UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		Style Definition: Normal
In re:	Chapter 11	
KEEN EQUITIES LLC,	Case No. 13-46782 (NHL)	
Debtorx		
SECOND AMENDED DISCLOSURE STAT SECTION 1125 OF THE BANKR		

THIS IS NOT A SOLICITATION OF ACCEPTANCES OR REJECTIONS OF THE PLAN. ACCEPTANCES OR REJECTIONS MAY NOT BE SOLICITED UNTIL A DISCLOSURE STATEMENT HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE BANKRUPTCY COURT. THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT IS BEING SUBMITTED FOR BANKRUPTCY COURT APPROVAL, BUT HAS NOT YET BEEN ACTUALLY APPROVED.

Keen Equities LLC (the õDebtorö) hereby submits this <u>Second</u> Amended Disclosure Statement (the õDisclosure Statementö), pursuant to §1125 of Title 11, United States Code (the õBankruptcy Codeö), in connection with the Debtorøs accompanying Chapter 11 <u>plan Amended Plan</u> of reorganization of even date (the õPlanö).

### I. <u>OVERVIEW</u>

- A. Overview. The centerpiece of the Plan isremains the restructuring of the mortgage debt encumbering the Debtorøs development property in Orange County consisting of approximately 860 acres of largely vacant land (the õLake Anne Propertyö or õPropertyö), utilizing principles of law recognized by the Supreme Court in Till v. SCS Credit Corp., 541 U.S. 465, 124 S.Ct. 1951 (2004) (õTillö). The Property is situated in the Village of South Blooming Grove (the õVillageö), in close proximity to Route 208 and Clove Road.
- B. Mortgage Status. The Lake Anne Property is encumbered by a purchase money mortgage (the õGreene Family Mortgageö or õMortgageö) held by Hal J. Greene Living Trust, David A. Greene, and Trust underwritten M Greene f/b/o Sabrina Greene (the õGreene Familyö), as successor

Formatted: Widow/Orphan control

to Lake Anne Realty Corp. The Greene Family Mortgage has a current principal balance of \$3,924,645.20 and was given to the Debtor in the original amount of \$10 million in connection with the Debtor acquisition of the site in 2006. The Debtor originally paid \$15 million for the Lake Anne Property and thereafter paid down the mortgage to around \$4 million.

In bankruptcy, the Greene Family filed a secured claim in the total sum of \$6,926,916.76, including alleged pre-petition default interest and other pre-petition costs and charges. On January 20, 2015, the Debtor objected (the ¿Objection¿) to the Greene Family Mortgage claim contending, *inter alia*, that the purported acceleration of the debt was improper due to defective notice negating. The lack of a proper acceleration in turn negated the Greene Family entitlement to prepetition default interest (ECF No. 85). The Debtor objection objection recognizes the allowability of the principal debt and accrued pre-petition interest at the non-default rate and seeks to fix the prepetition secured claim in the total sum of \$5,035,979.70. The objection is pending and will run concurrently

The Greene Family has opposed the Objection, contending that the Debtor is precluded from challenging any pre-petition amounts under principles of collateral estoppel and other theories. The Greene Family also disputes that there are any notice infirmities with the confirmation process.its pre-petition declaration of a default. While the Debtor is sanguine about its prospects, whatever the pre-petition amount is ultimately allowed by the Bankruptcy Court shall be paid in full under the Plan, with post-confirmation interest at a rate of 4.25% consistent with athe proposed Till analysis.

Besides the Objection to the Greene Familyos pre-petition claim, it also appears that there will be litigation over the Green Familyos entitlement, if any, to post-petition default interest. The

Debtor contests any entitlement to post-petition default interest. This analysis brings into play a separate set of principles and equitable considerations. Among the issues to be addressed is whether the Greene Family is actually õversecuredö within the meaning of Section 506(b) based on the õas-isö value of the Lake Anne Property as it currently stands without approval of the development. The Debtor is obtaining an appraisal of the Lake Anne Property in its current õas-isö value so the issue of value can be properly determined without guesswork.

C. Application of Till. Under the Plan, the Debtor shall pay the allowed amount of the Greene Familyss secured mortgage claim as fixed by the Bankruptcy Court, in full, over an extended new term of no later than sixty-six (66) months (and perhaps a shorter period), including an immediate cash pay-down of \$1,000,000 to reduce the principal debt. The remaining principal balance will be paid together with post-confirmation interest at a fixed rate of 4.25%. This is consistent with the Supreme Court principles in Till (Prime Rate of 3.25% plus 1% risk factor). Interest and amortization shall be paid monthly commencing thirty (30) days after the Effective Date in equal monthly installments of \$100,000 per month. Two amortization schedules are annexed hereto as Exhibits ŏAö and ŏBö, respectively, reflecting the payments based on alternate scenarios: Scenario 1 projects payments if the Debtors claim objection is sustained, and Scenario 2 projects payments if the Debtors claim objection is overruled. As reflected in these exhibits, the revised term could be as short as 43 months if the objection objection is sustained, and 66 months if the objectionObjection is overruled.

