Case	9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 File → 02/4 Main Document	Page 1 of 57
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Main Document Mette H. Kurth (SBN 187100) Andy S. Kong (SBN 243933) M. Douglas Flahaut (SBN 245558) ARENT FOX LLP 555 West Fifth Street, 48th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90013-1065 Telephone: 213.629.7400 Facsimile: 213.629.7401 E-mail: kurth.mette@arentfox.com kong.andy@arentfox.com flahaut.douglas@arentfox.com Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors in Possess Debtors' Mailing Address 121 Gray Avenue	m
9 10	Santa Barbara, CA 93101	BANKRUPTCY COURT
10		RICT OF CALIFORNIA
11		RBARA DIVISION
13	In re:	Case No.: 9:09-bk-15138-RR
14	THE WALKING COMPANY, a	[Jointly Administered with Case Nos.: 9:09-bk-
15	Delaware corporation, d/b/a Alan's Shoes, Footworks, Overland Trading Co., Sole	15137-RR; 9:09-bk-15139-RR]
16	Outdoors, and Martini Shoes; f/k/a TWC Acquisition Corporation; BIG DOG USA ,	NOTICE OF FILING OF REDLINE OF DEBTORS' SECOND AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FOR
17	INC. , a California corporation, d/b/a Big Dog Sportswear; f/k/a Fortune Dogs, Inc.;	DEBTORS' SECOND AMENDED JOINT CHAPTER 11 PLAN (DATED MARCH 9,
18	and THE WALKING COMPANY HOLDINGS, INC. , a Delaware	2010)
19	corporation, f/k/a Big Dog Holdings, Inc. and 190 th Shelf Corporation,	[No Hearing Required]
20	Debtors.	
21	[X] Affects all Debtors	
22	[] Applies only to The Walking	
23	Company [] Applies only to Big Dog USA, Inc.	
24	[] Applies only to The Walking	
25	Company Holdings, Inc.	
26		
27		
28 Arent Fox LLP		
Attorneys At Law Los Angeles	LA/248370.1	09151381003170000000000000000000000000000000

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 57

1	TO THE HONORABLE ROBIN L. RIBLET, UNITED STATES
2	BANKRUPTCY JUDGE; THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE;
3	AND ALL OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES:
4	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the above-captioned debtors hereby submit a
5	redline version of the Second Amended Disclosure Statement for Debtors' Second
6	Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan Dated March 9, 2010 (the "Second Amended Disclosure
7	Statement) showing the changes between the Second Amended Disclosure Statement and
8	the First Amended Disclosure Statement For Debtors' First Amended Joint Chapter 11
9	Plan (Dated March 9, 2010) filed on March 9, 2010 [Doc. No. 297].
10	The changes shown in this redline are made pursuant to the Court's direction at the
11	March 12, 2010 hearing on the adequacy of debtors' disclosure statement. The exhibits to
12	the Second Amended Disclosure Statement remain the same and are, therefore, not being
13	resubmitted.
14	DATED: March 17, 2010 /s/ M. Douglas Flahaut
15	M. DOUGLAS FLAHAUT ARENT FOX LLP
16	Attorneys for the Debtors and Debtors in Possession
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
24 25	
23 26	
20 27	
27	
20 ARENT FOX LLP Attorneys At Law Los Angeles	

C	ase 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Main	9 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 1 Document Page 3 of 57	4:10:46	Desc
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CENTRAL DIST	<u>n</u> x.com		
13				
14	In re:	Case No. 09-15137, 09-15138, and 09-15139		
15 16	THE WALKING COMPANY , a Delaware corporation, d/b/a Alan's Shoes, Footworks, Overland Trading	Jointly Administered under Case No. 09- 15138		
17 18 19 20	Co., Sole Outdoors, and Martini Shoes; f/k/a TWC Acquisition Corporation; BIG DOG USA, INC. , a California corporation, d/b/a Big Dog Sportswear; f/k/a Fortune Dogs, Inc.; and THE WALKING COMPANY HOLDINGS, INC. , a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Big Dog Holdings, Inc. and 190 th Shelf Corporation,	[Chapter 11] FIRSTSECOND AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FOR DEBTORS' FIRSTSECOND AMENDED JOINT CHAPTER 11 PLAN (DATED MARCH 9, 2010) Disclosure Statement Hearing		
21	-			
22	Debtors.	DATE: March 12, 2010		
23	[X] Affects all Debtors	TIME: 1:00 p.m. PLACE: 1415 State Street		
24	[] Applies only to The Walking Company	Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Confirmation Hearing		
25	[] Applies only to Big Dog USA, Inc.	DATE: April <u>,23,</u> 2010		
26	[] Applies only to The Walking	TIME: <u>TBD1:00 p.m.</u>		
27	Company Holdings, Inc.	PLACE: 1415 State Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101		
28		·		

LA/235312.6

Qase 9:09-bk-15138-RR	Doc 319	Filed 03/1	7/10	Entered 03/17/10 1	4:10:46	Desc
	Main Do	ocument	Page	4 of 57		

TABLE	OF	CONTENTS
-------	----	----------

2			Page
3	TABLE OF	EXHIBITS	0
4	ΙΙΝΤΡΟΟΙ	JCTION	2
5	I. INTRODU A.	Purpose of This Document	
6	A. B.	Deadlines for Voting and Objecting; Date of Plan Confirmation	
0	Б.	Hearing	4
7		1. Time and Place of the Confirmation Hearing	4
8		2. Deadline For Voting For or Against the Plan	4
9		3. Deadline For Objecting to the Confirmation of the Plan	5
10		4. Identity of Person to Contact for More Information Regarding the Plan	5
11	C.	Disclaimer	5
12	II. BAC	KGROUND	7
13	А.	Description and History of the Debtors' Business	7
14		1. Walking Company Holdings, Inc	7
		2. Big Dog USA, Inc., d/b/a Big Dog Sportswear	7
15		3. The Walking Company	9
16	В.	The Expansion of The Walking Company's Retail Operation	10
17	C.	Events Leading to Chapter 11 Filing	11
	D.	The Debtors' Progress in Pre-Negotiating a Plan of Reorganization	
18	E.	Principals/Affiliates of Debtors' Business	
19	F.	Management of the Debtors Before and After the Bankruptcy	15
20		1. The Debtors' Board of Directors	
21		2. The Debtors' Management Team	
21	G.	Current and Historical Financial Conditions	
22		1. The Debtors' Assets	
23		2. The Debtors' Liabilities	
24		3. Interests	
	Н.	Current and Historical Financial Conditions	
25	I.	Significant Events During the Bankruptcy	
26		1. The Transition to Operations as Debtors in Possession and Other Early Events in These Reorganization Cases	28
27			
28		-i-	

LA/235312.6

C	ase 9:	09-bł	k-151	38-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/1 Main Document Page 5 of 57	17/10 1	4:10:46	Desc
1				TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)		l	
2				(continued)	Page	l	
3			2.	The Debtor-in-Possession Financing	0	1	
4			3.	Appointment of the Committee	30	1	
5			4.	Professionals Retained by the Estates and Professional Fee Budgets	30	1	
6			5.	The Bar Date and Claim Objections		1	
			6.	The Debtors' Positive Postpetition Performance		1	
7			7.	Restructuring Efforts and the Plan Process		1	
8			8.	Other Legal Proceedings	40	1	
9			9.	Actual and Projected Recovery of Preferential or Fraudulent Transfers	44 <u>45</u>	1	
10 11	III.	SUMN	MARY	OF THE PLAN OF REORGANIZATION	<u>4546</u>	1	
11		A.		Creditors and Interest Holders Will Receive Under The sed Plan	<u>4546</u>	1	
13		B.	Gener	al Overview		1	
_		C.	Uncla	ssified Claims		1	
14			1.	Administrative Expenses		1	
15			2.	Priority Tax Claims	52	1	
16		D.	Classi	fied Claims and Interests	<u>53<u>54</u></u>	1	
17			1.	Classes of Secured Claims	<u>53<u>54</u></u>	1	
17			2.	Classes of Priority Unsecured Claims		1	
18			3.	Class of General Unsecured Claims		1	
19			4.	Class(es) of Interest Holders		1	
20		E.		s of Performing Under the Plan		1	
			1.	Exit Financing		1	
21			2.	Funding for the Plan		1	
22			3.	Issuance of New Preferred Stock The Unsecured Claims Reserve		1	
23			4.	The Unsecured Claims Reserve	64	l	
24		F.		vation of Claims and Rights Not Expressly Settled and sed	65	l	
25			1.	General Claims and Rights	65	1	
26			2.	Avoidance Actions	65<u>66</u>	1	
			3.	Waiver of Preference Claims	66	1	
27						1	
28				-ii-		l	

C	ase 9	:09-b	k-151	38-RR		Filed 03/17/ ocument Pa	10 Entered age 6 of 57	d 03/17/10 1	4:10:46	Desc
1					TABLE OF	CONTENTS				
2						tinued)				
								Page		
3										
4		G.	-			erests				
5		Н.			e e	inctions				
6			1.			d Injunction				
_			2.			ses				
7			3.							
8			4. 5.			nittee Releases Section 1542				
9			5. 6.							
10			0. 7.			nagement				
10		I.								
11		1.	1.			ecurities				
12			2.			ting the Reorganiz				
13			3.			ted with Purchase				
			4.	-		g to Financial Co	-			
14		J.		-		B				
15			1.			nd Unexpired Lea				
16			2.		-	y Contracts and U				
17			3.	-	-	and Leases	-			
17			4.	Changes Approva	in Rates Subj 1	ect to Regulatory	Commission			
19			5.	Retention	n of Jurisdicti	on				
		K.	Tax C	Consequen	ces of Plan			<u>84<mark>85</mark></u>		
20			1.	Federal I	ncome Tax C	onsequences of th	he Plan to the D	ebtors 85		
21			2.	Federal I Claims a	ncome Tax C nd Interests	onsequences of th	e Plan to Hold	ers of 87		
22		L.	Secu	rities Law	Matters					
23										
24	IV.	CON			-	TS AND PROCE				
25		A.		•	•					
			1.			onfirmation of the				
26			2.		-	ept/Reject the Pla				
27			3.	Who is N	Not Entitled to	Vote		9 <u>394</u>		
28						-iii-				

C	lase	9:09-k	ok-151	38-RR		c 319 ⁄lain D			/17/1 Pa		Ente 7 of 5		03/1	7/10	14:1	10:46	C	Desc
1					TA	BLE O			TS									
2						(00)	ntinued	1)						Page				
3			4.	Who Car	n Vote	e in Mo	re Thai	n One	Class .					0				
4			5.	Votes Ne	lecessa	ary to C	onfirm	the Pl	lan					94	ł			
			6.	Votes Ne	lecessa	ary for a	a Class	to Ace	cept the	e Pla	n			<mark>94</mark> 95	5			
5			7.	Treatmen	ent of N	Nonacce	epting (Classe	s			•••••		<mark>94<u>95</u></mark>	5			
6			8.	Request Class(es)	for Co ()	onfirma	tion De	espite	Nonac	cepta	ance b	y Imp	aired	95	5			
7 8		B.	Liaui	dation Ana	nalvsis									95 96	5			
9		C.	-	bility	•										-			
10	V.	EFF	ECT OF	CONFIR	RMAT	'ION OI	F PLAN	N					1	01<u>102</u>	2			
11		А.	Disch	arge	•••••	•••••	•••••					•••••	<mark>1</mark>	01<u>102</u>	2			
12		В.	-	ction														
13		C.		sting of Pr														
-		D.		fication of											-			
14		E.		lution of t											-			
15		F. G.		Confirmation			-											
16		О. Н.		erly Fees . Confirmati											-			
17		II.		Decree											-			
				200100											ŕ			
18 19	VI.	REC	OMME	NDATIO	N AN	ID CON	ICLUS	SION			•••••	•••••		105	5			
20																		
21																		
22																		
23																		
24																		
25																		
26																		
27																		
28							-iv-											
	1														1			

C	ase 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered Main Document Page 8 of 57	03/17/10 1	4:10:46	Desc
1	TABLE OF EXHIBITS			
2 3	LIST OF ALL ASSETS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS			
	SCHEDULES OF ASSUMED AGREEMENTS			
4	SCHEDULES OF ASSUMED AGREEMENTS	EARIDIT C		
5	LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS			
6	LIST OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIMS			
7	LIST OF PRIORITY UNSECURED CLAIMS			
	LIST OF GENERAL UNSECURED CLAIMS	EXHIBIT H		
8	LIST OF INTEREST HOLDERS	EXHIBIT I		
9				
10 11				
11				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27 28				
20				
	- v -			

I.

1 2

INTRODUCTION

The Walking Company, Big Dog USA, Inc., and The Walking Company Holdings, Inc. are the debtors in these Chapter 11 bankruptcy cases. On December 7, 2009, the Debtors commenced bankruptcy cases by filing voluntary Chapter 11 petitions under the Bankruptcy Code. Since the Petition Date, as authorized under Bankruptcy Code Sections 1107 and 1108, the Debtors have operated their business and managed their affairs as debtors in possession. These Reorganization Cases are being jointly administered before the Bankruptcy Court.

Chapter 11 allows the Debtors, and under some circumstances, creditors and others parties in interest, to propose a plan of reorganization. The Plan may provide for the Debtors to reorganize by continuing to operate, to liquidate by selling assets of the estates, or a combination of both. The Debtors are the parties proposing the Plan sent to you in the same envelope as this document. THE DOCUMENT YOU ARE READING IS THE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FOR THE DEBTORS' PLAN. All capitalized terms not defined in this Disclosure Statement shall have the meaning provided in the Plan.

17 This is a joint reorganizing plan among all of the Debtors. In other words, the 18 Debtors (also referred to as the "Proponents") seek to accomplish payments under the 19 Plan primarily by: (a) reducing operating expenses by renegotiating *itstheir* real estate 20 leases; (b) reducing the amount due under itstheir Notes and obtaining certain other 21 economic concessions from the Noteholders and certain other creditors; (c) increasing 22 its their capital and liquidity through a \$10 million Capital Investment and a \$30 million 23 Exit Financing; and (d) cash from operations. The \$10 million Capital Investment will be 24 made pursuant to a Investor Commitment Letter between the Investors and the Debtors. 25 Of this \$10 million Capital Investment, approximately \$8.1 million will be used to pay for 26 the Debtors' reorganization costs, including Allowed Administrative and Priority Claim 27 and Allowed General Unsecured Claims to be paid within 30 days of the Plan's Effective 28 Date. Any remaining balance will be retained as working capital for the reorganized

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 10 of 57

company. The Effective Date of the proposed Plan is the first Business Day on which the 1 2 conditions specified in Section IV.N. of the Plan are satisfied, but that is in no event later 3 than the Closing Deadline under the WFRF Commitment Letter. 4 As discussed in detail in this Disclosure Statement, the Plan proposes to satisfy all 5 of the prepetition obligations of the Debtors, with the exception only of certain voluntary 6 discounts agreed to by the Noteholders and the possible impairment of Holdings' Existing 7 Common Stock pursuant to terms of the Investor Commitment Letter. The Debtors 8 believe that the Plan provides the greatest and earliest possible recoveries to creditors and 9 stockholders, that confirmation of the Plan is in the best interest of all parties in interest, 10 and that any alternative would result in further delay, uncertainty, and expense to the 11 Estates. The Proponents therefore recommend that all eligible creditors and stockholders 12 entitled to vote on the Plan cast their ballots to accept the Plan. 13 A. **Purpose of This Document** This Disclosure Statement summarizes what is in the Plan, and tells you certain 14 15 information relating to the Plan and the process the Court follows in determining whether 16 or not to confirm the Plan. **READ THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT CAREFULLY IF YOU WANT** 17 18 **TO KNOW ABOUT:** 19 (1) WHO CAN VOTE OR OBJECT; 20 (2) WHAT THE TREATMENT OF YOUR CLAIM IS (I.E., WHAT 21 YOUR CLAIM WILL RECEIVE IF THE PLAN IS CONFIRMED), 22 AND HOW THIS TREATMENT COMPARES TO WHAT YOUR 23 CLAIM WOULD RECEIVE IN LIQUIDATION; THE HISTORY OF THE DEBTORS AND SIGNIFICANT EVENTS 24 (3) 25 **DURING THE BANKRUPTCY;**

- (4) WHAT THINGS THE COURT WILL LOOK AT TO DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT TO CONFIRM THE PLAN;
- (5) WHAT IS THE EFFECT OF CONFIRMATION; AND

26

27

28

- 3 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 11 of 57

WHETHER THIS PLAN IS FEASIBLE.

This Disclosure Statement cannot tell you everything about your rights. You should
consider consulting your own lawyer to obtain more specific advice on how this Plan will
affect you and what is the best course of action for you.

5 Be sure to read the Plan as well as the Disclosure Statement. If there are any 6 inconsistencies between the Plan and the Disclosure Statement, the Plan provisions will 7 govern.

8 The Bankruptcy Code requires a Disclosure Statement to contain "adequate 9 information" concerning the Plan. The Bankruptcy Court ("Court") has approved this 10 document as an adequate Disclosure Statement, containing enough information to enable 11 parties affected by the Plan to make an informed judgment about the Plan. Any party can 12 now solicit votes for or against the Plan.

13

1

(6)

B. Deadlines for Voting and Objecting; Date of Plan Confirmation Hearing

THE COURT HAS NOT YET CONFIRMED THE PLAN DESCRIBED IN THIS
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT. IN OTHER WORDS, THE TERMS OF THE PLAN
ARE NOT YET BINDING ON ANYONE. HOWEVER, IF THE COURT LATER
CONFIRMS THE PLAN, THEN THE PLAN WILL BE BINDING ON THE DEBTORS
AND ON ALL CREDITORS AND INTEREST HOLDERS IN THESE
REORGANIZATION CASES.

20

1. Time and Place of the Confirmation Hearing

The hearing where the Court will determine whether or not to confirm the Plan will
take place on <u>______,April 23</u>, 2010, at <u>_____1:00 p.m.</u>, at the United
States Bankruptcy Court, 1415 State Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

24

2. Deadline For Voting For or Against the Plan

If you are entitled to vote, it is in your best interest to timely vote on the enclosed
ballot and return the ballot in the enclosed envelope to the Debtors' Claims Agent,
Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC. Your ballot must be received by the Claims Agent

28

- 4 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 12 of 57

by _____, on or before April 20, 2010, at 4:00 p.m. (Pacific Time) or it will not

2 be counted.

3. Deadline For Objecting to the Confirmation of the Plan

Objections to the confirmation of the Plan must be filed with the Court and served upon counsel for the Debtors, Arent Fox LLP, Attn: Douglas Flahaut, Esq. at 555 West Fifth Street, 48th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90013 by ______,<u>April 9</u>, 2010, at 4:00 p.m. (Pacific Time).

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

1

3

4

5

6

Identity of Person to Contact for More Information Regarding the Plan

Any interested party desiring further information about the Plan should contact Mette H. Kurth, Esq. or Douglas Flahaut, Esq. at Arent Fox LLP, 555 West Fifth Street, 48th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90013, telephone (213) 629-7400, and/or email kurth.mette@arentfox.com or flahaut.douglas@arentfox.com.

C. Disclaimer

4.

Please carefully read this document, the Plan, and the attached Exhibits. These documents explain who may object to confirmation of the Plan, who is entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan, and the treatment that creditors and stockholders can expect to receive if the Court confirms the Plan. The statements and information contained in the Plan and Disclosure Statement, however, do not constitute financial or legal advice. You should therefore consult your own advisors if you have questions about the impact of the Plan on your Claims or Interests.

21 The financial data relied upon in formulating the Plan was prepared by the Debtors 22 from information in their books and records and financial statements, as well as financial 23 projections and appraisals prepared by the Debtors' financial advisors, The Clear 24 Thinking Group LLC, and is the sole responsibility of the Debtors. The information 25 contained in this Disclosure Statement is provided by Andrew D. Feshbach, the Chief 26 Executive Officer and President of the Debtors, Anthony J. Wall, the Executive Vice 27 President and General Counsel of the Debtors, and Roberta J. Morris, the Chief Financial 28 Officer of the Debtors. The Plan Proponents represent that everything stated in the

- 5 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 13 of 57

Disclosure Statement is true to the Proponents' best knowledge. The Debtors'
 professionals and financial advisors have not independently verified this information.

The statements and information that concern the Debtors and that are set forth in this document constitute the only statements and information that this Court has approved for the purpose of soliciting votes to accept or reject the Plan. Therefore, no statements or information that are inconsistent with anything contained in this Plan and Disclosure Statement are authorized unless otherwise ordered by this Court. The Court has not yet determined whether or not the Plan is confirmable and makes no recommendation as to whether or not you should support or oppose the Plan.

