Case 8:12-bk-12599-ES Doc 113 Filed 06/28/12 Entered 06/28/12 19:30:04 Desc

Main Document Page 2 of 39 **Contents** 1 INTRODUCTION......5 I. 2 PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT......5 A. 3 В. Deadlines for Voting and Objecting; Date of Plan Confirmation Hearing......6 4 5 **C**. 6 D. Deadline For Voting For or Against the Plan......7 7 E. Identity of Person to Contact for More Information Regarding 8 F. 9 10 G. 11 II. BACKGROUND......8 12 Α. 13 B. 14 C. 15 D. 16 E. 17 i. 18 ii. Other Legal Proceedings......13 19 iii. 20 iv. 21 Actual and Projected Recovery of Preferential or ν. 2.2 Fraudulent Transfers......14 Procedures Implemented to Resolve Financial Problems14 23 vi. SUMMARY OF THE PLAN OF REORGANIZATION15 2.4 III. 2.5 GENERAL OVERVIEW15 A. 2.6 B.

2.7

2.8

C.

D.

Case	8:12-bk-1259		
	E.	Main Document Page 3 of 39 CLASSIFIED CLAIMS AND INTERESTS	17
1		Class 1 - Secured Claim of Wells Fargo Bank	17
2		Class 2 - Secured Claim of Kay Nam Kim	18
3		Class 3 - Secured Claim of Merhdad Elie	18
4		Class 4 – Priority Unsecured Claims	19
5		Class 5A – Unsecured Claims	19
6		Class 5B – Unsecured Claims- Convenience Class	20
7		Class 6 – Debtor	20
8	F.	Means of Performing the Plan	20
9		i. Funding for the Plan	20
10		iii. Disbursing Agent	21
11		iv. Distributions	21
12	G.	RISK FACTORS	21
13	H.	OTHER PROVISIONS OF THE PLAN	22
14		1. EXECUTORY CONTRACTS AND UNEXPIRED	22
15		LEASES	
16	2.	Changes in Rates Subject to Regulatory Commission Approval	
17	3.	Retention of Jurisdiction.	
18	I.	TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE PLAN	23
19	J. CONF	FIRMATION REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES	24
20	1.	WHO MAY VOTE OR OBJECT	24
21		i. Who May Object to Confirmation of the Plan	24
22		ii. Who May Vote to Accept/Reject the Plan	24
23		iii. Who is Not Entitled to Vote	25
24		iv. Who Can Vote in More Than One Class	26
25		v. Votes Necessary to Confirm the Plan	26
26		vi. Votes Necessary for a Class to Accept the Plan	26
27		vii. Treatment of Non-Accepting Classes	27
28		viii. Request for Confirmation Despite Non-Acceptance by Impaired Classes	27
	•		

Case	8:12-b	ok-1259		Desc
		2.	Main Document Page 4 of 39 LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS	27
1		3.	Feasibility	27
2	K.	EFFE	CT OF CONFIRMATION OF PLAN	28
3		1.	Discharge	29
4		2.	Revesting of Property in the Debtor	29
5		3.	Modification of Plan	29
6 7		4.	Post-Confirmation Employment and Compensation of Reorganized Debtor's Professionals	30
8		5.	Post-Confirmation Status Report	30
9		6.	Post-Confirmation Conversion/Dismissal	30
10		7.	Final Decree	31
11	SUPP	ORTIN	NG DECLARATION OF ANDY KIM	32
12	EXHI	BIT A -	- LIST OF ALL ASSETS	33
13		REAL	PROPERTY	33
14		OTHE	ER ASSETS	33
15	EXHI	BIT B -	- DEBTOR'S PROJECTIONS	34
16	EXHI	BIT C -	– DEBTOR'S FINANCIAL HISTORY	35
17	EXHI	BIT D -	- LIST OF CREDITORS/CLAIMS	36
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

Page 5 of 39 Main Document

I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Laguna Brisas, LLC., ("the Debtor"), Debtor-in-Possession in the above-referenced Chapter 11 case, commenced its bankruptcy case by filing a voluntary petition under Chapter 11 of 11 U.S.C. §101 et seq. (the "Bankruptcy Code") on February 29, 2012. It is hereinafter referred to as the "Debtor." This document is the Chapter 11 Disclosure Statement. Chapter 11 allows the Debtor, and under some circumstances, creditors and others parties in interest, to propose a plan of reorganization (the "Plan"). The Plan may provide for the Debtor to reorganize by continuing to operate, to liquidate by selling assets of the estate, or a combination of both. The Debtor is the proponent (the "Proponent") of the Plan sent to you in the same envelope as this document. THE DOCUMENT YOU ARE READING IS THE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FOR THE ENCLOSED PLAN.

In summary, this Plan provides for payment to holders of allowed claims. The timing of Plan payments to particular creditor groups will depend upon their classification under the Plan. The Effective Date of the Plan shall be the first Business Day that is 14 calendar days after the entry of the Order Confirming the Plan.

A. PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT

This Disclosure Statement summarizes what is in the Plan, and tells you certain information relating to the Plan and the process the Court follows in determining whether or not to confirm the Plan.

READ THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT CAREFULLY IF YOU WANT TO **KNOW ABOUT:**

- **(1)** WHO CAN VOTE OR OBJECT;
- **(2)** WHAT THE TREATMENT OF YOUR CLAIM IS (i.e., what your claim will receive if the Plan is confirmed), AND HOW THIS TREATMENT COMPARES TO WHAT YOUR CLAIM WOULD RECEIVE IN LIQUIDATION;
 - (3)THE HISTORY OF THE DEBTOR AND SIGNIFICANT

LAW OFFICES M. Jonathan Haves information contained in this Disclosure Statement is true and correct to the Debtor's best knowledge. The Court has not yet determined whether the Plan is confirmable and makes no recommendation as to whether or not you should support or oppose the Plan.

