestos PD Trust shall assume the liabilities of the Debtors with respect to all Asbestos PD Claims and shall pay Asbestos PD Claims entitled to payment in accordance with the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement and any Final Orders of the Bankruptcy Court allowing such claims.

4.8.1.4 Discharge of Liabilities to Holders of CDN ZAI PD Claims

The transfer to, vesting in, and assumption by the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund of the CDN ZAI PD Claims as contemplated by the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement and the Plan, among other things, shall discharge the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors and their Representatives for and in respect of all CDN ZAI PD Claims, subject to the reservations listed in Section 8.3.2 of the Plan. On the Effective Date, the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund shall assume the liabilities of the Debtors with respect to all CDN ZAI PD Claims and shall pay CDN ZAI PD Claims entitled to payment in accordance with the terms of the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement.

4.8.1.5 Disallowed Claims and Disallowed Equity Interests

On and after the Effective Date, the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors and their Representatives shall be fully and finally discharged of any liability or obligation on a Disallowed Claim or Disallowed Equity Interest, and any order creating a Disallowed Claim that is not a Final Order as of the Effective Date solely because of an Entity's right to move for

reconsideration of such order pursuant to Bankruptcy Code § 502 or Bankruptcy Rule 3008 shall nevertheless become and be deemed to be a Final Order on the Effective Date.

4.8.1.6 Non-Dischargeable ERISA Liability

The Parent is a controlled group member within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 1301(a)(14) and may also be a contributing sponsor of one or more ongoing, defined benefit pension plans to which Title IV of the ERISA applies (the "Pension Plans"). The Debtors intend that the Reorganized Parent will continue to be the continuing sponsor of the Pension Plans. Each of the Pension Plans is a defined benefit pension plan insured by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ("PBGC") under ERISA. The Pension Plans are subject to minimum funding requirements of ERISA and section 412 of the IRC. Should the Pension Plans be underfunded and should the Pension Plans terminate, the PBGC may assert claims for the underfunding, for any unpaid minimum funding contributions owed the Pension Plan, and for any unpaid premiums owed the PBGC.

Nothing contained in the Plan, the Confirmation Order, the Bankruptcy Code (including Bankruptcy Code § 1141), or any other document Filed in the Chapter 11 Cases shall be construed to discharge, release or relieve the Debtors, or any other party, in any capacity, from any liability or responsibility to the PBGC with respect to the Pension Plans under any law, governmental policy, or regulatory provision. The PBGC shall not be enjoined or precluded from enforcing such liability or responsibility, as a result of any of the provisions of the Plan (including those provisions providing for exculpation, satisfaction, release, and discharge of Claims), the Confirmation Order, the Bankruptcy Code (including Bankruptcy Code § 1141), or any other document Filed in the Chapter 11 Cases. Notwithstanding the foregoing, neither the PBGC nor any other Entity shall assert any liability or responsibility with respect to the Pension Plans under any law, governmental policy, or regulatory provisions against, and such liability or responsibility shall not attach to, the Asbestos PI Trust or any of the Asbestos PI Trust Assets, or the Asbestos PD Trust or any of the Asbestos PD Trust or any of the Asbestos PD Trust Assets.

4.8.2 The Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction

In order to supplement, where necessary, the injunctive effect of the discharge provided by Bankruptcy Code §§ 1141, 524(a), and 105 and as described in Article 8 of the Plan, and pursuant to the exercise of the equitable jurisdiction and power of the Court under Bankruptcy Code § 524(g), the Confirmation Order shall provide for issuance of the Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction to take effect as of the Effective Date.

4.8.2.1 Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction

On and after the Effective Date, the sole recourse of the Holder of an Asbestos PI Claim or a Successor Claim arising out of or based on any Asbestos PI Claim on account thereof shall be to the Asbestos PI Trust pursuant to the provisions of the Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction and the Asbestos PI TDP and such Holder shall have no right whatsoever at any time to assert its Asbestos PI Claim or Successor Claim arising out of or based on any Asbestos PI Claim against the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, any other Asbestos Protected Party, or any property or interest (including any Distributions made pursuant to the Plan) in property of the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, or any other Asbestos Protected Party. Without limiting the foregoing,

from and after the Effective Date, the Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction shall apply to all present and future Holders of Asbestos PI Claims or Successor Claims arising out of or based on any Asbestos PI Claim, and all such Holders permanently and forever shall be stayed, restrained, and enjoined from taking any and all legal or other actions or making any Demand against any Asbestos Protected Party or any property or interest (including Distributions made pursuant to the Plan) in property of any Asbestos Protected Party for the purpose of, directly or indirectly, claiming, collecting, recovering, or receiving any payment, recovery, satisfaction, or any other relief whatsoever on, of, or with respect to any Asbestos PI Claims or Successor Claims arising out of or based on any Asbestos PI Claims other than from the Asbestos PI Trust in accordance with the Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction and pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement and the Asbestos PI TDP, including:

- (a) commencing, conducting, or continuing in any manner, directly or indirectly, any suit, action, or other proceeding (including a judicial, arbitration, administrative, or other proceeding) in any forum against or affecting any Asbestos Protected Party, or any property or interest in property of any Asbestos Protected Party;
- (b) enforcing, levying, attaching (including any prejudgment attachment), collecting, or otherwise recovering by any means or in any manner, whether directly or indirectly, any judgment, award, decree, or other order against any Asbestos Protected Party, or any property or interest in property of any Asbestos Protected Party;
- (c) creating, perfecting, or otherwise enforcing in any manner, directly or indirectly, any Encumbrance against any Asbestos Protected Party, or any property or interest in property of any Asbestos Protected Party;
- (d) setting off, seeking reimbursement of, indemnification or contribution from, or subrogation against, or otherwise recouping in any manner, directly or indirectly, any amount against any liability owed to any Asbestos Protected Party, or any property or interest in property of any Asbestos Protected Party; and
- (e) <u>proceeding in any other manner with regard to any matter that is subject to resolution pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust, except in conformity and compliance with the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement and the Asbestos PI TDP.</u>

4.8.2.2 Reservations from Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in Section 8.2.1 of the Plan, the Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction issued pursuant to Section 8.2.1 of the Plan shall not enjoin:

- (a) the rights of Entities to the treatment accorded them under the Plan, including the rights of Entities with Asbestos PI Claims to assert such Asbestos PI Claims in accordance with the **Asbestos PI** TDP;
- (b) the rights of Entities to assert any claim, debt, obligation, or liability for payment of expenses of the Asbestos PI Trust solely against the Asbestos PI Trust or the Asbestos PI Trust Assets;

- the rights of the Asbestos PI Trust and, to the extent permitted by the Asbestos Insurance Transfer Agreement, the Insurance Contributors, to prosecute any cause of action or to assert any Claim, Demand, debt, obligation, or liability for payment against any Entity (but not the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties or the Fresenius Indemnified Parties), including any Asbestos Insurance Entity, based on or arising from the Asbestos Insurance Rights; and
- the rights of the Asbestos PI Trust and, to the extent permitted by the Asbestos Insurance Transfer Agreement, the Insurance Contributors, to receive any settlement, award, payment of cash or other property of any kind whatsoever from any Entity (but not the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties or the Fresenius Indemnified Parties) including any Asbestos Insurance Entity in satisfaction of any Asbestos Insurance Rights.

Except as otherwise expressly provided in the Plan, the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement, or the Fresenius Settlement Agreement, nothing contained in the Plan shall constitute or be deemed a waiver of any claim, right, or cause of action that the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, or the Asbestos PI Trust may have against any Entity in connection with or arising out of or based on any Asbestos PI Claim. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in Section 8.2.2 of the Plan (and for the avoidance of doubt), following the transfer to the Asbestos PI Trust of the Cryovac Payment (reduced by the total aggregate amount of transfers to the Asbestos PD Trust by or on behalf of Cryovac as part of the Class 7A Initial Payment and the Class 7B Initial Payment), (i) no Entity shall have any right to enforce any provision of this Plan relating to the Cryovac Payment or the payment thereof against any of the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties or any property or interest (including any Distributions made pursuant to this Plan) in property of any of the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties and (ii) the sole recourse of a Holder of an Asbestos PI Claim against any of the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties or a Successor Claim arising out of or based on any Asbestos PI Claim on account thereof, shall be to the Asbestos PI Trust, and such Holder shall have no right whatsoever at any time to assert its Asbestos PI Claim or Successor Claim arising out of or based on any Asbestos PI Claim against any of the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties or any property or interest (including any Distributions made pursuant to this Plan) in property of any of the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in Section 8.2.2 of the Plan (and for the avoidance of doubt), following the transfer to the Asbestos PI Trust of the Fresenius Payment (reduced by the total aggregate amount of transfers to the Asbestos PD Trust by or on behalf of Fresenius as part of the Class 7A Initial Payment and the Class 7B Initial Payment). (i) no Entity shall have any right to enforce any provision of this Plan relating to the Fresenius Payment or the payment thereof against any of the Fresenius Indemnified Parties or any property or interest (including any Distributions made pursuant to this Plan) in property of any of the Fresenius Indemnified Parties and (ii) the sole recourse of a Holder of an Asbestos PI Claim against any of the Fresenius Indemnified Parties or a Successor Claim arising out of or based on any Asbestos PI Claim on account thereof, shall be to the Asbestos PI Trust, and such Holder shall have no right whatsoever at any time to assert its Asbestos PI Claim or Successor Claim arising out of or based on any Asbestos PI Claim against any of the Fresenius Indemnified Parties or any property or interest

(including any Distributions made pursuant to this Plan) in property of any of the Fresenius Indemnified Parties.

4.8.3 The Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction

In order to supplement, where necessary, the injunctive effect of the discharge provided by Bankruptcy Code §§ 1141, 524(a), and 105 and as described in Article 8 of the Plan, and pursuant to the exercise of the equitable jurisdiction and power of the Court under Bankruptcy Code § 524(g), the Confirmation Order shall provide for issuance of the Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction to take effect as of the Effective Date. In connection with confirmation of the Plan, the Court shall enter the PDClass 7A Case Management Order setting forth procedures for determining the allowance or disallowance of the Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims.

4.8.3.1 Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction

On and after the Effective Date, (1) the sole recourse of the Holder of an Asbestos PD Claim, or a Successor Claim arising out of or based on any Asbestos PD Claim on account thereof, shall be to the Asbestos PD Trust; and (2) the sole recourse of a Holder of a CDN ZAI PD Claim, or a Successor Claim arising out of or based on any CDN ZAI PD Claim, shall be to the CDN ZAI PD Claims Fund as set forth in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement, pursuant to the provisions of the Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction and any Final Orders of the Bankruptcy Court allowing such claims, and such Holders shall have no right whatsoever at any time to assert their Asbestos PD Claim, Successor Claim arising out of or based on any Asbestos PD Claim, CDN ZAI PD Claim, or Successor Claim arising out of or based on any CDN ZAI PD Claim against the Debtors, Reorganized Debtors, any other Asbestos Protected Party, or any property or interest (including any Distributions made pursuant to the Plan) in property of the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, or any other Asbestos Protected Party. Without limiting the foregoing, from and after the Effective Date, the Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction shall apply to all present and future Holders of Asbestos PD Claims, Successor Claims arising out of or based on any Asbestos PD Claim, CDN ZAI PD Claims, and Successor Claims arising out of or based on any CDN ZAI PD Claim, and all such Holders permanently and forever shall be stayed, restrained, and enjoined from taking any and all legal or other actions or making any Demand for the purpose of, directly or indirectly, claiming, collecting, recovering, or receiving any payment, recovery, satisfaction, or any other relief whatsoever on, of, or with respect to any Asbestos PD Claims, Successor Claims arising out of or based on any Asbestos PD Claim, CDN ZAI PD Claims, or Successor Claims arising out of or based on any CDN ZAI PD Claim other than from the Asbestos PD Trust in accordance with the Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction and pursuant to the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement in the case of Asbestos PD Claims or in accordance with the Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction and pursuant to the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement in the case of CDN ZAI PD Claims, including:

- (a) commencing, conducting, or continuing in any manner, directly or indirectly, any suit, action, or other proceeding (including a judicial, arbitration, administrative, or other proceeding) in any forum against or affecting any Asbestos Protected Party, or any property or interest in property of any Asbestos Protected Party;
- (b) <u>enforcing, levying, attaching (including any prejudgment attachment), collecting, or otherwise recovering by any means or in any manner, whether directly or</u>

- indirectly, any judgment, award, decree, or other order against any Asbestos Protected Party, or any property or interest in property of any Asbestos Protected Party;
- (c) <u>creating, perfecting, or otherwise enforcing in any manner, directly or indirectly, any Encumbrance against any Asbestos Protected Party, or any property or interest in property of any Asbestos Protected Party;</u>
- (d) setting off, seeking reimbursement of, indemnification or contribution from, or subrogation against, or otherwise recouping in any manner, directly or indirectly, any amount against any liability owed to any Asbestos Protected Party, or any property or interest in property of any Asbestos Protected Party; and
- (e) proceeding in any other manner with regard to any matter that is subject to resolution pursuant to the Asbestos PD Trust, except in conformity and compliance with the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement in the case of Asbestos PD Claims, or proceeding in any other manner with regard to any matter that is subject to resolution pursuant to the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement in the case of CDN ZAI PD Claims.

4.8.3.2 Reservations from Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in Section 8.3.1 of the Plan, the Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction issued pursuant to Section 8.3.1 of the Plan shall not enjoin:

- the rights of Entities to the treatment accorded them under the Plan, including the rights of Entities with Asbestos PD Claims to assert such Asbestos PD Claims in accordance with the PD Settlement Agreements, the Class 7A Case Management Order to be entered by the Bankruptcy Court that will address such claims or the ZAI TDP, and the rights of Entities with CDN ZAI PD Claims to assert such CDN ZAI PD Claims in accordance with the provisions set forth in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement. For the avoidance of doubt, such rights shall include the rights of an Entity holding an Allowed Asbestos PD Claim under a PD Settlement Agreement to enforce the provisions of this Plan which contemplate that on the Effective Date, the Asbestos PD Initial Payment will be made to the Asbestos PD Trust in an amount sufficient to permit the Asbestos PD Trust to make all payments, in full, on account of and as required by PD Settlement Agreements as contemplated by this Plan; and
- (b) the rights of Entities to assert any claim, debt, obligation, or liability for payment of expenses of the Asbestos PD Trust solely against the Asbestos PD Trust or the Asbestos PD Trust Assets.

Except as otherwise expressly provided in the Plan, the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement, or the Fresenius Settlement Agreement, nothing contained in the Plan shall constitute or be deemed a waiver of any claim, right, or cause of action that the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, or the Asbestos PD Trust may have against any Entity in connection with or arising out of or based on any Asbestos PD Claim or CDN ZAI PD Claim. **Notwithstanding**

anything to the contrary in Section 8.3.2 of the Plan (and for the avoidance of doubt), following the transfer to the Asbestos PD Trust of Cryovac, Inc.'s share of the Asbestos PD Initial Payment by or on behalf of Cryovac, Inc., (i) no Entity shall have any right to enforce any provision of this Plan relating to the Asbestos PD Initial Payment or the payment thereof against any of the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties or any property or interest (including any Distributions made pursuant to this Plan) in property of any of the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties and (ii) the sole recourse of a Holder of an Asbestos PD Claim or CDN ZAI PD Claim against any of the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties or a Successor Claim arising out of or based on any Asbestos PD Claim or CDN ZAI Claim on account thereof, shall be to the Asbestos PD Trust or as set forth in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement (as applicable), and such Holder shall have no right whatsoever at any time to assert its Asbestos PD Claim or Successor Claim arising out of or based on any Asbestos PD Claim against any of the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties or any property or interest (including any Distributions made pursuant to this Plan) in property of any of the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in Section 8.3.2 of the Plan (and for the avoidance of doubt), following the transfer to the Asbestos PD Trust of Fresenius' share of the Asbestos PD Initial Payment by or on behalf of Fresenius, (i) no Entity shall have any right to enforce any provision of this Plan relating to the Asbestos PD Initial Payment or the payment thereof against any of the Fresenius Indemnified Parties or any property or interest (including any Distributions made pursuant to this Plan) in property of any of the Fresenius Indemnified Parties and (ii) the sole recourse of a Holder of an Asbestos PD Claim or CDN ZAI PD Claim against any of the Fresenius Indemnified Parties or a Successor Claim arising out of or based on any Asbestos PD Claim or CDN ZAI Claim on account thereof, shall be to the Asbestos PD Trust or as set forth in the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement (as applicable), and such Holder shall have no right whatsoever at any time to assert its Asbestos PD Claim or Successor Claim arising out of or based on any Asbestos PD Claim against any of the Fresenius Indemnified Parties or any property or interest (including any Distributions made pursuant to this Plan) in property of any of the Fresenius Indemnified Parties.

4.8.4 Asbestos Insurance Entity Injunction(s)

Pursuant to the exercise of the equitable jurisdiction and power of the Court under Bankruptcy Code § 105(a), the Confirmation Order shall provide for issuance of the Asbestos Insurance Entity Injunction to take effect as of the Effective Date.

4.8.4.1 Asbestos Insurance Entity Injunctions

4.8.4.1.1 Injunction for the Benefit of the Asbestos PI Trust

(a) All Entities that have held or asserted, that hold or assert, or that may in the future hold or assert, any claim or cause of action against any Asbestos Insurance Entity, based upon, or arising out of, any Asbestos PI Claim against the Debtors or any Asbestos Insurance Rights, whenever and wherever arisen or asserted (including all claims in the nature of or sounding in tort, or under contract, warranty, or any other theory of law, equity, or admiralty) shall be stayed, restrained, and enjoined from taking any action for the purpose of directly or indirectly claiming, collecting, recovering, or receiving any payment, recovery, satisfaction, or any

other relief whatsoever on, of, or with respect to any such claim or cause of action, including:

- (i) commencing, conducting, or continuing, in any manner, directly or indirectly, any suit, action, or other proceeding (including a judicial, arbitration, administrative, or other proceeding in any forum) against or affecting any Asbestos Insurance Entity, or any property or interest in property of any Asbestos Insurance Entity;
- (ii) <u>enforcing</u>, <u>levying</u>, <u>attaching</u> (<u>including</u> <u>any</u> <u>prejudgment</u> <u>attachment</u>), <u>collecting</u>, <u>or otherwise recovering by any means or in any manner</u>, <u>whether directly or indirectly</u>, <u>any judgment</u>, <u>award</u>, <u>decree</u>, <u>or other order against any Asbestos Insurance Entity</u>, <u>or any property or interest in property of any Asbestos Insurance Entity</u>;
- (iii) <u>creating, perfecting, or otherwise enforcing in any manner, directly or indirectly, any Encumbrance against any Asbestos Insurance Entity, or any property or interest in property of any Asbestos Insurance Entity;</u>
- (iv) setting off, seeking reimbursement of, indemnification or contribution from, or subrogation against, or otherwise recouping in any manner, directly or indirectly, any amount against any liability owed to any Asbestos Insurance Entity, or any property or interest in property of any Asbestos Insurance Entity; and
- (v) proceeding in any other manner with regard to any matter that is subject to resolution pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust, except in conformity and compliance with the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement, the Asbestos PI TDP, and the appropriate Asbestos Insurance Settlement Agreements.
- (b) The Asbestos PI Trust shall have the sole and exclusive authority at any time to terminate, reduce or limit the scope of, the Asbestos Insurance Entity Injunction issued pursuant to Section 8.4.1.1 of the Plan as it may apply to any Asbestos Insurance Entity upon express written notice to that Asbestos Insurance Entity; and
- (c) The Asbestos Insurance Entity Injunction is not issued for the benefit of any Asbestos Insurance Entity, and no Asbestos Insurance Entity is or may become a third-party beneficiary of the Asbestos Insurance Entity Injunction.

4.8.4.1.2 Reservations from the Injunction for the Benefit of the Asbestos PI Trust

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in Section 8.4.1.1 of the Plan, the Asbestos Insurance Entity Injunction issued pursuant to Section 8.4.1.1 of the Plan shall not enjoin:

(a) the rights of any Entity to the treatment accorded it under the Plan;

- (b) the rights of the Asbestos PI Trust or, to the extent provided in the Asbestos Insurance Transfer Agreement, any of the Insurance Contributors, to prosecute any cause of action or to assert any claim, demand, debt, obligation, or liability for payment against any Entity (but not the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties or the Fresenius Indemnified Parties), including any Asbestos Insurance Entity or any property or interest in property of any Asbestos Insurance Entity, based on or arising from the Asbestos Insurance Rights for the Asbestos PI Trust's benefit; and
- (c) the rights of the Asbestos PI Trust or, to the extent provided in the Asbestos Insurance Transfer Agreement, any of the Insurance Contributors, to receive any settlement, award, payment of Cash, or other property of any kind whatsoever from any Entity (but not the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties or the Fresenius Indemnified Parties), including any Asbestos Insurance Entity or any property or interest in property of any Asbestos Insurance Entity, in satisfaction of any Asbestos Insurance Rights that the Asbestos PI Trust or any of the Insurance Contributors, may have against any of the foregoing.

4.8.5 Successor Claims Injunction

Pursuant to the exercise of the equitable jurisdiction and power of the Court under Bankruptcy Code § 105(a), the Confirmation Order shall provide for issuance of the Successor Claim Injunction to take effect as of the Effective Date.

4.8.5.1 Injunction

All Entities that have held or asserted, that hold or assert, or that may in the future hold or assert, any Successor Claim based on or arising from, in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, the Cryovac Transaction or Fresenius Transaction (other than Successor Claims arising out of or based on any Asbestos PI Claim, Asbestos PD Claim or CDN ZAI PD Claim) against any Asbestos Protected Party shall be stayed, restrained, and enjoined from taking any and all legal or other actions or making any demand for the purpose of directly or indirectly claiming, collecting, recovering, or receiving any payment, recovery, satisfaction, or any other relief whatsoever on, of, or with respect to any such Successor Claim, including:

- (a) commencing, conducting, or continuing in any manner, directly or indirectly, any suit, action, or other proceeding (including a judicial, arbitration, administrative, or other proceeding) in any forum against or affecting any Asbestos Protected Party, or any property or interest in property of any Asbestos Protected Party;
- (b) <u>enforcing, levying, attaching (including any prejudgment attachment), collecting, or otherwise recovering by any means or in any manner, whether directly or indirectly, any judgment, award, decree, or other order against any Asbestos Protected Party, or any property or interest in property of any Asbestos Protected Party;</u>
- (c) <u>creating, perfecting, or otherwise enforcing in any manner, directly or indirectly, any Encumbrance against any Asbestos Protected Party, or any property or interest in property of any Asbestos Protected Party;</u>

- (d) <u>setting off, seeking reimbursement of, indemnification or contribution from, or subrogation against, or otherwise recouping in any manner, directly or indirectly, any amount against any liability owed to any Asbestos Protected Party, or any property or interest in property of any Asbestos Protected Party; and</u>
- (e) proceeding in any other manner with regard to any Successor Claim based on or arising from, in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, the Cryovac Transaction or Fresenius Transaction (other than Successor Claims arising out of or based on any Asbestos PI Claim, Asbestos PD Claim or CDN ZAI PD Claim).

4.8.6 Injunctions and Releases Related to the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties and Fresenius Indemnified Parties

As required by the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement, the Sealed Air Settlement Order, the Fresenius Settlement Agreement, and the Fresenius Settlement Order, the injunctions and releases outlined in the Plan, including the Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction and the Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction provided under Bankruptcy Code § 524(g) and the Successor Claims Injunction provided under Bankruptcy Code § 105(a), shall absolutely and unequivocally extend to and protect the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties and the Fresenius Indemnified Parties.

4.8.7 Terms of Certain Injunctions and the Automatic Stay

4.8.7.1 Injunctions and/or Automatic Stays in Existence Immediately Prior to Confirmation

All of the injunctions and/or automatic stays provided for in or in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases, whether pursuant to Bankruptcy Code §§ 105, 362, or any other provision of the Bankruptcy Code or other applicable law, in existence immediately prior to the Confirmation Date shall remain in full force and effect until the injunctions set forth in the Plan become effective, and thereafter if so provided by the Plan, the Confirmation Order, or by their own terms. In addition, on and after the Confirmation Date, the Reorganized Debtors or the Plan Proponents, acting together, may seek such further orders as they may deem necessary or appropriate to preserve the status quo during the time between the Confirmation Date and the Effective Date.

4.8.7.2 Injunctions Provided for in the Plan

Each of the injunctions provided for in the Plan shall become effective on the Effective Date and shall continue in effect at all times thereafter unless otherwise provided by the Plan. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in the Plan, all actions in the nature of those to be enjoined by such injunctions shall be enjoined during the period between the Confirmation Date and the Effective Date. The Libby Claimants object to the scope of certain of the injunctions provided for in the Plan.

4.8.8 Additional Releases and Indemnification

4.8.8.1 Release of Sealed Air Indemnified Parties

On or prior to the Effective Date, (a) the SA Debtors, the Asbestos PI Committee and the Asbestos PD Committee shall execute and deliver the "Release" (as defined in the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement); (b) the "Government Plaintiff" (as defined in the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement) shall execute and deliver the "Government Release" (as defined in the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement); and (c) the Asbestos PI Committee and the Asbestos PD Committee shall deliver the Fresenius Release (as defined in the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement), all as provided for in the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement. In addition, in consideration for the Cryovac Payment, each of the SA Non-Debtor Affiliates shall irrevocably release, acquit, and forever discharge the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties from any and all present and future Asbestos-Related Claims and Demands related thereto and any and all present and future SA Claims, Canadian Claims, SA Debts, and SA Damages on the basis of, and arising from, or attributable to (in whole or in part, directly or indirectly) the Fresenius Transaction that have accrued or been asserted or that hereafter might accrue or be asserted against the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties, and that each Non-Debtor Affiliate shall not institute, participate in, maintain, maintain a right to or assert against the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties, either directly or indirectly, on its own behalf, derivatively, or on behalf of any other Entity any and all present and future Asbestos-Related Claims and Demands related thereto, and any and all claims, present and future SA Claims, Canadian Claims, SA Debts, and SA Damages on the basis of, arising from, or attributable to (in whole or in part, directly or indirectly) the Fresenius Transaction.

The SA Debtors and the Reorganized Debtors shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless each of the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties as provided in, and to the extent set forth, in the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement.

The SA Debtors shall, jointly and severally, at their sole expense, indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties from and against (a) any and all present and future Asbestos-Related Claims and Demands related thereto and all SA Indemnified Taxes, (b) any and all losses, costs, and expenses incurred as a result of any breach of any of the SA Debtors' or SA Non-Debtor Affiliates' obligations, covenants, and agreements set forth or referred to in the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement, including any such obligation, covenant, or agreement of any SA Debtor or SA Non-Debtor Affiliates set forth in the Plan or Confirmation Order, (c) if any SA Non-Debtor Affiliate has not executed and delivered a "Release" (as defined in the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement), any and all Asbestos-Related Claims and Demands related thereto based on, arising out of, or attributable to, directly or indirectly, in whole or in part, such SA Non-Debtor Affiliate and (d) any and all attorneys' fees or costs and expenses attributable to any "SA Indemnity Claim" (as defined below) (such indemnity obligations, collectively, the "SA Debtors' Indemnity Obligation"; and any and all SA Claims, SA Debts, or SA Damages that could be asserted by any of the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties under the SA Debtors' Indemnity Obligation, the "SA Indemnity Claims"); provided, however, that in each case such indemnification shall not apply to "Excluded Fees" (as defined in the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement) and provided, further, that nothing in the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement or the Plan, shall adversely affect any rights of any Entity to file and pursue, or object to, a proof of Claim for Excluded Fees in the Chapter 11 Cases.

Each SA Debtor shall execute and deliver an indemnity agreement in favor of the Released Parties in the form annexed as Exhibit 6 to the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement. The SA Debtors' Indemnity Obligation (and the obligations, covenants, and agreements of each of the SA Debtors and SA Non-Debtor Affiliates set forth or referred to in the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement, including any such obligation, covenant, or agreement of any SA Debtor or SA Non-Debtor Affiliate set forth in the Plan or Confirmation Order) shall not be discharged, expunged, estimated, or otherwise adversely affected in or by the Chapter 11 Cases or by the confirmation of the Plan.

The SA Debtors' Indemnity Obligation (and the obligations, covenants, and agreements of each of the SA Debtors and SA Non-Debtor Affiliates set forth or referred to in the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement, including any such obligation, covenant, or agreement of any SA Debtor or SA Non-Debtor Affiliate set forth in the Plan or Confirmation Order) shall continue unaffected as a post-confirmation obligation of each of the Reorganized Debtors.

4.8.8.2 Reservation of Rights With Respect to Cryovac Transaction Contractual Obligations

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Plan, any of the Plan Documents, or the Confirmation Order, nothing in the Plan, any of the Plan Documents, or the Confirmation Order (including any other provisions that purports to be preemptory or supervening) shall in any way operate to, or have the effect of, impairing or limiting the contractual rights, obligations, and defenses of the parties to the Cryovac Transactionany of the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties or the Debtors and the Non-Debtor affiliates with respect to outstanding claims arising out of the interpretation or application of the documents governing the Cryovac Transaction. All such contractual rights, obligations, and defenses shall survive confirmation and the Debtors' discharge and remain fully effective and enforceable after the Effective Date.

4.8.8.3 Release of Fresenius Indemnified Parties

Upon receipt of the Fresenius Payment, the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, the Asbestos PI Committee, and the Asbestos PD Committee will each fully, finally and forever release, relinquish and discharge each and every Fresenius Indemnified Party from any and all Grace-Related Claims, including, for the avoidance of doubt, claims and causes of action under chapter 5 of the Bankruptcy Code or similar claims or causes of action under state or any other law, that the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, the Asbestos PI Committee, or the Asbestos PD Committee have asserted or could have asserted in the Bankruptcy Court or any other forum against any of the Fresenius Indemnified Parties and the release that is attached as Appendix B to the Fresenius Settlement Agreement shall become effective. Upon receipt of the Fresenius Payment, in addition to the more limited duties of indemnification by the Debtors to the Fresenius Indemnified Parties under Article III of the Fresenius Settlement Agreement, the Debtors and the Reorganized Debtors shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the Fresenius Indemnified Parties as provided in and to the extent set forth in the Fresenius Settlement Without limiting the foregoing, pursuant to sSection 3.05 of the Fresenius Settlement Agreement, the Debtors and the Reorganized Debtors shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Fresenius Indemnified Parties from Fresenius Indemnified Taxes and, to the extent provided in the Fresenius Settlement Agreement, any and all losses, costs, and expenses

incurred as a result of any breach of the Estate Parties' obligations. Covenants, and agreements set forth or referred to in the Fresenius Settlement Agreement.

4.8.8.4 Assumption of the 1998 Tax Sharing Agreement and Section 4.04 of the TSIA

- (a) The Confirmation Order shall constitute an order authorizing the assumption by each of the Debtors of the 1998 Tax Sharing Agreement. The 1998 Tax Sharing Agreement shall be an assumed agreement of each of the Debtors (including Grace New York and Grace-Conn) pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 365 and nothing contained in, or contemplated by, the Plan, the Confirmation Order, or the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement shall adversely affect the rights of the Debtors, Sealed Air Corporation, or any of their Affiliates under the 1998 Tax Sharing Agreement.
- (b) The Confirmation Order shall constitute an order authorizing the assumption by each of the Debtors of Section 4.04 of the TSIA. Section 4.04 of the TSIA shall be an assumed agreement of each of the Debtors (including Grace New York and Grace-Conn) pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 365 and nothing contained in, or contemplated by, the Plan, the Confirmation Order, or the Fresenius Settlement Agreement shall adversely affect the rights of the Debtors, Fresenius or any of their Affiliates under Section 4.04 of the TSIA.

4.8.8.5 Effect of the Fresenius Settlement Agreement, the Fresenius Settlement Order, the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement, and the Sealed Air Settlement Order

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Plan, any of the Plan Documents, or the Confirmation Order, nothing in the Plan, any of the Plan Documents, or the Confirmation Order (including any other provisions that purports to be preemptory or supervening) shall in any way operate to, or have the effect of, impairing or limiting the legal, equitable, or contractual rights or obligations of the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties, the Fresenius Indemnified Parties, or the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, the other Estate Parties, and the Non-Debtor Affiliates, respectively, pursuant to the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement, the Sealed Air Settlement Order, the Fresenius Settlement Agreement, or the Fresenius Settlement Order, as applicable, each of which is expressly made a part of the Plan and incorporated in the Plan by reference.

4.8.8.6 Release of Avoidance Actions

Effective as of the Effective Date, the Debtors and the Reorganized Debtors fully, finally and forever release, relinquish, and discharge each and every Claim, cause of action, or right of the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors or any of them, arising under the Bankruptcy Code, including any avoidance or recovery actions under sections 544, 545, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551 and 553 of the Bankruptcy Code, or under any similar state statutes, which seek recovery of or with respect to any payment by, or transfer of any interest in property of, any of the Debtors or the Debtors in Possession on account of an Asbestos PI Claim, Asbestos PD Claim, or CDN ZAI PD Claim that would have constituted an Asbestos PI Claim, Asbestos PD Claim, or CDN ZAI PD Claim had such payment or transfer not been made. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the release provided in Section 8.8.6 of the Plan shall supplement the other releases and injunctions provided by the Debtors and Reorganized Debtors to the Sealed Air Indemnified

Parties and the Fresenius Indemnified Parties pursuant to the Plan and nothing in Section 8.8.6 of the Plan in any way limits or modifies, nor shall be construed to in any way limit or modify, the scope of such releases.

4.8.8.7 Specific Releases by Holders of Claims or Equity Interests

Without limiting any other provisions of the Plan, each Holder of a Claim or Equity Interest who votes in favor of the Plan shall be deemed to unconditionally have released the Asbestos Protected Parties, the Unsecured Creditors' Committee, the Asbestos PI Committee, the Asbestos PD Committee, the Equity Committee, the Asbestos PI FCR and the Asbestos PD FCR, and each such party's Representatives, as of the Effective Date, from any and all Claims, SA Claims, SA Damages, obligations, rights, suits, judgments, damages, causes of action, remedies, and liabilities of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, foreseen or unforeseen, matured or unmatured, existing or hereafter arising, in law, equity, or otherwise, that such EntityHolder would have been legally entitled to assert in its own right (whether individually or collectively), based in whole or in part upon any act or omission, transaction, agreement, event, or other occurrence taking place on or before the Effective Date in any way relating or pertaining to, the Debtors or the Reorganized Debtors, their operations on or before the Effective Date, their respective property, the Chapter 11 Cases, or the negotiation, formulation, and preparation of the Plan or any related agreements, instruments, or other documents. In addition to the foregoing, each Holder of a Claim or Equity Interest who receives or retains any property under the Plan shall also be deemed to unconditionally release the Fresenius Indemnified Parties to the same extent as the release in the preceding sentence. Section 8.8.7 of the Plan is not intended to preclude a governmental entity from enforcing its police and regulatory powers. The specific releases set forth in Section 8.8.7 of the Plan with respect to the Fresenius Indemnified Parties have been drafted in such a manner in order to comply with the terms and conditions of the Fresenius Settlement Agreement and the Fresenius Settlement Order. The Libby Claimants have objected to the scope of these releases.

4.8.8.8 Release by Debtors and Estate Parties

Effective as of the Confirmation Date, but subject to the occurrence of the Effective Date, for good and valuable consideration, to the fullest extent permissible under applicable law, each Debtor, in its individual capacity and as a debtor-in-possession for and on behalf of its estate and its Affiliates, and the Reorganized Debtors on their own behalf and as representatives of their respective estates and their Affiliates, and their respective successors, assigns and any and all Entities who may purport to claim by, through, for or because of them, are hereby deemed to release and waive conclusively, absolutely, unconditionally, irrevocably, and forever each and all of the Debtors' and their Non-Debtor Affiliates' Representatives and their respective properties (the "Released Parties"), from any and all Claims, obligations, rights, suits, damages, remedies, liabilities, or causes of action in any manner arising from, based on, or relating to, in whole or in part, the Debtors, the Debtors' property, the Chapter 11 Cases, the purchase, sale, or rescission of the purchase or sale of any security of the Debtors, the subject matter of, or the transactions or events giving rise to, any Claim or Interest that is treated in the Plan, the restructuring of Claims and Interests prior to or in the Chapter 11 Cases, the negotiation, formulation, or preparation of the Plan and this Disclosure Statement, or related agreements, instruments, or other documents, involving any act, omission, transaction, agreement, occurrence, or event taking place on or

before the Effective Date other than any act or omission of a Released Party that constitutes willful misconduct. Any act or omission taken with the approval of the Bankruptcy Court will be conclusively deemed not to constitute willful misconduct. The Libby Claimants have objected to the scope of these releases.

