

EXHIBIT A

(Informal Response and Objection Summary)

	Respondent/Objector	Nature of Response	Reply/Resolution
General Plan Clarifications			
1.	United States	Sought clarification regarding the effect of the discharge with respect to the United States' police and regulatory powers post-confirmation and the scope of the release as they pertain to the United States and third-parties.	Resolved. The Debtors agreed to revise the Plan by including new Section 9.7 that clarifies the rights of the United States with respect to police and regulatory powers post-confirmation and the scope of the release as they pertain to the United States and third-parties.
2.	U.S. Bank National Association	In its capacity as Indenture Trustee with respect to the 2012 Notes, 2023 Notes, and 2024 Notes, sought clarification regarding the Debtors' description of the foregoing.	Resolved. The Debtors agreed to revise the definitions of the 2012 Notes, 2023 Notes, and 2024 Notes under the Plan, which are reflected in the blackline in <u>Exhibit A</u> .
3.	John Wayne Airport	Sought clarification that agreements assumed under a separate order of the Court are not deemed rejected as a result of not being included in Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement.	Resolved. The Debtors agreed to revise Section 7.2 of the Plan and the Notes to Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement to reflect that agreements subject to a separate order of the Court shall not be deemed rejected as a result of not being included on Exhibit K.
4.	Arizona State University	Sought clarification that agreements assumed under a separate order of the Court are not deemed rejected as a result of not being included in Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement.	Resolved. The Debtors agreed to revise Section 7.2 of the Plan and the Notes to Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement to reflect that agreements subject to a separate order of the Court shall not be deemed rejected as a result of not being included on Exhibit K.
5.	Phoenix Airport	Sought clarification that agreements assumed under a separate order of the Court are not deemed rejected as a result of not being included in Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement.	Resolved. The Debtors agreed to revise Section 7.2 of the Plan and the Notes to Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement to reflect that agreements subject to a separate order of the Court shall not be deemed rejected as a result of not being included on Exhibit K.

	Respondent/Objector	Nature of Response	Reply/Resolution
Aircraft Agreement Cures			
6.	GE Capital Aviation Services LLC	Sought clarification regarding the scope of agreements to be assumed/reinstated pursuant to the Plan and the amount of the cure claims associated with such assumptions and reinstatements.	Resolved. (i) the Notes to Exhibit K of the Plan Supplement have been revised to reflect that the assumption and reinstatement of leases and security agreements includes the related guarantees of such agreements, (ii) added four additional engine lease agreements to Exhibit K of the Plan Supplement, and (iii) clarified the amount of the cure claims associated with certain of the foregoing agreements.
7.	Bombardier Inc.	Sought clarification regarding the scope of agreements to be assumed/reinstated pursuant to the Plan and the amount of the cure claims associated with such assumptions and reinstatements.	Resolved. (i) Exhibit I and the Notes to Exhibit K of the Plan Supplement have been revised to reflect that the assumption and reinstatement of leases and security agreements includes the related guarantees of such agreement and (ii) clarified the amount of the cure claims associated with the reinstatement of the related agreements.
8.	CRJ Equipment Trust 2004	Sought clarification regarding the cure of certain alleged maintenance defaults relating to the repair of a certain engine governed by the secured financing documents that are being reinstated pursuant to Section 4.2.2 of the Plan.	Resolved. CRJ Equipment Trust 2004 and the Debtors have entered into a stipulation that sets forth (i) the timeline for completion of the overhaul of one engine, (ii) the segregation of cash to complete the overhaul, and (iii) terms of an escrow for such cash in the event the engine is not back in service by a date certain. The parties will present the stipulation for the Court's approval at the Confirmation Hearing.
9.	RASPRO Trust 2005	Sought clarification regarding the cure of certain alleged maintenance defaults relating to the repair of a certain engine governed by the lease agreement that is being assumed pursuant to Sections 7.1, 7.3, and 7.8 of the Plan.	Resolved. RASPRO Trust 2005 and the Debtors have entered into a stipulation that sets forth (i) the timeline for completion of the overhaul of one engine, (ii) the segregation of cash to complete the overhaul, and (iii) terms of an escrow for such cash in the event the engine is not back in service by a date certain. The parties will present the stipulation for the Court's approval at the Confirmation Hearing.

