James Hardie Industries N.V. And Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements For the Three Months Ended 30 June 2006

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Index

<u>Pag</u>	<u> e</u>
Item 1. Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of 30 June 2006 and 31 March 2006F-	-3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended 30 June	
2006 and 2005F-	-4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended 30 June	
2006 and 2005F-	-6
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the Three	
Months Ended 30 June 2006F-	-8
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial StatementsF-	.9
Item 2. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	30

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Unaudited)

	Millions of US Dollars					Millions of Australian Dolla				
	30 June 2006		3	31 March 2006) June 2006	31 March 2006			
Assets										
Current assets:			•	0.1= .1						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	175.4	\$	315.1	A\$	236.1	A\$	440.4		
Accounts and notes receivable, net of allowance for										
doubtful accounts of \$1.4 million (A\$1.9 million) and										
\$1.3 million (A\$1.8 million) as of 30 June 2006		450.0		152.2		242.7		214.1		
and 31 March 2006, respectively		158.0		153.2		212.7		173.3		
Inventories		136.9 38.6		124.0		184.3 52.0		47.2		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets Deferred income taxes		36.6 28.2		33.8 30.7				47.2		
						38.0				
Total current assets		537.1		656.8		723.1		917.9		
Property, plant and equipment, net		801.8		775.6		1,079.5		1,083.9		
Deferred income taxes		5.4		4.8		7.3		6.7		
Other assets	_	7.9		8.2		10.6		11.5		
Total assets		1,352.2	\$	1,445.4	A\$	1,820.5	A\$	2,020.0		
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity Current liabilities:										
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	114.5	\$	117.8	A\$	154.2	A\$	164.6		
Current portion of long-term debt		-		121.7		-		170.1		
Short-term debt		137.0		181.0		184.4		252.9		
Dividends payable		18.7		-		25.2		-		
Accrued payroll and employee benefits		37.2		46.3		50.1		64.7		
Accrued product warranties		7.6		11.4		10.2		15.9		
Income taxes payable		33.1		24.5		44.6		34.2		
Other liabilities		3.2		3.3		4.3		4.6		
Total current liabilities		351.3		506.0		473.0		707.0		
Deferred income taxes		86.8		79.8		116.9		111.5		
Accrued product warranties		7.5		4.1		10.1		5.7		
Asbestos provision		742.8		715.6		1,000.0		1,000.0		
Other liabilities		51.0		45.0		68.7		62.9		
Total liabilities		1,239.4		1,350.5	A\$	1,668.7	A\$	1,887.1		
Commitments and contingencies (Note 7)										
Shareholders' equity:										
Common stock, Euro 0.59 par value, 2.0 billion										
shares authorised; 463,326,011 shares issued										
and outstanding at 30 June 2006 and										
463,306,511 shares issued and outstanding	•	252.2	¢.	252.2						
at 31 March 2006 Additional paid-in capital	\$	253.2 159.2	\$	253.2 158.8						
Accumulated deficit		(271.5)		(288.3)						
Employee loans		(271.5)		(266.3)						
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(27.7)		(28.4)						
Total shareholders' equity		112.8		94.9						
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	1,352.2	\$	1,445.4						
Total habilities and shareholders equity	<u> </u>	1,002.2	Ψ	1,440.4						

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Unaudited)

	Three Months				
(Millions of US dollars, except per share data)		2006		2005	
Net sales	\$	415.5	\$	359.4	
Cost of goods sold		(257.8)		(214.1)	
Gross profit		157.7		145.3	
Selling, general and administrative expenses		(51.7)		(45.5)	
Research and development expenses		(7.5)		(6.3)	
SCI and other related expenses		(2.4)		(5.2)	
Impairment loss on business held for sale		-		(1.4)	
Effect of foreign exchange on asbestos provision		(27.2)		-	
Operating income		68.9		86.9	
Interest expense		(5.6)		(1.7)	
Interest income		3.6		1.0	
Income before income taxes		66.9		86.2	
Income tax expense		(32.3)		(30.3)	
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		34.6		55.9	
for stock-based compensation (net of US\$0.4 million of tax)		0.9		-	
Net income	\$	35.5	\$	55.9	
Net income per share - basic	\$	0.08	\$	0.12	
Net income per share - diluted	\$	0.08	\$	0.12	
Weighted average common shares outstanding (Millions):					
Basic		463.3		460.6	
Diluted		466.9		462.7	

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Unaudited)

	Three Months				
		ne			
(Millions of Australian dollars, except per share data)		2006	2	2005	
Net sales Cost of goods sold Gross profit	A\$	556.1 (345.0) 211.1	A\$	467.6 (278.5) 189.1	
Selling, general and administrative expenses Research and development expenses SCI and other related expenses Impairment loss on business held for sale Effect of foreign exchange on asbestos provision		(69.2) (10.0) (3.2) - (36.4)		(59.2) (8.2) (6.8) (1.8)	
Operating income		92.3		113.1	
Interest expense Interest income		(7.5) 4.8		(2.2) 1.3	
Income before income taxes Income tax expense		89.6 (43.3)		112.2 (39.4)	
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		46.3		72.8	
for stock-based compensation (net of A\$0.5 million of tax) Net income	A\$	1.2 47.5	A\$	72.8	
Net income per share - basic	A\$ A\$	0.10	A\$	0.16	
Net income per share - diluted	A\$	0.10	A\$	0.16	
Weighted average common shares outstanding (Millions): Basic Diluted		463.3 466.9		460.6 462.7	

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended 30 June			
(Millions of US dollars)		2006		2005
Cash Flows From Operating Activities Net income Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	\$	35.5	\$	55.9
Impairment loss on business held for sale Depreciation and amortisation Deferred income taxes Prepaid pension cost Stock compensation Effect of foreign exchange on asbestos provision Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		11.0 9.0 0.7 0.2 27.2		1.4 9.4 7.3 0.8 1.0
Other Changes in operating assets and liabilities: Accounts and notes receivable Inventories Prepaid expenses and other current assets Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Other accrued liabilities and other liabilities		(0.9) - (3.9) (12.2) (5.5) (3.9) 3.5		0.3 (16.2) (6.4) (7.4) 12.4 18.1
Net cash provided by operating activities		60.7		76.6
Cash Flows From Investing Activities Purchases of property, plant and equipment Net cash used in investing activities		(35.5)		(34.0)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities Net proceeds from line of credit Repayments of long-term debt Repayments of short-term debt Issuance of shares Tax benefit from stock options exercised Collections on loans receivable		(121.7) (44.0) 0.2		0.6 - 4.6 0.6 0.1
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities Effects of exchange rate changes on cash Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	0.6 (139.7) 315.1 175.4	\$	5.9 (0.4) 48.1 113.5 161.6
Components of Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash at bank and on hand Short-term deposits Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	23.6 151.8 175.4	\$	53.5 108.1 161.6

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

	Three Months			
	Ended 30 June			
(Millions of Australian dollars)		2006	2005	
Cash Flows From Operating Activities				
Net income	A\$	47.5	A\$ 72.8	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash			•	
provided by operating activities:				
Impairment loss on business held for sale		-	1.8	
Depreciation and amortisation		14.7	12.2	
Deferred income taxes		12.0	9.5	
Prepaid pension cost		0.9	1.0	
Stock compensation		0.3	1.3	
Effect of foreign exchange on asbestos provision		36.5	-	
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		(1.3)	-	
Other Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		-	0.4	
Accounts and notes receivable		(5.2)	(21.1)	
Inventories		(16.3)	(8.3)	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		(7.4)	(9.6)	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		(5.2)	16.1	
Other accrued liabilities and other liabilities		4.7	23.5	
Net cash provided by operating activities		81.2	99.6	
Cash Flows From Investing Activities				
Purchases of property, plant and equipment		(47.6)	(44.2)	
Net cash used in investing activities		(47.6)	(44.2)	
Cash Flows From Financing Activities				
Net proceeds from line of credit		_	0.8	
Repayments of long-term debt		(162.9)	-	
Repayments of short-term debt		`(58.9)	_	
Issuance of shares		0.3	6.0	
Tax benefit from stock options exercised		-	0.8	
Collections on loans receivable		-	0.1	
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities		(221.5)	7.7	
Effects of exchange rate changes on cash		(16.4)	1.6	
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents		(204.3)	64.7	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		440.4	146.9	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	Α\$	236.1	A\$ 211.6	
Components of Cash and Cash Equivalents				
Cash at bank and on hand	A\$	31.8	A\$ 70.0	
Short-term deposits		204.4	141.6	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	A \$	236.1	A\$ 211.6	
		-		