4.8.8.9 Indemnification of Representatives of the Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates

The Reorganized Debtors will defend, indemnify, and hold harmless to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, all Representatives of the Debtors, and all Representatives of the Non-Debtor Affiliates, on and after the Effective Date for all Claims, obligations, rights, suits, damages, causes of action, remedies, and liabilities whatsoever that are purported to be released pursuant to Sections 8.8.7 and 8.8.8 of the Plan. Nothing in Section 8.8.9 of the Plan is intended to, and shall not, alter in any way the rights of the present and/or former officers and/or directors of the Debtors and the Non-Debtor Affiliates, under the Debtors' By-Laws and/or Certificate of Incorporation, and the Non-Debtor Affiliates' applicable by-laws and/or certificates of incorporation, whatever those rights may be.

4.8.8.10 Indemnification of Reorganized Debtors and Their Representatives by the Asbestos PI Trust

From and after the Effective Date, the Asbestos PI Trust shall protect, defend, indemnify and hold harmless, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law each of the Reorganized Debtors and their Representatives from and against: (a) any and all Asbestos PI Claims or Successor Claims arising out of or based on any Asbestos PI Claim to the extent they are subject to the Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction, together with any and all related Damages, (b) any and all Damages relating to Asbestos PI Claims or Successor Claims purported to be covered by the Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction, to the extent that such Asbestos PI Claims or Successor Claims are brought in jurisdictions outside of the United States of America or are not otherwise, for any reason, subject to the Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction, (c) any and all Claims or Damages arising out of, resulting from, or attributable to, directly or indirectly, the assignment, transfer or other provision to the Asbestos PI Trust of the Asbestos Insurance Rights, and (d) any and all Claims or Damages arising out of Asbestos PI Claims, to the extent such Claims or dDamages are based upon Claims brought by, on behalf of or in the name of the Asbestos PI Trust on account of or derived from the Asbestos PI Trust Assets; provided however, that notwithstanding the foregoing, none of the Reorganized Debtors nor any of their Representatives shall be entitled to be protected, defended, indemnified or held harmless from any criminal proceeding or any Claims or Damages arising out of, resulting from, or attributable to, directly or indirectly, the criminal proceeding styled *United States v. W. R. Grace & Co., et al.*, Case No. CR-05-07-M-DWM (D. Mont), or any similar or related proceeding or any settlement thereof. If there shall be pending any Claim against the Asbestos PI Trust for indemnification under Section 8.8.10 of the Plan, the Asbestos PI Trust shall maintain sufficient assets (as determined in good faith by the Asbestos PI Trustees of the Asbestos PI Trust) to fund any payments in respect of that Claim for indemnification.

For purposes of Section 8.8.10 of the Plan only, "Damages" to any Entity covered by the indemnity in Section 8.8.10 of the Plan shall mean any cost, damage (including any consequential, exemplary, punitive, or treble damage), or expense (including reasonable fees and

actual disbursements by attorneys, consultants, experts, or other Representatives and costs of litigation) imposed upon that Entity. The Reorganized Debtors shall provide prompt notice to the Asbestos PI Trust upon becoming aware of the basis for any claim for indemnification under Section 8.8.10 of the Plan.

4.8.8.11 <u>Indemnification of the Reorganized Debtors and Their Representatives by the Asbestos PD Trust</u>

From and after the Effective Date, the Asbestos PD Trust shall protect, defend, indemnify and hold harmless, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law each of the Reorganized Debtors and their Representatives from and against: (a) any and all Asbestos PD Claims or Successor Claims arising out of or based on any Asbestos PD Claim to the extent they are subject to the Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction, together with any and all related Damages, (b) any and all Damages relating to Asbestos PD Claims or Successor Claims purported to be covered by the Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction, to the extent that such Asbestos PD Claims or Successor Claims are brought in jurisdictions outside of the United States of America (other than Canada) or are not otherwise, for any reason, subject to the Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction, and (c) any and all claims or Damages arising out of Asbestos PD Claims, to the extent such Claims or Damages are based upon claims brought by, on behalf of or in the name of the Asbestos PD Trust on account of or derived from the Asbestos PD Trust Assets; provided however, that notwithstanding the foregoing, none of the Reorganized Debtors nor any of their Representatives shall be entitled to be protected, defended, indemnified or held harmless from any criminal proceeding or any claims or Damages arising out of, resulting from, or attributable to, directly or indirectly, the criminal proceeding styled United States v. W. R. Grace & Co., et al. Case No. CR-05-07-M-DWM (D. Mont), or any similar or related proceeding or any settlement thereof. If there shall be pending any claim against the Asbestos PD Trust for indemnification under Section 8.8.11 of the Plan, the Asbestos PD Trust shall maintain sufficient assets (as determined in good faith by the Asbestos PD Trustees of the Asbestos PD Trust) to fund any payments in respect of that claim for indemnification. For purposes of Section 8.8.11 of the Plan only, "Damages" to any Entity covered by the indemnity in Section 8.8.11 of the Plan shall mean any cost, damage (including any consequential, exemplary, punitive, or treble damage) or expense (including reasonable fees and actual disbursements by attorneys, consultants, experts, or other Representatives and costs of litigation) imposed upon that Entity. The Reorganized Debtors shall provide prompt notice to the Asbestos PD Trust upon becoming aware of the basis for any claim for indemnification under Section 8.8.11 of the Plan.

4.9 EXECUTORY CONTRACTS, UNEXPIRED LEASES, LETTERS OF CREDIT, SURETY BONDS, COMPENSATION, INDEMNITY AND BENEFIT PROGRAMS

Article 9 of the Plan sets forth provisions dealing with executory contracts, unexpired leases, letters of credit, surety bonds, employee compensation, certain indemnity agreements and Benefit Programs.

4.9.1 Assumption of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases

4.9.1.1 Assumption Procedures

Subject to the occurrence of the Effective Date, entry of the Confirmation Order shall constitute express approval pursuant to Bankruptcy Code § 365(a) of the assumption of the executory contracts and unexpired leases described in Section 9.1.1 of the Plan and a finding by the Bankruptcy Court that each such assumption is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, and all parties in interest in the Chapter 11 Cases.

Not later than twenty (20) days after entry of the Confirmation Order, the Debtors will File with the Bankruptcy Court an exhibit (the "Cure Exhibit") setting forth those executory contracts and unexpired leases which are being assumed by the Debtors and as to which the Debtors believe that cure amounts are owing, together with the respective cure amounts due for each such executory contract or assumed lease. The Debtors shall serve the Cure Exhibit on each non-Debtor party to an executory contract or unexpired lease being assumed pursuant to the Plan, including those listed on such exhibit. If there is a dispute regarding (a) the nature or amount of any cure, (b) the ability of a Reorganized Debtor or assignee to provide "adequate assurance of future performance" (within the meaning of section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code) under the contract or lease to be assumed or (c) any other matter pertaining to assumption, cure will occur following the entry of a Final Order resolving the dispute and approving the assumption. With respect to any executory contracts or unexpired leases which are being assumed by the Debtors but as to which the Debtors contend that no cure amounts are due, such executory contracts and unexpired leases will not be included on the Cure Exhibit.

Not later than twenty (20) days after the Filing and service of the Cure Exhibit, the non-Debtor party to any executory contract or unexpired lease that the Debtors propose to assume, whether or not listed on the Cure Exhibit, may dispute the cure amount, if any, set forth by the Debtors on the Cure Exhibit pursuant to Section 9.1.1 of the Plan, assert that a cure amount should be owing with respect to any executory contract or unexpired lease that is being assumed, or otherwise object to the assumption of the executory contract or unexpired lease indicated in Section 9.1.1 of the Plan by Filing a written objection with the Bankruptcy Court and serving such objection on counsel for the Debtors.

If no objection to the cure amount or the proposed assumption is properly Filed and served within twenty (20) days after the Filing and service of the Cure Exhibit, then (a) the proposed assumption of the executory contract or unexpired leases shall be deemed approved without further action of the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with the Plan and the Confirmation Order, effective as of the Effective Date, and (b) the cure amount, if any, identified by the Debtors in the Cure Exhibit shall be fixed and shall be paid in full in Cash on the Effective Date or on such other terms as are agreed to by the parties to such executory contract or unexpired lease.

If an objection to the cure amount or the proposed assumption is properly Filed and served within twenty (20) days after the Filing and service of the Cure Exhibit, then the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors, as applicable, and the objecting party may resolve such objection by stipulation, without further action of the Bankruptcy Court. If the parties are unable to resolve

such objection, then: (a) the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors may file a reply to such objection no later than thirty (30) days after the Filing and service of such objection and ask the Bankruptcy Court to schedule a hearing on the particular objection and the related reply at an appropriate time; or (b) the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors, as applicable, may designate the executory contract or unexpired lease underlying such objection for rejection pursuant to Section 9.1.3 of the Plan.

Executory contracts and unexpired leases previously assumed by the Debtors during the case pursuant to Bankruptcy Code § 365 shall be governed by and subject to the provisions of the order of the Court authorizing the assumption thereof.

4.9.1.2 Rejection of Certain Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases

On the Effective Date, each executory contract and unexpired lease listed on Exhibit 18 in the Exhibit Book (if any) shall be rejected to the extent that such contract or lease constitutes an executory contract or unexpired lease. Listing a contract or lease on Exhibit 18 shall not constitute an admission by the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors that such contract or lease is an executory contract or unexpired lease or that the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors have any liability thereunder.

The Debtors shall have the right until ten (10) days prior to the Effective Date to modify the list of rejected contracts included in Exhibit 18 in the Exhibit Book to add executory contracts or leases (but not the 1998 Tax Sharing Agreement or any Asbestos Insurance Policy, Asbestos Insurance Settlement Agreement, or Asbestos In-Place Insurance Coverage) or remove executory contracts or leases (but not Section 4.04 of the TSIA addressed in Section 8.8.4(b) of the Plan), provided that the Debtors shall file a notice with the Bankruptcy Court and serve each affected party with such notice.

To the extent executory, all agreements that create an obligation of the Debtors to reimburse or indemnify third parties (other than the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties or the Fresenius Indemnified Parties) with respect to Asbestos PI Claims, Asbestos PD Claims or CDN ZAI PD Claims (other than Asbestos Insurance Policies, Asbestos Insurance Settlement Agreements, Asbestos In-Place Insurance Coverage, or the 1998 Tax Sharing Agreement to the extent any are executory) shall be deemed rejected by operation of entry of the Confirmation Order, subject to the occurrence of the Effective Date, unless expressly identified and assumed pursuant to the Plan, a Plan Document, or an order of the Bankruptcy Court.

Pursuant to the terms of the March 2003 Bar Date Order and Bankruptcy Rule 3002(c)(4), and except as otherwise ordered by the Bankruptcy Court, a proof of Claim for each Claim arising from the rejection of an executory contract or unexpired lease pursuant to this Plan shall be Filed with the Bankruptcy Court within thirty (30) days of the later of: (i) the date of-service of the Notice of Confirmation Date, or (ii) the Effective Date. Any Claims not Filed within such applicable time period shall be forever barred from assertion.

<u>The Debtors do not presently contemplate the rejection of any Executory Contracts or Unexpired Leases.</u>

4.9.2 Letters of Credit and Surety Bonds

Section 9.2 of the Plan provides that letters of credit related to the Debtors' post-petition credit facilities will be refinanced upon emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases. All other letters of credit and surety bonds on account of non-asbestos claims will remain in place and become obligations of the Reorganized Debtors. Claims based on payment of letters of credit and surety bonds issued or provided on account of Asbestos PI Claims will be treated as Indirect PI Trust Claims and will be channeled to the Asbestos PI Trust. Claims based on payment of letters of credit and surety bonds issued or provided on account of Asbestos PD Claims will be treated as Indirect PD Trust Claims and will be channeled to the Asbestos PD Trust. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Plan, the Disclosure Statement or any other document prepared in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases, nothing shall affect the right of the plaintiffs in Sheldon H. Solow v. W. R. Grace & Co., No. 2453/88 (NY Sup. Ct.) to execute on and recover in satisfaction of the judgment in that case in accordance with state law against any entity that issued a surety bond to secure payment of that judgment, or any successor to such entity.

4.9.3 Compensation, Indemnity and Benefit Program

Section 9.3 of the Plan provides for the continuation of existing employee and retiree benefit plans, programs and policies, in each case subject to the rights to amend, modify or terminate such benefits under the terms of the applicable plan, agreement or non-bankruptcy law.

In addition to the provisions of Article 9 of the Plan, subsequent to the Effective Date, the Reorganized Debtors will continue to provide medical care to some of the residents of Libby, Montana consistent with the Libby Medical Program, which was first enacted by the Debtors in April 2000.

In addition, on the Effective Date, the Reorganized Parent's Board of Directors will have the authority to grant stock incentive awards to the management of the Reorganized Debtors and to other key employees, and to the Board of Directors of the Reorganized Debtors pursuant to the Stock Incentive Plan.

4.10 RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

Article 10 of the Plan provides that the Bankruptcy Court will retain exclusive jurisdiction over any matter (a) arising under the Bankruptcy Code, (b) arising in or related to the Chapter 11 Cases or the Plan, or (c) that relates to the matters enumerated in Article 10. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the District Court shall retain jurisdiction for such matters to which the automatic reference to the Bankruptcy Court has been withdrawn.

4.11 MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

Article 11 of the Plan deals with a variety of miscellaneous matters including:

- authority of the Debtors
- payment of statutory feesauthority of the Reorganized Debtors to grant new Stock Incentive Plan and impose stock trading restrictions

- retained causes of action
- third-party agreements
- requirements of the Fresenius Settlement Agreement
- requirements of the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement
- dissolution of the Unsecured Creditors' Committee, the Asbestos PI Committee, the Asbestos PD Committee and the Equity Committee
- continued retention of the Asbestos PI Future Claimants' Representative and the Asbestos PD Future Claimants' Representative
- exculpation
- title to assets
- discharge of liabilities
- entirety of the agreement
- notices
- headings
- governing law
- filing of additional documents
- compliance with tax requirements
- exemption from transfer taxes
- further assurances
- further authorizations

The more significant sections of Article 11 of the Plan are:

Section 11.3.1 of the Plan - Maintenance of Causes of Action: Nothing in Section 11.3 of the Plan shall be deemed to be a transfer by the Debtors and the Reorganized Debtors of any Claims, causes of action, or defenses relating to assumed executory contracts or otherwise which are required by the Reorganized Debtors to conduct their businesses in the ordinary course subsequent to the Effective Date. Moreover, except as otherwise expressly contemplated by the Plan, the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement, the Fresenius Settlement Agreement or other Plan Documents, and except for the Asbestos PI Trust Causes of Action and the Asbestos PD Trust Causes of Action, from and after the Effective Date, the Reorganized Debtors shall have and retain any and all rights to commence and pursue any and all Claims, causes of action, including the Retained Causes of Action, or defenses against any parties, including holders of Asbestos PD Claims, other Claimants and Holders of Equity Interests, whether such causes of action accrued before or after the Petition Date.

The Reorganized Debtors shall retain and may exclusively enforce any and all such Claims, rights, or causes of action, including Retained Causes of Action, and commence, pursue, and settle the causes of action in accordance with the Plan. The Reorganized Debtors shall have

the exclusive right, authority, and discretion to institute, prosecute, abandon, settle, or compromise any and all such claims, rights, and causes of action, including Retained Causes of Action, without the consent or approval of any third party and without any further order of the Court.

Section 11.3.2 of the Plan - Preservation of All Causes of Action not Expressly Settled or Released: Unless a Claim or cause of action against a Claimant or other Entity is expressly waived, relinquished, released, compromised, or settled in the Plan or any Final Order, the Debtors expressly reserve such Claim or Retained Cause of Action (including any unknown causes of action) for later adjudication by the Reorganized Debtors-and, the Asbestos PD Trust Causes of Action related to Claims in Class 7A for later adjudication by the Reorganized Debtors on behalf of the Asbestos PD Trust pursuant to the Class 7A CMO, the Asbestos PD Trust Causes of Action related to Claims in Class 7B for later adjudication by the Asbestos PD Trust pursuant to the ZAI TDP, and the Asbestos PI Trust Causes of Action for later adjudication by the Asbestos PI Trust. Therefore, no preclusion doctrine, including the doctrines of res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim preclusion, waiver, estoppel (judicial, equitable, or otherwise), or laches shall apply to such claims, Retained Causes of Action, or Asbestos PI Trust Causes of Action, or Asbestos PD Trust Causes of Action upon or after the Confirmation Date or Effective Date of the Plan based on this Disclosure Statement, the Plan or the Confirmation Order, except where such Claims or Retained Causes of Action have been released in the Plan or other Final Order. In addition, the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, and the successor entities under the Plan expressly reserve the right to pursue or adopt any Claim alleged in any lawsuit in which the Debtors are defendants or an interested party, against any Entity, including the plaintiffs or co-defendants in such lawsuits.

Any Entity to whom the Debtors have incurred an obligation (whether on account of services, purchase or sale of goods or otherwise), or who has received services from the Debtors or a transfer of money or property of the Debtors, or who has transacted business with the Debtors, or leased equipment or property from the Debtors should assume that such obligation, transfer, or transaction may be reviewed by the Debtors or the Reorganized Debtors, and may, if appropriate, be the subject of an action after the Effective Date, whether or not (a) such Entity has filed a proof of Claim against the Debtors in the Chapter 11 Cases; (b) such Claimant's proof of Claim has been objected to; (c) such Claimant's Claim was included in the Debtors' Schedules; or (d) such Claimant's scheduled Claim has been objected to by the Debtors or has been identified by the Debtors as a Disputed Claim, a Contingent Claim, or an Unliquidated Claim.

<u>Section 11.4 of the Plan - Third-Party Agreements</u>: The Distributions to the various Classes of Plan Claims in the Plan will not affect the right of any Entity to levy, garnish, attach, or employ any other legal process with respect to such Distributions by reason of any claimed subordination rights or otherwise. All of such rights and any agreements relating thereto will remain in full force and effect.

<u>Section 11.5 of the Plan - Requirements of the Fresenius Settlement Agreement</u>: Except as expressly waived in writing by Fresenius in its absolute discretion, each of the provisions to be included in the Plan to satisfy the preconditions to the payment of the Fresenius Payment set forth in the Fresenius Settlement Agreement and the other requirements of the Fresenius

Settlement Agreement, to the extent not already included in the Plan or waived pursuant to the terms of the Fresenius Settlement Agreement, shall be included in Exhibit 13 in the Exhibit Book and which shall be expressly incorporated into the Plan by reference and made a part thereof as if the same were fully set forth in the Plan.

Section 11.6 of the Plan - Requirements of the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement: Except as expressly waived in writing by each of Sealed Air Corporation and Cryovac, Inc. in its absolute discretion, each of the provisions to be included in the Plan to satisfy the preconditions to the payment of the Cryovac Payment set forth in the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement and the other requirements of the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement, to the extent not already included in the Plan or waived pursuant to the terms of the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement, shall be included in Exhibit 22 in the Exhibit Book which shall be expressly incorporated in the Plan by reference and made a part hereof as if the same were fully set forth in the Plan.

<u>Section 11.8 of the Plan - Exculpation</u>: None of the Reorganized Debtors, the Debtors, the Non-Debtor Affiliates, the Sealed Air Indemnified Parties, the Fresenius Indemnified Parties, the Asbestos PI Trustees of the Asbestos PI Trust, the Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee, the Asbestos PD Trustees of the Asbestos PD Trust, the Zonolite Attic Insulation Trust Advisory Committee, the Asbestos PI Committee, the Asbestos PD Committee, the Unsecured Creditors' Committee, the Equity Committee, the Asbestos PI FCR, the Asbestos PD FCR, or any of their respective Representatives are to have or incur any liability to any Entity for any act or omission in connection with or arising out of the Chapter 11 Cases, including the negotiation of the Plan or the settlements provided in the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement and the Fresenius Settlement Agreement, the pursuit of confirmation of the Plan, the consummation of the Plan or the settlement provided in the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement or Fresenius Settlement Agreement, or the administration of the Plan or the property to be distributed under the Plan so long as, in each case such action, or failure to act, did not constitute gross negligence or willful misconduct. In all respects, they will be entitled to rely upon the advice of counsel with respect to their duties and responsibilities under the Plan. Any act or omission taken with the approval of the Bankruptcy Court will be conclusively deemed not to constitute gross negligence or willful misconduct. Section 11.8 of the Plan is not intended to preclude a governmental entity from enforcing its police and regulatory powers.

Section 11.9 of the Plan - Title to Assets; Discharge of Liabilities: Upon the transfer of the Asbestos PI Trust Assets into the Asbestos PI Trust, such Asbestos PI Trust Assets shall be indefeasibly vested in the Asbestos PI Trust free and clear of all Claims, Equity Interests, Encumbrances, and other interests of any Entity. Notwithstanding the foregoing, or anything else in the Plan to the contrary, the Asbestos PI Trust Assets shall remain subject to any and all restrictions imposed by applicable securities laws and the Sealed Air Common Stock transferred to the Asbestos PI Trust shall remain subject to any and all restrictions imposed by the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement (including any rights of Sealed Air under the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement) and applicable securities laws. Upon the transfer of the Asbestos PD Trust Assets into the Asbestos PD Trust, such Asbestos PD Trust Assets shall be indefeasibly vested in the Asbestos PD Trust free and clear of all Claims, equity interests, Encumbrances, and other interests of any Entity. Except as otherwise provided in the Plan and in accordance with Bankruptcy Code § 1123(b)(3), on the Effective Date, title to all of the Debtors' assets and properties and interests in property, including the Retained Causes of Action, shall vest in the

Reorganized Debtors free and clear of all Claims, Equity Interests, Encumbrances, and other interests, and the Confirmation Order shall be a judicial determination of discharge of the liabilities of the Debtors.

Section 11.11 of the Plan - Notices: Any notices, statements, requests, and demands required or permitted to be provided under the Plan, in order to be effective, must be: (a) in writing (including by facsimile transmission), and unless otherwise expressly provided in the Plan, shall be deemed to have been duly given or made (i) if personally delivered or if delivered by facsimile or courier service, when actually received by the Entity to whom notice is sent, (ii) if deposited with the United States Postal Service (but only when actually received), at the close of business on the third business day following the day when placed in the mail, postage prepaid, certified or registered with return receipt requested, or (iii) one (1) Business Day after being sent to the recipient by reputable overnight courier service (charges prepaid) (but only when actually received) and (b) addressed to the appropriate Entity or Entities to whom such notice, statement, request or demand is directed (and, if required, its counsel), at the address of such Entity or Entities set forth in Section 11.11 of the Plan (or at such other address as such Entity may designate from time to time by written notice to all other Entities listed in Section 11.11 in accordance with Section 11.10 of the Plan).

Section 11.16 of the Plan - Exemption from Transfer Taxes: Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code § 1146(a), the issuance, transfer, or exchange of notes or equity securities under the Plan, the creation of any mortgage, deed of trust, or other security interest, the making or assignment of any lease or sublease, or the making or delivery of any deed or other instrument of transfer under, in furtherance of, or in connection with the Plan shall be exempt from all taxes as provided in Bankruptcy Code § 1146(a).

5. TRANSACTIONAL DOCUMENTS TO BE EXECUTED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE PLAN AND EFFECTIVE DATE

In conjunction with confirmation of the Plan and the Effective Date, the Reorganized Debtors and the Asbestos PI Trust shall execute several Transactional Documents necessary to implement the Plan and the Asbestos PI Settlement. Additionally, in conjunction with confirmation of the Plan and the Effective Date, Parent shall execute and deliver to the Asbestos PD Trust the Asbestos PD Note to implement the Plan and the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement. Those documents are outlined herein and can be found in the Exhibit Book as indicated below.

5.1 <u>Asbestos PL</u> Deferred Payment Agreement

Pursuant to the <u>Asbestos PI</u> Deferred Payment Agreement, Reorganized Grace-Conn and the Asbestos PI Trust agree that Reorganized Grace-Conn or any successor thereto will make deferred payments of \$110 million per year for five years beginning in 2019, and \$100 million per year for ten years beginning in 2024, as further set forth in the agreement at Exhibit 11 to the Exhibit Book, backed by the Grace Guaranty described below in Section 5.2,5.4, to fund, in part, the Asbestos PI Trust. <u>A copy of the Asbestos PI Deferred Payment Agreement is Exhibit 11 in the Exhibit Book.</u>

5.2 Class 7A Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement

Pursuant to the Class 7A Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement, Reorganized Grace-Conn and the Asbestos PD Trust agree that Reorganized Grace-Conn or any successor thereto will make deferred payments in an amount necessary to pay, if any, Allowed Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims. A copy of the Class 7A Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement is Exhibit 27 in the Exhibit Book.

5.3 Class 7B Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement

Pursuant to the Class 7B Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement, Reorganized Grace-Conn and the Asbestos PD Trust agree that Reorganized Grace-Conn or any successor thereto will make deferred payments of \$30 million for Class 7B US ZAI PD Claims three years after the Effective Date and a series of up to ten contingent payments for Class 7B US ZAI PD Claims of \$8 million each over the ensuing 20 years provided certain conditions are met, including that the assets available in the Asbestos PD Trust to pay Class 7B US ZAI PD Claims fall below \$10 million. A copy of the Class 7B Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement is Exhibit 28 in the Exhibit Book.

<u>5.4</u> <u>5.2</u> Grace Guaranty <u>Agreements</u>

Pursuant to the Grace <u>PI</u> Guaranty, the Reorganized Parent guarantees, as a primary obligor and not merely as a surety, Reorganized Grace-Conn's obligations for the full and prompt payment of all <u>Pd</u>eferred <u>Pp</u>ayments and all other amounts payable under the <u>Asbestos PI</u> Deferred Payment Agreement and Reorganized Grace-Conn's due performance of all of its other obligations under the <u>Asbestos PI</u> Deferred Payment Agreement. The obligations are secured by the Reorganized Parent's obligation to issue and deliver to the Asbestos PI Trust shares of the Reorganized Parent's common stock pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Share Issuance Agreement described below in Section <u>5.3.5.5.</u> A copy of the Grace <u>PI</u> Guaranty is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book.

Pursuant to the Grace PD Guarantee Agreement for Class 7A, the Reorganized Parent guarantees, as a primary obligor and not merely as a surety, Reorganized Grace-Conn's obligations for the full and prompt payment of all deferred payments and all other amounts payable under the Class 7A Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement and Reorganized Grace-Conn's due performance of all of its other obligations under the Class 7A Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement. The obligations are secured by the Reorganized Parent's obligation to issue and deliver to the Asbestos PD Trust shares of the Reorganized Parent's common stock pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Share Issuance Agreement described below in Section 5.5. A copy of the Grace PD Guarantee Agreement for Class 7A is Exhibit 29 in the Exhibit Book

Pursuant to the Grace PD Guarantee Agreement for Class 7B, the Reorganized Parent guarantees, as a primary obligor and not merely as a surety, Reorganized Grace-Conn's obligations for the full and prompt payment of all deferred payments and all other amounts payable under the Class 7B Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement and Reorganized Grace-Conn's due performance of all of its other obligations under the Class 7A Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement. The obligations are secured by the

Reorganized Parent's obligation to issue and deliver to the Asbestos PD Trust shares of the Reorganized Parent's common stock pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Share Issuance Agreement described below in Section 5.5. A copy of the PD Grace Guarantee Agreement for Class 7B is Exhibit 30 in the Exhibit Book

5.5 Share Issuance Agreement

Pursuant to the Share Issuance Agreement, the Reorganized Parent, the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos PIPD Trust agree, among other things, that if Reorganized Grace-Conn defaults under the terms of the Asbestos PI Deferred Payment Agreement, the Asbestos PI the Class 7A Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement or the Class 7B Asbestos PD Deferred Payment Agreement, the Asbestos PI Trust or the Asbestos PD Trust may demand the issuance by the Reorganized Parent of shares of the Reorganized Parent's common stock equal to 50.1% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares of the Reorganized Parent's common stock. The obligation to issue the shares described in the preceding sentence secures and serves as support and credit enhancement for the prompt and complete payment of the payments pursuant to the Asbestos PI Deferred Payment Agreement described above in Section 5.1. A copy of the Share Issuance Agreement is Exhibit 20 in the Exhibit Book.

5.6 5.4 Warrant

Pursuant to the Warrant Agreement, the Reorganized Parent and the Asbestos PI Trust agree that the Reorganized Parent shall issue the Warrant, valid for one year from the date of issuance in accordance with the terms of the Warrant Agreement, to the Asbestos PI Trust to acquire 10 million shares of Reorganized Parent Common Stock at an exercise price of \$17 per share (subject to adjustment as provided in the Warrant Agreement) to fund, in part, the Asbestos PI Trust. A copy of the Warrant Agreement is Exhibit 24 in the Exhibit Book.

5.7 5.5-Plan Registration Rights Agreement

Pursuant to the Plan Registration Rights Agreement, the Reorganized Parent and the Asbestos PI Trust agree, among other things, that the Reorganized Parent, on the fifth business day following the issuance of the Warrant described above in Section 5.4,5.6, shall file a Shelf Registration Statement with the SEC covering all or a portion of the securities issued in connection with the issuance of the warrant to enable the resale, on a delayed or continued basis, of those securities. A copy of the Plan Registration Rights Agreement is Exhibit 17 in the Exhibit Book.

5.8 5.6 Asbestos PD Note PI/PD Inter-Creditor Agreement

Pursuant to the terms of the Asbestos PD Note, the Parent shall commit to pay the Asbestos PD Trust on January 1 and July 1 of each year, a dollar amount equal to (a) the amount of the Asbestos PD Claims that were Allowed against the Asbestos PD Trust during the preceding six month period, plus interest thereon accruing at the then applicable federal judgment rate per annum from the date of allowance of each such Asbestos PD Claim; and (b) the Asbestos PD Trust Expenses payment for the next succeeding six month period following the Asbestos PD Trust Expenses payment paid as part of the Asbestos PD Initial Payment. The

Asbestos PD Note shall be secured by Parent's obligation to issue 50.1% of Parent Common Stock as of the Effective Date. A copy of the Asbestos PD Note is Exhibit 7 in the Exhibit Book.

Pursuant to the Asbestos PI/PD Inter-Creditor Agreement, the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust agree, among other things, to terms and conditions relevant to the exercise of remedies pursuant to the Share Issuance Agreement in respect of Section 524(g) Shares, as defined in the Share Issuance Agreement, the allocation of proceeds of Section 524(g) Shares, the discharge of obligations pursuant to the Asbestos PI Trust Agreement and the Asbestos PD Trust Agreement, as well as terms and conditions relevant to certain agency issues and other matters relevant to the allocation of proceeds from Section 524(g) Shares. A copy of the Asbestos PI/PD Inter-Creditor Agreement is Exhibit 26 in the Exhibit Book.

6. LIMITED SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLIDATION

On the Effective Date, each of the Debtors' estates will be substantively consolidated pursuant to Bankruptcy Code § 105(a) for the limited purposes of allowance, treatment, and Distribution under the Plan. Substantive consolidation is an equitable doctrine that permits the Court to merge the assets and liabilities of affiliated entities so that the combined assets and liabilities are treated as though held by one entity. It is well established that Bankruptcy Code § 105(a), which provides, in pertinent part, that the "court may issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title," empowers a bankruptcy court to authorize substantive consolidation. The Bankruptcy Code also contemplates consolidation under a chapter 11 plan in aid of reorganization. See 11 U.S.C. § 1123(a)(5).

As a result of the limited substantive consolidation proposed under the Plan, on the Effective Date, all assets, property, rights, and Claims of the Debtors will be deemed pooled for purposes of allowance, treatment, and Distribution under the Plan. In addition, a Holder of Claims against two or more of the Debtors arising from or relating to the same underlying debt that would otherwise constitute Allowed Claims against two or more Debtors, including Claims based on joint and several liability, contribution, indemnity, subrogation, reimbursement, surety, guaranty, co-maker, and similar concepts, will have only one Allowed Claim against the consolidated Debtors on account of such Claims.

The limited substantive consolidation proposed under the Plan will not affect (other than for Plan voting, treatment, and/or Distribution purposes) (a) the legal and corporate structures of any Reorganized Debtor or (b) Equity Interests in the Debtors other than the Parent. As set forth in Section 7.14 of the Plan, the Plan does not contemplate the merger or dissolution of any Debtor or the transfer between Debtors or commingling of any assets of any Debtor. It is, however, expected that a number of the Reorganized Debtors that do not operate any business or own significant assets will be merged or dissolved after the Effective Date.

The Debtors have reviewed the factors relevant to substantive consolidation and believe that the facts in the Chapter 11 Cases warrant the limited substantive consolidation proposed under the Plan. The Third Circuit Court of Appeals has held that debtors may be substantively consolidated when (a) there is consent, (b) the debtors' creditors relied on the breakdown of

entity borders and treated the debtors as one legal entity, or (c) the debtors' assets and liabilities are so scrambled that separating them is prohibitive and hurts all creditors. *In re Owens Corning*, 419 F.3d 195, 208 (3d Cir. 2005).

A substantive consolidation for the limited purposes proposed under the Plan is primarily appropriate because the Debtors' creditors may have dealt with substantially all of the Debtors as a single economic unit. In particular, Grace-Conn owns substantially all of the assets, conducts substantially all of the business, and realizes substantially all of the revenues and earnings of the Debtors. Grace-Conn manages the Debtors (other than the Parent) and Non-Debtor Affiliates, performs substantially all work related to the other Debtors and their assets, and pays substantially all their expenses.

The Debtors believe that their prior course of dealing and history of business operations could result in the expectations of creditors treating all of the Debtors as one consolidated "Grace" unit and could, therefore, justify limited substantive consolidation for Plan purposes.

Other facts supporting substantive consolidation of the Debtors include the following: (a) the Parent owns 100% of the Capital Stock of Grace-Conn and two other Debtors and Grace-Conn is the direct or indirect parent company of all the other Debtors as well as of the Non-Debtor Affiliates; (b) almost all of the directors and officers of the Debtors are directors, officers, and/or employees of Grace-Conn; (c) under internal Debtor guidelines, most major non-ordinary course transactions by the Debtors must be approved by the Parent's Board of Directors or members of senior management as well as by the other Debtors' Boards of Directors; (d) the Debtors and the U.S. Non-Debtor Affiliates file consolidated federal tax returns, and joint or combined tax returns in a number of states; and (e) the Debtors and the Non-Debtor Affiliates prepare and file consolidated financial statements and SEC filings.

The affairs of the Debtors are entangled and essentially focused at the Grace-Conn level. More particularly: (a) most of the Debtors other than W. R. Grace & Co. and Grace-Conn are corporations whose assets were sold and whose operations were terminated before the Petition Date; (b) some of the Debtors own real property and immaterial amounts of other property, but only a few Debtors conduct any business or have their own employees or bank accounts; (c) substantially all the administrative work with respect to the Debtors (for example, maintenance of property, sale of assets, payment of taxes and other governmental fees and charges, accounting and legal services) is provided by Grace-Conn employees; and (d) with the exception of a few Debtors with Cash on hand or revenue from business operations, leases, sales of property or intercompany loans, substantially all of the expenses of the Debtors are paid by Grace-Conn. Thus, separately accounting for the individual assets and liabilities of each Debtor will be time-consuming, costly, and a needless waste of assets that could potentially reduce the recoveries of certain creditors.

Finally, and importantly, there will be no prejudice to any creditor resulting from the limited substantive consolidation of the Debtors proposed by the Plan. The Plan provides for payment in full, in cash of the Allowed Amount of all Claims against the Debtors (other than Asbestos PI Claims, which will be paid by the Asbestos PI Trust, Asbestos PD Claims, which will be paid by the CDN ZAI PD claims, which will be paid by the CDN

ZAI PD Claims Fund) and preserves the Debtors' Equity Interests, including Equity Interests in the Parent.