G. <u>IMPORTANT NOTICES AND CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS</u>

The liquidation analysis, estimates and other financial information referenced herein, or attached as exhibits hereto, have been developed by the Debtor with the assistance of its professional advisors. Although these professional advisors assisted in the preparation of this Disclosure Statement, in doing so such professionals relied upon factual information and assumptions regarding financial, business, and accounting data provided by the Debtor and third parties, all of which information has not been audited. *The Debtor's professional advisors have not independently verified such information and, accordingly, make no representations as to its accuracy.* Moreover, although reasonable efforts have been made to provide accurate information, the Debtor cannot warrant or represent that the information in this Disclosure Statement, including any and all financial information, is without inaccuracy or omission.

No entity may rely upon the Plan or this Disclosure Statement, or any of the accompanying exhibits, for any purpose other than to determine whether to vote in favor of or against the Plan. Nothing contained in such documents constitutes an admission of any fact or liability by any party, and no such information may be deemed evidence of the tax or other legal effects of the Plan on holders of claims or interests in these cases.

II. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

A. <u>DESCRIPTION AND HISTORY OF THE DEBTOR'S BUSINESS</u>

operations and currently the three properties are under bankruptcy Chapter 11.

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

9 10

8

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

> 26 27

wholly owned by its two managing members, Dae In "Andy" Kim and his wife, Jane Kim. Mr. Kim majored in hotel management in college and went into the hotel business in 1996. Since that time he has purchased seven hotels and currently owns three hotels. The financial crisis beginning in 2008 has adversely affected the economics of the hotel

Laguna Brisas Spa Hotel. It is wholly owned by A & J Mutual, LLC. which in turn is

The Debtor is a Delaware Limited Liability Company and its dba is Best Western

The Debtor owns a Best Western Plus Hotel and Spa in Laguna Beach, CA consisting of 66 rooms, 1,300 square feet of meeting space, an outdoor pool and whirlpool, and a business center (the "Hotel"). The Hotel was built in 1985 and purchased by Mr. Kim in 2002. The Debtor has a franchise agreement with Best Western Plus which allows the hotel to operate under the Best Western Plus "flag."

Mr. Kim estimates that the fair market value today of the Best Western Plus Laguna Beach is roughly \$14-15 million. The Hotel was appraised on December 28, 2008 for \$14.8 million by HVS Consulting and Valuation, Division of M&R Valuation Services, Inc.

The first priority lien in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Trustee for the registered holders of Bank of America Commercial Mortgage Inc., Commercial Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-3, by and through CW Capital Asset Management LLC, solely in its capacity as Special Servicer (hereinafter "Wells Fargo") is approximately \$8.7 million. Wells Fargo has filed a proof of claim asserting that its total claim is \$10,697,000 which includes, Non-Default Interest, \$90,275.73; Default Interest, \$121,795.72; Legal Fees, \$46,413.07; Protective Property Advances, \$7,178.20; Late Charges, \$18,246.80; Prepayment Fee, \$1,870,250.51. Without the default interest and prepayment fee, the amount owed is about \$8,700,000.

There are two other liens to private individuals totaling no more than \$1.5 million. Property taxes are current. This property therefore has at least \$3.5 million of equity.

Mr Kim, through A&J, purchased the Best Western Plus Laguna Beach Hotel in 2002, and then refinanced in 2006. The new lender wanted the hotel to be owned by a Delaware LLC so the Kims, through A&J, transferred the property to Laguna Brisas, LLC. At that time, revenue was in the \$2,100,000 annual range. After extensive renovations, revenues increased to approximately \$3,600,000 in 2007. Revenue and net operating income for 2010 and 2011 is below. The revenue for 2012 is based on actual revenue for January through May, 2012 and projections thereafter. The percentages are the increases over the previous year. See **Exhibit "B"** for more detail.

	2010	2011		2012	
Total Revenue	\$2,733,832	\$2,808,918	2.7%	\$2,976,114	6.0%
Operating Income	1,657,338	1,779,991	7.4%	1,867,986	4.9%

As of April 30, 2012, the Debtor had \$247,000 in its bank account in the custody of the receiver.

The hotel is located on leased land. In 2001, the land was originally owned by MBP Land, LLC. MBP Land, LLC. leased the property to Ram Dada, LLC for a term of fifty (50) years. Subsequent to the initial lease, MBP Land, LLC. transferred its ownership in the land to Rigg Hotel, LLC., which then transferred its ownership in the land to MTB, the current owner. The lease is currently assigned to MTB as the landlord. In addition, Ram Dada, LLC. assigned its interest in the lease to A & J Mutual, LLC., who then subsequently assigned the lease to Laguna Brisas, LLC., the current tenant and Debtor.

Per the lease agreement between the Debtor and MTB, the current monthly payment for the ground lease is \$37,275.72. There are approximately 43 years remaining on the lease. The lease is current and will be assumed by the Debtor.

B. PRINCIPALS/AFFILIATES OF DEBTOR'S BUSINESS

The following are the Debtor's insiders: Goldenpark, LLC. dba Norwalk Double

Tree Hotel; Paradise Hospitality, Inc. dba Toledo Grand Plaza Hotel dba El Dorado

Shopping Center; and Bluestone Lodging, LLC. Each of these related entities are operated

When Wells Fargo filed the NOD, it demanded default interest, legal fees, and other charges totaling approximately \$750,000. Mr. Kim then borrowed approximately \$800,000 from a friend, Kay Nam Kim, on February 2011 giving Kay Nam Kim a second deed of trust on the property. The Debtor used the loan proceeds to cure the Wells Fargo Bank loan and all the delinquent payments.

By September 2011, Wells Fargo again claimed a past due balance of some \$300,000. Again Mr. Kim went to a friend, Merhdad Elie, and borrowed \$150,000 to help cure the deficiency. Wells Fargo did not, however, release the NOD. The October loan payment of \$70,600 was made on September 30th. Wells Fargo at this point refused to rescind the NOD because of the new second lien which it asserted violated the terms of the loan agreement.