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity (Unaudited)

(Millions of US dollars)	 om m on Stock	F	lditional Paid-in Capital		um ulate d Deficit	Accumulated Other Employee Comprehensive Loans (Loss) Income				
Balances as of 31 March 2006	\$ 253.2	\$	158.8	\$	(288.3)	\$	(0.4)	\$ (28.4)	\$	94.9
Comprehensive Income: Net income Other comprehensive income:	-		-		35.5		-	-		35.5
Foreign currency translation	 -			,	-		-	 0.7		0.7
Total comprehensive income										36.2
Dividends declared	-		-		(18.7)		-	-		(18.7)
Stock compensation	-		0.2		-		-	-		0.2
Stock options exercised	-		0.2		-		-	-		0.2
Balances as of 30 June 2006	\$ 253.2	\$	159.2	\$	(271.5)		(0.4)	\$ (27.7)	\$	112.8

1. Basis of Presentation

Nature of Operations

The Company manufactures and sells fibre cement building products for interior and exterior building construction applications primarily in the United States, Australia, New Zealand, Philippines and Europe.

Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements represent the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of James Hardie Industries N.V. ("JHI NV") and its current wholly owned subsidiaries, collectively referred to as either the "Company" or "James Hardie" and JHI NV together with its subsidiaries as of the time relevant to the applicable reference, the "James Hardie Group," unless the context indicates otherwise.

The assets, liabilities, statement of operations and statements of cash flows of the Company have been presented with accompanying Australian dollar (A\$) convenience translations as the majority of the Company's shareholder base is Australian. These A\$ convenience translations are not prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The exchange rates used to calculate the convenience translations are as follows:

	31 March 30 Ju		ne
(US\$1 = A\$)	2006	2006	2005
			·
Assets and liabilities	1.3975	1.3463	1.3092
Income statement	n/a	1.3383	1.3010
Cash flows - beginning cash	n/a	1.3975	1.2946
Cash flows - ending cash	n/a	1.3463	1.3092
Cash flows - current period movements	n/a	1.3383	1.3010

The asbestos provision on the A\$ unaudited consolidated statements of operations and A\$ unaudited consolidated statements of cash flows is the difference in the balance sheet rate at 30 June and 31 March 2006 respectively, translated using the assets and liabilities rate at 31 March 2006.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Earnings Per Share

The Company is required to disclose basic and diluted earnings per share ("EPS"). Basic EPS is calculated using income divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is similar to basic EPS except that the weighted average number of common shares outstanding is increased to include the number of additional common shares calculated using the treasury method that would have been outstanding if dilutive potential common shares, such as options, had been exercised. Accordingly, basic and dilutive common shares outstanding used in determining net income per share are as follows:

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended 30 June			
(Millions of shares)	2006	2005		
Basic common shares outstanding Dilutive effect of stock options Diluted common shares outstanding	463.3 3.6 466.9	460.6 2.1 462.7		
US dollars	2006	2005		
Net income per share - basic Net income per share - diluted	\$ 0.08 \$ 0.08	\$0.12 \$0.12		

Potential common shares of 6.5 million and 4.5 million for the three months ended 30 June 2006 and 2005, respectively, have been excluded from the calculations of diluted common shares outstanding because the effect of their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

Advertising

The Company expenses the production costs of advertising the first time the advertising takes place. Advertising expense was US\$4.3 million and US\$4.4 million for the three months ended 30 June 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company implemented the provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, using the Retroactive Restatement method provided by SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation – Transition and Disclosure – an amendment SFAS No. 123. When SFAS No. 123 was adopted the Retroactive Restatement method required the restatement of prior periods' reported net income to give effect to the fair value based method of accounting for awards granted, modified, or settled in fiscal years beginning after 15 December 1994. Therefore, no transition adjustments are required upon the Company adopting SFAS No. 123R. In adoption this standard the Company has employed the modified prospective transition method.

SFAS No. 123R requires that a Company estimate forfeitures of stock options at the date of grant rather than allowing the Company to account for forfeitures as they occur. At the time the Company adopted SFAS No. 123, it decided to account for forfeitures as they occur primary due to the limited historical data to accurately estimate a forfeiture rate at the date of grant. Paragraph 80 of SFAS No. 123 states, "As of the required effective date, an entity that had a policy or recognising the effect of forfeitures only as they occurred shall estimate the number of outstanding instrument for which the requisite service is not expected to be rendered. Balance sheet amounts related to any compensation cost (excluding non-refundable divided payments), net of related tax effects, for those instrument previously recognised in income because of that policy for periods before the effective date of SFAS No. 123R shall be eliminated and recognised in income as the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle as of the required effective date."

The Company recognised stock-based compensation expense (included in Selling, general and administrative expense) of US\$1.5 million and US\$1.0 million for the three months ended 30 June 2006 and 2005, respectively. This excludes the forfeiture adjustment of US\$1.3 million (US\$0.9 million net of tax) which is separately disclosed as "Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle for stock-based compensation". The related tax benefit of stock-based compensation was US\$0.4 million and US\$0.3 million for the three months ended 30 June 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Company has analysed forfeiture rates on all of our 2001 Stock Option Plan grants for which vesting is complete (options granted on 17 December 2001 and on 3 December 2002). The forfeiture

rate for each individual grant is 34.7% and 28.1%, respectively, and the weighted average forfeiture rate is 30.7%. Based on these calculated rates a cumulative adjustment to stock compensation expense of US\$1.3 million is necessary in the three months ended 30 June 2006 upon adoption of SFAS No. 123R. The adjustment is presented on the Statement of Operations as a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (net of income tax).

The portion of the forfeiture cumulative adjustment that relates to USA based employees will cause a reduction in the deferred tax asset previously recorded. The amount of the cumulative adjustment related to USA based employees is approximately US\$1.0 million. Therefore, the related USA income tax adjustment would be approximately US\$0.4 which is recorded to income tax expense net of the cumulated stock compensation adjustment.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Uncertain Tax Positions

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 109 (SFAS 109)*. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognised in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No.109. Unlike SFAS No.109, FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Additionally, FIN 48 provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. We will adopt the provisions of FIN 48 effective 1 April 2007. We have not yet determined the effect of the adoption of FIN 48 on our financial position or results of operations.

3. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following components:

(Millions of US dollars)	30	0 June 2006	31	1 March 2006
Finished goods	\$	89.0	\$	84.1
Work-in-process		13.1		9.2
Raw materials and supplies		37.5		33.0
Provision for obsolete finished goods and raw materials		(2.7)		(2.3)
Total inventories	\$	136.9	\$	124.0

4. Disposal of Chile Business

In June 2005, the Company approved a plan to dispose of its Chile Fibre Cement business to Compania Industrial El Volcan S.A. (Volcan). The sale closed on 8 July 2005. The Company received net proceeds of US\$3.9 million and recorded a loss on disposal of US\$0.8 million.