Based on the foregoing, the Debtors believe that the limited substantive consolidation proposed by the Debtors under the Plan is warranted.

7. VOTING AND CONFIRMATION PROCEDURES

7.1 Voting Procedures

The voting procedures summarized in this Article 7 were established in the Confirmation Procedures Order. You should carefully read the Confirmation Procedures Order. It establishes, among other things: (a) the deadlines, procedures and instructions for voting to accept or reject the Plan, (b) the applicable standards for tabulating Ballots and Master Ballots, (c) the deadline for filing objections to confirmation of the Plan, and (d) the date and time of the Confirmation Hearing.

The Confirmation Procedures Order should be referred to if you have any questions concerning the procedures described herein. If there are any inconsistencies or ambiguities between this Disclosure Statement and the Confirmation Procedures Order, the Confirmation Procedures Order will control.

7.1.1 Voting Instructions and Deadline

If one or more of your Claims and/or Equity Interests is in a voting Class, you have obtained, or the Debtors' Voting Agent has sent, you one or more Ballot(s) and/or Master Ballot(s) with return envelopes (WITHOUT POSTAGE ATTACHED) for voting to accept or reject the Plan. The Plan Proponents urge you to accept the Plan by completing, signing and returning the enclosed Ballot(s) in the return envelope(s) (WITH POSTAGE AFFIXED BY YOU) to the Voting Agent as follows:

If by hand delivery/courier:

BMC Group, Inc. Attn: W. R. Grace Voting Agent 17850 Lake Drive East Chanhassen, MN 5317

If by U.S. mail:

BMC Group, Inc. Attn: W. R. Grace Voting Agent P.O. Box 2007 Chanhassen, MN 5317-2007

- TO BE COUNTED, THE VOTING AGENT MUST RECEIVE YOUR COMPLETED BALLOT AND/OR MASTER BALLOT NO LATER THAN 4:00 P.M., EASTERN TIME, ON [], 2009 (THE "VOTING DEADLINE"). IF THE COURT EXTENDS OR WAIVES THE PERIOD DURING WHICH VOTES WILL BE ACCEPTED BY THE DEBTORS, THE TERM "VOTING DEADLINE" FOR SUCH SOLICITATION SHALL MEAN THE LAST TIME AND DATE TO WHICH SUCH SOLICITATION IS EXTENDED.
- ANY EXECUTED BALLOT OR COMBINATION OF BALLOTS REPRESENTING CLAIMS OR EQUITY INTERESTS IN THE SAME CLASS

HELD BY THE SAME HOLDER THAT DOES NOT INDICATE EITHER AN ACCEPTANCE OR REJECTION OF THE PLAN OR THAT INDICATES BOTH AN ACCEPTANCE AND REJECTION OF THE PLAN SHALL NOT BE COUNTED.

 ANY BALLOT OR MASTER BALLOT RECEIVED AFTER THE VOTING DEADLINE SHALL NOT BE COUNTED.

Detailed voting instructions are printed on and/or accompany each Ballot and/or Master Ballot. Any unsigned Ballot or Master Ballot, or any Ballot or Master Ballot without an original signature, including any Ballot or Master Ballot received by facsimile or other electronic means, or any Ballot or Master Ballot with only a photocopy of a signature, will not be counted. Any Ballot or Master Ballot that is properly completed and timely received will not be counted if such Ballot or Master Ballot was sent in error to, or by, the voting party, because the voting party did not have a Claim or Equity Interest that was entitled to be voted in the relevant voting Class as of the Voting Record Date.

Whenever a Holder of a Claim or Equity Interest casts more than one Ballot or Master Ballot voting the same Claim prior to the Voting Deadline, the last valid Ballot or Master Ballot physically received by the Voting Agent prior to the Voting Deadline will be deemed to reflect the voter's intent and thus will supersede and replace any prior cast Ballot(s) or Master Ballot(s) and any prior cast Ballot(s) or Master Ballot(s) will not be counted. The Debtors, without notice, subject to contrary order of the Bankruptcy Court, may waive any defect in any Ballot or Master Ballot at any time, either before or after the close of voting. Such determinations will be disclosed in the voting report and any such determination by the Debtors will be subject to de novo review by the Court.

7.2 Confirmation Procedures

7.2.1 Confirmation Hearing

Bankruptcy Code § 1128(a) requires the Bankruptcy Court, after notice, to hold a hearing on confirmation of the Plan. Bankruptcy Code § 1128(b) provides that any party-in-interest may object to confirmation of the Plan.

The Bankruptey Court has set the Confirmation Hearing for [____;___]. m., Eastern Time on [____], 2009, in the *United States Bankruptey* Court, 824 Market Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801. Confirmation Hearing shall take place in two phases. The first phase ("Phase I") shall address (i) whether the Plan improperly affects the rights of the Debtors' insurers (in their capacity as insurers, but not creditors); (ii) the standing of the Debtors' insurers (in their capacity as insurers, but not creditors) to litigate confirmation objections that do not involve insurance issues covered by section (i) herein; and (iii) the confirmation objections raised by and specific to lenders under the Pre-petition Credit Facilities and other Class 9 creditors with respect to impairment. The second phase ("Phase II") shall address the objections of: (i) parties classified under the Plan as Holders of Indirect PI or PD Trust Claims (including insurers as Holders of Indirect PI or PD Trust Claims (including insurers as Holders of Indirect PI or PD Trust Claims with respect to such Claims); (ii) the objections of the Libby Claimants and (iii) any other confirmation objections not addressed and resolved in Phase I.

Phase I of the Confirmation Hearing shall take place June 22-25, 2009. Phase II of the Confirmation Hearing shall take place September 8-11, 2009. A pretrial conference for Phase II issues and a hearing regarding other confirmation issues, if needed, shall take place on July 20-21, 2009. The Confirmation Hearing may be adjourned, from time to time, without notice, other than an announcement of an adjourned date at such hearing or an adjourned hearing, or by posting such continuance on the Bankruptcy Court's docket. All hearings shall take place on the dates outlined herein before the Honorable Judith K. Fitzgerald, United States Bankruptcy Judge, in her Courtroom in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, 5464 U.S. Steel Tower, 600 Grant Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 beginning at 9:00 a.m. except for the Hearing on September 8, 2009 which shall begin at 11:00 a.m.

7.2.2 Objections to Confirmation of the Plan

Counsel on whom objections must be served are:

Counsel	1	or 1	the	Debtors:	Couns	sel for Asbe	estos PI Comi	mittee:		
Kirkland		&	Ellis	LLP	Caplin	& Drysdale	e, Chartered			
200	E.	Rand	dolph	Drive	One T	homas Circl	le NW, Suite	1100		
Chicago,		Illinois		60601	Washi	ngton, D.C.	20005			
Attn: David Bernick				Attn: Peter Van N. Lockwood						
Janet S. Baer					Ronald Reinsel					
						Jeffrey Lie	esemer			
Kirkland		&	Ellis	LLP		-				
153 East 53rd Street					Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered					
New	York,	New	York	10022	375	Park	Avenue,	35th	Floor	
Attn: Theodore L. Freedman						New York, New York				
Deanna D. Boll					Attn: Elihu Inselbuch					
Cr	aig A.	Bruens								
					Camp	bell & Levir	ne, LLC			
					800 King Street, Suite 300					
					Wilmington, Delaware 19801					
					Attn:	Marla R. E	Eskin			
						Mark T. H	urford			

Dahtara Councel for Ashestes DI Committees

Co-Counsel for the Debtors:

Counsel for Unsecured Creditors' Committee:

Pachulski	Stang 2	Ziehl	&	Jones	LLP	Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP
919 North	Marke	et Str	eet,	17th	Floor	180 Maiden Lane
P.O.]	Box			8705	New York, New York 10038-4982
Wilmington	, Delawar	e 1989	Attn: Lewis Kruger			

K&E DRAFT DATED 2/3/2009

(courier 19801) Arlene Krieger Attn: Laura Davis Jones Kenneth Pasquale

James E. O'Neill Timothy P. Cairns

Counsel for Asbestos PD Committee:

Bilzin Sumberg Baena Price & Axelrod LLP 200 South Biscayne Blvd., Suite 2500

Miami, Florida 33131 Attn: Scott L. Baena Jay Sakalo

Ferry, Joseph & Pearce, P.A. 824 Market Street, Suite 904

P.O. Box 1351

Wilmington, Delaware 19899 Attn: Theodore Tacconelli

Counsel for Asbestos PI Future Claimants'

Representative:

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Columbia Center 1152 15th Street, NW

Washington, DC 20005-1706

Attn: Roger Frankel Richard H. Wyron

Debra L. Felder

Phillips, Goldman & Spence, P.A.

1200 North Broom Str

Wilmington, Delaware 19806

Attn: John C. Phillips, Jr.

Counsel for Equity Committee:

Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP

1177 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10022 Attn: Phillip Bentley Douglas Mannal

Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC

The Brandywine Building 1000 West Street, Suite 1410

P.O. Box 1397

Wilmington, Delaware 19801 Attn: Teresa K.D. Currier

Counsel for Asbestos PD Future Claimants'

Representative:

Alan B. Rich

Attorney and Counselor

One Main Place

1201 Main Street, Suite 1910

LB 201

Dallas, Texas 75202-3909

Counsel for Sealed Air Corporation and

Cryovac, Inc.

Street Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP

19806 Four Times Square New York, NY 10036 Attn: D. J. Baker

Counsel for the United States Trustee: Counsel for Fresenius

Office of the United States Trustee McDermott, Will & Emery 844 N. King Street, Suite 2207 227 W. Monroe, Suite 4400

Lock Bock 35 Chicago, IL 60606

Wilmington, Delaware 19801 Attn: David S. Rosenbloom

Attn: David Klauder

UNLESS AN OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION IS TIMELY SERVED UPON COUNSELS FOR THE ABOVE-NAMED PARTIES AND PROPERLY FILED WITH THE BANKRUPTCY COURT, IT WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED BY THE BANKRUPTCY COURT.

7.2.3 Questions About the Disclosure Statement, Plan, or Ballots and Master Ballots

You may address any questions you have about this Disclosure Statement, the Plan or your Ballot(s) to the Debtors' Voting Agent:

If by hand delivery/courier: If by U.S. mail:

BMC Group, Inc.

444 N. Nash Street

BMC Group, Inc.

P.O. Box 913

El Segundo, CA 90245-2822 El Segundo, CA 90245-0913 Attn: Grace Voting Agent Attn: Grace Voting Agent

or by telephone at (888) 909-0100 or email to wrgrace@bmcgroup.com.

8. REQUIREMENTS FOR CONFIRMATION OF THE PLAN

8.1 Bankruptcy Code § 1129 Generally

At the Confirmation Hearing, the Bankruptcy Court will determine whether the confirmation requirements of Bankruptcy Code § 1129 have been satisfied. If so, the Bankruptcy Court will enter the Confirmation Order. The Debtors believe that the Plan satisfies or will satisfy the applicable requirements for confirmation, as follows:

- The Plan complies with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. See 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(1).
- The Plan Proponents have complied with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. See 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(2).
- The Plan has been proposed in good faith and not by any means forbidden by law. See 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(3).
- Any payment made or promised by the Debtors, or by an Entity acquiring property under the Plan, for services or for costs and expenses in or in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases, or in connection with the Plan and incident to the Chapter 11 Cases, has been disclosed to the Bankruptcy Court, and any such payment made before the confirmation of the Plan is reasonable, or if such payment is to be fixed after confirmation of the Plan, such payment is subject to the approval of the Bankruptcy Court as reasonable. See 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(4).
- The Debtors have disclosed the identity and affiliations of any individual proposed to serve, after confirmation of the Plan, as a director or officer of the Debtors, and the appointment to, or continuance in, such office of such individual, is consistent with the interests of Claimants and Equity Holders and with public policy, and the Debtors have disclosed the identity of any insider that will be employed or retained by the Reorganized Debtors, and the nature of any compensation for such insider. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(5).

- With respect to each Class of impaired Claims or Equity Interests, either each Holder of a Claim or Equity Interest of such Class has accepted the Plan, or will receive or retain under the Plan on account of such Claim or Equity Interest property of a value, as of the Effective Date of the Plan, that is not less than the amount that such Holder would so receive or retain if the Debtors were liquidated on such date under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code; or if Bankruptcy Code § 1111(b)(2) applies to the Claims of such Class, each Holder of a Claim will receive or retain under the Plan on account of such Claim property of a value, as of the Effective Date of the Plan, that is not less than the value of such Holder's interest in the Debtors' estates' interest in the property that secures such Claims. See 11 U.S.C. §1129(a)(7).
- Each Class of Claims or Equity Interests that is entitled to vote on the Plan has either accepted the Plan or is not Impaired under the Plan, or the Plan can be confirmed without the approval of each voting Class pursuant to section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code. (See Disclosure Statement Sections 8.2.1.) See 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(8).
- Except to the extent that the Holder of a particular Claim has agreed to a different treatment of such Claim and subject to the Fresenius Settlement Agreement, the Plan provides that Allowed Administrative Expense Claims and Allowed Priority Claims will be paid in full on the Effective Date, or as reasonably practicable thereafter, and that Allowed Priority Tax Claims will receive, on account of such Allowed Claims, payment in full on the Effective Date or as reasonably practicable thereafter, or deferred Cash payments plus interest, over a period not exceeding six years after the date of assessment of such Claim, of a value, as of the Effective Date, equal to the Allowed amount of such Claim. See 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(9).
- At least one Class of impaired Claims has accepted the Plan, determined without including any acceptance of the Plan by any insider holding a Claim of such Class. See 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(10).
- Confirmation of the Plan is not likely to be followed by the liquidation, or the need for further financial reorganization, of the Debtors or any successor to the Debtors under the Plan, unless such liquidation or reorganization is proposed in the Plan. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(11).
- The Plan provides that the quarterly fees required under 28 U.S.C. § 1930 have been paid or that they will be paid on the Effective Date of the Plan and thereafter the Reorganized Debtors will file operating reports and pay quarterly fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1930(a)(6) until an order is entered closing the Chapter 11 Cases. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(12).
- The Plan provides for the continuation after the Effective Date of payment of all retiree benefits (as that term is defined in Bankruptcy Code § 1114) at the level established pursuant to Bankruptcy Code § 1114(e)(1)(B) or § 1114(g), at any time prior to confirmation of the Plan, for the duration of the period the Debtors have obligated itself to provide such benefits. See 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(13).

Bankruptcy Code § 524(g) further provides that, in order for the Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction and the Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction to be enforceable, the Plan must provide for section 524(g) trusts that will, among other things:

- assume the liabilities of a debtor which at the time of entry of the order for relief has been named as a defendant in personal injury, wrongful death, or property damage actions seeking recovery for damages allegedly caused by the presence of, or exposure to, asbestos or asbestos-containing products; see Bankruptcy Code § 524(g)(2)(B)(i)(I);
- be funded in whole or in part by the securities of one (1) or more debtors involved in the Plan and by the obligation of such debtor or debtors to make future payments, including dividends; see Bankruptcy Code § 524(g)(2)(B)(i)(II);
- own, or by the exercise of rights granted under the Plan would be entitled to own if specified contingencies occur, a majority of the voting shares of:
 - each such debtor;
 - the parent corporation of each such debtor; or
 - a subsidiary of each such debtor that is also a debtor; *see* Bankruptcy Code § 524(g)(2)(B)(i)(III); and
- is to use its assets or income to pay Claims and Demands; see Bankruptcy Code § 524(g)(2)(B)(i)(IV).

The Debtors believe that the Plan satisfies all of the statutory requirements of Bankruptcy Code §§ 1129 and 524(g).

8.2 Vote Required for Class Acceptance

The Bankruptcy Code defines acceptance of a plan by a class of *claims* as acceptance by holders of two-thirds in dollar amount and more than one-half in number of the claims of that class which actually cast ballots for acceptance or rejection of the plan, i.e., acceptance takes place only if two-thirds in amount and a majority in number of the holders of claims in a given class actually voting cast their ballots in favor of acceptance. The Bankruptcy Code defines acceptance of a plan by a class of *equity interest holders* as acceptance by holders of two-thirds in amount of the interests of that class which actually cast ballots for acceptance or rejection of the plan.

Bankruptcy Code § 524(g) further provides that any separate class or classes of the claimants whose claims are to be addressed by a section 524(g) trust must vote, by at least 75 percent of those voting, in favor of the plan.

If a plan is confirmed, then holders of Claims against, or equity interests in, the debtor, whether voting or non-voting and, if voting, whether accepting or rejecting the Plan, are bound

by the terms of the plan, including any injunction(s) under Bankruptcy Code §§ 524(g) and/or 105(a).

8.2.1 Cram Down

Generally, under the Bankruptcy Code, a plan of reorganization must be approved by each impaired class of creditors. The Bankruptcy Court, however, may confirm a plan that has not been approved by each impaired class if at least one impaired class accepts the plan by the requisite vote and the Bankruptcy Court determines that the plan "does not discriminate unfairly" and is "fair and equitable" with respect to each class that is impaired and has not accepted the plan. This is often referred to as "cramming down" on a class.

A plan of reorganization does not discriminate unfairly within the meaning of the Bankruptcy Code if each dissenting class is treated equally with other classes of equal rank. The phrase "fair and equitable" has different meanings depending on whether it is being used with respect to the treatment of secured claims, unsecured claims and equity interests.

As set forth in Bankruptcy Code § 1129(b)(2), the condition that a plan of reorganization be fair and equitable with respect to a class includes the following requirements:

- (A) With respect to a class of secured claims, the plan provides—
 - (i) (I) that the holders of such claims retain the liens securing such claims, whether the property subject to such liens is retained by the debtor or transferred to another entity, to the extent of the allowed amount of such claims; and
 - (II) that each holder of a claim of such class receive on account of such claim deferred Cash payments totaling at least the allowed amount of such claim, of a value, as of the effective date of the plan, of at least the value of such holder's interest in the estate's interest in such property;
 - (ii) for the sale, subject to section 363(k) of this title, of any property that is subject to the liens securing such claims, free and clear of such liens, with such liens to attach to the proceeds of such sale, and the treatment of such liens on proceeds under clause (i) or (iii) of this subparagraph; or
 - (iii) for the realization by such holders of the indubitable equivalent of such claims.
- (B) With respect to a class of unsecured claims—
 - (i) The plan provides that each holder of a claim of such class receive or retain on account of such claim property of a value, as of the effective date of the plan, equal to the allowed amount of such claim; or

(ii) The holder of any claim or interest that is junior to the claims of such class will not receive or retain under the plan on account of such junior claim or interest any property.

(C) With respect to a class of interests—

- (i) The plan provides that each holder of an interest of such class receive or retain on account of such interest property of a value, as of the effective date of the plan, equal to the greatest of the allowed amount of any fixed liquidation preference to which such holder is entitled, any fixed redemption price to which such holder is entitled, or the value of such interest; or
- (ii) The holder of any interest that is junior to the interests of such class will not receive or retain under the plan on account of such junior interest any property.

In the event one or more Classes of impaired Claims or Equity Interests rejects the Plan, subject to Section 6.4 of the Plan, the Debtors reserve the right to proceed with confirmation pursuant to Bankruptcy Code § 1129(b), and the Bankruptcy Court will determine, at the Confirmation Hearing, whether the Plan is fair and equitable and does not discriminate unfairly against any rejecting impaired Class of Claims or Equity Interests.

8.3 Feasibility of the Plan

To confirm the Plan, the Bankruptcy Court must find that confirmation of the Plan is not likely to be followed by the liquidation or the need for further financial reorganization of the Debtors. This requirement is imposed by Bankruptcy Code § 1129(a)(11) and is referred to as the "feasibility" requirement. The Debtors believe that they will be able to timely perform all obligations described in the Plan and, therefore, that the Plan is feasible.

The Debtors filed their original Schedules and Statements of Financial Affairs on June 1, 2001 (the "SOFA"). The Debtors filed amendments and supplements to the SOFA on August 19, 2001, September 3, 2002 and February 11, 2003.

To demonstrate the feasibility of the Plan, the Debtors have prepared the pro forma and prospective financial information for the Reorganized Debtors and the Non-Debtor Affiliates that is outlined in Exhibit 12 in the Exhibit Book, with such information presented on a consolidated basis for the calendar years 2008200[8] and 2009,200[9], taking into account the anticipated financial impact of the Plan. Claimants are advised to review these documents to fully understand the assets and liabilities of the Reorganized Debtors and the Non-Debtor Affiliates. The Reorganized Debtors and the Non-Debtor Affiliates have used their books and records, knowledge and experience, and opinions of accountants and counsel to provide the financial and other business-related information set forth in this Disclosure Statement.

As explained more fully in Article 6 herein, each of the Debtors' estates will be substantively consolidated pursuant to Bankruptcy Code § 105(a) for the limited purposes of allowance, treatment and distributions under the Plan. As a result of the substantive

consolidation, on the Effective Date, all property, rights and Claims of the Debtors will be deemed pooled for purposes of allowance, treatment and Distributions under the Plan.

The Financial Information has been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") consistent with those currently utilized by the Debtors in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements except as otherwise noted. However, the proforma and prospective financial information has not been audited or reviewed by registered independent accountants.

The pro forma and prospective financial information are based upon a variety of assumptions subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties, contingencies and risks, many of which are beyond the control of the Debtors. Consequently, the pro forma and prospective financial information should not be regarded as a representation or warranty by the Debtors or by any other Entity that the pro forma or prospective financial information or measures will be realized. Such information should not be relied upon in making any investment decisions with respect to Parent Common Stock or otherwise. Actual results may vary materially from those presented and the variations may be adverse.

The Debtors have filed Monthly Operating Reports since the Petition Date and the Parent regularly files form 10-Ks, 10-Qs, and 8-Ks with the SEC which are available at http://investor.grace.com or http://www.sec.gov. Summary Financial Information of the Debtors for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2005,200[5], December 31, 2006200[6] and December 31, 2007200[7] is included in the Exhibit Book as part of the Financial Information. This summary Financial Information reflects, on a consolidated basis, the activities of the Debtors and certain Non-Debtor Affiliates.

The projections should be read in conjunction with the assumptions, qualifications, and explanations set forth in this Disclosure Statement, the Plan, the Exhibit Book, and the Plan Supplement, in their entirety, and the historical consolidated financial statements (including the notes and schedules thereto) and other financial information set forth in the Parent's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the first—and, second, and third quarters ending March 31, 2008 and 2008, June 30, 2008 and September 30, 2008, respectively, and any other recent Grace report to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

8.4 Best Interests Test

Bankruptcy Code § 1129(a)(7) requires that the Bankruptcy Court must determine that the plan is in the "best interests" of each holder of a claim or interest in an impaired class. In particular, the "best interests" test requires that the Bankruptcy Court find either that (i) all members of an impaired class have accepted the plan or (ii) the plan will provide the holder of a claim or equity interest in such class a distribution of a value, as of the effective date of the plan, that is at least equal to what such holder would receive in a hypothetical liquidation of the debtor under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code.

The Best Interests Analysis, which can be found in Exhibit 8 in the Exhibit Book, was prepared by the Debtors with the assistance of the Debtors' financial consultants, Blackstone, and is based on numerous assumptions and estimates. The Best Interests Analysis shows that

each Holder of a Claim in a class of impaired Claims or of Equity Interests in the Parent will receive value under the Plan that is greater than the value such Holder would receive if the Debtors were liquidated under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code.

8.5 Information About Corporate Governance, Officers, and Directors of the Reorganized Debtors, and the Management of the Debtors

8.5.1 Corporate Governance; Limitation of Director Liability

The Certificate of Incorporation or Articles of Incorporation, as applicable, of each of the Debtors that is a corporation will be amended as of the Effective Date to among other things, (i) prohibit the issuance of nonvoting equity securities as required by Bankruptcy Code § 1123(a)(6), and be subject to further amendment as permitted by applicable law, (ii) as to any classes of securities possessing voting power, provide for an appropriate distribution of such power among such classes, including, in the case of any class of equity securities having a preference over another class of equity securities with respect to dividends, adequate provisions for the election of directors representing such preferred class in the event of default in payment of such dividends, and (iii) effectuate any other provisions of the Plan. The amended Certificates of Incorporation or Articles of Incorporation, as applicable, will be filed with the Secretary of State or equivalent official in their respective jurisdictions of incorporation on or prior to the Effective Date and be in full force and effect without any further amendment as of the Effective Date.

8.5.2 Management Compensation and Incentive Program

Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code § 1129(a)(5), the Debtors will disclose in the Plan Supplement the identity of any individuals proposed to serve, after confirmation of the Plan, as a director or officer of the Reorganized Debtors and, if that person is an insider, the nature of any compensation for such insider.

It is anticipated that the total compensation for the Debtors' directors, officers and key employees after confirmation of the Plan will include base salary, annual bonus, long <u>term</u> incentives, and other benefits in accordance with the existing ordinary business policies of the Debtors. In addition, after the Effective Date, the Reorganized Debtors may award special cash bonuses of up to an aggregate of \$6 million to a select group of key executives in recognition of their contributions during the Chapter 11 Cases, including substantially increasing the revenues and enterprise value of the Grace group and successfully leading the Debtors' reorganization efforts. The amount and allocation of such bonus awards will be determined after the Effective Date by the Board of Directors of the Reorganized Parent.

The Debtors' current long term incentive program is a cash-based program based on financial performance over a three =year period (as in effect for the 2002-2005 through the 2007-2009 periods) and a part cash/part stock-based program (as approved for the 2008–2010 period). The Debtors intend to convert to a 100% primarily stock-option-based program for future long term incentive awards. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1001/journal.o

million total stock options available to be granted during the three year period after the Effective Date. -term incentive awards (the "Stock Incentive Plan"). The Stock Incentive Plan will become effective on the Effective Date. Under the Stock Incentive Plan, the Compensation Committee of the Reorganized Parent's Board of Directors (the "Compensation Committee") will have the authority to grant stock incentive awards to the management of the Reorganized Debtors and to other key employees, and to the Board of Directors of the Reorganized Debtors. Such awards will consist of shares of Parent Common Stock, which may be issued with or without restrictions ("Stock Awards"), and of options to purchase such Parent Common Stock ("Stock Options"). The total number of shares of Parent Common Stock utilized pursuant to the Plan will not exceed 6,000,000 shares; provided that, for each share of Parent Common Stock granted as a Stock Award three shares of that total will be regarded as utilized; and for each share of Parent Common Stock covered by a grant of a Stock Option one share of that total will be so regarded. With respect to Stock Awards, the shares awarded shall be valued at no less than 100% of the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant (regardless of whether such shares are issued with any restrictions). With respect to Stock Options awarded under the Plan: (a) the purchase price per share may not be less than the fair market value of such a share on the date the option is granted, (b) each option may be exercisable in one or more installments (as determined by the Compensation Committee) and (c) each option award shall have a term of not more than five years (and one month) from the date the option is granted. A copy of the Stock Incentive Plan is included as Exhibit 31 of the Exhibit Book. The total number of options expected to be available for issuance pursuant to theeach of the Debtors' stock incentive plans represents, prospectively, approximately 10% of the Debtors' outstanding shares assuming exercise of the Warrant and outstanding stock options granted during 1999 to 2001.in 2000, 2001 and 2008. The potential value of the stock option awards (as a yearly average over the three-year period) is expected to approximate the value of the 2008-2010 long <u>-</u>term incentive plan, excluding in each case awards to the chief executive officer. Such compensation is included in the projections and accordingly incorporated into the fully diluted reorganized equity value as further described in Section 2.11 of this Disclosure Statement.

9. IMPORTANT CONSIDERATIONS AND RISK FACTORS

9.1 General

The following provides a summary of various important considerations and risk factors associated with the Plan. However, it is not exhaustive. In considering whether to vote for or against the Plan, Holders of Claims or Equity Interests entitled to vote should read and carefully consider the factors set forth below, as well as all other information set forth or otherwise referenced in this Disclosure Statement, including the various risks and other factors described in the Parent's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 and the Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the first-and, second and third quarters ending March 31, 2008 and 2008, June 30, 2008, and September 30, 2008, respectively, all of which are incorporated herein.

9.2 Certain Bankruptcy and Mass Tort Law Considerations

9.2.1 Parties in Interest May Object to the Debtors' Classification of Claims and Equity Interests

Bankruptcy Code § 1122 provides that a plan of reorganization may place a class or an equity interest in a particular class only if such claim or equity interest is substantially similar to the other claims or equity interests in such class. The Debtors believe that the classification of Claims and Equity Interests under the Plan complies with the requirements set forth in the Bankruptcy Code because the Debtors created eleven classes of Claims and Equity Interests, each encompassing Claims or Equity Interests that are substantially similar to the other Claims or Equity Interests in each such class. For example, Class 1 comprises all Claims accorded priority in right to payment under Bankruptcy Code § 507(a) other than Priority Tax Claims or Administrative Expense Claims. There can be no assurance, however, that the Bankruptcy Court will reach the same conclusion.

9.2.2 A Delay in Plan Confirmation May Disrupt the Debtors' Operations and Have Potential Adverse Effects of Prolonged Confirmation Process

A prolonged confirmation process could adversely affect the Debtors' relationships with their customers, suppliers, and employees, which, in turn, could adversely affect the Debtors' competitive position, financial condition, and results of operations. Such developments could, in turn, adversely affect the price of the Parent Common Stock, and hence the value to Holders of Equity Interests and the value of assets available to satisfy Holders of Allowed Claims.

9.2.3 The Debtors May Not be Able to Secure Confirmation or Consummation of the Plan

There can be no assurance that the Plan will be accepted by the required number of Claimants or Holders of Equity Interests. Although the Debtors believe that the Plan will satisfy all requirements necessary for confirmation, there can be no assurance that the Bankruptcy Court will reach the same conclusion. There is a risk that the Bankruptcy Court will not find the Plan to be fair and equitable under section 1129(b)(2)(B)(ii) of the Bankruptcy Code in the event that Class 6 does not vote to accept the Plan due to the fact that Holders of Equity Interests are retaining their interests in the Debtors but the Class 6 Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants' rights are impaired and they are not expected to receive 100% payment on their Claims. In addition, while the Debtors take the position that Class 9 is not impaired, in the event that Class 9 is found to be impaired and votes not to accept the Plan in the provisional vote that is being taken of that Class, there is a risk that creditors in Class 9 or the Unsecured Creditors' Committee will oppose confirmation of the Plan on the ground that the Debtors' treatment of Class 9 does not satisfy the requirements of Section 1129(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code because, they will argue, creditors are not receiving 100% of their Allowed Claims plus post-petition interest at appropriate rates, while Holders of Equity Interests retain their interests. The Debtors believe that such an argument by a Creditor in Class 9 or the Unsecured Creditors' Committee would not prevail, even if Class 9 is found to be impaired, because all Creditors in Class 9 will receive, under the Plan, 100% of their Allowed Claims. The Unsecured Creditors' Committee disagrees with the Debtors' position.

Moreover, there can be no assurance that modifications of the Plan will not be required for confirmation or that such modifications would not necessitate the resolicitation of votes. To the extent that any such modification conflicts with the Sealed Air Settlement Agreement or the Fresenius Settlement Agreement, Sealed Air Corporation, Cryovac, Inc., and Fresenius (as applicable) must expressly consent to the modification, in writing, in their absolute discretion. There can be no assurance that Sealed Air, Cryovac, Inc., and Fresenius would consent to any Plan modifications that conflict with their respective settlement agreements. Absent such consent to a non-compliant modification, Sealed Air Corporation, Cryovac, Inc., and Fresenius (as applicable) will have no obligation with respect to the Cryovac Payment and the Fresenius Payment (as applicable). There is no assurance that the Bankruptcy Court will approve the Plan as satisfying the requirements of Bankruptcy Code §§ 1129 and 524(g). Notwithstanding Bankruptcy Court approval, it is possible that the Plan may not be consummated because of other external factors that may adversely affect the implementation of the Plan.

9.2.4 The Court May Find the Debtors Are Required to Pay Default Interest

As outlined in Section 2.9.3.1 above, the Plan provides for payment to the Lenders under the Pre-petition Credit Facilities of interest at a rate of 6.09% from the Petition Date through December 31, 2005 and thereafter at floating prime, in each case compounded quarterly. The Lenders have demanded a payment of post-petition interest at 100% of the contract default rate. The Debtors have filed an objection to the Bank Claims in that regard and a hearing was held on the matter on September 29, 2008 and a decision is pending. For a more detailed discussion of the Bank Claims Default Interest Litigation, see supra section 3.2.8.4 (Certain Post-Petition Litigation Matters; Bank Claims Default Interest Litigation). If the Court finds that the Debtors must pay the Lenders default interest, the Debtors may not have the financial ability to consummate the Plan or the feasibility of the Plan may become substantially impacted. Further, the Equity Interest Holders may no longer be bound by the terms of the Asbestos PI Settlement and may withdraw their support for the Plan and insist upon the completion of the estimation proceedings with regard to the value of the Asbestos PI Claims.

9.2.5 There is a Risk of Post-Confirmation Default

At the Confirmation Hearing, the Bankruptcy Court will be required to make a judicial determination that the Plan is feasible, but that determination does not serve as any guarantee that there will not be any post-confirmation defaults. The Debtors believe that the cash flow generated from operations will be sufficient to meet Reorganized Grace's operating requirements, its obligations under the Exit Financing, and other post-confirmation obligations under the Plan. Reorganized Grace's projected operating cash flow is set forth in the Debtors' prospective financial information that is included in Exhibit 12 in the Exhibit Book

9.2.6 The Debtors May Object to the Amount or Classification of a Claim

Except as otherwise provided in the Plan and the Final DIP Order (Docket No. 194), the Debtors reserve the right to object to the amount or classification of any Claim or Equity Interest deemed allowed under the Plan. The estimates set forth in this Disclosure Statement cannot be relied on by any Holder of a Claim or Equity Interest where such Claim or Equity Interest is

subject to an objection. Any Holder of a Claim may not receive its specified share of the estimated distributions described in this Disclosure Statement.

9.2.7 Resale of Parent Common Stock May be Subject to Requirements Under Applicable Securities Laws

There are several securities law considerations that parties should bear in mind. These are discussed in Article 12 of this Disclosure Statement.

9.3 Factors Affecting the Distributions to Holders of Allowed Claims After the Effective Date

9.3.1 Financial Information is Based on the Debtors' Books and Records and, Unless Otherwise Stated, No Audit Was Performed

Although the Debtors have used their reasonable best efforts to ensure the accuracy of the financial information provided in this Disclosure Statement, some of the financial information contained in this Disclosure Statement has not been audited and is based upon an analysis of data available at the time of the preparation of the Plan and this Disclosure Statement. While the Debtors believe that such financial information fairly reflects the financial condition of the Debtors, the Debtors are unable to warrant or represent that the information contained herein and attached hereto is without inaccuracies.

The Asbestos PI Committee, the Asbestos PD Committee, the Asbestos PI FCR, the Asbestos PD FCR and the Equity Committee, and their respective legal and financial advisors, have not taken any independent action to verify the accuracy or completeness of the financial or other information included in this Disclosure Statement, including any financial projections, and expressly disclaim any representation or warranty, express or implied, concerning the accuracy or completeness thereof.

9.3.2 Variance from the Pro Forma and Prospective Financial Information

The Debtors' management currently believes that (a) the pro forma financial information included as Exhibit 12 in the Exhibit Book is a reasonable presentation of the accounting effects of the Plan, as if the Plan were in effect at the end of, and for, the periods presented; and (b) the prospective financial information included in the Exhibit Book is a reasonable estimate of the collective future of the Reorganized Debtors and the Non-Debtor Affiliates. Unanticipated events and circumstances occurring subsequent to the preparation of the Reorganized Debtors' and the Non-Debtor Affiliates' collective pro forma and prospective financial information may affect the actual accounting effects of the Plan and/or the actual financial results of Reorganized Grace. Although the Debtors' management believes that the accounting effects of the Plan reflected in Reorganized Grace's pro forma financial information, and the performance reflected in Reorganized Grace's prospective financial information, are reasonable and attainable, some or all of the estimates may differ from actual results, and the actual pro forma effects, and/or the actual future financial results, may be materially worse than the estimated effects and results.

In particular, the pro forma and prospective financial information included in the Exhibit Book are each based upon numerous assumptions regarding: (a) the confirmation and consummation of the Plan in accordance with its terms, (b) the anticipated future performance of

Reorganized Grace, (c) the tax consequences of the Plan, (d) general business and economic conditions, (e) risks and uncertainties specific to Grace and its business, including, without limitation, those described in Section 9.6; and (f) certain other matters, many of which are beyond the control of the Debtors. There is no assurance that such assumptions will prove to be valid. The effect of any variance from the pro forma and prospective financial information may be material and adverse.