Wells Fargo Bank sought and received appointment of a Receiver, Byron Chapman of Rim Hospitality, who took possession on October 3, 2011. That Receiver has remained in possession of the Hotel during this case.

Mr. Kim, hoping to avoid a bankruptcy filing, attempted to resolve the default issues with Wells Fargo however he was finally forced to file a Chapter 11 petition on February 29, 2012, the day before the foreclosure sale.

E. SIGNIFICANT EVENTS DURING THE BANKRUPTCY

i. Bankruptcy Proceedings

The Debtor filed this case as a Chapter 11 case on February 29, 2012.

The Debtor filed its Motion for Authority to Use Cash Collateral and a Motion for Turnover of the Hotel by the Receiver on March 12, 2012. Wells Fargo opposed the turnover request and filed its own Motion to Excuse Compliance with Turnover. The Motions were heard on shortened notice on March 14, 2012. The Court granted interim use of cash collateral but denied the request for turnover. The Court continued the matters to April 13, 2012. On that day, the Court approved the use of cash collateral but denied the request for turnover without prejudice to bringing the motion again.

The Court set and Initial Status Conference for May 10, 2012. The Debtor filed its Status Report and attended the hearing. At that hearing, the Court set July 25, 2012 as the deadline to file Proofs of Claim (the "Bar Date"). The Debtor gave notice to all creditors of that date by Notice mailed on May 11, 2012.

The Debtor retained the Law Offices of M. Jonathan Hayes to represent it in the case. The Debtor filed its Application to Employ the Law Offices of M. Jonathan Hayes as general bankruptcy counsel on March 20, 2012. Wells Fargo objected to the Application and after Hayes filed a Supplemental Declaration, Wells Fargo withdrew its objection.

The Debtor attended the First Meeting of Creditors with the U.S. Trustee's Office on April 3, 2012 and April 17, 2012. The meeting was concluded on that date. The Debtor believes that it is substantially in compliance with its duties under the code and the office of the United States Trustee.

ii. Other Legal Proceedings

As stated above, Wells Fargo brought an action in Superior Court against the Debtor seeking appointment of a receiver which was granted. That action is pending at this time although it has been stayed by this bankruptcy case.

iii. Claims Objections

As the bar date has not yet passed, the Debtor has not made a final determination of what claims it will object to. At this time, the Debtor intends to object to the claims of Wells Fargo as to the prepayment penalty, Merdhad Eli as to the unsecured portion of its claim of \$150,000, and the California Franchise Tax Board. The Debtor will attempt to resolve those issues with the creditors before filing the objections.

The Debtor specifically reserves all rights to commence, continue or otherwise prosecute any and all causes of actions or claims of any nature what so ever against Wells Fargo Bank, Bank of America Commercial Mortgage Inc., Commercial Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-3, by and through CW Capital Asset Management LLC,

iv. Adversary Proceedings

There are no adversary proceedings pending and none are anticipated at this time other than against the Wells Fargo Parties set forth above.

v. Actual and Projected Recovery of Preferential or Fraudulent Transfers

The Debtor has investigated potential claims under Bankruptcy Code §§547 and
548. Upon completion of such investigation, the Debtor has determined that there are no viable actions for avoidance and recovery of preferential and fraudulent transfers.

vi. Procedures Implemented to Resolve Financial Problems

The Hotel has been profitable for a long time. The profits were used in some instances to solve financial difficulties of other entities owned by Mr. and Mrs. Kim. Once the Debtor got behind with Wells Fargo, Wells Fargo demanded and was paid \$374,141 as default interest, legal fees, and other charges in March of 2011, in addition to another \$272,060 of such charges in that same year. Payments to Wells Fargo therefore from October 2010 to September, 2011 totaled \$1,498,990 which includes the regular payments of \$846,788 during that period. The Debtor borrowed funds from two individuals to supplement those payments which generated additional interest and payments.

The Debtor will be careful not to breach any of its commitments to Wells Fargo in the future. In addition, the Debtor will retain the management firm of Cicero Hospitality Group to manage the hotel in the future. This group is managing Mr. Kim's Double Tree/Hilton Hotel at this time.

Administrative expenses are claims for costs or expenses of administering the

Debtor's Chapter 11 case that are allowed under Bankruptcy Code Section 507(a)(1). The Bankruptcy Code requires that all administrative claims be paid on the Effective Date of the Plan, unless a particular claimant agrees to a different treatment. The following is an estimate of the Section 507(a)(1) administrative claims that will be incurred and unpaid (net of any retainers received) through the Effective Date of the Plan and their treatment under this Plan.

<u>Name</u>	Amount Owed	<u>Treatment</u>
M. Jonathan Hayes (Attorney for the Debtor)	\$65,000 (estimated). This balance is net of the prepetition retainer received of \$20,000.	These fees will be paid in full on the Effective Date or immediately after court approval of the fees if later.
Clerk's Office Fees	\$0 (estimated	Paid when due
Office of the U.S. Trustee Fees	\$325 - \$650 per quarter (estimated)	Quarterly fees, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1930(a)(6), shall be paid until a final decree is entered or the case is dismissed or converted.
TOTAL	\$65,000	

Court Approval of Fees Required

Requests by professionals for payment of fees and costs are generally subject to review and approval by the Court. Fees of the Court Clerk and the Office of the United States Trustee are not subject to Court approval and will be paid in the ordinary course of business when due.

• PRIORITY TAX CLAIMS

Priority tax claims are certain unsecured income, employment and other taxes described by Code Section 507(a)(8). The Code requires that each holder of such a 507(a)(8) priority tax claim receive the present value of such claim in deferred cash payments, over a period not exceeding five years from the petition date.

The Debtor owes the following taxes:

M. Jonathan Haves

Description	Amount Owed	Treatment
Name = City of Laguna Beach, Transient Occupancy Tax ("TOT")	\$80,000 (est)	This claim will be paid in full on the Effective Date.
Name = Franchise Tax Board	\$25,112	This claim will be paid in full on the Effective Date or immediately
$\underline{\text{Type of tax}} =$		after resolution of the actual amount owed.