	Respondent/Objector	Nature of Response	Reply/Resolution
10.	Export Development Canada	Sought clarification regarding the scope of agreements to be assumed/reinstated pursuant to the Plan and the amount of cure claim associated with such assumptions and reinstatements.	<p>Unresolved. The Debtors have not been able to resolve the asserted cure objection by Export Development Canada because it failed to provided any information regarding the amount of its claim or any information to support such claim. The Debtors have reserved January 26, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern) to address any unresolved cure objection that they are unable to consensually resolve before or after the Confirmation Hearing.</p> <p>The Debtors believe, however that the changes made to the Notes to Exhibit K resolve the questions raised regarding clarification of the scope of agreements to be assumed/reinstated pursuant to the Plan.</p>
11.	DVB Bank SE	Sought clarification regarding the scope of agreements to be assumed/reinstated pursuant to the Plan and the amount of cure claim associated with such assumptions and reinstatements.	<p>Unresolved. The Debtors have not been able to resolve the asserted cure objection by DVB Bank SE because it failed to provided any information regarding the amount of its claim or any information to support such claim. The Debtors have reserved January 26, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern) to address any unresolved cure objection that they are unable to consensually resolve before or after the Confirmation Hearing.</p> <p>The Debtors believe, however that the changes made to the Notes to Exhibit K resolve the questions raised regarding clarification of the scope of agreements to be assumed/reinstated pursuant to the Plan.</p>

	Respondent/Objector	Nature of Response	Reply/Resolution
12.	Wilmington Trust Company	Sought clarification regarding the scope of agreements to be assumed/reinstated pursuant to the Plan and the amount of cure claim associated with such assumptions and reinstatements.	<p>Unresolved. The Debtors have not been able to resolve the asserted cure objection by Wilmington Trust Company. The Debtors have reserved January 26, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern) to address any unresolved cure objection that they are unable to consensually resolve before or after the Confirmation Hearing.</p> <p>The Debtors believe, however that the changes made to the Notes to Exhibit K resolve the questions raised regarding clarification of the scope of agreements to be assumed/reinstated pursuant to the Plan.</p>
13.	Suntrust Leasing Corporation	Sought clarification regarding the scope of agreements to be assumed/reinstated pursuant to the Plan and the amount of cure claim associated with such assumptions and reinstatements.	<p>Unresolved. The Debtors have not been able to resolve the asserted cure objection by Suntrust Leasing Corporation. The Debtors have reserved January 26, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern) to address any unresolved cure objection that they are unable to consensually resolve before or after the Confirmation Hearing.</p> <p>The Debtors believe, however that the changes made to the Notes to Exhibit K resolve the questions raised regarding clarification of the scope of agreements to be assumed/reinstated pursuant to the Plan.</p>
General Contract Cure Responses/Objections			
14.	Sabre, Inc.	Sought clarification of cure claim under agreement subject to the assumption provision under Sections 7.1 and 7.3 of the Plan.	Resolved. Debtors and Sabre, Inc. have entered into a settlement agreement that sets forth the amount of the cure claim and revised Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement to reflect the agreed upon cure claim.
15.	Zurich American Insurance Co.	Sought clarification of the cure claim under the surety agreements and that the indemnity agreement will be assumed with the surety agreements pursuant to Section 7.1 and 7.3 of the Plan.	Unresolved. Debtors and Zurich American Insurance Co. are in discussions regarding amount of the cure claim and the scope of the agreements to be assumed. The Debtors have reserved January 26, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern) to address any unresolved cure objection that they are unable to consensually resolve before or after the Confirmation Hearing.