9.3.3 Risk that Amounts of Allowed Claims Will Exceed the Debtors' Projections

The Allowed Amount of Administrative Expense Claims, Priority Tax Claims, and Claims in Classes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 and 9 could be more than projected, which, in turn, would impair the value of Parent Common Stock.

9.4 Insurance-Related Risk Factors

The Plan provides for the transfer to the Asbestos PI Trust of Asbestos Insurance Rights with respect to policies issued by certain insurers. The Objecting Insurers have objected to various aspects of the Plan and have contended, among other things, that despite the inclusion of the Insurance Neutrality provision at Section 7.15 of the Plan, the Plan is not fully insurance neutral, and that certain Plan provisions may violate certain of the insurers' contractual rights, thereby adversely impacting and/or voiding coverage under their policies.

First, certain Objecting Insurers contend that the Plan and TDPs Asbestos PI TDP may violate their contractual rights by excluding them from the Asbestos PI Claims liquidation process, and could violate statutory rights that they may have under Section 502(a) of the Bankruptcy Code to prosecute objections to allowance of Asbestos PI Claims. Objecting Insurers contend that these aspects of the Plan could adversely impact and/or void coverage under their policies for Asbestos PI Claims, thereby reducing payments to Holders of Asbestos PI Claims.

Second, certain Objecting Insurers contend that the Debtors have an affirmative, continuing duty to cooperate with insurers in the investigation, defense and settlement of Asbestos PI Claims that may be covered by insurers' policies and that the Plan may violate this contractual obligation by allowing the Asbestos PI Trust to process and pay Asbestos PI Claims without insurers' involvement or consultation.

Third, certain Objecting Insurers contend that the proceeds of their policies cannot be assigned to the Asbestos PI Trust without insurers' consent, and that even if the proceeds can be assigned, the Asbestos PI Trust would then be bound to perform the Debtors' contractual obligations but for terms of the Plan that may release such obligations. As a result, these Objecting Insurers contend that the Plan's assignment of the proceeds may be unenforceable under applicable bankruptcy and non-bankruptcy law and could void coverage for Asbestos PI Claims under the policies.

Finally, certain Objecting Insurers contend that the Plan may void coverage because it constitutes a voluntary settlement to which the insurers did not consent.

The Plan Proponents disagree with each of these characterizations and contentions and the coverage will be pursued vigorously. It should also be noted that, in several recent cases, the Bankruptcy Court has found that assignments of insurance rights to asbestos personal injury claims trusts are valid and binding. In addition, the United States District Court for the District of Delaware has held that, with respect to such an assignment, the Bankruptcy Code preempts anti-assignment provisions. *In re Kaiser Aluminum Corp.*, 343 B.R. 88 (D. Del. 2006).

9.5 Factors Affecting the Parent Common Stock

The Parent Common Stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange (Ticker: GRA). Pursuant to the provisions of the Plan, that common stock will not be cancelled and will remain outstanding. Equity Interests will be impaired by the issuance of the Warrant and the Parent's obligation to issue Parent Common Stock to the Asbestos PI Trust in the event of default on the Debtors' deferred payment obligations to the Asbestos PI Trust and/or Parent's default on its guaranty of such obligations, and will thus be entitled to vote on the Plan.

The estimate of the range of the reorganized equity value set forth in Section 2.11 (Estimated Value of the Reorganized Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates) of this Disclosure Statement or elsewhere in the Plan Documents does not purport to be an estimate reflective of the pre- or post-reorganization trading value of the Parent Common Stock. The estimate reflective of the range of the fully diluted reorganized equity value per share ascribed herein, or elsewhere in the Plan Documents, may or may not correlate with the actual trading price (if any) of Parent Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange. It represents hypothetical reorganized values based on numerous assumptions. The estimated values set forth herein do not necessarily reflect values that could be attainable in public or private markets, and do not consider market trading characteristics, or perceptions in public or private markets about the Reorganized Debtors and/or Non-Debtor Affiliates that may affect the trading price of Parent Common Stock.

Holders' interests in the Parent Common Stock are subject to dilution on account of, among other things, Parent Common Stock Issued under the Management Incentive Plan, or to the Asbestos PI Trust upon exercise of the Warrant. Holders' interests may also be subject to dilution by the possible exercise of the Grace Guaranty to be issued under the Plan.

9.5.1 The Reorganized Debtors May Not be Able to Achieve Projected Financial Results

The Reorganized Debtors may not be able to meet their projected financial results or achieve the revenue or cash flow that they have assumed in projecting future business prospects. If the Reorganized Debtors do not achieve these projected revenue or cash flow levels, they may lack sufficient liquidity to continue operating as planned after the Effective Date. The Debtors' projected financial results represent the Debtors' view based on current known facts and hypothetical assumptions about the Reorganized Debtors' future operations. However, the projected financial results set forth herein do not guarantee the Reorganized Debtors' future financial performance.

9.5.2 The Reorganized Debtors May Not be Able to Meet Post-Reorganization Debt Obligations and Finance All Operating Expenses, Working Capital Needs and Capital Expenditures

To the extent the Reorganized Debtors are unable to meet their projected financial results or achieve projected revenues and cash flows, the Reorganized Debtors may be unable to service their debt obligations as they come due or to meet the Reorganized Debtors' operational needs. Such a failure may preclude the Reorganized Debtors from developing or enhancing their products, taking advantage of future opportunities, growing their business or responding to competitive pressures.

9.5.3 Certain Tax Implications of the Debtors' Bankruptcy and Reorganization May Increase the Tax Liability of the Reorganized Debtors

Holders of Claims should carefully review Article 11 (Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Plan) to determine how the tax implications of the Plan and these Chapter 11 Cases may adversely affect the Reorganized Debtors.

9.6 Factors Associated with the Business

9.6.1 Reorganized Grace May Not Obtain Post-Confirmation Financing

The Plan envisions that Reorganized Grace will obtain Exit Financing. There is no guaranty that Reorganized Grace will be able to obtain such Exit Financing, or obtain it on the terms contemplated by the pro forma and prospective financial information.

9.6.2 Certain Debtors are Currently Under Criminal Indictment in Connection with the Former Vermiculite Mining and Processing Activities in Libby, Montana

Along with seven former senior level employees (one of whom is now deceased), certain of the Debtors have been indicted in connection with the Debtors' former vermiculite mining and processing activities in Libby, Montana. The indictment accuses the specified Debtors and the co-defendants of conspiracy to violate environmental laws and obstruct federal agency proceedings, violations of the federal Clean Air Act, and obstruction of justice. The case had been stayed pending the resolution of an appeal by the Debtors to the U.S. Supreme Court of certain pre-trial rulings in favor of the Debtors that were reversed by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. However, in June, 2008, the Supreme Court denied the Debtors' certiorari petition. The Debtors await the setting of a trial date by is set to commence in the Montana District Court on February 19, 2009. The trial is expected to last 3-5 months. According to the DOJ, the Debtors could be subject to substantial fines. The Debtor defendants have categorically denied any criminal wrongdoing and intend to vigorously defend themselves at trial. The Debtors are unable to assess whether the indictment or any conviction will have a material adverse effect on their results of operations or financial condition. The Debtors expect that legal fees for their defense and that of their co-defendants will total approximately \$8 million per quarter leading up to the trial and \$24 million through the trial.

9.6.3 The Debtors are Subject to Environmental Clean-up Fines, Penalties and Damage Claims that have been and Continue to be Costly

The Debtors are subject to lawsuits and regulatory actions, in connection with current and former operations, pursuant to environmental laws that seek clean-up or other remedies. The Debtors recently settled much of their outstanding environmental liability for non-owned sites by entering into and receiving Bankruptcy Court approval for the Multi-Site Agreement and the Libby Settlement. However, other environmental claims still remain. For example, the State of New Jersey is seeking civil penalties for alleged misrepresentations and false statements made in an official filing in connection with the Grace's closing of a former plant in New Jersey. Although the State of New Jersey's attempts to pursue claims relating to this matter have been denied in the Bankruptcy Court and District Court, the matter is currently on appeal before the Third Circuit. The Debtors are also subject to other lawsuits and investigations by public and private parties under various environmental laws in connection with their current and former operations in various states, including with respect to off-site disposal at facilities where the Debtors have been identified as a potentially responsible party under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, commonly referred to as CERCLA. The Debtors have established accounting accruals for all environmental matters for which sufficient information is available. As the Debtors receive new information, their estimated liability may change materially. The Debtors do not have sufficient information to accrue for all of their environmental risks, and cannot be sure that their actual cash costs will be equal to or less than the current estimates and accruals. Furthermore, it is reasonably possible that costs associated with those environmental matters for which the Debtors have established accruals may exceed the current accruals by material amounts. Some or all of the Debtors' liability in connection with alleged violations of environmental laws may not be discharged upon confirmation of the Plan.

9.6.4 The Debtors' Ability to Use Future NOL Carryovers to Reduce Future Tax Payments May be Limited if there is a Change in Ownership of the Debtors or if the Debtors do not Generate Sufficient U.S. Taxable Income

The Debtors' ability to utilize future NOL carryovers may be limited by section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, if the Debtors undergo an ownership change as a result of future changes in the ownership of outstanding Parent Common Stock. In addition, the Debtors' ability to utilize NOLs is dependant on their ability to generate sufficient future taxable income in the U.S.

9.6.5 The Debtors have Unfunded and Underfunded Pension Plan Liabilities which will Likely Require them to use Current and Future Operating Cash Flow to Fund the Shortfall but There is no Assurance that the Debtors will Generate Sufficient Cash Flow to Satisfy these Obligations

The Debtors maintain U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans covering employees who meet age and service requirements. The Debtors' net pension liability and cost is materially affected by the discount rate used to measure pension obligations, the longevity and actuarial profile of their workforce, the level of plan assets available to fund those obligations and the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. Significant changes in investment

performance or a change in the portfolio mix of invested assets can result in corresponding increases and decreases in the valuation of plan assets, particularly equity securities, or in a change in the expected rate of return on plan assets. A change in the discount rate would result in a significant increase or decrease in the valuation of pension obligations, affecting the reported funded status of the Debtors' pension plans as well as the net periodic pension cost in the following years. Similarly, changes in the expected return on plan assets can result in significant changes in the net periodic pension cost in the following years.

9.6.6 The International Scope of the Debtors' Operations Subjects them to the Risks of Doing Business in Foreign Countries, which could Adversely Affect their Business, Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The Debtors conduct a substantial portion of their business outside of the United States, with approximately [67]% of their 2007200[7] sales to non-U.S. customers. The Debtors currently have many production facilities, research and development facilities and administrative and sales offices located outside North America, including facilities and offices located in Europe, Latin America, Africa and Asia. The Debtors expect sales from international markets to continue to represent a significant portion of their revenue. Accordingly, the Debtors' business is subject to risks related to the differing legal, political, social and regulatory requirements and economic conditions of many jurisdictions. Risks inherent in international operations include the following:

- agreements may be more difficult to enforce and receivables more difficult to collect;
- foreign countries may impose additional withholding taxes or adopt other restrictions on foreign trade or investment, including currency exchange controls;
- the Debtors may have difficulty transferring their profits or capital from foreign operations to the United States or other countries where such funds could be more profitably deployed;
- foreign governments may nationalize private enterprises;
- the Debtors may experience unexpected adverse changes in export duties, quotas and tariffs and difficulties in obtaining export licenses;
- intellectual property rights may be more difficult to enforce;
- the Debtors' business and profitability in a particular country could be affected by
 political or economic repercussions on a domestic, country specific or global level
 from terrorist activities and the response to such activities; and
- the Debtors may be affected by unexpected adverse changes in foreign laws or regulatory requirements.

In addition, certain of the Debtors' operations are in high-risk regions of the world such as the Middle East and portions of Asia, Africa and Latin America. Unanticipated events, such as geopolitical changes, could adversely affect these operations. The Debtors' success as a global business will depend, in part, upon their ability to succeed in differing legal, regulatory, economic, social and political conditions by developing, implementing and maintaining policies and strategies that are effective in each location where they do business.

9.6.7 The Debtors are Exposed to Currency Exchange Rate Fluctuations that Could Impact their Profitability

The Debtors are exposed to currency exchange rate risk through their non-U.S. operations. As the Debtors conduct a significant portion of their operations outside the United States, fluctuations in currencies of other countries, especially the Eurodollar, may materially affect their operating results. For example, changes in currency exchange rates may affect the relative prices at which they and their competitors sell products in the same market and the cost of materials used in their operations. A substantial portion of their net sales and assets are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. During times of a strengthening U.S. dollar, at a constant level of business, their reported international sales, earnings, assets and liabilities will be reduced because the foreign currency will translate into fewer U.S. dollars.

In addition to currency translation risks, the Debtors incur a currency transaction risk whenever one of their operating subsidiaries enters into either a purchase or a sales transaction using a currency different from the operating subsidiary's functional currency. Given the volatility of exchange rates, the Debtors may not be able to manage their currency transaction and/or translation risks effectively, or volatility in currency exchange rates may expose their financial condition or results of operations to a significant additional risk.

9.6.8 There are many Business Factors that Create Risks for the Debtors' Current Business Operations, as well as Reorganized Grace's Future Operations

There are many business factors that create risks for the Debtors' current business operations, as well as Reorganized Grace's future operations. These risks include the following:

- loss of senior management and other key employees;
- greater than expected liabilities for environmental remediation and compliance;
- a decline in worldwide oil consumption or the development of new methods of oil refining;
- increases in the price of raw materials and energy costs;
- product and industry business cycles in the construction and petroleum refining industries may result in reduced sales, operating margins and operating losses;
- consolidation of major customers, which could increase customer purchasing power, thereby putting pressure on operating profits;

- inability to gain customer acceptance, or slower than anticipated acceptance, of new products or product enhancements;
- changes in environmental regulations or societal pressures that make the Debtors' business operations more costly or that change the types of products used by customers, especially petroleum-based products;
- slower than anticipated economic advances in less developed countries;
- technological breakthroughs rendering a product, a class of products, or a line of business obsolete;
- inability to adapt to continuing technological improvements or operating strategies by competitors or customers;
- inability to adapt to other improvements made by direct or indirect competitors;
- acquisition (through theft or other unlawful means) or use by others of the Debtors' proprietary technology and other know-how;
- claims that the Debtors have infringed upon the proprietary technology and other know-how of others;
- an adverse change in relations with employees and/or labor unions; and
- injury to employees, damage to facilities and disruption of operations as a result of working with dangerous materials.

9.7 Risk that the Information in this Disclosure Statement May be Inaccurate

The statements contained in this Disclosure Statement are made by the Debtors as of the date hereof, unless otherwise specified herein, and the delivery of this Disclosure Statement after that date does not imply that there has not been a change since that date in the information set forth herein. The Debtors may subsequently update the information in this Disclosure Statement, but they have no duty to update this Disclosure Statement unless ordered to do so by the Bankruptcy Court. Further, the pro forma and prospective financial information contained herein, unless otherwise expressly indicated, is unaudited. Finally, neither the SEC nor any other governmental authority has passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of this Disclosure Statement, the Plan, or any Exhibits in the Exhibit Book.

This Disclosure Statement contains forward-looking statements, that is, information related to future, not past, events. Such information generally includes the words "believes," "plans," "intends," "targets," "will," "expects," "anticipates," "continues" or similar expressions. For these statements, including, without limitation, the liquidation analyses, pro forma and prospective financial information, and the timing and amounts of actual distributions to Claimants, the Debtors claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The Debtors are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in

the forward-looking statements or that could cause other forward-looking information to prove incorrect. Factors that could cause actual results to materially differ from those contained in the forward-looking statements include: the Debtors' bankruptcy, plans of reorganization proposed by the Debtors and others, the Debtors' legal proceedings (especially the Montana criminal proceeding and environmental proceedings), the cost and availability of raw materials and energy, the Debtors' unfunded pension liabilities, costs of environmental compliance, risks related to foreign operations, especially, security, regulation and currency risks and those factors set forth herein in Article 9 under the caption "Important Considerations and Risk Factors" and in the Parent's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, Ouarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the first-and, second and third quarters ending March 31, 2008 and 2008, June 30, 2008 2008, and September 30, 2008, respectively, and current reports on Form 8-K, all of which have been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and are readily available on the Internet at http://investor.grace.com or http://www.sec.gov. Reported results should not be considered as an indication of future performance. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date thereof. The Debtors undertake no obligation to publicly release any revisions to the forwardlooking statements contained in this Disclosure Statement, or to update them to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this Disclosure Statement.

10. ALTERNATIVES TO CONFIRMATION AND CONSUMMATION OF THE PLAN

The Debtors believe that the Plan affords the Holders of Claims and Equity Interests the potential for the greatest realization on their Claims and Equity Interests and, therefore, is in the best interest of such Holders. If the Plan is not confirmed, however, the theoretical alternatives include: (1) continuation of the pending Chapter 11 Cases, (2) alternative plans of reorganization, or (3) liquidation of the Debtors under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code.

10.1 Continuation of the Chapter 11 Cases

If the Debtors remain in chapter 11 and the Plan, as currently proposed, is not confirmed within the time period projected, the Debtors could continue to operate their businesses and manage their properties as Debtors in Possession. However, the value of assets and cash flow could be affected by the expenses of operating under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code for a further extended period of time. Such delay may significantly reduce the recoveries received by Claimants and Equity Interest Holders under any future plan of reorganization.

10.2 Alternative Plans of Reorganization

If the Plan is not confirmed, it is possible that any other party in interest in the Chapter 11 Cases could attempt to formulate and propose a different plan or plans on such terms as they may desire. Such alternative plan would still have to meet the requirements of confirmation. The Debtors believe that the Plan proposed by the Plan Proponents provides the best potential return to both the Debtors' Claimants and Equity Interest Holders and stands the best chance for being confirmed under Bankruptcy Code § 1129.

10.3 Chapter 7 Liquidation

Bankruptcy Code § 1129(a)(7) requires that the Bankruptcy Court must determine that the plan is in the "best interests" of each holder of a claim or interest in an impaired class. In particular, the "best interests" test requires that the Bankruptcy Court find either that (a) all members of an impaired class have accepted the plan or (b) the plan will provide the holder of a claim or equity interest in such class a distribution of a value, as of the effective date of the plan, that is at least equal to what such holder would receive in a hypothetical liquidation of the debtor under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code.

In a hypothetical liquidation of the Debtors under chapter 7, a trustee would be elected or appointed to liquidate the businesses of the Debtors and the Non-Debtor Affiliates. This "liquidation value" would consist primarily of the proceeds from a sale of the businesses of the Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates. The amount of the liquidation value available would be distributed first to secured claimants, to the extent of the value of their collateral. Thereafter, any remaining funds would be distributed in accordance with the priorities of the Bankruptcy Code. The estimate of liquidation value available to Claimants and Equity Interest Holders would be further reduced by (a) the costs and expenses incurred as a result of the chapter 7 liquidation, and (b) Administrative Expense Claims and Priority Claims incurred in the Chapter 11 Cases allowed in the chapter 7 case.

The Best Interests Analysis requires estimates of the net proceeds that may be generated as a result of a hypothetical chapter 7 liquidation. Any such liquidation would necessarily take place in the future under circumstances that cannot be predicted; the amount of such proceeds is therefore highly speculative and could be significantly impacted as a result of the uncertainty that exists as to whether a chapter 7 trustee could sell the assets free and clear of any Claims that could be asserted against the Debtors. The amount of proceeds available from the liquidation of other assets is an estimate that assumes conditions that may not be present at the time of the chapter 7 liquidation.

The Debtors' liquidation analysis is included as a component of the "Best Interests Analysis," attached as Exhibit 8 in the Exhibit Book, and was prepared by the Debtors with the assistance of Blackstone. Reference is made to the Best Interests Analysis for estimates of liquidation value, costs and expenses and claims amounts, and for a description of the procedures followed, the factors considered, and the assumptions made in preparing the analysis. In preparing the Best Interests Analysis, the Debtors have projected a range for the Amount of Allowed Claims based on a review of their Schedules and Filed proofs of Claim. No order or finding has been entered estimating or fixing the amount of Claims. The actual amount of Claims against the Debtors' estates could vary significantly from the Debtors' estimates. For all of the foregoing reasons, the actual net proceeds available to Claimants and Holders of Equity Interests could vary materially from the amounts in the Best Interests Analysis.

The Debtors believe that the Plan complies with the best interests test, as the Debtors believe that each Holder of a Claim in a class of impaired Claims or of Equity Interests in the Parent will receive value under the Plan that is greater than the value such Holder would receive if the Debtors were liquidated under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code.

11. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE PLAN

The following discussion summarizes certain federal income tax consequences of the Plan to the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, the Asbestos PI Trust, the Asbestos PD Trust, the Holders of Claims and the Holders of Equity Interests. This discussion is based upon the IRC, judicial authorities and current administrative rulings and practices now in effect, all of which are subject to change at any time by legislative, judicial or administrative action. Any such change could be retroactively applied in a manner that could adversely affect the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, the Asbestos PI Trust, the Asbestos PD Trust, Holders of Claims and Holders of Equity Interests. In particular, some of the consequences discussed herein are based on United States Department of Treasury regulations or IRS notices that have been proposed but not finalized, which regulations are particularly susceptible to change at any time.

The tax consequences of certain aspects of the Plan are uncertain due to the lack of applicable legal authority and may be subject to administrative or judicial interpretations that differ from the discussion below. The Debtors have not requested a tax ruling from the IRS, and there can be no assurance that the treatment set forth in the following discussion will be accepted by the IRS. Further, the federal income tax consequences to the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, the Asbestos PI Trust, the Asbestos PD Trust and Holders of Claims and/or Equity Interests may be affected by matters not discussed below. For example, the following discussion does not address state, local or foreign tax considerations that may be applicable; further, it does not address the tax consequences of the Plan to certain types of Holders of Claims or Equity Interests, creditors and stockholders (including foreign persons, financial institutions, life insurance companies, tax-exempt organizations and taxpayers who may be subject to the alternative minimum tax) who may be subject to special rules not addressed herein.

The discussion set forth below is included for general information only. The Debtors and their counsel and financial advisors are not making any representations regarding the particular tax consequences of confirmation and consummation of the Plan, nor are they rendering any form of legal or tax advice on such tax consequences. The tax laws applicable to corporations in bankruptcy are extremely complex, and the following summary is not exhaustive. Holders of Claims and/or Equity Interests are strongly urged to consult their tax advisors regarding tax consequences of the Plan, including U.S. federal, state and local, and foreign tax consequences.

Except where essential to the context, references to the "Debtors" in Article 11 herein refer to both the Debtors and the Reorganized Debtors, collectively.

11.1 Federal Income Tax Consequences to the Debtors

11.1.1 General Discussion

In general, the Debtors do not expect to incur any substantial tax liability as a result of implementation of the Plan, and do not expect to realize any significant amount of cancellation of indebtedness income. As a result of certain tort and environmental liabilities that the Debtors expect to satisfy in 2009,2010, the Debtors expect to realize substantial tax losses in that year. Moreover, upon consummation of the Plan, the Debtors expect to realize additional losses that should result in the Debtors emerging from bankruptcy with a significant NOL available to offset future taxable income. As discussed in Section 11.1.2 below, the tax deductions received by the

Debtors upon consummation of the Plan should be based on the value of the consideration transferred by the Debtors in satisfaction of their tort, environmental and other liabilities. The actual amount of the NOL available to the Debtors after consummation of the Plan will depend on the value of those deductions, as well as the outcome of certain unresolved past tax liabilities and the mechanism by which the Debtors finance their bankruptcy emergence.

In connection with obtaining adequate capital to fund their operations upon emergence from bankruptcy, the Debtors may be required to take capital as a dividend from certain of their foreign subsidiaries. The receipt of these dividends would be taxable to the Debtors for U.S. income tax purposes, and could therefore have an adverse impact on the Debtors' tax planning. In addition, the Debtors or their Non-Debtor Affiliates may be forced to pledge some or all of their foreign assets as security in order to obtain Exit Financing, which may be treated as a deemed dividend for U.S. income tax purposes. Either of these actions could result in the Debtors being forced to realize a significant charge to earnings.

11.1.2 Deduction of Amounts Transferred to Satisfy Asbestos Claims

The tax treatment of transfers of property by the Debtors to the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust will vary depending on the characterization of the trusts, e.g., as "grantor trusts" as defined by section 671 *et seq.* of the IRC, or as a "qualified settlement funds" ("QSF") as defined by Treasury Regulation section 1.468B-1 *et seq.* The Debtors currently expect that the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust will be treated as QSFs for federal income tax purposes, meaning that the Debtors should be entitled to an immediate deduction for the fair market value of any property contributed by the Debtors to the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust.

Transfers of Cash to the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust by the Debtors should be deductible by the Debtors in an amount equal to the amount of such Cash and at the time that such Cash is actually contributed. Thus, transfers of Cash under the <u>Asbestos PI</u> Deferred Payment Agreement should be deductible by the Debtors in the taxable year that such Cash is actually contributed to the Asbestos PI Trust. The transfer of the Warrant to the Asbestos PI Trust should be deductible by the Debtors in an amount equal to the fair market value of such Warrant at the time of contribution. The transfer of Asbestos Insurance Rights and Trust Causes of Action should generally result in neither income nor deduction to the Debtors.

11.1.3 Cancellation of Debt Income

Under the IRC, a taxpayer generally recognizes gross income to the extent that indebtedness of the taxpayer is cancelled for less than the amount owed by the taxpayer, subject to certain judicial or statutory exceptions. The most significant of these exceptions with respect to the Debtors is that taxpayers who are operating under the jurisdiction of a federal bankruptcy court are not required to recognize such income. In that case, however, the taxpayer must reduce its tax attributes, such as its NOLs, general business credits, capital loss carryforwards, and tax basis in assets, by the amount of the cancellation of indebtedness income ("CODI") avoided.

The Debtors do not expect to realize any significant CODI upon consummation of the Plan, since the Debtors expect that Claimants entitled to Distributions under the Plan will receive

an amount of consideration that should equal the total amount of their Claims (including accrued but unpaid interest).

11.1.4 Net Operating Losses

As a result of losses and deductions that will be generated by the resolution of tort, environmental and other claims during 2008200[8] and upon emergence from bankruptcy, the Debtors expect to have an NOL after emerging from bankruptcy.

The extent to which the Debtors will be able to utilize their NOL after emerging from bankruptcy will depend on section 382 of the IRC, which generally imposes an annual limitation on a corporation's use of its NOLs (and may limit a corporation's use of certain built-in losses if such built-in losses are recognized within a five-year period following an "ownership change," as defined below) if a corporation undergoes an ownership change. This discussion describes the limitation determined under section 382 of the IRC in the case of an ownership change as the "Section 382 Limitation." The annual Section 382 Limitation on the use of pre-change losses (the NOLs and built-in losses recognized within the five year post-ownership change period) in any "post-change year" is generally equal to the product of the fair market value of the loss corporation's outstanding stock immediately before the ownership change multiplied by the long-term tax-exempt rate in effect for the month in which the ownership change occurs. The long-term tax-exempt rate is published monthly by the IRS and is intended to reflect current interest rates on long-term tax-exempt debt obligations. The long-term tax exempt rate is [4.65]% for the month of [September 2008-2008]. Section 383 of the IRC applies a similar limitation to capital loss carryforwards and tax credits.

In general, an ownership change occurs when the percentage of the corporation's stock owned by certain "5 percent shareholders" increases by more than 50 percentage points in the aggregate over the lowest percentage owned by them at any time during the applicable "testing period" (generally, the shorter of (a) the 36-month period preceding the testing date or (b) the period of time since the most recent ownership change of the corporation).

There is a possibility that, as the Asbestos PI Trust exercises its Warrant following emergence from bankruptcy, such exercise, coupled with certain other transactions that occurred prior to emergence from bankruptcy or that may occur after bankruptcy emergence, could give rise to an ownership change within the meaning of section 382 of the IRC.

11.2 Federal Income Tax Consequences to Holders of Claims, the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust

11.2.1 Holders of Asbestos PI Claims and Holders of PD Claims

To the extent that payments to Claimants from the Asbestos PI Trust constitute damages received by such Claimants on account of personal injuries, such payments should not constitute gross income to such Claimants, except to the extent that such payments are either received on account of emotional distress (other than in connection with certain medical expenses), or are attributable to medical expense deductions allowed under section 213 of the IRC for a prior taxable year.

To the extent that payments from the Asbestos PD Trust to Claimants constitute compensatory damages for destruction or damage to property, and such payments do not exceed the Claimant's tax basis in its property, such payments should not be included in taxable income. Instead, such payments would be treated as a return of capital to such Claimant, reducing the Holder's tax basis in the property. Any amounts received in excess of the Claimant's tax basis should be treated as gain from the disposition of the property, and would therefore give rise to capital gain assuming that the Claimant held such property as a capital asset.

11.2.2 Treatment of the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust

The Debtors expect to obtain a tax opinion from Kirkland & Ellis LLP that the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust will be QSFs for federal income tax purposes. As QSFs, the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust will be subject to a separate entity level tax on their income at the maximum rate applicable to trusts and estates. In determining the taxable income of the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust, (a) any amounts contributed to the Asbestos PI Trust or the Asbestos PD Trust will not be treated as taxable income, (b) any sale, exchange or distribution of property by the Asbestos PI Trust or the Asbestos PD Trust will result in the recognition of gain or loss in an amount equal to the difference between the fair market value of the property on the date of the sale, exchange or distribution and the adjusted tax basis of such property, (c) interest income and dividend income will be treated as taxable income, and (d) administrative costs (including state and local taxes) will be deductible. The Debtors do not expect any portion of the contributions to the Asbestos PI Trust under the Asbestos PI Deferred Payment Agreement to be treated as income to the Asbestos PI Trust, either as imputed interest or otherwise. In general, the adjusted tax basis of property received by the Asbestos PI Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust will be its fair market value at the time of receipt. Upon exercise of the Warrant, the Asbestos PI Trust will not recognize gain or loss. The Asbestos PI Trust's basis in Parent Common Stock received through exercise of the Warrant will be equal to the Asbestos PI Trust's adjusted tax basis in the Warrant as well as the exercise price paid by the Asbestos PI Trust for the Parent Common Stock.

11.2.3 Consequences to Holders of General Unsecured Claims

Under the Plan, it is expected that Holders of Allowed General Unsecured Claims will be fully paid. To the extent that any amount received by a Holder of a surrendered Allowed General Unsecured Claim under the Plan is attributable to accrued interest that was not previously included in the Holder's gross income, such amount should be taxable to the Holder as interest income.

11.2.4 Consequences to Holders of Equity Interests

Pursuant to the Plan, each Holder of an Allowed Equity Interest in the Debtors will retain their Allowed Equity Interest, subject to dilution on account of, among other things, Parent Common Stock issued (i) under the Management Stock Incentive Plan, or (ii) to the Asbestos PI Trust upon exercise of the Warrant. Holders of Allowed Equity Interests will therefore recognize neither gain nor loss with respect to the reorganization. Such Holders will retain their existing tax basis and holding period in their Allowed Equity Interests.

11.3 Backup Withholding

The Debtors will withhold any amounts required by law to be withheld from payments of interest and dividends and will comply with all applicable reporting requirements of the IRC.

12. SECURITIES LAW MATTERS

12.1 Plan Securities

The Parent Common Stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE") under the symbol "GRA" and is expected to remain listed on the NYSE following the Effective Date.

Under the Plan, upon the Effective Date, Parent will issue to the Asbestos PI Trust, pursuant to, and upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in, the Warrant Agreement, the Warrant to purchase 10 million shares of Parent Common Stock (the "Warrant Shares"). The Warrant and the Warrant Shares are hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Plan Securities." The Debtors believe that all of the Plan Securities constitute "securities," as defined in section 2(a)(1) of the Securities Act, section 101 of the Bankruptcy Code and applicable state securities laws.

12.2 Issuance of Of Plan Securities Under & The Plan

Section 5 of the Securities Act prohibits the sale of securities except pursuant to an effective registration statement and section 4 of the Securities Act and various rules and regulations promulgated under the Securities Act provide various exemptions from the registration requirements of section 5. Section 18 of the Securities Act provides, among other things, that any state law, rule, regulation or order requiring the registration or qualification of securities shall not apply to any security listed on the NYSE or transactions that are exempt from registration under rules and regulations promulgated under section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

Parent will rely on sections 1145(a)(1) and (2) of the Bankruptcy Code to exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act the offer and sale of the Plan Securities to the Trust. Section 1145 of the Bankruptcy Code provides that section 5 of the Securities Act and any state law requirements pertaining to the offer and sale of a security do not apply to the offer or sale of stock, options, warrants or other securities by a debtor if (a) the offer or sale occurs under a plan of reorganization, (b) the recipients of the securities hold a claim against, an interest in, or claim for administrative expense against, the debtor, and (c) the securities are issued in exchange for a claim against or interest in a debtor or are issued principally in such exchange and partly for cash and property.

12.3 Resales of Of Plan Securities

To the extent that the Plan Securities are covered by section 1145 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Plan Securities may be resold without registration under the Securities Act, other federal securities laws or state securities laws unless the holder is an "underwriter" with respect to the securities as that term is defined in section 2(a)(11) of the Securities Act and in the Bankruptcy Code.

Section 1145(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code defines an "underwriter" as one who, except with respect to "ordinary trading transactions" of an entity that is not an "issuer," (a) purchases a claim against, interest in, or claim for an administrative expense in the case concerning, the debtor, if such purchase is with a view to distribution of any security received or to be received in exchange for such claim or interest, or (b) offers to sell securities offered or sold under a plan for the holders of such securities, or (c) offers to buy securities offered or sold under a plan from the holders of such securities, if such offer to buy is (i) with a view to distribution of such securities and (ii) under an agreement made in connection with the plan, with the consummation of the plan, or with the offer or sale of securities under the plan, or (d) is an "issuer" of the securities within the meaning of section 2(a)(11) of the Securities Act.

The definition of "issuer" under section 2(a)(11) includes any person directly or indirectly controlling or controlled by the issuer, or any person under direct or indirect common control with the issuer. "Control," as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act, means the possession, directly or indirectly, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of a person, whether through the ownership of voting securities, by contract or otherwise. Various pronouncements by the Securities and Exchange Commission suggest that a person that holds more than 10% of the voting securities of another person may be presumed to control the other person. Similarly, the legislative history of section 1145 of the Bankruptcy Code suggests that a creditor who owns ten percent (10%) or more of a class of securities of a reorganized debtor may be presumed to be a "controlling person" of the debtor.

On the Effective Date, the Asbestos PI Trust will receive the Warrant, which will be immediately exercisable for 10 million Warrant Shares, which will represent more than 10% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares of common stock of Parent. Accordingly, the Asbestos PI Trust may be deemed to be a controlling person of Parent, thus an "issuer" and thus an "underwriter" within the meaning of section 1145(b) of the Bankruptcy Code with respect to the Plan Securities. To the extent the Asbestos PI Trust is deemed to be an "underwriter" within the meaning of section 1145(b), resales of Plan Securities by the Asbestos PI Trust would not be exempted by section 1145 from registration under the Securities Act or other applicable laws. Accordingly, the Asbestos PI Trust could resell Plan Securities only pursuant to an effective registration statement or an available exemption from registration under the Securities Act.

Parent and the Asbestos PI Trust have agreed to enter into the Plan Registration Rights Agreement, effective as of the Effective Date, pursuant to which, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth therein, Parent has agreed, upon the request of the Asbestos PI Trust, to file shelf registration statements registering the Plan Securities for resale by the Asbestos PI Trust under the Securities Act. The Plan Registration Rights Agreement contains customary terms and conditions, including (i) limitations on the number, timing and frequency of registration statements Parent is obligated to file and sales of Plan Securities pursuant to the registration statements, (ii) registration procedures, (iii) expense allocation provisions and (iv) indemnification provisions.