C. CLASSIFIED CLAIMS AND INTERESTS

Class 1 - Secured Claim of Wells Fargo Bank

Claim/Collateral: Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Trustee for the registered holders of Bank of America Commercial Mortgage Inc., Commercial Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-3, by and through CWCapital Asset Management LLC, solely in its capacity as Special Servicer (hereinafter "Wells Fargo") has an estimated claim of approximately \$8.7 million which is secured by a first priority deed of trust on the Debtor's real property located at 1600 South Coast HWY, Laguna Beach, CA 92651 (hereinafter the "Hotel"). The Hotel is valued by the Debtor at \$14-15 million.

<u>Impaired/Not Impaired:</u> The Class 1 Claim is impaired under the Plan.

Treatment: The obligation of the Debtor to Wells Fargo Bank, will be paid in full, at the contract interest rate of 6.23%, as may be adjusted pursuant to the terms of the Promissory Note, or approximately \$57,000 per month, starting on the first day of the first month following the Effective Date, estimated to be September 1, 2012. The Debtor will pay the cure amount estimated to be \$162,114 on the Effective Date. The Debtor will pay WFB a final payment of all amounts owed at the time on May 1, 2019.

<u>Comments</u>: On the Effective Date, the loan will be treated as cured and no longer in default. No new loan documents will be required. Except as modified herein, all terms of the original loan as existing on the Effective Date will remain in effect and specifically Wells Fargo will retain its lien on the Hotel. On the Effective Date, the receiver will turn the Hotel over to the Debtor who will immediately turn the management over to Cicero Hospitality Group. WFB has \$82,500 as a ground lease rent reserve, \$106,353 as ground

LAW OFFICES

M. Jonathan Haves

2.4

2.7

3

4

5

67

8

1011

12

13

14 15

1617

18 19

2021

22

24

23

24

2526

27

28

approximately \$100,000 from a property tax refund due to a new valuation of the property value in 2010.

Class 2 - Secured Claim of Kay Nam Kim

<u>Claim/Collateral:</u> Kay Nam Kim has an estimated claim of approximately \$1.2 million which is secured by a second priority deed of trust on the Debtor's real property located at 1600 South Coast HWY, Laguna Beach, CA 92651 (hereinafter the "Hotel"). The Hotel is valued by the Debtor at \$14-15 million. This claim is "cross-collateralized" with another hotel owned by a related entity, Goldenpark, LLC.

Impaired/Not Impaired: The Class 2 Claim is impaired under the Plan.

Treatment: The Debtor will pay Kay Nam Kim \$600,000 on account of his class 2 Claim as follows: monthly payments of \$3,694 for 60 months and a balloon payment of approximately \$557,000 on the fifth anniversary of the Effective Date. Until paid in full, the claim will accrue will accrue interest at 6.25% on the amount of \$600,000. The first payment will be made on the first day of the first month following the Effective Date, estimated to be September 1, 2012.

Comments: On the Effective Date, the loan will be cured and no longer in default. No new loan documents will be required. Except as modified herein, all terms of the original loan will remain in effect. The remainder of the total claim of Kay Nam Kim is being paid in the related bankruptcy case of Goldenpark, LLC. Upon payment of the \$600,000 as set forth herein, Kay Nam Kim will reconvey his lien on the Hotel to the Debtor.

Class 3 - Secured Claim of Merhdad Elie

<u>Claim/Collateral:</u> Merhdad Elie has an estimated claim of approximately \$220,732 which is secured by a third priority deed of trust on the Debtor's real property located at 1600 South Coast HWY, Laguna Beach, CA 92651 (hereinafter the "Hotel"). The Hotel is

Class 4 – Priority Unsecured Claims

Claims: Certain priority claims specified in Sections 507(a)(3), (4), (5), (6), and (7) of the Bankruptcy Code are required to be classified in the Plan. Each class of priority claims must be paid in cash in full on the Effective Date of the Plan unless the holders of such claims vote, as a class, to accept deferred payments.

In the present case, the following are the priority claims:

Description	Amount Owed	Treatment
Scott Gaw, Wages	\$10,588	This claim will be paid in full on the Effective Date.

<u>Impaired/Not Impaired:</u> Allowed Priority Claims are unimpaired under the Plan.

Class 5A – Unsecured Claims

LAW OFFICES
M. Jonathan Hayes

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

4 5

6 7

9 10

8

12 13

11

15 16

14

17

18

19

21

22

20

23 24 25

27

28

26

F. OTHER PROVISIONS OF THE PLAN

1. EXECUTORY CONTRACTS AND UNEXPIRED LEASES

a. Assumptions

The Debtor will assume the following unexpired leases:

1. The ground lease between the Debtor and MTB, LLC. Per the assumed lease agreement between the Debtor and MTB, the current monthly payment for the ground lease is \$37,275.72. The lease is current and not in default.

The Debtor reserves the right to modify the Plan to designate additional contracts or leases to be assumed at any time prior to the hearing on Confirmation of the Plan.

Each of the unexpired leases and executory contracts so designated shall be assumed as obligations of the Debtor. The Confirmation Order shall constitute an Order approving the assumption of each lease and contract. If you are a party to a lease or contract to be assumed and you object to the assumption of your lease or contract, you must file and serve your objection to the Plan within the deadline for objecting to the confirmation of the Plan.

b. Rejections

The Debtor will not reject any unexpired executor contract. Any unexpired lease or executory contract not expressly rejected shall be deemed assumed as of the Effective Date. The Debtor reserves the right to modify the Plan to designate certain contracts or leases for rejection at any time prior to the hearing on confirmation of the Plan.

The order confirming the Plan shall constitute an order approving the rejection of such leases and contracts. If you are a party to a contract or lease to be rejected and you object to the rejection of your contract or lease, you must file and serve your objection to the Plan within the deadline for objecting to the confirmation of the Plan. See Disclosure Statement for the specific date.