The proposed post-confirmation interest rate of 4.25% is based on the analysis of <u>Till</u> made by Judge Gropper in <u>In re Campbell</u>, 513 B.R. 846, 855 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.), that calculating interest to be paid under a plan is a offormula approacho, where:

[t]he formula starts with the prime rate, ÷which reflects a financial marketøs estimate of the amount a commercial bank should charge a creditworthy commercial borrower,ø and then adjusts that amount for a risk of nonpayment posed by a bankrupt debtor. 541 U.S. at 479, 124 S.Ct. 1951. This adjustment for risk may require an evidentiary hearing on factors that impact it, including of the circumstances of the estate, the nature of the security, and the duration and feasibility of the reorganization plan.ö *Id*. The *Till* court noted that by starting with the *low* prime rate and adjusting *upward*, the evidentiary burden is placed osquarely on the creditorso who would have easier access oto any information absent from the debtor's filing (such as evidence about the ∃iquidity of the collateral market.ø)o *Id*.

While <u>Campbell</u> was a Chapter 13 case, the same analysis of <u>Till</u> was applied by Judge Drain in the Chapter 11 case <u>In re MPM Silicone</u>, <u>LLC</u>, 2014 WL 4436335 \*27-28 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2014) *aff'd* 531 B.R. 321 (S.D.N.Y. May 4, 2015) (applying the formula approach, and holding that ŏCertainly there is no meaningful difference between the chapter 11, corporate context and the chapter 13, consumer context to counter *Till's* guidance that courts should apply the same approach wherever a present value stream of payments is required to be discounted under the Code. *Id.* 541 U.S. at 474. The rights of secured lenders to consumers and secured lenders to corporations are not distinguished in *Till*, nor should they be. Nor does the relative size of the loan or the value of the collateral matter under *Till's* footnote 14, as it should not.ö).

In <u>MPM</u>, Judge Drain went on to explain how a <u>Till</u> rate of interest should be calculated:

footnote 14 should not be read in a way contrary to Till and Valenti's first principles, which are, instead of applying a market-based approach, a present value cramdown approach using an interest rate that takes the profit out, takes the fees out, and compensates the creditor under a formula starting with a base rate that is essentially riskless, plus up to a 1 to 3 percent additional risk premium, if any, at least as against the prime rate, for the debtor's own unique risks in completing its plan payments coming out of bankruptcy.

<u>Id.</u>, at \*28. Judge Drain further explained that with respect to risk, õClearly, the risk of default is an important risk to consider in this type of analysis, but the more important risk is the ultimate risk of non-payment.ö <u>Id.</u>, at \*31. Finally, Judge Drain states that õa formula of prime plus 1 to 3 percent . . . I believe is appropriate under Till and Valenti unless there are extreme risksö. <u>Id.</u> Notably, in affirming Judge Drain, the District Court found that õJudge Drain considered whether to apply a risk premium higher than 3%, but decided not to do so. This Court will not disturb his well-reasoned determination of the proper rate to apply.ö <u>In re MPM Silicones, LLC, supra 2015</u> WL 2330761 at \*11.

D. Risk Factors. In keeping with the MPM analysis, the Plan proposes a fixed 4.25% interest rate, predicated on the current Prime Rate of 3.25% plus a risk factor of 1%. This The Debtor submits that a 1% risk factor recognizes that Greene Family residual secured elaim will be adequately secured by the is appropriate for several reasons.

First, the Debtor's use of the prime rate of 3.25% as the baseline is already on the high end of the spectrum. More recent Till cases employ a lower treasury rate as a starting point.

Thus, the Debtor is proceeding with a relatively high rate to begin with, which should be taken into account in assessing any additional risk.

Second, the Till analysis looks to the risk of actual non-payment. On this score, the Debtorøs post-petition history of making consistent monthly payments over a two-year period certainly militates against future non-payment and portends continued timely performance under the Mortgage.

Third, the value of the Lake Anne Property, the value of which will only be enhanced asby the <u>future</u> development <u>proceeds</u>. Moreover and continued expenditure of funds on the project. Following receipt of all approvals, the Lake Anne Property is projected to yield a value of \$120,000 per buildable lot, providing the Greene Family with the benefit of future equity.

Fourth, the Plan provides for an initial principal pay down of \$1,000,000, and significant amortization each month creating an escalating and expanding equity cushion in favor of the Greene Family.

Fifth, the Debtor is also prepared to establish an interest reserve of \$500,000 on or about April 1, 2016 to further address concerns regarding feasibility.

The Debtor has worked steadily on obtaining local village approval for a development of at least to build 600 new homes. Although delays have been encountered in recent months because of issues over accessibility to questions relating to the level of potable drinking water on site, the Debtor believes it has will be able to overcome these concerns any further questions with the location of four new wells.