If the Asbestos PI Trust were deemed to be an underwriter within the meaning of section 1145(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, it might be able to sell Plan Securities without registration pursuant to Rule 144 under the Securities Act. Generally, Rule 144 provides that a "controlling person" such as the Asbestos PI Trust may resell securities received directly or indirectly from

the issuer (i) after a six month holding period if there is current public information regarding the issuer at the time of the sale and (ii) after a one year holding period if there is not current public information regarding the issuer at that time, provided that in each case, the controlling person complies with the volume, manner of sale and notice requirements of Rule 144. However, Rule 144 may be unavailable to the Asbestos PI Trust for resales of Plan Securities if and to the extent that the beneficiaries of the Asbestos PI Trust were deemed to be the recipients of the Plan Securities and the resales by the Asbestos PI Trust were deemed to be concerted action on their part or the Asbestos PI Trust were deemed to be acting as a distributor on their behalf in connection with the resales. If the issuance of the Plan Securities by Parent to the Asbestos PI Trust is made pursuant to section 1145(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and the Asbestos PI Trust were to cease to be an "underwriter" within the meaning of section 1145(b) and a "controlling person" and thus no longer an "affiliate" of Parent within the meaning of Rule 144, the Asbestos PI Trust may be free to resell Plan Securities without registration under the Securities Act or compliance with Rule 144.

Plan Securities generally may be resold without registration under state securities laws pursuant to various exemptions provided by the respective laws of those states. However, the availability of such state exemptions depends on the securities laws of each state. Therefore, the Asbestos PI Trust and other holders of Plan Securities are advised to consult with their own legal advisors regarding the availability of any such exemption from registration under state law in any given instance and as to any applicable requirements or conditions to such availability.

IN VIEW OF THE COMPLEX, SUBJECTIVE NATURE OF THE QUESTION OF WHETHER THE ASBESTOS PI TRUST MAY BE AN UNDERWRITER OR AN AFFILIATE OF THE REORGANIZED DEBTORS, THE DEBTORS MAKE NO REPRESENTATIONS CONCERNING THE RIGHT OR ABILITY OF ANY PERSON TO TRADE THE PLAN SECURITIES TO BE DISTRIBUTED PURSUANT TO THE PLAN. ACCORDINGLY, THE DEBTORS RECOMMEND THAT THE ASBESTOS PI TRUST CONSULT ITS OWN COUNSEL CONCERNING WHETHER IT MAY FREELY TRADE SUCH SECURITIES.

13. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Your vote on the Plan is important. The Debtors strongly recommend that you vote in favor of the Plan.

The Debtors believe that confirmation and implementation of the Plan is preferable to any of the alternatives described herein because the Plan will provide the greatest recoveries to Holders of Claims and Equity Interests. Nonacceptance of the Plan may result in protracted delays, uncertainty, substantial additional administrative costs, a chapter 7 liquidation, or the confirmation of another less favorable chapter 11 plan. These alternatives may not provide for distribution or retention of as much value to Holders of Allowed Claims and/or Equity Interests as does the Plan. Further, the Debtors believe that the Plan, as a whole, is in the best interests of all of the Claimants and Holders of Equity Interests. Therefore, the Debtors recommend that all Holders of Claims and Equity Interests entitled to vote on the Plan support confirmation of the Plan and vote to accept the Plan.

Dated:	, 2008 2009	Respectfully submitted,
		W. R. GRACE & CO (on behalf of itself and the other Debtors and Debtors In Possession)
		By:
		Name: Mark A. Shelnitz Title: Vice President, General Counsel &

Secretary

Document comparison done by Workshare DeltaView on Tuesday, February 03, 2009 7:48:23 PM

Input:	
Document 1	interwovenSite://NYDMS/LEGAL/13317902/55
Document 2	interwovenSite://NYDMS/LEGAL/14086373/16
Rendering set	Basic K&E

Legend:		
<u>Insertion</u>		
Deletion		
Moved from		
Moved to		
Style change		
Format change		
Moved deletion		
Inserted cell		
Deleted cell		
Moved cell		
Split/Merged cell		
Padding cell		

Redline Summary:		
No.	Change	Text
1	Deletion	K&E 13317902.55
2	Insertion	K&E 14086373.15
3-4	Change	"K&E 13317902.55" changed to "K&E 14086373.15"
5-6	Change	"EQUITY SECURITY HOLDERSAS OF, 2008" changed to "EQUITY SECURITY HOLDERSAS OF FEBRUARY 3, 2009"
7-8	Change	"Plan must be filed and served: [], 2009" changed to "Plan must be filed and served: May 20, 2009"
9-10	Change	"• Hearing on ConfirmationPlan: [], 2009" changed to "• Hearing on ConfirmationII: September 8-11, 2009"
11	Deletion	K&E 13317902.55
12	Insertion	K&E 14086373.15
13-14	Change	"Reports on Form 10-Q for the first and second" changed to "Reports on Form 10-Q for the first, second"

15	Change	"second quarters ending March 31," changed to "second and third quarters ending March 31,"
16-17	Change	"quarters ending March 31, 2008 and June 30," changed to "quarters ending March 31, 2008, June 30,"
18-19	Change	"June 30, 2008 respectively, and current reports" changed to "June 30, 2008, andand current reports"
20-21	Change	"K&E 13317902.55" changed to "K&E 14086373.15"
22-23	Change	"1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE PLAN 12" changed to "1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE PLAN 3"
24-25	Change	"1.1 The Disclosure Statement to "1.1 The Disclosure Statement 3"
26-27	Change	"1.2 The Plan 13" changed to "1.2 The Plan 4"
28-29	Change	"Interests Are Affected by the Plan? 13" changed to "Interests Are Affected by the Plan? 4"
30-31	Change	"Will Asbestos Claims be Treated? 19" changed to "Will Asbestos Claims be Treated? 11"
32-33	Change	"Asbestos PI Claims be Effectuated? 19" changed to "Asbestos PI Claims be Effectuated? 11"
34-35	Change	"Asbestos PD Claims be Effectuated? 19" changed to "Asbestos PD Claims be Effectuated? 12"
36-37	Change	"CDN ZAI PD Claims be Effectuated? 20" changed to "CDN ZAI PD Claims be Effectuated? 12"
38-39	Change	"Claims be Treated Under the Plan? 20" changed to "Claims be Treated Under the Plan? 12"
40-41	Change	"Interests be Treated Under the Plan?20" changed to "Interests be Treated Under the Plan? 13"
42-43	Change	"1.2.8 How Will the Plan be Funded? 20" changed to "1.2.8 How Will the Plan be Funded? 13"
44-45	Change	"2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEBTORS 21" changed to "2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEBTORS 15"
46-47	Change	"2.1 General Overview of the Debtors 21" changed to "2.1 General Overview of the

		Debtors 15"
48-49	Change	"Current Businesses 22" changed to "Current Businesses 15"
50-51	Change	"2.2.1 Grace Davison Operating Segment 22" changed to "2.2.1 Grace Davison Operating Segment 15"
52-53	Change	"2.2.1.1 Refining Technologies 22" changed to "2.2.1.1 Refining Technologies 16"
54-55	Change	"2.2.1.2 Materials Technologies 22" changed to "2.2.1.2 Materials Technologies 16"
56-57	Change	"2.2.1.3 Specialty Technologies 23" changed to "2.2.1.3 Specialty Technologies 16"
58-59	Change	"Construction Products Operating Segment 23" changed to "Construction Products Operating Segment 16"
60-61	Change	"2.2.3 Additional Information 23" changed to "2.2.3 Additional Information 17"
62-63	Change	"2.3 Business Strategy 23" changed to "2.3 Business Strategy 17"
64-65	Change	"2.4 Employees 24" changed to "2.4 Employees 17"
66-67	Change	"2.5 Properties 24" changed to "2.5 Properties 18"
68-69	Change	"Asbestos Liabilities 25" changed to "Asbestos Liabilities 18"
70-71	Change	"2.6.1 Asbestos-Added Products 25" changed to "2.6.1 Asbestos-Added Products 19"
72-73	Change	"2.6.2 Libby Vermiculite 25" changed to 19"
74-75	Change	"2.6.3 Zonolite Attic Insulation 26" changed to "2.6.3 Zonolite Attic Insulation 20"
76-77	Change	"Asbestos-Related Litigation 27" changed to "Asbestos-Related Litigation 20"
78-79	Change	"2.7.1 Asbestos Personal Injury Litigation 28" changed to "2.7.1 Asbestos Personal Injury Litigation 21"
80-81	Change	"2.7.2 Asbestos Property Damage Litigation 28" changed to "2.7.2 Asbestos

		Property Damage Litigation 22"
82-83	Change	"Zonolite Attic Insulation and Bar Date 30" changed to "Zonolite Attic Insulation and Bar Date 24"
84-85	Change	"2.7.4 Asbestos Medical Monitoring Claims 31" changed to "2.7.4 Asbestos Medical Monitoring Claims 26"
86-87	Change	" Settled and Ongoing Matters 32" changed to " Settled and Ongoing Matters 26"
88-89	Change	"Vermiculite-Related Proceedings 32" changed to "Vermiculite-Related Proceedings 26"
90-91	Change	"2.8.1.1 Libby, Montana 32" changed to "2.8.1.1 Libby, Montana 26"
92-93	Change	"2.8.1.2 Libby Property Owners 32" changed to "2.8.1.2 Libby Property Owners 27"
94-95	Change	"s Claims Against the Debtors 33" changed to "s Claims Against the Debtors 27"
96-97	Change	"Plant in Minneapolis, Minnesota 33" changed to "Plant in Minneapolis, Minnesota 28"
98-99	Change	"Other Settling Federal Agencies 34" changed to "Other Settling Federal Agencies 28"
100-101	Change	"Environmental Proceedings and Claims 36" changed to "Environmental Proceedings and Claims 30"
102-103	Change	"2.8.3.1 Cape Cod Pipeline Remediation 36" changed to "2.8.3.1 Cape Cod Pipeline Remediation 30"
104-105	Change	"Environmental Protection Settlement 37" changed to "Environmental Protection Settlement 32"
106-107	Change	"2.8.3.3 City of Cambridge Claim 38" changed to "2.8.3.3 City of Cambridge Claim 32"
108-109	Change	"2.8.3.4 Samson Hydrocarbons Claims 38" changed to "2.8.3.4 Samson Hydrocarbons Claims 32"
110-111	Change	"Chromium Contamination Remediation 38" changed to "Chromium Contamination Remediation 32"
112-113	Change	"Environmental Protection Claim 38" changed to "Environmental Protection Claim 33"
114-115	Change	"2.8.3.7 Environmental Insurance Litigation 39" changed to "2.8.3.7 Environmental Insurance Litigation 34"

		<u> </u>
116-117	Change	"2.8.4 Fraudulent Transfer Litigation 40" changed to "2.8.4 Fraudulent Transfer Litigation 35"
118-119	Change	"2.8.5 Tax Claims 41" changed to "2.8.5 Tax Claims 35"
120-121	Change	"2.8.5.1 IRS Proposed Tax Adjustments 41" changed to "2.8.5.1 IRS Proposed Tax Adjustments 35"
122-123	Change	"2.8.5.2 Bekaert Textiles N.V. 42" changed to "2.8.5.2 Bekaert Textiles N.V. 36"
124-125	Change	"2.8.5.3 State Income Tax Claims 42" changed to "2.8.5.3 State Income Tax Claims 36"
126-127	Change	"2.9 Liabilities Other than Litigation Claims 42" changed to "2.9 Liabilities Other than Litigation Claims 36"
128-129	Change	"Compromise Under the Bankruptcy Code 42" changed to "Compromise Under the Bankruptcy Code 36"
130-131	Change	"Compromise Under the Bankruptcy Code 42" changed to "Compromise Under the Bankruptcy Code 37"
132-133	Change	"Compromise Under the Bankruptcy Code 43" changed to "Compromise Under the Bankruptcy Code 37"
134-135	Change	"2.9.3.1 Debt and Accrued Interest 43" changed to "2.9.3.1 Debt and Accrued Interest 37"
136-137	Change	"2.9.3.2 Income Taxes 43" changed to "2.9.3.2 Income Taxes 38"
138-139	Change	"2.9.3.3 Post-RetirementOther than Pensions 44" changed to "2.9.3.3 Post- RetirementOther than Pensions 38"
140-141	Change	"2.9.3.4 Unfunded Special Pension Arrangements 44" changed to "2.9.3.4 Unfunded Special Pension Arrangements 38"
142-143	Change	"2.9.3.5 Accounts Payable 44" changed to "2.9.3.5 Accounts Payable 38"
144-145	Change	"2.9.3.6 Other Accrued Liabilities 44" changed to "2.9.3.6 Other Accrued Liabilities 39"
146-147	Change	"2.10 Assets and Other Rights 45" changed to "2.10 Assets and Other Rights 39"
148-149	Change	"2.10.1 Excess Real Property 45" changed to "2.10.1 Excess Real Property 39"
150-151	Change	"2.10.2 Insurance Rights 45" changed to "2.10.2 Insurance Rights 39"

152-153	Change	"2.10.2.1 Overview 45" changed to "2.10.2.1 Overview 39"
154-155	Change	"2.10.2.2 Primary Insurance Coverage 45" changed to "2.10.2.2 Primary Insurance Coverage 39"
156-157	Change	"2.10.2.3 Excess Insurance Coverage 46" changed to "2.10.2.3 Excess Insurance Coverage 40"
158-159	Change	"2.10.2.4 Estimated Insurance Recoveries 47" changed to "2.10.2.4 Estimated Insurance Recoveries 41"
160-161	Change	"Retained Causes of Action 48" changed to "Retained Causes of Action 42"
162-163	Change	"2.10.3.1 Preservation of Causes of Action 48" changed to "2.10.3.1 Preservation of Causes of Action 42"
164-165	Change	"2.10.3.2 Maintenance of Causes of Action 49" changed to "2.10.3.2 Maintenance of Causes of Action 44"
166-167	Change	"2.10.3.3 Avoidance Actions 50" changed to "2.10.3.3 Avoidance Actions 44"
168-169	Change	"not Expressly Settled or Released 50" changed to "not Expressly Settled or Released 44"
170-171	Change	"Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates 51" changed to "Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates 45"
172-173	Change	"Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates 51" changed to "Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates 45"
174-175	Change	"2.11.1.1 Comparable Public Company Analysis 51" changed to "2.11.1.1 Comparable Public Company Analysis 45"
176-177	Change	"Precedent Transaction Analysis 52" changed to "Precedent Transaction Analysis 46"
178-179	Change	"2.11.2 Calculation of Reorganized Equity Value 52" changed to "2.11.2 Calculation of Reorganized Equity Value 46"
180-181	Change	"2.11.2.1 Net Debt 52" changed to "2.11.2.1 Net Debt 47"
182-183	Change	"2.11.2.2 Minority Interest 52" changed to "2.11.2.2 Minority Interest 47"
184-185	Change	"2.11.2.3 Non-Core Liabilities 53" changed to "2.11.2.3 Non-Core Liabilities 47"
186	Insertion	2.11.2.4 Deferred Payment Agreements
187-188	Change	"53" changed to "47"
	3 -	"2.11.2.5 Warrant Issued to Trust 53"
189-190	Change	changed to "2.11.2.5 Warrant Issued to Trust 47"
191-192	Change	"2.11.2.6 Summary 53" changed to

	1	"2.11.2.6 Summary 48"
		"AND RELATED CANADIAN PROCEEDINGS
193-194	Change	54" changed to "AND RELATED
	Onange	CANADIAN PROCEEDINGS 49"
		"3.1 Overview of Chapter 11 54" changed
195-196	Change	to "3.1 Overview of Chapter 11 49"
		"Course of the Chapter 11 Cases 55" changed
197-198	Change	to "Course of the Chapter 11 Cases 49"
		"Developments in the Chapter 11 Cases 55"
199-200	Change	changed to "Developments in the Chapter 11
	3.1.2.1.90	Cases 49"
004.000	OI -	"of Professionals by the Debtors 55" changed
201-202	Change	to "of Professionals by the Debtors 49"
		"3.2.1.2 Financing and Critical Trade
202.204	Characta	Motions 55" changed to "3.2.1.2
203-204	Change	Financing and Critical Trade Motions
		50"
205-206	Change	"3.2.1.3 Operational Motions 56" changed
200-200	Onange	to "3.2.1.3 Operational Motions 50"
207-208	Change	"Executory Contracts and Leases 56" changed
	Onlango	to "Executory Contracts and Leases 50"
209-210	Change	"the Future Claims Representative 57" changed
	390	to "the Future Claims Representative 51"
044.0:5	Change	"3.2.3.1 Official Committees of Creditors
211-212		57" changed to "3.2.3.1 Official
040.044	Observation	Committees of Creditors 51"
213-214	Change	"Committee 57" changed to "Committee 51"
245 246	Change	"3.2.3.1.2 Asbestos PI Committee 57"
215-216	Change	changed to "3.2.3.1.2 Asbestos PI Committee 51"
		"3.2.3.1.3 Asbestos PD Committee 57"
217-218	Change	changed to "3.2.3.1.3 Asbestos PD Committee 57
211-210		Committee 52"
		"3.2.3.2 Official Equity Committee 58"
219-220	Change	changed to "3.2.3.2 Official Equity Committee
210 220	Jilango	52"
		"3.2.3.3 RepresentativeAsbestos
221	Change	Claimants" changed to "3.2.3.3
	3	RepresentativeAsbestos PI Claimants"
222-223	Change	"Claimants 58" changed to "Claimants 52"
	Ū	3.2.3.4 RepresentativeAsbestos PD Claimants
224	Insertion	53
		"3.2.4 Section 341(a) Meeting of Creditors 58"
225-226	Change	changed to "3.2.4 Section 341(a) Meeting of
		Creditors 53"
		"3.2.5 Selected Adversary Proceedings 58"
227-228	Change	changed to "3.2.5 Selected Adversary
		Proceedings 53"

		W7 1 1 1 1 7 1 1 2011 7 0 W
229-230	Change	"Litigation Against Various Affiliates 58" changed to "Litigation Against Various Affiliates 53"
231-232	Change	"3.2.5.2 Indirect PI Trust Claims 61" changed to "3.2.5.2 Indirect PI Trust Claims 56"
233-234	Change	"3.2.5.3 Indirect PD Trust Claims 64" changed to "3.2.5.3 Indirect PD Trust Claims 59"
235-236	Change	"Bond Payments by National Union 65" changed to "Bond Payments by National Union 60"
237-238	Change	"Termination of Exclusivity Period 65" changed to "Termination of Exclusivity Period 60"
239-240	Change	"3.2.7 Motions to Lift the Automatic Stay 66" changed to "3.2.7 Motions to Lift the Automatic Stay 61"
241-242	Change	"Post-Petition Litigation Matters 67" changed to "Post-Petition Litigation Matters 61"
243-244	Change	"Savings and Investment Plan67" changed to "Savings and Investment Plan61"
245-246	Change	"3.2.8.2 The Scotts Company Litigation 68" changed to "3.2.8.2 The Scotts Company Litigation 63"
247-248	Change	"Clean Air Act Criminal Litigation 69" changed to "Clean Air Act Criminal Litigation 64"
249-250	Change	"Claims Default Interest Litigation 70" changed to "Claims Default Interest Litigation65"
251-252	Change	"Settlement of all Asbestos PI Claims 71" changed to "Settlement of all Asbestos PI Claims 66"
253-254	Change	"Asbestos Medical Monitoring Claims 73" changed to "Asbestos Medical Monitoring Claims 68"
255-256	Change	"3.2.11 The ADR Program 73" changed to "3.2.11 The ADR Program 68"
257-258	Change	"Mandamus and Recusal Proceedings 74" changed to "Mandamus and Recusal Proceedings 69"
259-260	Change	"Asset Purchases and Dispositions 74" changed to "Asset Purchases and Dispositions 69"
261-262	Change	"Asset Purchases and Investments 74" changed to "Asset Purchases and Investments 69"
263-264	Change	"3.2.13.2 Significant Asset Dispositions 76" changed to "3.2.13.2 Significant Asset Dispositions 71"
265-266	Change	"Related Motions and Settlements 76" changed to "Related Motions and Settlements 71"
267-268	Change	"3.2.14.1 Tax Related Motions 76" changed

		to "3.2.14.1 Tax Related Motions 71"
		"3.2.14.2 Tax Related Settlements" changed
269	Change	to "3.2.14.2 Tax -Related Settlements"
		"Related Settlements 76" changed to "Related
270-271	Change	
		Settlements 71"
272-273	Change	"3.2.15 The Tersigni Investigation 78" changed
		to "3.2.15 The Tersigni Investigation 73"
274-275	Change	"Course of the Chapter 11 Cases 78" changed
214-213	Change	to "Course of the Chapter 11 Cases 73"
070 077	01	"Motions Filed on an Annual Basis 79" changed
276-277	Change	to "Motions Filed on an Annual Basis 74"
		"3.2.18 Previous Plans 80" changed to "3.2.18
278-279	Change	Previous Plans 75"
280-281	Change	"3.3 The Canadian Proceedings 80" changed
	3 - 3 -	to "3.3 The Canadian Proceedings 75"
282-283	Change	"3.3.1 General Information 80" changed to
202 200	Orlange	"3.3.1 General Information 75"
		"3.3.2 Notice of the Canadian Proceedings 80"
284-285	Change	changed to "3.3.2 Notice of the Canadian
		Proceedings 76"
	_	"3.3.3 Quarterly Reports 81" changed to
286-287	Change	"3.3.3 Quarterly Reports 76"
		5 1
288-289	Change	"Orders in the Canadian Proceedings 81" changed
		to "Orders in the Canadian Proceedings 76"
290-291	Change	"the Stay of Proceedings in Canada 82" changed
		to "the Stay of Proceedings in Canada 77"
292-293	Change	"March 2003 Bar Date Order 82" changed to
232-233	Change	"March 2003 Bar Date Order 77"
004.005	01	"Corporate Reorganization Order 82" changed
294-295	Change	to "Corporate Reorganization Order 77"
		"3.3.5 Pre-petition Canadian Lawsuits 82"
296-297	Change	changed to "3.3.5 Pre-petition Canadian
230-231	Orlange	Lawsuits 78"
		111111111111111111111111111111111111111
298-299	Change	"Asbestos Personal Injury Lawsuits 82" changed
		to "Asbestos Personal Injury Lawsuits 78"
300-301	Change	"for Buildings Located in Canada 83" changed
000 001	Orlange	to "for Buildings Located in Canada 78"
		"3.3.6 Post-Petition Canadian Lawsuits 83"
302-303	Change	changed to "3.3.6 Post-Petition Canadian
		Lawsuits 78"
		"3.3.7 Canadian Claims 85" changed to
304-305	Change	"3.3.7 Canadian Claims 80"
306-307	Change	
		to "4. SUMMARY OF THE PLAN 81"
		"4.1 Overview of the Chapter 11 Plan 86"
308-309	Change	changed to "4.1 Overview of the Chapter 11
		Plan 81"
310-311	Change	"EXPENSES AND PRIORITY TAX CLAIMS
	<u>, </u>	

		86" changed to "EXPENSES AND
		PRIORITY TAX CLAIMS 81"
312-313	Change	"OF CLAIMS AND EQUITY INTERESTS 87" changed to "OF CLAIMS AND EQUITY
		INTERESTS 82"
314-315	Change	"4.3.1 Summary 87" changed to "4.3.1 Summary 83"
316-317	Change	"4.3.1.1 Class 1. Priority Claims 88" changed to "4.3.1.1 Class 1. Priority Claims 83"
318-319	Change	"4.3.1.2 Class 2. Secured Claims 88" changed to "4.3.1.2 Class 2. Secured Claims 83"
320-321	Change	"4.3.1.3 Class 3. Employee Benefit Claims 88" changed to "4.3.1.3 Class 3. Employee Benefit Claims 84"
322-323	Change	"Compensation Claims 89" changed to "Compensation Claims 84"
324-325	Change	"4.3.1.5 Class 5. Intercompany Claims 89" changed to "4.3.1.5 Class 5. Intercompany Claims 84"
326-327	Change	"4.3.1.6 Class 6. Asbestos PI Claims 89" changed to "4.3.1.6 Class 6. Asbestos PI Claims 85"
328-329	Change	"4.3.1.7 Class 7. Asbestos PD Claims 90" changed to "4.3.1.7 Class 7. Asbestos PD Claims 85"
330-331	Change	"4.3.1.8 Class 8. CDN ZAI PD Claims 91" changed to "4.3.1.8 Class 8. CDN ZAI PD Claims 87"
332-333	Change	"4.3.1.9 Class 9. General Unsecured Claims 91" changed to "4.3.1.9 Class 9. General Unsecured Claims 87"
334-335	Change	"Equity Interests in the Parent96" changed to "Equity Interests in the Parent92"
336-337	Change	"the Debtors Other than the Parent 96" changed to "the Debtors Other than the Parent 92"
338-339	Change	"4.4 MODIFICATION OR WITHDRAWAL OF THE PLAN 96" changed to "4.4 MODIFICATION OR WITHDRAWAL OF THE PLAN 92"
340-341	Change	"AND ASBESTOS CLAIMS GENERALLY 97" changed to "AND ASBESTOS CLAIMS GENERALLY 92"
342	Change	"to Claims (other thanProsecution of Disputed" changed to "to Claims (other thanProsecution of Disputed"
343-344	Change	"Prosecution of Disputed Claims 97" changed

		to "Prosecution of Disputed Claims 92"
		"4.5.2 Resolution of Asbestos PI Claims 97"
345-346	Change	changed to "4.5.2 Resolution of Asbestos PI Claims 93"
		"4.5.3 Resolution of Asbestos PD Claims 97"
347-348	Change	changed to "4.5.3 Resolution of Asbestos PD Claims 93"
349-350	Change	"4.5.4 Resolution of CDN ZAI PD Claims 97" changed to "4.5.4 Resolution of CDN ZAI PD Claims 93"
351-352	Change	"4.6 ACCEPTANCE OR REJECTION OF THE PLAN 97" changed to "4.6 ACCEPTANCE OR REJECTION OF THE PLAN 93"
353-354	Change	"4.7 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PLAN 98" changed to "4.7 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PLAN 94"
355-356	Change	"4.7.1 Corporate Governance 98" changed to "4.7.1 Corporate Governance 94"
357-358	Change	"of Incorporation of the Debtors 98" changed to "of Incorporation of the Debtors 94"
359-360	Change	"4.7.1.2 Amendment of By-Laws of the Parent 99" changed to "4.7.1.2 Amendment of By-Laws of the Parent 94"
361-362	Change	"4.7.1.3 Precedence ofIssuance Obligations 99" changed to "4.7.1.3 Precedence ofIssuance Obligations 94"
363-364	Change	"4.7.1.4 Warrant 99" changed to "4.7.1.4 Warrant 95"
365	Insertion	4.7.1.5 TradingParent Common Stock 96
366-367	Change	"4.7.2 The Asbestos PI Trust 100" changed to "4.7.2 The Asbestos PI Trust 97"
368-369	Change	"4.7.2.1 Creation of the Asbestos PI Trust 100" changed to "4.7.2.1 Creation of the Asbestos PI Trust 97"
370-371	Change	"4.7.2.2 Funding of the Asbestos PI Trust 101" changed to "4.7.2.2 Funding of the Asbestos PI Trust 97"
372-373	Change	"Demands to the Asbestos PI Trust 102" changed to "Demands to the Asbestos PI Trust 98"
374-375	Change	"Enforcement of Trust Causes of Action 102" changed to "Enforcement of Trust Causes of Action 98"
376-377	Change	"4.7.2.5 Appointment andof Trustees 102" changed to "4.7.2.5 Appointment andof Trustees 99"
378	Change	"4.7.2.6 Creation and Termination of the

		TAC" changed to "4.7.2.6 Creation andof the Asbestos PI TAC"
379-380	Change	"TAC 103" changed to "TAC 99"
381-382	Change	"4.7.2.7 Cooperation Agreement 103" changed to "4.7.2.7 Cooperation Agreement 99"
383-384	Change	"of Legal and Other Proceedings 103" changed to "of Legal and Other Proceedings 99"
385-386	Change	"4.7.3 The Asbestos PD Trust 103" changed to "4.7.3 The Asbestos PD Trust 99"
387-388	Change	"4.7.3.1 Creation of the Asbestos PD Trust 103" changed to "4.7.3.1 Creation of the Asbestos PD Trust99"
389-390	Change	"4.7.3.2 Funding of the Asbestos PD Trust 104" changed to "4.7.3.2 Funding of the Asbestos PD Trust100"
391-392	Change	"Demands to the Asbestos PD Trust 104" changed to "Demands to the Asbestos PD Trust 101"
393	Insertion	4.7.3.4 Assignment andCauses of Action 102
394	Change	"Appointment and Termination of Asbestos" changed to "4.7.3.5 Appointment and Termination of Asbestos"
395-396	Change	"Termination of Asbestos PD Trustee 104" changed to "Termination of Asbestos PD Trustee 102"
397	Insertion	4.7.3.6 Creation andAttic Insulation TAC 102
398-399	Change	"and Distributions Under the Plan 105" changed to "and Distributions Under the Plan 102"
400-401	Change	"Undeliverable or Unclaimed Distributions 105" changed to "Undeliverable or Unclaimed Distributions 103"
402-403	Change	"4.7.6 Payments Under the Plan 106" changed to "4.7.6 Payments Under the Plan 104"
404-405	Change	"Occurrence of the Confirmation Date 106" changed to "Occurrence of the Confirmation Date 104"
406-407	Change	"Occurrence of the Effective Date 106" changed to "Occurrence of the Effective Date 104"
408-409	Change	"4.7.9 Management of the Reorganized Debtors 107" changed to "4.7.9 Management of the Reorganized Debtors 105"
410-411	Change	"4.7.10 Corporate Action 107" changed to

		"4.7.10 Corporate Action 105"
412-413	Change	"Documents and Further Transactions 107" changed to "Documents and Further Transactions 105"
414-415	Change	"Between Principal and Interest 107" changed to "Between Principal and Interest 105"
416-417	Change	"4.7.13 No Successor Liability 107" changed to "4.7.13 No Successor Liability 105"
418-419	Change	"Debtors for Plan Purposes Only 108" changed to "Debtors for Plan Purposes Only 106"
420-421	Change	"4.7.15 Insurance Neutrality 109" changed to "4.7.15 Insurance Neutrality 106"
422-423	Change	"4.8 Injunctions, Releases and Discharge 109" changed to "4.8 Injunctions, Releases and Discharge 107"
424-425	Change	"4.8.1 Discharge 110" changed to "4.8.1 Discharge 107"
426-427	Change	"and Related Discharge Injunction 110" changed to "and Related Discharge Injunction 107"
428-429	Change	"to Holders of Asbestos PI Claims 110" changed to "to Holders of Asbestos PI Claims 108"
430-431	Change	"to Holders of Asbestos PD Claims 110" changed to "to Holders of Asbestos PD Claims 108"
432-433	Change	"to Holders of CDN ZAI PD Claims 111" changed to "to Holders of CDN ZAI PD Claims 108"
434-435	Change	"and Disallowed Equity Interests 111" changed to "and Disallowed Equity Interests 109"
436-437	Change	"4.8.1.6 Non-Dischargeable ERISA Liability 111" changed to "4.8.1.6 Non- Dischargeable ERISA Liability 109"
438-439	Change	"Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction 112" changed to "Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction 109"
440-441	Change	"4.8.2.1 Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction 112" changed to "4.8.2.1 Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction110"
442-443	Change	"Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction 113" changed to "Asbestos PI Channeling Injunction 111"
444-445	Change	"Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction 113" changed to "Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction

		112"
446-447	Change	"4.8.3.1 Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction 114" changed to "4.8.3.1 Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction112"
448-449	Change	"Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction 115" changed to "Asbestos PD Channeling Injunction 113"
450-451	Change	"Insurance Entity Injunction(s) 115" changed to "Insurance Entity Injunction(s) 114"
452-453	Change	"4.8.5 Successor Claims Injunction 117" changed to "4.8.5 Successor Claims Injunction 116"
454-455	Change	"4.8.5.1 Injunction 117" changed to "4.8.5.1 Injunction 116"
456-457	Change	"and Fresenius Indemnified Parties 118" changed to "and Fresenius Indemnified Parties 117"
458-459	Change	"Injunctions and the Automatic Stay 118" changed to "Injunctions and the Automatic Stay 117"
460-461	Change	"Immediately Prior to Confirmation 118" changed to "Immediately Prior to Confirmation 117"
462-463	Change	"4.8.8 Additional Releases and Indemnification 119" changed to "4.8.8 Additional Releases and Indemnification 118"
464-465	Change	"Sealed Air Indemnified Parties 119" changed to "Sealed Air Indemnified Parties 118"
466-467	Change	"Transaction Contractual Obligations 120" changed to "Transaction Contractual Obligations 119"
468-469	Change	"of Fresenius Indemnified Parties 120" changed to "of Fresenius Indemnified Parties 119"
470-471	Change	"Agreement and Section 4.04 of the TSIA 121" changed to "Agreement and Section 4.04 of the TSIA 120"
472-473	Change	"the Sealed Air Settlement Order 121" changed to "the Sealed Air Settlement Order 120"
474-475	Change	"4.8.8.6 Release of Avoidance Actions 121" changed to "4.8.8.6 Release of Avoidance Actions 120"
476-477	Change	"Holders of Claims or Equity Interests 122" changed to "Holders of Claims or Equity Interests 121"
478-479	Change	"4.8.8.8 Release by Debtors and Estate Parties 122" changed to "4.8.8.8 Release by

		Debtors and Estate Parties 121"
		"Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates 123"
480-481	Change	changed to "Debtors and Non-Debtor Affiliates 122"
482	Insertion	Representatives by the Asbestos PI Trust 122
483	Change	"123" changed to "4.8.8.11 IndemnificationAsbestos PD Trust 123"
484	Insertion	4.9.1 Assumption ofand Unexpired Leases 124
485	Insertion	4.9.1.1 Assumption Procedures 124
486	Insertion	4.9.1.2 Rejection ofand Unexpired Leases 125
487	Insertion	4.9.2 Letters of Credit and Surety Bonds 126
488	Insertion	4.9.3 Compensation,and Benefit Program 126
489-490	Change	"4.10 RETENTION OF JURISDICTION 125" changed to "4.10 RETENTION OF JURISDICTION 126"
491-492	Change	"4.11 MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS 126" changed to "4.11 MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS 127"
493-494	Change	"WITH THE PLAN AND EFFECTIVE DATE 129" changed to "WITH THE PLAN AND EFFECTIVE DATE 130"
495	Change	"5.1 Deferred Payment Agreement" changed to "5.1 Asbestos PI Deferred Payment Agreement"
496-497	Change	"Deferred Payment Agreement 129" changed to "Deferred Payment Agreement 131"
498	Insertion	5.2 Class 7A Asbestos PDPayment Agreement 131
499	Insertion	5.3 Class 7B Asbestos PDPayment Agreement 131
500	Change	"Grace Guaranty" changed to "5.4 Grace Guaranty"
501-502	Change	"Grace Guaranty 130" changed to "Grace Guaranty Agreements 131"
503-504	Change	"5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.5 Share Issuance Agreement"
505-506	Change	"Share Issuance Agreement 130" changed to "Share Issuance Agreement 132"
507-508	Change	"5.4 Warrant" changed to "5.6 Warrant"
509-510	Change	"Warrant 130" changed to "Warrant 132"
511-512	Change	"5.5 Plan Registration Rights Agreement" changed to "5.7 Plan Registration Rights Agreement"
513-514	Change	"Plan Registration Rights Agreement 130" changed to "Plan Registration Rights Agreement 132"
515-516	Change	"5.6 Asbestos" changed to "5.8 Asbestos"

