

MASELLI WARREN, P.C.
By: Paul J. Maselli, Esquire PM 4853
600 Alexander Road, Suite 3-4A
Princeton, NJ 08540
Attorneys for Debtor-in-Possession
(609) 452-8411

In Re:

NASSAU TOWER REALTY, LLC, A
New Jersey Limited Liability Company,

Debtor

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Case No. 13-24984(MBK)

Chapter 11

SECOND MODIFIED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 1125 OF
THE BANKRUPTCY CODE DESCRIBING CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF REORGANIZATION
PROPOSED BY NASSAU TOWER REALTY, LLC

**PLEASE READ THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT CAREFULLY. THIS
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION THAT MAY BEAR UPON
YOUR DECISION TO ACCEPT OR REJECT THIS PLAN OF REORGANIZATION.
THE PLAN PROPOSER BELIEVES THAT THIS PLAN OF REORGANIZATION IS
IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CREDITORS AND THAT THE PLAN IS FAIR AND
EQUITABLE. THE PROPOSER URGES THAT THE VOTER ACCEPT THE PLAN.**

NASSAU TOWER REALTY, LLC
Proponent

s/ Louis Mercatanti

Dated: April 21, 2014

By:

LOUIS MERCATANTI, President
Nassau Holdings, Inc., Sole Member of Proponent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. Purpose of This Document	1
B. Confirmation Procedures	2
1. Time and Place of the Confirmation Hearing	3
2. Deadline For Voting For or Against the Plan	3
3. Deadline For Objecting to the Confirmation of the Plan	3
4. Identity of Person to Contact for More Information Regarding the Plan	3
C. Disclaimer	3
II. BACKGROUND	4
A. Description and History of the Debtor's Business	4
B. Events Leading to Chapter 11 Filing	4
C. Assets	5
D. Significant Events During the Bankruptcy	6
III. SUMMARY OF THE PLAN OF REORGANIZATION	6
A. What Creditors and Interest Holders Will Receive Under the Proposed Plan	6
B. Unclassified Claims	7
1. Administrative Expenses and Fees	7
2. Priority Tax Claims	8
C. Classified Claims and Interests	8
1. Classes of Secured Claims	9
2. Classes of Priority Unsecured Claims	12
3. Class of General Unsecured Claims	13
4. Class of Interest Holders	13
D. Means of Effectuating the Plan	13
E. Other Provisions of the Plan	13

1. Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases	13
2. Retention of Jurisdiction	13
3. Procedures for Resolving Contested Claims	13
4. Effective Date	14
5. Modification	14
F. Tax Consequences of Plan	14
G. Risk Factors	14
IV. CONFIRMATION REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES	15
A. Who May Vote or Object	15
1. Who May Object to Confirmation of the Plan	15
2. Who May Vote to Accept/Reject the Plan	15
a. What Is an Allowed Claim/Interest	15
b. What Is an Impaired Claim/Interest	15
3. Who Is Not Entitled to Vote	15
4. Who Can Vote in More Than One Class	16
5. Votes Necessary to Confirm the Plan	16
6. Votes Necessary for a Class to Accept the Plan	17
7. Treatment of Nonaccepting Classes	17
8. Request for Confirmation Despite Nonacceptance by Impaired Class	17
B. Liquidation Analysis	17
C. Feasibility	18
V. EFFECT OF CONFIRMATION OF PLAN	19
A. Discharge	19
B. Revesting of Property in the Debtor	19
C. Modification of Plan	19
D. Post-Confirmation Conversion/Dismissal	19

I. INTRODUCTION

Nassau Tower Realty, LLC (the “Debtor”), a New Jersey limited liability company, is the Debtor in a Chapter 11 bankruptcy case. On July 9, 2013 (the Petition Date”) the Debtor commenced a bankruptcy case by filing a Chapter 11 petition under the United States Bankruptcy Code (“Code”), 11 U.S.C. §101, et seq. Chapter 11 of the Code allows the Debtor, and under some circumstances, creditors and other parties in interest, to propose a plan of reorganization (“Plan”). The Plan may provide for the Debtor to reorganize by continuing to operate, to liquidate by selling assets of the estate, or a combination of both.

The Debtor (the “Proponent”) is the party proposing the Plan sent to you in the same envelope as this document. **THE DOCUMENT YOU ARE READING IS THE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FOR THE PLAN WHICH IS ANNEXED HERETO AS EXHIBIT A.**

This is a Chapter 11 plan of reorganization. In other words, the Proponent seeks to accomplish payments under the plan by the sale of certain assets, and the retention of other assets.

A. Purpose of This Document

This Disclosure Statement summarizes what is in the Plan, and tells you certain information relating to the Plan and the process the Court follows in determining whether or not to confirm the Plan.

READ THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT CAREFULLY IF YOU WANT TO KNOW ABOUT:

(1) WHO CAN VOTE OR OBJECT,

(2) THE PROPOSED TREATMENT OF YOUR CLAIM (i.e., what your claim will receive if the Plan is confirmed), AND HOW THIS TREATMENT COMPARES TO WHAT YOU WOULD RECEIVE IN LIQUIDATION,

(3) THE HISTORY OF THE DEBTOR AND SIGNIFICANT EVENTS DURING THE BANKRUPTCY,

(4) WHAT THE COURT WILL CONSIDER WHEN DECIDING WHETHER TO CONFIRM THE PLAN,

(5) THE EFFECT OF CONFIRMATION, AND

(6) THE FEASIBILITY OF THE PLAN.

This Disclosure Statement cannot tell you everything about your rights. You should consider consulting your own lawyer to obtain more specific advice on how this Plan will affect you and what is the best course of action for you.

Be sure to read the Plan as well as the Disclosure Statement. If there are any inconsistencies between the Plan and the Disclosure Statement, the Plan provisions will govern.

Code Section 1125 requires a Disclosure Statement to contain “adequate information” concerning the Plan. The term “adequate information” is defined in Code Section 1125(a) as “information of a kind, and in sufficient detail,” about a debtor and its operations “that would enable a hypothetical reasonable investor typical of holders of claims or interests” of the debtor to make an informed judgment about accepting or rejecting the Plan. The Bankruptcy Court (“Court”) has determined that the information contained in this Disclosure Statement is adequate, and it has approved this document in accordance with Code Section 1124.

This Disclosure Statement is provided to each creditor whose claim has been scheduled by the Debtor or who has filed a proof of claim against the Debtor and to each interest holder of record as of the date of approval of this Disclosure Statement. Under the Bankruptcy Code, your acceptance of the Plan may not be solicited unless you receive a copy of this Disclosure Statement prior to or concurrently with such solicitation.

B. Confirmation Procedures

Persons Potentially Eligible to Vote on the Plan

In determining acceptance of the Plan, votes will only be counted if submitted by a creditor whose claim is duly scheduled by the Debtor as undisputed, non-contingent and unliquidated, or who, prior to the hearing on confirmation of the Plan, has filed with the Court a proof of claim which has not been disallowed or suspended prior to computation of the votes on the Plan. All shareholders of record as of the date of approval of this Disclosure Statement may vote on the Plan.