As part of the terms of the sale of the Chile Fibre Cement business to Volcan, the Company entered into a two-year take or pay purchase contract for fibre cement product manufactured by Volcan. The first year of the contract amounts to a purchase commitment of approximately US\$2.8 million and the second year amounts to a purchase commitment of approximately US\$2.1 million. As this contract qualifies as continuing involvement per SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long Lived Assets," the operating results and loss on disposal of the Chile Fibre Cement business are included in the Company's income from operations and are comprised of the following components:

(Millions of US dollars)	Ended	Months d 30 June 2005
Chile Fibre Cement		
Net sales	\$	4.6
Cost of goods sold	·	(3.1)
Gross profit		1.5
Selling, general and administrative expenses		(1.0)
Loss on disposal of business		(1.4)
Operating loss		(0.9)
Interest expense		(0.2)
Net loss	\$	(1.1)

5. Operating Segment Information and Concentrations of Risk

The Company has reported its operating segment information in the format that the operating segment information is available to and evaluated by the Board of Directors. USA Fibre Cement manufactures and sells fibre cement interior linings, exterior siding and related accessories products in the United States. Asia Pacific Fibre Cement includes all fibre cement manufactured in Australia, New Zealand and the Philippines and sold in Australia, New Zealand and Asia. Research and Development represents the cost incurred by the research and development centres. Other includes the manufacture and sale of fibre cement products in Chile (through June 2005), the manufacture and sale of fibre cement reinforced pipes in the United States, fibre cement operations in Europe and roofing operations in the United States. The Company sold its Chile business in July 2005. In April 2006, the roofing plant was closed and the business ceased operations. The Company's operating segments are strategic operating units that are managed separately due to their different products and/or geographical location.

The asbestos provision and adjustments thereto are treated as a separate segment.

Operating Segments

The following are the Company's operating segments and geographical information:

(Millions of US dollars)	Net Sales to Customers Three Months Ended 30 June 2006 2005				
USA Fibre Cement	\$	348.9	\$	287.5	
Asia Pacific Fibre Cement		59.2		61.7	
Other		7.4		10.2	
Worldwide total	\$	415.5	\$	359.4	
(Millions of US dollars)		Before Inc Three I	Income ore Income Taxes Three Months Ended 30 June 06 2005		
USA Fibre Cement	\$	103.3	\$	94.1	
Asia Pacific Fibre Cement		10.3		12.4	
Research and Development		(4.6)		(3.2)	
Other		(2.7)		(3.5)	
Segments total		106.3		99.8	
General Corporate		(10.2)		(12.9)	
Effect of foreign exchange on asbestos provision		(27.2)			
Total operating income		68.9		86.9	
Net interest expense	_	(2.0)	_	(0.7)	
Worldwide total	\$	66.9	\$	86.2	
	Total Identifiable Assets				
4400) June		March	
(Millions of US dollars)		2006		2006	
USA Fibre Cement	\$	868.9	\$	826.0	
Asia Pacific Fibre Cement	•	173.0	•	170.4	
Other		56.7		54.8	
Segments total		1,098.6		1,051.2	
General Corporate		253.6		394.2	
Worldwide total	\$	1,352.2	\$	1,445.4	

Geographic Areas (Millions of US dollars)		Net Sales to Customers Three Months Ended 30 June 2006 2005			
USA Australia New Zealand Other Countries Worldwide total	<u> </u>	353.7 41.0 12.4 8.4 415.5	\$	291.2 42.5 13.6 12.1 359.4	
		l Identif June		Assets March	
(Millions of US dollars)	30 、		31		

6. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The following are the components of total accumulated other comprehensive loss, which is displayed in the consolidated balance sheets:

(Millions of US dollars)	30 June 2006		31 March 2006		
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$	(27.7)	\$	(28.4)	
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$	(27.7)	\$	(28.4)	

7. Commitments and Contingencies

Commitment to provide funding on a long-term basis in respect of asbestos-related liabilities of former subsidiaries

On 1 December 2005, the Company announced that it, the NSW Government and a wholly owned Australian subsidiary of the Company (James Hardie 117 Pty Ltd (formerly LGTDD Pty Ltd), described below as the "Performing Subsidiary") had entered into a conditional agreement (the "Final Funding Agreement") to provide long-term funding to a special purpose fund ("SPF") that will provide compensation for Australian asbestos-related personal injury claims against certain former James Hardie companies (being Amaca Pty Ltd ("Amaca"), Amaba Pty Ltd ("Amaba") and ABN 60 Pty Ltd ("ABN 60")) (the "Former James Hardie Companies").

Key events occurring since 2001 that led to the signing of the Final Funding Agreement ("FFA") are summarised in the Company's Annual Report for the year to 31 March 2006.

The FFA remains subject to a number of conditions precedent, including the receipt of an independent expert's report confirming that the funding proposal is in the best interests of the Company and its enterprise as a whole, approval of the Company's shareholders and lenders, and confirmation satisfactory to the Company's Board of Directors, acting reasonably, that the contributions to be made by JHI NV and the Performing Subsidiary under the FFA will be tax deductible and the SPF will be exempt from Australian federal income tax on its income.

In summary, the FFA provides for the following key steps to occur if the conditions precedent to that agreement are satisfied or waived in writing by the parties:

- the establishment of the SPF to provide compensation to Australian asbestos-related personal injury claimants with proven claims against the Former James Hardie Companies;
- initial funding of approximately A\$154 million provided by the Performing Subsidiary to the SPF, calculated on the basis of an actuarial report prepared by KPMG Actuaries Pty Ltd ("KPMG Actuaries") as of 31 March 2006. That report provided an estimate of the discounted net present value of all present and future Australian asbestos-related personal injury claims against the Former James Hardie Companies of A\$1.52 billion (US\$1.14 billion). The undiscounted/uninflated value of the estimate of those liabilities was approximately A\$1.75 billion (US\$1.31 billion);
- a two-year rolling cash buffer in the SPF and, subject to the cap described below, an annual contribution in advance to top up those funds to equal the actuarially calculated estimate of expected Australian asbestos-related personal injury claims against the Former James Hardie Companies for the following three years, to be revised annually;
- a cap on the annual payments made by the Performing Subsidiary to the SPF, initially set at 35% of the Company's free cash flow (defined as cash from operations in accordance with US GAAP in force at the date of the FFA) for the immediately preceding financial year, with provisions for the percentage to decline over time depending upon the Company's financial performance (and therefore the contributions already made to the SPF) and the claims outlook;
- an initial term of approximately 40 years, at the end of which the parties may either agree upon a final payment to be made by the Company in satisfaction of any further funding obligations, or have the term automatically extended for further periods of 10 years until such agreement is reached or the relevant asbestos-related liabilities cease to arise;
- the entry by the parties and/or others into agreements ancillary to or connected with the FFA (the "Related Agreements");
- no cap on individual payments to asbestos claimants;
- the Performing Subsidiary's payment obligations are guaranteed by James Hardie Industries N.V.;
- the SPF's claims to the funding payments required under the FFA will be subordinated to the claims of the Company's lenders; and
- the compensation arrangements will extend to members of the Baryulgil community for asbestos-related claims arising from the activities of a former subsidiary of ABN 60 (as described below).

In addition to entering into the FFA, one or more of the Company, the Performing Subsidiary, the SPF and the NSW Government have entered into the FFA, including a trust deed for the establishment of the SPF, a deed of guarantee under which James Hardie Industries N.V. provides the guarantee described above, intercreditor deeds to achieve the subordination arrangements

described above and deeds of release in connection with the releases from civil liability described below.

The Company considers that the principal outstanding conditions to be fulfilled before the FFA becomes effective are those relating to the taxation treatment in Australia of payments made by the Performing Subsidiary to the SPF, the tax exempt status of the SPF, and approval of the FFA by the Company's shareholders.

In relation to the approval of the FFA by the Company's shareholders, the Company has undertaken significant work towards preparing the necessary documentation to be sent to shareholders, but at present is unable to specify a date for holding the relevant meeting. The Company considers that it can only properly put the proposal to shareholders once the tax issues described above has been resolved, since, as further described below, these issues materially affect the affordability of the proposal which shareholders will be asked to approve.

The Company's ability to obtain a tax deduction has been confirmed by the Australian Tax Office ("ATO") in a form binding on the Commissioner for the term of the FFA. However, the private ruling issued by the ATO provides deductibility over a five year period from the date of contribution, wheras the condition precedent in the FFA provides for deductibility of contributions in the year incurred. The ruling in relation to deductibility of contributions does not affect the status of the second tax condition applicable under the FFA (namely that the SPF is tax exempt), which remains unfulfilled. The ATO has in fact issued a notice to the SPF of refusal to endorse the SPF as being tax exempt on the basis that it is a charity. The SPF and the Company have received strong legal advice, including from some of Australia's leading counsel, that the SPF satisfies the requirements applicable under income tax legislation such that the ATO should endorse the SPF as a charity. At present the SPF and the Company are in further discussions with the ATO seeking to resolve the unsatisfied tax exemption condition precedent.