THE BAR DATE FOR FILING A PROOF OF CLAIM BASED ON A CLAIM

28

or material effect on its tax liability. The Debtor makes no representations regarding the

The Debtor does not anticipate that confirmation of the Plan will have a significant

potential tax consequences to creditors.

1 2

3

5

4

6 7

8

9

12 13

11

14

16

15

18

17

19

20

21

2223

2425

26 27

28

H. CONFIRMATION REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES

PERSONS OR ENTITIES CONCERNED WITH CONFIRMATION OR THIS PLAN SHOULD CONSULT WITH THEIR OWN ATTORNEYS BECAUSE THE LAW ON CONFIRMING A PLAN OF REORGANIZATION IS VERY COMPLEX. The following discussion is intended solely for the purpose of alerting readers about basic confirmation issues, which they may wish to consider, as well as certain deadlines for filing claims. The proponent CANNOT and DOES NOT represent that the discussion contained below is a complete summary of the law on this topic.

Many requirements must be met before the Court can confirm a Plan. Some of the requirements include that the Plan must be proposed in good faith, acceptance of the Plan, whether the Plan pays creditors at least as much as creditors would receive in a Chapter 7 liquidation, and whether the Plan is feasible. These requirements are <u>not</u> the only requirements for confirmation.

1. WHO MAY VOTE OR OBJECT

i. Who May Object to Confirmation of the Plan

Any party in interest may object to the confirmation of the Plan, but as explained below not everyone is entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan.

ii. Who May Vote to Accept/Reject the Plan

A creditor or interest holder has a right to vote for or against the Plan if that creditor or interest holder has a claim which is both (1) allowed or allowed for voting purposes and (2) classified in an impaired class.

a. What Is an Allowed Claim/Interest

As noted above, a creditor or interest holder must first have an <u>allowed claim or</u> <u>interest</u> to have the right to vote. Generally, any proof of claim or interest will be allowed, unless a party in interest brings a motion objecting to the claim. When an objection to a

claim or interest is filed, the creditor or interest holder holding the claim or interest cannot vote unless the Court, after notice and hearing, either overrules the objection or allows the claim or interest for voting purposes.

THE BAR DATE FOR FILING A PROOF OF CLAIM IN THIS CASE IS

JULY 25, 2012. A creditor or interest holder may have an allowed claim or interest even if a proof of claim or interest was not timely filed. A claim is deemed allowed if (1) it is scheduled on the Debtor's schedules and such claim is not scheduled as disputed, contingent, or unliquidated, and (2) no party in interest has objected to the claim. An interest is deemed allowed if it is scheduled and no party in interest has objected to the interest. Consult **Exhibit "D"** to see how the Debtor has characterized your claim or interest.

b. What Is an Impaired Claim/Interest

As noted above, an allowed claim or interest only has the right to vote if it is in a class that is <u>impaired</u> under the Plan. A class is impaired if the Plan alters the legal, equitable, or contractual rights of the members of that class. For example, a class comprised of general unsecured claims is impaired if the Plan fails to pay the members of that class 100% of what they are owed.

In this case, the Proponent believes that Classes 1, 2, 3 and 5A are impaired and that holders of claims in each of these classes are therefore entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan. The Proponents believe that Classes 4 and 5B are unimpaired and that holders of claims of that class therefore do not have the right to vote to accept or reject the Plan. Parties who dispute the Proponents' characterization of their claim or interest as being impaired or unimpaired may file an objection to the Plan contending that the Proponents have incorrectly characterized the class.

iii. Who is Not Entitled to Vote

The following four types of claims are <u>not</u> entitled to vote: (1) claims that have been disallowed; (2) claims in unimpaired classes; (3) claims entitled to priority pursuant to

Code sections 507(a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(8); and (4) claims in classes that do not receive or retain any value under the Plan. Claims in unimpaired classes are not entitled to vote because such classes are deemed to have accepted the Plan. Claims entitled to priority pursuant to Code sections 507(a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(7) are not entitled to vote because such claims are not placed in classes and they are required to receive certain treatment specified by the Code. Claims in classes that do not receive or retain any value under the Plan do not vote because such classes are deemed to have rejected the Plan. EVEN IF YOUR CLAIM IS OF THE TYPE DESCRIBED ABOVE, YOU MAY STILL HAVE A RIGHT TO OBJECT TO THE CONFIRMATION OF THE PLAN.

iv. Who Can Vote in More Than One Class

A creditor whose claim has been allowed in part as a secured claim and in part as an unsecured claim is entitled to accept or reject a Plan in both capacities by casting one ballot for the secured part of the claim and another ballot for the unsecured claim.

v. Votes Necessary to Confirm the Plan

If impaired classes exist, the Court cannot confirm the Plan unless (1) at least one impaired class has accepted the Plan without counting the votes of any insiders within that class, and (2) all impaired classes have voted to accept the Plan, unless the Plan is eligible to be confirmed by "cramdown" on non-accepting classes, as is discussed later.

vi. Votes Necessary for a Class to Accept the Plan

A class of claims is considered to have accepted the Plan when more than one-half (1/2) in number and at least two-thirds (2/3) in dollar amount of the claims which actually voted, voted in favor of the Plan. A class of interests is considered to have accepted the Plan when at least two-thirds (2/3) in amount of the shareholders of such class that actually voted, voted to accept the Plan.

M. Jonathan Haves

vii. Treatment of Non-Accepting Classes

As noted above, even if all impaired classes do not accept the proposed Plan, the Court may nonetheless confirm the Plan if the nonaccepting classes are treated in the manner required by the Code. The process by which nonaccepting classes are forced to be bound by the terms of the Plan is commonly referred to as "cramdown." The Code allows the Plan to be "crammed down" on nonaccepting classes of claims or interests if it meets all consensual requirements except the voting requirements of 1129(a)(8) and if the Plan does not "discriminate unfairly" and is "fair and equitable" toward each impaired class that has not voted to accept the Plan as referred to in 11 U.S.C. § 1129(b) and applicable case law.