The Debtor has submitted a 72 hour Test Plan to NYSDEC and anticipatesCounty

Department of Health to demonstrate that final approvals will be obtainedthe project meets all

Department of Health water requirements. Both of these agencies have approved the test plan. As a matter of courtesy, the Debtor has also submitted the same 72 Hour Pump Test Plan to the Village, which is currently reviewing the protocols involved. The protocols, however, are the same protocols that were approved by June, 2016 all agencies (including the Village) last year, with respect to other wells on the same site. Thus, there is no legitimate reason why the Village should not approve the unchanged protocols so the 72 Hour Pump Test Plan can be undertaken before the start of winter. In the interim, the Debtor investors will fund the Plan and continue to pay all post-petition and post-confirmation debt service, taxes and insurance, plus the costs of the development.

In sum, given the level of <a href="its-continuing-investmentinvestor contributions">its-contributions</a> of approximately \$3.2 million since the <a href="Chapter 11">Chapter 11</a> filing alone, plus additional new value contributions of at least \$1.8 million, plus another \$500,000 for interest reserves, the Debtor submits that <a href="there is it can establish">there is it can establish</a> a <a href="veryrelatively">veryrelatively</a> low risk of future <a href="mortgage defaults-sincenon-payments">mortgage defaults-sincenon-payments</a>. Indeed, it would be foolhardy to infuse millions of dollars to re-launch the project, only to allow the Greene Family Mortgage to again go into default. —<a href="Moreover">Moreover</a>, it is also noteworthy that certain amounts of the Greene Family Mortgage have been personally guaranteed by William Lefkowitz and Joseph Strulowitz. The existence of these guarantees should also be considered in assessing feasibility, as they provide potential recourse to third parties independent of the Property.

The Greene Family has opposed the Debtorøs claim objection and moved for relief from the automatic stay to fix the amount of its claim in the state court based upon an

unconfirmed Referees report. This motion will be heard in conjunction with the initial hearings on the Debtors claim objection. In view of this opposition, the Debtor anticipates a stern challenge, to the Plan like involving an evidentiary hearing.

E. Voting. In accordance with section 1126(f) of the Bankruptcy Code, all classes of claims that are impaired may vote to accept or reject the Plan. A class of claims is impaired if the Plan modifies, alters or changes the Claimantos legal, equitable or contractual rights against the Debtor. In this case, the Class 1 Secured Mortgage Claim of the Greene Family, the Class 3 Claims of security deposits, and the Class 4 Claims of General Unsecured Creditors are non-insider impaired classes eligible to vote on the Plan. The Debtor, however, reserves the right to modify the treatment and classification of Class 2 and Class 5 claims.

Ballots for acceptance or rejection of the Plan will accompany the Plan, and should be completed by all voting classes of creditors. After carefully considering this Disclosure Statement and the Plan, please indicate your vote on the enclosed ballot and return same before the voting deadline to Goldberg Weprin Finkel Goldstein LLP, Attn Kevin J. Nash, 1501 Broadway, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor, New York, New York 10036. Facsimile: (212) 422-6836. E-mail: KNash@GWFGlaw.com.

In order to be counted, your ballot must be actually received by Goldberg Weprin Finkel Goldstein LLP, Attn Kevin J. Nash, 1501 Broadway, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor, New York, New York 10036, on or before \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 20152016 (the õVoting Deadlineö). All forms of personal delivery of ballots including overnight delivery service, courier service, and delivery by hand are acceptable. Facsimile and electronic transmissions are acceptable as well. There is no need to file

your Ballot with the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court. If your ballot is damaged or lost, or if you do not receive a ballot to which you are entitled, you may request in writing a replacement by contacting Goldberg Weprin Finkel Goldstein LLP, Attn Kevin J. Nash, at the stated address.

Only actual votes will be counted. A failure to return a ballot will not be counted either as a vote for or against the Plan. If no votes to accept or reject the Plan are received with respect to a particular class, the class will be deemed to have voted to accept the Plan. If a creditor casts more than one ballot voting the same Claim before the Voting Deadline, the latest dated Ballot received before the Voting Deadline will be deemed to reflect the voteros intent and thus to supersede any prior ballots.

F. Contested Confirmation. Your vote on the Plan is important. In order for the Plan to be accepted on a consensual basis, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §1129 (a), each class must accept the Plan. Acceptance is based upon affirmative votes from each class of creditors of at least two-thirds (2/3) in dollar amount and more than one-half (1/2) in number of claims of those who actually vote.

Even if the Greene Family votes against the Plan, the Bankruptcy Court may still confirm the Plan over the Greene Family objection if (a) at least one impaired class votes to accept the Plan and (b) the Court finds that the Plan (i) does not unfairly discriminate against the Greene Family, and (ii) accords fair and equitable treatment to the Greene Family.

 G. Disclaimer. The Bankruptcy Courtos approval of this Disclosure Statement does not constitute an endorsement of the Plan. No representations other than those explicitly set forth in this Disclosure Statement are authorized concerning the terms of the Plan or the Debtoros development plans, the scope of assets or the extent of the Debtoros liabilities.

This Disclosure Statement contains summaries of certain provisions of the Plan, certain statutory provisions, certain documents related to the Plan, certain events in the case and certain financial information. Although the Debtor believes that the Disclosure Statement is accurate, the terms of the Plan govern, and creditors are advised to review the Plan in its entirety.