The Ballot Form that you received does not constitute a proof of claim. If you are uncertain whether your claim has been correctly scheduled, you should check the Debtor’s Schedules, which are on file at the office of the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court located at: United States Bankruptcy Court, U.S. Court House, 401 East State Street, Trenton, New Jersey. The Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court will not provide this information by telephone.

**THE COURT HAS NOT YET CONFIRMED THE PLAN DESCRIBED IN THIS
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT. IN OTHER WORDS, THE TERMS OF THE PLAN ARE
NOT YET BINDING ON ANYONE. HOWEVER, IF THE COURT LATER CONFIRMS**

THE PLAN, THEN THE PLAN WILL BE BINDING ON THE DEBTOR AND ON ALL CREDITORS AND INTEREST HOLDERS IN THIS CASE.

1. Time and Place of the Confirmation Hearing

The hearing at which the Court will determine whether to confirm the Plan will take place on _____, at _____ o'clock ____ .m. in Courtroom _____, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of New Jersey, 401 East State Street, Trenton, New Jersey, 08608.

2. Deadline For Voting For or Against the Plan

If you are entitled to vote, it is in your best interest to timely vote on the enclosed ballot and return the ballot in the enclosed envelope to the clerk of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of New Jersey, 401 East State Street, Trenton, New Jersey, 08608.

Your ballot must be received by _____ or it will not be counted.

3. Deadline For Objecting to the Confirmation of the Plan

Objections to the confirmation of the Plan must be filed with the Court and served upon the following by _____, 2014.

MASELLI WARREN, P.C.
Paul J. Maselli, Esquire
600 Alexander Road, Suite 3-4A
Princeton, NJ 08540

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE
1 Newark Center, Suite 21B
Newark, NJ 07102

4. Identity of Person to Contact for More Information Regarding the Plan

Any interested party desiring further information about the Plan should contact Paul J. Maselli, Esquire at the address above, at telephone number 609-452-8411.

C. Disclaimer

The financial data relied upon in formulating the Plan is based on information provided by the Debtor. The information contained in this Disclosure Statement is provided by both the

Debtor and TD Bank. The Plan Proponent represents that everything stated in the Disclosure Statement is true to the Proponent's best knowledge.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE APPROVAL OF THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY THE BANKRUPTCY COURT DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A RULING ON THE MERITS, FEASIBILITY OR DESIRABILITY OF THE PLAN.

II. BACKGROUND

A. Description and History of the Debtor's Business

The Debtor is the owner of 17 parcels of real estate. It owns 13 parcels in New Jersey, 3 parcels in Pennsylvania, one parcel in Maine.

Most of the properties generate income in the form of rents paid by tenants. Most of the properties are commercial properties, and a few are residential properties.

B. Events Leading to Chapter 11 Filing

The Debtor borrowed money from two institutional lenders, Sovereign Bank (now known as Santandar Bank but referred to herein as Sovereign Bank) and TD Bank. The Debtor granted these banks mortgages on several of its properties to secure repayment of the loans. Louis Mercatanti, the president of Nassau Holdings, Inc., which is the sole member of the Debtor, provided his personal guaranty for repayment of these loans.

Nassau Tower Holdings, LLC, ("NTH") a New Jersey limited liability company is also wholly owned by Nassau Holdings, Inc. NTH is a co-borrower with the Debtor for the loans from Sovereign Bank and TD Bank. NTH also owns several parcels of real estate and granted Sovereign Bank and TD Bank mortgages on its properties to secure repayment of the loans.

In addition, the Debtor provided a guaranty to OceanFirst Bank ("OceanFirst") for several loans made by OceanFirst to Nassau Marina Holdings, LLC. The Debtor and NTH also provided mortgages to secure the obligations to OceanFirst.

Prior to the filing of the bankruptcy petition, TD obtained a judgment in foreclosure from the Superior Court of New Jersey with regard to its mortgage on several of the Debtor's New Jersey properties. The foreclosure judgment was entered on September 12, 2012 and provides that the amount due to TD Bank from the Debtor is \$12,236,266.19 as of August 4, 2012, plus interest at the judgment rate. Thereafter, TD Bank scheduled public auctions via sheriff sales, as permitted by its foreclosure judgment.

One property of the Debtor and two properties of NTH were sold at public auction (the

“Sold Properties”). Prior to the public auction of the Sold Properties, TD Bank and the Debtor agreed to an amount to be applied against the loans in the event that TD Bank became the successful bidder at the public auctions. These amounts are referred to as “Fair Value Credits.” The Fair Value Credits were calculated based upon the value of the Sold Properties, as determined by TD Bank’s appraisals of the properties, less the amount outstanding for municipal taxes and utility charges.

TD Bank was the successful bidder at the public auctions. In late July, 2013 or early August, 2013, the sheriffs who conducted the public auctions delivered deeds to TD Bank for the Sold Properties.

The Sold Properties and the fair value credits are set forth below:

Owner	Address	Value	Taxes and Utilities	Fair Value Credit
Debtor	103 Locktown Rd. Flemington, NJ	\$790,000	\$13,500	\$776,500
NTH	1100 Hamburg Ave, Egg Harbor, NJ	\$140,000	\$5,000	\$135,000
NTH	46 Clayton Road, Howell, NJ	\$360,000	\$30,000	\$330,000

Public auctions took place on two other properties with the following addresses: 3245 Route 35 North, Lavallette, NJ and 1015 Route 9 Bayville, NJ. The Debtor filed objections to these public auctions with the Superior Court of New Jersey as permitted by New Jersey state law. The objections were not adjudicated by the Superior Court of New Jersey at the time of the filing of the bankruptcy petition and therefore, those sales have not yet been confirmed or consummated.

Public auctions were scheduled for other properties of the Debtor. Because the Debtor could not resolve the claims of TD Bank and prevent the public auctions from proceeding, the Debtor filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection in an effort to prevent the foreclosure proceedings to be completed.

C. Assets

Attached hereto as **Schedule A** is a chart setting forth the Debtor’s real estate assets

The Debtor owns a claim against Intex Environmental Group, Inc. (“Intex”). The Debtor owns a property located at 71 North Main Street, Lambertville, NJ. At the time of purchase, the Debtor hired Intex to conduct an environmental inspection of the property. The property had an

underground oil tank, however, Intex failed to discover it. The Debtor relied on Intex report when it bought the property. In the year prior to the bankruptcy, the Debtor had a contract of sale to sell this property. The purchaser's environmental consultant conducted an inspection and discovered the previously undisclosed underground oil tank. The Debtor incurred costs of approximately \$100,000 to have the tank removed in accordance with environmental regulations. Had Intex discovered the underground tank at the time of its inspection, the Debtor would have required the previous owner to remove the tank at the previous owner's cost and, if the owner refused, the Debtor would have cancelled the transaction and not purchased the property.

