

1 Bradford J. Sandler (*admitted pro hac vice*)
 Shirley S. Cho (*admitted pro hac vice*)
 2 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
 3 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 1300
 Los Angeles, CA 90067
 4 Telephone: 310/277-6910
 Facsimile: 310/201-0760
 5 Email: bsandler@pszjlaw.com
 scho@pszjlaw.com

7 Zachariah Larson (NV Bar No. 7787)
 Matthew C. Zirzow (NV Bar No. 7222)
 8 LARSON & ZIRZOW LLC
 810 S. Casino Center Blvd. Ste 101
 9 Las Vegas, NV 89101
 Telephone: 702/382-1170
 10 Facsimile: 702/382-1169
 11 Email: zlarson@lzlawnv.com
 mzirzow@lzlawnv.com

12 Attorneys for the Official Committee of
 13 Unsecured Creditors

14
 15 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**
 16 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

17 In re:
 18 MARTIFER AURORA SOLAR, LLC, a
 Nevada limited liability company,

- 19 Affects Martifer Aurora Solar, LLC
 20 Affects Martifer Solar USA, Inc.
 21 Affects All Debtors

Case No.: BK-S-14-10355-abl
 and BK-S-14-10357-abl

Jointly Administered Under
 Case No.: BK-S-14-10355-abl

Chapter 11

22 **MOTION OF THE OFFICIAL**
 23 **COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED**
 24 **CREDITORS FOR ISSUANCE OF ORDER**
 25 **REQUIRING MARTIFER SOLAR, INC. TO**
 26 **FUND THE COMMITTEE CARVE OUT**
 27 **PURSUANT TO THE FINAL DIP ORDER**

Hearing Date: OST PENDING
 Time: OST PENDING
 Place: 300 Las Vegas Blvd. So.
 Courtroom 1
 Las Vegas, NV 89101

1 The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee") of Martifer Solar USA,
2 Inc. ("Martifer Solar", or the "Debtor"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby files its
3 *Motion of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors' for Issuance of Order Requiring Martifer*
4 *Solar, Inc. to Fund the Committee Carve Out Pursuant to the Final DIP Order* (the "Motion"). This
5 Motion is made and based on the points and authorities herein, the Declaration of Shirley Cho in
6 Support of Motion of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors for Issuance of Order
7 Requiring Martifer Solar, Inc. to Fund the Committee Carve Out Pursuant to the Final DIP Order
8 (the "Cho Declaration") filed contemporaneously herewith, the papers and pleadings on file in this
9 matter, judicial notice of which is respectfully requested, any the arguments of counsel as may be
10 entertained by the Court at the time of any hearing on this matter.

11 **Jurisdiction and Venue**

12 On January 21, 2014 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtor and various affiliates (collectively, the
13 "Debtors") filed their respective voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United
14 States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") in the above-captioned court, thereby commencing their
15 respective bankruptcy cases (collectively, the "Chapter 11 Cases").

16 The Court has jurisdiction over this Motion as a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
17 157(b)(2)(B). Pursuant to LR 9014.2, the Committee consents to the entry of final order and
18 judgment by the bankruptcy judge if it is determined that the bankruptcy judge, absent the consent of
19 the parties, cannot enter final order or judgment in this matter consistent with Article III of the
20 United States Constitution. Venue for Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases in this District is proper pursuant to
21 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

22 **Statement of Facts**

23 On February 14, 2014, the Office of the United States Trustee appointed the Committee
24 [Docket No. 51].

25 On April 17, 2014, the Court entered an order authorizing the retention of Larson & Zirzow,
26 LLC as Nevada counsel to the Committee [Docket No. 641], and on April 18, 2014, entered an order
27 authorizing the retention of Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP as counsel to the Committee
28

1 [Docket No. 648].

2 Pursuant to the *Stipulation Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 364 and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4001(c): (I)*
3 *Authorizing Debtors to Obtain Post-Petition Financing: and (II) Granting Related Relief* dated May
4 21, 2014 [Docket No. 810] (the “DIP Stipulation”), Martifer Solar, Inc. (the “Parent”), agreed to
5 fund the Committee professional fee amount of \$325,000 (the “Committee Carve Out”). The DIP
6 Stipulation was incorporated into and approved by the *Amended Order* approving the same DIP
7 Stipulation, which was entered on May 23, 2014 [Docket No. 829] (the “Final DIP Order”).

8 Pursuant thereto, the Parent agreed:

9 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that pursuant to the
10 Binding Term Sheet, the Parent agrees to pay the Committee
11 professionals’ allowed fees and expenses up to \$325,000 above the
12 Maximum Commitment Amount by the later of (i) the closing date of
the sale of substantially all of the Debtors’ assets or (ii) the last date of
the term of the DIP loan.

