Objection No.	Objector	Brief Description of Objection	Response	Footnote
1	Indenture Trustee Committee	No liquidation analysis provided	Filed exhibit containing liquidation analysis. See Exhibit B filed July 10, 2009.	1, 2
2	MFC Global  Indenture Trustee	Insufficient detail provided in valuation analysis; valuation analysis inconsistent with statements made by Debtors' management  No valuation provided; no valuation of casino business; no valuation of hotel business; challenges valuation as contrived  No valuation provided; challenges any valuation lower	(1) See Exhibit E filed July 10, 2009  (2) Proprietary information related to business plan cannot be publicly filed. The Debtors believe information provided in the Reorganization Value Analysis prepared by Moelis is more than sufficient for purposes of the Disclosure Statement. Additional information would be proprietary information that would threaten and compromise the Debtors' competitive position in the marketplace if publicly filed.	1, 2
	Committee	than amount of secured creditors' claims		
3	MFC Global	Fails to describe (i) the expected total amount outstanding under the DIP financing facilities as of the Effective Date (and detail the reasons for any change from current amounts outstanding); (ii) the actual amount of prepetition secured debt outstanding (including any outstanding post-petition interest the Lenders claim is due); (iii) the expected amount of administrative claims as of the Effective Date (broken down by vendor or professional); and (iv) the total amount of unsecured debt; alleges violation of section 1129(b) by paying Lenders more than their claim	(1) <u>See</u> Exhibit G to First Amended Disclosure Statement Claims Summary and Estimated Recoveries, containing information regarding amounts of claims receiving distributions, per class, and distribution amounts.  (2) <u>See also</u> pages xii - xiv of the First Amended Disclosure Statement, containing percentages of estimated distribution.	1, 2
	Indenture Trustee	failed to disclose amount of claims in classes and recoveries in plan		

Objection No.	Objector	Brief Description of Objection	Response	Footnote
3 (cont.)	Committee	No information regarding amounts of claims per class and distribution amounts, and alleges violation of section 506 by paying Lenders more than their claim	See preceding response	
4	Indenture Trustee	No value stated attributable to Debtors' gaming license	See Exhibit H to First Amended Disclosure Statement Supplemental Statement of Debtors Regarding Position of Certain Creditors With Respect to Disclosure Statement for Joint Plans of Reorganization	1, 2
	Committee	Alleges that gaming license is unencumbered asset, separate valuation is required, plan would fail section 1129(a)(7) "best interest" test by not allocating license to unsecured creditors, and plan unfairly discriminates, violating section 1122(a), by depriving creditors of value of license		
5	Indenture Trustee	No estimation of value of Avoidance Actions, Causes of Action	Amend to state total amount of 90-day transfers and that analysis of potential defenses has not been performed. See pp. 33 and 43 of First Amended Disclosure Statement	2
	Committee	No estimation of value of Avoidance Actions		
6	MFC Global	Insufficient detail provided to support projections	(1) Exhibit E filed July 10, 2009. (2) Proprietary information related to business plan cannot be publically filed. Public disclosure of Information related to the business plan would compromise the Debtors' competitive position. (3) Objections implicate competitively sensitive information and objecting parties signed confidentiality agreements and/or stipulated to protective orders.	1, 2

Objection No.	Objector	Brief Description of Objection	Response	Footnote
	Indenture Trustee	No future projected income information provided	Exhibit has been filed containing financial projections. See Exhibit D filed July 10, 2009	
6 (cont.)	Committee	No financial projections	Exhibit has been filed containing financial projections. See Exhibit D filed July 10, 2009	
7	Committee	No identification of management of reorganized debtors	(1) See p. 40 of First Amended Disclosure	1
8	Committee	No description of Claims to be released by certain claimants	Amend to state that Debtors are not aware of any claims and have therefore not performed any anlaysis of the merit or value of any claims. See p. 63 of First Amended Disclosure Statement	
9	Committee	No description of Exculpation Claims to be released by certain claimants	Amend to state that Debtors are not aware of any claims and have therefore not performed any anlaysis of the merit or value of any claims. See p. 63 of First Amended Disclosure Statement.	
10	Committee	Section 1113 not explicitly preserved	Amend to include language preserving section 1113. See p. 38 of First Amended Disclosure Statement	
	Indenture Trustee			
11	Committee	Dipsute language regarding participation in plan negotiations	Language stricken. See p. 2 of First Amended Disclosure Statement	1, 2
12	Committee	Inadequate description of Alternative Proposal process	Amended to add reference to on-going sale process. See p. 41 of First Amended Disclosure Statement	

Objection No.	Objector	Brief Description of Objection	Response	Footnote
13	Indenture Trustee	Failure to state cash on-hand	Filed Exhibit containing estimated cash on-hand as of 8/31/09. See Exhibit D filed July 10, 2009.	2
14	Indenture Trustee	Failure to state value of real and personal property	Filed Exhibit containing values of real and personal property. See Exhibit B filed July 10, 2009	2
15	Indenture Trustee	Failure to provide historical financinal information	Filed Exhibit containing Historical Financial Results. See Exhibit F filed July 10, 2009	2
17	Indenture Trustee	No description of uses of funds raised by the Debtors' pre-petition capital structure	See Exhibit J to First Amended Disclosure Statement	2

Footnotes	
1	Footnote 1: This objection is not a proper objection to Debtors' disclosure statement to the extent it raises any issues of fact or law beyond the adequacy of the Debtors' Disclosure Statement
2	Footnote 2: The Plan Proponents reserve all of their rights with respect to challenging the Indenture Trustee's and MFC Global's standing to object to the adequacy of the Disclosure Statement, because, inter alia, both are deemed to reject the Plan and do not vote.