If the conditions precedent to the FFA, such as the tax exemption of the SPF, are not met, the Company may seek to enter into an alternative arrangement under which it would make payments for the benefit of asbestos claimants. Under alternative arrangements, the estimate may change.

Even if conditions to the Company's funding obligations under the FFA, including the achievement of tax exempt status of the SPF, are not fulfilled, the Company has determined that it is nevertheless likely that it will make payments in respect of certain claimants who were injured by asbestos products manufactured by certain former Australian subsidiary companies. The Board of James Hardie has made it clear that, in a manner consistent with its obligations to shareholders and other stakeholders in the Company, it intends to proceed with fair and equitable actions to provide funding which can be applied towards compensating the injured parties. Any such alternative settlement may be subject to conditions precedent and would require lender and shareholder approval. However, if James Hardie proceeds with an alternative settlement without the assurance of tax exempt status for the SPF, it is likely, as a function of economic reality, that the Company will have less funds to support payments in respect of asbestos claims. While the Company continues to hope that the conditions precedent to the FFA will be fulfilled, it has determined that its intention to continue to proceed responsibly in either event makes it appropriate for the Company to record the asbestos provision in the amounts set forth in the financial statements.

The recording of the asbestos provision is in accordance with US accounting standards because it is probable that the Company will make payments to fund asbestos-related claims on a long-term basis. The amount of the asbestos provision of US\$742.8 million (A\$1.0 billion) at 30 June 2006 is the Company's best estimate of the probable outcome. This estimate is based on the terms of the FFA, which includes an actuarial estimate prepared by KPMG Actuaries Pty Ltd ("KPMG Actuaries") as of 31 March 2006 of the projected future cash outflows, undiscounted and uninflated, and the anticipated tax deduction arising from Australian legislation which came into force on 6 April 2006.

Actuarial Study; Claims Estimate

The Company commissioned an updated actuarial study of potential asbestos-related liabilities as of 31 March 2006. Based on the results of these studies, it is estimated that the discounted value of the central estimate for claims against the Former James Hardie companies was approximately A\$1.52 billion (US\$1.14 billion). The undiscounted value of the central estimate of the asbestos-related liabilities of Amaca and Amaba as determined by KPMG Actuaries was approximately A\$3.08 billion (US\$2.3 billion). Actual liabilities of those companies for such claims could vary, perhaps materially, from the central estimate described above. This central estimate is calculated in accordance with Australian Actuarial Standards, which differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

In estimating the potential financial exposure, the actuaries made assumptions related to the total number of claims which were reasonably estimated to be asserted through 2071, the typical cost of settlement (which is sensitive to, among other factors, the industry in which the plaintiff claims exposure, the alleged disease type and the jurisdiction in which the action is being brought), the legal costs incurred in the litigation of such claims, the rate of receipt of claims, the settlement strategy in dealing with outstanding claims and the timing of settlements.

Further, the actuaries have relied on the data and information provided by the Foundation and Amaca Claim Services, Amaca Pty Ltd (Under NSW External Administration) ("ACS") and assumed that it is accurate and complete in all material respects. The actuaries have not verified the information independently nor established the accuracy or completeness of the data and information provided or used for the preparation of the report.

Due to inherent uncertainties in the legal and medical environment, the number and timing of future claim notifications and settlements, the recoverability of claims against insurance contracts, and estimates of future trends in average claim awards, as well as the extent to which the above-named entities will contribute to the overall settlements, the actual amount of liability could differ materially from that which is currently projected.

A sensitivity analysis has been performed to determine how the actuarial estimates would change if certain assumptions (i.e., the rate of inflation and superimposed inflation, the average costs of claims and legal fees, and the projected numbers of claims) were different from the assumptions used to determine the central estimates. This analysis shows that the discounted central estimates could be in a range of A\$1.0 billion (US\$0.7 billion) to A\$2.5 billion (US\$1.8 billion) (undiscounted estimates of A\$1.8 billion (US\$1.4 billion) to A\$5.3 billion (US\$3.9 billion) as of 31 March 2006. It should be noted that the actual cost of the liabilities could be outside of that range depending on the results of actual experience relative to the assumptions made.

The potential range of costs as estimated by KPMG Actuaries is affected by a number of variables such as nil settlement rates (where no settlement is payable by the Former James Hardie Companies because the claim settlement is borne by other asbestos defendants (other than the Former James Hardie subsidiaries) which are held liable), peak year of claims, past history of claims numbers, average settlement rates, past history of Australian asbestos-related medical injuries, current number of claims, average defence and plaintiff legal costs, base wage inflation and superimposed inflation. The potential range of losses disclosed includes both asserted and unasserted claims. While no assurances can be provided, if the FFA is approved by all of the necessary parties, including the Company's Board of Directors, shareholders and lenders, the Company expects to be able to partially recover losses from various insurance carriers. As of 31 March 2006, KPMG Actuaries' undiscounted central estimate of asbestos-related liabilities was A\$3.1 billion (US\$2.2 billion). This undiscounted central estimate is net of expected insurance recoveries of A\$504.8 million (US\$379.9 million) after making a general credit risk allowance for bad debt insurance carriers and an allowance for A\$65.5 million (US\$49.3 million) of "by claim" or subrogation recoveries from other third parties.

Currently, the timing of any potential payments is uncertain because the conditions precedent to the FFA have not been satisfied. In addition, the Company has not yet incurred any settlement costs pursuant to its offer to provide the Foundation with interim funding, which is described above under the heading "Interim Funding and ABN 60 Indemnity" because the Foundation continues to meet all claims of Amaca and Amaba.

Claims Data

The following table, provided by KPMG Actuaries, shows the number of claims pending as of 30 June 2006 and 31 March 2006:

	30 June 2006	31 March 2006
Australia	550	556
New Zealand	-	-
Unknown - Court Not Identified ⁽¹⁾	19	20
USA	_	1

⁽¹⁾The "Unknown – Court Not Identified" designation reflects that the information for such claims had not been, as of the date of publication, entered into the database which the Foundation maintains. Over time, as the details of "unknown" claims are provided to the Foundation, the Company believes the database is updated to reflect where such claims originate. Accordingly, the Company understands the number of unknown claims pending fluctuates due to the resolution of claims as well as the reclassification of such claims.

For the three months ended 30 June 2006 and twelve months ended 31 March 2006 the following tables, provided by KPMG Actuaries, show the claims filed, the number of claims dismissed, settled or otherwise resolved for each period, and the average settlement amount per claim.

Australia	Three Months Ended 30 June 2006	31	e Months Ended March 2006
November of plains flad	400		0.40
Number of claims filed	106		346
Number of claims dismissed	27		97
Number of claims settled or otherwise resolved	93		405
Average settlement amount per settled claim	A\$ 145,757	A\$	151,883
Average settlement amount per settled claim	US\$ 109,715	US\$	114,322

Unknown - Court Not Identified	Three Mor Ended 30 June 2006		Twelve Months Ended 31 March 2006	
Number of claims filed Number of claims dismissed Number of claims settled or otherwise resolved Average settlement amount per settled claim Average settlement amount per settled claim	A\$ 4,0 US\$ 3,0		A\$ US\$	6 10 12 198,892 149,706
USA	Three Months Ended 30 June 2006		Twelve Month Ended 31 March 2006	
Number of claims filed Number of claims dismissed Number of claims settled or otherwise resolved Average settlement amount per settled claim Average settlement amount per settled claim	A\$ US\$	- 1 - -	\$ US\$	- - - -

The following table, provided by KPMG Actuaries, shows the activity related to the numbers of open claims, new claims, and closed claims during each of the past five years and the average settlement per settled claim and case closed.