9 10

11

12

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

viii. Request for Confirmation Despite Non-Acceptance by Impaired Classes

The Proponent will ask the Court to confirm this Plan by cramdown on any impaired classes if any of these classes do not vote to accept the Plan.

14 15

2. LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS

16

17

18

Another confirmation requirement is the "Best Interest Test," which requires a liquidation analysis. Under the Best Interest Test, if a claimant or interest holder is in an impaired class and that claimant or interest holder does not vote to accept the Plan, then that claimant or interest holder must receive or retain under the Plan property of a value

19 20

not less than the amount that such holder would receive or retain if the Debtors were

21

22

The Debtor believes that it is "solvent," that is, every creditor would be paid in full

liquidated under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code.

23

in a liquidation. For that reason, every creditor is being paid in full under the Plan.

24

25

3. FEASIBILITY

26

Another requirement for confirmation involves the feasibility of the Plan, which means that confirmation of the Plan is not likely to be followed by the liquidation, or the

need for further financial reorganization, of the Debtor or any successor to the Debtor under the Plan, unless such liquidation or reorganization is proposed in the Plan.

There are at least two important aspects of a feasibility analysis. The first aspect considers whether the Debtor will have enough cash on hand on the Effective Date of the Plan to pay all the claims and expenses which are entitled to be paid on such date. In this case, the following payments will be made on the Effective Date:

//

Payments on the Effective Date:	
Administrative Fees	65,000
TOT Taxes	80,000
FTB Taxes	25,112
Priority claims – Scott Gaw	10,588
Wells Fargo – Cure	162,114
Convenience Class	21,000
Total	\$363,814

The Debtor will have sufficient funds available in its Debtor-in-Possession bank accounts to make the required payments on the Effective Date. The combined balance for all accounts at the end of April, 2012 was \$247,000. The Debtor expects to have \$693,000 in its bank accounts on the September 1, 2012 or about the Effective Date. See **Exhibit** "B."

The second aspect considers whether the Debtor will have enough cash over the life of the Plan to make the required Plan payments. The Debtor believes that it will have sufficient cash on hand in order to meet its obligations under the Plan. The Debtor has provided a budget of its Projected Disposable Income on **Exhibit "B."**

YOU ARE ADVISED TO CONSULT WITH YOUR ACCOUNTANT OR FINANCIAL ADVISOR IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS PERTAINING TO THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

2.5

I. EFFECT OF CONFIRMATION OF PLAN

Main Document

Page 29 of 39 **DISCHARGE**

This Plan provides that the Debtor shall be discharged of liability for payment of debts incurred before confirmation of the Plan, to the extent specified in 11 U.S.C. §1141. However, the discharge will not discharge any liability imposed by the Plan. The discharge will be entered on the Effective Date if the court allows that or on the date the Final Decree is entered.

2. REVESTING OF PROPERTY IN THE DEBTOR

Except as provided elsewhere in the Plan, the confirmation of the Plan revests all of the property of the estate in the Debtor.

From and after the Effective Date, the Debtor may operate and may use, acquire, and dispose of property, and compromise and settle any claims or causes of actions without supervision or consent of the Bankruptcy Court and are free of any restrictions of the Bankruptcy Code or Bankruptcy Rules.

The Debtor shall have, retain, reserve and be entitled to assert all claims, causes of action, rights of setoff and other legal or equitable defenses that the Debtor had immediately prior to the Petition Date as fully as if the Debtor's bankruptcy case had not been commenced; and all of the Debtor's legal and equitable rights respecting any such claim which is not specifically waived, extinguished, relinquished or transferred by the Plan may be asserted after the Effective Date.

3. MODIFICATION OF PLAN

The Proponent may modify the Plan at any time before confirmation. However, the Court may require a new disclosure statement and/or revoting on the Plan.

The Proponent may also seek to modify the Plan at any time after confirmation only if (1) the Plan has not been substantially consummated <u>and</u> (2) the Court authorizes the proposed modifications after notice and a hearing.

Main Document Page 30 of 39
4. POST-CONFIRMATION EMPLOYMENT AND COMPENSATION OF

REORGANIZED DEBTOR'S PROFESSIONALS

After the Confirmation Date, the Reorganized Debtor may employ, without notice, hearing, or order of the Bankruptcy Court, such attorneys, accountants, and other professionals (the "Post-confirmation Professionals") as it may desire to render services on such terms as it deems reasonable. With respect to services rendered by the Post-confirmation Professionals, the Reorganized Debtor shall be authorized to pay for such services, related costs, and expenses without notice, hearing, or order of the Bankruptcy Court.

5. POST-CONFIRMATION STATUS REPORT

Within 120 days of the entry of the order confirming the Plan, the Reorganized Debtor shall file a status report with the Court explaining what progress has been made toward consummation of the confirmed Plan. The status report shall be served on the United States Trustee, the twenty largest unsecured creditors, and those parties who have requested special notice. Further status reports shall be filed every 120 days and served on the same entities.

6. POST-CONFIRMATION CONVERSION/DISMISSAL

A creditor or party in interest may bring a motion to convert or dismiss the case under § 1112(b), after the Plan is confirmed, if there is a default in performing the Plan. If the Court orders, the case converted to Chapter 7 after the Plan is confirmed, then all property that had been property of the Chapter 11 estate, and that has not been disbursed pursuant to the Plan, will revest in the Chapter 7, estate. The automatic stay will be reimposed upon the revested property, but only to the extent that relief from stay was not previously authorized by the Court during this case.