You may not rely on the Plan and Disclosure Statement for any purpose other
than to determine whether to vote to accept or reject the Plan. Nothing contained in
the Plan or Disclosure Statement constitutes an admission of any fact or liability by
any party or may be deemed to constitute evidence of the tax or other legal effects
that the Debtors' reorganization may have on entities holding Claims or Interests.

15 Unless another time is expressly specified in the Disclosure Statement, all 16 statements contained in this document are made as of February 1, 2010. Under no 17 circumstances will the delivery of this Disclosure Statement or the exchange of any rights 18 made in connection with the Plan create an implication or representation that there has 19 been no subsequent change in the information included in this document. The Debtors 20 assume no duty to update or supplement any of the disclosure information contained in 21 this document, and they presently do not intend to undertake any such updates or 22 supplements.

23 <u>CAUTIONARY STATEMENT:</u> Some statements in this document may 24 constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Act and the 25 Exchange Act, to the extent applicable. Such statements are based upon information 26 available when the statements were made and are subject to risks and uncertainties that 27 could cause actual results materially to differ from those expressed in the statements. 28 Neither the SEC nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved this

- 6 -

document.

II.

BACKGROUND

4

1

2

3

A. Description and History of the Debtors' Business

5 The Debtors are The Walking Company Holdings, Inc. ("Holdings"), a Delaware 6 corporation, and its two wholly owned subsidiaries, The Walking Company ("TWC"), a 7 Delaware corporation, and Big Dog USA, Inc. ("Big Dog"), a California corporation, 8 d/b/a "Big Dog Sportswear." Headquartered in Santa Barbara, California, the Debtors 9 consist of two distinct retail operations. The Debtors' operations are largely focused on 10 TWC, which is a leading specialty retailer of authentic comfort footwear, operating 207 stores in premium malls across the nation. TWC generated approximately 93% of the 11 12 Debtors' sales in 2009. Big Dog is a retailer of a lifestyle collection of popular-priced T-13 shirts, casual sportswear, and accessories featuring the Big Dogs trademark. Together, TWC and Big Dog employ over 1,600 individuals across the country. 14

15

1.

Walking Company Holdings, Inc.

Holdings is a holding company trading on the pink sheets under the symbol
"WALK.PK" Holdings' assets consist primarily of the stock of its two operating
subsidiaries (TWC and Big Dog) and the trademarks, copyrights and other intellectual
property used in the operation of TWC and Big Dog, which Holdings licenses to such
subsidiaries. The Debtors use a variety of trademarks that it owns, including the U.S.
registered trademarks THE WALKING COMPANY®, BIG DOGS®, BIG DOG
SPORTSWEAR®, and a dog logo.

23

2. Big Dog USA, Inc., d/b/a Big Dog Sportswear

Big Dog products have been sold since 1983, but until Big Dog and its business were acquired by Holdings in 1992, its operations were limited. Big Dog's product line originally concentrated on its branded collection of T-shirts, shorts, and other casual sportswear featuring graphic designs focused on the BIG DOGS® trademark and a dog character known as "Big Dog." Big Dog develops, markets, and retails this clothing line

- 7 -

Qase 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 15 of 57

and related accessories and gifts for men, women, and children. In the years following its
acquisition, Big Dog leveraged the Big Dog brand through expansion of its product line
and growth of its retail chain in outlet malls throughout the United States, as well through
a catalog and Internet business and certain other venues. At its height, Big Dog revenues
exceeded \$100 million annually, and it operated more than 200 stores.

6 Big Dog and its management team have a long history as an active part of the Santa 7 Barbara business community. For 14 consecutive years, Big Dog organized the annual 8 Big Dog Parade and Canine Festival, which attracted dog lovers and families from across 9 the country to Santa Barbara to compete in the largest dog parade in the country. All 10 proceeds from the event went directly to the Big Dog Foundation, a 501(c) non-profit organization dedicated to bettering the lives of dogs, children, and dogs that help people. 11 12 Through its existence, the Big Dog Foundation has made significant donations of 13 remainder and difficult-to-sell garments to local and national charities in need. Beginning 14 in 2007, Big Dog's charitable activities have been largely suspended, and the Big Dog 15 Parade scheduled for 2009 was cancelled. However, members of Big Dog's management 16 team continue to participate as members of the board of directors of the Big Dog 17 Foundation.

18 After years of early growth, Big Dog reached a level of maturity in its number of 19 stores and breadth of product. In 2007 and 2008, Big Dog began to incur significant 20 losses as customer traffic and sales in its outlet-based stores declined. After attempts to 21 sell Big Dog in the fall 2007 and early 2008 were unsuccessful, in mid-2008 Big Dog 22 implemented a successful out-of-court workout of Big Dog, though which Big Dog was 23 able to stem further losses by reducing the chain from over 140 stores to the two stores 24 that remain at present. Big Dog's remaining operations are limited, consisting mainly of 25 Internet sales. The Debtors are considering a business plan to revitalize the BIG DOGS 26 brand, which may include, among other things, reopening certain Big Dog stores on a 27 limited basis.

28

- 8 -

Qase 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 1/4:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 16 of 57

1 Although the shutdown of the Big Dog retail chain stemmed further operating 2 losses, it imposed on the Debtors a workout cost of over \$3 million, reduced overall 3 revenue, created illiquidity, and burdened TWC with a greater share of the Debtors' 4 overhead costs.

5

3. The Walking Company

6 Founded in 1991, TWC is a retailer of high-quality, technically designed comfort 7 footwear and accessories for men and women featuring leading comfort brands from 8 around the world, including ECCO®, Dansko®, UGG®, MBT®, and Aetrex®. When 9 TWC was acquired by Holdings in 2004, it was comprised of 72 retail stores located 10 primarily in regional malls.

11 TWC seeks out and offers to its customers shoe brands that are of high quality, 12 integrate comfort features, and are not widely distributed. TWC features a number of 13 European and other foreign comfort shoe brands not widely found in other US shoe 14 retailers. TWC stores offer a high level of customer service through a trained, 15 knowledgeable sales staff that informs customers of the health and comfort benefits and 16 the technical features of TWC's footwear. TWC's commitment to knowledgeable 17 customer service enhances its ability to generate repeat business and attract new 18 customers.

19 Although marketing focus is on baby boomers and working professionals, TWC's 20 customers include men and women of all ages. As baby boomers age, there is an 21 increasing focus on comfort footwear for both work and play. In addition, many of 22 TWC's brands are popular with working professionals such as teachers, medical staff, 23 foodservice personnel and others who spend long days on their feet. The majority of 24 TWC's footwear products range from between \$80 and \$200. TWC utilizes its 25 preeminence in the comfort market to seek strong vendor relationships and widespread 26 customer recognition.

27 TWC stores are typically located in leading regional malls in prosperous urban 28 areas where TWC believes demographics are favorable. In making site selections, TWC

- 9 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 17 of 57

also considers a variety of other factors, including proximity to large population centers,
area income, the prestige and potential customer-draw of the other tenants in the center or
area, rent and operating costs, store location and visibility within the center, and the
accessibility and visibility of the center from nearby thoroughfares. TWC store size
generally ranges between 1,400-1,700 square feet, and some industry reports indicate that
TWC stores generate twice the sales-per-square-foot of other comfort shoe retailers.

7

В.

The Expansion of The Walking Company's Retail Operation

8 After acquiring TWC the year before, in 2005 the Debtors tested the expansion of 9 TWC's retail stores by opening 12 new stores featuring an updated look and appeal. This 10 new look was a key part of TWC's implementation of an effective, coherent marketing image and strategy. This strategy has been implemented through a newly-developed store 11 12 design and supporting marketing endeavors. Through new store development and 13 refitting old stores, the large majority of the chain now has the new design. TWC further 14 continues its brand awareness through consistent store layout and image, collateral 15 materials (in-store posters, etc.), and development of brand-identifying trademarks and 16 slogans.

17 Encouraged by strong sales results and profitability in its test stores, TWC entered 18 a period of strategic expansion of its store chain, opening approximately 140 new stores 19 and more than doubling in size from 2006 though 2008 by leasing and building-out new 20 stores as well as by acquiring existing retail footwear stores for conversion into TWC 21 stores. In September 2005, TWC acquired the assets of Footworks, a division of the 22 privately held shoe retailer Bianca of Nevada, Inc. In January 2006, it acquired 23 substantially all the assets of Steve's Shoes, Inc., one of the largest independent comfort 24 shoe retailers in the country, through a bankruptcy auction. And in January 2008, it 25 acquired substantially all assets of Natural Comfort Footwear, Inc., one of the largest 26 independent comfort shoe retailers in Florida. All of these stores were converted to TWC 27 stores. TWC has also developed an Internet presence to generate sales and promote its 28 store-based business.

- 10 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 18 of 57

1 TWC's cost to open a store in 2007, including leasehold improvements and 2 furniture and fixtures, was approximately \$293,000 per store. The average per store initial 3 inventory for the new 2007 stores was approximately \$201,000 and pre-opening expenses 4 averaged approximately \$18,000 per store. TWC financed the capital costs of its 5 expansion from its revenues and also through the issuance in 2007 of \$18.5 million of the 6 8.375% Convertible Notes due 2015.

During this period of expansion, the Debtors built up their infrastructure and
overhead to accommodate the expanded TWC chain. Today, TWC is the nation's leading
specialty retailer of authentic comfort footwear, operating 207 stores in premium malls
across the nation.

11

C. Events Leading to Chapter 11 Filing

From 2005 through 2008, as the Debtors expanded their TWC operations across the country, they also experienced significant growth in TWC product sales. TWC's total net annual sales increased from \$179.1 million in 2005, to \$218.6 million in 2006, to \$233.3 million in 2007, and to \$241.5 million in 2008. TWC's gross profits for this period increased from \$98.8 million in 2005, to \$116.9 million in 2006, to \$122.4 million in 2007, and decreased to \$117.5 million in 2008.

18 During this period of expansion, the Debtors agreed to the high rent levels then 19 required by landlords based on industry-wide customer traffic and sale assumptions. 20 However, these assumptions failed to materialize when the economy in general, and the 21 retail business in particular, went into serious decline in 2008 and 2009. The Debtors' total net annual sales for 2008 of approximately \$242 million-while still representing an 22 increase over 2007 sales-were lower than had been projected. When combined with 23 24 significant expansion costs related to the growth of TWC, as well as the one-time costs 25 associated with the downsizing of Big Dog, the Debtors generated a loss from operations 26 of \$5.3 million in 2008.

27 Mall traffic and retail sales continued to be weak throughout 2009. As the retail28 and real estate markets continued to decline, the vast majority of the Debtors' leases

- 11 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 19 of 57

became burdened with substantially over-market rents. And for the first time during this
 period, the Debtors' gross sales decreased. In 2009, the Debtors' gross sales were \$193
 million, and the Debtors generated a loss from operations of \$8.1 million.

4 Meanwhile, as TWC began incurring losses in 2008 and throughout 2009, its 5 borrowing base diminished and the Debtors' availability under its credit line with Wells 6 Fargo Retail Finance (or "WFRF") was reduced accordingly. With its ability to weather 7 the continuing economic recession and the depressed retail environment impaired, the 8 Debtors sought to strengthen their financial capital position. In early 2009, the Debtors 9 made inquiries to various financial firms about a possible capital investment. Those 10 efforts were unsuccessful largely due to concerns about the Debtors lack of operating profits and the locked-in high rents in their lease portfolio. The Debtors also made 11 12 inquiries within the retail industry in early 2009 regarding potential interest in the 13 purchase of the Debtors. But potential acquirers expressed similar concerns about the 14 Debtors' lack of operating profits and over-market lease rents, especially with respect to 15 TWC's newer stores.

While pursuing these options during early 2009, the Debtors also proceeded to develop and sought to implement a turn-around plan in an effort to strengthen their financial capital position. Some of the key elements of the turn-around plan, which has focused on cost cutting, financial restructuring, and efforts to renegotiate its lease obligations, include the following:

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- a) Holdings voluntarily delisted itself from NASDAQ and de-registered with the SEC in order to relieve itself of the costs of complying with SEC reporting, Sarbanes-Oxley, and other requirements of being a publicly registered and traded company;
- b) Employee compensation was reduced and over 500 employees (largely at Big Dog) were terminated;
- c) The Debtors implemented year-over-year inventory reductions of nearly 35% in order to generate additional cash and liquidity;
 - 12 -

C	ase 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Dese Main Document Page 20 of 57	2
1	d) The Debtors obtained from WFRF, their secured lender, an increase in their	
2	available line of credit as a result of personal guaranties provided to WFRF	
3	by Andrew D. Feshbach and Fred Kayne; ¹	
4	e) The Debtors negotiated certain concessions from their Noteholders, who	
5	among other things agreed to allow quarterly cash interest payments to be	
6	paid through PIK Interest for up to two years and who agreed to extend the	
7	Notes' maturity dates in exchange for a subordinated security interest in	
8	certain assets of Holdings and its subsidiaries; and	
9	f) The Debtors actively sought from their landlords reductions of their now	
10	above-market rents for the remainder of 2009 so that their store operating	
11	expenses could be brought in line with the now reduced customer traffic and	
12	retail sales being experienced at malls across the country.	
13	While the Debtors were successful in implementing all other elements of their turn-	
14	around plan and achieving significant cost reductions, their landlords largely refused to	
15	provide meaningful rent reductions. After originally proposing rent reductions to the	
16	landlords in early spring of 2009, the Debtors spent the entire balance of 2009 through the	
17	Petition Date persistently seeking much needed rent reductions, but without meaningful	
18	success. The Debtors' landlords, while acknowledging that many of the Debtors rents are	
19	above-market and/or above the percentage of sales at which they were originally set,	
20	largely refused the requested reductions.	
21	Accordingly, it became apparent that the Debtors could not consensually obtain the	

rent concessions needed to strengthen its financial capital position sufficiently to weather the continuing economic recession. With the capital markets and buyout environment remaining dormant, the Debtors concluded that it was necessary to pursue a "right sizing" strategy that would permit them to adjust their lease portfolio, reorganize around their

1

²⁷ 28

In consideration of providing his personal guaranty of the WFRF overadvance facility to Debtors, described in Section II.C. above, Mr. Kayne was paid a fee of \$43,616.44.

^{- 13 -}

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 21 of 57

profitable stores, and eliminate those stores whose continued operation would prevent a
 successful reorganization.

3

D. The Debtors' Progress in Pre-Negotiating a Plan of Reorganization

4 As noted above, TWC more than doubled in size by adding 140 stores to its 5 portfolio from 2006 through 2008, which is now widely recognized as having been the 6 height of the commercial real estate market. Today, the large majority of TWC's leases 7 have over-market rents. During the months preceding the Petition Date, the Debtors 8 developed a turnaround plan through which they would divest their unprofitable and 9 marginal leases immediately after commencing these Reorganization Cases, and retain 10 those stores that are profitable and contributing significantly to the Debtors' revenue, as well as its Internet sale portal. The Debtors commenced these Reorganization Cases in 11 12 order to implement that strategy, which would have allowed the Debtors to emerge from 13 chapter 11 with profitable operations and generating \$140 million in annual revenue.

Based on this reorganization plan, the Debtors secured debtor-in-possession 14 15 financing from WFRF. In addition, WFRF and the Debtors began negotiating the terms of 16 the Exit Financing for the Debtors, and on December 4, 2009, WFRF provided the 17 Debtors with an initial letter of interest regarding an exit financing arrangement. In 18 addition, after substantial negotiations, the Debtors obtained a commitment in principle 19 with respect to the key terms of a chapter 11 plan under which, among other things, an 20 Investor group lead by Richard Kayne of Kayne Anderson Capital Advisors, LP (and 21 other Investors whom he may have participate with him) would contribute \$10 million to 22 recapitalize the Debtors pursuant to a confirmed plan of reorganization. Finally, the 23 Debtors initiated discussions with certain key vendors, who began forming an ad hoc 24 trade committee during the weeks prior to the Petition Date. Discussions with these 25 vendors were very constructive, resulting in commitments from certain vendors to 26 continue to ship product to the Debtors during the course of these Reorganization Cases.

With these pre-negotiated commitments and financings in place, the Debtors
commenced these Reorganization Cases on December 7, 2009, in order to implement their

- 14 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 22 of 57

"right-sizing" strategy and reorganize their business. As discussed in greater detail below,
this effort has been significantly more successful than originally anticipated, resulting in
the filing of this Chapter 11 Plan, which proposes to satisfy <u>all</u> of the prepetition
obligations of the Debtors, with the exception only of certain voluntary discounts agreed
to by the Noteholders and the possible impairment of Holdings' Existing Common Stock
pursuant to the terms of the Investor Commitment Letter.

7

E.

Principals/Affiliates of Debtors' Business

8 Both TWC and Big Dog are affiliates of Holdings, and Holdings is an affiliate of
9 each of those entitles, on account of Holdings' ownership of 100% of the security interests
10 in TWC and Big Dog.

Fred Kayne is the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Holdings and controls
Holdings through his living trust's ownership of approximately 56% of Holdings'
outstanding stock, and as a result is an affiliate of the Debtors. Richard Kayne is Fred
Kayne's brother, and as a result is considered to be an insider of the Debtors.

15

F.

1.

2.

Management of the Debtors Before and After the Bankruptcy

The Debtors' key management prior to the filing of the petition remain in charge of
the Debtors as of the filing of this Disclosure Statement, and Debtors intend to emerge
from bankruptcy with the same key management.

19

The Debtors' Board of Directors

The Board of Directors of each of the Debtors before, during, and after bankruptcy
will be comprised of: (a) Fred Kayne, who is Chairman and also the majority stockholder
of Holdings; (b) Andrew D. Feshbach, who is also the Debtors' Chief Executive Officer;
and (c) David Walsh, does not occupy a management position with Debtors.²

The Debtors' Management Team

The following is information regarding the Debtors' officers and members of the management team that was in place prior to the filing of the petition, has continued to

24

25

²⁷ 28

As of January 27, 2010, Mr. Walsh accepted a position as Senior Managing Director and Portfolio Manager with Kayne Anderson Capital Advisors, L.P., which is controlled by Richard Kayne

^{- 15 -}

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 23 of 57

manage the Debtors during these Reorganization Cases, and is expected to be employed
 by Debtors as of the Effective Date:

3

a. Andrew D. Feshbach, Chief Executive Officer

Mr. Feshbach co-founded Holdings in May 1992, and he has served as the
President and Chief Executive Officer of Big Dog and Holdings and a member of their
respective Boards of Directors since that time. He is also the Chief Executive Officer,
President, and a member of the Board of Directors of TWC, which Holdings acquired in
2004 through a bankruptcy auction.

Prior to 1992, Mr. Feshbach served as a Vice President of Fortune Financial, a
private merchant banking firm owned by Holdings' Chairman and majority stockholder,
Fred Kayne. Prior to that, he was a partner in Maiden Lane, a merchant bank, and a Vice
President in the Mergers and Acquisitions Group of Bear Stearns & Co. Mr. Feshbach
holds an M.B.A. degree from Harvard Business School and a B.A. degree in Economics
(Phi Beta Kappa) from the University of California at Berkeley.

15

b. Roberta J. Morris, Chief Financial Officer

Ms. Morris joined Holdings in 1993 and serves as the Debtors' Chief Financial
Officer. Ms. Morris is a certified public accountant. Prior to joining Holdings, Ms. Morris
was employed as a Senior Audit Manager with Deloitte & Touche LLP. Prior to 1993,
Ms. Morris served as a Senior Audit Manager at Deloitte & Touche LLP, an international
public accounting firm. Prior to that, she was with Kenneth Leventhal & Company, a real
estate boutique accounting firm. Ms. Morris holds an accounting degree from California
State University Northridge and is a Certified Public Accountant.

23

c. Anthony J. Wall, Executive Vice President and General Counsel

Mr. Wall joined Holdings in 1994 and serves as their Executive Vice President— Business Affairs, General Counsel and Secretary. Prior to joining Holdings, Mr. Wall was a partner in the corporate department of the international law firm of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher. Mr. Wall is an Order of the Coif graduate of USC Law School and is admitted to the California bar. Mr. Wall also provides occasional legal and business services to

- 16 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 24 of 57

certain other private companies controlled by Fred Kayne, the Chairman and controlling
 stockholder.

3

d. Lee M. Cox, Senior Vice President-Retail

Mr. Cox joined the Debtors in 2000 and serves as the Senior Vice President –
Retail of TWC. He has been a retail executive for over 20 years with extensive
experience in operations, marketing and real estate. Prior to joining the Debtors, Mr. Cox
was Director of Retail Stores for Adidas America for seven years. Before that he served
as an account executive for Sonoma Real Estate. Mr. Cox holds a business degree from
the University of Colorado.