	As of 30 June As of 31 March									
		2006		2006		2005		2004		2003
Number of open claims at beginning of period		586		749		743		814		671
Number of new claims		106		389		496		380		409
Number of closed claims		123		552		490		451		266
Number of open claims at period-end		569		586		749		743		814
Average settlement amount per settled claim	A\$	144,249	A\$	153,236	A\$	157,223	A\$	167,450	A\$	201,200
Average settlement amount per case closed	A\$	110,239	A\$	121,945	A\$	129,949	A\$	117,327	A\$	177,752
Average settlement amount per settled claim Average settlement amount per case closed		108,580 82,980	US\$ US\$	115,341 91,788	•	116,298 96,123		,		112,974 99,808

The Company has not had any responsibility or involvement in the management of claims against ABN 60 since the time ABN 60 left the James Hardie Group in 2003. Since February 2001, when Amaca and Amaba were separated from the James Hardie Group, neither the Company nor any current subsidiary of the Company has had any responsibility or involvement in the management of claims against those entities. Prior to that date, the principal entity potentially involved in relation to such claims was ABN 60, which has not been a member of the James Hardie Group since March 2003. However, the FFA and associated New South Wales legislation contemplates that the SPF will have both the responsibility for and arrangement of claims against the Former James Hardie Companies, and that the Company will have the right to appoint a majority of the directors of the SPF unless a special default or insolvency event arises, as explained further above.

On 26 October 2004, the Company, the Foundation and KPMG Actuaries entered into an agreement under which the Company would be entitled to obtain a copy of the actuarial report prepared by

KPMG Actuaries in relation to the claims liabilities of the Foundation and Amaba and Amaca, and would be entitled to publicly release the final version of such reports. Under the terms of the FFA, but subject to it being implemented, the Company has obtained similar rights of access to actuarial information produced for the SPF by the actuary to be appointed by the SPF (the "Approved Actuary"). The Company's future disclosures with respect to claims statistics is subject to it obtaining such information from the Approved Actuary. The Company has had no general right (and has not obtained any right under the FFA) to audit or otherwise require independent verification of such information or the methodologies to be adopted by the Approved Actuary. As a result, the Company cannot make any representations or warranties as to the accuracy or completeness of the actuarial information disclosed herein or that may be disclosed in the future.

SCI and Other Related Expenses

The Company has incurred substantial costs associated with the SCI and may incur material costs in the future related to the SCI or subsequent legal proceedings. The following are the components of SCI and other related expenses:

	Three Months Ended 30 June						
(Millions of US dollars)	2006 2005						
ASIC investigation	\$	0.1	\$	0.9			
Resolution advisory fees Funding advice		1.4 0.2		1.7 -			
Other		0.7		2.6			
Total SCI and other related expenses	\$	2.4	\$	5.2			

ASIC

ASIC has announced that it is conducting an investigation into the events examined by the SCI, without limiting itself to the evidence compiled by the SCI. ASIC has served notices to produce relevant documents upon the Company and various directors and officers of the Company and upon certain of the Company's advisers and auditors at the time of the separation and restructure transactions described above. ASIC has also served notices requiring the Company and ABN 60 to produce certain computerised information and requiring certain current and former directors and officers of ABN 60 or the Company to present themselves for examination by ASIC delegates. So far, as the Company is aware, the individuals who have been required to attend such examinations have done so. To date, ASIC has announced that it is investigating various matters, but it has not specified the particulars of alleged contraventions under investigation, nor has it announced that it has reached any conclusion that any person or entity has contravened any relevant law.

To assist ASIC's investigation, the Australian Federal Government enacted legislation to abrogate the legal professional privilege which would otherwise have attached to certain documents relevant to matters under investigation or to any future civil proceedings to be taken. The legislation is set out in the *James Hardie (Investigations and Proceedings) Act 2004*.

The Company may incur liability to meet the costs of current or former directors, officers or employees of the James Hardie Group to the extent that those costs are covered by indemnity arrangements granted by the Company to those persons. To date, no claims have been received from any current or former officers in relation to the ASIC investigation, except in relation to the examination of a former director of ABN 60 by ASIC delegates, the amount of which cannot be assessed at present. In relation to this claim and any others that may arise, the Company may be reimbursed in whole or in part under directors' and officers' insurance policies maintained by the Company.

Financial Position of the Foundation

On the basis of the current cash and financial position of the Foundation's subsidiaries (Amaca and Amaba) and following the Company's entry into the Heads of Agreement, the applications previously made to the Supreme Court of NSW by the Foundation for the appointment of a provisional liquidator to the Foundation's subsidiaries were dismissed with the Foundations consent. Such applications have now been rendered unnecessary by the passage of the civil liability release legislation described above.

The potential for Amaba, Amaca or ABN 60 to be placed into insolvency has been further reduced by legislation passed in NSW (the *James Hardie Former Subsidiaries (Winding Up and Administration) Act 200*5), parts of which came into force on 2 December 2005 and which will, when fully effective, replace the *James Hardie Former Subsidiaries (Special Provisions)* Act 2005. That legislation maintains the *status quo* of Amaca, Amaba and ABN 60, including by providing for a statutory form of administration for those entities so as to prevent them being placed into administration or liquidation under the provisions of the Australian Corporations Act which would usually apply to an insolvent Australian Company. The legislation also sought to ensure that the directors of those entities would not seek to remove the assets or the register of shares in those entities outside New South Wales.

The Company believes it is possible that future costs related to the Company's implementation of the FFA may be material. The Company does not expect any material additional costs to be incurred in connection with the SCI.

Environmental and Legal

The operations of the Company, like those of other companies engaged in similar businesses, are subject to a number of federal, state and local laws and regulations on air and water quality, waste handling and disposal. The Company's policy is to accrue for environmental costs when it is determined that it is probable that an obligation exists and the amount can be reasonably estimated. In the opinion of management, based on information presently known except as set forth above, the ultimate liability for such matters should not have a material adverse effect on either the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company is involved from time to time in various legal proceedings and administrative actions incidental or related to the normal conduct of its business. Although it is impossible to predict the outcome of any pending legal proceeding, management believes that such proceedings and actions should not, except as it relates to asbestos as described above, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on either its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

8. Short and Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt comprised US\$ non-collateralised notes which formed part of a seven tranche private placement facility which provided for maximum borrowings of US\$165.0 million. Principal repayments were due in seven installments that commenced on 5 November 2004 and was to end on 5 November 2013. The tranches had fixed interest rates of 6.86%, 6.92%, 6.99%, 7.05%, 7.12%, 7.24% and 7.42%. Interest was payable 5 May and 5 November each year.

As a result of the recording of the asbestos provision at 31 March 2006, and the Supervisory Board's approval thereof on 12 May 2006, the Company would not have been in compliance with certain of the restrictive covenants in respect of the US\$ non-collateralised notes. However, under the terms of the non-collateralised notes agreement, prepayment of these notes was permitted and on 28 April 2006, the Company issued a notice to all note holders to prepay in full all outstanding notes on 8 May 2006. On that date the US\$ non-collateralised notes were prepaid in full, incurring a make-

whole payment of US\$6.0 million. This make-whole payment is included in interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

The Company's short-term debt currently consists of 364-day term facilities ("Term Facilities") in the amount of US\$110.0 million, which mature in June 2007, and term facilities in the amount of US\$245.0 million, which mature in December 2006. For both facilities, interest is calculated at the commencement of each draw-down period based on the US\$ London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus the margins of individual lenders, and is payable at the end of each draw-down period. During the three months ended 30 June 2006, the Company paid US\$0.2 million in commitment fees. At 30 June 2006, there was US\$137.0 million drawn under the combined facilities and US\$218.0 million was available.

The Company anticipates being able to meet its payment obligations from:

- existing cash and unutilised committed facilities;
- net operating cash flow during the current year;
- an extension of the term of existing credit facilities; and
- the addition of proposed new funding facilities.