517-518	Change	"Asbestos PD Note 130" changed to "Asbestos PI/PD Inter-Creditor Agreement 133"
519-520	Change	"6. LIMITED SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLIDATION 131" changed to "6. LIMITED SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLIDATION 133"
521-522	Change	"7. VOTING AND CONFIRMATION PROCEDURES 133" changed to "7. VOTING AND CONFIRMATION PROCEDURES 135"
523-524	Change	"7.1 Voting Procedures 133" changed to 135"
525-526	Change	"7.1.1 Voting Instructions and Deadline 133" changed to "7.1.1 Voting Instructions and Deadline 135"
527-528	Change	"7.2 Confirmation Procedures 134" changed to "7.2 Confirmation Procedures 136"
529-530	Change	"7.2.1 Confirmation Hearing 134" changed to "7.2.1 Confirmation Hearing 136"
531-532	Change	"7.2.2 Objections to Confirmation of the Plan 134" changed to "7.2.2 Objections to Confirmation of the Plan 137"
533-534	Change	"Plan, or Ballots and Master Ballots 136" changed to "Plan, or Ballots and Master Ballots 139"
535-536	Change	"8. REQUIREMENTS FOR CONFIRMATION OF THE PLAN 137" changed to "8. REQUIREMENTS FOR CONFIRMATION OF THE PLAN 139"
537-538	Change	"8.1 Bankruptcy Code § 1129 Generally 137" changed to "8.1 Bankruptcy Code § 1129 Generally 139"
539-540	Change	"8.2 Vote Required for Class Acceptance 139" changed to "8.2 Vote Required for Class Acceptance 142"
541-542	Change	"8.2.1 Cram Down 139" changed to "8.2.1 Cram Down 142"
543-544	Change	"8.3 Feasibility of the Plan 141" changed to "8.3 Feasibility of the Plan 143"
545-546	Change	"8.4 Best Interests Test 142" changed to "8.4 Best Interests Test 145"
547-548	Change	"and the Management of the Debtors 142" changed to "and the Management of the Debtors 145"
549-550	Change	"Limitation of Director Liability 142" changed to "Limitation of Director Liability 145"

	110
Change	"Compensation and Incentive Program 143" changed to "Compensation and Incentive Program 145"
Change	"CONSIDERATIONS AND RISK FACTORS 144" changed to "CONSIDERATIONS AND RISK FACTORS 147"
Change	"9.1 General 144" changed to "9.1 General 147"
Change	"and Mass Tort Law Considerations 144" changed to "and Mass Tort Law Considerations 147"
Change	"of Claims and Equity Interests 144" changed to "of Claims and Equity Interests 147"
Change	"Prolonged Confirmation Process 144" changed to "Prolonged Confirmation Process 147"
Change	"Confirmation or Consummation of the Plan 144" changed to "Confirmation or Consummation of the Plan 147"
Insertion	9.2.4 The Court May FindPay Default Interest 148
Change	"There is a Risk of Post-Confirmation" changed to "9.2.5 There is a Risk of Post-Confirmation"
Change	"Risk of Post-Confirmation Default 145" changed to "Risk of Post-Confirmation Default 148"
Insertion	9.2.6 The Debtors Mayof a Claim 149
Change	"9.2.5 Resale of Parent Common Stock May" changed to "9.2.7 Resale of Parent Common Stock May"
Change	"Under Applicable Securities Laws 146" changed to "Under Applicable Securities Laws 149"
Change	"Claims After the Effective Date 146" changed to "Claims After the Effective Date 149"
Change	"Stated, No Audit Was Performed 146" changed to "Stated, No Audit Was Performed 149"
Change	"Prospective Financial Information 146" changed to "Prospective Financial Information 149"
Change	"Projections 147" changed to "Projections 150"
Change	"9.4 Insurance-Related Risk Factors 147" changed to "9.4 Insurance-Related Risk Factors 150"
Change	"Affecting the Parent Common Stock148"
	Change Change Change Change Change Change Insertion Change Insertion Change Change Change Change Change Change Change Change

		changed to "Affecting the Parent Common Stock 151"
586-587	Change	"Achieve Projected Financial Results 149" changed to "Achieve Projected Financial Results 152"
588-589	Change	"Needs and Capital Expenditures 149" changed to "Needs and Capital Expenditures 152"
590-591	Change	"Liability of the Reorganized Debtors 149" changed to "Liability of the Reorganized Debtors 152"
592-593	Change	"9.6 Factors Associated with the Business 149" changed to "9.6 Factors Associated with the Business 152"
594-595	Change	"Obtain Post-Confirmation Financing 149" changed to "Obtain Post-Confirmation Financing 152"
596-597	Change	"Processing Activities in Libby, Montana 149" changed to "Processing Activities in Libby, Montana 152"
598-599	Change	"been and Continue to be Costly 150" changed to "been and Continue to be Costly 153"
600-601	Change	"Sufficient U.S. Taxable Income 150" changed to "Sufficient U.S. Taxable Income 153"
602-603	Change	"Flow to Satisfy these Obligations 151" changed to "Flow to Satisfy these Obligations 154"
604-605	Change	"Condition and Results of Operations151" changed to "Condition and Results of Operations 154"
606-607	Change	"Could Impact their Profitability 152" changed to "Could Impact their Profitability 155"
608-609	Change	"s Future Operations 152" changed to "s Future Operations 155"
610-611	Change	"Disclosure Statement May be Inaccurate 153" changed to "Disclosure Statement May be Inaccurate 156"
612-613	Change	"CONFIRMATION AND CONSUMMATION OF THE PLAN 154" changed to "CONFIRMATION AND CONSUMMATION OF THE PLAN 157"
614-615	Change	"10.1 Continuation of the Chapter 11 Cases 154" changed to "10.1 Continuation of the Chapter 11 Cases 157"
616-617	Change	"10.2 Alternative Plans of Reorganization 155"

		changed to "10.2 Alternative Plans of Reorganization 158"
618-619	Change	"10.3 Chapter 7 Liquidation 155" changed to "10.3 Chapter 7 Liquidation 158"
620-621	Change	"INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE PLAN 156" changed to "INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE PLAN 159"
622-623	Change	"Tax Consequences to the Debtors 157" changed to "Tax Consequences to the Debtors 160"
624-625	Change	"11.1.1 General Discussion 157" changed to "11.1.1 General Discussion 160"
626-627	Change	"Transferred to Satisfy Asbestos Claims 157" changed to "Transferred to Satisfy Asbestos Claims 160"
628-629	Change	"11.1.3 Cancellation of Debt Income 158" changed to "11.1.3 Cancellation of Debt Income 161"
630-631	Change	"11.1.4 Net Operating Losses 158" changed to "11.1.4 Net Operating Losses 161"
632-633	Change	"Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust 159" changed to "Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust 162"
634-635	Change	"Claims and Holders of PD Claims 159" changed to "Claims and Holders of PD Claims 162"
636-637	Change	"Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust 159" changed to "Trust and the Asbestos PD Trust 162"
638-639	Change	"Holders of General Unsecured Claims 159" changed to "Holders of General Unsecured Claims 162"
640-641	Change	"to Holders of Equity Interests 160" changed to "to Holders of Equity Interests 163"
642-643	Change	"11.3 Backup Withholding 160" changed to "11.3 Backup Withholding 163"
644-645	Change	"12. SECURITIES LAW MATTERS 160" changed to "12. SECURITIES LAW MATTERS 163"
646-647	Change	"12.1 Plan Securities 160" changed to "12.1 Plan Securities 163"
648-649	Change	"Plan Securities Under The Plan 160" changed to "Plan Securities Under The Plan 163"
650-651	Change	"12.3 Resales Of Plan Securities 161" changed to "12.3 Resales Of Plan Securities 164"
652-653	Change	"13. CONCLUSION AND

		RECOMMENDATION 163" changed to
		"13. CONCLUSION AND
		RECOMMENDATION 166"
654-655	Change	"K&E 13317902.55" changed to "K&E 14086373.15"
656	Change	"Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims, including US" changed to "Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims,
	- I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I	US"
657	Change	"US ZAI PD Claims. Pursuant to the" changed to "US ZAI PD Claims and CDNClaims. Pursuant
	_	to the" "channeled to the AsbestosAsbestos PD Claims"
658-659	Change	changed to "channeled to the AsbestosAsbestos
		PD Claims"
000	Chana	"Allowed Asbestos PDin full by the Asbestos"
660	Change	changed to "Allowed Asbestos PDin full by the Asbestos"
		"in full by the AsbestosClaims will be channeled"
661-662	Change	changed to "in full by the AsbestosClaims will
		be channeled"
663	Insertion	The Plan reflects anfall below \$10 million.
664	Insertion	With respect to the CDNon the Effective Date.
		"will ask the Court toOrder setting forth"
665-666	Change	changed to "will ask the Court toOrder setting
		forth"
		"The Asbestos PIPlan reflect a delicate"
667	Change	changed to "The Asbestos PIPlan reflect a delicate"
		"discharge all Asbestos PIAsbestos PD Claims"
668	Change	changed to "discharge all Asbestos PIAsbestos
		PD Claims"
669	Change	"Asbestos PD Claims and CDN ZAI" changed to "Asbestos PD Claims,PD Claims, and CDN ZAI"
		"and CDN ZAI PD Claims through the creation of"
670	Change	changed to "and CDN ZAI Claims through the
070	Change	creation of"
671	Change	"\$33.8 million ³ " changed to "\$[33.8 million ³ "
		"soon as practicable thereafter (\$33,734,229"
672	Change	changed to "soon as practicable thereafter
		(\$[33,734,229"
		"33,734,229) and unliquidated amounts that"
673	Change	changed to "33,734,229]) and unliquidated amounts that"
		"Expense Claims if and when allowed (\$25,197"
674	Change	changed to "Expense Claims if and when allowed
074	Change	(\$[25,197"

675	Change	"25,197)." changed to "25,197])."
676	Insertion	14
677	Insertion	4 All of the bracketedon February 27, 2009.
678	Change	"\$33.4 million" changed to "\$[33.4 million"
679	Insertion	33.4 million]
680	Change	"\$709,873" changed to "\$[709,873"
681-682	Change	"709,873 ⁴ " changed to "709,873 ⁵ "
683-684	Change	"4 Includes amounts to be paid on" changed to "5 Includes amounts to be paid on"
		"soon as practicable thereafter (\$209,873"
685	Change	changed to "soon as practicable thereafter (\$[209,873"
	0.1	"209,873) and unliquidated amounts that" changed
686	Change	to "209,873]) and unliquidated amounts that"
		"in accordance with their terms (\$500,000"
687	Change	changed to "in accordance with their terms (\$[500,000"
688	Change	"500,000)." changed to "500,000])."
689	Insertion	1
690	Change	"\$5,754,496" changed to "\$[5,754,496"
691-692	Change	"5,754,496 ⁵ " changed to "5,754,496 ⁶ "
		"5 Includes amounts to be paid on" changed
693-694	Change	to "6 Includes amounts to be paid on"
		"soon as practicable thereafter (\$562,100"
695	Change	changed to "soon as practicable thereafter (\$562,100"
		"562,100), amounts paid after the Effective"
696	Change	changed to "562,100]), amounts paid after the Effective"
		"in accordance with their terms (\$3,500,000"
697	Change	changed to "in accordance with their terms (\$[3,500,000"
		"3,500,000) and unliquidated amounts that"
698	Change	changed to "3,500,000]) and unliquidated amounts that"
		"2 claims if and when allowed (\$1,692,396"
699	Change	changed to "2 claims if and when allowed (\$[1,692,396"
		$(\Psi[1,0)2,3)0$
700	Change	"1,692,396)." changed to "1,692,396])."

702	Change	"\$165.3 million" changed to "\$[165.3 million"
703-704	Change	"165.3 million ⁶ " changed to "165.3 million ⁷ "
705-706	Change	"6 Includes approximately \$" changed to "7
	Change	Includes approximately \$"
707	Ch a a a a	"Includes approximately \$70.9 million" changed
	Change	to "Includes approximately \$[70.9 million"
		"70.9 million of post-retirement benefits other"
708	Change	changed to "70.9 million] of post-retirement benefits other"
		"2.9.3.3 herein and approximately \$94.4 million"
709	Change	changed to "2.9.3.3 herein and approximately \$[94.4 million"
		"94.4 million of unfundedpension arrangements"
710	Change	changed to "94.4 million] of unfundedpension arrangements"
		"soon as practicable thereafter (\$15.8 million"
711	Change	changed to "soon as practicable thereafter (\$[15.8 million"
		"15.8 million) and amountspaid after the
712	Change	Effective" changed to "15.8 million]) and paid
		after the Effective"
		"in accordance with their terms (\$149.5 million"
713	Change	changed to "in accordance with their terms (\$[149.5 million"
714	Change	"149.5 million)." changed to "149.5 million])."
715	Change	"" changed to "]"
716	Change	"Asbestos PI Trustallowed pursuant" changed to "Asbestos PI Trustallowed pursuant"
717-718	Change	"by the Asbestos PI TrustPI Trust Assets as" changed to "by the Asbestos PI TrustPI Trust Assets as"
719	Change	"and to the extentAsbestos PI Claims shall" changed to "and to the extentAsbestos PI Claims shall"
720	Change	"Trust pursuant to the terms of the TDP." changed to "Trust pursuant to theof the Asbestos PI TDP."
721	Change	"Asbestos PD Claims" changed to "Class 7A: Asbestos PD Claims"
722	Insertion	Asbestos PD Claims (other than US ZAI PD Claims)
723	Insertion	No (Vote being solicitedof the Bankruptcy Code)
724	Change	"Each Holder of anas of the Effective" changed to "Each Holder of anas of the Effective"

725	Moved to	Asbestos PD Claim in Cashby the Asbestos PD Trust
726	Change	"pursuant to the terms of the respective" changed to "as and when due, withoutterms of the respective"
727	Moved from	stipulation, order orby the Asbestos PD Trust
728-729	Change	"pursuant to the terms of the Asbestos PD Trust" changed to "and the terms of the Asbestos PD Trust"
730-731	Change	"the Asbestos PD Trustunresolved prior to the" changed to "the Asbestos PD Trustunresolved prior to the"
732	Change	"Exhibit Book. Suchthe "Unresolved Asbestos" changed to "Exhibit Book. Suchthe "Unresolved Asbestos"
733-734	Change	"Plan, the Court shallfor determining" changed to "Plan, the Court shallfor determining"
735	Change	"All Allowed Asbestos PDin full by the Asbestos" changed to "All Allowed Asbestos PDin full by the Asbestos"
736	Change	"from the Asbestos PDof Demands as Asbestos" changed to "from the Asbestos PDof Demands as Asbestos"
737-738	Change	"of Demands as Asbestos PDto Demands related" changed to "of Demands as Asbestos PDto Demands related"
739-740	Change	"Demands related toPlan does not constitute" changed to "Demands related toPlan does not constitute"
741	Change	"which did not have another Asbestos PD Claim" changed to "which did not have an allowable Asbestos PD Claim"
742	Change	"Asbestos PD Claim againstas of the Effective" changed to "Asbestos PD Claim inas of the Effective"
743-744	Change	"\$109 million" changed to "\$112 million"
745-746	Change	"million ⁷ " changed to "million ⁸ "
747-748	Change	"7 Represents" changed to "8 Represents"
749	Change	"Represents amount of" changed to "Represents the estimated amount of"
750-751	Change	"amount of Asbestos PD Initial Payment" changed to "amount of the Class 7A Initial Payment"
752-753	Change	"Initial Payment. AmountsPD Initial Payment," changed to "Initial Payment for PD\$93 million in signed,"
754-755	Change	", if any, will be paid by the Asbestos PD Note."

Plan. Claims
Claims
Claims
Claims
the
the
the
to " ¹⁰
on"
n11"
nged
.goa
lion"
of
n] (\$"
n"
to
l to
to
1
5[24.2
nd"
ers of
n"
n" \$[76.3

		changed to "76.3 million] of Environmental Claims and \$"
		"of Environmental Claims and \$35.8 million"
784	Change	changed to "of Environmental Claims and \$[35.8 million"
		"35.8 million of other General Unsecured Claims)"
785	Change	changed to "35.8 million] of other General Unsecured Claims)"
		"accordance with their terms of \$159.7 million"
786	Change	changed to "accordance with their terms of \$[159.7 million"
787	Change	"159.7 million (\$" changed to "159.7 million] (\$"
788	Change	"(\$69.8 million" changed to "(\$[69.8 million"
		"69.8 million of Environmental Claims, \$"
789	Change	changed to "69.8 million] of Environmental Claims, \$"
		"of Environmental Claims, \$67.2 million"
790	Change	changed to "of Environmental Claims, \$[67.2 million"
704		"67.2 million of tax reserves and \$" changed to
791	Change	"67.2 million] of tax reserves and \$"
792	Change	"of tax reserves and \$22.7 million" changed to "of tax reserves and \$[22.7 million"
		"22.7 million of other General Unsecured Claims)."
793	Change	changed to "22.7 million] of other General Unsecured Claims)."
794	Insertion]
795-796	Change	"subject to the issuanceof the Share Issuance" changed to "subject to the issuanceof the Share Issuance"
797	Change	"of the Share Issuance Agreement." changed to "of the Share IssuanceRestrictions Term Sheet."
798-799	Change	"divides Asbestos ClaimsPI Claims (Class" changed to "divides Asbestos ClaimsPI Claims (Class"
800	Change	"(1) Asbestos PI ClaimsPD Claims (Class 7)" changed to "(1) Asbestos PI ClaimsPD Claims (Class 7)"
801	Change	"(2) Asbestos PD ClaimsCDN ZAI PD Claims (Class" changed to "(2) Asbestos PD ClaimsCDN ZAI PD Claims (Class"
802	Change	"Asbestos PI TrustAsbestos PD Claims will"

		changed to "Asbestos PI TrustAsbestos PD Claims will"
803-804	Change	"channeled to the AsbestosTrust and those Allowed" changed to "channeled to the Asbestos PD Trust; Allowed"
805	Change	"Allowed will be paid in Cash in full from" changed to "Allowed Asbestos PDin Cash in full from"
806	Change	"full from the Asbestos PDClaims will be channeled" changed to "full from the Asbestos PDClaims will be channeled"
807-808	Change	"Trust will, among other(1) assume liability" changed to "Trust will, among other(i) assume liability"
809-810	Change	") assume liability for all Asbestos PD Claims (" changed to ") assume liability withall Asbestos PD Claims ("
811-812	Change	"all Asbestos PD Claimscould occur) and (2) pay" changed to "all Asbestos PD Claimsclaims), (ii) pay"
813	Change	") pay all" changed to ") pay and satisfy all"
814	Change	"all Allowed Asbestos PD Claims in" changed to "all Asbestos PD Claims in"
815-816	Change	"Asbestos PD Claims inPD Trust Agreement," changed to "Asbestos PD Claims inPD Trust Agreement,"
817	Change	"the Asbestos PD TrustPD Settlement Agreements" changed to "the Asbestos PD TrustPD Settlement Agreements"
818	Change	"PD Settlement Agreements and" changed to "PD Settlement Agreements, the Class 7A CMO, and"
819-820	Change	"and any Final Orders ofallowing such claims." changed to "and the Final Orders bywith this Plan."
821-822	Change	"Plan, the Court shallforth the procedures for" changed to "Plan, the Court shallforth the procedures for"
823-824	Change	"Unresolved Asbestos PDof Unresolved Asbestos" changed to "Unresolved Asbestos PDof Unresolved Asbestos"
825-826	Change	"for resolving suchin the form of Exhibit" changed to "for resolving suchin the form of Exhibit"
827	Change	"attached in the form ofin the Exhibit Book." changed to "attached in the form ofin the Exhibit Book."
828-829	Change	"the only Entity that aPD Claim may look to for" changed to "the only Entity that aPD Claim may

		look to for"
830-831	Change	"payments to the AsbestosPD Trust under the" changed to "payments to the AsbestosPD Trust under the"
832	Change	"the Asbestos PD TrustPayment Agreement" changed to "the Asbestos PD TrustPayment Agreement"
833-834	Change	"PI Deferred PaymentPayment Agreement." changed to "PI Deferred PaymentPayment Agreement."
835	Change	"2024, as further setAgreement, backed" changed to "2024, as further setAgreement, backed"
836	Insertion	s direct transfers
837-838	Change	"Asbestos PD Trust as partPayment, which will be" changed to "Asbestos PD Trust as partPayment, which will be"
839	Insertion	s direct transfers
840-841	Change	"Asbestos PD Trust as partPayment, which will be" changed to "Asbestos PD Trust as partPayment, which will be"
842-843	Change	"an amount in Cash equalPD Initial Payment from" changed to "an amount in Cash equal7B Initial Payment from"
844	Change	"Initial Payment from the(8) the Trust Causes" changed to "Initial Payment from(8) the Trust Causes"
845	Change	"The initial paymentbe set promptly after" changed to "The initial paymentbe set promptly after"
846	Change	"Trustees, after consultation with the TAC and the" changed to "Trustees, afterAsbestos PI TAC and the"
847	Change	"TAC and the FCR. The IPP shall be between" changed to "TAC and the Asbestos PIThe IPP shall be between"
848-849	Change	"shall be between 25% and 35%. The ACC and the" changed to "shall be between 25% andPI Committee and the"
850	Change	"and the FCR believe it is prudent to set" changed to "and the Asbestos PI FCRit is prudent to set"
851	Insertion	Assets, which shall beof payments pursuant to:
852-853	Change	"(1) the Asbestos PD" changed to "(i) the Class 7A AsbestosPayment Agreement;"
854	Insertion	(ii) the Class 7BPayment Agreement;
855	Change	"Initial Payment, which is an amount" changed to "(iii) the Asbestos PDwhich is an amount"
856-857	Change	"amount in Cash equal toagreed to by the Parent,"

		changed to "amount in Cash equal toagreed to by the Parent,"
		"of the Asbestos PD Trustequally by Cryovac,"
858	Change	changed to "of the Asbestos PD Trustequally by
		Cryovac,"
		"Effective Date, provided,to the Asbestos PD
859-860	Change	Trust" changed to "Effective Date, provided,to
		the Asbestos PD Trust"
004	Change	"to the Asbestos PD Trust65% of the Fresenius"
861	Change	changed to "to the Asbestos PD Trust65% of the Fresenius"
		"of the Fresenius Payment;the Asbestos PD Trust
862-863	Change	on" changed to "of the Fresenius Payment;the
002 000	Orlange	Asbestos PD Trust on"
		"the Asbestos PD Trust onof the Effective Date."
864-865	Change	changed to "the Asbestos PD Trust onthe
		Fresenius Payment;"
866	Insertion	(iv) the Grace PDAgreement for Class 7A;
867	Insertion	(v) the Grace PDbelow \$10 million); and
868	Insertion	(vi) the Asbestos PD Trust Causes of Action.
		"the CDN ZAI Minutes ofAsbestos PD Trust
869	Change	shall" changed to "the CDN ZAI Minutes
		ofAsbestos PD Trust shall"
870-871	Change	"U.S. and non-U.S. subsidiaries. 11" changed to
070-071		"U.S. and non-U.S. subsidiaries. 12"
		"11 As used within Article 2 of this" changed
872-873	Change	to "12 As used within Article 2 of this"
		"Davison accounted for approximately 64"
874	Change	changed to "Davison accounted for approximately
	3-	[64"
875	Change	"64% of Grace" changed to "64]% of Grace"
		"s 2007 sales. Grace Davison markets its"
876-877	Change	changed to "s 200[7] sales. Grace Davison
		markets its"
		"("GCP") accounted for approximately 36"
878	Change	changed to "("GCP") accounted for
070	Chanas	approximately [36"
879	Change	"36% of Grace" changed to "36]% of Grace"
880-881	Change	"s 2007 sales. GCP produces and sells" changed to "s 200[7] sales. GCP produces and sells"
	-	"Reports on Form 10-Q for the first and second"
882-883	Change	changed to "Reports on Form 10-Q for the first,
00Z-003	Onlange	second"
004	Change	"second quarters of" changed to "second and third
884		quarters of "strainged to second and ama
005 000	Change	"quarters of 2008other recent report to" changed
885-886		to "quarters of 2008, and any other recent report to"

		"As of December 31, 2007,employed
887-888	Change	approximately changed to "As of December
007 000	Onlange	31,employed approximately"
		"Grace employed approximately 6,500" changed
889	Change	to "Grace employed approximately [6,500"
		"6,500 persons, of whom approximately changed
890	Change	
		to "6,500] persons, of whom approximately"
891	Change	"persons, of whom approximately 3,050" changed
	_	to "persons, of whom approximately [3,050"
000	Change	"3,050 were employed in the United States."
892	Change	changed to "3,050] were employed in the United
		States."
893	Change	"total employees, approximately 3,850" changed
		to "total employees, approximately [3,850"
894	Change	"3,850 work in Grace Davison facilities," changed
		to "3,850] work in Grace Davison facilities,"
895	Change	"Davison facilities, approximately 1,850" changed
		to "Davison facilities, approximately [1,850"
000	Change	"1,850 work in GCP facilities, and approximately"
896	Change	changed to "1,850] work in GCP facilities, and
		approximately"
897	Change	"GCP facilities, and approximately 800" changed
		to "GCP facilities, and approximately [800"
000	Change	"800 are dedicated to corporate activities"
898		changed to "800] are dedicated to corporate
		activities"
000 000	Change	"borrowing facility. AsGrace Davison operated"
899-900		changed to "borrowing facility. AsGrace
		Davison operated"
901	Change	"Grace Davison operated 40" changed to "Grace
		Davison operated [40"
902	Change	"40 facilities in the following regions:" changed to
002	Change	"40] facilities in the following regions:"
903	Change	"15" changed to "[15"
904	Insertion	[15]
905	Change	"12" changed to "[12"
906	Insertion	[12]
907	Change	"2" changed to "[2"
908	Insertion	2]
909	Change	"11" changed to "[11"
910	Insertion	11]
911-912	Change	"As of December 31, 2007, GCP operated out of"
		changed to "As of December 31, 200[7], GCP
		operated out of"
913	Change	"GCP operated out of 55" changed to "GCP
		operated out of [55"
914	Change	"55 facilities in the following regions:" changed to
		"55] facilities in the following regions:"

915	Change	"23" changed to "[23"
916	Insertion	23]
917	Change	"13" changed to "[13"
918	Insertion	13]
919	Change	"3" changed to "[3"
920	Insertion	3]
921	Change	"16" changed to "[16"
922	Insertion	16]
923-924	Change	"consisting of asbestiform amphiboles. 12" changed to "consisting of asbestiform amphiboles. 13"
925-926	Change	"12 Asbestiform amphibole impurities" changed to "13 Asbestiform amphibole impurities"
927	Change	"Claimants injured by exposure to asbestos" changed to "Claimants allegedlyby exposure to asbestos"
928-929	Change	"Montana (the "Libby Claimants") ¹³ " changed to "Montana (the "Libby Claimants") ¹⁴ "
930-931	Change	"13 As identified in the Amended and" changed to "14 As identified in the Amended and"
932-933	Change	"defined in federal regulations. ¹⁴ " changed to "defined in federal regulations. ¹⁵ "
934-935	Change	"14 Under federal regulations, "materials"" changed to "15 Under federal regulations, "materials""
936-937	Change	"were pending against the Debtors. 15" changed to "were pending against the Debtors. 16"
938-939	Change	"15 One personal injury case is on" changed to "16 One personal injury case is on"
940-941	Change	"buildings. On the Petition Date, 16" changed to "buildings. On the Petition Date, 17"
942-943	Change	"16 Prior to the Petition Date, out" changed to "17 Prior to the Petition Date, out"
944-945	Change	"below) pending against the Debtors. 17" changed to "below) pending against the Debtors. 18"
946-947	Change	"17 Plaintiffs in these eight traditional" changed to "18 Plaintiffs in these eight traditional"
948-949	Change	"prior to the March 2003 Bar Date. 18" changed to

		"prior to the March 2003 Bar Date. 19"
		"18 Of these approximately 4,300 Asbestos"
950-951	Change	changed to "19 Of these approximately 4,300 Asbestos"
952-953	Change	"located in the State of Georgia, 19" changed to "located in the State of Georgia, 20"
954-955	Change	"19 The claimant appealed the order" changed to "20 The claimant appealed the order"
956-957	Change	"As of December 17, 2008,the reclassification," changed to "As of February 2, 2009,the reclassification,"
958-959	Change	"settlement of claims,non-settled Asbestos PD" changed to "settlement of claims,non-settled Asbestos PD" Asbestos PD"
960-961	Change	"buildings located inlocated in the United" changed to "buildings located inlocated in the United"
962	Insertion	the motion for leave toon January 13, 2009.
963	Change	"reconsideration. The ZAIhave indicated they" changed to "reconsideration. The ZAIclaimants indicated they"
964-965	Change	"indicated they stillsuch opinion and order" changed to "indicated they intendedsuch opinion and order"
966-967	Change	"such opinion and orderbecomes a final order." changed to "such opinion and orderagainst the Debtors."
968-969	Change	"certification of ahearing. On November" changed to "certification of ahearing. On November"
970	Change	"the Debtors, the Equitythe US ZAI Claimants" changed to "the Debtors, the Equitythe US ZAI Claimants"
971	Change	"Claims via a class action15, 2008, the US" changed to "Claims via a class action15, 2008, the US"
972	Change	"Preliminary Approval ofwhich motion attached" changed to "Preliminary Approval ofwhich motion attached"
973-974	Change	"proposed class actionon January 13, 2009." changed to "proposed class actionfor April 1, 2009."
975	Insertion	Pursuant to the US ZAIprogram about ZAI.
976	Deletion	MDEQ and the Debtors have settled, contingent on
977	Moved from	Bankruptcy Court approval
978	Change	", the remaining Claims for \$5,167,000," changed

		to "the remaining Claims for \$5,167,000,"	
		"On March 20, 2003, Kaneb filed a Proof"	
979-980	Change	changed to "On March 20, 2003, Kaneb filed a proof"	
981	Deletion	may otherwise have had toand stayed Appeal	
982	Moved from	in the Texas Litigation	
983-984	Change	"because Kaneb will haveagainst the Debtors" changed to "and Kaneb will beagainst the Debtors"	
985-986	Change	"against the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors" changed to "against the Debtors for damages or cost recovery"	
987	Moved to	in the Texas Litigation	
988	Change	"." changed to "or otherwise."	
989-990	Change	"ripe, and therefore no proof of claim" changed to "ripe, and therefore no proof of Claim"	
991	Insertion	action, setoff, andon February 23, 2009.	
992	Change	"Department of Revenue to the Debtors." changed to "Department of Revenue toliability is negligible."	
993-994	Change	"24, 2008 and furtherTrenton, New Jersey in" changed to "24, 2008 and furtherTrenton, New Jersey in"	
995	Insertion	Trenton, New Jersey ina briefing schedule set.	
996	Change	"Payment is collectivelyat \$1,031.2 million" changed to "Payment is collectivelyat \$[1,031.2 million"]	
997	Change	"1,031.2 million (as of" changed to "1,031.2 million] (as of"	
998	Change	"(as of June 30, 2008" changed to "(as of [June 30, 2008"	
999	Change	"June 30, 2008). One of the purposes of the Plan" changed to "June 30, 2008]). One of the purposes of the Plan"	
1000	Deletion	With respect to theCommittee on Taxation.	
1001	Change	"in the amount of approximately \$44 million" changed to "in the amount of approximately \$[44 million"]	
1002	Change	"44 million, the Debtors estimate that they" changed to "44 million], the Debtors estimate that they"	
1003	Change	"have to pay out approximately \$33 million" changed to "have to pay out approximately \$[33 million"]	
1004	Change	"33 million. Of the \$" changed to "33 million]. Of the \$"	
1005	Change	". Of the \$33 million" changed to ". Of the \$[33 million"	

		22 -:11: 4 - D-144: 4 - 4
1006	Change	"33 million, the Debtors estimate that they" changed to "33 million], the Debtors estimate that they"
1007	Change	"that they will be required to pay \$12.5 million" changed to "that they will be required to pay \$[12.5 million"
1008	Change	"12.5 million in state taxes and \$" changed to "12.5 million] in state taxes and \$"
1009	Change	"in state taxes and \$20.5 million" changed to "in state taxes and \$[20.5 million"
1010	Change	"20.5 million in interest accrued to the Petition" changed to "20.5 million] in interest accrued to the Petition"
1011	Change	"As of June 30," changed to "As of [June 30,"
1012-1013	Change	"June 30, 2008, the Debtors had approximately \$" changed to "June 30, 2008], the Debtors had approximately \$"
1014	Change	"the Debtors had approximately \$669.6 million" changed to "the Debtors had approximately \$[669.6 million"
1015	Change	"669.6 million in current liabilities not subject" changed to "669.6 million] in current liabilities not subject"
1016	Change	"become payable within one year after June 30," changed to "become payable within one year after [June 30,"
1017-1018	Change	"June 30, 2008. These liabilities are expected" changed to "June 30, 2008]. These liabilities are expected"
1019	Change	"As of June 30," changed to "As of [June 30,"
1020-1021	Change	"June 30, 2008, the Debtors had approximately \$" changed to "June 30, 2008], the Debtors had approximately \$"
1022	Change	"the Debtors had approximately \$461.8 million" changed to "the Debtors had approximately \$[461.8 million"]
1023	Change	"461.8 million in non-current liabilities not" changed to "461.8 million] in non-current liabilities not"
1024	Change	"Code. This amount consists of \$35.0 million" changed to "Code. This amount consists of \$[35.0 million"]
1025	Change	"35.0 million of deferred income taxes, \$" changed to "35.0 million] of deferred income taxes, \$"
1026	Change	"of deferred income taxes, \$312.2 million" changed to "of deferred income taxes, \$[312.2 million"]

	<u> </u>	010 0
1027	Change	"312.2 million ofrelated to the Debtors" changed to "312.2 million] ofrelated to the
		Debtors"
		"defined-benefit pension plans, \$51.6 million"
1028	Change	changed to "defined-benefit pension plans, \$[51.6]
.020	- Criange	million"
		"51.6 million in other non-current liabilities,"
1029	Change	changed to "51.6 million] in other non-current
		liabilities,"
		"other non-current liabilities, \$62.7 million"
1030	Change	changed to "other non-current liabilities, \$[62.7]
		million"
		"62.7 million of minority interest in consolidated"
1031	Change	changed to "62.7 million] of minorityinterest in
		consolidated"
		"interest in consolidatedand \$0.3 million"
1032	Change	changed to "interest in consolidatedand \$[0.3
		million"
4000	Ola a sa asa	"0.3 million in long-term debt. These liabilities"
1033	Change	changed to "0.3 million] in long-termdebt.
1001	01	These liabilities"
1034	Change	"As of June 30," changed to "As of [June 30,"
4005 4000		"June 30, 2008, as recorded on the Debtors"
1035-1036	Change	changed to "June 30, 2008], as recorded on the
		Debtors"
4007	Charage	"books, there was approximately \$834.6 million"
1037	Change	changed to "books, there was approximately
		\$[834.6 million" "834.6 million of debt and accrued interest."
1038	Chango	changed to "834.6 million] of debt and accrued
1036	Change	interest."
		"Credit Facilities (Class 9) plus \$25.7 million"
1039	Change	changed to "Credit Facilities (Class 9) plus \$[25.7]
1000	Onange	million"
		"25.7 million under drawn letters of credit and"
1040	Change	changed to "25.7 million] under drawn letters of
		credit and"
		"letters of credit and other debt (\$1.5 million"
1041	Change	changed to "letters of credit and other debt (\$[1.5]
	3	million"
1010	Ch an are	"1.5 million Class 2 and \$" changed to "1.5
1042	Change	million] Class 2 and \$"
1042	Change	"Class 2 and \$24.2 million" changed to "Class 2
1043	Change	and \$[24.2 million"
		"24.2 million Class 9). This amount also includes"
1044	Change	changed to "24.2 million] Class 9)amount also
		includes"
1045	Change	"9). This amount also includes \$3.8 million"
	1	- /

		changed to "9). This amount also includes \$[3.8 million"	
1046	Change	"3.8 million of interest relating to such letters" changed to "3.8 million] of interest relating to such letters"	
1047-1048	Change	"calculated at 4.19%, compounded annually. ²⁰ " changed to "calculated at 4.19%, compounded annually. ²¹ "	
1049-1050	Change	"20 Pursuant to Plan Sections 3.1.9" changed to "21 Pursuant to Plan Sections 3.1.9"	
1051	Change	"accrued interest of approximately \$305.1 million" changed to "accrued interest of approximately \$[305.1 million"]	
1052	Change	"305.1 million as of" changed to "305.1 million] as of"	
1053	Change	"as of June 30," changed to "as of [June 30,"	
1054-1055	Change	"June 30, 2008." changed to "June 30, 2008]."	
1056-1057		"discussion of this litigation, see infra section" changed to "discussion of this litigation, see infra Section"	
1058	Change	"As of June 30," changed to "As of [June 30,"	
1059-1060	Change	"June 30, 2008, theapproximately" changed to "June 30, 2008], theapproximately"	
1061	Change	"have established approximately \$100.8 million" changed to "have established approximately \$[100.8 million"]	
1062	Change	"100.8 million infor financial reporting" changed to "100.8 million] infor financial reporting"	
1063	Change	"and related statutory interest (\$30,000" changed to "and related statutory interest (\$[30,000"]	
1064	Change	"30,000 Administrative Expenses, \$" changed to "30,000] Administrative Expenses, \$"	
1065	Change	"Administrative Expenses, \$33.4 million" changed to "Administrative Expenses, \$[33.4 million"	
1066	Change	"33.4 million Priority Tax, \$" changed to "33.4 million] Priority Tax, \$"	
1067	Change	"Priority Tax, \$200,000" changed to "Priority Tax, \$[200,000"	
1068	Change	"200,000 Class 2 and \$" changed to "200,000] Class 2 and \$"	
1069	Change	"Class 2 and \$67.2 million" changed to "Class 2 and \$[67.2 million"	
1070	Change	"67.2 million Class 9). This amount reflects" changed to "67.2 million] Class 9). This amount reflects"	
1071	Change	"As of June 30," changed to "As of [June 30,"	