10

e. Michael Grenley, Senior Vice President-Merchandising

Mr. Grenley joined the Debtors in 1994 and serves as TWC's Senior Vice President – Merchandising. He has been a retail executive for over 29 years with extensive buying experience in shoes, apparel and accessories. Prior to joining the Debtors, Mr. Grenley was Vice President of Merchandise at Macy's California. Prior to joining the Debtors, Mr. Grenley was the Vice President and Divisional Merchandise Manager at Macy's West / Bullocks. Mr. Grenley holds an economics degree from The University of California at Davis.

18 19 G.

Current and Historical Financial Conditions 1. The Debtors' Assets

Based on Holdings' books and records, as of the Petition Date, Holdings' unaudited, balance sheet assets totaled approximately \$80.9 million. These assets consisted primarily of 100% of the stock of TWC, with a book value of \$78.6 million, and 100% of the stock of Big Dog, with a book value of \$2.1 million, \$200,000 in miscellaneous assets, and intellectual property assets with an unknown value.

Based on TWC's books and records as set forth in the Debtors' Schedules, as of the
Petition Date, TWC's unaudited, balance sheet assets totaled approximately \$79.1 million.
Of this amount, the Debtors held, on a book value basis, approximately \$40.3 million in
net inventories; fixed assets (including property and equipment) of \$33.5 million, net of

- 17 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 25 of 57

depreciation; \$3.6 million in accounts receivable; \$1.4 million in cash; other assets
 totaling \$300,000; and intellectual property and customer lists with an unknown value.³

Based on Big Dogs' books and records as set forth in the Debtors' schedules, as of
the Petition Date, Big Dog's unaudited, balance sheet assets totaled approximately \$10.9
million. These assets consisted primarily of an \$8.8 million intercompany balance due
from TWC, \$2.0 million in inventory, \$200,000 in cash and miscellaneous assets, and
intellectual property and customer lists with an unknown value.⁴

8 The identity and fair market value of each Estate's assets are listed in Exhibit A so
9 that you can assess what assets are available to satisfy Claims and to evaluate the overall
10 value of the Estates.

11

2. The Debtors' Liabilities

12 Based on Holdings' books and records, as of the Petition Date, Holdings' 13 unaudited, balance sheet liabilities totaled approximately \$50.5 million. These liabilities included approximately \$20.2 million in principal and accrued PIK Interest owing under 14 15 the Notes; \$25.7 million on account of Holdings' guaranty of obligations under the 16 Prepetition Credit Facility owing to WFRF; \$1.7 million on account of Holdings' 17 guaranty of the Atchinson Note; \$1.2 million owing under a capital lease; approximately 18 \$1.0 million on account of the Employee Stock Option Notes; \$500,000 in priority wage 19 claims; and \$200,000 in unsecured landlord claims.

Based on TWC's books and records, as set forth in the Debtors' Schedules, as of the Petition Date, TWC's unaudited, balance-sheet liabilities totaled approximately \$68.5 million. This amount included approximately \$20.2 million on account of TWC's guaranty of the Notes; \$25.7 million outstanding as a co-borrower with Big Dog under the Prepetition Credit Facility owing to WFRF; an intercompany balance of \$8.8 million due to Big Dog; priority unsecured claims consisting of \$1.426 million in employee wages and

²⁶ 27

³ In addition, the Debtors' books and records included \$1.5 million of unscheduled assets relating to such intangibles as deferred taxes and prepaid assets.

⁴ In addition, the Debtors' books and records included \$11.8 million of unscheduled assets relating to such intangibles as deferred taxes and prepaid assets.

^{- 18 -}

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 26 of 57

benefits, \$900,000 in outstanding gift certificates and customer refund checks, and \$1
million in sales and personal property taxes; and general unsecured claims comprised of
\$1.7 million outstanding under the Atchinson Note, \$8.3 million in trade claims (of which
approximately \$3.5 million may be entitled to administrative priority under Bankruptcy
Code section 503(b)(9)), and \$400,000 in general liability claims.⁵

6 Based on Big Dog's books and records, as set forth in its Schedules, as of the 7 Petition Date, Big Dog's unaudited, balance sheet liabilities totaled approximately \$46.6 8 million. These liabilities included approximately \$20.2 million on account of Big Dog's 9 guaranty of Holdings' obligations under the Notes; \$25.7 million outstanding as a co-10 borrower with TWC under the Prepetition Credit Facility owing to WFRF; priority unsecured claims consisting of \$42,000 in employee wages and benefits, \$24,000 in 11 12 outstanding gift certificates, and \$65,000 in sales and personal property taxes; and general 13 unsecured claims comprised of \$177,000 in trade claims and \$349,000 in general liability claims.6 14

15

a. The Prepetition Facility and DIP Facility

16 WFRF, as successor in interest to Wells Fargo Retail Finance II, LLC, a Delaware 17 limited liability company, as arranger and administrative agent for the lenders, and TWC 18 and Big Dog are parties to a First Amended, Restated, and Consolidated Loan and 19 Security Agreement, dated as of July 7, 2005 (as amended by nine amendments thereto, 20 the "Prepetition Credit Facility"), and Holdings is a guarantor under this facility. The 21 Prepetition Credit Facility, which has been rolled into the DIP Facility, provides for a total 22 commitment of \$60 million, with the ability for the Debtors to issue documentary and 23 standby letters of credit of up to \$8 million. The Debtors' ability to borrow under the 24 facility is determined using an availability formula based on eligible assets, and pursuant

25 26

27

28

5

In addition, the Debtors' books and records included \$14.7 million of unscheduled liabilities relating to deferred rent, tenant improvement allowances, capitalized lease liabilities, and sales returns and other reserves.

⁶ In addition, the Debtors' books and records included \$400,000 of unscheduled liabilities for sales returns and other reserves.

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 27 of 57

to this formula, the Debtors had approximately \$100,000 in availability under the DIP
 Facility on December 4, 2009.

As part of the earlier attempt to turnaround the Debtors' operations outside of bankruptcy, in March 2009 Fred Kayne and Andrew D. Feshbach provided personal guaranties of the Debtors' obligations to WFRF in order to obtain an over-advance facility. Such over-advance facility was paid in full by the Debtors in October 2009, and the guaranties were then withdrawn.

8 As of the Petition Date, the approximate loan balance under the Prepetition Credit 9 Facility was \$25.7 million and there were no outstanding letters of credit. During the 10 course of these Reorganization Cases, pursuant to the DIP Order, WFRF's prepetition secured claim has been repaid in full and WFRF has made, and shall continue to make 11 12 through the Effective Date, postpetition debtor in possession financing loans. (The total 13 amount outstanding under the DIP Facility as of December 31, 2009 was \$7.1 million, which amount may fluctuate based on the Debtors' usage of the DIP Facility.) The 14 15 interest rate under the Prepetition Credit Facility ranges from the bank's base rate plus a 16 margin of 0.5% or a LIBOR loan rate plus a margin ranging between 1.75% and 2.25% 17 depending upon the average excess availability under the Prepetition Credit Facility. As 18 of the Petition Date, the interest rate for the outstanding base rate loans was 3.75% and the 19 interest rate for the outstanding LIBOR rate loans was between 2.489% and 2.492%.

The Prepetition Credit Facility is collateralized by substantially all of the Debtors' assets and requires daily, weekly, and monthly financial reporting as well as compliance with financial, affirmative, and negative covenants. Based on the value of WFRF's collateral, which includes approximately \$39.6 million in net inventories, the outstanding indebtedness owing under the Prepetition Credit Facility and the DIP Facility is more than fully secured.

26 27

28

b. 8.375% Convertible Notes due 2015

On April 3, 2007, Holdings entered into a *Convertible Note Purchase Agreement* with certain purchasers, including some of the Debtors' officers, pursuant to which

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 28 of 57

Holdings issued and sold \$18.5 million of Notes, interest payable quarterly. The net
 proceeds of the Notes, after debt issuance costs, were used to reduce the outstanding
 balance of Debtors' Prepetition Credit Facility, and thereby to support TWC's store
 expansion throughout 2007 and 2008.

5 Among other features, the Notes, are convertible into fully paid and non-assessable 6 shares of Holdings' Common Stock to an aggregate of up to 1,027,777 shares at any time 7 after the issuance date, at an initial conversion price of \$18.00 per share. If the Notes are 8 not converted before their maturity, they are to be redeemed by the Debtors on the 9 maturity date at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes 10 then outstanding, plus any accrued and unpaid interest. Payment on the Notes is subject 11 to a subordination agreement in favor of WFRF.

The Noteholders are:

12

13

14	Noteholder	Insider (Y/N)	Principal Amount	PIK Interest
15	Blackwell Partners	Ν	\$1,325,000.00	\$93,202.22
16	Cotsen Family	Ν	\$5,000,000.00	\$351,706.50
17	Foundation			
18	David Wolf	Ν	\$500,000.00	\$35,170.65
19	Doug Nilsen	Ν	\$200,000.00	\$14,068.26
20	Gary Lieberthal	Ν	\$150,000.00	\$10,551.20
21	Trustee			
22	Joel Reims and	Ν	\$250,000.00	\$17,585.33
23	Kathleen Ann Reims,			
24	TTEES			
25	Kayne Anderson	Ν	\$3,900,000.00	\$274,331.07
26	Capital Income			
27	Partners			
28	RBC Dain Rauscher	Ν	\$500,000.00	\$35,170.65

- 21 -

e 9:09-bk-15138-RR	Doc 319 F Main Docu	iled 03/17/10 Ent ment Page 29 o		4:10:46
Robert P. Abate	Ν	\$200,000.00	\$14,068.26	
Trustee Kayne Foundation	Ν	\$2,500,000.00	\$175,853.25	
Anthony J. Wall	Y	\$500,000.00	\$35,170.65	
Lee Cox	Y	\$360,000.00	\$25,322.87	
Michael Grenley	Y	\$900,000.00	\$63,307.17	
Richard Kayne,	Y	\$675,000.00	\$47,480.38	
Trustee Living Trust				
Robert Schnell IRA	Y	\$1,000,000.00	\$70,341.30	
Roberta Morris	Y	\$360,000.00	\$25,322.87	
Susan Minier	Y	\$180,000.00	\$12,661.43	

As part of the earlier turnaround effort discussed above, effective as of April 1, 2009, the Debtors negotiated with the Noteholders an agreement to amend the Notes to, among other things, provide that the interest on the Notes could be paid through PIK Interest for up to eight quarters, at the Debtors' option, beginning with interest accrued from April 1, 2009, and to extend the maturity of the Notes by three years. In exchange for these concessions, among other things, the Noteholders required that TWC and Big Dog guarantee Holding's obligations under the Notes, and that the Debtors secure their obligations under the Notes with a junior security interest in substantially all of their assets (excluding certain trademarks and other intellectual property relating to Big Dog), subject and subordinated to the security interests of WFRF under the Prepetition Credit Facility.

In addition, the following Noteholders—Kayne Anderson Capital Income Partners (QP), LP; the Cotsen Family Foundation; The Kayne Foundation, Richard A. Kayne, Trustee; and Richard & Suzanne Kayne Living Trust dated 1/14/99—who were collectively owed approximately \$12 million in principal amount of Notes, agreed to amend their Notes to provide that the outstanding principal amount of the Notes would be

- 22 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 30 of 57

reduced by 25%, conditioned upon, among other things, the Debtors' landlords agreeing
 to grant certain rent concessions to the Debtors no later than December 1, 2009. The
 Debtors were not able to achieve these rent concessions.

4

c. General Unsecured Priority Claims

5 As of the Petition Date, the Debtors' books and records reflected \$457,000 in 6 priority wage claims owed by Holdings, \$1.426 million in priority wage claims owed by 7 TWC, and \$42,300 in priority wage claims owed by Big Dog. The books and records for 8 TWC and Big Dog also reflected approximately \$1.02 million priority unsecured claims 9 on account of outstanding gift certificates and customer refund checks. Finally, the books 10 and records for TWC and Big Dog reflected approximately \$1 million in priority unsecured claims for sales and personal property taxes outstanding as of the Petition Date. 11 12 These claims have been all been satisfied, or will be satisfied, in the ordinary course of the 13 Debtors' business pursuant to Orders entered by the Bankruptcy Court in December 2009.

14

d. General Unsecured Non-Priority Claims

15 The General Unsecured Non-priority claims, as listed on the Debtors' Schedules, 16 reflect the following amounts General Unsecured Non-Priority Claims outstanding as of 17 the Petition Date: (a) Holdings - \$2.9 million; (b) TWC - \$19.2 million; and Big Dog 18 \$500,000. As discussed below, TWC's Schedules include an \$8.8 million intercompany 19 payable from TWC to Big Dog USA Inc., with an offsetting intercompany receivable by 20 Big Dog USA Inc. Additionally, as discussed in greater detail below, TWC's Schedules 21 reflect \$1.7 million owed under the Atchinson Note, which obligations were guaranteed 22 by Holdings, and are therefore also reflected on Holdings' listing of General Unsecured 23 Non-priority claim as a co-debtor claim. Thus, on a consolidated basis, the total General 24 Unsecured Non-priority claims against three Debtors is \$12.1 million.

Of the \$12.1 million, \$4.1 million relates to trade inventory claims owed by TWC;
\$3.3 million relates to landlord claims owed by TWC, Holdings and Big Dog; \$1.7
million related to the Atchison Note, \$1.0 million relates to Employee Stock Option

28

- 23 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 31 of 57

Notes, \$1.4 million relates to various vendor claims, and \$600,000 relates to workers'
 compensation and general liability claims asserted as owed by TWC and Big Dog.

The Atchinson Note. As part of the acquisition of the Natural Comfort shoe chain,
TWC issued a \$1,700,000 three-year unsecured promissory note to the seller, Ken
Atchinson. The principal portion of the Atchison Note is payable on January 15, 2011,
subject to a subordination agreement in favor of WFRF. The Atchison Note bears an
interest rate of 7.0% and accrued interest is payable quarterly. A settlement agreement
has been entered into with respect to this claim, and a motion seeking approval of this
settlement will shortly be filed with the Bankruptcy Court.

10 The Employee Stock Option Notes. On May 9, 2007, Holdings purchased from certain of its officers vested employee stock options held by them that would otherwise 11 12 have expired on or before May 9, 2008. Options for a total of 245,000 shares were 13 purchased from five officers (no options were purchased from the CEO), as follows: 14 Roberta Morris, Anthony J. Wall, Douglas Nilsen, David Wolf, and John Otchis. The 15 purchase price was \$16.00 per share, less the exercise price of the options, which ranged 16 from \$6.50 to \$10.00 per share. The \$16.00 price represented a discount of approximately 17 5% from the May 9, 2007 closing price of \$16.80. The net purchase price was 18 \$1,965,000. Holdings paid for the options by delivery of Employee Stock Option Notes 19 bearing interest at 7% per annum and payable in two equal installments on April 10, 2008 20 and April 10, 2009.

Holdings has paid the first installment of principal due under the Employee Stock Option Notes. The final installment of principal was due April 10, 2009 (*e.g.*, the maturity date), but has not been paid. Holdings continued to pay interest at the rate of 7% per annum on the Employee Stock Option Notes past the Maturity, and as of the date of this Agreement has paid such interest through September 30, 2009. The total amount outstanding under the Employee Stock Option Notes as of the Petition Date was approximately \$995,312. A settlement agreement has been entered into with respect to

28

- 24 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 32 of 57

this claim, and a motion seeking approval of this settlement will shortly be filed with the
 Bankruptcy Court.

Landlord Claims. As of the Petition Date, the Debtors' books and records
reflected \$200,000 in landlord claims owed by Holdings, \$3.0 million in landlord claims
owed by TWC and \$100,000 in landlord claims owed by Big Dog.

Trade Inventory Claims and Other Miscellaneous Unsecured Claims. A total of
\$4.1 million in trade inventory claims are reflected on the books and records for TWC as
of the Petition Date, in addition to \$1.4 million in various vendor claims owed by TWC
and Big Dog, and approximately \$600,000 in general liability claims owed by TWC and
Big Dog.

11 The Intercompany Claims. The intercompany balances shown on the Debtors' 12 Schedules are reflected in the books and records of the Debtors as maintained in the 13 ordinary course of their respective businesses. As to these items, although the Debtors 14 generally maintained consolidated balance sheets pursuant to which intercompany 15 balances were netted out for consolidated reporting purposes, to the extent stand-alone or 16 consolidating (i.e., individual) balance sheets were maintained, those balance sheets 17 showed these amounts as assets or liabilities. Such intercompany amounts principally 18 represent payments made by one entity on account of indebtedness as to which another 19 entity was co-liable as a principal obligor or a guarantor. For example, TWC and Big Dog 20 were (and are) liable under the Prepetition Credit Facility. Where cash derived from the 21 operations of TWC or Big Dog was used to satisfy interest or principal obligations on 22 account of that indebtedness, an intercompany debit was reflected on the books and 23 records of the entity liable on such indebtedness, and an intercompany credit was reflected 24 on the books and records of the entity funding such payment. No promissory notes or 25 other documentation, other than the respective financial statements, exists with respect to 26 these intercompany amounts. The Plan provides that Intercompany Claims will be 27 Reinstated and maintained in the ordinary course of business; no cash payments will be 28 made from one Debtor to another on account of the Intercompany Claims.

- 25 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 33 of 57

e. Reclamation Claims

2 Shortly after filing its Reorganization Cases, the Debtors received one reclamation 3 demand totaling approximately \$264,000 from Aetrex Worldwide, Inc. on account of 4 shipments of inventory to TWC that the vendor asserts were shipped within 45 days 5 preceding the Petition Date. Bankruptcy Code section 546(c) honors the rights of a 6 reclamation claimant under non-bankruptcy law, where, among other things, the goods 7 were sold in the ordinary course of the seller's business, the debtor was insolvent, and the 8 claimant made timely written demand for reclamation. Under the Bankruptcy Code, 9 however, even an otherwise valid reclamation claim may be denied (*i.e.*, the claimant may 10 be denied the right to reclaim the goods subject to the demand) if the court grants the claimant an administrative priority or secured claim, which presumably must be satisfied 11 12 thereafter in accordance with the Bankruptcy Code's requirements. Following the 13 Debtors' receipt of the Reclamation Claim, the Debtors advised the claimant that they 14 would evaluate the Claim and advise the claimant regarding their determination. The Plan 15 proposes that the Reclamation Demand, to the extent valid, will be paid in full.

16

17

1

Interests

3.

a. Common Stock

TWC and Big Dog are wholly owned subsidiaries of Holdings. Holdings is a
holding company trading on the pink sheets under the symbol "WALK." Holdings is
authorized to issue 30,000,000 shares of common stock. As of December 31, 2009, the
Debtors had 9,540,949 of common stock issued and outstanding. The Prepetition Credit
Facility and DIP Facility prohibit the payment of dividends.

Fred Kayne, who is also the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Holdings, controls Holdings through his ownership of approximately 56% of Holdings' outstanding common stock. Fred Kayne's brother, Richard Kayne, owns approximately 9% of Holdings' outstanding stock, and Andrew D. Feshbach, the Chief Executive Officer of Holdings, owns approximately 7% of the outstanding stock. Other officers, including Anthony J. Wall, Roberta J. Morris, Michael Grenley, and Lee Cox, each own stock

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 34 of 57

constituting less than 1% of the outstanding shares. The Debtors are unaware of any
 individuals who own more than 5% of Holdings' outstanding common stock, except as set
 forth above.

4 5

> 6 7

b. Preferred Stock

Holdings is authorized to issue 3,000,000 shares of preferred stock. As of the Petition Date, Holdings did not have any preferred stock issued or outstanding. Under Holdings' Certificate of Incorporation, its Board of Directors is authorized to fix the terms of any preferred stock issued.

8 9

c. Employee Stock Options

The Debtors have adopted a performance award plan (the "Performance Award Plan") to attract, reward, and retain officers and employees. Options for 1,180,961 shares are issued and outstanding under the Performance Award Plan at exercise prices ranging from \$2.90 to \$10.00, and with expiration dates ranging from June 1, 2010 to June 29, 2015.

15 H. Current and Historical Financial Conditions

The Debtors' primary income comes from TWC's sales of high-quality, technically
designed comfort footwear and accessories for men and women and from Big Dog's sales
of its branded collection of T-shirts, shorts, and other casual sportswear featuring graphic
designs focused on the BIG DOGS® trademark and a dog character known as "Big Dog."
The Debtors own no real property. The identity and value of the each of the Estates'
assets are listed in detail on Exhibit A, and consolidated historical financial statements for
the three years 2007, 2008, and 2009 are set forth at Exhibit B.

