1	NUSŠBAUM GILLIS & DINNER, P.C. 14850 N. Scottsdale Rd., Suite 450 Scottsdale, Arizona 85254	
2		
3		
4	rnussbaum@ngdlaw.com Proposed Attorneys for Debtor	
5	Troposed Adorneys for Devior	
6	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8	In re:	In Proceedings Under Chapter 11
9	PARADISE MEDSPA, PLLC	Case No. 2:16-bk-13065-MCW
10	Debtor.	
11		MOTION FOR INTERIM ORDER AUTHORIZING USE OF CASH
12		COLLATERAL AND DETERMINING SUFFICIENCY OF ADEQUATE
13		PROTECTION
14		
15	Paradise Medspa, PLLC, the debtor-in-possession in the above-captioned Chapter 1	
16	case ("Debtor"), files this motion ("Motion") seeking entry of an interim order authorizing th	
17	Debtor to utilize funds that may constitute cash collateral of certain prepetition lenders as se	
18	forth below, and determining that such lenders are adequately protected. This Motion seek	
19	immediate entry of an order granting the relief requested herein on an emergency basis unde	
20	Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013(h) in order to avoid immediate and irreparable harm to the	
21	Debtor's estate.	
22	This Motion is supported by the entire record before the Court, by the Declaration of	
23	Rebecca Weiss in Support of First Day Motions (the "Declaration") filed contemporaneously	
24	with this Motion, and by the following memorandum of points and authorities.	
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case 2:16-bk-13065-MCW Doc 6 Filed 11/16/16 Entered 11/16/16 11:14:06 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 6

# MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

# I. <u>JURISDICTION AND BACKGROUND</u>

- 1. On November 15, 2016 (the "**Petition Date**"), the Debtor filed its voluntary petition in this Court for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code.<sup>1</sup>
- 2. The Debtor continues to operate its business and manage its assets as a debtor-in-possession under 11 U.S.C. §§ 1107 and 1108.
- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this case under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The relief requested herein constitutes a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A), (M).
- 4. The Debtor is an Arizona professional limited liability company with its principal operations in Maricopa County, Arizona. Accordingly, venue for the Debtor's chapter 11 case is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- 5. The statutory predicates for the relief requested in this Motion are 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a); 361(1), (2) & (3); and 363(b)(1); and Rules 2002(a)(2), 4001(b) and 6003(b).
- 6. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in this case, nor has an official committee of unsecured creditors been established.

## a. Background Facts Concerning the Debtor

7. Paradise Medspa, PLLC ("PM" or "Debtor") was formed in 2007. Also in 2007, PM formed a separate entity, Paradise Medspa & Wellness, PLLC ("PMW"). Rebecca Weiss is the sole member of PM and PM is the sole member of PMW. PM formed PMW to serve as a party to a joint venture with Paradise Wellness, PLLC ("PW"), a separate entity not affiliated with PM or PM's member, Rebecca Weiss. PMW opened for business in 2008 and has been operating since that time. The joint venture between PMW and PW does business as Paradise Medspa & Wellness.

26 1 Unless oth

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, all chapter and section references in this Motion are to Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 *et seq.* All "Rule" references are to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

- 8. The vision of PMW was to help patients look and feel their very best. PMW's general goal is to provide safe and effective cosmetic and wellness services with an eye on outstanding customer service. Over the past eight years, PMW has built a successful practice with a very loyal clientele. PMW has an excellent reputation in Phoenix and the surrounding areas and has successfully helped thousands of patients achieve their cosmetic and wellness-related goals. PM continues to serve as the member for PMW.
- 9. PMW currently employs ten staff members. PMW plays an active role in the Phoenix community by supporting various local organizations, including several women and children's shelters.
- 10. In 2014, due to space constrains in PMW's current office condo, it became obvious to PM and PMW that PMW needed to expand its practice to a new facility. The office condo where PMW operates is owned by Paradise Property Management, LLC ("PPM"). Due to an existing SBA loan entered into by PM and PPM, and as a result of the collapse of the real estate market in 2008, PPM was unable to sell the office condo in an effort to relocate to a larger medical facility. PM, therefore, made the decision to expand PMW's business by forming a second office location in Scottsdale. In doing so, Rebecca Weiss formed The Manor Medical Spa, PLLC ("MMS"). Rebecca Weiss is the sole member of MMS.
- 11. Unfortunately, expansion into another part of town (through MMS) proved difficult on PMW's business and resulted in division of PM operations and dilution of PM and PMW's brands.
- 12. PM's goal at this point is, together with PMW, reorganize PMW's business affairs and focus on improving operations at the Phoenix location. In addition, a major goal is to reorganize PM's obligations owed to secured creditors and evaluate options for leases under the Bankruptcy Code.
- 13. The Debtor proposes to operate according to the budget attached to this Motion as **Exhibit A**.