Upon satisfaction of the conditions precedent to the full implementation of the FFA, including lender approval, the maturity date of some of the Term Facilities will be automatically extended until June 2010. However, if the conditions precedent to the full implementation of the FFA are not satisfied, the Company may not be able to renew its credit facilities on substantially similar terms, or at all; it may have to pay additional fees and expenses that it might not have to pay under normal circumstances; and it may have to agree to terms that could increase the cost of its debt structure.

Additionally, in order to appeal the amended Australian income tax assessment, the Company was required to post a cash deposit of A\$189.0 million (US\$140.4 million) along with a guarantee from JHI NV in favour of the Australian Taxation Office ("ATO") in the amount of the assessment. This cash deposit was paid on 5 July 2006. Even if the Company is ultimately successful in its appeal and the cash deposit is refunded, this procedural requirement to post a cash deposit was material and adversely affected the Company's financial position and liquidity. See Note 9 below for additional information.

At 30 June 2006, management believes that the Company was in compliance with all restrictive covenants contained in its debt facility agreements. Under the most restrictive of these covenants, the Company is required to maintain certain ratios of debt to equity and net worth and levels of earnings before interest and taxes and has limits on how much it can spend on an annual basis in relation to asbestos payments to either Amaca Pty Ltd (formerly James Hardie & Coy Pty Ltd) ("Amaca"), Amaba Pty Ltd (formerly Jsekarb Pty Ltd) ("Amaba") or ABN 60 Pty Ltd ("ABN 60").

9. Amended ATO Assessment

In March 2006, RCI Pty Ltd (RCI), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, received an amended assessment from the ATO in respect of RCI's income tax return for the year ended 31 March 1999. The amended assessment relates to the amount of net capital gains arising as a result of an internal corporate restructure carried out in 1998 and has been issued pursuant to the discretion granted to the Commissioner of Taxation under Part IVA of the Income Tax Assessment Act 1936. The original amended assessment issued to RCI was for a total of A\$412.0 million. However, after a subsequent remission of general interest charges by the ATO, the total is now A\$378.0 million, comprised of the following:

(Millions of dollars)	US\$	A\$
Primary tax after allowable credits	\$ 129.5	A\$ 172.0
Penalties (1)	32.4	43.0
General interest charges	122.7	163.0
Total amended assessment	\$ 284.6	A\$ 378.0

(1) Represents 25% of primary tax

In late 2005 the Tax Laws Amendment (Improvements to Self Assessment Act (No 2)) 2005 of Australia (the ROSA Act) went into effect. Prior to the ROSA Act becoming law, the ATO had the power to amend earlier tax assessments to give effect to a determination under the general anti-avoidance provisions of the tax legislation, Part IVA, within six years after the date on which tax became due and payable under the earlier assessment. The ROSA Act changed this period from six to four years. Unlike the other changes made by the ROSA Act to the ATO's powers to amend earlier assessments (which apply only to the 2005 and later tax years), the changes to Part IVA operated immediately from royal assent on 15 December 2005. The amended assessment was issued to RCI to give effect to a Part IVA determination after the ROSA Act became law, but was issued after the four year period had expired (although just before the old six year period had expired).

On 23 June 2006, following negotiation with the ATO regarding payment options for the amended assessment, the Company was advised by the ATO that, in accordance with the ATO Receivable policy, it is able to make a payment of 50% of the A\$378.0 million, being A\$189.0 million, and provide a guarantee from James Hardie Industries NV in favour of the ATO for the remaining 50% unpaid pending outcome of an appeal against amended assessment. Following enactment of Tax Laws Amendment (2006 Measures No.3) 2006 of Australia (TLA No. 3) payment of this 50% became due and was paid on 5 July 2006.

On 30 June 2006 TLA No. 3 was enacted. TLA No.3 retrospectively ensures that the relevant Part IVA changes only take effect from the 2006 and later tax years. The consequence of TLA No.3 is that the amended assessment is not invalid.

The Company believes that RCI's tax position will ultimately prevail in this matter. Accordingly, it is expected that any amounts paid on 5 July 2006 (or any later time) would be recovered by RCI (with interest) at the time RCI is successful in its appeal against the amended assessment. It is the Company's intention to treat this payment as a receivable.

RCI strongly disputes the amended assessment and is pursuing all avenues of objection and appeal to contest the ATO's position in this matter. The ATO has confirmed that RCI has a reasonably arguable position that the amount of net capital gains arising as a result of the corporate restructure carried out in 1998 has been reported correctly in the fiscal year 1999 tax return and that Part IVA does not apply. As a result, the ATO reduced the amount of penalty from an automatic 50% of primary tax that would otherwise apply in these circumstances, to 25% of primary tax. In Australia, a reasonably arguable position means that the tax position is about as likely to be correct as it is not correct. The Company and RCI received legal and tax advice at the time of the transaction, during the ATO enquiries and following receipt of the amended assessment. The Company believes that the tax position reported in RCI's tax return for the 1999 fiscal year will be upheld on appeal. Accordingly, at this time, the Company is unable to determine with any certainty whether any amount will ultimately become payable by RCI or, if any amount is ultimately payable, the amount of any such payment. Therefore, the Company believes that the probable and estimable requirements under SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," for recording a liability have not been met and

therefore has not recorded any liability at 30 June 2006 for the remainder of the amended assessment.

The general interest charges (GIC) of A\$163.0 million are an allowable expense for corporate tax in Australia. As such the Company will claim an allowable deduction in the same amount on its income tax return for the year ended 31 March 2006 to be filed with the ATO. However, a deferred tax asset in relation to this amount has not been recognised in the consolidated financial statements at 30 June 2006 because the Company believes the GIC will be reversed once the appeal against the amended assessment has been settled in RCI's favour.

10. Stock-Based Compensation

At 30 June 2006, the Company had the following stock-based compensation plans: the Executive Share Purchase Plan; the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan; one Stock Appreciation Rights Plan; the Supervisory Board Share Plan and the Managing Board Transitional Stock Option Plan. As of 30 June 2006, the Company has no units outstanding under the following stock-based compensation plans: Peter Donald Macdonald Share Option Plan; Peter Donald Macdonald Share Option Plan 2001; Peter Donald Macdonald Share Option Plan 2002; and Key Management Shadow Stock Incentive Plan.

The Company accounts for stock options using the fair value provisions of SFAS No. 123R, which requires the Company to value stock options issued based upon an option pricing model and recognise this value as compensation expense over the periods in which the options vest.

The Company estimates the fair value of each option grant on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Compensation expense arising from stock option grants as determined using the Black-Scholes model was US\$0.2 million and US\$0.1 million for the three months ended 30 June 2006 and 2005, respectively. As of 30 June 2006, the unrecorded deferred stock-based compensation balance related to stock options was US\$8.0 million after estimated forfeitures and will be recognised over an estimated weighted average amortisation period of 1.4 years.

Executive Share Purchase Plan

Prior to July 1998, JHIL issued stock under an Executive Share Purchase Plan (the "Plan"). Under the terms of the Plan, eligible executives purchased JHIL shares at their market price when issued. Executives funded purchases of JHIL shares with non-recourse, interest-free loans provided by JHIL and collateralised by the shares. In such cases, the amount of indebtedness is reduced by any amounts payable by JHIL in respect of such shares, including dividends and capital returns. These loans are generally payable within two years after termination of an executive's employment. As part of the 2001 Reorganisation, the identical terms of the agreement have been carried over to JHI NV. Variable plan accounting under the provisions of Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25 has been applied to the Executive Share Purchase Plan shares granted prior to 1 April 1995 and fair value accounting, pursuant to the requirements of SFAS No. 123R, has been applied to shares granted after 31 March 1995. Accordingly, the Company recorded variable compensation expense of nil, nil and US\$0.1 million for the years ended 30 June 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. No shares were issued to executives during fiscal year 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Managing Board Transitional Stock Option Plan

The Managing Board Transitional Stock Option Plan provides an incentive to the members of the Managing Board. The maximum number of ordinary shares that may be issued and outstanding or subject to outstanding options under this plan shall not exceed 1,380,000 shares. At 30 June 2006, there were 1,320,000 options outstanding under this plan.