23 I.

Significant Events During the Bankruptcy

The following is a chronological list of significant events which have occurred <u>during</u> these Reorganization Cases:

26 27

24

25

28

- 27 -

1 2

1. The Transition to Operations as Debtors in Possession and Other Early Events in These Reorganization Cases

3 During the first few weeks of these Reorganization Cases, management devoted 4 significant time and resources to meeting with key vendors to assure them that the 5 Debtors' operations would continue essentially uninterrupted during these Reorganization 6 Cases. As a result of these efforts, within the first several weeks following the Petition 7 Date the Debtors obtained cooperation from their key vendors. The Debtors have also 8 been generating substantial information concerning their financial performance, which 9 information has been provided to the Committee and its members. Additional information 10 has been made public through pleadings filed periodically in the Reorganization Cases 11 and through operating reports and interim statements submitted to the U.S. Trustee.

12 In addition, the Debtor obtained a "first-day" hearing on December 14, 2009 to 13 consider various relief requested by the Debtors to facilitate their transition to Chapter 11. 14 Among other things, the Debtors obtained Court orders: (a) establishing notice 15 procedures; (b) extending the time for the Debtors to file their respective Schedules; (c) 16 establishing the conditions under which the Debtors could continue receiving utility 17 services; (d) directing the joint administration of these Reorganization Cases; (e) 18 authorizing the Debtors to honor certain employee benefits and wages in the ordinary 19 course of business; (f) authorizing the Debtors to pay certain prepetition sales and use 20 taxes in the ordinary course of business; (g) permitting the Debtors to honor gift cards and 21 other customer obligations; and (h) allowing the Debtors to maintain their cash 22 management system.

23

2.

The Debtor-in-Possession Financing

Shortly before the Debtors filed these Reorganization Cases, they negotiated the terms of the DIP Facility with WFRF. In broad outline, the DIP Facility provided for TWC and Big Dog to obtain from WFRF cash advances and other extensions of credit in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$30 million on a revolving credit basis, subject to a budget filed with the Court. Holdings guaranteed the obligations under the DIP Facility.

- 28 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 36 of 57

1 In broad outline, the DIP Facility provided for: (a) WFRF to make advances to TWC and 2 Big Dog based upon eligible inventory and receivables as calculated under the Prepetition 3 Loan Agreement; (b) the Debtors to remit all cash generated from prepetition collateral 4 (i.e., receivables on hand as of the Petition Date) to WFRF for application against, and 5 partial satisfaction of, WFRF's prepetition Claims under the Prepetition Loan Agreement; 6 (c) the Debtors' authority to expend funds for the purposes set forth in the financing 7 budget, subject to a negotiated variance; (d) the Debtors to pay interest to WFRF in the 8 amount of LIBOR plus 3.5% under the revolving facility; and (e) a stated maturity of 9 April 15, 2010. The Debtors' obligations under the DIP Facility were to be secured by 10 valid and perfected first priority priming liens on and security interests in substantially all 11 assets owned by Debtors, except avoidance actions under the Bankruptcy Code and 12 subject to a professional-fee carveout.

13 Shortly after filing their chapter 11 petition, on December 16, 2009, the Debtors 14 obtained interim approval of the DIP Facility. Thereafter, the Committee, the Debtors, 15 and WFRF engaged in negotiations regarding final approval of the financing. These 16 discussions focused predominantly on: (a) the adequacy of the financing provided by 17 WFRF; and (b) the Committee's interest in creating a two-track process that would permit 18 the Debtors to move forward with this Plan while simultaneously conducting a "market 19 test" to determine whether a sale process would be likely to generate greater recoveries to 20 creditors than the Debtors' proposed Plan. These issues were all addressed and resolved 21 consensually among the parties. Among other things, WFRF agreed to make certain 22 modifications to the postpetition financing that provided the Debtors with approximately 23 \$2.45 million in additional liquidity, and certain deadlines under the DIP Facility were 24 extended in order to accommodate a "two track" reorganization and due diligence process. 25 Subsequently, the DIP Financing agreement was approved on a final basis on January 14, 26 2010. Pursuant to the "roll up" under the DIP Facility, the prepetition indebtedness owing 27 to WFRF has been fully paid off, and the outstanding balance on the DIP Facility as of 28 December 31, 2009 was approximately \$7.1 million. This outstanding balance could

- 29 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 37 of 57

fluctuate with borrowings and pay downs between now and the Effective Date. The
 Debtors anticipate that as of April 15, 2010, the outstanding balance on the DIP Facility
 should total about \$15 million.

4

3.

4.

Appointment of the Committee.

5 Shortly after the Debtors commenced their Reorganization Cases, the U.S. Trustee 6 appointed an Official Committees of Unsecured Creditors in these Reorganization Cases. 7 The Committee members are: Deckers Outdoor Corp.; Ken Atchinson; Simon Property 8 Group; General Growth Properties, Inc.; Dansko, LLC; Ecco USA Inc.; UPS; Aetrex 9 Worldwide Inc.; and MBT-Masai USA Corp. From time-to-time, the Debtors 10 management and professionals have provided information to, and interacted with, both the 11 Committee and its member. In addition to monitoring the Reorganization Cases, the 12 Committee from time to time has requested documentation regarding, among other things, 13 the Debtors' operations, its financing needs, its progress towards formulating a plan, and the status of the due diligence being conducted by various interested parties. 14

15

16

17

18

Professionals Retained by the Estates and Professional Fee Budgets.

The Debtors have retained four professionals to assist with the administration of their estates, and they anticipate retaining three more. In addition, the Committee has an additional two professionals. These professionals are listed in the following table.

	1	1	e
19 20	Professional	Representation	Date Order Entered Authorizing Employment
21	Estate Professionals		
22	Arent Fox LLP	Reorganization Counsel	Order
23		-	Pending2/10/2010
24	Kurtzman Carson Consultants	Claims Agent	1/26/2010
25	Clear Thinking Group	Financial Advisors	1/11/2010
26	Cicar Thinking Group		1/11/2010
27	Tiger Capital Group		1/29/2010
28	Singerlewak	Accountant	ApplicationOrder

- 30 -

C	ase 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 38 of 57
1	Pending
2	
3	Holthouse Carlin & Van Trigt Accountant Application Pending
4	Koenig and Associates Special IP Counsel Application
5	Pending 3/16/2010
6	Committee Professionals
7	Deleted: Zhiel
8	Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones Committee Counsel 1/21/2010
9	BDO Seidman, LLP FinancialAdvisor 1/21/2010
10	
11	As discussed in Section II.G.2.a., above, early in the Reorganization Cases, the
12	Debtors entered into a DIP Facility, which included a budget for estimated professional
13	fees and expenses payable on an interim basis. (See Section III.C.1 summarizing
14	payments made and estimating anticipated Professional-Fee Claims through the Effective
15	Date.)
16	5. The Bar Date and Claim Objections
17	On January 15, 2010, the Debtors filed a Motion for Entry of an Order (A)
18	Establishing Bar Date for Filing (I) Proofs of Claim or Interest and (II) Requests for
19	Allowance of Section 503(B)(9) Administrative Expense; (B) Approving Form and
20	Manner of Notice of Bar Date. By this Motion, the Debtors proposed that the Court
21	establish March 3, On February 10, 2010, the Court Entered its Order Granting Motion of
22	Debtors for Entry of an Order (A) Establishing Bar Date for Filing (I) Proofs of Claim or
23	Interests and (II) Requests for Allowance of Section 503(b)(9) Administrative Expense;
24	(B) Approving Form and Manner of Notice of Bar Dates; and (C) Granting Related
25	<u>Relief.</u> Subject to certain exceptions, this order established April 20, 2010 as the general
26	bar date for filing any proofs of claim or interest against the Debtors. Approximately 73
27	proofs of claim or interest have been filed in case no. 09 15138. Approximately 8 proofs
28	of claim have been filed in case no. 09 15137. Approximately 6 proofs of claim have

- 31 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 39 of 57

1	been filed in case no. 09 15139. Accordingly the total proofs of claim filed in these
2	Reorganization Cases to date is approximately 87, and this number is likely to increase
3	once the bar date notice is served on Estates. On February 19, 2010, the Debtors served
4	notice of this bar date upon all creditors and parties in interest. Promptly following the
5	bar date and prior to the hearing to approve the adequacy of this Disclosure Statement, the
6	Debtors and their claims agent will file an update to this section of the Disclosure
7	Statement identifying the following rough categories of Claims that may be asserted
8	against each Debtor: Secured Claims, Priority Claims, and Unsecured Claims. The
9	Debtors will include with this update a preliminary analysis setting forth the extent to
10	which they believe that Claims may be reduced as objections to claims are identified and
11	either resolved or prosecuted and the extent to which the claim reconciliation and
12	objection process may impact distributions under the Plan., and on February 26, 2010 and
13	March 8, 2010 the Debtors caused a notice to be published in the Los Angeles Daily
14	Journal and Footwear News respectively.
15	As of March 10, 2010 claimants have filed approximately 245 proofs of claim in
16	which they assert Claims exceeding \$5,000,055.00. Based on its preliminary review of
17	the Claims and their books and records, the Debtors do not anticipate that the Allowed
18	Claims will differ significantly from the Claims that the Debtors have set forth on their
19	Schedules. However, the Bar Date has not yet occurred and it is possible that the actual
20	amount of Allowed Claims could differ materially from this estimate; the amount of the
21	Allowed Claims in these cases can be determined only after the resolution of all Disputed
22	<u>Claims.</u>
23	The Debtors are reviewing all proofs of claim and proofs of interest to determine
24	whether any objections are appropriate. If so, objections will be filed in accordance with
25	the Plan. These objections may be filed either before or after the Plan's Effective Date.
26	The Debtors, the Estates, and the Committee therefore reserve all rights with respect
27	to the allowance or disallowance of any and all Claims and Interests, including
28	Claims and Interests that have not yet been asserted. In voting on the Plan, creditors

- 32 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 40 of 57

may not rely on the absence of an objection to their proofs of claim as an indication

2 that the Debtors, the Committee, or any other party in interest ultimately will not

object to the amount, priority, security, or allowability of their Claims.

6.

1

3

4

The Debtors' Positive Postpetition Performance

5 Leading up to the filing, the Debtors were was concerned about their liquidity 6 following the 2009 holiday period. Faced with tightening vendor credit, tightening bank 7 covenants, and the obligation to repay deferred rent payments commencing in December 8 2009, it did not appear the Debtors would have the financial ability to operate effectively 9 in the first half of 2010 without resort to the bankruptcy process. The Debtors selected a 10 December filing date because they believed this would be the least disruptive to business operations (and subsequent recoveries to creditors) since at that time the Debtors would be 11 12 well-stocked with inventory for the holiday season. Any interruption of inventory 13 purchases following a bankruptcy filing was expected to be less disruptive during this 14 period, as it would primarily impact sales in January and February—a much lower sales 15 time frame than the December holiday period. Further, it would be relatively easy to 16 close stores during this time frame and the ensuing weeks.

17 This strategy proved effective. Subsequent to the Petition Date, the Debtors 18 incurred a strong December selling period that resulted in comparative store sales of 19 approximately 6% for the postpetition period and 2.9% for the fourth quarter. Postpetition 20 pre-tax income is approximately \$2.1 million, which is the result of higher sales as well as 21 cost cutting measures that the Debtors are continuing to roll out. While initial inventory 22 shipments from vendors were slow following the filing, as had been anticipated, all 23 critical vendors are now shipping and on-track with improving credit terms. Specifically, 24 the Debtors were particularly successful in managing down their year-over-year 25 inventories, resulting in lower debt and lower levels of discounted merchandise going 26 forward. As noted above, the Debtors have also paid down their Prepetition Credit 27 Facility and DIP Facility by over \$18 million for the period, ending the year with only 28 \$7.1 million outstanding on their DIP Facility. And as discussed in greater detail below,

- 33 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 41 of 57

the Debtors now appear better situated to achieve profitability given their new rent
 structures s and further reductions in overhead and field operating costs.

3 4

5

Restructuring Efforts and the Plan Process.

7.

a.

Restructuring Enorts and the Fian Frocess.

The Debtors' Successful Renegotiation of Its Real Estate Lease Portfolio

6 On the Petition Date, the Debtors were parties to approximately 215 unexpired 7 leases of non-residential real property. The Debtors' corporate headquarters are located at 8 an approximately 24,000 square foot leased office building in Santa Barbara, California, 9 pursuant to a non-residential real estate lease entered into by Holdings. Additionally, the 10 Debtors lease an office for the TWC merchandising group comprising approximately 17,000 square feet in Westlake, California. Lastly, Holdings leases a 230,000 square foot 11 12 distribution center in Lincolnton, North Carolina. The total monthly rent under these 13 leases is approximately \$116,341. The Debtors are currently using the facilities in the ordinary course of their business operations. As required under the Bankruptcy Code, the 14 15 Debtors have timely satisfied all of their postpetition rent and other obligations under 16 these real estate leases. The Debtors are unaware of any unpaid, prepetition obligations in 17 connection with the real-estate leases.

In addition, as of the Petition Date, TWC was a party to approximately 207 18 19 unexpired leases of non-residential real property relating to TWC stores doing business in 20 38 states across the country. As of the Petition Date, the total monthly rent under these 21 leases was approximately \$2.8 million. In addition, Big Dog was a party to eight 22 unexpired leases of non-residential real property relating to Big Dog stores doing business 23 in California and Florida. The total monthly rent under these leases is approximately 24 \$100,000. As required under the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors have timely satisfied all 25 of their postpetition rent and other obligations under these real estate leases.

To further their "right-sizing" plan, shortly after commencing these Reorganization
Cases, the Debtors filed a motion seeking authority to close approximately 130 stores, all
of which were at above-market rents, and establishing streamlined procedures to handle

- 34 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 42 of 57

1 the rejection of their non-residential, real property leases. The Court approved this motion 2 in December 2009, and in January 2010 the Debtors filed a motion seeking authority to 3 close approximately 40 additional stores. In the meantime, however, in response to 4 landlords' expressed desire not to have stores closed, the Debtors also reached out to their 5 landlords to explore the possibility of renegotiating existing leases at market rents. The 6 Committee conducted its own lease analysis, and while there were some differences in the 7 methodology used by the Debtors and the Committee, conceptually the Committee and 8 the Debtors agreed that the Estates would be benefited if additional stores could be kept 9 open at more favorable rents.

Subsequently, the Debtors have been engaged in extensive negotiations regarding 10 their portfolio of unexpired, non-residential real estate leases. 11 They have now 12 successfully entered into agreements that provide for the modification of many of their 13 leases, subject to Bankruptcy Court approval of these lease modification agreements. The 14 lease modifications affect approximately 100 of the Debtors' 210 total leases. The terms 15 of the agreements vary, but a significant number have similar provisions. By way of 16 summary, a significant number of the lease modifications provide for a reduction of the 17 Debtors' rent for a period of, typically, about 2 years. After that time, the Debtors (and in 18 some instances the landlords) have the right to terminate many of the modified leases. If 19 the modified leases are not terminated, then the rent will reset, on a prospective basis only, 20 to the rental rates otherwise provided for in the original, unmodified leases.

These modifications provide the Debtors with significant protection. First, the Debtors obtain immediate rent relief which will reduce their aggregate monthly store rent from approximately \$2.8 million to \$2.4 million. As a result of the restructuring and cost reduction initiatives, the company expects to generate annual cost savings of approximately \$3 million. The savings will begin currently, with substantially all of the benefit of these cost initiatives expected to be realized by the end of 2010.

27 Second, if the economy improves over the next 18 months, then even at the higher 28 rent rates, the Debtors will be well positioned to continue their business operations with

- 35 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 43 of 57

1 substantially all of their current stores in place. If the economy does not improve and the 2 Debtors cannot operate profitably under higher rents after this period of adjusted rent, the 3 leases can simply be terminated and the Debtors' operations can be downsized in an 4 orderly fashion without exposing the Debtors to claims for early termination or rejection 5 damages. Based on the significant success that the Debtors have achieved in their lease negotiations, TWC has modified its reorganization plan to provide for the closing of only 6 7 one TWC store. The balance of the stores originally slated for closure now remain open 8 pursuant to lease modification agreements, pending Bankruptcy Court approval. The 9 Debtors' Plan therefore contemplates that substantially all of its stores will be retained on 10 the Effective Date, and substantially all its executory contracts and unexpired leases will be assumed, subject to the negotiated lease modifications. 11

12 As required under the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors have timely satisfied all of 13 their postpetition rent and other obligations under these real estate leases. The Debtors' 14 books and records indicate that the unpaid, prepetition obligations owed in connection 15 with these real estate leases are approximately \$3.3 million. However, pursuant to the 16 lease modification agreements, the Debtors have reduced their "cure" obligations with 17 respect to this lease portfolio to \$3.2 million in the aggregate, and substantially all other 18 general unsecured claims against the Debtors will be waived upon the Debtors' 19 assumption of the modified leases.

20 Substantially contemporaneously with the filing of this Disclosure Statement, the 21 Debtors are preparing to file a motion seeking approval of the negotiated lease 22 modifications. Promptly following the Court's entry of an order on such motion, which 23 the Debtors anticipate will occur prior to the hearing on this Disclosure Statement, the 24 Debtors will file an amendment to this Disclosure Statement reflecting the Court's ruling. 25 If modifications to the Schedules of Assumed and Rejected Agreements are required during the course of these Reorganization Cases, the amended Schedules of Assumed and 26 27 Rejected Agreements will be filed and served on or before the Exhibit Filing Date.

28

- 36 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 44 of 57

b.

1

Other Unexpired Leases and Executory Contracts

2 The Debtors' business involves hundreds of creditors nationwide with numerous 3 contracts, leases, and other agreements. Throughout these Reorganization Cases, the 4 Debtors have been analyzing their agreements to determine whether it would be beneficial 5 to accept or reject them on the Effective Date. The Debtors are currently using 6 substantially all of these agreements in the ordinary course of their business, and rejection 7 of the vast majority of these agreements before Plan confirmation could therefore be 8 extremely disruptive to its operations. Inasmuch as the Plan contemplates that 9 substantially all of the Debtors stores will remain open pursuant to the modified lease 10 portfolio, resulting in 100% distributions to most Classes of creditors, the Plan contemplates that substantially all of the Debtors' executory contracts and unexpired, non-11 12 real estate leases will be assumed on the Effective Date.

13 As noted above, the Debtors are preparing to file a motion seeking approval of the negotiated lease modifications with respect to their portfolio of real estate leases, they will 14 15 file an amendment to this Disclosure Statement reflecting the Court's ruling on this 16 motion. Inasmuch as the assumption of many of the Debtors' other agreements is 17 premised on the Court's approval of the modifications to the Debtors' lease portfolio, 18 which will enable virtually all of the Debtors' stores to remain open, if modifications to 19 the Schedules of Assumed and Rejected Agreements are required during the course of 20 these Reorganization Cases, such amended Schedules of Assumed and Rejected 21 Agreements will be filed and served on or before the Exhibit Filing Date.

22

c. The Debtors' Plan and Investor Support.

Prior to the filing, the Debtors apprised Richard Kayne that it may be in the best interests of the Debtors and all interested parties for the company to be reorganized and that additional capital would be required to support the reorganization effort and to capitalize the Reorganized Debtors going forward. After some negotiations, Mr. Kayne provided a letter of intent to provide the necessary capital. Shortly thereafter, the Debtors filed their chapter 11 petitions. At that time, it was anticipated that the Debtors would be

- 37 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 45 of 57

able to quickly propose a confirmable chapter 11 plan, although as a result of significant
 anticipated rejection damage claims resulting from planned store closings, it appeared that
 unsecured creditors would receive only a *pro rata* recovery on their claims and that all
 existing equity interests in the Debtors would be cancelled.

5 As a result of the Debtors' significant success in their negotiations with landlords, 6 it became apparent that the Debtors would close fewer stores than had first been planned 7 and that significantly fewer rejection damage claims would result. Further, the rent 8 concessions that were being obtained and the Debtors' improving operating results 9 indicated that the recoveries to creditors potentially could be significantly improved over 10 what had originally been expected and that perhaps the equity interests of stockholders could be preserved, allowing the Debtors a possibility of preserving approximately \$17 11 12 million in tax loss carryforwards for the benefit of the Debtors' Estates. This change in 13 the Debtors' reorganization strategy was met with initial resistance from Mr. Kayne's 14 advisors and counsel, but eventually Mr. Kayne and the Investors agreed to fund the 15 current Plan, which provides a full recovery to all creditors other than the Noteholders, 16 and which preserves Holdings' Existing Common Stock subject to possible impairment 17 pursuant to the terms of the Investor Commitment Letter. Mr. Kayne may privately solicit 18 certain other persons, possibly including certain stockholders of the Company (each who 19 qualifies as an accredited investor within the meaning of Regulation D under the 20 Securities Act), to participate in this investment.