### II. EVENTS LEADING UP TO THE BANKRUPTCY FILING

The Lake Anne Property was purchased in 2006 for the intended development of residential homes to meet the growing needs of the Kiryas Joel community. For many years, the project stalled because of resistance from the Village of South Blooming Grove. At various times, the Debtor pursued litigation to challenge certain local action and ultimately the Lake Anne Property became subject to foreclosure proceedings. Despite these disappointments, the Debtor®

investors still believe strongly in the project and filed the Chapter 11 petition with a renewed focus to adopt a less adversarialmore conventional approach in the hope that the project can proceed without controversy. The Debtor is currently comprised of eleven (11) investors. As noted throughout the bankruptcy case, the who are relatively prominent businessmen and investors have made substantial ongoing capital contributions and will continue to do so in the Satmar community. The business interests of the investors include a variety of companies, such as giftware, electronics and lumber. Additionally, many of the investors also separately own real estate and commercial properties. A list of the current investors is annexed hereto as Exhibit  $\delta C\ddot{e}$ , together with their individual post-petition contributions.

### III. SIGNIFICANT EVENTS DURING THE BANKRUPTCY

#### A. Legal Proceedings.

The Debtor has primarily focused on two separate courses of action during the Chapter 11 case, dealing with the Greene Family in the Bankruptcy Court and seeking the required approvals from the Village to begin construction at the Lake Anne Property on a local level.

### A. Legal Proceedings.

With respect to the Greene Family, immediately after the petition was filed, the Greene Family moved for permission to proceed with the eviction of certain tenants who remained at the Lake Anne Property. The Debtor noted that most of the tenants had already left, and wanted at least one tenant to remain as a õpresenceö so the Lake Anne Property would not be completely vacant. Accordingly, the Debtor argued that the motion was effectively a disguised

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified

vehicle to retain the State Court receiver in bankruptcy. Ultimately, the Debtor was able to negotiate a consent order which partially lifted the automatic stay to permit the sheriff to continue agreed upon evictions, while insuring that the appointment of the receiver was terminated and that two people occupied the Property (ECF No. 32).

Section 362(d)(3) requires the Debtor to commence making adequate protection payments within 90 days of the Chapter 11 filing. The amount of the payment is fixed by a formula contained in the statute. In early January, 2014, and well within the 90 day period, the Debtor forwarded the first payment, in the amount of \$21,258.50. The Debtor calculated the payment based on the non-default interest rate of 6.5% per annum, multiplied against the principal balance of \$3,924,645.93, divided by 12 [(6.5% of \$3,924,645.93 = \$255,101.99) (255,101.99) divided by 12 = \$21,258.50 per month)].

The Greene Family protested the amount of the payment, claiming that the formula required monthly installments of \$37,520.80 and the non-default interest should be applied to the total allowed debt. To protect against a possible default, the Debtor moved to a clarification of the amount owed, following which a stipulation was negotiated for monthly payments of \$31,000. (ECF No. 82)

In July, 2014, the Greene Family again moved to vacate the stay to permit it to continue the foreclosure action. The Debtor opposed the motion, citing the progress being made to obtain approvals for the development, and noting that the process was a lengthy one under the circumstances. The parties negotiated a stipulation pursuant to which the adequate protection payments were increased to \$37,520.80, in return for the Greene Family again moved to vacate the stay to permit it to

the Debtorøs exclusive period to file a plan of reorganization under September 15, 2015 (later extended by agreement to September 18). This stipulation was approved by Order dated December 23, 2014.

Thereafter, the Debtor engaged in an in-depth analysis of the validity of the Greene Familyøs claim, filed in the amount of \$6,926,916.76. The Debtor prepared a detailed objection to the claim, primarily challenging default rate interest of over \$1.4 million, and \$460,000 in late charges, legal fees and other add-ons. In seeking to reduce the claim to \$5,060,979.70, the Debtor focused on the precise language of the loan documents, which permit acceleration of the debt and imposition of default rate interest only after proper written notice sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, to specifically identified addresses. In fact, the claim filed by the Greene Family included a written notice that was sent by regular mail, and was incorrectly addressed.

In its response, the Greene Family for the first time provided proof of a second mailing of the notice by certified mail. Notably, however, there was a return receipt from only one addressee, which was clearly not the same address for the Debtor as provided in the loan documents. There was no return receipt from the second required addressee, the Debtor then attorney, who denied any record or recollection of receipt of the notice.

The Greene Family also raised issues of preclusion under the doctrines of res judicata, Rooker-Feldman, collateral estoppel and waiver, all of which generally bar parties from re-litigating issues in bankruptcy which were previously tried in the state court. The Debtor has filed a reply, pointing out that no final order was entered in the state court foreclosure proceeding, and that the notice issue was never directly addressed by the state court.

The Debtor also objected to the claim for legal fees as including services outside of the limited language in the loan documents for recovery of the costs of collection. The Debtor further objected on the ground that the supporting documents were incomplete and entirely deficient to support the requested fees.