13 [Docket No. 829 at p. 9.] A true and correct copy of the Final DIP Order is attached to the Cho
14 Declaration as **Exhibit A**. The Final DIP Order is a final order of the Court as no appeal has been
15 taken of such Order.

16 Under the DIP Stipulation, the Parent agreed to fund the Committee Carve Out upon the later
17 of: (i) the closing date of the sale of substantially all of the Debtor’s assets; or (ii) the last date of the
18 term of the DIP loan. Both of these dates have come and passed. On July 24, 2014, the Debtors’
19 sale of substantially all of its assets to Baywa closed, thereby satisfying the first milestone. [Docket
20 No. 1145] On June 17, 2014, the Parent sent a Notice of Default letter to the Debtors, which
21 required that the Debtors’ claim objection against the Parent’s claim be withdrawn, which objection
22 has not yet been withdrawn to date. [Docket No. 1114, Ex. 5] Under the terms of the DIP
23 Agreement at section 7.2, the DIP Agreement terminated on its own accord on June 20, 2014,
24 satisfying the second milestone. [Docket No. 87] Pursuant to the terms of the Final DIP Order, the
25 Parent’s obligation to fund the Committee Carve Out is now due and owing. The Committee,
26 through its counsel, has requested that the Parent fund the Committee Carve Out, and the Parent has
27
28

1 refused to date, thus necessitating the filing of this Motion. A true and correct copy of the
2 Committee's demand for payment is attached to the Cho Declaration as **Exhibit B**.

3 On August 14, 2014, the Court entered orders granting the first interim fee applications for
4 counsel to the Committee [Docket Nos. 1209 and 1210] in an amount in excess of the Committee
5 Carve Out.

6 In spite of written demand, the Committee's professionals have yet received payment for
7 their allowed fees and expenses. In fact, the Parent has expressly refused to honor its obligations.

8 **Relief Requested**

9 The Committee requests that the Court enter an order requiring the Parent to fund the
10 Committee Carve Out and award the Committee costs of attorney fees and expenses associated with
11 prosecuting this Motion.

12 **Basis for Relief Requested**

13 The Court is authorized under section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code to "issue any order,
14 process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title." 11
15 U.S.C. § 105(a); Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9020 ("Rule 9014 governs a motion for an order of contempt
16 made by the United States trustee or a party in interest."). The Court is further authorized to
17 "enforce or implement court orders or rules, or to prevent an abuse of process." 11 U.S.C. § 105(a);
18 *see also In re Rainbow Magazine, Inc.*, 77 F.3d 278 (9th Cir. 1996) (holding that section 105(a) provides
19 bankruptcy courts with the same inherent power to sanction vexatious conduct as Article III judges);
20 *Jones v. Bank of Santa Fe (In re Courtesy Inns, Ltd.)*, 40 F.3d 1084, 1089 (10th Cir. 1994) ("We believe,
21 and hold, that [Section] 105 intended to imbue the bankruptcy courts with the inherent power recognized
22 by the Supreme Court in [*Chambers v. NASCO, Inc.*, 501 U.S. 32 (1991)]"); *Havelock v. Taxel (In re*
23 *Pace)*, 159 B.R. 890, 904 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1993) (holding that [Section] 105 grants bankruptcy courts
24 the ability to sanction egregious conduct), *aff'd in relevant part*, 67 F.3d 187 (9th Cir. 1995).

25 Here, the Committee and Parent reached an agreement on the Committee Carve Out, which
26 plain terms were approved and adopted by the Final DIP Order. The Parent's refusal to fund the
27 Committee Carve Out and violation of the Court's Final DIP Order should not be countenanced.

1 The Court is also entitled to award attorney's fees and costs to the moving party for willful
2 violations of Court orders. *Perry v. O'Donnell*, 759 F.2d 702, 705 (9th Cir. 1985). A court can
3 engage in a flexible approach for determining whether attorneys' fees and costs are appropriate and
4 does not need to make an express finding of willful contempt. *Id.* at 706. The estate should not have
5 to bear the cost of the Parent's willful failure to fund the Committee Carve Out.

6 **Conclusion**

7 WHEREFORE, the Committee requests that the Court (1) issue an order, substantially in the
8 form attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**, thereby requiring the Parent to fund the Committee Carve Out;
9 (2) fund additional amounts above the Committee Carve Out to reimburse the estate for the costs of
10 prosecuting this Motion; and (3) grant all further relief as is just and appropriate.