The order confirming the Plan may also be revoked under very limited circumstances. The Court may revoke the order if the order of confirmation was procured

2.5

Case	8:12-bk-12599-ES	Doc 113 F Main Docu	iled 06/28/	12 Entered 06/28/12 age 31 of 39	2 19:30:04 Desc				
4	by fraud and if the pa	arty in interes	t brings an	adversary proceeding	to revoke confirmation				
	within 180 days after the entry of the order of confirmation.								
2									
3	7. <u>FINAL DECREE</u>								
4	Once the estate has been fully administered as referred to in Bankruptcy Rule 3022,								
5	the Reorganized Debtor, or other party as the Court shall designate in the Plan								
6	Confirmation Order, shall file a motion with the Court to obtain a final decree to close the								
7	case.								
8									
9	Dated: June 28, 201	2	By:	/s/ And	dy Kim				
10 11				Andy Kim, Do Member and	ebtor's Managing Plan Proponent				
12					•				
13	Datade Irana 20 201	2	D	/a/ M. Tomo	othor Horse				
14	Dated: June 28, 201	2	By:	M. Jona	athan Hayes than Hayes				
15					a D. Moradi or Plan Proponent				
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									
LAW OFFICES M. Jonathan Hayes			3	31					

	e 8:12-bk-12599-ES Doc 113 Filed 06/28/12 Entered 06/28/12 19:30:04 Desc Main Document Page 33 of 39 <u>EXHIBIT A</u>						
1							
2		LL ASSETS					
3	AS OF JUN	NE 30, 2012					
4	REAL PR	<u>COPERTY</u>					
5	1600 S. Coast Highway, Laguna Beach,	1st Trust Deed Holder:					
6	CA	Wells Fargo Bank - \$8,700,000					
-	Hotel	and —					
7	DMX 614 615 '11'	2 nd Trust Deed Holder:					
8	FMV \$14- \$15 million.	Kay Nam Kim - \$1,200,000					
9		3 rd Trust Deed Holder:					
		Merhdad Elie - \$320,000					
10		·					
11		Property Taxes:					
1.0		\$5,136					
12		Equity					
13		Equity: \$3,780,000 (at \$14 million FMV)					
14		φο, σο, σος (αι φ1 ι ι ι ι ι ι ι ι ι ι ι ι ι ι ι ι ι ι					
15							
16	<u>OTHER</u>	<u>ASSETS</u>					
17	DESCRIPTION	FAIR MARKET VALUE					
⊥ /							
	Bank Accounts	\$335,327					
18	Ground Lease with Landlord	\$335,327 \$75,000					
	Ground Lease with Landlord Account Receivable - Golden Park, face	\$335,327					
18	Ground Lease with Landlord Account Receivable - Golden Park, face amount \$41,115	\$335,327 \$75,000 -0-					
18 19 20	Ground Lease with Landlord Account Receivable - Golden Park, face amount \$41,115 Account Receivable - Paradise Hospitality,	\$335,327 \$75,000					
18 19	Ground Lease with Landlord Account Receivable - Golden Park, face amount \$41,115	\$335,327 \$75,000 -0-					
18 19 20	Ground Lease with Landlord Account Receivable - Golden Park, face amount \$41,115 Account Receivable - Paradise Hospitality, face amount \$581,700	\$335,327 \$75,000 -0- -0- \$400,000 \$82,500 ground lease rent reserve,					
18 19 20 21 22	Ground Lease with Landlord Account Receivable - Golden Park, face amount \$41,115 Account Receivable - Paradise Hospitality, face amount \$581,700 Office Equipment, Furnishings and Supplies	\$335,327 \$75,000 -0- -0- \$400,000 \$82,500 ground lease rent reserve, \$106,353 ground lease replacement reserve					
18 19 20 21 22 23	Ground Lease with Landlord Account Receivable - Golden Park, face amount \$41,115 Account Receivable - Paradise Hospitality, face amount \$581,700 Office Equipment, Furnishings and Supplies	\$335,327 \$75,000 -0- -0- \$400,000 \$82,500 ground lease rent reserve, \$106,353 ground lease replacement reserve \$12,500 accommodation fee reserve.					
18 19 20 21 22	Ground Lease with Landlord Account Receivable - Golden Park, face amount \$41,115 Account Receivable - Paradise Hospitality, face amount \$581,700 Office Equipment, Furnishings and Supplies Reserves held by WFB	\$335,327 \$75,000 -0- -0- \$400,000 \$82,500 ground lease rent reserve, \$106,353 ground lease replacement reserve \$12,500 accommodation fee reserve. \$100,000 tax refund					
18 19 20 21 22 23	Ground Lease with Landlord Account Receivable - Golden Park, face amount \$41,115 Account Receivable - Paradise Hospitality, face amount \$581,700 Office Equipment, Furnishings and Supplies	\$335,327 \$75,000 -0- -0- \$400,000 \$82,500 ground lease rent reserve, \$106,353 ground lease replacement reserve \$12,500 accommodation fee reserve.					
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Ground Lease with Landlord Account Receivable - Golden Park, face amount \$41,115 Account Receivable - Paradise Hospitality, face amount \$581,700 Office Equipment, Furnishings and Supplies Reserves held by WFB	\$335,327 \$75,000 -0- -0- \$400,000 \$82,500 ground lease rent reserve, \$106,353 ground lease replacement reserve \$12,500 accommodation fee reserve. \$100,000 tax refund					
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Ground Lease with Landlord Account Receivable - Golden Park, face amount \$41,115 Account Receivable - Paradise Hospitality, face amount \$581,700 Office Equipment, Furnishings and Supplies Reserves held by WFB	\$335,327 \$75,000 -0- -0- \$400,000 \$82,500 ground lease rent reserve, \$106,353 ground lease replacement reserve \$12,500 accommodation fee reserve. \$100,000 tax refund					
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Ground Lease with Landlord Account Receivable - Golden Park, face amount \$41,115 Account Receivable - Paradise Hospitality, face amount \$581,700 Office Equipment, Furnishings and Supplies Reserves held by WFB	\$335,327 \$75,000 -0- -0- \$400,000 \$82,500 ground lease rent reserve, \$106,353 ground lease replacement reserve \$12,500 accommodation fee reserve. \$100,000 tax refund					
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Ground Lease with Landlord Account Receivable - Golden Park, face amount \$41,115 Account Receivable - Paradise Hospitality, face amount \$581,700 Office Equipment, Furnishings and Supplies Reserves held by WFB	\$335,327 \$75,000 -0- -0- \$400,000 \$82,500 ground lease rent reserve, \$106,353 ground lease replacement reserve \$12,500 accommodation fee reserve. \$100,000 tax refund					
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Ground Lease with Landlord Account Receivable - Golden Park, face amount \$41,115 Account Receivable - Paradise Hospitality, face amount \$581,700 Office Equipment, Furnishings and Supplies Reserves held by WFB	\$335,327 \$75,000 -0- -0- \$400,000 \$82,500 ground lease rent reserve, \$106,353 ground lease replacement reserve \$12,500 accommodation fee reserve. \$100,000 tax refund					