A<u>An initial</u> hearing on the objection to the claim is scheduled for was held on October 22, 2015, so that the amount of the claim can be fixed in advance of the confirmation and the matter has been adjourned for further proceedings.

## B. Status of the Development.

The second <u>major</u> area of focus <u>for the Debtor</u> has been <u>the Debtor</u> extensive <u>efforts to re-launch the project</u>, working with a new team of professionals—to re-launch the project, and to obtain all of the necessary permits and approvals so that construction can begin.

[i.e. Steven Barshov, Esq. (Special Real Estate Development counsel) and Simon Gelb of CPC].

An updated and detailed summary of the current status of the project has been prepared by Mr.

Barshov and is annexed hereto as Exhibit õDö, together with supporting schedules.

First, the Debtor addressed the need to create a fund from which to pay the carrying charges for the Lake Anne Property, including the adequate protection payments and real estate taxes, as well as the expenses and fees incurred in preparing and obtaining approval of new plans for the development of the project.

ADue to delays with respect to issuance of well permits (in which the Village has dragged its feet) as well as the Village failure to proceed expeditiously to confirm full and safe yields of water at the site, the project is about 12 months behind schedule. However, the Debtor

is still optimistic that it will be able to overcome any remaining water issues, at which time the Village Planning Board has previously confirmed that it will issue a Notice of Intent to serve as Lead Agency. This is a major milestone in the project and constitutes an important element in the SEQRA review process. Specific details as to the current timeline are set forth in the status prepared by Mr. Barshov (see Exhibit õDö).

Financially, the project can be a ofield of dreamso in the sense that if the Debtor builds a development, there is a strong demand for new homes. This project will attract families from the Kiryas Joel community. Approximately 4,000 families live in Kiryas Joel alone, with a total population of approximately 24,000 people. There have been serious internal discussions about proposing annexation of the Lake Anne Property into Kiryas Joel. But at this point the Debtor is still pursuing a separate project on its own so long as the Village processes the pending application in a manner that is fair and reasonable and in accordance with applicable law.

When finally approved, the Debtor projects that buildable lots (with infrastructure) will sell for approximately \$120,000 per lot. Additionally, completed homes will sell for approximately \$450,000. Based on sales of 600 lots, the project is expected to generate gross revenues of approximately \$72 million which forms a significant source of funds to satisfy the Mortgage independent of investor contributions. The Debtor is prepared to devote 100% of the net proceeds from each future lot sale (net proceeds mean gross proceeds less regular closings costs, professional fees and commissions) to be paid to the Greene Family until its pre-petition allowed claim is paid in full.

### C. Post-petition Funding.

After bankruptcy, a series of meetings were held among the investors of the Debtor to arrange develop a protocol and budget for bringing everyone current on their obligations under prior capital calls, and to budget and plan for future capital contributions going forward. These meetings resulted in the adoption of five separate resolutions establishing athe agreed framework to provide future funding for operations and development. The resolutions were unanimously passed, and then approved by Order of the Bankruptcy Court entered August 25, 2014 (ECF No. 60) (the öFunding Orderö) after a formal motion to approve the future funding of the Debtor pursuant to Section 364 of the Bankruptcy Code. Copies of the Funding Order and the resolutions are collectively attached hereto as Exhibit öDö. Eö.

These resolutions have been in effect since the summer of 2014 and have been honored by the investors, who continue to make capital contributions. Since adoption of the resolutions, a total of \$4,133,244.86 of new capital has been contributed by the members. An operating budget for 2016 is attached hereto as Exhibit ŏFö.

The Plan provides that the Funding Order will continue to govern going forward after confirmation, thereby establishing a source of funding for both the Plan payments to creditors, and for on-going carrying costs and operational expenses until the Lake Anne Properties can through off sufficient income to meet these obligations.

With that goal of completing the project in mind, the Debtor retained new professionals to assist in re-launching the project, and obtaining the necessary approvals to do so.

Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit</u> õEö is a letter setting forth the various technical studies and analyses required as a prerequisite to completion of the environmental review of the project

and issuance of project approvals. It is expected that the required public hearings will be held in the spring of 2016. The final issuance of environmental findings and project approvals are expected approximately 9 months from now, or mid-2016.

## IV. THE PLAN

The Plan classifies pre-petition claims and equity interests involving the Debtor into various classes as outlined below.

Administrative expense claims (i.e. post-petition claims) are not classified and shall be paid in full as allowed by the Bankruptcy Court. Administrative Expense Claims primarily include the allowed fees and expenses incurred by the Debtorøs professionals, including bankruptcy counsel and special land development counsel. All professional fees and expenses remain subject to Bankruptcy Court approval, following the filing of a written application and additional notice to creditors. Once allowed, professional fees and expenses will be paid on the Effective Date or pursuant to such other terms as are mutually acceptable to the Debtor and its counsel.

All other post-petition debts and obligations, including quarterly fees due to the Office of the United States Trustee, are current and will continue to be paid by the Debtor in the ordinary course of business in maintaining and operating the Lake Anne Property without formal treatment under the Plan.