The rents generated by properties subject to TD Bank's foreclosure judgment are not assets of the Debtor as those rents were assigned to TD Bank as security for its debt. TD Bank agreed to apply the rents to the balance of the Debtor's obligations. The Debtor and TD Bank agreed to the total amount due and owing.

The rents generated by properties subject to Sovereign's mortgage are not assets of the Debtor as those rents were assigned to Sovereign as security for its debt.

D. Significant Events During the Bankruptcy

The following is a chronological list of significant events which have occurred during this Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceeding:

- July 9, 2013, bankruptcy petition and schedules filed by the Debtor
- The Court approved the Debtor's retention of attorneys, special legal counsel to the Debtor for real estate matters, and real estate brokers.
- The Debtor and TD Bank entered a Stipulation regarding the ownership, collection and use of rents generated by leases on properties subject to the mortgage lien of TD Bank.
- The Debtor sought permission to sell certain parcels of real property. The Court approved the sale of four parcels of real estate. Two of the properties subject to TD Bank's mortgage were sold. On October 18, 2013, the property located at 140 Nassau Street, Unit C-1, Princeton, NJ was sold and TD Bank received \$2,142,685.96 from the sale proceeds. On November 12, 2013, TD Bank received an additional \$24,745.80 from proceeds that had been escrowed on the sale of Unit C-1. On November 26, 2013, the property located at 142 Nassau Street, Unit C-2, Princeton, NJ was sold and TD Bank received from the sale proceeds of \$1,446,778.95.
- The Debtor sold Key Largo on November 12, 2013 and the net proceeds of

\$165,151.29 have been placed in escrow pending a determination of whether TD Bank or OceanFirst Bank has priority with respect to these funds.

- The Debtor filed two adversary proceeding complaints against two creditors (Second Goodier, LLC and The ELM Group, Inc.) seeking an order declaring that their alleged secured claims are unsecured pursuant to bankruptcy law. The ELM Group, Inc. voluntarily discharged its lien and has an unsecured claim. Judgment was entered avoiding the judgment lien of Second Goodier, LLC.
- The Debtor obtained approval for the sale of property at 2457 Perikomen Avenue, Reading, PA 19606 for \$220,000. The property sold with net sale proceeds to TD Bank of \$184,572.56.
- The Debtor sued Riverpath, Inc, who prior to the bankruptcy filing, purchased the Debtor's property at 2456 Grant Street, Mount Penn Borough, Berks County, Pennsylvania at a tax sale public auction. The suit seeks to avoid the transfer of title to Riverpath, Inc. The suit was settled resulting in \$5,000 paid to the Debtor.
- The Debtor obtained approval of the sale of its property at 472 Princeton Avenue, Brick, NJ for a sale price of \$425,000. The sale is pending. The net proceeds will be paid to Sovereign.
- The Debtor reached an agreement with Sovereign dealing with the disposition of the Debtor's properties subject to its mortgage (described in more detail below).
- The Debtor reached an agreement with OceanFirst regarding the disposition of the Debtor's property subject to its mortgage (described in detail below).

III. SUMMARY OF THE PROPOSER'S PLAN OF REORGANIZATION.

A. What Creditors and Interest Holders Will Receive Under the Proposed Plan

The Plan classifies claims and interests in various classes. The Plan states whether each class of claims or interests is impaired or unimpaired. The Plan provides the treatment each class will receive.

B. Unclassified Claims

Certain types of claims are not placed into voting classes. They are not considered impaired and they do not vote on the Plan because they are automatically entitled to specific treatment provided for them in the Bankruptcy Code. As such, the Proponent has not placed the

following claims in a class:

1. Administrative Expenses and Fees

Administrative expenses are claims for fees, costs or expenses of administering the Debtor's Chapter 11 case which are allowed under Code Section 507(a)(1), including all professional compensation requests pursuant to Sections 330 and 331 of the Code. The Code requires that all administrative expenses including fees payable to the Bankruptcy Court and the Office of the United States Trustee which were incurred during the pendency of the case must be paid on the Effective Date of the Plan, unless a particular claimant agrees to a different treatment.

Administrative expense claims are estimated to be \$75,000. This includes legal fees and costs incurred by the Debtor and the Debtor's obligation to make payments to the United States Trustee.

Court Approval of Professional Compensation Required:

Pursuant to the Bankruptcy Code, the Court must rule on all professional compensation and expenses listed in this chart before the compensation and expenses will be owed. The professional in question must file and serve a properly noticed fee application for compensation and reimbursement of expenses and the Court must rule on the application. Only the amount of compensation and reimbursement of expenses allowed by the Court will be owed and required to be paid under this Plan as an administrative claim.

Each professional person who asserts a further administrative claim that accrues before the confirmation date shall file with the Bankruptcy Court, and serve on all parties required to receive notice, an application for compensation and reimbursement of expenses no later than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date of the Plan. Failure to file such an application timely shall result in the professional person's claim being forever barred and discharged. Each and every other person asserting an administrative claim shall be entitled to file a motion for allowance of the asserted administrative claim within ninety days of the Effective Date of the Plan, or such administrative claim shall be deemed forever barred and discharged. No motion or application is required to fix the fees payable to the Clerk's Office or Office of the United States Trustee. Such fees are determined by statute.

As indicated above, the Debtor will need to pay \$75,000 of administrative claims and fees on the Effective Date of the Plan unless a claimant has agreed to be paid later or the Court has not yet ruled on the claim. The administrative claims will be paid from the Debtor's cash on hand on the Effective Date. The cash on hand will be generated (see below) from the Debtor's refinance of certain properties.

2. Priority Tax Claims

Priority tax claims are certain unsecured income, employment and other taxes described by Code Section 507(a)(8)39 . The Code requires that each holder of such a Section 507(a)(8) priority tax claim receive the present value of such claim in deferred cash payments, over a period not exceeding six years from the date of the assessment of such tax.

There are no priority tax claims.

C. Classified Claims and Interests

The Proponent's Plan sets forth classes of claims based on the character of the claim. Of all the real property owned by the Debtor, only one parcel has equity above the amount due on the mortgage debt it secures. The Plan generally proposes that the remaining parcels will either be turned over to the mortgage holders, or sold with the proceeds being paid to the mortgage holders. The parcel with equity will be sold and the net proceeds will be used to fund payment of administrative expenses and general unsecured claims. Any proceeds of the Debtor's claim against Intex will also be used to fund payment of administrative expenses and unsecured claims. The Proponent believes there will be sufficient funds to pay administrative expenses in full, and to make a distribution of less than 100% to general unsecured creditors.

1. Classes of Secured Claims

Secured claims are claims secured by liens on property of the estate.

The Proponent's Plan sets forth four classes of Secured Creditors. The first class includes the secured claim of TD Bank. The second class includes the secured claim of Sovereign Bank. The third class includes the secured claim of OceanFirst. The fourth class includes the secured claims of various Pennsylvania and New Jersey municipal taxing authorities and Tax Sale Certificate Holders who, pursuant to applicable state law, maintain first priority liens on all of the Debtor's real estate to secure the payment of municipal real estate taxes and utility charges. The fifth class includes the secured claim of Global Tower Partners, LP.

