Exhibit B: Trustee's Responses to Information Requests from Statutory Creditors' Committee of MF Global Holdings Ltd., *et al*. (Exhibit C to ECF No. 1277)

On April 5, 2012 the Statutory Creditors' Committee of MF Global Holdings Ltd. *et al.* filed a statement (ECF No. 1277) and chart (ECF No. 1277-3) with the Court in this proceeding that incorrectly asserts that the SIPA Trustee has failed to respond to or comply with certain requests, primarily for information, from the Chapter 11 Trustee for MF Global Holdings Ltd. As detailed in the chart below, the SIPA Trustee has not failed to respond to or comply with any such requests.

Request No.	**Trustee's Response**
1	▪ Request (in less detail) was the subject of a February 29, 2012 meeting between the Chapter 11 Trustee and the SIPA Trustee; basic points were discussed; no follow-up questions on these topics have since been raised. Shortfall explained as difference between customer assets available and estimated claims across all categories of customers.
2	▪ Request was presented at a January 11, 2012 meeting of all global affiliates and was rejected by the Joint Special Administrators for MF Global UK Ltd. The SIPA Trustee could find no purpose in participating without MF Global UK Ltd.
3	▪ Request was the subject of a February 29, 2012 meeting between the Chapter 11 Trustee and the SIPA Trustee; no follow-up questions on these topics have since been raised. Efforts to recover funds at depositories and counterparties have been and continue to be ongoing.
4	▪ Request was the subject of a February 29, 2012 meeting between the Chapter 11 Trustee and the SIPA Trustee; no follow-up questions on these topics have since been raised.
5	▪ Request was the subject of a March 20, 2012 meeting between the Chapter 11 Trustee and the SIPA Trustee. The SIPA Trustee received limited follow-up questions on March 26, 2012 and the SIPA Trustee is in the process of responding to those requests. ▪ The persons most knowledgeable of this Request are and have been in the employment of the Chapter 11 Trustee. The Chapter 11 Trustee and his consultants had previously looked to the SIPA Trustee to answer questions where the relevant information is already within their custody, possession, and control.

Request No.	**Trustee's Response**
6	▪ Request was the subject of a March 20, 2012 meeting between the Chapter 11 Trustee and the SIPA Trustee. The SIPA Trustee received limited follow-up questions on March 26, 2012 and the SIPA Trustee is in the process of responding to those requests. ▪ The persons most knowledgeable of this Request are and have been in the employment of the Chapter 11 Trustee. The Chapter 11 Trustee and his consultants had previously looked to the SIPA Trustee to answer questions where the relevant information is already within their custody, possession, and control.
7	▪ The SIPA Trustee's professionals have fully complied with this Request and produced documents. The SIPA Trustee cannot attest to the completeness or accuracy of ordinary course documents of MFGI. MFGI was operated as a subsidiary of Holdings at the time.
8	▪ Request was the subject of a March 20, 2012 meeting between the Chapter 11 Trustee and the SIPA Trustee, but no questions were raised during the meeting concerning this claim. The SIPA Trustee had previously sent documentation to the Chapter 11 Trustee concerning this claim and requested that they share their views on such documentation. ▪ The persons most knowledgeable of this Request are and have been in the employment of the Chapter 11 Trustee. The Chapter 11 Trustee and his consultants had previously looked to the SIPA Trustee to answer questions where the relevant information is already within their custody, possession, and control.
9	▪ Request has been tabled at the behest of the Chapter 11 Trustee in favor of focusing on other issues.
10	▪ Request has been tabled at the behest of the Chapter 11 Trustee in favor of focusing on other issues.
11	▪ Request has been tabled at the behest of the Chapter 11 Trustee in favor of focusing on other issues.
12	▪ Request has been tabled at the behest of the Chapter 11 Trustee in favor of focusing on other issues.
13	▪ Request has not previously been made of the SIPA Trustee. Some requests clearly improper and overbroad. Claims of non-public customers are not addressed on a priority basis.