## A. Summary of Classification and Treatment of Claims and Equity Interests

Class	Designation	Impaired
Class 1	Greene Family Claim	Yes
Class 2	Claims of Governmental Units	No
Class 3	Security Deposit Claims	Yes
Class 4	Unsecured Claims	Yes
Class 5	Claim of Erno Bodek	Yes
Class 5	Equity Interests	No

#### B. Classification, Treatment and Voting

### Class 1: The Greene Family Mortgage Claim

<u>Classification</u> - Class 1 consists of the allowed secured <u>pre-petition</u> claim of the Greene Family in such amount as finally determined by the Bankruptcy Court following resolution of the Debtorøs pending claim objection (ECF No. 85).

Treatment ó Once fixed and determined by entry of a Final Order, the Class 1 Greene Family Claim shall be restructured under a Till based mortgage restructuring. More particularly, the allowed secured claim shall be immediately paid-down by \$1.0 million via the Initial Cash Pay Down to create the Revised Principal Balance, which shall then be memorialized by a revised note and mortgage (hereinafter the õRevised Mortgage Noteö). The Revised Mortgage Note shall conform to the terms of the Plan and contain other customary terms and conditions. The Revised Mortgage Note shall be paid over a period of between 43 months and 66 months (the õRevised Maturity Dateö), starting thirty (30) days after the Effective Date. The Revised Maturity Date depends on the final amount of the Greene Family allowed claim. If the claim is reduced, the term is shorter based on the equal monthly payments of \$100,000. The Revised Principal Debt shall be paid with post-confirmation interest at a õTillö rate equal to

4.25% per annum, in monthly payments of \$100,000 for amortization and interest until the debt is paid. The Debtor shall have the right to prepay the Revised Mortgage Note without penalty at any time.

The Class 1 Secured claim of the Greene Family shall survive confirmation of the Plan as a first lien encumbering the Lake Anne Property; provided, however, the Debtor and Greene Family shall execute and file a modified mortgage instrument on the Effective Date conforming to the Plan and otherwise providing for partial release(s) of the modified mortgage by the Greene Family in the event of future sale(s) of individual lots—in consideration for receipt of 100% of the net proceeds from future sales until the allowed pre-petition claim is paid in full. Additionally, upon the Effective Date, the pending foreclosure action commenced by the Greene Family in the Supreme Court, Orange County (Index No. 563-2011) shall be dismissed and discontinued and the pending notice of pendency shall be vacated. The entry of the Confirmation Order shall provide the Debtor with the requisite authority to take all necessary action to effectuate the recording of the modified mortgage and dismissal of the foreclosure action. To the extent that the Debtor refinances the Revised Mortgage Note prior to the Revised Maturity Date hereunder, the Greene Family shall assign the modified mortgage instrument to the Reorganized Debtorgs designated lender without additional fees or expenses other than reasonable attorneys fees for preparing the appropriate assignment documents.

Voting 6 Because the allowed Class 1 Greene Family Claim is being restructured under a <u>Till</u> analysis, it is impaired and eligible to vote on the Plan. The Debtor can still confirm the Plan over the Greene Family and anticipated objection because the Plan treats the allowed

secured claim ofairly and equitably within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. § 1129(b)(2)(B). Among other things, the Greene Family will receive deferred cash payments equal to the present value of its allowed secured claim.

## **Class 2: Claims of Taxing Authorities**

<u>Classification</u> ó Class 2 consists of the allowed secured or priority tax claims held by governmental units, including State of New York and Orange County.

<u>Treatment</u> 6 The Debtor shall pay all allowed Class 2 claims of governmental units in full on the Effective Date, totaling approximately \$306,000, whereupon any preconfirmation real estate tax liens held by Orange County shall be deemed satisfied and extinguished.

The City of New York filed a priority claim in the amount of \$3,850 for alleged unpaid City unincorporated business taxes. The Debtor never operated in New York City, and does not believe that this claim is valid. If the City does not agree to voluntarily withdraw the claim, the Debtor intends to objection to the claim prior to Confirmation. To the extent that the City obtains an allowed claim, it will be paid in full on the Effective Date or under such other terms as the parties may agree.

**<u>Voting</u>** ó Class 2 tax liens are unimpaired.

## **Class 3: Claims of Former Tenants**

<u>Classification</u> ó Class 3 consists of the allowed claims of former tenants for return of security deposits. There are <u>twothree</u> Class 3 creditors with claims totaling \$2,6154,852.

<u>Treatment</u> ó The Debtor shall pay all allowed Class 3 claims in full within one year of the Effective Date.

**<u>Voting</u>** ó Class 3 claims are impaired and entitled to vote on the Plan.

#### **Class 4: Unsecured Claims**

<u>Classification</u> ó Class 4 consists of the allowed Unsecured Claims of non-insider creditors. There are <u>threetwo</u> Class 4 creditors with claims totaling \$31,67729,440.

<u>Treatment</u> ó The Debtor shall pay all allowed Class 4 claims in full within one year of the Effective Date.