Case 8:12-bk-12599-ES Doc 113 Filed 06/28/12 Entered 06/28/12 19:30:04 Desc Main Document Page 34 of 39 EXHIBIT B – DEBTOR'S PROJECTIONS LAW OFFICES M. Jonathan Hayes

Case 8:12-bk-12599-ES Doc 113 Filed 06/28/12 Entered 06/28/12 19:30:04 Desc Main Document Page 36 of 39 EXHIBIT D - LIST OF CREDITORS/CLAIMS

2		SC*	FC*	FC*	Allowed Amt	Allowed Amt	Allowed Amt	
3	NAME	AMT*	CLAIM#	AMT*	Secured	Unsecured	Priority	Comments
4	Wells Fargo Bank (1st on Hotel)	8,587,070	5	10,697,000	8,700,000			Will obj to balance
5	Kay Nam Kim (2nd on Hotel)	1,200,000			1,200,000			
	Elie Mehrdad	220,000	3	370,732	320,000			Will obj to balance
	David Scott Gaw (Hotel Director of Sales)	10,000	4	10,588			10,588	
۱	Amenity Services	663				663		
l	BP & G LLC	1,411				1,411		
	City of Laguna (Occupancy Tax)	80,000					80,000	
	Cox Cable TV	3,212				3,212		
	Dae In "Andy" Kim	1,406,000						withdrawn
۱	Debinaire Co.	426				426		
	Edison	5,884	1	5,391		5,391		-
								Loan Guaranteed by Andy
	Eun Jin Kim	600,000				600,000		Kim
	Great American Lease	996				996		
	Great American Leasing	2,773				2,773		
	Heartland Foods	110				110		
	Hill Top Alarm	110				110		
	Home Depot	400				400		_
								Loan Guaranteed by Andy
	JH Wells	1,041,700				1,041,700		Kim
	KMK	2,500				2,500		
	Laguna Beach Water	3,791				3,791		
	Merchant Services	6,677				6,677		
	Metro Bank	230,000				230,000		s/b \$180,000
	OC Pool	320				320		
	Paetec	1,474	1			1,474		
	Profittime	413				413		
	Rapid Plumbing	550				550		
I	Rene Flores	0				0		
3	Saflok	225	<u> </u>			225		1

Terminex	171	ocument	l	37 of 39	171	
UPS	23				23	
Verizon California	364				364	
Vaste						
Ianagement	401				401	
Voo Jung Il Ga	575,000				575,000	
Vells Fargo apital One Bank	68,028 6,093		6,093		6,093	
ranchise Tx Bd	0,093	6	30,599		5,600	25,112
Best Western		7	7,608		7,608	23,112
TOTAL	14,056,786	,	11,117,423	10,160,000	2,498,403	115,700
	<u> </u>		•			•

LAW OFFICESM. Jonathan Hayes

	Main Document Page 36 of 39						
1	PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT						
2	I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: 9700 Reseda Blvd., Suite 201, Northridge, CA 91324.						
3 4	A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled DEBTOR'S DISCLOSURE STATEMENT DESCRIBING DEBTOR'S CHAPTER 11 PLAN will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner indicated below:						
5	I. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING ("NEF") – Pursuant to						
6	controlling General Order(s) and Local Bankruptcy Rule(s) ("LBR"), the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On 6/28/2012 I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case						
7	adversary proceeding and determined that the following person(s) are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email address(es) indicated below:						
8	Service information continued on attached page						
9	Craig S Ganz craig.ganz@gknet.com						
10	Thomas M Geher tmg@jmbm.com Michael J Hauser michael.hauser@usdoj.gov M Janahar Hauser ibaras@barashblarr.com M Janahar Hauser ibaras@barashblarr.com						
11	 M Jonathan Hayes jhayes@hayesbklaw.com, roksana@hayesbklaw.com;rosario@hayesbklaw.com Jennifer L Nassiri jnassiri@venable.com 						
12	 Giovanni Orantes go@gobklaw.com, gorantes@orantes-law.com,cmh@gobklaw.com Keith C Owens kowens@venable.com, bclark@venable.com 						
13	 Steven G Polard stevenpolard@dwt.com Jay M Ross jross@hopkinscarley.com, kday@hopkinscarley.com 						
14	United States Trustee (SA) ustpregion16.sa.ecf@usdoj.gov						
15	II. <u>SERVED BY U.S. MAIL:</u> On 6/28/2012 I served the following person(s) and/or entity(ies) at the last known address(es) in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed						
16	envelope in the United States Mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.						
17	Service information continued on attached page						
18	Hon. Erithe A. Smith						
19	US Bankruptcy Court 411 West Fourth Street, Suite 5041 Laguna Brisas, LLC. 2063 Northam Drive						
20	Santa Ana, CA 92701-4593 Fullerton, CA 92833						
21	III. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (indicate method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on						
22	6/28/2012 I served the following person(s) and/or entity(ies) by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the						
23	judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.						
24	Service information continued on attached page						
25	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.						
26	6/28/2012 Rosario Zubia /s/ Rosario Zubia						
27	Date Type Name Signature						
28							
LAW OFFICES M. Jonathan Hayes	38						