21 The Debtors further negotiated with Mr. Kayne regarding the Investors' Capital 22 Investment and its effects on existing stockholders. After negotiations, Mr. Kayne agreed 23 to allow the Reorganized Debtors to make a rights offering to existing stockholders to pay 24 off the Capital Investment following the Effective Date. The Reorganized Debtors 25 contemplate making such as rights offering to existing stockholders late in 2010 or early 26 in 2011, subject to compliance with applicable federal and state securities laws, but 27 reserve the right to pursue other financing alternatives to repay the Capital 28 Investment in a manner that takes into account the interests of all existing

- 38 -

Qase 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 46 of 57

shareholders. The Debtors are supportive of this rights offering, as seeking to avoid a
 change in ownership may preserve approximately \$17 million tax loss carry forwards that
 could benefit the Debtors and all of their stakeholders going forward.

4 In consideration of the capital support, financial advice, and concessions from the 5 Investors, the Debtors have agreed to their request for an advisory fee to be paid to in 6 connection with the Capital Investment. The advisory fee, in the amount of \$2.5 million, 7 will be payable in cash to the Investors upon the earliest to occur of (a) the closing of the 8 rights offering required under the Investor Commitment Letter (or other permitted payoff 9 of the Capital Investment), (b) a liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of the Debtors as 10 contemplated under the "Liquidation Preference" in the Commitment Letter, or (c) January 31, 2011. Furthermore, under the terms of the Exit Financing to be provided by 11 12 WFRF, the payment of such an advisory fee will be subject to a subordination agreement 13 in favor of WFRF as well as maintenance of a minimum specified level of availability both for a period before and after giving effect to the payment, under the Exit Facility. 14 15 The Debtors believe that this fee request is fair and reasonable given the extent to which 16 the Investors' capital support and financial advice will be used to enable a highly 17 successful reorganization of the Debtors, which will emerge as a profitable enterprise 18 going forward having paid all of their prepetition debts-other than those obligations 19 being voluntarily impaired by the Noteholders and the holders of the Employee Stock 20 Option Notes—and having preserved Holdings' equity value for all of its stockholders.

21 22

d. Marketing Testing of the New Value Plan and a Possible Sale Process

As noted above, at the time that the Debtors commenced these Reorganization Cases, it was anticipated that rejection damage claims resulting from planned store closings would result in only a *pro rata* recovery to unsecured creditors. Moreover, in the first week following the Petition date two parties materialized expressing an interest in acquiring some of the Debtors' assets on a going concern basis. Accordingly, the Debtors and the Committee agreed that a process would be commenced to subject the Debtors'

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 47 of 57

contemplated new value plan to a market test to ascertain whether the Capital Investment
 represented fair value for the equity interests in the Reorganized Debtors and to help the
 Debtors and the Committee to evaluate whether greater recoveries might be generated by
 a sale of the Debtors' business pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 363.

5 To that end, significant marketing activity has occurred since the Petition Date. 6 The Debtors, with the assistance of their financial advisor, opened a "virtual data room" 7 during the fourth week of December, approximately two weeks after the Petition Date, 8 and began populating that data room with significant financial data regarding the Debtors 9 based on a due diligence list provided by the Committee's financial advisor. The Debtors 10 have continued to add data to the virtual due diligence room, on a rolling basis, during the course of this Reorganization Case and, from time to time, to add additional documents in 11 12 response to requests from interested parties. The Debtors drafted a form of confidentiality 13 agreement and provided it to Committee counsel for comment during the first week of January 2010. The Debtors then began circulating the confidentiality agreement to all 14 15 interested parties, and these parties were all granted access to the virtual data room 16 promptly following their execution of the confidentiality agreement. The confidentiality 17 agreement was received on or about January 7, 2010.

18 Meanwhile, the Committee and its financial advisors reached out to at least a dozen 19 parties who they believed might be interested in pursuing a transaction, and the Debtors 20 also reached out to one interested party about a possible transaction. To date, 11 21 interested parties requested additional information and were provided with a 22 confidentiality agreement. Of these parties, one indicated, through counsel, that it would 23 only return an executed confidentiality agreement and participate in due diligence if it 24 could withhold its identity from the Debtors, the Committee, WFRF, this Court, and all 25 other parties in interest. The Debtors declined to go forward on this basis. Another seven 26 parties returned an executed confidentiality agreement and have been provided with 27 access to the virtual data room. Two of these parties have indicated that they are not 28 interested in going forward with a sale process, or that they are only interested in going

- 40 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 48 of 57

forward if they believe they can purchase the Debtors' assets at a significant discount relative to the Debtors' debt structure. None of the remaining five parties have provided the Debtors' with a letter of intent or otherwise indicated the terms on which they might be interested in moving forward with a transaction, if at all, or what the structure of such a transaction might be.

8. Other Legal Proceedings

Currently, there are no pending adversary proceedings in these Reorganization
Cases, and no pending motions other than those noted above. The Debtors are currently
involved in the following nonbankruptcy legal proceedings, all of which have been
automatically stayed. The Debtors are not the plaintiffs in any pending state-court
litigation that should be pursued for the benefit of the Estates.

12

6

a. Actions against Holdings

13 Mayorga v. Feshbach (Dog Bite /Indemnification Claim): A complaint entitled Jade Mayorga v. Andrew Feshbach, Juicy Couture, Liz Claiborne, Inc., was filed on 14 15 September 15, 2009 in the Superior Court of California, Santa Barbara County. The 16 complaint alleges, inter alia, that Holding's CEO, Andrew Feshbach, negligently failed to 17 prevent an injury to defendant plaintiff in a retail store by a dog owned by Mr. Feshbach. 18 Plaintiff claims unspecified damages for physical and psychological injuries. The accident 19 occurred in the course of business being conducted by Mr. Feshbach on behalf of 20 Holdings, and accordingly Holdings has agreed to defend and indemnify him. The claim 21 has been turned over to Debtors' insurance carrier.

Margaritaville Enterprises (IP Litigation): Margaritaville Enterprises, LLC has
 filed Opposition No. 91186184 against App. Ser. No. 78979408 in classes 16, 24, and 25
 for the mark IT'S FIVE O'CLOCK SOMEWHERE! owned by The Walking Company
 Holdings, Inc. Margaritaville Enterprises, LLC has filed Opposition No. 91186185 against
 App. Ser. No. 78453043 in Class 043 for the mark IT'S FIVE O'CLOCK SOMEWHERE!
 owned by The Walking Company Holdings, Inc. Both proceedings are currently pending
 in the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board of the United States Patent and Trademark

- 41 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 49 of 57

Office and the two proceedings have been consolidated into one proceeding with Opp.
 No. 91186184 being labeled as the "Parent".

3 <u>Obersheimer v. Holdings (Contractor Dispute):</u> A complaint entitled Clayton B. 4 Obersheimer v The Walking Company Holdings, Inc d/b/a The Walking Company was 5 filed on October 15, 2009 in Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Eire. 6 The complaint alleges, *inter alia*, that the plaintiff subcontractor provided goods and 7 services to a general contractor hired by TWC to build out one of its stores, that the 8 contractors has not paid plaintiff and that TWC is responsible for payment. Plaintiff 9 claims damages in the amount of \$19,100 plus interest and attorney's fees.

10

b. Actions against TWC

Andrews & Park v. TWC (Employee Claims): A complaint entitled Erin Andrews 11 12 and Keith Park, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, vs. The Walking 13 Company was filed in Los Angeles Superior Court on December 31, 2008. The 14 complaint, as amended, seeks to certify a class of all non-exempt employees employed by 15 TWC in California. The complaint alleges that TWC incorrectly calculated overtime pay 16 for commissioned employees in violation of the California Labor Code and as a result 17 such employees are entitled to wages, interest and penalties. Without admitting liability, the parties entered into a settlement agreement as of July 17, 2009 under which TWC 18 19 agreed, subject to final court approval of the settlement, to pay \$225,000 in 2010 to the 20 class to settle the action. As of the Petition Date, the hearing for final approval of the 21 settlement has not occurred. This claim has been settled by way of a further settlement 22 agreement negotiated between the parties following the Petition Date, and which will 23 shortly be presented to the Bankruptcy Court for approval.

24 <u>Rosa Maentas v. TWC (Slip and Fall):</u> A complaint entitled Rosa Amentas v The
25 Walking Company was filed on July 17, 2009 in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Law
26 Division, Morris County. The complaint alleges, *inter alia*, that negligence by TWC
27 resulted in a slip and fall injury to plaintiff in one of TWC's stores. Plaintiff claims
28 unspecified damages for medical expense, pain, physical impairment, interest and cost of

- 42 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 50 of 57

suit. The claim has been turned over to Debtors' insurance carrier. Because the defense
 of this adversary proceeding is being handled by Debtors' insurance carrier and because
 any judgment would be paid by the carrier, the Debtors do not anticipate that this cause of
 action will have an effect on the plan.

<u>Bobbi Gordon v. TWC (Slip and Fall):</u> A complaint entitled Bobbi Gordon v The
Walking Company was filed on October 8, 2009 in District Court of New Jersey, Law
Division, Collin County. The complaint alleges, *inter alia*, that negligence by TWC
resulted in a slip and fall injury to plaintiff in one of TWC's stores. Plaintiff claims
unspecified damages for medical expenses, pain and suffering and loss of earnings. The
claim has been turned over to Debtors' insurance carrier.

Scottsdale Fashion Square v. TWC (LeaseDispute): A Complaint entitled
 Scottsdale Fashion Square LLC v. The Walking Company was filed as of October 17,
 2009 in the Superior Court of Arizona, Maricopa County. The Complaint alleges breach
 of contract by TWC under a retail store lease between TWC and the plaintiff. The
 Debtors settled this claim by way of a lease amendment, and the Debtors will seek
 approval of that lease amendment from the Bankruptcy Court.

Bayrock Investment v. TWC (Lease Dispute): A Complaint entitled *Bayrock Investment Co. v. The Walking Company* was filed as of November 17, 2009 in the Circuit
Court of the Twelfth Judicial Circuit in and for Sarasota County, Florida, Civil Division.
The Complaint alleges breach of contract by TWC under a retail store lease between TWC
and the plaintiff. The Debtors settled this claim by way of a lease amendment, and the
Debtors will seek approval of that settlement from the Bankruptcy Court.

23 <u>Pearland Town Center v. TWC (Lease Dispute):</u> A Complaint entitled Pearland
 24 Town Center Limited Partnership v. The Walking Company was filed as of November 19,
 25 2009 in the District Court, 412th Judicial District of Brazoria County, Texas. The
 26 Complaint alleges breach of contract by TWC under a retail store lease between TWC and
 27 the plaintiff. The Debtors settled this claim by way of a lease amendment, and the
 28 Debtors will seek approval of that settlement from the Bankruptcy Court.

- 43 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 51 of 57

1Trumbell Shopping Center v. TWC (Lease Dispute):A Complaint entitled2Trumbell Shopping Center #2, LLC v The Walking Company was filed as of December 7,32009 in the Superior Court J.D. of Fairfield at Bridgeport Housing Session. The4Complaint alleges breach of contract by TWC under a retail store lease between TWC and5the plaintiff. The Debtors settled this claim by way of a lease amendment, and the6Debtors will seek approval of that lease amendment from the Bankruptcy Court.

Arlington Highlands v. TWC (Lease Dispute): A Complaint entitled Arlington
Highlands LTD. v. The Walking Company was filed as of December 2, 2009 in the
County Court at Law No. 3, Tarrant County, TX. The Complaint alleges breach of
contract by TWC under a retail store lease between TWC and the plaintiff. The Debtors
settled this claim by way of a lease reinstatement, and the Debtors will seek approval of
that lease reinstatement from the Bankruptcy Court.

13 In Line Construction Group v. TWC (Contractor Dispute): A Complaint entitled In Line Construction Group, Inc. v. CCS, LLC d/b/a Columbia Construction Services, 14 15 LLC and The Walking Company was filed on December 26, 2008, in the Circuit Court of 16 Cook County, Illinois. The complaint alleges that the plaintiff subcontractor provided 17 goods and services to a general contractor hired by TWC to build out one of its stores, that 18 the contractor has not paid plaintiff and that TWC is responsible for payment. A default 19 order was obtained by plaintiff against TWC (and also the general contractor). Before 20 prove-up was made and a default judgment entered, settlement conversations between 21 TWC and plaintiff commenced but no settlement was finalized.

Laurie Costa (Employment Matter): A notice of a filing of a discrimination
 complaint dated January 26, 2010 was given to TWC by the Californian Department of
 Fair Employment & Housing (the "DFEH") regarding a claim made by Laurie Costa, a
 former TWC employee. Ms. Costa claims discrimination on the basis of mental disability
 in connection with TWC's termination of her employment. The matter is under
 investigation by the DFEH.

- 44 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 52 of 57

c. Actions against Big Dog

1

28

<u>Harris v Big Dog (Slip and Fall):</u> A complaint entitled Judy Harris v. The
Walking Company, Inc. f/k/a Big Dog Holdings, Inc. was filed on November 12, 2008 in
Circuit Court, Hamilton County, Tennessee. The complaint alleges, *inter alia*, that
negligence by defendant resulted in a slip and fall injury to plaintiff in one of Big Dog's
stores, and plaintiff claims unspecified damages for medical expenses, pain and suffering
and emotional distress. The claim has been turned over to Debtors' insurance carrier.

8 Atlantic City v. Big Dog (Lease Dispute): A complaint entitled Atlantic City 9 Associates Number Two (S-1), LLC v. Big Dog USA, Inc. was filed November 20, 2008 in 10 Superior Court of New Jersey, Atlantic County. The complaint alleges, inter alia, breach 11 of contract by Big Dog under a retail store lease between Big Dog and the plaintiff. 12 Plaintiff claim \$50,996 in damages plus fees and interest. A judgment was entered for an 13 award against Big Dog of \$121, 470.54. A settlement agreement has been entered into with respect to this claim, and a motion seeking approval of this settlement will shortly be 14 15 filed with the Bankruptcy Court.

16 <u>Buckner v. Big Dog (Slip and Fall):</u> A complaint entitled "Karen Buckner v. Big 17 Dog USA, Inc." was filed April 28, 2009 in District Court, Galveston County, Texas. The 18 complaint alleges, *inter alia*, that negligence by Big Dog resulted in a slip and fall injury 19 to plaintiff in one of Big Dog's stores, and plaintiff claims unspecified damages for 20 medical expenses, pain and suffering and mental anguish. The claim has been turned over 21 to Debtors' insurance carrier.

Laurie Costa (Employment Matter): A notice of a filing of a discrimination
 complaint dated January 26, 2010 was given to TWC by the Californian Department of
 Fair Employment & Housing (the "DFEH") regarding a claim made by Laurie Costa, a
 former TWC employee. Ms. Costa claims discrimination on the basis of mental disability
 in connection with TWC's termination of her employment. The matter is under
 investigation by the DFEH.

- 45 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 53 of 57

9. Actual and Projected Recovery of Preferential or Fraudulent Transfers

1

2 The Plan vests in the Reorganized Debtors any so-called avoidance actions, 3 including the right to assert claims under Bankruptcy Code Section 547, *i.e.*, the 4 preference section. Section 547(b) authorizes the debtor in possession to avoid (*i.e.*, set 5 aside) a transfer of property of the debtor that: (a) was made to or for the benefit of a 6 creditor, for or on account of an antecedent debt owed by the debtor before the transfer 7 was made; (b) was made while the debtor was insolvent and on or before 90 days before 8 the date of the bankruptcy filing (between 90 days and one year before the date of the 9 petition, if such creditor at the time of such transfer was an insider); and (c) that enabled 10 the creditor to receive more than the creditor would receive if the case were a liquidation case under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code, the transfer had not been made, and the 11 12 creditor received payment of the debt to the extent provided by the provisions of the 13 Bankruptcy Code. Bankruptcy Code Section 547(c) provides certain defenses to actions 14 under Section 547(b), including a defense if the debt was incurred in the ordinary course 15 of business or financial affairs of the debtor and the creditor and if the transfer was made 16 in the ordinary course of business and according to ordinary business terms.

17 The Debtors Statement of Financial Affair Affairs identifies approximately \$45,461,740 (\$0.00 - Holdings) (\$44,353,353.50 - TWC) (\$1,108,387.53 - Big Dog) in 18 19 transfers made in the 90-days prior to the Petition Date, as well as \$3,490,646.44 in 20 transfers made to Insiders during the one-year prior to the Petition Date. However, the 21 Debtors do not believe that there are any material preference actions that may be available 22 to the Estates. The Debtors believe that substantially all of their vendors received 23 payments in the ordinary course of the Debtors business. Furthermore, inasmuch as the 24 Plan contemplates payment in full of all Allowed General Unsecured Claims against the 25 estate, the successful prosecution of avoidance actions, which would result in the 26 reinstatement of a claim in favor of the defendant to the extent of the avoidance recovery, 27 which would then be satisfied in full under the terms of the Plan, would provide no 28 economic benefit to the Estates while causing the Estates to incur unnecessary legal fees.

- 46 -

C	ase 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Main Document Page 54 of 57	Desc
1	Therefore, it is not anticipated that any avoidance actions will be prosecuted during the	
2	Reorganization Cases or after the Effective Date.	
3	III.	
4	SUMMARY OF THE PLAN OF REORGANIZATION	
5	A. What Creditors and Interest Holders Will Receive Under The Proposed Plan	
6	As required by the Bankruptcy Code, the Plan classifies claims and interests in	
7	various classes according to their right to priority. The Plan states whether each class of	
8	claims or interests is impaired or unimpaired. The Plan provides the treatment each class	
9	will receive. The following summary of the Plan is qualified in its entirety by the actual	
10	terms of the Plan. In the event of any conflict, the terms of the Plan will control over any	
11	summary set forth in this Disclosure Statement.	
12	B. General Overview	
13	As required by the Bankruptcy Code, the Plan classifies claims and interests in	
14	various classes according to their right to priority of payments as provided in the	
15	Bankruptcy Code. The Plan states whether each class of claims or interests is impaired or	
16	unimpaired. The Plan provides the treatment each class will receive under the Plan. The	

19 distribution under the Plan.

17

18

-			
20	CLASS	SUMMARY	VOTING STATUS
21	None	Administrative Claims and	Not Entitled to Vote
22		Administrative Tax Claims	
23	None	Priority Tax Claims	Not Entitled to Vote
24		•	Unimpaired – Deemed to Accept
25		Debtors Under the Prepetition Credit Facility and DIP Facility	
26	Class 2	The Noteholders' Secured Claims	Impaired – Entitled to Vote
27	Chubb 2	Against the Debtors Under the Notes	
28	u	1	чу

categories set forth in this Section and summarized in the following table classify Claims

and Interests for all purposes, including, without limitation, voting, confirmation, and

- 47 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 55 of 57

CLASS	SUMMARY	VOTING STATUS
Class 3	Other Secured Claims	Unimpaired – Deemed to Accept
Class 4	Reclamation Claims	Unimpaired – Deemed to Accept
Class 5	Priority Unsecured Claims	Unimpaired – Deemed to Accept
Class 6	General Unsecured Claims-Big Dog	Unimpaired – Deemed to Accept
Class 7	General Unsecured Claims-TWC	Unimpaired – Deemed to Accept
Class 8	General Unsecured Claims-Holdings	Unimpaired – Deemed to Accept
Class 9	Intercompany Claims	Unimpaired – Deemed to Accept
Class 10	Employee Stock Option Claims	Impaired – Entitled to Vote
Class 11	Holdings' Existing Common Stock	ImpairedUnimpaired – <u>Not</u> Entitled to Vote ⁷
Class 12	TWC's Existing Common Stock	Unimpaired – Not Entitled to Vote
Class 13	Big Dog's Existing Common Stock	Unimpaired – Not Entitled to Vote

The treatment set forth below is in full and complete satisfaction of the legal, contractual, or equitable rights in or against the Debtors of each Person holding an Allowed Claim or Allowed Interest. This treatment supersedes and replaces any agreements or rights those Persons have in or against the Debtors or their respective property. All distributions provided under the Plan will be tendered to the Person holding the Allowed Claim or Allowed Interest. Notwithstanding any agreement to the contrary to which a Debtor is or may be a party (including, without limitation, any prepetition intercreditor or subordination agreement, which will be cancelled and in no force or effect as of the Effective Date), any lien securing a Secured Claim will be void as of the Effective Date, and any lien granted under the Plan will be subject to the Plan's terms.

28

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

⁷—The Debtors believe that the Class 11 (Holdings' Existing Common Stock) on account of certain voting rights and/or other corporate governance matters called for under the Investor Commitment Letter. Accordingly, the Plan contemplates that holders of Class 11 Interest will be entitled to vote. The Debtors will be seeking a determination from this Court in connection with the hearing to approve the Disclosure Statement, and if this Court concludes that the holders of Class11 Interests are not impaired, then the Plan will be modified to provide that such Persons are deemed to accept the Plan.