**<u>Voting</u>** ó Class 4 claims are impaired and entitled to vote on the Plan.

### Class 5: Claim of the Former Equity Interest Holder

<u>Classification</u> ó Class 5 consists of the allowed claim of Erno Bodek (õBodekö), a former member of the Debtor, whose membership interest was diluted after Bodek failed to complete required capital contributions. Because the Bodek claim is predicated on a partial return of capital, it is being separately classified from general unsecured creditors.

Treatment ó Consistent with the Funding Order, the Debtor shall pay the Class 5 Bodek claim the total sum of \$100,000, amounting to 10% of the filed proof of claim, in full settlement, satisfaction and discharge of all rights that Bodek has or may have against the Debtor, the Reorganized Debtor or the Lake Anne Property. The payments to Bodek shall be made in

twelve (12) equal consecutive monthly installments commencing on the Effective Date. To the extent necessary, the Debtor shall file objections to Bodekøs claim to reduce it consistent with the Funding Order.

**Voting** ó The Class 5 claim is impaired, but not entitled to vote on the Plan since Bodek is a former member of the Debtor and an insider within the meaning of the Bankruptcy Code.

### **Class 6: Equity Security Holders**

<u>Classification</u> ó Class 6 consists of the current equity interests in the Debtor held by the eleven investors as noted in the Funding Order.

Treatment 6 The current Class 6 Equity Interests (excluding Bodek) shall be treated in accordance with the Funding Order and each investor shall be eligible to retain his continuing membership interest in the Reorganized Debtor so long as the investor continues to timely make all required capital contributions pursuant to the Funding Order. Investors who fail to make necessity capital contributions are subject to dilution as set forth in the Funding Order. For avoidance of doubt, the Funding Order is hereby incorporated as part of the Plan and governs the future rights of the Debtorøs investors on a post-confirmation basis.

**<u>Voting</u>** ó As insiders, Class 6 equity holders are not eligible to vote on the Plan.

#### V. MEANS FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PLAN

<u>Funding</u>. The Plan shall be financed through the additional New Value Contributions to be made by the Debtor's investors, projected to aggregate approximately

\$1,800,000. plus an in interest reserve of \$500,000. The contributions shall be made on a *pro rata* basis. Since the Chapter 11 filing, the Debtorøs investors have contributed total the sum of approximately \$3.2 million to re-launch development of the Lake Anne Property and pay ongoing post-petition debt service to the Greene Family plus real estate taxes and insurance.

## **Vesting of Assets.**

- (a) The Property. All assets of the Debtorøs estate, including the Lake Anne Property, shall revest in the Reorganized Debtor, free and clear of all claims, liens, taxes and encumbrances, except that the Lake Anne Property shall remain subject to the modified mortgage instrument to be provided to the Greene Family pursuant to the Plan.
- (b) **Preservation of Other Rights and Claims.** All other claims and causes of action belonging to the Debtor shall remain property of the Debtor sestate and shall be vested in the Reorganized Debtor (i.e. the Debtor following Confirmation of the Plan).

<u>Post-Confirmation Management.</u> Pending completion of the development, the Reorganized Debtor shall continue to be managed by the Debtorøs steering committee headed by Y.C. Rubin.

Assumption of Executory Contracts. Any existing executory contracts, are hereby deemed assumed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §365 without the necessity of the filing of a formal motion. The Debtor also reaffirms and ratifies its only known executory contract relates to the existing consulting agreement with Simon Gelb and CPC LLC; which. This consulting agreement shall continue to be in effect after confirmation of the Plan.

Retention of Jurisdiction. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain jurisdiction to consider the following matters after confirmation of the Plan: (a) to enforce, implement, interpret or modify the Plan under applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code; (b) to allow, disallow, determine, liquidate or classify, any secured or unsecured claim including, without limitation, the resolution of any request for payment of any Administrative Expenses, the resolution of any pending objections to the allowance any Claims, and the resolution of any pending adversary proceeding; (c) to grant or deny any and all applications for allowance of compensation and reimbursement of expenses by the professionals retained during the bankruptcy case; (d) to resolve any motions or applications pending on the Effective Date of the Plan; and (e) to enter a final decree closing the bankruptcy case based upon substantial consummation of the Plan.

### VI. BASIC REQUIREMENTS FOR CONFIRMATION OF THE PLAN

While § 1129(a) of the Bankruptcy Code lists a number of findings that need to be made prior to Confirmation, two of the requirements are worth highlighting for purposes of the Disclosure Statement:

A. Feasibility Of The Plan. As a prerequisite to confirmation, Bankruptcy Code § 1129(a)(11) requires that the Debtor and its equity interest holders demonstrate their ability to fund the Plan and establish that confirmation is not likely to be followed by the need for further financial reorganization or restructuring. To put it in the vernacular, of the proof is in the puddingo. Thus, the Debtor intends to establish a reserve of \$1,800,000 so the Court and creditors can be assured that the monies needed to confirm the Plan are readily available.

Going forward, the Debtor proposes to pay \$100,000 per month on the Restructured Mortgage Debt for amortization and interest at the <u>Till</u> rate of 4.25% for between 43 and 66 months, depending on the final allowed amount of the Greene Family <u>pre-petition</u> claim.