Sovereign

The Debtor has two obligations to Sovereign. The balance owed on one obligation (Sov Debt I) is approximately \$2.8 million and the balance owed on the second obligation (Sov Debt II) is approximately \$460,000. The aggregate value of the Debtor's properties securing Sov Debt I is less than the balance owed of \$2.8 million. The value of the Debtor's property securing Sov Debt II is greater than the balance owed of \$460,000.

The Debtor and Sovereign entered into a tentative settlement agreement¹ which in part,

¹ At the time of filing this first modified disclosure statement, the settlement had not yet gained final approval by the officers of Sovereign with approval authority. Nonetheless, the

addresses Sovereign's treatment under the Plan. The Proponent's Plan fixes a deadline by which the following properties, all of which are mortgaged to secure the balance owed on Sov Debt I, will be sold to third-party or, if not sold by that date, title will be delivered to Sovereign in return for a negotiated credit: 704 Howe St Pt Pleasant, NJ ; 74 Fairview Ave, Brick NJ; 1108 Rt. 88 Point Pleasant, NJ; 1215A Johnson Ave Pt. Pleasant, NJ; 1215 Johnson Ave Pt. Pleasant, NJ.

The properties located at 1 and 13 Robbins Parkway, Toms River, NJ are the only assets of the Debtor that secure its obligation to pay Sov Debt II. This property was damaged in Hurricane Sandy and the Debtor expects to receive insurance proceeds of approximately \$300,000 on account of its damage claim (the "Robbins Insurance Money"). The property, without repair, is valued at between \$400,00 and \$600,000. Sovereign and the Debtor have fixed a deadline by which this property will be sold, and if not sold, title will be delivered to Sovereign in return for a negotiated credit.

If the fair market value credit is less than the balance owed on Sov Debt II, then the Robbins Insurance Money shall be used to pay the remaining balance.

OceanFirst

The Debtor is a guarantor of the obligation of Nassau Marina Holdings, LLC to OceanFirst. The Debtor granted a mortgage to OceanFirst on the Debtor's property at 6 Deer Run, Brick Township, NJ. The Debtor estimates the value of that property at \$235,000. OceanFirst filed a proof of claim in the amount of \$5,120,454.61. The Proponent's Plan provides that the claim of OceanFirst Bank shall be bifurcated, whereby OceanFirst shall be treated as a secured creditor with a claim of \$235,000 on this property, and an unsecured creditor as to the balance of its claim. OceanFirst will retain its lien rights post-confirmation, and will participate in the pro rata distribution to unsecured creditors. The Debtor will reaffirm its guaranty of the OceanFirst debt. OceanFirst has agreed to accept this treatment.

TD Bank

As set forth above, prior to the bankruptcy, TD Bank exercised its right to collect rents directly from the Debtor's tenants. During the bankruptcy, the Debtor and TD Bank reached an agreement whereby TD Bank would remit funds from the collected rents to the Debtor for the purpose of the Debtor paying real estate taxes, insurance and other costs associated with the properties. For those properties producing rents, TD Bank has in fact remitted to the Debtor funds for the payment of post-bankruptcy real estate taxes and the Debtor has used those funds to

Debtor and the officers at sovereign who negotiated the settlement believe there is a strong likelihood of approval. Until approval is given, nothing in this disclosure statement or the filed plan shall be deemed to bind Sovereign and its rights.

pay the taxes that accrued after the bankruptcy was filed.²

The value of the Debtor's properties which secure its debt to TD Bank is less than the amount owed to TD Bank. Nonetheless, the Proponent's Plan proposes to pay the secured claim of TD Bank in full from the liquidation or refinance of its properties, along with the liquidation and refinance of properties owned by NTH.

The gross amount due has been reduced by roughly \$1,241,500 by virtue of the Fair Value Credits from the Sold Properties. The gross amount has also been reduced by the amount of the rents collected by TD Bank from the Debtor's tenants. The Debtor agrees with TD Bank's calculation that as of August 20, 2013 the balance due on the two loans was \$10,372,796.

After August 20, 2013, the TD Bank debt was reduced by the payment of the proceeds of sale of two of the Debtor's properties 140-144 Nassau Street, Princeton NJ Unit C-1 and unit C-2 with a total of \$3,634,211 being paid to TD Bank from the two sales. On March 14, 2014, TD Bank was paid \$184,572.56 from the proceeds of the sale of 2457 Perkomen Av.Mt. Penn,PA. The amount of TD's claim has reduced by rents collected after August 20, 2013, and increased by interest and attorney fees incurred after August 20, 2013.

Payment of the balance of the foreclosure judgment will be accomplished by the sale of certain properties subject to the mortgage of TD Bank; the refinance of certain properties subject to the mortgage of TD Bank; and the turn over to TD Bank, for Fair Value Credit, of certain properties subject to the mortgage of TD Bank. In addition, NTH will also be turning over properties to TD for Fair Value Credit.

The action to be taken with respect to each of the properties subject to the mortgage of TD Bank is as follows:

Debtor Properties to be Turned Over to TD Bank For Fair Value Credit			
Address	Value	Taxes and Utilities	Fair Value Credit
1015 Route 9 Bayville, NJ	\$480,000	\$20,000	\$460,000
71 N. Main Street Lambertville, NJ	\$1,385,000	\$25,500	\$1,130,000
1371 Woodside Av Yardley, PA	\$370,000	\$35,000	\$335,000

² Although the Debtor has sent payments to the various tax collectors indicating the time period for which the payment is designated, some tax collectors have applied some payments to taxes that were due for periods prior to the bankruptcy.

		Total	\$1,925,000
--	--	-------	-------------

NTH Properties to be Turned Over to TD Bank For Fair Value Credit			
Address	Value	Taxes and Utilities	Fair Value Credit
Totts Gap Road, Stroud Twp. PA	\$10,000	\$1,000	\$9,000
191 Godfrey Ridge Rd. Stroud Twsp, PA	\$200,000	\$13,000	\$187,000
275 Lincoln Hgwy, Fairless Hills, PA	\$150,000	\$20,000	\$130,000
			\$326,000

Debtor Properties to be used to secure a refinance loan ³		
Address	Value	Taxes and Utilities
3245 Rte 35 N., Lavallette, NJ	\$510,000	\$18,000
107 Paxinosa Rd. Easton, PA ⁴	\$450,000	\$40,000
22 South 6th St Stroudsburg, PA	\$400,000	\$5,000
1501 Rt 35 Pt Pleasant NJ	\$3,600,000	\$25,000

Municipalities and Tax Sale Certificate Holders

The Proponent's Plan proposes that the municipal taxing authorities and tax sale certificate holders with liens on properties that are sold or refinanced will be paid from the proceeds of sale and the proceeds of the refinance loans. As to properties which the Debtor turns over to TD Bank the Proponent's Plan provides that the municipal taxing authorities and tax sale

³As of the time of the filing of this disclosure statement, the Debtor does not have a commitment for refinancing, but is in negotiations with two lenders.