The Company granted options to purchase 1,320,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price per share equal to A\$8.53 under the Managing Board Transitional Stock Option plan on 22 November 2005 to the Managing Directors. As set out in the plan rules, the exercise price and the number of shares available on exercise may be adjusted on the occurrence of certain events, including new issues, share splits, right issues and capital reconstructions. 50% of these options become exercisable on the first business day on or after 22 November 2008, if the total shareholder returns ("TSR") (essentially its dividend yield and common stock performance) from 22 November 2005 to that date was at least equal to the median TSR for the companies comprising the Company's peer group, as set out in the plan. In addition, for each 1% increment that the Company's TSR is above the median TSR an additional 2% of the options become exercisable. If any options remain unvested on the last business day of each six month period following 22 November 2008 and 22 November 2010, the Company will reapply the vesting criteria to those options on that business day.

2001 Equity Incentive Plan

On 19 October 2001 (the grant date), JHI NV granted a total of 5,468,829 stock options under the JHI NV 2001 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2001 Equity Incentive Plan") to key US executives in exchange for their previously granted Key Management Equity Incentive Plan ("KMEIP") shadow shares that were originally granted in November 2000 and 1999 by JHIL. These options may be exercised in five equal tranches (20% each year) starting with the first anniversary of the original shadow share grant.

Original			Number	Option
Original Shadow	Exe	ercise	of Options	Expiration
Share Grant Date	Р	rice	Granted	Date
November 1999	A\$	3.82	1,968,544	November 2009
November 2000	A\$	3.78	3,500,285	November 2010

As set out in the plan rules, the exercise prices and the number of shares available on exercise may be adjusted on the occurrence of certain events, including new issues, share splits, rights issues and capital reconstructions. Consequently, the exercise price was reduced by A\$0.21, A\$0.38 and A\$0.10 for the November 2003, November 2002 and December 2001 returns of capital, respectively.

Under the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan, additional grants have been made at fair market value to management and other employees of the Company as follows:

Share Grant		iginal ercise	Number of Options	Option Expiration
Date		rice	Granted	Date
Date	<u>'</u>	1100	Grantea	Date
December 2001	A\$	5.65	4,248,417	December 2011
December 2002	A\$	6.66	4,037,000	December 2012
December 2003	A\$	7.05	6,179,583	December 2013
December 2004	A\$	5.99	5,391,100	December 2014
February 2005	A\$	6.30	273,000	February 2015
December 2005	A\$	8.90	5,224,100	December 2016
March 2006	A\$	9.50	40,200	March 2016

Each option confers the right to subscribe for one ordinary share in the capital of JHI NV. The options may be exercised as follows: 25% after the first year; 25% after the second year; and 50% after the third year. All unexercised options expire 10 years from the date of issue or 90 days after the employee ceases to be employed by the Company. Also, as set out in the plan rules, the exercise prices and the number of shares available on exercise may be adjusted on the occurrence of certain events, including new issues, share splits, rights issues and capital reconstructions.

Consequently, the exercise price on the December 2002 and December 2001 option grants were reduced by A\$0.21 for the November 2003 return of capital and the December 2001 option grant was reduced by A\$0.38 for the November 2002 return of capital.

The Company is authorised to issue 45,077,100 shares under the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan. The following table summarises the shares available for grant under this plan:

Shares Available for Grant	30 June 2006	31 March 2005
Shares available at 1 April	19,776,233	24,340,258
Awards granted	-	(5,264,300)
Options forfeited	93,000	700,275
Shares available	19,869,233	19,776,233

The following table shows the movement in all of the Company's outstanding options:

		30	June		31 N	March
(In Australian dollars)		2	006		2	006
		Wei	ghted		We	ighted
		Ave	erage		Αv	erage
	Number	Exe	ercise	Number	Exe	ercise
	of Shares	Р	rice	of Shares	Р	rice
Outstanding at beginning of period	19,513,257	A\$	6.99	20,128,610	A\$	5.75
Granted	-		-	6,584,300		8.83
Exercised	(19,500)		5.99	(3,925,378)		4.79
Forfeited	(93,000)		7.21	(3,274,275)		5.68
Outstanding at end of period	19,400,757	A\$	6.99	19,513,257	A\$	6.99
Options exercisable at end of period	7,215,397	A\$	5.82	7,234,897	A\$	5.82

The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the three months ended 30 June 2006 and 2005 was A\$0.1 million and A\$4.0 million, respectively. Windfall tax benefits realised in the United States from exercised stock options and included in financing activities in the consolidated statements of cashflows was nil and US\$0.6 million during the three months ended 30 June 2006 and 2005, respectively.

(In Australian dollars)			Options Outstanding			_	Options Exercisable			
			Weighted Average							
		Number	Remaining	We	Weighted		Number	We	ighted	
		Outstanding	Contractual	A۱	<i>r</i> erage		Exercisable		Average	
Range of	of	at	Life (in	Exercise			at	Exercise		
Exercise	e Price	30 June 2006	Years)	F	Price		30 June 2006	Price		
						-				
A\$	3.09	773,750	4.3	A\$	3.09		773,750	A\$	3.09	
	3.13	257,113	3.3		3.13		257,113		3.13	
	5.06	1,270,724	5.5		5.06		1,270,724		5.06	
	5.99	4,400,350	8.5		5.99		948,400		5.99	
	6.30	273,000	8.7		6.30		68,250		6.30	
	6.45	2,064,800	6.4		6.45		2,064,800		6.45	
	7.05	3,843,720	7.4		7.05		1,832,360		7.05	
	8.53	1,320,000	9.4		8.53		-		-	
	8.90	5,157,100	9.4		8.90		-		-	
	9.50	40,200	9.7		9.50		-		-	
A\$3.09	to A\$9.50	19,400,757	7.9	A\$	6.99	_	7,215,397	A\$	5.82	

Supervisory Board Share Plan

At the 2002 Annual General Meeting, the shareholders approved a Supervisory Board Share Plan ("SBSP"), which requires that all non-executive directors on the Joint Board and Supervisory Board receive shares of the Company's common stock as payment for a portion of their director fees. The SBSP requires that the directors to take at least \$10,000 of their fees in shares and allows directors

to receive additional shares is lieu of fees in their discretion. Shares issued under the \$10,000 compulsory component of the SBSP are subject to a two-year escrow that requires members of the Supervisory Board to retain those shares for at least two years following issue. The issue price for the shares is the market value at the time of issue. No loans will be entered into by the Company relation to the grant of shares pursuant to the SBSP.

Peter Donald Macdonald Share Option Plans

Peter Donald Macdonald Share Option Plan

As a replacement for options previously granted by JHIL on 17 November 1999, Mr Macdonald was granted an option to purchase 1,200,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of A\$3.87 per share under the JHI NV Peter Donald Macdonald Share Option Plan. As with the original JHIL option grant, this stock option became fully vested and exercisable on 17 November 2004. The options had an expiration date of 20 April 2005, six months after the date of Mr Macdonald's resignation. The exercise price and the number of shares available on exercise could be adjusted on the occurrence of certain events, including new issues, share splits, rights issues and capital reconstructions, as set out in the plan rules. Consequently, the exercise price was reduced by A\$0.21, A\$0.38 and A\$0.10 for the November 2003, November 2002 and December 2001 returns of capital, respectively. Mr Macdonald exercised all of these options in April 2005.

Peter Donald Macdonald Share Option Plan 2001

As a replacement for options previously granted by JHIL on 12 July 2001, Mr Macdonald was granted an option to purchase 624,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price per share equal to A\$5.45 under the JHI NV Peter Donald Macdonald Share Option Plan 2001. The replacement options were to become exercisable for 468,000 shares on the first business day on or after 12 July 2004, if JHI NV's TSR (essentially its dividend yield and common stock performance) from 12 July 2001 to that date was at least equal to the median TSR for the companies comprising JHI NV's peer group, as set out in the plan. In addition, the replacement options were to become exercisable on that same day for an additional 6,240 shares for each one-percent improvement in JHI NV's TSR ranking above the median total shareholder returns for its peer group (up to a total of 156,000 additional shares). On the first business day of each month from November 2004 until the options expired on 20 April 2005, six months after the date of Mr Macdonald's resignation, JHI NV's total shareholder returns were compared with that of its peer group to determine if any previously unvested options vest according to the applicable test described above. As set out in the plan rules, the exercise price and the number of shares available on exercise could be adjusted on the occurrence of certain events, including new issues, share splits, rights issues and capital reconstructions. Consequently, the exercise price was reduced by A\$0.21, A\$0.38 and A\$0.10 for the November 2003, November 2002 and December 2001 returns of capital, respectively. As the TSR requirement had not been met six months after Mr Macdonald ceased to be employed by JHI NV, all of these options expired in April 2005.