As is clear from these amortization charts annexed hereto as Exhibits õAö and õBö, respectively, the Debtorøs proposal to pay \$100,000 is more than adequate to pay offdown the Restructured Mortgage Debt overpending sales of future lots. Annexed hereto as Exhibit õGö is composite statement showing the proposed period, regardless of the amount in which the claimexpenditure of the Greene Family claim is finally allowedfunds for 2014 and 2015, since the Chapter 11 filing.

The Debtor believes that it will be able to demonstrate significant feasibility based upon its prior performance during this Chapter 11 ease, and commitment of the equity interest holders to funding the Plan.

Moreover, the Debtor will need approximately \$1,800,000 on the Effective Date to make the initial payment of \$1.0 million to the Greene Family on the restructured mortgage, and pay all administration expenses and pre-petition real estate taxes. The investors will deposit the required New Value Contribution at least one day prior to the start of the confirmation hearing.

The New Value Contribution will continue thereafter under the Funding Order to provide additional working capital going forward. Annexed hereto as Exhibit õFö is a budget showing the actual operating expenses for 2014 and the first eight and ½ months of 2015, which

have been paid pursuant to the Funding Order, with a projected budget for remainder of 2015 and all of 2016.

**B. Best Interests Of Creditors Test.** The Plan must also be in the õbest interests of creditorsö. This is a legal term of art which requires that the Plan provides a dividend to the class of creditors that vote against the Plan, which is equal to or greater than the distribution, those creditors would realistically receive if the Debtor were to be liquidated under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code. Since the Debtor is paying all claims 100% of their respective allowed amounts over time, this requirement is readilyalso met.

As alluded to above Moreover, the Plan can still be confirmed even if, as expected, the Greene Family does not vote favorably on the Plan, through the ocramdowno provisions of Section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code. This familiar section of the Bankruptcy Code permits confirmation if (i) all other requirements of section 1129(a) of the Bankruptcy Code are satisfied, (ii) at least one impaired Class of Claims votes to accept the Plan without regard to any vote cast on account of a Claim held by oinsiders (as defined in the Bankruptcy Code) and (iii) as to each impaired Class which has not accepted the Plan, the Bankruptcy Court determines that the Plan odoes not discriminate unfairly and is of an equitable of with respect to such non-accepting Class.

The Debtor anticipates that both the Class 3 security deposit creditors and the Class 4 general unsecured creditors will vote in favor of the Plan, providing the necessary accepting class for ocramdowno purposes, even if the Greene Family votes against the Plan.

A plan õdoes not discriminate unfairlyö if the legal rights of a non-accepting class are treated in a manner that is consistent with the treatment of other classes whose legal rights are intertwined with those of the non-accepting class, and no class receives payments in excess of that which it is legally entitled to receive for its Claims or Interests.

The Plan provides that the Class 1 Secured Claim of the Greene Family as finally allowed will be paid in full based upon the reduced amount fixed by the Court. Therefore, the Plan does not discriminate unfairly with respect to the Greene Family.

Whether the Plan is fair and equitable depends upon the application of the so-called õabsolute priority rule.ö Subject to certain exceptions, this rule, codified in section 1129(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code, generally requires that an impaired Class of Claims or Interests that has not accepted the Plan must be paid in full if a more junior class receives any distribution under the Plan. However, one of the exceptions to the absolute priority rule is when equity holders have contributed new value. Here, the absolute priority rule would not be violated because of the significant New Value Contributions that have been and will continue to be made by the equity interest holders.

<u>investors.</u> It is expected that the parties will brief the issues and ask the Court to resolve them as part of the contested Confirmation Hearing.

#### **LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS**

As part of the confirmation process, the Debtor is required to provide a liquidation analysis to show that creditors stand to receive more than they can reasonably expect in a Chapter 7 case. The liquidation analysis in this case must focus on the value of the Lake Anne Property.

As presently situated, the Lake Anne Property has not yet reached its potential, and has a current fair market value of \$5.2 million without approvals in place. Thus, in liquidation, unsecured creditors cannot reasonably expect to receive any distribution, and even the secured claim of the Greene Family would likely not be paid in full. A copy of the Debtorøs liquidation analysis is annexed hereto as Exhibit õHö.

# **CONCLUSION**

The Debtor believes the Plan should be confirmed even over the possible objection

of the Greene Family.

Dated: New York, New York

September 18November 24, 2015

Goldberg Weprin Finkel Goldstein LLP Keen Equities LLC

> Attorneys for the Debtor 1501 Broadway, 21st Floor New York, NY 10036

Y.C. Rubin By: /s/ Kevin J. Nash, Esq. By:

x:\gwfg\new data\yen\word\keen equities llc-yc rubin (#rubyc.33297)\disclosure statement (amended: 48) 11-24-15-4.doc

Manager

Formatted: Font:

Formatted: Normal, Justified, Indent: First line: 1", Line spacing: Double, Don't

hyphenate

Formatted: Font: 8 pt

Formatted: Font: 8 pt

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

28