⁴ This property is actually owned by NTH and is scheduled for a sheriff sale for May 9, 2014. If this property has sold at sheriff sale before the Plan Proponent completes its refinance, then the amount of the refinance loan will be proportionately reduced, and the obligation of the Debtor to TD Bank will be proportionately reduced by the fair value of this property.

certificate holders shall retain their liens thereon. Accordingly, the holders of tax sale certificates will not be stayed from pursuing their right to foreclose the tax sale certificates against properties of the Debtor. Attached as **Schedule D** is a statement of the pre-petition real estate taxes, the post-petition real estate taxes and the post-petition payments.

Global Tower Partners, LP.

Global Tower Partners, LP (“GTP”) is the holder of a mortgage on property owned by the Debtor known as 272 Mills Road, Kennebunkport, ME. The Debtor pledged this property to secure a debt of NTH to GTP in the amount of \$201,000. As part of a claim that GTP had against NTH, the Debtor granted a mortgage to GTP on this property and also granted GTP a lease of 30 years without the requirement to pay rent. The granting of the mortgage and lease was part of a larger, complicated settlement agreement entered into in 2009. The Plan provides that GTP will retain its mortgage rights and be unaffected by the Plan.

2. Classes of Priority Unsecured Claims

Certain priority claims that are referred to in Code Sections 507(a)(3), (4), (5), (6), and (7) are required to be placed in classes. There are no priority claims in this case.

3. Class of General Unsecured Claims

General unsecured claims are uncollateralized claims not entitled to priority under Code Section 507(a). The only two assets in which there is equity for payment of administrative expenses and unsecured claims are the Intex claim and the property at 1 and 13 Robbins Place (which includes the Robbins Insurance Money). The plan calls for those assets to be liquidated with net proceeds to be used to pay administrative expenses first, with the balance distributed *pro rata* to general unsecured claims.

4. Class of Interest Holders

Interest holders are the parties who hold ownership interest (i.e., equity interest) in the Debtor. Interest holders include the member of the Debtor. The Interest Holders will retain their interests in the Debtor.

D. Means of Effectuating the Plan

On the Effective Date, the Debtor will deliver deeds to TD Bank for properties to be turned over for Fair Value Credits. On or before the Effective Date, the Debtor will sell the properties to be sold and complete the refinance transactions.

E. Other Provisions of the Plan

1. Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases

The Plan provides that all Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases, except for those specifically assumed by the Debtor by Court Order, shall be deemed rejected. All proofs of claim with respect to claims arising from said rejection must be filed with the Bankruptcy Court within the earlier of (I) the date set forth for filing claims in any order of the Bankruptcy Court approving such rejection or (ii) thirty (30) days after the Confirmation Date.

Any such claims, proofs of which are not filed timely, will be barred forever from assertion.

The Plan specifically provides that all the real estate leases in which the Debtor is the landlord shall be assumed by the Debtor.

2. Retention of Jurisdiction

The Court will retain jurisdiction as provided in Section of the Plan.

3. Procedures for Resolving Contested Claims.

The Disbursing Agent shall have 60 days subsequent to confirmation to object to the allowance of claims. The Proponent has reviewed the claims that have been filed. The Proponent has not identified any claims which it plans to object.

4. Effective Date

The Plan will become effective on the Effective Date which is the 60th date after which the order of confirmation becomes a final non-appealable order.

5. Modification

The Plan Proponent may alter, amend or modify the Plan at any time prior to the Confirmation Date and thereafter as provided in Section 1127(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

F. Tax Consequences of Plan

CREDITORS AND INTEREST HOLDERS CONCERNED WITH HOW THE PLAN MAY AFFECT THEIR TAX LIABILITY SHOULD CONSULT WITH THEIR OWN ACCOUNTANTS, ATTORNEYS, AND/OR ADVISORS.

G. Risk Factors

The following discussion is intended to be a non-exclusive summary of certain risks attendant upon the consummation of the Plan. You are encouraged to supplement this summary with your own analysis and evaluation of the Plan and Disclosure Statement, in their entirety, and in consultation with your own advisors. Based on the analysis of the risks summarized below, the Plan Proponent believes that the Plan is viable and will meet all requirements of confirmation.

There is no risk to Sovereign Bank or Ocean First Bank because their rights are unaffected by the Proponent's Plan. There is no risk to the municipal taxing authorities and tax sale certificate holders because their claims will either be paid in full, or their rights will be unaffected by the Proponent's Plan. There is no risk to TD Bank because the value of the assets which secure its claim exceeds the amount due on its claim. TD Bank will be paid in full either by the proceeds of the sale of assets, the proceeds of refinance loans made on the assets, or the delivery of the assets to TD Bank for Fair Value Credits.

There is no risk to general unsecured creditors. The only two assets in which there is equity for payment of administrative expenses and unsecured claims are the Intex claim and the property at 1 and 13 Robbins Place (which includes the Robbins Insurance Money). The plan calls for those assets to be liquidated with net proceeds to be used to pay administrative expenses and unsecured claims.

IV. CONFIRMATION REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES

PERSONS OR ENTITIES CONCERNED WITH CONFIRMATION OF THIS PLAN SHOULD CONSULT WITH THEIR OWN ATTORNEYS BECAUSE THE LAW ON CONFIRMING A PLAN OF REORGANIZATION IS VERY COMPLEX.

The following discussion is intended solely for the purpose of alerting readers about basic confirmation issues, which they may wish to consider, as well as certain deadlines for filing claims. The proponent CANNOT and DOES NOT represent that the discussion contained below is a complete summary of the law on this topic.

Many requirements must be met before the Court can confirm a Plan. Some of the requirements include that the Plan must be proposed in good faith, that creditors or interest holders have accepted the Plan, that the Plan pays creditors at least as much as creditors would receive in a Chapter 7 liquidation, and that the Plan is feasible. These requirements are not the only requirements for confirmation.

A. Who May Vote or Object

1. Who May Object to Confirmation of the Plan

Any party in interest may object to the confirmation of the Plan, but as explained below not everyone is entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan.

2. Who May Vote to Accept/Reject the Plan

A creditor or interest holder has a right to vote for or against the Plan if that creditor or interest holder has a claim that is both (1) allowed or allowed for voting purposes and (2) classified in an impaired class.

a. What Is an Allowed Claim/Interest

As noted above, a creditor or interest holder must first have an allowed claim or interest to have the right to vote. Generally, any proof of claim or interest will be allowed, unless a party in interest brings a motion objecting to the claim. When an objection to a claim or interest is filed, the creditor or interest holder holding the claim or interest cannot vote unless the Court, after notice and hearing, either overrules the objection or allows the claim or interest for voting purposes.

THE BAR DATE FOR FILING A PROOF OF CLAIM IN THIS CASE IS November 27, 2013.