	Respondent/Objector	Nature of Response	Reply/Resolution
16.	Oracle America, Inc.	Sought clarification of the cure claim under the agreement that will be assumed under Sections 7.1 and 7.3 of the Plan.	Resolved. Debtors and Oracle America, Inc. have agreed upon the amount of the cure claim and have revised Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement to reflect the foregoing.
17.	United Airlines, Inc.	Sought clarification regarding the cure claim under the United Code-Share Agreement being assumed under Sections 7.1, 7.3, 7.7, and 7.7.2 of the Plan	Resolved. The Debtors and United have entered into two Letter Agreements that set forth the terms and conditions of the cure claim under the United Code-Share Agreement. Exhibit K of the Plan Supplement has been revised to reflect the foregoing. In addition, Section 1.224 of the Plan has been revised to reflect a non-material amendment to the United Code-Share Agreement that is being assumed under the Plan.
18.	ACE Insurance Company	Sought clarification that the Debtors' assumption of the obligations under the ACE Insurance Program includes the workers' compensation claims held by certain current and former employees, as opposed to the direct claims held by ACE Insurance Company under the ACE Insurance Program.	Resolved. The Debtors and ACE Insurance Company agreed to revise Section 7.11 of the Plan to clarify that the Debtors' assumption of the obligations under the ACE Insurance Program includes the workers' compensation claims held by certain current and former employees that ACE Insurance Company may administer such claims without further order of the Court.
19.	Aloha Contract Services	Sought clarification of cure claim under agreement subject to the assumption provision under Sections 7.1 and 7.3 of the Plan.	Resolved. Debtors and Aloha Contract Services have entered into a settlement agreement that sets forth the amount of the cure claim and revised Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement to reflect the agreed upon cure claim.
20.	Flight Date Services, Inc.	Sought clarification of cure claim under agreement subject to the assumption provision under Sections 7.1 and 7.3 of the Plan.	Resolved. Debtors and Flight Date Services, Inc. have entered into a settlement agreement that sets forth the amount of the cure claim and revised Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement to reflect the agreed upon cure claim.
21.	Ikon Financial Services	Sought clarification of cure claim under agreement subject to the assumption provision under Sections 7.1 and 7.3 of the Plan.	Resolved. Debtors and Ikon Financial Services have entered into a settlement agreement that sets forth the amount of the cure claim and revised Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement to reflect the agreed upon cure claim.
22.	Texas Air Composites	Sought clarification of cure claim under agreement subject to the assumption provision under Sections 7.1 and 7.3 of the Plan.	Resolved. Debtors and Texas Air Composites have entered into a settlement agreement that sets forth the amount of the cure claim and revised Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement to reflect the agreed upon cure claim.

	Respondent/Objector	Nature of Response	Reply/Resolution
23.	Fokker Services, Inc.	Sought clarification of cure claim under agreement subject to the assumption provision under Sections 7.1 and 7.3 of the Plan.	Resolved. Debtors and Fokker Services, Inc. have entered into a settlement agreement that sets forth the amount of the cure claim and revised Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement to reflect the agreed upon cure claim.
24.	Federal Express Corp.	Sought clarification of cure claim under agreement subject to the assumption provision under Sections 7.1 and 7.3 of the Plan.	Resolved. Debtors, Federal Express Corporation, and two of its affiliates have entered into a settlement agreement that sets forth the amount of the cure claim and revised Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement to reflect the agreed upon cure claim.
25.	CAE, Inc. -and- CAE Civil Aviation Training Solutions Inc.	Sought clarification of cure claim under agreement subject to the assumption provision under Sections 7.1 and 7.3 of the Plan.	Resolved. Debtors and CAE, Inc. and CAE Civil Aviation Training Solutions Inc. have entered into a settlement agreement that sets forth the amount of the cure claim and revised Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement to reflect the agreed upon cure claim.
26.	Des Moines Flying Services, Inc.	Sought clarification of cure claim under agreement subject to the assumption provision under Sections 7.1 and 7.3 of the Plan.	Resolved. Debtors and Des Moines Flying Services, Inc. have entered into a settlement agreement that sets forth the amount of the cure claim and revised Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement to reflect the agreed upon cure claim.
27.	Jeppesen, Inc.	Sought clarification of cure claim under agreement subject to the assumption provision under Sections 7.1 and 7.3 of the Plan.	Resolved. Debtors and Jeppesen, Inc. have entered into a settlement agreement that sets forth the amount of the cure claim and revised Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement to reflect the agreed upon cure claim.
28.	Pilot Air Freight, Inc.	Sought clarification of cure claim under agreement subject to the assumption provision under Sections 7.1 and 7.3 of the Plan.	Resolved. Debtors and Pilot Air Freight, Inc. have entered into a settlement agreement that sets forth the amount of the cure claim and revised Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement to reflect the agreed upon cure claim.
29.	Compass Bank	Sought clarification regarding the treatment of postpetition agreements under the Plan.	Resolved. The Debtors and Compass bank have entered into a stipulation that clarifies the disposition of the postpetition agreements and the withdrawal of Compass Bank's prepetition claims.
30.	AAR Aircraft Component Services	Sought clarification of cure claims and scope of agreements subject to the assumption provision under Sections 7.1 and 7.3 of the Plan.	Resolved. Debtors and AAR will be entering into a settlement agreement and amendments to the agreements being assumed that sets forth the amount of the cure claim and revised Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement to reflect the assumed agreements and agreed upon cure claim.