11 DATED: September 8, 2014.



12
13 LARSON & ZIRZOW LLC
14 Zachariah Larson, Esq. (NV Bar No. 7787)
15 Matthew C. Zirzow (NV Bar No. 7222)
16 LARSON & ZIRZOW LLC
17 810 S. Casino Center Blvd. Ste 101
18 Las Vegas, NV 89101
19 Telephone: 702/382-1170
20 Facsimile: 702/382-1169
21 Email: zlarson@lzlawnv.com
22 mzirzow@lzlawnv.com

23 and

24 Bradford J. Sandler (*admitted pro hac vice*)
25 Shirley S. Cho (*admitted pro hac vice*)
26 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
27 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 1300
28 Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: 310/277-6910
Facsimile: 310/201-0760
Email: bsandler@pszjlaw.com
scho@pszjlaw.com

Attorneys for the
Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors

EXHIBIT 1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Bradford J. Sandler (*admitted pro hac vice*)
Shirley S. Cho (*admitted pro hac vice*)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
10100 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 1300
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: 310/277-6910
Facsimile: 310/201-0760
Email: bsandler@pszjlaw.com
scho@pszjlaw.com

Matthew C. Zirzow (NV Bar No. 7222)
LARSON & ZIRZOW LLC
810 S. Casino Center Blvd. Ste 101
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Telephone: 702/382-1170
Facsimile: 702/382-1169
Email: mzirzow@lzlawnv.com

Attorneys for the Official Committee of
Unsecured Creditors

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

In re:
MARTIFER AURORA SOLAR, LLC, a
Nevada limited liability company,

Case No.: BK-S-14-10355-abl
and BK-S-14-10357-abl
(Jointly Administered)
Chapter 11

- Affects Martifer Aurora Solar, LLC
- Affects Martifer Solar USA, Inc.
- Affects All Debtors

Hearing Date:
Time:

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION OF THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED
CREDITORS FOR ISSUANCE OF ORDER REQUIRING MARTIFER SOLAR, INC. TO
FUND THE COMMITTEE CARVE OUT PURSUANT TO THE FINAL DIP ORDER**

1 Upon consideration of the *Motion of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors for*
2 *Issuance of Order Requiring Martifer Solar, Inc. to Fund the Committee Carve Out Pursuant to the*
3 *Final DIP Order* [Docket No. ___] (the “Motion”)¹; the Court having reviewed and considered the
4 matter; the Court having held a hearing on the matter and having heard and considered the
5 arguments of counsel; the Court having placed its findings of fact and conclusions of law on the
6 record at the hearing, which are incorporated herein pursuant to Rule 52 of the Federal Rules of Civil
7 Procedure, as made applicable pursuant to Rules 7052 and 9014 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy
8 Procedure; and good cause appearing;

9 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- 10 1. The Motion is GRANTED.
- 11 2. Martifer Solar, Inc. shall immediately fund \$325,000 plus costs and expenses incurred
12 by the Committee’s professionals relating to the Motion into the client trust fund account for the
13 Committee’s professionals within five business days of entry of this Order.
- 14 3. The Court reserves jurisdiction over the implementation of this Order, and any further
15 remedies as may be needed to enforce compliance.

16 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

17 ...
18 ...
19 ...
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

¹ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.
DOCS_LA:280849.1 54697/002

1 PREPARED AND SUBMITTED BY:

APPROVED / DISAPPROVED:

2
3 By: _____
4 Matthew C. Zirzow (NV Bar No. 7222)
5 LARSON & ZIRZOW, LLC
6 810 S. Casino Center Blvd. Ste 101
7 Las Vegas, NV 89101
8 Telephone: 702/382-1170
9 Facsimile: 702/382-1169
10 Email: mzirzow@lzlawnv.com

By: _____
Samuel A. Schwartz, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 10985
Bryan A. Lindsey, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 10662
THE SCHWARTZ LAW FIRM, INC.
6623 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 300
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
Telephone: (702) 385-5544
Facsimile: (702) 385-2741

and

Attorneys for Martifer Solar, Inc.

Bradford J. Sandler (admitted pro hac vice)
Shirley S. Cho (admitted pro hac vice)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
10100 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 1300
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: 310/277-6910
Facsimile: 310/201-0760
Email: bsandler@pszjlaw.com
scho@pszjlaw.com

Attorneys for the
Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors

18 APPROVED / DISAPPROVED:

19 By: _____
20 BRETT A. AXELROD, ESQ.
21 Nevada Bar No. 5859
22 DAWN CICA, ESQ.
23 Nevada Bar No.
24 MICAELA RUSTIA MOORE, ESQ.
25 Nevada Bar No. 9676
26 FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP
27 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500
28 Las Vegas, NV 89169
Telephone: (702) 262-6899
Facsimile: (702) 597-5503

Counsel for Martifer Aurora Solar, LLC and
Martifer Solar USA, Inc.

LR 9021 CERTIFICATION

In accordance with LR 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies that the order accurately reflects the court's ruling and that (check one):

- The court has waived the requirement of approval under LR 9021(b)(1).
- No party appeared at the hearing or filed an objection to the motion.
- I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, and each has approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below:
- I certify that this is a case under chapter 7 or 13, that I have served a copy of this order with the motion pursuant to LR 9014(g), and that no party has objected to the form or content of the order.

###