A creditor or interest holder may have an allowed claim or interest even if a proof of claim or interest was not timely filed. A claim is deemed allowed if (1) it is scheduled on the Debtor's schedules and such claim is not scheduled as disputed, contingent, or unliquidated, and (2) no party in interest has objected to the claim. An interest is deemed allowed if it is scheduled and no party in interest has objected to the interest.

b. What Is an Impaired Claim/Interest

As noted above, an allowed claim or interest only has the right to vote if it is in a class that is impaired under the Plan. A class is impaired if the Plan alters the legal, equitable, or contractual rights of the members of that class. For example, a class comprised of general unsecured claims is impaired if the Plan fails to pay the members of that class 100% of their claim plus interest.

In this case, the Proponent believes that the unsecured creditors are impaired.

3. Who Is Not Entitled to Vote

The following four types of claims are not entitled to vote: (1) claims that have been disallowed; (2) claims in unimpaired classes; (3) claims entitled to priority pursuant to Code

Section 507(a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(8)73; and (4) claims in classes that do not receive or retain any value under the Plan. Claims in unimpaired classes are not entitled to vote because such classes are deemed to have accepted the Plan. Claims entitled to priority pursuant to Code Section 507(a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(7) are not entitled to vote because such claims are not placed in classes and they are required to receive certain treatment specified by the Code. Claims in classes that do not receive or retain any value under the Plan do not vote because such classes are deemed to have rejected the Plan.

EVEN IF YOUR CLAIM IS OF THE TYPE DESCRIBED ABOVE, YOU MAY STILL HAVE A RIGHT TO OBJECT TO THE CONFIRMATION OF THE PLAN.

4. Who Can Vote in More Than One Class

A creditor whose claim has been allowed in part as a secured claim and in part as an unsecured claim is entitled to accept or reject a Plan in both capacities by casting one ballot for the secured part of the claim and another ballot for the unsecured claim.

5. Votes Necessary to Confirm the Plan

If impaired classes exist, the Court cannot confirm the Plan unless (1) at least one impaired class has accepted the Plan without counting the votes of any insiders within that class, and (2) all impaired classes have voted to accept the Plan, unless the Plan is eligible to be confirmed by “cramdown” on non-accepting classes, as discussed below.

6. Votes Necessary for a Class to Accept the Plan

A class of claims is considered to have accepted the Plan when more than one-half ($\frac{1}{2}$) in number and at least two-thirds (b) in dollar amount of the allowed claims that actually voted, voted in favor of the Plan. A class of interests is considered to have accepted the Plan when at least two-thirds (b) in amount of the allowed interest-holders of such class which actually voted, voted to accept the Plan.

7. Treatment of Nonaccepting Classes

As noted above, even if all impaired classes do not accept the proposed Plan, the Court may nonetheless confirm the Plan if the nonaccepting classes are treated in the manner required by the Code. The process by which nonaccepting classes are forced to be bound by the terms of the Plan is commonly referred to as “cramdown”. The Code allows the Plan to be “crammed down” on nonaccepting classes of claims or interests if it meets all consensual requirements except the voting requirements of Section 1129(a)(8) and if the Plan does not “discriminate unfairly” and is “fair and equitable” toward each impaired class that has not voted to accept the Plan as referred to in 11 U.S.C. §1129(b) and applicable case law.

8. Request for Confirmation Despite Nonacceptance by Impaired Class(es)

The party proposing this Plan asks the Court to confirm this Plan by cramdown on impaired classes if any of these classes do not vote to accept the Plan.

B. Liquidation Analysis

Another confirmation requirement is the “Best Interest Test”, which requires a liquidation analysis. Under the Best Interest Test, if a claimant or interest holder is in an impaired class and that claimant or interest holder does not vote to accept the Plan, then that claimant or interest holder must receive or retain under the Plan property of a value not less than the amount that such holder would receive or retain if the Debtor were liquidated under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code.

In a Chapter 7 case, the Debtor’s assets are usually sold by a Chapter 7 trustee. Secured creditors are paid first from the sales proceeds of properties on which the secured creditor has a lien.

Administrative claims are paid next. Next, unsecured creditors are paid from any remaining sales proceeds, according to their rights to priority. Unsecured creditors with the same priority share in proportion to the amount of their allowed claims. Finally, interest holders receive the balance that remains after all creditors are paid, if any.

In order for the Court to be able to confirm this Plan, the Court must find that all creditors and interest holders who do not accept the Plan will receive at least as much under the Plan as such holders would receive under a Chapter 7 liquidation.

A detailed liquidation analysis is attached hereto as **Schedule B**.

In this case, there are only two assets of the estate in which there is any equity. The claim against Intex is unencumbered. The Debtor’s damages are approximately \$70,000 and the Debtor believes that the claim is legally viable. The Debtor’s real property at 1 and 13 Robbins Place, Toms River, New Jersey has a value of between \$400,000 and \$600,000 to secure a debt to sovereign of about \$460,000. The Debtor has an insured claim for damages to that property which is estimated to be \$300,000.

The Proponent’s Plan calls for all of the properties that have no equity to be sold, used for refinance or turned over to the mortgage holders. If these properties were sold by the Debtor, the sales would not produce sufficient proceeds to pay the mortgages, and would produce no proceeds for unsecured creditors.

The Proponent’s Plan calls for the Debtor to sell the property at 1 and 13 Robbins Place and collect the insurance proceeds. Any funds remaining after paying the mortgage on that

property will be available to pay administrative expenses and unsecured claims.

The Proponent's Plan calls for the Debtor to pursue the Intex claim. Any proceeds of that claim will be available to pay administrative expenses and unsecured claims.

C. Feasibility

Another requirement for confirmation involves the feasibility of the Plan, which means that confirmation of the Plan is not likely to be followed by the liquidation or the need for further financial reorganization of the Debtor or any successor to the Debtor under the Plan, unless such liquidation or reorganization is proposed in the Plan.

There are at least two important aspects of a feasibility analysis. The first aspect considers whether the Debtor will have enough cash on hand on the Effective Date of the Plan to pay all the claims and expenses that are entitled to be paid on such date. The Plan Proponent maintains that this aspect of feasibility is satisfied as illustrated here:

The Robbins Insurance Money should be collected before the Effective Date and the property at 1 and 13 Robbins Place will be sold or turned over to Sovereign before the Effective Date. The cash available after the Sovereign mortgage is paid will be more than sufficient to pay the costs of administration. The balance will be distributed to unsecured creditors.

A second aspect is whether the Debtor will have sufficient funds to pay its obligations after the Plan is confirmed. The Plan calls for the Debtor to use several properties to obtain a new loan with which to pay TD Bank's obligation. The Debtor has solicited two potential lenders and is confident that it will obtain the necessary financing. Two lenders working together have considered making one loan of approximately \$3,000,000 at an interest rate of 4.5% and a second loan of \$350,000 at an interest rate of 15%, with both lenders taking as security a mortgage on the property at 1501 Rt. 35, Point Pleasant, NJ. The Debtor believes that the rental income from that property is sufficient to pay debt service on such a loan.

The second potential lender has considered making a loan of \$6,500,000 at an interest rate of 8-12% and taking as security several rent-producing properties. The Debtor believes that the rental income from these properties is sufficient to pay debt service on such a loan.

Attached as **Schedule C** is a projection showing the rental income and expenses on all the properties discussed herein.