#### b. Pre-petition Debt and Security Interests

14. The Debtor has an outstanding obligation owed to Ready Cap Lending (the "Ready Cap Loan"). The Ready Cap Loan is evidenced by a Promissory Note entered into on October 16, 2007 between PPM and PM, as Borrowers, Rebecca Weiss-Glasow and Gregory C. Glasow, as Guarantors, and CIT Small Business Lending Corporation, a Delaware corporation, as the Lender (the "Note"). As evidenced by the UCC-1 recorded on October 18, 2007, and later renewed on August 7, 2012, Ready Cap has a perfected lien on PM's and PPM's equipment and other identifiable proceeds as more thoroughly described in the UCC-1 ("Collateral"). A copy of the Note and UCC-1 are attached hereto as Exhibit B and Exhibit C. Ready Cap is the assignee of the Note pursuant to an Assignment recorded on July 3, 2014 and may assert a perfected security interest in the Collateral. A copy of the Assignment is attached hereto as Exhibit D and is incorporated herein by reference.

15. As of the Petition Date, the approximate amount outstanding under the Ready Cap Loan was approximately \$910,133.00. The payments to Ready Cap are current through November 2016.

# II. <u>RELIEF REQUESTED</u>

This Motion is brought to authorize the Debtor's immediate use of cash collateral in the ordinary course of the Debtor's business, in order to avoid irreparable harm to the Debtor's estate. Accordingly, this Motion seeks entry of an order: 1) authorizing the Debtor to use cash collateral pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 363(c)(2) in accordance with the proposed budget attached to this Motion as **Exhibit A** on an interim basis pending future approval by the Court; and 2) finding that the Ready Cap is adequately protected by virtue of replacement lien the Debtor proposes to grant Ready Cap to the same priority and extent of the value of Ready Cap's interest in the Collateral.

### III. <u>LEGAL ANALYSIS</u>

The Debtor's use of estate property is governed by 11 U.S.C. § 363. Under 11 U.S.C. § 363(c)(1), a debtor ... "may enter into transactions, including the sale or lease of property of

the estate, in the ordinary course of business, without notice or a hearing, and may use property of the estate in the ordinary course of business without notice or a hearing." In addition, under 11 U.S.C. § 363(c)(2)(A), (B), a debtor-in-possession may use cash collateral either with the consent of the secured party or by order of the Court so long as 11 U.S.C. §§ 363(e) and (p) have been adhered to and the debtor has met its burden for providing adequate protection to the secured lender.

Any party asserting an interest in cash collateral has the burden of proof on the validity, priority, or extent of any such interest. 11 U.S.C. § 363(p). Once this burden is met, the Court must ensure that the secured party's interest in cash collateral is adequately protected. Adequate protection does not increase the secured party's bundle of rights under state law; rather, a court must tailor the amount or method of adequate protection so as to prevent any diminution in value to the secured creditor's collateral. *See In re Am. Mariner Indus., Inc.,* 734 F.2d 426, 435 (9th Cir. 1984) (overruled on other grounds by *United Say. Ass'n of Tex. V. Timbers of Inwood Forest Assocs., Ltd.,* 484 U.S. 365 (1988)). Moreover, if the value of the creditor's rights is not likely "to diminish during the time of use," adequate protection need not be provided. *See In re McCombs Props. VI, Ltd.,* 88 B.R. 261, 266 (Bankr. C.D.Cal. 1988).

Here, the Debtor's access to working capital and liquidity through the use of Ready Cap's Collateral is vital to the ongoing operations of the Debtor's business. In operating a medical spa, the Debtor must transact business with key suppliers and fulfill other business obligations continuously, and in many cases on a day-to-day basis. Any delay in the Debtor's ability to access cash would immediately thwart the Debtor's operations. Indeed, if the Debtor is not permitted to use the Collateral, it would cause irreparable harm to the Debtor's business operations and render impossible the Debtor's ability to successfully reorganize.

As adequate protection, the Debtor proposes to provide Ready Cap with a replacement lien to the same priority and extent of the value of Ready Cap's interest in the Debtor's interest in the Collateral. Additionally, Debtor proposes to pay Ready Cap \$6,155.00 a month as

adequate protection to be applied to the outstanding principal. As set forth in Exhibit A, the Debtor's proposed use of the Collateral is for ordinary and necessary operating expenses. Use of Ready Cap's cash collateral for ongoing operations only serves to enhance the value of Ready Cap's security interest. For this reason, the Debtor believes that the proposed adequate protection is more than sufficient to protect Ready Cap's interests in the Collateral.

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, in order to avoid irreparable harm to the Debtor's estate, the Debtor hereby requests that the Court enter an order substantially in the form attached to this Motion: 1) authorizing the Debtor to use cash collateral pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 363(c)(2)(B) in accordance with the proposed budget attached to this Motion as **Exhibit A** on an interim basis pending future final approval by the Court; and 2) finding that Ready Cap is adequately protected by virtue of replacement liens the Debtor proposes to grant Ready Cap to the same priority and extent of the value of Ready Cap's interest in the Collateral.

DATED: November 16, 2016.

NUSSBAUM GILLIS & DINNER, P.C.

/s/ Randy Nussbaum
Randy Nussbaum

Proposed Counsel to the Debtor