²⁴ 25 26 27

^{- 48 -}

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Main Document Page 56 of 57

NOTWITHSTANDING ANYTHING TO THE CONTRARY IN THIS PLAN,
 NO DISTRIBUTIONS WILL BE MADE, AND NO RIGHTS WILL BE
 RETAINED, ON ACCOUNT OF ANY CLAIM OR INTEREST THAT IS NOT AN
 ALLOWED CLAIM OR AN ALLOWED INTEREST.

C. Unclassified Claims

1.

6 Certain types of claims are not placed into voting classes; instead they are
7 unclassified. They are not considered impaired and they do not vote on the Plan because
8 they are automatically entitled to specific treatment provided for them in the Bankruptcy
9 Code. As such, the Plan Proponents have <u>not</u> placed the following claims in a class.

10

15

16

5

Administrative Expenses

Administrative expenses are claims for costs or expenses of administering the
 Debtors' Reorganization Cases which are allowed under section 503(b) of the Bankruptcy
 Code. The Bankruptcy Code requires that all administrative claims be paid on the
 Effective Date of the Plan, unless a particular claimant agrees to a different treatment.³⁴

The following chart lists <u>all of</u> the Debtors' known Section 503(b) Non-Ordinary Course Administrative Claims:

17		
18	CLAIMANT	ESTIMATED CLAIM
19	Estate Professionals	
20		
21	Arent Fox LLP	\$771,000
22	Kurtzman Carson Consultants	\$125,000
23		\$150,000
24	Clear Thinking Group	\$75,000
25	Tiger Capital Group	\$15,000
26	Singerlewak	
20	Holthouse Carlin & Van Trigt	\$8,000
	Koenig and Associates	\$5,000
28	Roeing and Associates	

- 49 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc Mai	319 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 ′ n Document Page 57 of 57	14:10:46 Desc
<u>Committee Professionals</u> Pachulski Stang <u>Ziehl & Jones</u> BDO Seidman, LLP	\$300,000 ^{8<u>7</u> \$300,000 \$3,500,000}	Deleted: Zhiel
<u>503(b)(9) Claims</u> <u>Administrative Tax Claims</u>	\$2,665,000 in sales tax. This number does not including payroll taxes which are processed by the Debtors' payroll company. All administrative taxes are being paid as they become due.	

The Reorganized Debtors will need to pay approximately \$2 million worth of 9 Administrative Claims on the Effective Date of the Plan unless the claimant has agreed to 10 be paid later or the Court has not yet ruled on the claim. Pursuant to the DIP Order, the 11 Debtors and WFRF are funding the amounts budgeted for their legal advisors, claims 12 agent, and financial advisor, and for the Committee professionals, on a weekly basis, with 13 such amounts being segregated in a professional fee account pending a Court order 14 approving fees and authorizing payment. The estimated fees for the other professionals 15 are expected to be \$28,000 and will be funded from cash generated from operations, the 16 Capital Investment, and approximately \$14 million of availability under the Exit 17 Financing. In addition, Administrative Tax Claims are being paid in the ordinary course 18 of business from cash generated from the Debtors' operations pursuant to the Final Order 19 Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 105, 361, 362, 363, and 364 and Rules 2002, 4001 and 20 9014 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (1) Authorizing incurrence by the 21 Debtors of Post Petition Secured Indebtedness with Priority Over All Secured 22 Indebtedness and with Administrative Superpriority, (2) Granting Liens, (3) Authorizing 23 Use of Cash Collateral by the Debtors Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 363 Providing for 24 Adequate Protection, and (4) Modifying the Automatic Stay and the Debtors therefore

25 26 27

28

87 The DIP Financing Order includes a \$600,000 budget for Committee professionals. The Committee has notenot provided an allocation of this budget at between their legal counsel and financial advisors. For presentation purposes only, the Debtors have allocated this amount equally between the two Committee professionals.

- 50 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 1 of 62

anticipate the amount of any outstanding Administrative Tax Claims on the Effective Date
 will be *de minimis*.

3 Unless the Person holding an Allowed Administrative Claim and the Debtors or 4 Reorganized Debtors agree otherwise, the Disbursing Agent will pay to that Person cash 5 in the Allowed Administrative Claim's full amount, without interest, on or before the later 6 of: (a) as soon as reasonably practicable on or after the Effective Date; (b) 30 days after 7 the date on which the Administrative Claim becomes an Allowed Administrative Claim; 8 or (c) the date on which the Allowed Administrative Claim becomes due and payable: 9 provided, however, that holders of Allowed 503(b)(9) Claims will also receive 10 Postpetition Interest on the face amount of their Allowed 503(b)(9) Claims through the date of distribution on account of such Allowed 503(b)(9) Claims. 11

The Court must rule on all fees listed in the above chart before the fees will be owed. For all Professional Fee Claims, the claimant or professional in question must file and serve a properly noticed fee application and the Court must rule on the application. Only the amount of fees allowed by the Court will be owed and required to be paid under this Plan.

17

More specifically, Administrative Claims will be allowed as follows:

18 <u>Ordinary-Course Administrative Claims</u>: Unless the Debtors or the Reorganized 19 Debtors object to an Ordinary-Course Administrative Claim (which claims include 20 Clerk's Office fees and U.S. Trustee's fees), the Claim will be allowed in accordance with 21 the terms and conditions of the particular transaction that gave rise to the Ordinary-Course 22 Administrative Claim, and the Person holding the Ordinary-Course Administrative Claim 23 need not File any request for payment of its Claim.

24 <u>Non-Ordinary-Course Administrative Claims</u>: A Non-Ordinary-Course
 25 Administrative Claim, other than 503(b)(9) Claim, will be allowed <u>only</u> if:

26 27

28

 a) On or before 60 days after the Effective Date, the Person holding the Claim both Files with the Court a motion requesting that the Debtors or the

- 51 -

Ca	se 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10 Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 2 of 62):46 Desc	;
1	Reorganized Debtors pay the Non-Ordinary-Course Administrative Claim		
2	and serves the motion on the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors and		
3	Reorganization Counsel; and		
4	b) The Court, in a Final Order, allows the Non-Ordinary-Course		
5	Administrative Claim.		
6	The Court has established April 20, 2010 as the General Bar Date for all persons		
7	and entities to file a proof of claim or interest in these cases or requests for allowance of		
8	503(b)(9) Claims.		
9	The Debtors or Reorganized Debtors may File an objection to such a motion, proof		
10	of claim, or request for allowance of a 503(b)(9) Claim within the time provided by the		
11	Bankruptcy Rules or within any other period that the Court establishes. Persons holding		
12	Non-Ordinary-Course Administrative Claims who do not timely File and serve a		
13	request for payment will be forever barred from asserting those Claims against the		
14	Debtors, the Estates, the Reorganized Debtors, or their respective property.		
15	Professional Fee Claims. The Court must approve all Professional Fee Claims.		
16	As set forth below, each professional in question must file and serve a properly noticed		
17	fee application, and the Court must rule on the application. Only the amount of fees		
18	allowed by the Court will be required to be paid under this Plan.		
19	A Professional Fee Claim will be allowed <u>only</u> if:		
20	a) On or before 60 days after the Effective Date, the Person holding the		
21	Professional Fee Claim both Files with the Court a motion requesting that		
22	the Reorganized Debtors pay the Professional Fee Claim and serves the		
23	motion on the Reorganized Debtors and their Reorganization Counsel; and		
24	b) The Professional Fee Claim is allowed by a Final Order.		
25	The Reorganized Debtors or any other party in interest may File an objection to		
26	such a motion within the time provided by the Bankruptcy Rules or within any other		
27	period that the Court establishes. Persons holding Professional Fee Claims who do not		
28	timely File and serve a motion for payment will be forever barred from asserting		
	- 52 -		

those Claims against the Debtors, the Estates, the Reorganized Debtors, or their
 respective property.

- 3 Administrative Tax Claims. An Administrative Tax Claim will be allowed only if: 4 a) On or before the later of: (1) 60 days after the Effective Date; or (2) 120 5 days after a Debtor files the tax return for the underlying taxes with the 6 applicable governmental unit, the Person holding the Administrative Tax 7 Claim both Files with the Court either a proof of Administrative Tax Claim 8 or a motion requesting that the Reorganized Debtor pay the Administrative 9 Tax Claim and serves the proof of Claim or motion on the Reorganized 10 Debtor and the Debtors' Reorganization Counsel; and
- 11

b) The Court, in a Final Order, allows the Administrative Tax Claim.

The Reorganized Debtors may File an objection to such a proof of Claim or motion within the time provided by the Bankruptcy Rules or within any other period that the Court establishes. Persons holding Administrative Tax Claims who do not timely File and serve a proof of Administrative Tax Claim or motion for payment will be forever barred from asserting those Claims against the Debtors, the Estates, the Reorganized Debtors, or their respective property, whether the Administrative Tax Claim is deemed to arise before, on, or after the Effective Date.

19

2.

Priority Tax Claims

20 Priority tax claims are certain unsecured income, employment and other taxes 21 described by Bankruptcy Code Section 507(a)(8). The Bankruptcy Code requires that each 22 holder of such a Section 507(a)(8) priority tax claim receive the present value of such 23 claim in deferred cash payments, over a period not exceeding six years from the date of 24 the assessment of such tax. The Debtors believe that Holdings will have a Priority Tax 25 Claims for personal property tax assessed prior to the petition of approximately \$19,036. 26 TWC's Priority Tax Claims include income / sales tax claims in the amount of 27 approximately \$894,940.79, and personal property taxes in the amount of \$102,097.24. 28 Big Dog's Priority Tax Claims include sales taxes of \$51,894.92 and personal property

- 53 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 4 of 62

tax in the amount of \$13,482.57. Exhibit G lists <u>all</u> of the Debtors' known Section
 507(a)(8) priority tax claims as of the petition date.

3 Priority Tax Claims are being paid in the ordinary course of business from cash 4 generated from the Debtors' operations pursuant to the December 18, 2009 Order 5 Granting Emergency Motion of Debtor for an Order (I) Authorizing the Debtor to Pay 6 Prepetition Sales and Use and Similar Taxes in the Ordinary Course of Business and (II) 7 Directing Banks and Financial Institutions to Honor and Process Checks and Transfers 8 Related Thereto, and the Debtors therefore anticipate the amount of any outstanding 9 Allowed Priority Tax Claims on the Effective Date will be *de minimis*. Unless the Person 10 holding an Allowed Priority Tax Claim and the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors agree otherwise, the Reorganized Debtors will pay to that Person, over a period not exceeding 11 12 six years from the date on which the underlying tax was assessed, deferred cash payments 13 in an aggregate amount equal to the amount of the Allowed Priority Tax Claim, plus 14 simple interest from the Effective Date on the unpaid balance of the Allowed Priority Tax 15 Claim at the Statutory Interest Rate. The Reorganized Debtors will make these payments 16 in equal semiannual installments. The first installment will be due on the later of: (a) 30 17 days after the Effective Date; (b) 30 days after the date on which the Priority Tax Claim 18 becomes an Allowed Priority Tax Claim; or (c) 30 days after the date on which the 19 Priority Tax Claim is allowed by a Final Order. Each installment will include simple 20 interest, in arrears, on the unpaid balance of the Allowed Priority Tax Claim at Statutory 21 Interest Rate but will include no penalty of any kind. The Reorganized Debtors will have 22 the right to pay any unpaid balance on an Allowed Priority Tax Claim in full at any time 23 on or after the Effective Date without premium or penalty of any kind.

24 25 D.

Classified Claims and Interests 1. Classes of Secured Claims

Secured claims are claims secured by liens on property of the Estates. The
following discussion lists all classes containing Debtors' secured prepetition claims and
their treatment under this Plan.

- 54 -

1 2

a. Class 1 (WFRF's Secured Claims Against the Debtors Under the Prepetition Credit Facility and DIP Facility).

3 Classification: Class 1 consists of WFRF's Allowed Secured Claims against the 4 Debtors under the Prepetition Credit Facility and the DIP Facility, which Claims are 5 deemed to be fully secured and allowed in full, including interest and legal fees properly 6 chargeable to the Debtors pursuant to the Prepetition Credit Facility and the DIP Facility. 7 As of the Petition Date, the Debtors acknowledge that the aggregate amount of WFRF's 8 Secured Claims was \$25,730,089 plus loans, advances, interest, and legal fees accruing 9 from and after the Petition Date. During the course of these Reorganization Cases, 10 pursuant to the DIP Order, WFRF's prepetition secured claim has been repaid in full and WFRF has made, and shall continue to make through the Effective Date, postpetition 11 12 debtor in possession financing loans. Interest and legal fees shall continue to be charged 13 and paid in accordance with the Prepetition Credit Facility and the DIP Facility until 14 WFRF's Secured Claims have been fully satisfied.

15 Treatment: WFRF has provided TWC and Big Dog with the legally binding 16 WFRF Commitment Letter to, subject to satisfaction of certain generally customary terms 17 and conditions set forth therein, provide Exit Financing to TWC and Big Dog on 18 substantially the same terms and conditions as the Prepetition Credit Facility (including, 19 but not limited to, such Exit Financing's being secured by a duly perfected, first lien 20 security interest on substantially all of the Reorganized Debtors' assets), except as 21 otherwise provided in the WFRF Commitment Letter. The proceeds of the Exit Financing 22 will be used to refinance the Prepetition Debt and DIP Obligations upon the Effective 23 Date and to provide financing for working capital, issuance of letters of credit, capital 24 expenditures, and other general corporate purposes of the Reorganized Debtors. The 25 refinancing of the Prepetition Debt and DIP Obligations pursuant to the Exit Financing 26 will be in full satisfaction of all Allowed Class 1 Claims held by WFRF and of all legal, 27 equitable, and contractual rights to which WFRF is entitled under the Prepetition Credit 28 Facility or the DIP Facility. On or before the Effective Date, TWC and Big Dog will

- 55 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 6 of 62

1 deliver to WFRF the Post-Confirmation Credit Agreement and other documents related 2 thereto substantially consistent with the terms and conditions provided in the WFRF 3 Commitment Letter and the Prepetition Credit Facility and in a principal amount equal to 4 the outstanding balance of the DIP Facility (estimated to be approximately \$5 million and 5 Reorganized TWC and Reorganized Big Dog will agree to pay WFRF all amounts due 6 under the Post-Confirmation Credit Agreement in accordance with such legal, equitable, 7 and contractual rights as previously existed under the Prepetition Credit Facility. The 8 obligations under the Post-Confirmation Credit Agreement will be guaranteed by 9 Reorganized Holdings and secured by duly perfected, first liens on substantially all of the 10 Reorganized Debtors' assets.

11 12

b. Class 2 (The Noteholders' Secured Claims Against the Debtors Under the Notes)

13 <u>Classification</u>: Class 2 consists of the Noteholders' Allowed Secured Claims 14 against the Debtors under the Notes, which Claims are deemed to be fully secured and 15 allowed in full, including interest and legal fees properly chargeable to the Debtors 16 pursuant to the Notes. As of the Petition Date, the Debtors acknowledge that the 17 aggregate amount of the Noteholders' Secured Claims was \$20.21 million, which includes 18 principal as well as PIK Interest and interest accrued and owing as of the Petition Date.

19 **Treatment:** On the Effective Date, each of the Notes will be Reinstated; provided, 20 however, that the aggregate principal amount of the Notes will be reduced to \$19.5 21 million, which represents the cancellation of an aggregate of \$960,000 in PIK Interest due 22 under the Notes as of the Petition Date, and the principal amount of each Note held by a 23 Noteholder will be reduced by a proportionate amount. The Reinstated Notes will 24 continue to be secured by substantially all of the assets of the Reorganized Debtors (other 25 than intellectual property relating to Big Dog, and shall also continue to be subject and 26 subordinate in lien priority and right of payment to WFRF's liens and claims under the 27 Exit Facility pursuant to a Subordination Agreement on substantially the same terms and 28 conditions as the existing Subordination Agreement executed by each Noteholder in favor

- 56 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 7 of 62

1 of WFRF in connection with the Prepetition Credit Facility and otherwise reasonably 2 satisfactory to WFRF. The holders of Allowed Class 2 Claims will also receive an 3 aggregate payment of \$423,602 in cash on or before the Distribution Date, as provided for 4 under the Notes, which represents the interest owing under the Notes for the first quarter 5 of 2009 plus interest due under the Notes. The holders of Allowed Class 2 Claims will 6 receive no other distributions or payments under the Plan on account of either principal or 7 accrued and unpaid interest, PIK Interest, or other obligations under the Notes, except as 8 expressly provided for herein.

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

c. Class 3 (Other Secured Claims)

<u>Classification</u>: Class 3 consists of all Other Secured Claims.

<u>Treatment</u>: Unless the Person holding an Allowed Class 3 Claim and the Debtors
 or Reorganized Debtors agree otherwise, the Person holding the Claim will receive one or
 more of the following treatments as soon as reasonably practicable on or after the
 Effective Date in full satisfaction of its Allowed Class 3 Claim:

a) The Reorganized Debtors will: (1) cure any default, other than those defaults enumerated in Bankruptcy Code Section 365(b)(2), with respect to that Person's Allowed Class 3 Claim, without recognizing any default interest rate or similar penalty or charge, after which no default will exist; (2) reinstate the maturity date of that Person's Allowed Class 3 Claim to the maturity date that existed before any default, without recognizing any default interest rate or similar penalty or charge; (3) compensate that Person for any actual damages incurred due to that Person's reasonable reliance on any provision that entitled that Person to accelerate its Allowed Class 3 Claim; equitable, or contractual rights with respect to its Allowed Class 3 Claim;

b) The Disbursing Agent will convey to the Person holding the Claim the collateral in which that Person has a security interest; or

27 28

- 57 -

c) The Disbursing Agent will pay to the Person holding the Claim cash in the amount of that Person's Allowed Class 3 Claim.

The Debtors or Reorganized Debtors may, in their sole discretion, select which of these treatments each Person holding an Allowed Class 3 Claim will receive. If, by 14 days before the Confirmation Hearing Date, the Debtors have not notified a Person which treatment has been selected for that Person's Allowed Class 3 Claim, the Reorganized Debtors will be deemed to have selected the treatment set forth in Subparagraph (a), above.

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

d. Class 4 (Reclamation Claims).

Classification: Class 4 consists of the Reclamation Claims.

<u>**Treatment</u>**: Each holder of an allowed Class 4 Claim shall receive payment in full of its allowed Class 4 Claim on or before the Distribution Date, plus Postpetition Interest on the face amount of its Allowed Class 4 Claims through the date of distribution on account of such Allowed Class 4 Claim.</u>

2. Classes of Priority Unsecured Claims

Certain priority claims that are referred to in the sections 507(a)(3), (4), (5), and (6) of the Bankruptcy Code and are required to be placed in classes. These types of claims are entitled to priority treatment as follows: the Bankruptcy Code requires that each holder of such a claim receive cash on the Effective Date equal to the allowed amount of such claim.

The Bankruptcy Code provides that holders of Allowed Reclamation Claims and Allowed Unsecured Priority Claims are generally entitled to be paid Postpetition Interest on the face amount of their Allowed Claims before Interest holders receive value under a Chapter 11 plan. Accordingly, the Plan provides for payment of Postpetition Interest on Allowed Claims identified in Classes 4 and 5 on before the Distribution Date.

28

- 58 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 9 of 62

The following chart lists all classes containing Debtors' 507(a)(3), (4), (5), and (6) priority unsecured claims and their treatment under this Plan (see Exhibit G for more detailed information about each priority unsecured claim).

4

1

2

3

a. Class 5 (Priority Unsecured Claims).

5 <u>Classification</u>: Class 5 consists of all Priority Unsecured Claims other than
6 Priority Tax Claims.

7 Treatment: Unless the Person holding an Allowed Class 5 Claim and the Debtors or the 8 Reorganized Debtors agree otherwise, in writing, the Disbursing Agent will pay to each 9 Person holding an Allowed Class 5 Claim cash equal to the amount of the Allowed Class 10 5 Claim, plus Postpetition Interest on the face amount of their Allowed Class 5 Claim through the date of distribution on account of such Allowed Claims, on or before the later 11 12 of: (a) as soon as reasonably practicable on or after the Effective Date; (b) 30 days after 13 the date on which the Claim becomes an Allowed Class 5 Claim; or (c) the date on which the Allowed Class 5 Claim becomes due and payable. 14

15

3.

Class of General Unsecured Claims

General unsecured claims are unsecured claims not entitled to priority under
section 507(a) of the Bankruptcy Code. The following narrative identifies this Plan's
treatment of the class containing <u>all</u> of Debtors' general unsecured claims (see Exhibit H
for detailed information about each general unsecured claim).