Accordingly, the Plan Proponent believes, on the basis of the foregoing, that the Plan is feasible.

V. EFFECT OF CONFIRMATION OF PLAN

A. Discharge

The Plan provides that upon confirmation of the Plan, the Debtor will be discharged of liability for payment of debts incurred before confirmation of the Plan.

B. Revesting of Property in the Debtor

All of the assets of the Debtor that are not sold or turned over to TD Bank will re-vest in the Debtor upon confirmation of the Proponent's Plan.

C. Modification of Plan

The Proponent may modify the Plan at any time before confirmation. However, the Court may require a new disclosure statement and/or revoting on the Plan if Proponent modifies the plan before confirmation.

The Proponent may also seek to modify the Plan at any time after confirmation so long as

(1) the Plan has not been substantially consummated and (2) the Court authorizes the proposed modification after notice and a hearing. Proponent further reserves the right to modify the treatment of any Allowed Claims at any time after the Effective Date of the Plan upon the consent of the Creditor whose Allowed Claim treatment is being modified, so long as no other Creditors are materially adversely affected.

D. Post-Confirmation Conversion/Dismissal

A creditor or party in interest may bring a motion to convert or dismiss the case under Section 1112(b), after the Plan is confirmed, if there is a default in performance of the Plan or if cause exists under Section 1112(b). If the Court orders the case converted to Chapter 7 after the Plan is confirmed, then all property that had been property of the Chapter 11 estate, and that has not been disbursed pursuant to the Plan, will vest in the Chapter 7 estate, and the automatic stay will be reimposed upon the repossessed property only to the extent that relief from stay was not previously granted by the Court during this case.

Quarterly fees pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1930(a)(6) continue to be payable to the Office of the United States Trustee post-confirmation until such time as the case is converted, dismissed, or closed pursuant to a final decree.

NASSAU TOWER REALTY, LLC
a New Jersey limited liability company
Proponent

/s/ Louis Mercatanti

Dated: April 21, 2014

By:

LOUIS MERCATANTI, President
Nassau Holdings, Inc., Sole Member of Proponent

PROPERTY ADDRESS	ESTIMATED MARKET VALUE	SOURCE OF APPRAISAL ESTIMATED DATE
1501 Rt 35, Point Pleasant, NJ (Walgreens)	3,400,000	TD Bank Appraisal
74 Fairview Ave, Brick NJ	665,000	Santander Appraisal
704 Howe St Pt Pleasant, NJ 08742	400,000	Santander Appraisal
1108 Rt. 88 Point Pleasant, NJ 08742	160,000	Santander Appraisal
1215A Johnson Ave Pt. Pleasant, NJ 08742	150,000	Santander Appraisal
1215 Johnson Ave Pt. Pleasant, NJ 08742	155,000	Santander Appraisal
472 Princeton Avenue, Brick, NJ	400,000	Santander Appraisal
1 and 13 Robbins Parkway, Toms River, NJ	525,000	Santander Appraisal
272 Mills Road, Kennebunkport, ME	500,000	Debtor's Opinion
6 Deer Run, Brick, NJ	235,000	OceanFirst Appraisal
1015 Route 9 Bayville, NJ	480,000	TD Bank Appraisal
3245 Route 35 North, Lavallette, NJ	510,000	TD Bank Appraisal
1371 Woodside Ave, Yardley, PA	370,000	TD Bank Appraisal
22 South 6 th Street, Stroudsberg, PA	255,000	TD Bank Appraisal
71 North Main Street, Lambertville, NJ	1,385,000	TD Bank Appraisal

NOTES:

1. The Plan Proponent is relying on the appraisals commissioned by the various banks, however, the banks are not limited by these appraisals with regard to any evidence the banks may want to present at the hearing for confirmation of the plan.
2. The Plan Proponent bases its appraisal of 272 Mills Road, Kennebunkport, ME the Debtor's purchase price o that property. The price paid was \$500,000 in 2007 and the Plan Proponent believes that the property value has not increased since the date of purchase.

SCHEDULE A

LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS

This liquidation analysis sets forth the amount of money that would be available to pay administrative creditors and unsecured creditors in the event that all of the assets of the estate were liquidated by a Chapter 7 trustee.

Property Subject to Mortgage of OceanFirst Bank

Property	Liquidation ⁵ Value	Costs Of Sale	Net Proceeds	Mortgage Balance	Equity
6 Deer Run, Brick, NJ	\$211,500	\$21,150	\$190,350	\$5,120,454.	0

Properties Subject to Mortgage I of Santander Bank

Property	Liquidation ⁶ Value	Costs Of Sale	Net Proceeds	Mortgage Balance	Equity
74 Fairview Ave, Brick NJ	\$500,000	\$50,000	\$450,000		
704 Howe St, Pt Pleasant, NJ	\$315,000	\$31,500	\$283,500		
1108 Rt 88, Point Pleasant, NJ	\$125,000	\$12,500	\$112,500		
1215A Johnson Av, Pt Pleasant, NJ	\$125,000	\$12,500	\$112,500		
1215 Johnson Av, Pt Pleasant, NJ	\$125,000	\$12,500	\$112,500		
472 Princeton Av, Brick NJ	\$350,000	\$35,000	\$315,000		
			\$1,386,000	\$2,749,956	0

⁵The market value of this property is \$235,000. The Plan Proponent estimates that the forced sale liquidation value is at least 10% less than the market value.

⁶ Santander Bank commissioned appraisals of these properties which provided both a market value and a liquidation value. For purposes of this liquidation analysis, the Plan Proponent has adopted the liquidation values set forth in the Santander Bank appraisals.

SCHEUDLE B

Properties Subject to Mortgage II of Santander Bank

Property	Liquidation ⁷ Value	Costs Of Sale	Net Proceeds	Mortgage Balance	Equity
1 and 13 Robbins Parkway, Toms River, NJ	\$430,000	\$43,000	\$387,000		
Insurance Proceeds	\$300,000	0	\$300,000		
				\$453,000	\$234,000

Properties Subject to Mortgage of TD Bank

Property	Liquidation ⁸ Value	Costs Of Sale	Net Proceeds	Mortgage Balance	Equity
1015 Route 9 Bayville, NJ	\$432,000	\$43,200	\$388,800		
3245 Route 35 North, Lavallette, NJ	\$459,000	\$45,900	\$413,100		
1371 Woodside Ave, Yardley, PA	\$333,000	\$33,300	\$299,700		
22 South 6 th Street, Stroudsberg, PA	\$229,500	\$22,950	\$206,550		

⁷Santander Bank commissioned an appraisal of this property which provided both a market value and a liquidation value. For purposes of this liquidation analysis, the Plan Proponent has adopted the liquidation value set forth in the Santander Bank appraisal.

⁸TD Bank commissioned appraisals of these properties which provided both a market value. The Plan Proponent estimates that the forced sale liquidation value is at least 10% less than the market value.