		HT 20 2000 (1 D 1: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1072-1073	Change	"June 30, 2008, the Debtors had approximately \$" changed to "June 30, 2008], the Debtors had approximately \$"
		11
1074	Change	"the Debtors had approximately \$70.9 million"
1074	Change	changed to "the Debtors had approximately
		\$[70.9 million"
1075	Change	"70.9 million into post-retirement" changed to
1076	Change	"70.9 million] into post-retirement"
1076	Change	"As of June 30," changed to "As of [June 30,"
1077 1070	Change	"June 30, 2008, the Debtors had approximately \$"
1077-1078	Change	changed to "June 30, 2008], the Debtors had
		approximately \$"
4070	Chana	"the Debtors had approximately \$94.4 million"
1079	Change	changed to "the Debtors had approximately
		\$[94.4 million"
4000	01	"94.4 million of unfundedpension arrangements."
1080	Change	changed to "94.4 million] of unfundedpension
		arrangements."
4004		"of the Debtors. Approximately \$15.8 million"
1081	Change	changed to "of the Debtors. Approximately
		\$[15.8 million"
		"15.8 million of this amount relates to due but"
1082	Change	changed to "15.8 million] of this amount relates to
	_	due but"
1083	Change	"As of June 30," changed to "As of [June 30,"
		"June 30, 2008, the Debtors had approximately \$"
1084-1085	Change	changed to "June 30, 2008], the Debtors had
		approximately \$"
		"the Debtors had approximately \$31.2 million"
1086	Change	changed to "the Debtors had approximately
		\$[31.2 million"
		"31.2 million in liabilities to suppliers and"
1087	Change	changed to "31.2 million] in liabilities to suppliers
		and"
		"payable as of the Petition Date (\$100,000"
1088	Change	changed to "payable as of the Petition Date
		(\$[100,000"
		"100,000 Administrative Expense Claims,"
1089	Change	changed to "100,000] Administrative Expense
		Claims,"
		"Administrative Expense Claims, \$200,000"
1090	Change	changed to "Administrative Expense Claims,
		\$[200,000"
4004	Observation	"200,000 Class 1, \$" changed to "200,000] Class
1091	Change	1, \$"
4000		"Class 1, \$600,000" changed to "Class 1,
1092	Change	\$[600,000"
1093	Change	"600,000 Class 2 and \$" changed to "600,000]
	1	

		Class 2 and \$"	
1094	Change	"Class 2 and \$3.03 million" changed to "Class 2 and \$[3.03 million"]	
1095	Change	"3.03 million Class 9). These liabilities will" changed to "3.03 million] Class 9). These liabilities will"	
1096-1097	Change	"rate of 4.19% as of April 2, 2001. ²¹ " changed to "rate of 4.19% as of April 2, 2001. ²² "	
1098-1099	Change	"21 Pursuant to Plan Sections 3.1.9" changed to "22 Pursuant to Plan Sections 3.1.9"	
1100	Change	"As of June 30," changed to "As of [June 30,"	
1101-1102		"June 30, 2008, thisto approximately" changed to "June 30, 2008], thisto approximately"	
1103	Change	"interest amounted to approximately \$10.9 million" changed to "interest amounted to approximately \$[10.9 million"]	
1104	Change	"10.9 million (\$" changed to "10.9 million] (\$"	
1105	Change	"(\$40,000" changed to "(\$[40,000"	
1106	Change	"40,000 related to Administrative Expense" changed to "40,000] related to Administrative Expense"	
1107	Change	"Administrative Expense Claims, \$70,000" changed to "Administrative Expense Claims, \$[70,000"]	
1108	Change	"70,000 related to Class 1 Claims, \$" changed to "70,000] related to Class 1 Claims, \$"	
1109	Change	"related to Class 1 Claims, \$200,000" changed to "related to Class 1 Claims, \$[200,000"	
1110	Change	"200,000 related to Class 2 Claims and \$" changed to "200,000] related to Class 2 Claims and \$"	
1111	Change	"related to Class 2 Claims and \$10.6 million" changed to "related to Class 2 Claims and \$[10.6 million"]	
1112	Change	"10.6 million related to Class 9 Claims)." changed to "10.6 million] related to Class 9 Claims)."	
1113	Change	"As of June 30," changed to "As of [June 30,"	
1114-1115	Change	"June 30, 2008, the Debtors had approximately \$" changed to "June 30, 2008], the Debtors had approximately \$"	
1116	Change	"the Debtors had approximately \$73.0 million" changed to "the Debtors had approximately \$[73.0 million"]	
1117	Change	"73.0 million in otherliabilities (excluding" changed to "73.0 million] in otherliabilities (excluding"	
1118	Change	"Of this amount, approximately \$46.3 million"	

		changed to "Of this amount, approximately \$[46.3 million"
1119	Change	"46.3 million is expected to be classified as" changed to "46.3 million] is expected to be classified as"
1120	Change	"Claims paid on the Effective Date (\$35.8 million" changed to "Claims paid on the Effective Date (\$[35.8 million"
1121	Change	"35.8 million of liabilities plus \$" changed to "35.8 million] of liabilities plus \$"
1122	Change	"of liabilities plus \$10.5 million" changed to "of liabilities plus \$[10.5 million"]
1123	Change	"10.5 million of accrued interest). The remaining" changed to "10.5 million] of accrued The remaining"
1124	Change	""layers" of excess coverage. As of June 30," changed to ""layers" of excess coverage. As of [June 30,"
1125-1126	Change	"June 30, 2008, afterprevious reimbursements" changed to "June 30, 2008], afterprevious reimbursements"
1127	Change	"agreements, there remains\$917 million" changed to "agreements, there remains\$[917 million"
1128	Change	"917 million of excessoperations" changed to "917 million] of excessoperations"
1129	Change	"operations coverage from more than 54" changed to "operations coverage from more than [54"
1130	Change	"54 presently solvent insurers." changed to "54] presently solvent insurers."
1131	Change	"coverage, which is included in the \$917 million" changed to "coverage, which is included in the \$[917 million"]
1132	Change	"917 million referenced immediately above." changed to "917 million] referenced immediately above."
1133-1134	Change	"referenced immediately above. ²² " changed to "referenced immediately above. ²³ "
1135-1136	Change	"22 Pursuant to the settlement agreement," changed to "23 Pursuant to the settlement agreement,"
1137-1138	Change	"settlement agreement, the underwriter has" changed to "settlement agreement, the underwriter had"
1139-1140	Change	"things, the Confirmation Order has not" changed to "things, the Confirmation Order was not"

1141	Change	"not been entered by the Bankruptcy Court"	
		changed to "not entered by the Bankruptcy Court"	
1142	Insertion	the settlement agreement acceptable resolution.	
1143	Change	"The escrow account balance at June 30, 2008" changed to "The escrow account balance at [June 30, 2008"	
1144	Change	"June 30, 2008 was approximately \$" changed to "June 30, 2008] was approximately \$"	
1145	Change	"was approximately \$96.2 million" changed to "was approximately \$[96.2 million"	
1146	Change	"96.2 million, including interest earned on the" changed to "96.2 million], including interest earned on the"	
1147	Change	"with respect to approximately \$483 million" changed to "with respect to approximately \$[483 million"]	
1148	Change	"483 million of excessoperations" changed to "483 million] of excessoperations"	
1149	Change	"a face value of approximately \$253 million" changed to "a face value of approximately \$[253 million"]	
1150	Change	"253 million of excessoperations" changed to "253 million] of excessoperations"	
1151	Change	"As of June 30," changed to "As of [June 30,"	
1152-1153	Change	"June 30, 2008, including the settlement discussed" changed to "June 30, 2008], includingthe settlement discussed"	
1154	Change	"agreements, there remains\$917 million" changed to "agreements, there remains\$[917 million"	
1155	Change	"917 million of excessoperations" changed to "917 million] of excessoperations"	
1156	Change	"coverage for Asbestos PI Claims from 54" changed to "coverage for Asbestos PI Claims from [54"	
1157	Change	"54 presently solvent insurers of which" changed to "54] presently solvent insurers of which"	
1158	Insertion	Pursuant to the Plan, theas defined in the Plan.	
1159	Change	"liabilities, obligationsPayment Agreement, and" changed to "liabilities, obligationsPayment Agreement, and"	
1160	Change	"Effective Date is assumed to be December 31," changed to "Effective Date is assumed to be [December 31,"	
1161-1162	Change	"December 31, 2008." changed to "December 31, 2008]."	
1163	Change	"Effective Date is approximately \$3.20 billion"	

		changed to "Effective Date is approximately	
		\$[3.20 billion"	
1164	Change	"3.20 billion to \$" changed to "3.20 billion] to \$"	
1165	Change	"to \$3.70 billion" changed to "to \$[3.70 billion"	
1166	Change	"3.70 billion, with \$" changed to "3.70 billion], with \$"	
1167	Change	", with \$3.45 billion" changed to ", with \$[3.45 billion"	
1168	Change	"3.45 billion as the midpoint estimate." changed to "3.45 billion] as the midpoint estimate."	
1169-1170	Change	"value divided by (a) its EBITDA ²³ " changed to "value divided by (a) its EBITDA ²⁴ "	
1171-1172	Change	"23 EBITDA is defined as operating" changed to "24 EBITDA is defined as operating"	
1173-1174	Change	"statements) and (b) itsEBITDA, which is based" changed to "statements) and (b) itsEBITDA, which is based"	
1175-1176	Change	"latest twelve months andeach of the Businesses" changed to "latest twelve months andeach of the Businesses"	
1177	Change	"value of obligationsAgreement and (e)" changed to "value of obligationsAgreement and (e)"	
1178	Change	"of the assumed Effective Date of December 31," changed to "of the assumed Effective Date of [December 31,"	
1179-1180	Change	"December 31, 2008, consists of \$" changed to "December 31, 2008], consists of \$"	
1181	Change	"consists of \$1.5 billion" changed to "consists of \$[1.5 billion"]	
1182	Change	"1.5 billion of funded debt and \$" changed to "1.5 billion] of funded debt and \$"	
1183	Change	"of funded debt and \$381 million" changed to "of funded debt and \$[381 million"	
1184	Change	"381 million of Cash uponfrom bankruptcy." changed to "381 million] of Cash uponfrom bankruptcy."	
1185	Change	"estimated to be approximately \$62 million" changed to "estimated to be approximately \$[62 million"]	
1186	Change	"62 million as of" changed to "62 million] as of"	
1187	Change	"as of December 31," changed to "as of [December 31,"	
1188-1189	Change	"December 31, 2008." changed to "December 31, 2008]."	
1190	Change	"non-core liabilities,as of December 31," changed to "non-core liabilities,as of	

		[December 31,"
		"December 31, 2008, is approximately \$" changed
1191-1192	Change	
		to "December 31, 2008], is approximately \$"
1193	Change	"is approximately \$328 million" changed to "is
		approximately \$[328 million"
		"328 million. The actual value of these non-core"
1194	Change	changed to "328 million]. The actual value of
		these non-core"
1195	Insertion	2.11.2.4 Deferred Payment Agreements
		"value of the obligationsAgreement is deducted"
1196	Change	changed to "value of the obligationsAgreement
		is deducted"
		"Value. The five annualcommencing on January"
1197	Change	changed to "Value. The five
		annualcommencing on January"
		"2024 have been discountedto December 31,
1198	Change	2008" changed to "2024 have been discountedto
1130	Orlange	[December 31, 2008"
		"December 31, 2008 at an assumed discount rate
1199	Change	of" changed to "December 31, 2008] at an
1199	Change	
		assumed discount rate of"
1200	Change	"at an assumed discount rate of 10" changed to "at
		an assumed discount rate of [10"
1201	Change	"10%. This discount rate reflects" changed to
	- I a i go	"10]%. This discount rate reflects"
		"asbestos payments and results in a \$338 million"
1202	Change	changed to "asbestos payments and results in a
		\$[338 million"
		"338 million liability on a present value basis"
1203	Change	changed to "338 million] liability on a present
		value basis"
		"liability on a presentat December 31, 2008."
1204-1205	Change	changed to "liability on a presentfinancial
		reports.]"
		"methodology, ranging from\$29 million"
1206	Change	changed to "methodology, ranging from\$[29]
		million"
1207	Change	"29 million to \$" changed to "29 million] to \$"
1208	Change	"to \$74 million" changed to "to \$[74 million"]
		"74 million. This value has been deducted"
1209	Change	changed to "74 million]. This value has been
		deducted"
1210	Change	"Affiliates ranges from approximately \$1.3 billion"
		changed to "Affiliates ranges from\$[1.3 billion"
1211	Chango	"1.3 billion to \$" changed to "1.3 billion] to \$"
	Change	
1212	Change	"to \$1.8 billion" changed to "to \$[1.8 billion"
1213	Change	"1.8 billion. Given that equity interests of"
		changed to "1.8 billion]. Given that equity

		interests of"
1214	Change	"Debtors will have approximately 72.2 million" changed to "Debtors will have approximately [72.2 million"
1215	Change	"72.2 million shares afterfrom bankruptcy." changed to "72.2 million] sharesfrom bankruptcy."
1216	Change	"Value on a share basis ranges from \$18.28" changed to "Value on a share basis ranges from \$[18.28"
1217	Change	"18.28 per share to \$" changed to "18.28] per share to \$"
1218	Change	"per share to \$24.59" changed to "per share to \$[24.59"
1219	Change	"24.59 per share (the "Reorganized Share" changed to "24.59] per share (the "Reorganized Share"
1220-1221	Change	"June 22, 2001 (Docket No. 560). ²⁴ " changed to "June 22, 2001 (Docket No. 560). ²⁵ "
1222-1223	Change	"24 On April 24, 2005, the Bankruptcy" changed to "25 On April 24, 2005, the Bankruptcy"
1224	Change	"3.2.3.3 RepresentativeAsbestos Claimants" changed to "3.2.3.3 RepresentativeAsbestos PI Claimants"
1225-1226	Insertion	3.2.3.4 RepresentativeAsbestos PD Claimants
1227	Insertion	On October 21, 2008, theFCR sought and received
1228	Moved to	Bankruptcy Court approval
1229	Insertion	to employ: (a) Alan B(Docket No. 20573).
1230-1231	Change	"On February 4, 2002,(the "Libby Claimants" changed to "On February 4, 2002, the Libby Claimants"
1232	Change	"Libby Claimants"), filedto clarify the scope" changed to "Libby Claimants filed ato clarify the scope"
1233	Change	"request for a stayCircuit has not yet set" changed to "request for a stayCircuit has not yet set"
1234-1235	Change	"insurance settlement(b) Tempo Master Fund" changed to "insurance settlement(b) Longacre Master Fund"
1236-1237	Change	"Master Fund L.P. andFunding, as successor to" changed to "Master Fund Ltd. as successor to"
		"as successor to the BankFire Insurance

	 		
1239-1240	Change	"an Asbestos PI Settlementclaims of Fireman" changed to "an Asbestos PI Settlementclaims of Fireman"	
1241-1242	Change	"stayed on appeal (see footnote 15 herein)." changed to "stayed on appeal (see footnote 16 herein)."	
1243-1244	Change	"Pursuant to Section 5.6PI Trust Claims shall" changed to "Pursuant to Section 5.6PI Trust Claims shall"	
1245	Change	"Indirect Claimant canClaimant has paid" changed to "Indirect Claimant canClaimant has paid"	
1246-1247	Change	"portion of a liability orof the Asbestos PI Trust" changed to "portion of a liability orthe Asbestos PI Trust"	
1248-1249	Change	"the Asbestos PI Trust respecting an Asbestos PI" changed to "the Asbestos PI Trust had to the Direct"	
1250	Insertion	Claimant	
1251-1252		"greater than the amounthave otherwise been" changed to "greater than the amounthave otherwise been"	
1253	Change	"the full liquidated valueTrust Claim that might" changed to "the full liquidated valueTrust Claim that might"	
1254	Change	"Asbestos PI Trust Claim that might be asserted" changed to "Asbestos PI Trust Claimbe subsequently asserted"	
1255	Change	"asserted against the Asbestos PI Trust." changed to "asserted by the Directthe Asbestos PI Trust."	
1256-1257	Change	"provided in Section 5.10dispute is not resolved" changed to "provided in Section 5.10dispute is not resolved"	
1258-1259	Change	"to Sections 5.11 and 7.6Distribution Procedures." changed to "to Sections 5.11 and 7.6 of the Asbestos PI TDP."	
1260	Change	"The Asbestos PI Trustmay develop and approve" changed to "The Asbestos PI Trustees may develop and approve"	
1261-1262	Change	"and approve a separate proof of claim" changed to "and approve a separate proof of Claim"	
1263-1264	Change	"provisions of Section 5.6(a) shall determine" changed to "provisions of Section 5.6(a) shall determine"	
1265	Change	"(a) shall determine theof such claims;" changed to "(a) shall determine theof such claims;"	
1266	Insertion	valid Asbestos PI Trustan adequate mechanism.	
1267-1268	Change	"Liquidated Claims (asto the above-referenced"	

		changed to "Liquidated Claims (asto the above-referenced"
1269-1270	Change	"conditions of Section 5.6the same treatment" changed to "conditions of Section 5.6the same treatment"
1271-1272	Change	"outlined in Section 5.2Distribution Procedures." changed to "outlined in Section 5.2 of the Asbestos PI TDP."
1273	Insertion	Any claim of Scotts, BNSFof the Asbestos PI TDP.
1274	Insertion	Any claim of a Settledof the Asbestos PI TDP.
1275	Change	"arising out of the StateIndirect PI Trust" changed to "arising out of the StateIndirect PI Trust"
1276-1277	Change	"currently stayed onfootnote 17 herein.)" changed to "currently stayed onfootnote 18 herein.)"
1278-1279	Change	"Bankruptcy Court shallOrder setting forth" changed to "Bankruptcy Court shallOrder setting forth"
1280	Change	"owned by Longacre MasterAsbestos PI Trust" changed to "owned by Longacre MasterAsbestos PI Trust"
1281-1282	Change	"by the Bankruptcy Courtindicated that there" changed to "by the Bankruptcy Courtindicated that there"
1283-1284	Change	"action, the employment ofrecover or offset" changed to "action, the employment ofrecover or offset"
1285	Change	"Debtors in the Plan toagainst Settled Asbestos" changed to "Debtors in the Plan toagainst Settled Asbestos"
1286	Insertion	insurance rights Scottsin Scotts' Adversary.
1287-1288	Change	"23, 2008. As a result,to the District Court" changed to "23, 2008. As a result,to the District Court"
1289-1290	Change	"to the District Court ina pretrial hearing" changed to "to the District Court in A pretrial hearing"
1291-1292	Change	"pretrial hearing for2009 and the trial" changed to "pretrial hearing took2009 and the trial"
1293	Change	"January 21-23, 2009 andon February 19, 2009." changed to "January 21-23, 2009 andon February 19, 2009."
1294-1295	Change	"Asbestos PI Claims (Docket No. 9301). ²⁵ " changed to "Asbestos PI Claims (Docket No. 9301). ²⁶ "

	1	"25 The CMO was amended several times"
1296-1297	Change	changed to "26 The CMO was amended
		several times"
		"1996. The R&E Settlementa significant portion"
1298-1299	Change	changed to "1996. The R&E Settlementa
		significant portion"
1300	Deletion	the research credits inif approved, will result
1301	Moved from	in a refund in the amountof tax plus interest
1302-1303	Change	". The" changed to "was"
1304	Moved to	approved by the Joint Committee on Taxation
4005	Ob an an	"Bankruptcy Court entered an order" changed to
1305	Change	"and the Bankruptcy Court entered an order"
1306	Deletion	R&E Settlement (Docketthe settlement has been
1307	Moved from	approved by the Joint Committee on Taxation
1308	Insertion	. The R&E Settlement resulted
4000	01	"." changed to "in a refund in the amountof tax
1309	Change	plus interest."
		"chapter 11 case. Thefor investigating both"
1310-1311	Change	changed to "chapter 11 case. Thefor
		investigating both"
4040	Ob an an	"resolve their claimsto settle those" changed to
1312	Change	"resolve their claimsto settle those"
		"paid to Tersigni. Theby both the Connecticut"
1313-1314	Change	changed to "paid to Tersigni. Theby both the
	J. a. igo	Connecticut"
		"bankruptcy court and the Bankruptcy Court."
1315	Change	changed to "bankruptcy court and thean
		examiner was vacated."
		"Statement and relatedof the Previous Plans"
1316	Change	changed to "Statement and relatedof the
		Previous Plans"
		"Bar Date of August 31, 2009, and a notice
1317	Change	program" changed to "Bar Date of August 31,a
		notice program"
		"a notice program,to comprehensively reach"
1318	Change	changed to "a notice program intendedto
		comprehensively reach"
		"unknown Holders of CDNof the Plan shall"
1319	Change	changed to "unknown Holders of CDNof the
		Plan shall"
1320-1321		"accordance with the PlanOrder setting forth"
	Change	changed to "accordance with the PlanOrder
		setting forth"
1322	Change	"If the Canadianshall be separately" changed to
1022	Change	"CDN ZAI PD Claims shall be separately"
1323-1324	Change	"4. SUMMARY OF THE PLAN ²⁶ "
		changed to "4. SUMMARY OF THE

		PLAN ²⁷ "
		"26 The section number references in"
1325-1326	Change	changed to "27 The section number references in"
		"number references in thisnumbers of the Plan."
1327-1328	Change	changed to "number references in thisnumbers of the Plan."
1329-1330	Change	"this Disclosure Statement correlates" changed to "this Disclosure Statement corresponds"
1331-1332	Change	"this Disclosure Statement correlates" changed to "this Disclosure Statement corresponds"
1333	Change	"Expense Claims to be approximately \$33.8 million" changed to "Expense Claims to be approximately \$[33.8 million"]
1334	Change	"33.8 million. Of this amount, approximately" changed to "33.8 million]. Of this amount, approximately"
1335	Change	"Of this amount, approximately \$30.0 million" changed to "Of this amount, approximately \$[30.0 million"
1336	Change	"30.0 million relates toaccrual of professional" changed to "30.0 million] relates toaccrual of professional"
1337	Change	"will be incurred. The remaining \$1.8 million" changed to "will be incurred. The remaining \$[1.8 million"
1338	Change	"1.8 million includes approximately \$" changed to "1.8 million] includes approximately \$"
1339	Change	"includes approximately \$1.6 million" changed to "includes approximately \$[1.6 million"
1340	Change	"1.6 million of administrative" changed to "1.6 million] of administrative"
1341-1342	Change	"of administrative environmental" changed to "of administrative Environmental"
1343	Change	"Claims and unliquidated amounts of \$25,197" changed to "Claims and unliquidated amounts of \$[25,197"]
1344	Change	"25,197 that would be Administrative Expense" changed to "25,197] that would be Administrative Expense"
1345	Change	"and when allowed. The remaining \$112,524" changed to "and when allowed. The remaining \$[112,524"]
1346	Change	"112,524 of Allowed Administrative Expense" changed to "112,524] of Allowed Administrative Expense"

1347	Change	"Tax Claims to be approximately \$33.0 million" changed to "Tax Claims to be approximately \$[33.0 million"
1348	Change	"33.0 million, which relates to state tax claims" changed to "33.0 million], which relates to state tax claims"
1349-1350	Change	"claims to be paid at emergence. ²⁷ " changed to "claims to be paid at emergence. ²⁸ "
1351-1352	Change	"27 Each of these figures in this Section" changed to "28 Each of these figures in this Section"
1353	Change	"estimate that there is approximately \$709,873" changed to "estimate that there is approximately \$[709,873"]
1354	Change	"709,873 of Allowed Priority Claims, plus" changed to "709,873] of Allowed Priority Claims, plus"
1355	Change	"includes unliquidated liabilities of \$500,000" changed to "includes unliquidated liabilities of \$[500,000"]
1356	Change	"500,000 that would be Class 1 Claims if" changed to "500,000] that would be Class 1 Claims if"
1357	Change	"Claims if and when Allowed. As of June 30," changed to "Claims if and when Allowed. As of [June 30,"
1358-1359	Change	"June 30, 2008, the estimated amount of Allowed" changed to "June 30, 2008], the estimated amount of Allowed"
1360-1361	Change	"including interest, wasunimpaired. The Holders" changed to "including interest, wasunimpaired. The Holders"
1362	Change	"Effective Date to be approximately \$5.7 million" changed to "Effective Date to be approximately \$[5.7 million]"
1363	Change	"5.7 million plus interest at the applicable" changed to "5.7 million] plus interest at the applicable"
1364	Change	"includes unliquidated liabilities of \$1.7 million" changed to "includes unliquidatedof \$[1.7 million"
1365	Change	"1.7 million that would be Class 2 Claims if" changed to "1.7 million] that would be Class 2 Claims if"
1366	Change	"Claims if and when Allowed. As of June 30," changed to "Claims if and when Allowed. As of [June 30,"

		"Turno 20, 2000 the
1367-1368	Change	"June 30, 2008, the estimated amount of Allowed" changed to "June 30, 2008], the estimated amount of Allowed"
1369	Change	"including interest, was\$6.4 million" changed to "including interest, was\$[6.4 million"
1370	Change	"6.4 million. To the extent an asserted Secured" changed to "6.4 million]. To the extent an asserted Secured"
1371	Change	"Employee Benefit Claims, as of June 30," changed to "Employee Benefit Claims, as of [June 30,"
1372-1373	Change	"June 30, 2008, to be approximately \$" changed to "June 30, 2008], to be approximately \$"
1374	Change	"to be approximately \$165.3 million" changed to "to be approximately \$[165.3 million"
1375	Change	"165.3 million." changed to "165.3 million]."
1376-1377	Change	". ²⁸ " changed to ". ²⁹ "
1378-1379	Change	"28 This figure is consistent with" changed to "29 This figure is consistent with"
1380	Change	"amount includes approximately \$70.9 million" changed to "amount includes approximately \$[70.9 million"
1381	Change	"70.9 million of post-retirement benefits other" changed to "70.9 million] of post-retirement benefits other"
1382	Change	"2.9.3.3 supra and approximately \$94.4 million" changed to "2.9.3.3 supra and approximately \$[94.4 million"]
1383	Change	"94.4 million of unfundedpension arrangements" changed to "94.4 million] of unfundedpension arrangements"
1384	Change	"Asbestos PI Trustallowed pursuant" changed to "Asbestos PI Trustallowed pursuant"
1385-1386	Change	"by the Asbestos PI TrustPI Trust Assets as" changed to "by the Asbestos PI TrustPI Trust Assets as"
1387	Change	"and to the extentAsbestos PI Claims shall" changed to "and to the extentAsbestos PI Claims shall"
1388	Change	"Trust pursuant to the terms of the TDP." changed to "Trust pursuant to theof the Asbestos PI TDP."
1389	Change	"Holder of an Asbestos PIor not such Asbestos PI" changed to "Holder of an Asbestos PIor not such Asbestos PI"
1390	Change	"Court or agreement of theto the Asbestos PI Trust" changed to "Court or agreement of theto the Asbestos PI Trust"

		WALL DITT A LITTER W
1391	Change	"Asbestos PI Trust Agreement, and the TDP." changed to "Asbestos PI Trustand the Asbestos PI TDP."
1392	Insertion	PD Claims against theagainst the Debtors.
1393	Change	"Each Holder of anas of the Effective" changed to "Each Holder of anas of the Effective"
1394-1395	Change	"Allowed Asbestos PD Claim in full in Cash" changed to "Allowed Asbestos PD Claim in full, in Cash,"
1396	Moved to	by the Asbestos PD Trust
1397	Change	", pursuant to the terms of the respective" changed to "as and when due, withoutterms of the respective"
1398	Moved from	stipulation, order, orby the Asbestos PD Trust
1399-1400	Change	"pursuant to the terms of the Asbestos PD Trust" changed to "and the terms of the Asbestos PD Trust"
1401	Change	"the Asbestos PD Trust Asbestos PD Claims" changed to "the Asbestos PD Trust Asbestos PD Claims"
1402	Change	". Asbestos PD Claimsunresolved prior to the" changed to ". Asbestos PD Claims inunresolved prior to the"
1403	Change	"unresolved prior to thein Exhibit 21 in" changed to "unresolved prior to thein Exhibit 21 in"
1404	Change	"Exhibit Book. Suchthe "Unresolved Asbestos" changed to "Exhibit Book. Suchthe "Unresolved Asbestos"
1405-1406	Change	"Plan, the Court shallfor determining" changed to "Plan, the Court shallfor determining"
1407	Change	"All Allowed Asbestos PDin full by the Asbestos" changed to "All Allowed Asbestos PDin full by the Asbestos"
1408	Change	"from the Asbestos PDof Demands as Asbestos" changed to "from the Asbestos PDof Demands as Asbestos"
1409-1410	Change	"of Demands as Asbestos PDto Demands related" changed to "of Demands as Asbestos PDto Demands related"
1411-1412	Change	"Demands related toPlan does not constitute" changed to "Demands related toPlan does not constitute"
1413	Change	"which did not have another Asbestos PD Claim" changed to "which did not have an allowable Asbestos PD Claim"
1414	Change	"Asbestos PD Claim againstas of the Effective" changed to "Asbestos PD Claim inas of the

		Effective"
1415	Insertion	All Asbestos PD Claims inpursuant to the Plan.
1416	Insertion	The votes of allof the Bankruptcy Code.
		"the Holder of an Asbestosof such Asbestos PD"
1417	Change	changed to "the Holder of an Asbestosof such
	- Criainge	Asbestos PD"
		"the Asbestos PD Trustby the Bankruptcy"
1418	Change	changed to "the Asbestos PD Trustby the
		Bankruptcy"
1419	Deletion	Class 7 is unimpairedthe Confirmation Date.
1420	Insertion	The sole recourse of theand the ZAI TDP.
		"CDN ZAI PD Claims shallCDN ZAI PD Claims
1421	Change	Fund" changed to "CDN ZAI PD Claims
	o nango	shallCDN ZAI PD Claims Fund"
		"terms above) to be approximately \$1,155.8
1422	Change	million" changed to "terms above) to
] 5.	be\$[1,155.8 million"
4.400	OI	"1,155.8 million as of" changed to "1,155.8
1423	Change	million] as of"
1424	Change	"as of June 30," changed to "as of [June 30,"
		"June 30, 2008. ²⁹ " changed to "June 30,
1425-1426	Change	2008]. ³⁰ "
		"29 This figure is consistent with" changed to
1427-1428	Change	
	_	"30 This figure is consistent with"
1429	Change	"Credit Facilities and\$305.1 million" changed
	J J -	to "Credit Facilities and\$[305.1 million"
4.400	Ola a sa as a	"305.1 million of accrued interest, approximately"
1430	Change	changed to "305.1 million] of accrued interest,
		approximately"
1404	Change	"accrued interest, approximately \$154.4 million"
1431	Change	changed to "accrued interest, approximately
		\$[154.4 million" "154.4 million ofClaims, approximately"
1432	Change	changed to "154.4 million] ofClaims,
1432	Change	approximately"
		"Environmental Claims, approximately \$27.6
1433	Change	million" changed to "Environmental
1400	Orlange	Claims,\$[27.6 million"
		"27.6 million under drawn letters of credit and"
1434	Change	changed to "27.6 million] under drawn letters of
	Change	credit and"
1435		"letters of credit and other debt (\$24.2 million"
	Change	changed to "letters of credit and other debt
		(\$[24.2 million"
		"24.2 million of principal plus \$" changed to
1436	Change	"24.2 million] of principal plus \$"
1437	Change	"of principal plus \$3.4 million" changed to "of
	1	

		principal plus \$[3.4 million"
		"3.4 million of accrued interest), approximately"
1438	Change	* **
	Change	changed to "3.4 million] of accrued interest), approximately"
1420	Change	"accrued interest), approximately \$40.9 million"
1439	Change	changed to "accrued interest), approximately
		\$[40.9 million"
1440	Change	"40.9 million of accounts payable (\$" changed to
	J	"40.9 million] of accounts payable (\$"
1441	Change	"of accounts payable (\$30.3 million" changed to
	3 3 3	"of accounts payable (\$[30.3 million"
1442	Change	"30.3 million of principal plus \$" changed to
	Gridinge	"30.3 million] of principal plus \$"
1443	Change	"of principal plus \$10.6 million" changed to "of
1440	Onango	principal plus \$[10.6 million"
		"10.6 million of accruedand approximately"
1444	Change	changed to "10.6 million] of accruedand
		approximately"
1445	Change	"accrued interest) and\$127.9 million" changed
1443	Change	to "accrued interest) and\$[127.9 million"
1446	Change	"127.9 million of other liabilities (\$" changed to
1440	Change	"127.9 million] of other liabilities (\$"
1447	Change	"of other liabilities (\$67.2 million" changed to "of
1447	Change	other liabilities (\$[67.2 million"
4.440	Charage	"67.2 million of tax reserves and \$" changed to
1448	Change	"67.2 million] of tax reserves and \$"
4.440	Ob and and	"of tax reserves and \$58.5 million" changed to "of
1449	Change	tax reserves and \$[58.5 million"
		"58.5 million of other accrued liabilities plus"
1450	Change	changed to "58.5 million] of other accrued
		liabilities plus"
		"other accrued liabilities plus \$2.2 million"
1451	Change	changed to "other accrued liabilities plus \$[2.2]
		million"
		"2.2 million of interest)." changed to "2.2
1452	Change	million] of interest)."
		"subject to the issuanceof the Share Issuance"
1453-1454	Change	changed to "subject to the issuanceof the Share
1 100 1 10 1	Onlange	Issuance"
		"of the Share Issuanceimpaired. The Debtors"
1455	Change	changed to "of the Share Issuanceimpaired.
		The Debtors"
	Change	"to Claims (other thanProsecution of
1456		Disputed changed to to Claims (other
1430		thanProsecution of Disputed"
		"any Claims, other thanotherwise provided"
1457	Change	changed to "any Claims, other thanotherwise
1437	Change	provided"
		proviucu