The Bankruptcy Code provides that holders of Allowed General Unsecured Claims are generally entitled to be paid Postpetition Interest on the face amount of their Allowed Claims before Interest holders receive value under a Chapter 11 plan. Accordingly, the Plan provides for payment of Postpetition Interest on Allowed Claims identified in Classes 6 through 8 on before the Distribution Date.

25

a. Class 6 (General Unsecured Claims-Big Dog).

26 <u>Classification</u>: Class 6 consists of all non-priority, General Unsecured Claims
27 asserted against Big Dog.

28

- 59 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 10 of 62

<u>Treatment</u>: Unless the Person holding an Allowed Class 6 Claim and Big Dog or
 Reorganized Big Dog agree otherwise, in writing, on or before the Distribution Date, the
 holders of Allowed Class 6 Claims will receive payment in full in cash on account of their
 Allowed Class 6 Claims, plus Postpetition Interest on the face amount of their Allowed
 Class 6 Claims through the date of distribution on account of such Allowed Class 6
 Claims.

7

b. Class 7 (General Unsecured Claims-TWC).

8 <u>Classification</u>: Class 7 consists of all non-priority, General Unsecured Claims
 9 asserted against TWC.

10 <u>**Treatment:**</u> Unless the Person holding an Allowed Class 7 Claim and TWC or 11 Reorganized TWC agree otherwise, in writing, on or before the Distribution Date, the 12 holders of Allowed Class 7 Claims will receive payment in full in cash on account of their 13 Allowed Class 7 Claims, plus Postpetition Interest on the face amount of their Allowed 14 Class 7 Claims through the date of distribution on account of such Allowed Class 7 15 Claims.

16 A settlement agreement has been entered into between Mr. Atchinson and the 17 Debtors pursuant to which, among other things, the Atchinson Claim will be Allowed in 18 the total amount of \$1.1 million, of which \$100,000 will be paid on or before the 19 Distribution Date, and the balance will be paid in full no later than 12 months following 20 the Effective Date, in exchange for which the Debtors will return to Mr. Atchinson the 21 Natural Comfort trademark and provide him with a partial release of the restrictions under 22 the Debtors' Noncompetition and Nonsolicitation Agreement. The proposed payments to 23 Mr. Atchinson to will be subject to a subordination agreement in favor of WFRF as well 24 as maintenance of a minimum specified level of availability both for a period before and 25 after giving effect to the payment under the Exit Facility. Provided that such settlement agreement is approved by the Bankruptcy Court, the Allowed Atchinson Claim will 26 27 receive the treatment provided for in the settlement agreement.

28

- 60 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 11 of 62

1 A further settlement agreement has been entered into with respect to the class 2 action entitled Erin Andrews and Keith Park, on behalf of themselves and all others 3 similarly situated, vs. The Walking Company, Does 1 through 100, Inclusive, Defendants, 4 filed on December 31, 2008 in Los Angeles Superior Court as Case No. BC404875. The 5 complaint, as subsequently amended, alleged that the Debtors incorrectly calculated 6 employees' overtime pay for certain commissioned employees and that, as a result, such 7 commissioned employees were entitled to wages, interest, and penalties. The parties and 8 their respective counsel have agreed to a settlement of the litigation, which was approved 9 by this Court on March 2, 2010 [Docket #269]. The settlement calls for a total payment 10 of \$165,000 to certain claimants as specified therein, with \$10,000 carved out for the 3rd party administrator and an additional carve out for the plaintiffs' attorney of not more than 11 12 \$55,000 in fees and not more than \$5,000 in costs. The Order approving the settlement 13 calls for the payment to the administrator to be made within 10 days of entry of such Order, with the remaining net settlement to be paid on the Effective Date. Accordingly, 14 15 notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Plan, the claims of the class action 16 claimants will receive the treatment provided for pursuant to the Order approving the class 17 action settlement agreement.

18

c.

Class 8 (General Unsecured Claims-Holdings).

19 <u>Classification</u>: Class 8 consists of all non-priority, General Unsecured Claims
 20 asserted against Holdings.

<u>Treatment</u>: Unless the Person holding an Allowed Class 8 Claim and Holdings or
 Reorganized Holdings agree otherwise, in writing, on or before the Distribution Date, the
 holders of Allowed Class 8 Claims will receive payment in full in cash on account of their
 Allowed Class 8 Claims, plus Postpetition Interest on the face amount of their Allowed
 Class 8 Claims through the date of distribution on account of such Allowed Class 8
 Claims.

27

d. Class 9 (Intercompany Claims)

28

<u>Classification</u>: Class 9 consists of all Intercompany Claims.

- 61 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 12 of 62

<u>**Treatment:**</u> Intercompany Claims will be Reinstated under the Plan, without interest, penalty, or premium of any kind.

3

1

2

e. Class 10 (Employee Stock Option Notes)

4 <u>Classification</u>: Class 10 consists of all Claims asserted against Holdings pursuant
5 to the Employee Stock Option Notes.

6 **Treatment:** Each Employee Stock Option Note will be amended to extend its 7 maturity date to the date 18 months from the Effective Date of the Plan. All interest 8 accrued under each Employee Stock Option Note prior to the Effective Date will be 9 waived by the holders of such Notes. Commencing from and after the Effective Date 10 interest will resume to accrue on each Employee Stock Option Note at the rate of 7% per annum on the outstanding principal amount thereof and be payable in accordance with the 11 12 terms of the Employee Stock Option Notes. If the Company so elects, it may prepay the 13 principal amount of any Employee Stock Option Note within 30 days of the Effective 14 Date at a discount of 20%; provided, however, that such prepayments shall not total, in the 15 aggregate, more than \$499,000. All other terms of the Notes will remain the same.

16

4. Class(es) of Interest Holders

Interest holders are the parties who hold ownership interest (*i.e.*, equity interest) in the Debtors. If the Debtors are a corporation, entities holding preferred or common stock in the Debtors are interest holders. If the Debtors are a partnership, the interest holders include both general and limited partners. If the Debtors are individuals, the Debtors are the interest holders. This section identifies the Plan's treatment of the classes of interest holders (see Exhibit I for more detailed information about each interest holder).

23

a. Class 11 (Holdings' Existing Common Stock).

24 <u>Classification</u>: Class 11 consists of Holdings' Existing Common Stock,
25 (including, without limitation, any rights to existing warrants and options).

26 <u>Treatment</u>: Allowed Class 11 Interests will be Reinstated under the Plan;
 27 *provided, however,* that such Interests may be impaired pursuant to the terms of the
 28 Investor Commitment Lette.

Ca	ase 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 13 of 62
1	b. Class 12 (TWC's Existing Common Stock).
2	Classification: Class 12 consists of TWC's Existing Common Stock (including,
3	without limitation, any rights to existing warrants and options).
4	Treatment: Allowed Class 12 Interests will be Reinstated under the Plan.
5	c. Class 13 (Big Dog's Existing Common Stock).
6	Classification: Class 13 consists of Big Dog's Existing Common Stock (including,
7	without limitation, any rights to existing warrants and options).
8	Treatment: Allowed Class 13 Interests will be Reinstated under the Plan.
9	E. Means of Performing Under the Plan
10	1. Exit Financing.
11	WFRF has provided TWC and Big Dog with the WFRF Commitment Letter,
12	pursuant to which WFRF has issued a legally binding commitment to provide Exit
13	Financing, subject to satisfaction of certain generally customary terms and conditions set
14	forth therein, to TWC and Big Dog on substantially the same terms and conditions as the
15	Prepetition Credit Facility (including, but not limited to, such Exit Financing's being
16	secured by a duly perfected, first lien security interest on substantially all of the
17	Reorganized Debtors' assets), except as otherwise provided in the WFRF Commitment
18	Letter. The proceeds of the Exit Financing will be used to refinance the Prepetition Debt
19	and DIP Obligations upon the Effective Date and to provide financing for working capital,
20	issuance of letters of credit, capital expenditures, and other general corporate purposes of
21	the Reorganized Debtors. On or before the Effective Date, TWC and Big Dog will deliver
22	to WFRF the Post-Confirmation Credit Agreement and other documents related thereto
23	substantially consistent with the terms and conditions provided in the WFRF Commitment
24	Letter and the Prepetition Credit Facility and in a principal amount equal to the
25	outstanding balance of the DIP Facility (estimated to be approximately \$5 million, and
26	Reorganized TWC and Reorganized Big Dog will agree to pay WFRF all amounts due
27	under the Post-Confirmation Credit Agreement in accordance with such legal, equitable,
28	and contractual rights as previously existed under the Prepetition Credit Facility. The

- 63 -

Ca	ase 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 14 of 62	14:10:46	Desc
1	obligations under the Post-Confirmation Credit Agreement will be guaranteed by		
2	Reorganized Holdings and secured by duly perfected, first liens on substantially all of the	l I	
3	Reorganized Debtors' assets. The principal terms and conditions of the Exit Financing are	l I	
4	set forth below:		
5	Borrowers: The Walking Company and Big Dog USA, Inc. and each of their	l I	
6	presently existing or hereafter formed or acquired subsidiaries.		
7	Guarantor: The Walking Company Holdings, Inc.	l I	
8	Guaranior. The warking company florenigs, ne.		
9	Agent: Wells Fargo Retail Finance, LLC.		
10	Lender: Wells Fargo Retail Finance, LLC together with any other financial		
11	institution becoming a party to the loan documents.		
12	L/C Issuing Bank: Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., or any other financial institution		
13	reasonably acceptable to the agent.		
14	Credit Facility: Senior Secured Asset Based Revolving Credit Facility up to		
15	\$30,000,000 including a \$3,000,000 sub-limit for standby and documentary letters		
16 17	of credit.		
17 18	<i>Purpose:</i> The proceeds will be used to refinance the Debtors' obligations under		
18 19	the Prepetition Credit Facility and the DIP Facility as well as to provide financing		
20	for working capital, issuance of letters of credit, capital expenditures, and other general corporate purposes.	l I	
20	general corporate purposes.		
22	Priority and Security: The Exit Financing will be secured by a first priority		
23	security interest in all of the Debtors' assets and all proceeds realized thereof.		
24	Closing Date: April 15, 2010 (extendable under certain circumstances to May 7,	l I	
25	2010).		
26	<i>Maturity</i> : Four years from the Closing Date.		
27			
28	<i>Expenses:</i> The Debtors will agree to pay all reasonable costs of WFRF in connection with the facility.	l	
	- 64 -		

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 15 of 62

Indemnity: The Debtors agree to indemnify the agent, lenders, and issuing bank.

2. Funding for the Plan

The Plan will be funded by the following: (a) reducing operating expenses by renegotiating its real estate leases; (b) reducing the amount due under its Notes and 5 obtaining certain other economic concessions from the Noteholders and certain other 6 creditors; (c) increasing its capital through a \$10 million capital Investment and the \$30 7 million Exit Financing; and (d) cash from operations. The \$10 million capital Investment 8 will be made pursuant to a Investor Commitment Letter between the Investors and the 9 Debtors, which \$10 million shall be funded into an escrow account no later than 14 days 10 prior to the Confirmation Hearing Date. Of this \$10 million investment, approximately 11 \$8.1 million will be used to pay for the Debtors' reorganization costs, including Allowed 12 Administrative and Priority Claim and Allowed General Unsecured Claims to be paid 13 within 30 days of the Plan's Effective Date. Any remaining balance will be retained as 14 working capital for the Reorganized Debtors. 15

3. Issuance of New Preferred Stock.

The New Preferred Stock will have the attributes set forth in the Investor Commitment Letter attached to the Plan at Exhibit 4. On or before the Distribution Date, the New Preferred Stock will be issued to Investors in accordance with the terms of the Investor Commitment Letter.

4. The Unsecured Claims Reserve.

On the Effective Date, the Debtors shall transfer to a segregated account Cash on account of all Claims in Classes 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 in an amount to be established following the Claims Bar Date but prior to the occurrence of the Effective Date and to be determined: (a) jointly by the Debtor, the Committee, the Investors, and WFRF, in their reasonable business judgment, or (b) if the parties are unable to consensually determine such amount, by the Bankruptcy Court. The Debtors reserve the right to seek a claims estimation hearing with respect to any Claims in Classes 4, 6, 7, or 8 to

- 65 -

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 16 of 62

the extent necessary to facilitate the creation of the Unsecured Claims Reserve. The 1 2 purpose of such Unsecured Claims Reserve is to provide adequate assurance that all 3 Allowed General Unsecured Claims will receive payment in full as contemplated 4 under the Plan. The Cash transferred to the Unsecured Claims Reserve pursuant to the 5 Plan will be free and clear of any and all liens asserted by WFRF and shall be 6 disregarded for purposes of determining availability under the Exit Financing, including, 7 but not limited to, whether the Debtors are able to satisfy the conditions to the Wells 8 Fargo commitment regarding minimum availability. 9 F. Preservation of Claims and Rights Not Expressly Settled and Released.

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1.

General Claims and Rights.

As permitted by Bankruptcy Code Section 1123(b)(3), the Reorganized Debtors will be revested with, and may enforce, any claims and rights that the Debtors or the Estates may hold or have against any Person. These claims and rights include, without limitation:

- a) Any claims or rights under Bankruptcy Code Sections 544 through 550, any similar state-law provisions, or any similar statute or legal theory;
 - b) Any rights of equitable subordination or disallowance;
- c) Any derivative claims that may be brought by or on behalf of the Debtors or the Estates;
- Any other claims or rights of any kind that either the Debtors or the Estates may have or hold under any applicable law, including any and all claims or rights referred to in the Schedules; and
 - e) Any rights to object to, settle, compromise, or resolve Claims or Interests.

The Reorganized Debtors will retain any related recoveries free and clear of all
Claims and Interests and may pursue, settle, or abandon such revested claims and rights,
in accordance with their best interests.

27 28

- 66 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 17 of 62

Avoidance Actions.

2 The Reorganized Debtors will be vested with, and serve as representative of the 3 Estates with respect to, Avoidance Actions. At any time on or before the Exhibit Filing 4 Date, the Debtors may elect not to prosecute any potential Avoidance Action against a 5 Person if the Debtors determine, in good faith, that: (a) the potential Avoidance Action 6 would not be cost-effective to pursue either because the amounts at issue are *de minimis* 7 when compared to the litigation costs, because there are significant potential defenses to 8 the Avoidance Action, or because successful prosecution of the Avoidance Action would 9 otherwise provide no economic benefit to the Estates; or (b) prosecuting the action would 10 interfere with the Reorganized Debtors' business relationship with that Person, and preservation of such business relationship is important to the Reorganized Debtors' 11 12 operations. If, by 14 days before the deadline for objections to the Plan, the Debtors have 13 not Filed with this Court a Schedule of Avoidance Actions setting forth the Debtors' 14 intent to preserve and pursue a particular Avoidance Action under the Plan, the 15 Reorganized Debtors will be deemed to have waived the right to preserve or pursue such 16 Avoidance Action.

17

1

2.

Waiver of Preference Claims.

18 Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, the Debtors waive any and all 19 claims arising under Bankruptcy Code section 547.

20 G.

3.

Objections to Claims and Interests

21 Except as otherwise provided with respect to allowance of Administrative Claims, 22 notice of designation of a Claim or Interest as a Disputed Claim or Interest must be Filed, 23 and must be served upon the Person holding the Claim or Interest, on or before the Claim 24 Objection Deadline, and any such claim objection must be Filed and served upon the 25 Person holding the Claim or Interest no later than 20 days thereafter. The Claim 26 Objection Deadline is the Business Day that is the later of: (a) 40 days after the Effective 27 Date; or (b) 40 days after the date on which the particular proof of Claim or Interest was 28 Filed.

- 67 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR	Doc 319-1	Filed 03/17/10	Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46	Desc
Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS)		Page 18 of 62		

1 H. The Releases, Waivers & Injunctions

1. The WFRF Waiver

2

-	
3	In consideration for, among other things, the financial accommodations provided
4	by WFRF during the Reorganization Cases, as well as the Exit Financing, the Debtors, the
5	Committee (to the extent that the Committee has standing to pursue claims against WFRF
6	pursuant to the Final DIP Order), the Noteholders, the Investors, and the Reorganized
7	Debtor (collectively, the "WFRF Releasors")-shall be deemed hereunder to have waived,
8	released and relinquished any and all obligations, debts, losses, damages, liabilities,
9	contracts, controversies, agreements, claims, causes of action, and demands of any kind
10	whatsoever at law or in equity, including without limitation claims under Bankruptcy
11	Code sections 510, 541, 542, 544, 545, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551 or 553 or any other
12	provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, direct or indirect, known or unknown, discovered or
13	undiscovered, asserted or unasserted: (a) either against WFRF or-solely in their
14	representative capacity as representatives of WFRF-against each of WFRF's officers,
15	directors, stockholders, partners, agents, employees, consultants, attorneys, accountants,
16	advisors, affiliates and other representatives (the "WFRF Releasees"); and (b) arising out
17	of or relating to the Debtors, Claims against the Debtors, the Reorganization Cases, the
18	Plan, the loans, advances and financial accommodations provided to the Debtors by
19	WFRF, the Debtors' business operations and/or management of the affairs of the Debtors
20	and/or their affiliates, arising at any time on or prior to the Effective Date (the "Released
21	<u>Claims")</u> .
22	The Debtors are not aware of any claims or causes of action against WFRF, and in
23	fact believe that WFRF has played a constructive role in these Reorganization Cases. The
24	preceding provisions are designed solely to facilitate WFRF's provision of the Exit
25	Financing.

26

2.

The Noteholder Releases

27 <u>Upon payment in full of the Allowed Claims in Classes 4, and 6 through 8,</u>
 28 <u>hereunder, if Class 2 votes to accept the Plan, in consideration of the cancellation of PIK</u>

- 68 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 19 of 62

1	Interest provided under the Plan with respect to the Noteholders, the Debtors on behalf of
2	the Estate hereby fully and unconditionally release and forever discharge each Noteholder
3	who cast a vote to accept the Plan and their attorneys, agents, advisors, professionals,
4	representatives and assigns (the "Noteholder Releasees") from and against any and all
5	claims, causes of action, damages, losses, liabilities, obligations, expenses, debts, dues,
6	sums of money, accounts, reckonings, contracts, controversies, known or unknown, fixed
7	or contingent, direct or indirect, accrued or not accrued, liquidated or unliquidated or
8	suspected or unsuspected, in contract or in tort or otherwise, that the Debtors or the
9	Estates ever had or may now have, whether directly or indirectly, or by assignment or
10	succession, against the Noteholder Releasees, or any of them, for, upon, or by reason of
11	any matter relating to the Notes through the Effective Date. This release shall be effective
12	as of the Effective Date.

13 As noted above, the Debtors are currently evaluating all Avoidance Actions, and at 14 any time on or before the Exhibit Filing Date the Debtors may elect not to prosecute any 15 potential Avoidance Actions. With respect to potential Avoidance Actions against the 16 Noteholders, the Debtors have evaluated whether the Estates may seek to avoid payments 17 to the Noteholders, or the grant of security interests to the Noteholders, as either 18 preferences or fraudulent transfers. As a preliminary matter, Notes in the principal 19 amount of only \$3.975 million were issued to Insiders. The remaining Notes, in the 20 principal amount of \$14.525 million, were issued to non-Insiders. The Debtors do not 21 believe that there are any grounds to recharacterize or subordinate the Claims of the non-22 Insider Noteholders, and the preference period with respect to non-Insiders reaches back 23 only 90 days (unlike the 1-year preference period applicable to Insiders). As part of the 24 Debtors' efforts to achieve an out-of-court restructuring and to avoid bankruptcy, they 25 granted certain security interests to the Noteholders in exchange for financial concessions 26 described above. The Debtors may now have grounds to seek to avoid this grant of 27 security interests if the Noteholders are found not to have provided reasonably equivalent 28 value for the financial concessions, or if aspects of the transaction are found to constitute

- 69 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 20 of 62

1 a preference payment within the meaning of Bankruptcy Code section 547. However, the 2 Noteholders have asserted significant defenses, including that the Debtors may not have 3 been insolvent at the time that many or all of the Noteholder transactions occurred, that 4 reasonably equivalent value was provided to the Debtors, that significant questions exist 5 with respect to the viability of the recharacterization doctrine in the Ninth Circuit, and 6 that there are no equitable grounds on which to subordinate the Notes. And significantly, 7 even if the Debtors were to avoid some or all of the transfers to the Noteholders, the 8 Noteholders would then be entitled to unsecured claims against the Debtors under 9 Bankruptcy Code Section 502(h). Inasmuch as the Plan provides for the payment in full 10 of all Allowed Unsecured Claims against the Estates, there is no economic advantage to pursuing such Avoidance Actions. Instead, the Plan provides that if Class 2 accepts the 11 12 Plan, thereby cancelling \$960,000 of PIK Interest to which the Noteholders would 13 otherwise be entitled, then those Noteholders voting to accept the Plan will receive a 14 release of potential Avoidance Actions as provided for in this Section. The Debtors 15 believe that the proposed release is a reasonable exercise of their business judgment and 16 is in the best interest of their Estates and creditors.