SCHEDEULE B

71 North Main Street, Lambertville, NJ	\$1,385,000	\$138,500	\$1,246,500		
1501 Rt. 35 Pt Pleasant, NJ	\$3,141,000	\$314,000	\$2,826,900		
			\$5,381,550	\$7,238,000 ⁹	0

Property Subject to Mortgage of Global Tower Partners, LP

Property	Liquidation Value	Costs Of Sale	Net Proceeds	Mortgage Balance	Equity
272 Mills Road, Kennebunkport, ME	\$0				0

Global Tower Partners, LP (“GTP”) had a claim against NTH which was settled, in part, by NTH agreeing to pay GTP \$201,000 and by the Debtor granting to GTP a 30-year no rent lease on this property. The Debtor also granted GTP a mortgage on this property to secure the obligation of NTH to pay GTP \$201,000. Given that the property is subject to a 30-year, no rent lease, and a mortgage to secure a debt of \$201,000, it is the Plan Proponent’s position that this property has no sale value on the open market or in liquidation. Without the lease, the market value of the property is \$500,000 which is the purchase price paid by the Debtor in 2007.

Other Non-Real Estate Assets

Claim against Intex Environmental Group, Inc. Maximum amount of claim is \$70,000. The Plan Proponent estimates that, based on the costs of litigation and the likelihood of success in the litigation, the net value of this asset to the estate is \$25,000.

In summary, if the assets of the debtor were liquidated in a forced sale by a Chapter 7 trustee, the proceeds available for payment of administrative expenses and unsecured creditors would be \$259,000.

⁹ This is an estimate. The actual balance owed may be slightly more or less.

SCHEUDLE B

SCHEDULE C

Statement of Operations -- 2014 Forecast

Document Page 30 of 31

TD BANK PROPERTIES													SANTANDER PROPERTIES							Total
STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS	Woodside	Totts	Lincoln	Godfrey	22 South	Paxinos	Rt. 9	71 Main	3245 Rt	1513	Total TD	704	472	74	1215/121	Robins	Total Santander			
	Ave, Yardley	Gap Road,	Hwy, Fairless Stroud	Ridge Road,	Sixth St.	a Rd	Bayville	St.	35 N	Ricmond		Howe Street, n Bay Head	Princeto n Ave.	Fairvie Brick	5a Brick	Pkwy Johnson Ave.	Toms River			
	PA		Hills	Stroudsbur	rg		Lambertvi	Lavalette	Ave. Pt.	Pleasant										
Revenue:	\$23,564	\$3,829	\$19,232	\$8,524	\$66,560	\$37,721	\$8,806	\$158,820	\$71,599	\$406,112	\$804,766	\$44,496	\$12,000	--	\$9,698	\$3,600	\$69,794	\$874,560		
Total Revenue	\$23,564	\$3,829	\$19,232	\$8,524	\$66,560	\$37,721	\$8,806	\$158,820	\$71,599	\$406,112	\$804,766	\$44,496	\$12,000	--	\$9,698	\$3,600	\$69,794	\$874,560		
Operating Expenses:																				
Real estate taxes	19,735		15,403		4,695	7,777	9,892	20,354	26,348	4,926	\$72,320	181,449	10,496	11,778	14,743	9,698	8,650	55,365	236,814	
Insurance	590		590		590	2,534	1,200	3,600	10,000	4,000	\$36,000	59,694	5,000	3,000	360	1,080	-	9,440	69,134	
Water / Electric / Gas		590									\$3,000							720	8,420	
Phone / Cable		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,600	1,100	\$600	7,700	-	600	-	-	120	-	1,020	
Professional services		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	420	-	--	1,020	-	-	-	-	-	-	600	
Snow removal / landscaping		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	600	-	\$10,000	600	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,400	
Miscellaneous		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,200	4,500	--	27,700	3,000	100	300	-	-	-	31,100	
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,200	--	1,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,200	
Total Operating Expenses	\$20,325	\$590	\$15,993	\$5,285	\$10,310	\$11,092	\$23,954	\$54,168	\$15,726	\$121,920	\$279,363	\$18,496	\$15,478	\$15,403	\$10,778	\$8,770	\$68,925	\$348,288		
Reimbursed Expenses																				
O & O Operating Cash Flow	\$3,239	\$3,239	\$3,239	\$3,239	\$56,249	\$26,629	(15,148)	\$104,652	\$55,873	\$284,192	\$525,403	\$26,000	(\$3,478)	(15,403)	(\$1,080)	(\$5,170)	\$869	\$526,272		

SCHEDULE D

<u>New Jersey Properties</u>	<u>Pre-Petition Taxes</u>	<u>Pre-Petition Municipal</u>	<u>Post-Petition</u>	<u>Post-Petition</u>	<u>Post-Petition</u>	<u>Balance</u>	
			<u>Utilities</u>	<u>Taxes</u>	<u>Utilites</u>	<u>Payments</u>	<u>Due</u>
1015 Route 9, Bayville	\$29,581.03	\$1,700.00		\$15,167.00	\$564.00	\$15,314.00	\$31,698.03
Largo Lots	\$8,996.55			\$632.00		\$9628.55	\$0
6 Deer Run Lane, Brick	\$19,183.28			\$3,764.00	\$373.00		\$23,320.28
74 Fairview Ave., Brick	\$23,600.64			\$11,245.00			\$34,845.64
472 Princeton Ave., Brick	\$2,951.74			\$8,848.00	\$371.00	\$371.00	\$11,799.74
1513 Richmond Ave., Point Pleasant				\$56,238.00	\$2,605.00	\$58,777.00	\$66.00
1215 Johnson Ave., Pt. Pleasant	\$6,754.79			\$2,430.00			\$9 ,184.79
1215a Johnson Ave., Pt. Pleasant	Included in 1215 Johnson Ave., Pt. Pleasant			\$2,505.00			\$2,505.00
1108 Ocean Rd., Pt. Pleasant	Included in 1215 Johnson Ave., Pt. Pleasant			\$2,227.00			\$2,227.00
704 Howe St. Bay Head	\$17,439.43			\$7,768.00	\$616.00		\$25,823.43
71 N Main St., Lambertville	\$31,187.31	\$6,475.00		\$19,887.00	\$1,799.00	\$19,887.00	\$39,461.31
3245 Route 35 NB, Lavallette - Toms River				\$1,323.00	\$1,100.00	\$1,213.00	\$1,210.00
1 Robbins Parkway, Toms River	\$8,098.75	\$89.00		\$2,524.00			\$10,711.75
Pennsylvania Properties							
1371 Woodside Ave Yardley, PA County	\$3,962.48			\$2,160.00			\$6,122.48
1372 Woodside Ave Yardley, PA Real Estate				\$1,402.00			\$1,402.00
1371 Woodside Ave Yardley, PA School				\$13,933.00			\$13,933.00
22 South 6th Street Stroudsburg, PA County	\$10,820.19			\$2,266.00		\$2,266.00	\$10,820.19
22 South 6th Street Stroudsburg, PA School				\$5,284.00		\$5,284.00	\$0.00
Other							
272 Mills Road, Kennebunkport, ME	\$1,840.00						\$1,840.00