Created with

	Respondent/Objector	Nature of Response	Reply/Resolution
31.	Sibson Consulting, Inc.	Sought clarification of cure claim under agreement subject to the assumption provision under Sections 7.1 and 7.3 of the Plan.	Resolved. Debtors and Sibson Consulting, Inc. have entered into a settlement agreement that sets forth the amount of the cure claim and revised Exhibit K to the Plan Supplement to reflect the agreed upon cure claim.
Tax Claim Responses/Objections			
32.	Maricopa County	Sought clarification regarding the treatment of its Secured Tax Claim as provided under Section 2.4.1 of the Plan.	Debtors' Reply. As reflected in Exhibit B (Blackline of Plan), the Debtors have revised provision providing for the treatment of Secured Tax Claims in Section 2.4.1 to make clear that such claims shall receive interest in accordance with section 511 of the Bankruptcy Code to the extent such claims are secured.
33.	Salt Lake County	Sought clarification regarding the treatment of its Secured Tax Claim as provided under Section 2.4.1 of the Plan.	Debtors' Reply. As reflected in Exhibit B (Blackline of Plan), the Debtors have revised provision providing for the treatment of Secured Tax Claims in Section 2.4.1 to make clear that such claims shall receive interest in accordance with section 511 of the Bankruptcy Code to the extent such claims are secured.
34.	Riverside County	Sought clarification regarding the treatment of its Secured Tax Claim as provided under Section 2.4.1 of the Plan.	Debtors' Reply. As reflected in Exhibit B (Blackline of Plan), the Debtors have revised provision providing for the treatment of Secured Tax Claims in Section 2.4.1 to make clear that such claims shall receive interest in accordance with section 511 of the Bankruptcy Code to the extent such claims are secured.
35.	Monterey County	Sought clarification regarding the treatment of its Secured Tax Claim as provided under Section 2.4.1 of the Plan.	Debtors' Reply. As reflected in Exhibit B (Blackline of Plan), the Debtors have revised provision providing for the treatment of Secured Tax Claims in Section 2.4.1 to make clear that such claims shall receive interest in accordance with section 511 of the Bankruptcy Code to the extent such claims are secured.
36.	Bexar County	Sought clarification regarding the treatment of its Secured Tax Claim as provided under Section 2.4.1 of the Plan.	Debtors' Reply. As reflected in Exhibit B (Blackline of Plan), the Debtors have revised provision providing for the treatment of Secured Tax Claims in Section 2.4.1 to make clear that such claims shall receive interest in accordance with section 511 of the Bankruptcy Code to the extent such claims are secured.

	Respondent/Objector	Nature of Response	Reply/Resolution
37.	El Paso County	Sought clarification regarding the treatment of its Secured Tax Claim as provided under Section 2.4.1 of the Plan.	Debtors' Reply. As reflected in Exhibit B (Blackline of Plan), the Debtors have revised provision providing for the treatment of Secured Tax Claims in Section 2.4.1 to make clear that such claims shall receive interest in accordance with section 511 of the Bankruptcy Code to the extent such claims are secured.
38.	Tarrant County	Sought clarification regarding the treatment of its Secured Tax Claim as provided under Section 2.4.1 of the Plan.	Debtors' Reply. As reflected in Exhibit B (Blackline of Plan), the Debtors have revised provision providing for the treatment of Secured Tax Claims in Section 2.4.1 to make clear that such claims shall receive interest in accordance with section 511 of the Bankruptcy Code to the extent such claims are secured.
39.	City of Memphis	Sought clarification regarding the treatment of its Secured Tax Claim as provided under Section 2.4.1 of the Plan.	Debtors' Reply. As reflected in Exhibit B (Blackline of Plan), the Debtors have revised provision providing for the treatment of Secured Tax Claims in Section 2.4.1 to make clear that such claims shall receive interest in accordance with section 511 of the Bankruptcy Code to the extent such claims are secured.
Corporate Governance Issues			
40.	BF Claims Holdings I, LLC	Objects to shareholder agreement and other corporate governance provisions	Unresolved. Subject to separate briefing and evidentiary hearing.