		"Plan Claims (other thanstill pending allowance"
1458	Change	changed to "Plan Claims (other thanstill
		pending allowance"
		"Plan Claims (other thanthe Debtors have
1459	Change	already" changed to "Plan Claims (other thanthe
		Debtors have already"
		"Plan Claims (other thanif they have determined"
1460	Change	changed to "Plan Claims (other thanif they have
		determined"
		"Asbestos PI Trust Agreement and the TDP."
1461	Change	changed to "Asbestos PI Trustand the Asbestos
		PI TDP."
1462	Change	"Asbestos PD Trust Agreement and the" changed
1402	Change	to "Asbestos PD Trust Agreement and (a) in the"
		"the PD Case Management Order setting forth"
1463-1464	Change	changed to "the case of Asbestos PDOrder
		setting forth"
		"of Unresolved Asbestos PD Claims." changed to
1465	Change	"of Unresolved Asbestos PDPD Claims in Class
		7B."
		"the Asbestos PD Claims inor reject the Plan for"
1466	Change	changed to "the Asbestos PD Claims inor reject
		the Plan for"
		"Code as described inthe Debtors have agreed"
1467-1468	Change	changed to "Code as described inthe Debtors
		have agreed"
		"Classes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7,Plan Claims and Equity"
1469-1470	Change	changed to "Classes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,Plan Claims
		and Equity"
		"such Classes (except forto section 524(g)"
1471	Change	changed to "such Classes (except forto section
		524(g)"
		"thereunder. The issuanceto the Asbestos PI
1472	Change	Trust" changed to "thereunder. The issuanceof
		the Asbestos PI Trust"
4.470		"Asbestos PI TrustShare Issuance Agreement"
1473	Change	changed to "Asbestos PI Trust and theShare
		Issuance Agreement"
4 4 7 4		"to the Share Issuancebe subject to or trigger"
1474	Change	changed to "to the Share Issuancebe subject to
		or trigger"
4 475		"lower the Beneficialin the Rights Agreement"
1475	Change	changed to "lower the Beneficialin the Rights
		Agreement"
4.470	Change	"Stock, other thanto purchase or acquire,"
1476	Change	changed to "Stock, other thanto purchase or
4 4 7 7	Change	acquire,"
1477	Change	"options (other thanor other rights (whether"

		changed to "options (other thanor other rights (whether"
1478-1479	Insertion	4.7.1.5 Tradingon Parent Common Stock
1480	Insertion	Following the Effective32 in the Exhibit Book.
1481	Change	"Asbestos PI Trustsuch a way that provides" changed to "Asbestos PI Trustsuch a way that provides"
1482	Change	"Cryovac Payment (reducedamount of Cryovac, Inc." changed to "Cryovac Payment (reducedamount of Cryovac, Inc."
1483	Insertion	s transfers
1484-1485	Change	"Asbestos PD Trust as partPayment) directly to the" changed to "Asbestos PD Trust as partPayment) directly to the"
1486	Change	"the Asbestos PI Trust and Cryovac. Inc." changed to "the Asbestos PI Trust and Cryovac., Inc."
1487	Insertion	s transfers
1488-1489	Change	"Asbestos PD Trust described in section" changed to "Asbestos PD Trust described in Section"
1490	Insertion	ections
1491	Change	"7.3.2 of the Plan, the Plaintiffs shall" changed to "7.3.2(a) and 7.3.2(b) ofthe Plaintiffs shall"
1492	Change	"Fresenius Paymentthe amount of Fresenius" changed to "Fresenius Paymentamount of Fresenius"
1493-1494	Change	"Asbestos PD Trust as partPayment) directly to the" changed to "Asbestos PD Trust as partPayment) directly to the"
1495	Change	"further notice, action,of Action shall be" changed to "further notice, action,of Action shall be"
1496	Change	"Bankruptcy Code withof Action, with the" changed to "Bankruptcy Code withof Action, with the"
1497	Change	"exclusive right toof Action, and the" changed to "exclusive right toof Action, and the"
1498	Change	"proceeds of theof Action shall be" changed to "proceeds of theof Action shall be"
1499	Change	"4.7.2.6 Creation and Termination of the TAC" changed to "4.7.2.6 Creation andof the Asbestos PI TAC"
1500	Change	"The Trust Advisory Committee shall be" changed to "The Asbestos PI Trust Advisory Committee shall be"
1501	Change	"Asbestos PI Trusthave four members and" changed to "Asbestos PI Trusthave four members and"

1502	Change	"Date, the initial membersselected by the Asbestos" changed to "Date, the initial
		membersselected by the Asbestos"
1503	Change	"termination of thebe deemed dissolved and" changed to "termination of thebe deemed dissolved and"
1504	Change	"shall be deemed dissolvedreleased and discharged" changed to "shall be deemed dissolvedreleased and discharged"
1505	Change	"enter into a cooperationform included as Exhibit" changed to "enter into a cooperationform included as Exhibit"
1506	Change	"Asbestos PI Trust,Trust Causes of Action." changed to "Asbestos PI Trust,Trust Causes of Action."
1507	Change	"and satisfy all Asbestosas applicable, with" changed to "and satisfy all Asbestosas applicable, with"
1508	Change	"Agreement, the PDOrders by the Bankruptcy" changed to "Agreement, the PDOrders by the Bankruptcy"
1509	Change	"present and futureDemands, if any, that" changed to "present and futureDemands, if any, that"
1510	Change	"Bankruptcy Code §manage, and maximize" changed to "Bankruptcy Code §manage, and maximize"
1511-1512	Change	"Asbestos PD Claimstimes as a "qualified" changed to "Asbestos PD Claimstimes as a "qualified"
1513-1514	Change	"regulations issued pursuant to Section" changed to "regulations issued pursuant to section"
1515-1516	Change	"ection 468B of the IRC;carry out the provisions" changed to "ection 468B of the IRC;carry out the provisions"
1517	Change	"the Asbestos PD Trustagreements into which" changed to "the Asbestos PD Trustagreements into which"
1518	Change	"shall be collectively comprised of (i) the" changed to "shall be collectivelypursuant to (i) the"
1519-1520	Change	"(i) the Asbestos PDwhich is an amount" changed to "(i) the Class 7A Asbestoswhich is an amount"
1521-1522	Change	"amount in Cash equal toagreed to by the Parent," changed to "amount in Cash equal toagreed to by the Parent,"
1523	Change	"of the Asbestos PD Trustequally by Cryovac,"

		changed to "of the Asbestos PD Trustequally by Cryovac,"
1524-1525	Change	"Effective Date, provided,to the Asbestos PD Trust" changed to "Effective Date, provided,to the Asbestos PD Trust"
1526	Change	"to the Asbestos PD Trust65% of the Fresenius" changed to "to the Asbestos PD Trust65% of the Fresenius"
1527-1528	Change	"exceed 65% of thethe Asbestos PD Trust on" changed to "exceed 65% of thethe Asbestos PD Trust on"
1529-1530	Change	"the Asbestos PD Trust onof the Effective Date." changed to "the Asbestos PD Trust onTrust Causes of Action."
1531	Change	"directly to the Asbestosof the amount of the" changed to "directly to the Asbestosof the amount of the"
1532-1533	Change	"share of the amount ofand Fresenius shall" changed to "share of the amount ofand Fresenius shall"
1534	Change	"directly to the Asbestosof the amount of the" changed to "directly to the Asbestosof the amount of the"
1535-1536	Change	"share of the amount ofPayment; and (ii)" changed to "share of the amount ofCDN ZAI PD Claims); (ii)"
1537	Change	"(ii) Grace-Conn or the Parent, on behalf" changed to "(ii) the Asbestos PDor the Parent, on behalf"
1538	Change	"transfer to the Asbestosas set forth in the CDN" changed to "transfer to the Asbestosas set forth in the CDN"
1539	Moved to	transferred to the CDNthe Effective Date,
1540	Insertion	Grace-Conn or ParentPD Trust Agreement.
1541-1542	Insertion	4.7.3.4 Assignment andTrust Causes of Action
1543	Insertion	On the Effective Date, byEntity Injunction.
1544	Change	"Appointment and Termination of Asbestos" changed to "4.7.3.4 Appointment and Termination of Asbestos"
1545-1546	Change	"The initial Asbestos PD Trustee" changed to "The initial Class 7A Trustee"
1547-1548	Change	"Trustee of the Asbestos PD Trust" changed to "Trustee (as defined in the Asbestos PD Trust"
1549	Change	"the Asbestos PD Trust shall be" changed to "the Asbestos PD TrustPD Trust shall be"
1550-1551	Change	"shall be the personPD Trust Agreement." changed to "shall be the personPD Trust Agreement."

		"Asbestos PD Trust, thebe deemed terminated"
1552-1553	Change	changed to "Asbestos PD Trust, thebe deemed
1002 1000	Onlange	terminated"
1554-1555	Insertion	4.7.3.6 Creation andAttic Insulation TAC
1556	Insertion	The Zonolite Atticthe Chapter 11 Cases.
1330	IIISCITIOII	"Asbestos PI Trustto Holders of Asbestos"
1557	Change	changed to "Asbestos PI Trustto Holders of
1337	Change	Asbestos"
		"be made by the Asbestoswith the Asbestos"
1558	Change	changed to "be made by the Asbestoswith the
1336	Change	Asbestos"
		"Agreement, PD SettlementOrders of the
1550 1560	Change	Bankruptcy" changed to "Agreement, PD
1559-1560	Change	SettlementOrders of the Bankruptcy"
1561 1562	Chango	"of the Bankruptcy Courtto Holders of CDN ZAI" changed to "of the Bankruptcy Courtto
1561-1562	Change	Holders of CDN ZAI"
1563	Change	"Asbestos PI Trust Agreement and the TDP"
1563	Change	changed to "Asbestos PI Trustand the Asbestos PI TDP"
1564-1565	Change	"TDP, payments to Holders of Asbestos" changed
		to "TDP; payments to Holders of Asbestos"
4500	Ch ava ava	"be made by the Asbestoswith the Asbestos"
1566	Change	changed to "be made by the Asbestoswith the
		Asbestos"
4507	Change	"Agreement, PD SettlementOrders of the
1567		Bankruptcy" changed to "Agreement, PD
		SettlementOrders of the Bankruptcy"
4500 4500	Change	"of the Bankruptcy Courtto Holders of CDN"
1568-1569		changed to "of the Bankruptcy Courtto Holders
		of CDN"
4570 4574	Ch ava ava	"the CDN ZAI Minutes ofDistributions to
1570-1571	Change	Holders" changed to "the CDN ZAI Minutes
		ofDistributions to Holders"
1570	Change	"matters; (j)Payment Agreement, Share"
1572		changed to "matters; (j)Payment Agreement,
		Share" "Share Jayanaa Agraamant Graga Guaranty, tha"
1573	Change	"Share Issuance Agreement, Grace Guaranty, the"
	Change	changed to "Share Issuance Agreement, Grace PI
		Guaranty, the Plan Posistration Rights
1574	Change	"Guaranty, the Plan Registration Rights
13/4	Change	Agreement" changed to "Guaranty, the Grace
		PDRights Agreement"
1575-1576	Change	"Plan Registration Rights Agreement and Warrant"
		changed to "Plan Registration RightsWarrant and the Warrant"
1577	Change	"Warrant; (k) findings relating to the rate"
		changed to "Warrant Agreement; (k)relating to

		the rate"
		"Asbestos PI Trust Agreement and the TDP."
1578	Change	changed to "Asbestos PI Trustand the Asbestos PI TDP."
1579	Change	"PI Channeling InjunctionHolder shall have no" changed to "PI Channeling InjunctionHolder shall have no"
1580	Change	"Asbestos PI Trustand the TDP, including:" changed to "Asbestos PI TrustPI TDP, including:"
1581	Change	"Asbestos PI Trust Agreement and the TDP." changed to "Asbestos PI Trustand the Asbestos PI TDP."
1582	Change	"PI Claims in accordance with the TDP;" changed to "PI Claims in accordance with the Asbestos PI TDP;"
1583	Change	"any Entity (but not theParties), including" changed to "any Entity (but not theParties), including"
1584	Change	"any Entity (but not theParties) including" changed to "any Entity (but not theParties) including"
1585	Insertion	based on any Asbestos PIIndemnified Parties.
1586-1587	Change	"Plan, the Court shallOrder setting forth" changed to "Plan, the Court shallOrder setting forth"
1588	Change	"Claims in accordance withPD Case Management Order" changed to "Claims in accordance with7A Case Management Order"
1589-1590	Change	"Case Management Order torights of Entities with" changed to "Case Management Order orrights of Entities with"
1591	Change	"the CDN ZAI Minutes of Settlement; and" changed to "the CDN ZAI Minutes ofby this Plan; and"
1592	Change	"Asbestos PD Claim or CDN ZAI PD Claim." changed to "Asbestos PD Claim or CDNIndemnified Parties."
1593	Insertion	4.8.4.1 Asbestos Insurance Entity Injunctions
1594	Change	"Asbestos PI Trustthe appropriate Asbestos" changed to "Asbestos PI Trustthe appropriate Asbestos"
1595	Change	"any Entity (but not theParties), including" changed to "any Entity (but not theParties), including"
1596	Change	"any Entity (but not theParties), including" changed to "any Entity (but not theParties), including"

1597-1598	Change	"rights, obligations, andto outstanding claims" changed to "rights, obligations, andto
		outstanding claims"
		"limiting the foregoing, pursuant to section"
1599-1600	Change	changed to "limiting the foregoing, pursuant to
	_	Section"
		"and the Asbestos PD FCR, and each party"
1601	Change	changed to "and the Asbestos PD FCR, and each
		such party"
		"equity, or otherwise,been legally entitled"
1602-1603	Change	changed to "equity, or otherwise,been legally
		entitled"
		"Claims, to the extent such Claims or damages"
1604-1605	Change	changed to "Claims, to the extent such Claims or
		Damages"
1606-1607	Incortion	4.8.8.11 Indemnificationby the Asbestos
1000-1007	IIISEITIOII	PD Trust
1608	Insertion	From and after the8.8.11 of the Plan.
1609-1610	Insertion	4.9.1.1 Assumption Procedures
1611-1612	Insertion	4.9.1.2 Rejection ofand Unexpired Leases
1613	Insertion	On the Effective Date,liability thereunder.
1614	Insertion	The Debtors shall haveparty with such notice.
1615	Insertion	To the extent executory,of the Bankruptcy Court.
1616	Insertion	Pursuant to the terms ofbarred from assertion.
1617	Insertion	The Debtors do notor Unexpired Leases.
1618	Insertion	channeled to the Asbestosto such entity.
1619	Insertion	In addition, on theStock Incentive Plan.
4000 4004	Ob an an	"● payment of statutory fees" changed to "●
1620-1621	Cnange	authority of thetrading restrictions"
		"Plan Documents, andof Action, from and"
1622	Change	changed to "Plan Documents, andof Action,
		from and"
1000 1001	Ob an an	"adjudication by theof Action for later" changed
1623-1624	Change	to "adjudication by theof Action for later"
		"claims, Retained CausesCauses of Action upon
1625-1626	Change	or" changed to "claims, Retained CausesCauses
	3	of Action upon or"
		"Trustees of the AsbestosCommittee, the
1627	Change	Asbestos" changed to "Trustees of the
		AsbestosCommittee, the Asbestos"
		"Trustees of the AsbestosCommittee, the
1628	Change	Asbestos" changed to "Trustees of the
		AsbestosCommittee, the Asbestos"
		"deliver to the AsbestosPD Trust Agreement."
1629	Change	changed to "deliver to the AsbestosPD Trust
		Agreement."
1630	Change	"5.1 Deferred Payment Agreement" changed to
1000	Orlange	"5.1 Asbestos PI Deferred Payment Agreement"

Reorganized Change Reorganized to "Pursuant to the AsbestosAgreement, Reorganized"			"Dynayant to the Defermed Agreement
AsbestosAgreement, Reorganized" "for ten years beginningGrace Guaranty described" changed to "for ten years beginningGrace Guaranty described" "Guaranty described belowin part, the Asbestos" changed to "Guaranty described belowin part, the Asbestos" changed to "Guaranty described belowin part, the Asbestos Pinsertion in part, the Asbestos Pinsertion in part, the Asbestos Pinsertion Agreement 1635 Insertion in part, the Asbestos Pinsertion Agreement 1638 Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7A27 in the Exhibit Book. 1639-1640 Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7B28 in the Exhibit Book. 1641 Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7B28 in the Exhibit Book. 1642 Change "Grace Guaranty" changed to "5.2 Grace Guaranty" 1643 Change "Grace Guaranty" changed to "Grace Guaranty Agreements" 1644 Change "Pursuant to the Gracethe Reorganized Parent" changed to "Pursuant to the Grace Pinsertion Pursuant to the Grace Pinsertion Changed to "full and prompt payment of all deferred" changed to "full and prompt payment of all deferred" changed to "full and prompt payment of all deferred Payments" 1649 Change "eferred Payments" changed to "eferred payments" 1650 Change "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The Changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The Changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The Changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The Changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The Changed to "Agreement described bel	1631	Change	"Pursuant to the DeferredAgreement,
"for ten years beginningGrace Guaranty described" changed to "for ten years beginningGrace Guaranty described" "Guaranty described belowin part, the Asbestos" changed to "Guaranty described belowin part, the Asbestos" changed to "Guaranty described belowin part, the Asbestos" changed to "Guaranty described belowin part, the Asbestos" Change to "In part, the Asbestos PI11 in the Exhibit Book.			
described" changed to "for ten years beginningGrace Guaranty described" "Guaranty described belowin part, the Asbestos" changed to "Guaranty described belowin part, the Asbestos" Insertion in part, the Asbestos PL11 in the Exhibit Book. 1636-1637 Insertion 2.2 Class 7A Asbestos PDPayment Agreement Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7A27 in the Exhibit Book. 1639-1640 Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7B28 in the Exhibit Book. 1641 Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7B28 in the Exhibit Book. 1642 Change "Grace Guaranty" changed to "5.2 Grace Guaranty" 1643 Change "Grace Guaranty" changed to "Grace Guaranty Agreements" 1644 Change "Grace Guaranty" changed to "Grace Guaranty Agreements" 1645 Change "Pursuant to the Gracethe Reorganized Parent" changed to "Pursuant to the Grace PLthe Reorganized Parent" 1646 Change "eferred Payment of all Deferred" changed to "full and prompt payment of all deferred" 1647-1648 Change "eferred Payments" changed to "eferred payments" 1649 Change Change Changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" 1651-1652 Change "A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "A copy of the Exhibit Book. 1653 Change "A copy of the Grace PL15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PL15 in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD20 in the Exhibit Book. 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD20 in the Exhibit Book. 1657 Change "Agreement, TheParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, TheParent and the			
beginningGrace Guaranty described" "Guaranty described belowin part, the Asbestos" changed to "Guaranty described belowin part, the Asbestos" the Asbestos" 1635 Insertion in part, the Asbestos PL11 in the Exhibit Book. 1636-1637 Insertion Agreement 1638 Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7A27 in the Exhibit Book. 1639-1640 Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7A27 in the Exhibit Book. 1641 Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7B28 in the Exhibit Book. 1642 Change "Grace Guaranty" changed to "5.2 Grace Guaranty" 1643 Change "Grace Guaranty" changed to "Grace Guaranty Agreements" 1644 Change "Pursuant to the Gracethe Reorganized Parent" changed to "Pursuant to the Grace PIthe Reorganized Parent" 1645-1646 Change "eferred Payments" changed to "eferred payments" 1647-1648 Change "eferred Payments" changed to "eferred payments" 1649 Change "hoter amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Acopy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit Book. 1651 Deletion is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1652 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement, The Trust and the			
"Guaranty described belowin part, the Asbestos" changed to "Guaranty described belowin part, the Asbestos" changed to "Guaranty described belowin part, the Asbestos" in part, the Asbestos PI11 in the Exhibit Book. 1636-1637 Insertion 5.2 Class 7A Asbestos PDPayment Agreement 1638 Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7A27 in the Exhibit Book. 1639-1640 Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7B28 in the Exhibit Book. 1641 Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7B28 in the Exhibit Book. 1642 Change "Grace Guaranty" changed to "5.2 Grace Guaranty" 1643 Change "Grace Guaranty" changed to "Grace Guaranty Agreements" 1644 Change "Pursuant to the Gracethe Reorganized Parent" changed to "Pursuant to the Grace PIthe Reorganized Parent" 1645-1646 Change "Full and prompt payment of all Deferred" changed to "full and prompt payment of all deferred" changed to "full and prompt payment of all deferred" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" 1650 Change "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" "A copy of the Grace"15 in the Exhibit "changed to "A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace "D29 in the Exhibit Book. 1653 Change "A copy of the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book. 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD20 in the Exhibit Book. 1657 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement, "Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the	1632	Change	
Change Change Change Changed to "Guaranty described belowin part, the Asbestos"			beginningGrace Guaranty described"
the Asbestos" in part, the Asbestos PI11 in the Exhibit Book. 1636-1637 Insertion 5.2 Class 7A Asbestos PDPayment Agreement 1638 Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7A27 in the Exhibit Book. 1639-1640 Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7B28 in the Exhibit Book. 1641 Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7B28 in the Exhibit Book. 1642 Change "Grace Guaranty" changed to "5.2 Grace Guaranty" 1643 Change "Grace Guaranty" changed to "Grace Guaranty Agreements" 1644 Change "Pursuant to the Gracethe Reorganized Parent" changed to "Pursuant to the Grace PIthe Reorganized Parent" 1645-1646 Change "ferred Payments" changed to "ferred Payments" 1647-1648 Change "ferred Payments" changed to "ferred payments" 1649 Change "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" 1650 Change "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" 1651-1652 Change "Acopy of the Grace15 in the Exhibit Changed to "A copy of the Grace" Changed to "Scatisti Is in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD20 in the Exhibit Book 1657 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "S.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the			"Guaranty described belowin part, the Asbestos"
Insertion In part, the Asbestos PI11 in the Exhibit Book.	1633-1634	Change	changed to "Guaranty described belowin part,
1636-1637 Insertion 5.2 Class 7A Asbestos PDPayment Agreement Pursuant to the Class 7A27 in the Exhibit Book. 1639-1640 Insertion 5.3 Class 7B Asbestos PDPayment Agreement Pursuant to the Class 7B28 in the Exhibit Book. 1641 Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7B28 in the Exhibit Book. 1642 Change "Grace Guaranty" changed to "5.2 Grace Guaranty Agreements" 1643 Change "Grace Guaranty" changed to "Grace Guaranty Agreements" "Pursuant to the Gracethe Reorganized Parent" changed to "Pursuant to the Grace PIthe Reorganized Parent" "full and prompt payment of all Deferred" changed to "full and prompt payment of all deferred" 1647-1648 Change "eferred Payments" changed to "eferred payments" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" 1650 Change 1651-1652 Change Tageement described below A copy of the Grace Changed to "A copy of the Grace" changed to "A greement described below A copy of the Grace Changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit Book. 1654 Deletion 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement, theTrust and the			the Asbestos"
1636-1637 Insertion 5.2 Class 7A Asbestos PDPayment Agreement Pursuant to the Class 7A27 in the Exhibit Book. 1639-1640 Insertion 5.3 Class 7B Asbestos PDPayment Agreement Pursuant to the Class 7B28 in the Exhibit Book. 1641 Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7B28 in the Exhibit Book. 1642 Change "Grace Guaranty" changed to "5.2 Grace Guaranty Agreements" 1643 Change "Grace Guaranty" changed to "Grace Guaranty Agreements" "Pursuant to the Gracethe Reorganized Parent" changed to "Pursuant to the Grace PIthe Reorganized Parent" "full and prompt payment of all Deferred" changed to "full and prompt payment of all deferred" 1647-1648 Change "eferred Payments" changed to "eferred payments" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" 1650 Change 1651-1652 Change Tageement described below A copy of the Grace Changed to "A copy of the Grace" changed to "A greement described below A copy of the Grace Changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit Book. 1654 Deletion 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement, theTrust and the	1635	Insertion	in part, the Asbestos PI11 in the Exhibit Book.
Agreement Agreement	1000 1007		
Insertion	1636-1637	Insertion	5
1639-1640 Insertion 5.3 Class 7B Asbestos PDPayment Agreement 1641 Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7B28 in the Exhibit Book. 1642 Change "Grace Guaranty" changed to "5.2 Grace Guaranty" Agreements" 1643 Change Change Change The pursuant to the Gracethe Reorganized Parent Changed to "Pursuant to the Grace PIthe Reorganized Parent" 1644 Change Change 1645-1646 Change The pursuant of the Grace PIthe Reorganized Parent Changed to "Full and prompt payment of all Deferred" Changed to "full and prompt payment of all deferred" 1647-1648 Change The payments Change Change Change Changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" Changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" 1649 Change Change Change Change Changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The Changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The Changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" Change Changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace Changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" Changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit Book Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book Share Issuance Agreement Changed to "S.3 Share Issuance Agreement" Changed to "Agreement, the Trust and the Changed to "Agreement the Changed to "Agreement the Changed to "Agreement the Changed to "Agreement the C	1638	Insertion	<u> </u>
Insertion Agreement			
1641 Insertion Pursuant to the Class 7B28 in the Exhibit Book.	1639-1640	Insertion	
"Grace Guaranty" changed to "5.2 Grace Guaranty"	1641	Insertion	Č
Guaranty" 1643 Change "Grace Guaranty" changed to "Grace Guaranty Agreements" 1644 Change "Pursuant to the Gracethe Reorganized Parent" changed to "Pursuant to the Grace PIthe Reorganized Parent" 1645-1646 Change "full and prompt payment of all Deferred" changed to "full and prompt payment of all deferred" 1647-1648 Change "eferred Payments" changed to "eferred payments" 1649 Change changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" 1650 Change "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" 1651-1652 Change Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit Book. 1654 Deletion is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book 1657 Change "Agreement" Changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" Changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the	1041	mocraon	
"Grace Guaranty" changed to "Grace Guaranty Agreements"	1642	Change	1
Agreements" Pursuant to the Gracethe Reorganized Parent" changed to "Pursuant to the Grace PIthe Reorganized Parent"			<u>, </u>
"Pursuant to the Gracethe Reorganized Parent" changed to "Pursuant to the Grace PIthe Reorganized Parent" 1645-1646 Change "full and prompt payment of all Deferred" changed to "full and prompt payment of all deferred" 1647-1648 Change "eferred Payments" changed to "eferred payments" 1649 Change "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The Agreement accribed below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit Book. 1654 Deletion is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book 1657 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the	1643	Change	
change changed to "Pursuant to the Grace PIthe Reorganized Parent" "full and prompt payment of all Deferred" changed to "full and prompt payment of all deferred" 1647-1648 Change "eferred Payments" changed to "eferred payments" 1649 Change changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" 1650 Change changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" 1651-1652 Change Acopy of the Grace Changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit "or "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit Book. 1654 Deletion is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book. 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book. 1657 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the			č
Reorganized Parent" "full and prompt payment of all Deferred" changed to "full and prompt payment of all deferred" 1647-1648 Change "eferred Payments" changed to "eferred payments" "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" 1654 Deletion 1655 Insertion 1656 Insertion 1657 Change Reorganized Payment of all Deferred" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" "Agreement described below A greement described below A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit below A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit below The Exhibit Book. 1657 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the			"Pursuant to the Gracethe Reorganized Parent"
"full and prompt payment of all Deferred" changed to "full and prompt payment of all deferred" 1647-1648 Change "eferred Payments" changed to "eferred payments" 1649 Change "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" ethanged to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" 1653 Change "A copy of the Grace15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1654 Deletion is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book 1657 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the	1644	Change	
1645-1646 Change changed to "full and prompt payment of all deferred" 1647-1648 Change "eferred Payments" changed to "eferred payments" 1649 Change changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" 1650 Change "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" 1653 Change "A copy of the Grace15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" 1654 Deletion is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book 1657 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the			ě
deferred deferred			
"eferred Payments" changed to "eferred payments" 1649 Change "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" 1650 Change "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" 1651-1652 Change "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" 1653 Change "A copy of the Grace15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" 1654 Deletion is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book 1657 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the	1645-1646	Change	
payments" "other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" Change Change The" "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" Change The Grace The The The The The The The The The Th			deferred"
"other amounts payableand Reorganized" changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" Change "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1654 Deletion is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book 1657 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the	1617 1610	Chango	"eferred Payments" changed to "eferred
Change Change Change Changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" Change Changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" 1650 Change Change Change Changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" Change Changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" 1653 Change Change Change Change Changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" 1654 Deletion Deletion Sexhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book 1657 Change Change Change Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Change Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Change Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Change Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed	1047-1040	Change	payments"
Change Change Change Changed to "other amounts payableand Reorganized" "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" Change Changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" 1650 Change Change Change Changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" Change Changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" 1653 Change Change Change Change Changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" 1654 Deletion Deletion Sexhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book 1657 Change Change Change Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Change Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Change Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Change Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the "Trust and the Changed			
Reorganized" "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" "A copy of the Grace15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" 1654 Deletion is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book 1657 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the	1649	Change	
"its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" 1651-1652 Change "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" 1653 Change "A copy of the Grace15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" 1654 Deletion is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book 1657 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement, changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the			_ · ·
Change changed to "its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The" "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" Change "A copy of the Grace15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" 1654 Deletion is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book 1657 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the			"its other obligationsPayment Agreement. The"
Agreement. The" "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" 1653 Change "A copy of the Grace15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" 1654 Deletion is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book 1657 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the	1650	Change	, ,
"Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" 1653 Change "A copy of the Grace15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" 1654 Deletion is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book 1657 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the		Onango	
Grace" changed to "Agreement described below A copy of the Grace" Change "A copy of the Grace15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" Deletion is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the			<u> </u>
A copy of the Grace" 1653 Change "A copy of the Grace15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" 1654 Deletion is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book 1657 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the	1651-1652	Change	_ =
Change "A copy of the Grace15 in the Exhibit" changed to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" 1654 Deletion is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the	1031-1032		
to "A copy of the Grace PI15 in the Exhibit" 1654 Deletion is Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book. 1655 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book 1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book 1657 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the			1.0
1654Deletionis Exhibit 15 in the Exhibit Book.1655InsertionPursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book1656InsertionPursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book1657Change"Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement""Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the	1653	Change	
1655InsertionPursuant to the Grace PD29 in the Exhibit Book1656InsertionPursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book1657Change"Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement""Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the	1654	Dolotion	
1656 Insertion Pursuant to the Grace PD30 in the Exhibit Book 1657 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the			
1657 Change "Share Issuance Agreement" changed to "5.3 Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the			
Share Issuance Agreement" "Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" change changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the	1656	Insertion	
"Agreement, theParent and the Asbestos" 1658 Change changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the	1657	Change	
1658 Change changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the	1037	- Idingo	
·	1658	Change	changed to "Agreement, theTrust and the
Asbestos"			Asbestos"
1659-1660 Change "and the Asbestos PI Trustamong other things,"	1659-1660	Change	"and the Asbestos PI Trustamong other things,"

	1	changed to "and the Ashestes PD Trust among
		changed to "and the Asbestos PD Trustamong other things,"
1661	Change	"defaults under the termsPayment Agreement" changed to "defaults under the termsPayment Agreement"
1662-1663	Change	"Deferred Paymentdemand the issuance by" changed to "Deferred Paymentdemand the issuance by"
1664	Change	"of the payments pursuantAgreement described" changed to "of the payments pursuantAgreement described"
1665	Change	"Warrant" changed to "5.4 Warrant"
1666	Change	"Plan Registration Rights Agreement" changed to "5.5 Plan Registration Rights Agreement"
1667-1668	Change	"Warrant described abovea Shelf Registration" changed to "Warrant described abovea Shelf Registration"
1669	Change	"Asbestos" changed to "5.6 Asbestos"
1670-1671	Change	"Asbestos PD Note" changed to "Asbestos PI/PD Inter-Creditor Agreement"
1672	Deletion	Pursuant to the terms of7 in the Exhibit Book.
1673	Insertion	Pursuant to the Asbestos26 in the Exhibit Book.
1674	Deletion	The Bankruptcy Court has[], 2009, in the
1675	Moved from	United States Bankruptcy
1676-1677	Change	"Court, 824 Market Street,Delaware 19801." changed to "Confirmation Hearingand resolved in Phase I."
1678	Change	"The Confirmation Hearing may be" changed to "Phase I of theHearing may be"
1679	Insertion	s docket. All hearingsJudith K. Fitzgerald,
1680	Moved to	United States Bankruptcy
1681	Insertion	Judge, in her Courtroombegin at 11:00 a.m.
1682-1683	Change	"United States Trustee onTime. Bankruptcy" changed to "United States Trustee onTime. Bankruptcy"
1684-1685	Change	"consolidated basis forcalendar years 2008 and" changed to "consolidated basis foryears 200[8] and"
1686-1687	Change	"and 2009, taking into account the anticipated" changed to "and 200[9], taking into account the anticipated"
1688-1689	Change	"fiscal years ended31, 2005, December 31," changed to "fiscal years ended31, 200[5], December 31,"
	Change	"December 31, 2006 and December 31," changed
1690-1691	Change	to "December 31, 200[6] and December 31,"

		changed to "and December 31, 200[7]in the Exhibit Book"
1694-1695	Change	"Reports on Form 10-Q for the first and second" changed to "Reports on Form 10-Q for the first, second"
1696	Change	"second quarters ending March 31," changed to "second, and third quarters ending March 31,"
1697-1698	Change	"quarters ending March 31, 2008 and June 30," changed to "quarters ending March 31, 2008, June 30,"
1699-1700	Change	"June 30, 2008 respectively, and any other recent" changed to "June 30, 2008. andand any other recent"
1701	Change	"base salary, annualand other benefits" changed to "base salary, annualand other benefits"
1702	Change	"financial performance(as in effect for the" changed to "financial performance(as in effect for the"
1703-1704	Change	"Debtors intend to convert to a 100% stock" changed to "Debtors intend to convert to a primarily stock"
1705	Change	"stock option-based program for future long" changed to "stock-based program for future long"
1706	Deletion	-based program for futureterm incentive awards.
1707	Moved from	After the Effective Date,
1708-1709	Change	"the Debtors expect toof options expected" changed to "-term incentive awardsof options expected"
1710-1711	Change	"available for issuanceprospectively," changed to "available for issuanceprospectively,"
1712-1713	Change	"outstanding stock optionsvalue of the stock" changed to "outstanding stock optionsvalue of the stock"
1714	Change	"the value of theplan, excluding in" changed to "the value of theplan, excluding in"
1715-1716	Change	"Reports on Form 10-Q for the first and second" changed to "Reports on Form 10-Q for the first, second"
1717	Change	"second quarters ending March 31," changed to "second and third quarters ending March 31,"
1718-1719	Change	"quarters ending March 31, 2008 and June 30," changed to "quarters ending March 31, 2008, June 30,"
1720-1721	Change	"June 30, 2008 respectively, all of which are" changed to "June 30, 2008, andall of which are"
1722-1723	Change	"not satisfy the requirements of Section" changed to "not satisfy the requirements of section"

		"Interest Litigation, see supra Section"
		"Insurers contend that thetheir contractual rights"
1726-1727	Change	changed to "Insurers contend that thetheir
		contractual rights"
		"rights that they may have under Section" changed
1728-1729	Change	to "rights that they may have under section"
1730	Change	"certiorari petition. Thethe setting of a trial"
		changed to "certiorari petition. The trial"
4704 4700	Ch an are	"trial date by the Montana District Court" changed
1731-1732	Change	to "trial is set to commenceMontana District
		Court"
4700		"the Montana Districtto the DOJ, the Debtors"
1733	Change	changed to "the Montana Districtto the DOJ, the
		Debtors"
1734	Change	"United States, with approximately 67" changed
		to "United States, with approximately [67"
1735	Change	"67% of their" changed to "67]% of their"
		"% of their 2007 sales to non-U.S. customers. The"
1736-1737	Change	changed to "% of their 200[7] salesnon-U.S.
		customers. The"
		"Reports on Form 10-Q for the first and second"
1738-1739	Change	changed to "Reports on Form 10-Q for the first,
		second"
1740	Change	"second quarters ending March 31," changed to
17 10		"second and third quarters ending March 31,"
	Change	"quarters ending March 31, 2008 and June 30,"
1741-1742		changed to "quarters ending March 31, 2008, June
		30,"
	Change	"June 30, 2008 respectively, and current reports"
1743-1744		changed to "June 30, 2008, andand current
		reports"
		"the Debtors expect toto realize substantial"
1745-1746	Change	changed to "the Debtors expect toto realize
		substantial"
	Change	"Thus, transfers of CashPayment Agreement
1747		should" changed to "Thus, transfers of
		CashPayment Agreement should"
		"environmental and otherfrom bankruptcy,"
1748-1749	Change	changed to "environmental and otherfrom
		bankruptcy,"
1750	Change	"The long-term tax exempt rate is 4.65" changed
		to "The long-term tax exempt rate is [4.65"
1751	Change	"4.65% for the month of" changed to "4.65]% for
		the month of"
1752	Change	"% for the month of September" changed to "%
		for the month of [September"
1750 1754	Change	"September 2008. Section 383 of the IRC applies"
1753-1754	Change	changed to "September 2008]. Section 383 of the

		IRC applies"
1755	Change	"the Asbestos PI TrustPayment Agreement to be" changed to "the Asbestos PI TrustPayment Agreement to be"
1756-1757	Change	"12.2 Issuance of Plan Securities Under" changed to "12.2 Issuance Of Plan Securities Under"
1758-1759	Change	"Plan Securities Under the" changed to "Plan Securities Under The"
1760-1761	Change	"12.3 Resales of Plan Securities" changed to "12.3 Resales Of Plan Securities"
1762-1763	Change	"Dated:, Respectfully submitted," changed to "Dated:, Respectfully submitted,"

Statistics:	
	Count
Insertions	1134
Deletions	613
Moved from	8
Moved to	8
Style change	0
Format changed	0
Total changes	1763