IN RE LEHMAN BROTHERS INC., CASE NO: 08-01420 (SCC) SIPA ONE HUNDRED NINETY-NINTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION: EXHIBIT 1 – NO LIABILITY CLAIMS

| | NAME / ADDRESS OF CLAIMANT | CLAIM NUMBER | DATE FILED | TOTAL CLAIM DOLLARS | TRUSTEE'S REASON FOR PROPOSED DISALLOWANCE |
|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | CARPENTER, THERESA F/K/A THERESA YOST EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION 72 NORTH STATE ROAD #170 BRIARCLIFF MANOR NEW YORK, NY 10510 | 3678 | 2/23/2009 | UNSPECIFIED* | No legal and/or factual justification for a claim against LBI. Claim 3678 is based on allegations of employment discrimination related to the claimant's pregnancy. The employment actions that are the subject of the claim were lawful and, further, the claimant executed a separation agreement releasing all of her claims. To the extent the claim is not expunged in its entirety pursuant to this objection, LBI reserves all of its right to dispute the validity of the claim on any basis whatsoever. |
| 2 | DOMINIK, JURGEN C\O KIRBY NOONAN LANCE HOGE LLP JAMES LANCE 350 TENTH AVENUE SUITE 1300 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 | 7001020 | 1/28/2009 | \$2,280,000.00 | No legal and/or factual justification for a claim against LBI. Claim 7001020 asserts a claim based on allegations of interference with contractual relations. These claims were settled and dismissed with prejudice on April 1, 2009 by the United States District Court of the Southern District of California. <i>See Dominik v. LBI</i> , 07 CV 0171 IEG (JMA) (S.D. Cal. April 1, 2009) (No. 63). To the extent the claim is not expunged in its entirety pursuant to this objection, LBI reserves all of its rights to dispute the validity of the claim on any basis whatsoever. |
| 3 | FORD, KAREN POST PAMELA B. WILLIAMS ATTORNEY 3730 KIRBY SUITE 1200 HOUSTON, TX 77098 | 4595 | 5/19/2009 | \$1,300,000.00 | No legal and/or factual justification for a claim against LBI. Claim 4595 is based on allegations of personal injury and employment discrimination. The employment actions that are the subject of the claim were lawful, as, among other things, claimant violated employment rules. To the extent the claim is not expunged in its entirety pursuant to this objection, LBI reserves all of its rights to dispute the validity of the claim on any basis whatsoever. |
| | Total | | | \$3,580,000.00 | |

^{*} Claim includes unspecified amounts (i.e., amounts not specified by the claimant, amounts listed in a foreign currency, unliquidated amounts and/or amounts listed as "unknown", "\$0.00*", "unascertainable", "undetermined", or where no dollar amounts were entered in the spaces provided on the proof of claim form), or is a customer claim reclassified to a general creditor claim, which, consistent with the general creditor claims register, is listed as unspecified even where the claimant listed a specific amount on the SIPC customer claim form.