Peter Donald Macdonald Share Option Plan 2002

On 19 July 2002, under the JHI NV Peter Donald Macdonald 2002 Share Option Plan, Mr Macdonald was granted an option to purchase 1,950,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of A\$6.30 per share. These options were to become exercisable for 1,462,500 shares of JHI NV's common stock on the first business day on or after 19 July 2005, if JHI NV's TSR from 19 July 2002 to that date was at least equal to the median TSR for the companies comprising its peer group, which comprises those companies included in the S&P/ASX 200 index excluding the companies listed in the 200 Financials and 200 Property Trust indices. Additionally, for each one-percent improvement in JHI NV's TSR ranking above the median TSR for its peer group 19,500 shares were to become exercisable (up to a total of 487,500 additional shares). If any options remained unexercisable on that date because the applicable test for TSR was not satisfied, then on the first business day of each month occurring from that day until 31 October 2005, JHI NV's TSR would again be compared with that of its peer group to determine if any previously unvested options vested

according to the applicable test described above. Any vested options would have remained exercisable until the tenth anniversary of the issue date, 19 July 2012. As set out in the plan rules, the exercise price and the number of shares available on exercise could be adjusted on the occurrence of certain events, including new issues, share splits, rights issues and capital reconstructions. Consequently, the exercise price was reduced by A\$0.21 and A\$0.38 for the November 2003 and November 2002 returns of capital, respectively. All 1,950,000 options expired on 31 October 2005.

Key Management Shadow Stock Incentive Plan

On 5 December 2003, 12,600 shadow stock shares were granted under the terms and conditions of the Key Management Shadow Stock Incentive Plan. At 31 March 2005, 12,600 shadow stock shares were outstanding. All of these shadow stock shares were cancelled in April 2005.

Stock Appreciation Rights Plan

On 14 December 2004, 527,000 stock appreciation rights were granted under the terms and conditions of the JHI NV Stock Appreciation Rights Incentive Plan. This plan provides similar incentives as the 2001 Equity Incentive Plan. 27,000 of these stock appreciation rights were cancelled in April 2005. The remaining 500,000 stock appreciation rights were outstanding at 31 March 2006 and will vest 50% December 2006 and 50% December 2007. These rights have been accounted for as stock appreciation rights under SFAS No. 123R and, accordingly, compensation income of US\$0.1 million was recognised in the three months ended 30 June 2006 and compensation expense of US\$0.5 million was recognised in the three months ended 30 June 2005.

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries

In this report, James Hardie Industries N.V. and its subsidiaries are collectively referred to as "we," "us," or "our," and the terms "US\$", "A\$", "NZ\$", "PHP", refer to United States dollars, Australian dollars, New Zealand dollars and Philippine pesos, respectively.

We have operations in foreign countries and, as a result, are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risk inherent in purchases, sales, assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. We also are exposed to interest rate risk associated with our long-term debt and to changes in prices of commodities we use in production.

Our policy is to enter into derivative instruments solely to mitigate risks in our business and not for trading or speculative purposes.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

We have significant operations outside of the United States and, as a result, are exposed to changes in exchange rates which affect our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. For the three months ended 30 June 2006, the following currencies comprised the following percentages of our net sales, cost of goods sold, expenses and liabilities:

	US\$	A\$	NZ\$	Other ⁽¹⁾
Net sales	85.1%	9.9%	2.9%	2.1%
Cost of goods sold	85.6%	10.1%	2.4%	1.9%
Expenses	65.6%	27.6%	2.2%	4.6%
Liabilities (excluding borrowings)	32.5%	65.4%	1.3%	0.8%

⁽¹⁾ Comprises Philippine pesos and Euros

We purchase raw materials and fixed assets and sell some finished product for amounts denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of the business in which the related transaction is generated. In order to protect against foreign exchange rate movements, we may enter into forward exchange contracts timed to mature when settlement of the underlying transaction is due to occur. At 30 June 2006, there were no such material contracts outstanding.

Interest Rate Risk

We have market risk from changes in interest rates, primarily related to our borrowings. At 30 June 2006, all of the Company's borrowings were variable-rate. From time to time, we may enter into interest rate swap contracts in an effort to mitigate interest rate risk. As of 30 June 2006, the Company had no interest swap contracts outstanding.

Commodity Price Risk

The Company is exposed to changes in prices of commodities used in its operations, primarily associated with energy, fuel and raw materials such as pulp and cement. Pulp has historically demonstrated more price sensitivity than other raw materials that we use in our manufacturing process. In addition, energy, fuel, and cement prices rose in fiscal year 2006 and continued to rise the first quarter of fiscal year 2007. Pulp prices increased in fiscal year 2005 and 2006. We expect that pulp, energy fuel and cement prices will continue to fluctuate in the near future. To minimize the additional working capital requirements caused by rising prices related to these commodities, the Company may seek to enter into contracts with suppliers for the purchase of these commodities that could fix the Company's prices over the longer-term. However, if such commodity prices do not continue to rise, the Company's cost of sales may be negatively impacted due to the fixed pricing over the longer-term.

James Hardie Industries N.V. and Subsidiaries

This Financial Report forms part of a package of information about the Company's results. It should be read in conjunction with the other parts of this package, including the Media Release, Management Presentation and Management's Analysis of Results.

Disclaimer

This Financial Report of results contains forward-looking statements. James Hardie may from time to time make forward-looking statements in its periodic reports filed with or furnished to the United States Securities and Exchange Commission on Forms 20-F and 6-K, in the annual reports to shareholders, in offering circulars and prospectuses, in media releases and other written materials and in oral statements made by the Company's officers, directors or employees to analysts, institutional investors, representatives of the media and others. Examples of forward-looking statements include:

- expectations that the conditions precedent to the Final Funding Agreement will be satisfied;
- expectations about payments to a special purpose fund for the compensation of proven asbestos-related personal injury and death claims;
- expectations concerning the Company's Australian Tax Office amended assessment;
- expectations that the Company's credit facilities will be extended or renewed;
- projections of operating results or financial condition;
- statements regarding plans, objectives or goals, including those relating to competition, acquisitions, dispositions and products;
- statements about future performance; and
- statements about product or environmental liabilities.

Words such as "believe," "anticipate," "plan," "expect," "intend," "target," "estimate," "project," "predict," "forecast," "guideline," "should," "aim" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements.

Forward-looking statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties. The Company cautions that a number of important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from the plans, objectives, expectations, estimates and intentions expressed in such forward-looking statements. These factors include, but are not limited to, the risk factors discussed under "Risk Factors" beginning on page 6 of the Form 20-F filed on 7 July 2005, and: all matters relating to or arising out of the prior manufacture of products that contained asbestos by current and former James Hardie subsidiaries; compliance with and changes in tax laws and treatments; competition and product pricing in the markets in which the Company operates; the consequences of product failures or defects; exposure to environmental, asbestos or other legal proceedings; general economic and market conditions; the supply and cost of raw materials; the success of research and development efforts; reliance on a small number of product distributors; compliance with and changes in environmental and health and safety laws; risks of conducting business internationally; compliance with and changes in laws and regulations; foreign exchange risks; the successful implementation of new software systems and our successful implementation of the internal control over financial reporting requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as codified by Item 308 of Regulation S-K. The Company cautions that the foregoing list of factors is not exclusive and that other risks and uncertainties may cause actual results to differ materially from those in forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made.