17

3.

The Investor Releases

18 In consideration for the Capital Investment made by the Investors, the Debtors on 19 behalf of the Estate hereby fully and unconditionally releases and forever discharge the 20 Investors and their attorneys, agents, advisors, professionals, representatives and assigns 21 (the "Investor Releasees") from and against any and all claims, causes of action, 22 damages, losses, liabilities, obligations, expenses, debts, dues, sums of money, accounts, 23 reckonings, contracts, controversies, known or unknown, fixed or contingent, direct or 24 indirect, accrued or not accrued, liquidated or unliquidated or suspected or unsuspected, in 25 contract or in tort or otherwise, that the Debtors or the Estates ever had, now have or 26 hereafter can, shall or may have, or may claim to have, whether directly or indirectly, or 27 by assignment or succession, against the Investor Releasees, or any of them, for, upon, or 28 by reason of any matter relating to the ownership, management or operation of the

- 70 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 21 of 62

Debtors, or to the extent that any Investor is also a Noteholder, for, upon, or by reason of
 any matter relating to the Notes, through the Effective Date. This release shall be
 effective upon the occurrence of both the Effective Date and the date of funding of the
 Capital Investment pursuant to the Commitment Letter.

The Debtors are not aware of any claims or causes of action against the Investors
(except to the extent that an Investor may be a Noteholder, as discussed above), and in
fact believes that the Investors have played a constructive role in these Reorganization
Cases. The preceding provisions are designed solely to facilitate the Investors' provision
of the Capital Investment.

10

4.

The Debtor and Committee Releases

11 Except to the extent arising from willful misconduct or gross negligence, pursuant 12 to section 1125(e) of the Bankruptcy Code, any and all Claims, liabilities, causes of 13 action, rights, damages, costs and obligations held by any party against the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, the Committee and their respective present and former members, 14 15 ex-officio members, officers, directors, trustees, employees, attorneys, accountants, 16 professionals, agents, designees, successors or assigns, and the Debtors and any 17 Professional Persons (acting in such capacity) employed by any of the foregoing entities, 18 whether known or unknown, matured or contingent, liquidated or unliquidated, existing, 19 arising or accruing, whether or not yet due, in any manner related to the administration of 20 these Reorganization Cases following the Petition Date or the formulation, negotiation, 21 prosecution or implementation of the Plan, solicitation of acceptances of the Plan, the 22 pursuit of confirmation of the Plan, the consummation of the Plan, confirmation of the 23 Plan, or the administration of the Plan or the property to be distributed under the Plan 24 shall be deemed fully waived, barred, released and discharged in all respects, except in the 25 case of the Debtors or the Reorganized Debtors, as to rights, obligations, duties, claims 26 and responsibilities preserved, created or established by terms of the Plan; provided, 27 however, that, notwithstanding the foregoing, this provision does not limit the nature of 28 any objection to the allowance and payment of any Professional Fees or any Insider

- 71 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 22 of 62

<u>compensation. Nothing in this section shall be construed to exculpate any entity from</u>
 liability for their willful misconduct or gross negligence.

The Debtors are not aware of any claims or causes of action against the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, or the Committee in connection with the administration of these Reorganization Cases, and in fact believe that they and the Committee have played a constructive role in these Reorganization Cases. The preceding provisions are designed solely to facilitate the administration of these Reorganization Cases and the confirmation of the Debtors' Plan.

9

5.

6.

California Civil Code Section 1542

Section 1542 of the California Civil Code provides as follows: "A general
release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist
in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her
must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor."

14

15

Post-confirmation Management

a. The Reorganized Debtors' Directors.

16 On the Effective Date, the Board of Directors of each of the Reorganized Debtors 17 will consist of: Fred Kayne, Andrew D. Feshbach, and David Walsh. The membership of 18 the Board of Directors will be subject to an election at the Reorganized Debtors' annual 19 meeting in 2010. The Reorganized Debtors' bylaws will provide for cumulative voting 20 for directors. Fred Kayne receives \$150,000.00 per year in exchange for his services, 21 which will be continued following the Effective Date. Dave Walsh receives \$25,000 per year and life insurance, long-term disability, and medical insurance benefits, which will 22 23 be continued following the Effective Date. And Mr. Feshbach serves without additional 24 compensation beyond what he receives as CEO.

25

b. The Reorganized Debtors' Officers.

The Reorganized Debtors' officers will initially be the same as the prepetition officers. After the Effective Date, each officer will serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors, subject to any agreements that each officer may have with the Reorganized

- 72 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 23 of 62

1 Debtors, which employment agreements will be assumed by the Reorganized Debtors as 2 of the Effective Date. The initial compensation for the Reorganized Debtors' officers will 3 be the same as the compensation currently received by such officers as set forth below. 4 Bonuses, if any, will continue to be entirely within the discretion of the CEO and board.

Executive	Position	Salary
Andrew Feshbach	CEO	\$460,000
Anthony J. Wall	Vice President / GC	\$375,000
Roberta Morris	CFO	\$280,000
Michael Grenley	Senior Vice President – Merchandising	\$300,000
Lee Cox	Senior Vice President – Retail Operations	\$300,000

11 12

13

15

16

17

7. **Disbursing Agent**

14 The Reorganized Debtors shall act as the disbursing agent for the purpose of making all distributions provided for under the Plan. The Disbursing Agent shall serve without bond and shall receive no compensation for distribution services rendered and expenses incurred pursuant to the Plan.

18 The Disbursing Agent, unless otherwise specified, will make all distributions 19 required under the Plan. The Reorganized Debtors, as Disbursing Agent, will be vested 20 with full authority to take any action or execute any document relating to a conveyance or 21 other transfer that the Debtors could have taken or executed.

22 The Disbursing Agent may employ or contract with other Persons to make or assist 23 with these distributions. Any Person who the Reorganized Debtors employ to assist with 24 distributions will receive from the Reorganized Debtors-on terms approved by the 25 Reorganized Debtors but without further Court approval-reasonable compensation for 26 the distribution services that they render under the Plan and reimbursement of reasonable 27 out-of-pocket expenses that they incur in connection with those services.

28

- 73 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 24 of 62

I. Risk Factors

1

This Section of the Disclosure Statement identifies a number of risks persons reviewing the Plan should take into account in determining whether to accept or reject the Plan. What follows assumes that the Plan is confirmed and the Effective Date occurs. <u>However, the occurrence of the Effective Date of the Plan is subject to a number of</u> conditions, the failure of any one of which may prevent the Effective Date from occurring at all or delay the occurrence of the Effective Date.

1. Risk Related to Plan Securities

a. The Investor Commitment Letter

The Plan funding contemplates a \$10 million Capital Investment will be made by the Investors pursuant to the Investor Commitment Letter. The terms and conditions of the Investor Commitment Letter are set forth in detail at Exhibit 4 to the Plan. If the Investors do not furnish the capital contemplated under the Investor Commitment Letter, the Debtors may not have the ability to make the distributions contemplated under the Plan.

b. Lack of Market

No established market exists for the Preferred Stock, and currently, there is a very limited market for the Holdings Common Stock. The Debtors do not intend to apply to list the securities on any national securities exchange or have them quoted on an inter-dealer quotation system. Accordingly, the Debtors cannot assure the Investors or the holders of the Holdings Common Stock that any market or liquidity for the Preferred Stock or Holdings Common Stock will develop. If a trading market does not develop or is not maintained, the holders may experience difficulty in re-selling their shares. If a market for the Preferred Stock or Holdings Common Stock does develop, that market may be discontinued at any time. General declines in any such market or declines in a market for similar securities may adversely affect the liquidity of, and the trading market for, the Preferred Stock or Holdings Common Stock. These declines may adversely affect the

- 74 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 25 of 62

liquidity and trading market independent of the Reorganized Debtors' financial
 performance and prospect.

c. Dividends

The Reorganized Debtors do not anticipate that any dividends will be paid with respect to the Holdings Common Stock. In addition, the covenants in the Exit Financing or any future indebtedness may limit the Reorganized Debtors' ability to pay dividends.

6 7

3

4

5

d. Transfer Restrictions for Some Holders

8 The Preferred Stock will be issued under an exemption from registration under the 9 Securities Act and applicable state securities laws. The Preferred Stock will not be 10 registered under the Securities Act and, therefore, holders of shares of Preferred Stock 11 may only offer or sell the shares pursuant to an exemption from, or in transactions not 12 subject to, the registration requirements of the Securities Act and applicable state 13 securities laws or pursuant to an effective registration statement.

The Holdings Common Stock is expected to be free of new restrictions on transfer, except that shares held by Persons that are deemed to be "underwriters." as defined in Bankruptcy Code section 1145, may be subject to certain limitations on transfer described below under "Securities Law matters."

18

e.

f.

Potential Dilution of Holdings Common Stock

The issuance of shares of Preferred Stock to the Investors could result in dilution of the equity interests of the holders of Holdings Common Stock, which could adversely affect the value of the Holding's Common Stock. In addition, the Reorganized Debtors may need to issue additional equity securities in the future in order to successfully implement their business plan, if the company does not achieve its projected results or for other reasons, which could lead to further dilution.

25

The Contemplated Rights Offering

Following the Effective Date, the Reorganized Debtors contemplate making a rights offering to the holders of the Holdings Common Stock, with the proceeds of that offering being used to redeem Preferred Stock. The interests to be offered pursuant to the

- 75 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 26 of 62

rights offering will constitute 90% of the economic interests in Holdings following the
offering. The terms and conditions upon which such a rights offering may occur will be
as provided in the Investor Commitment Letter and the definitive agreement executed
pursuant thereto.

2.

5

6

7

8

9

General Factors Affecting the Reorganized Debtors

a. General Economic Slowdown

The Reorganized Debtors are exposed to risks related to the recent slowdown in the global economy, which is due to many factors, including decreased consumer confidence, concerns about inflation, and reduced corporate profits and capital spending. If these weak economic conditions continue or worsen, or if a wider global economic recession materializes, the Reorganized Debtors' business, financial condition, and results of operations may be materially and adversely affected.

b. General Risks of the Retail Industry.

The retail industry, and markets within the retail industry in which the Debtors compete, are subject to various risks, including: adverse changes in general economic conditions; evolving consumer preferences; consumer product liability or employee claims; and the availability and expense of liability insurance.

c. Terrorist Attacks

Terrorist attacks in New York, Washington, DC and Pennsylvania on September 11, 2001 disrupted domestic and international commerce. The continued threat of terrorism, ongoing military action, escalating conflicts, including those between Israel and the Palestinians and India and Pakistan, and heightened security measures in response, may cause significant disruption to commerce throughout the world. The Reorganized Debtors' business and results of operations could be harmed to the extent that this disruption results in reduced traffic in retail malls, a general decrease in spending on consumer footwear, delays in obtaining inventory, or an inability to market effectively and ship product. The Reorganized Debtors are unable to predict whether the threat of

- 76 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 27 of 62

terrorism or the responses to it will result in any long-term commercial disruptions or if
 these activities or responses will have a long-term adverse effect on their business, results
 of operations, or financial condition.

4

3. Specific Risks Associated with Purchaser's Future Operations

Each creditor whose distributions will be funded by ongoing operations of the
Reorganized Debtors, or seeking adequate assurance of the Reorganized Debtors' ability
to perform under its executory contracts and <u>nunexpiredunexpired</u> leases, should
especially analyze and evaluate the risks attendant to the projected operations of the
Reorganized Debtors.

10

a. Competition.

The Debtors operate in highly competitive markets with a significant number of companies of varying size, including divisions or subsidiaries of larger companies. Some competitors have multiple product lines or substantially greater and other resources available to them. Competitive pressures or other factors could cause the Reorgnaized Debtors' products to lose market share or result in significant price erosion, which would have a material adverse effect on the Reorganized Debtors.

17

b. Reliance on Key Personnel

The Reorganized Debtors depend on key personnel and strong personal relationships with their landlords and suppliers, and the loss of their current personnel or failure to hire and retain additional personnel could affect their business negatively. The Reorganized Debtors depend on their ability to attract and retain highly skilled sales, real estate, and managerial personnel. They believe that their future success in procuring and selling quality products and achieving a competitive position will depend in large part on their ability to identify, recruit, hire, train, retain, and motivate highly skilled personnel.

The Reorganized Debtors' success and future prospects ultimately depend largely on the continued contribution of their senior management, including Adrew D. Feshbach, their Chief Executive Officer. The Reorganized Debtors might not be able to find qualified replacements for Mr. Feshbach or other members of the management team if

- 77 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 28 of 62

their services were no longer available. The loss of services of one of one or more of
 them could have a material adverse affect on the Debtors' business, financial condition,
 and results of operations.

4 5

Tax Consequences

c.

4.

Consummation of the Plan will have significant tax consequences that may adversely affect the Reorganized Debtors, as discussed in greater detail below.

7 8

6

Specific Risks Relating to Financial Condition

a. Inherent Uncertainty in Projections

9 The Projections set forth in Exhibit B attached to this Disclosure Statement cover 10 the Reorganized Debtors' operations through fiscal year 2012. These Projections are 11 based on certain assumptions, including confirmation and consummation of the Plan in 12 accordance with its terms, the anticipated future performance of the Reorganized Debtors, 13 industry performance, general business and economic conditions, and other matters, many 14 of which are beyond the Debtors' control and some or all of which may not materialize.

15 In addition, unanticipated events and circumstances occurring after the date hereof 16 may affect the actual financial results of the Debtors' operations. These variations may be 17 material and may adversely affect Reorganized Debtors' ability to make certain 18 distributions under the Plan or to perform under its real estate leases, even as modified, or 19 they may adversely affect the value of the Reorganized Debtors' stock. Because the 20 actual results achieved throughout the periods covered by the projections may vary from 21 the projected results, perhaps significantly, the projections should not be relied upon as a 22 guaranty that the actual results that will occur.

23

b. Reorganized Debtors' Business Plans

The Reorganized Debtors may make changes to their business, operations, and current business plans that may have a material impact on the Reorganized Debtors' future results of operations and the value of the Preferred Stock and the Holdings Common Stock.

28

- 78 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 29 of 62

c.

d.

1

2

6

Reorganized Debtors' Operations Might Not Be Profitable Post-Emergence

Notwithstanding significant restructuring actions undertaken by the Debtors in an
effort to improve their profitability, the Reorganized Debtors' operations might not be
profitable post-reorganization.

Restrictions Imposed by Indebtedness

7 The DIP Facility allowed the Debtors to refinance substantial amounts of 8 prepetition debt. Due to this refinancing, the Debtors have significant indebtedness under 9 the DIP Facility. In addition, the DIP Facility includes restrictive financial covenants that 10 require the Debtors to achieve certain levels of EBITDA. On the Effective Date, the Debtors anticipate replacing the DIP Facility with the Exit Financing. The Exit Financing 11 12 is expected to contain covenants that, among other things and subject to certain 13 exceptions, could require the Reorganized Debtors to satisfy certain financial covenants 14 and could limit the ability of the Reorganized Debtors to (a) incur additional indebtedness, 15 (b) permit subsidiaries to issue debt and/or certain types of preferred stock, (c) pay 16 dividends or make other restricted payments, (d) sell their assets, (e) enter into 17 transactions with certain affiliates, (f) create liens, and (g) enter into sale and leaseback 18 transactions. The ability of the Reorganized Debtors to comply with any of the foregoing 19 provisions may be affected by events beyond their control. The breach of any of these 20 covenants could result in a default or event of default under the Exit Financing, which 21 may result in the entire principal balance becoming immediately due and payable. 22 Accordingly, these anticipated covenants and the potential for adverse affects upon the Reorganized Debtors' ability to finance future operations, potential acquisitions, capital 23 24 needs or to engage in business activities that may be in their interest, may, among other 25 things, hinder or prevent the Reorganized Debtors from (a) responding to changing 26 business and economic conditions, (b) engaging in transactions that might otherwise be 27 considered beneficial, and (c) implementing their business plan. The ultimate terms and 28 conditions of the Exit Financing are subject to the conditions of the financial markets at

- 79 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 30 of 62

the time a commitment is obtained and the conditions contained in any such commitment for the Exit Financing once obtained. These terms and conditions may contain additional or more restrictive covenants than may currently be available. In addition, the interest rate, fees and other economic terms applicable to the Exit Financing are also subject to the conditions of the financial markets. Such interest rate, fees or other economic terms may be higher or more expensive than those currently available.

- J. Other Provisions of the Plan
- 8

7

1. Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases

9

a. Schedule of Assumed Agreements.

10 On the Effective Date, the Reorganized Debtors will assume the executory contracts and unexpired leases (except for any agreements that were previously assumed 11 12 or rejected by Final Order or under Bankruptcy Code Section 365) that are identified on 13 Exhibit 1 to the Plan and Exhibit C hereto (Schedule of Assumed Agreements). On the 14 Effective Date, each of the unexpired leases and executory contracts listed above shall be 15 assumed as obligations of the Reorganized Debtors. The Confirmation Order will 16 constitute a Court order approving the assumption, on the Effective Date, of the executory 17 contracts and unexpired leases then identified on the Schedule of Assumed Agreements.

The Debtors reserve the right to amend the Schedule of Assumed Agreements on or before 14 days before the Confirmation Hearing Date to: (a) delete any executory contract or unexpired lease and provide for its rejection under Section III.A.2; or (b) add any executory contract or unexpired lease and provide for its assumption under this Section. The Debtors will provide notice of any amendment to the Schedule of Assumed Agreements to the party or parties to the executory contracts or unexpired leases affected by the amendment.

25

b. Cure Payments.

The Schedule of Assumed Agreements also identifies any amounts that the Debtors
believe Bankruptcy Code Sections 365(b)(1)(A) or (B) require that the Reorganized
Debtors pay to cure defaults under the executory contracts and unexpired leases to be

- 80 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 31 of 62

assumed under the Plan. The Debtors reserve their rights to amend the Schedules of
 Assumed Agreements, as described in Section III.I.1.a on or before 14 days before the
 Confirmation Hearing Date to modify the cure amount.

4 As required by Bankruptcy Code Section 365(b)(1), any and all monetary defaults 5 under each executory contract and unexpired lease to be assumed under Section III.I.1.a 6 will be satisfied in one of the following two ways: (a) the Disbursing Agent will pay to 7 the non-debtor party to the executory contract or unexpired lease the default amount, as 8 set forth on the Schedules of Assumed Agreements, in cash as soon as reasonably 9 practicable on or after the Effective Date; or (b) the Disbursing Agent will satisfy any 10 other terms that are agreed to by both the Debtors and the non-debtor party to any executory contract or unexpired lease that will be assumed. 11

12

c. Objections to Assumption or Proposed Cure Payments.

13 Any Person who is a party to an executory contract or unexpired lease that will be 14 assumed under the Plan and who either contends that the proposed cure payment specified 15 on the Schedules of Assumed Agreements is incorrect or otherwise objects to the 16 contemplated assumption must File with the Court and serve upon the Debtors and the 17 Debtors' Reorganization Counsel a written statement and supporting declaration stating 18 the basis for its objection. This statement and declaration must be Filed and served by the 19 later of: (a) 21 days before the Confirmation Hearing Date; or (b) 7 days after the Debtors 20 File and serve the Schedule of Assumed Agreements, or any amendment thereto. Any 21 Person who fails to timely File and serve such a statement and declaration will be deemed 22 to waive any and all objections to both the proposed assumption and the proposed cure 23 amount.

24

d. The Cure Reserve.

To the extent a timely-filed objection contends that the cure amount should be different than the Debtors' proposed cure amount in the Schedules of Assumed Agreements, the undisputed portion of such cure amount will be paid as set forth above. On or before the Effective Date, the Debtors will transfer to a segregated Cure Reserve on

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 32 of 62

1 account of any disputed cure amounts an amount to be agreed upon by the Debtor, the 2 objecting party, and the Committee prior to the Effective Date, or such other amount as is 3 determined by the Bankruptcy Court to provide adequate assurance that all cure amounts 4 will be paid in full as contemplated under the Plan, which Cash shall be free and clear of 5 any and all liens asserted by WFRF, and shall be disregarded for purposes of determining 6 availability under the Exit Financing, including, but not limited to, whether the Debtors 7 are able to satisfy the conditions to the Wells Fargo commitment regarding minimum 8 availability. Pending resolution of the cure amount, the Debtors will request a status 9 conference with respect to any outstanding objection approximately 30 to 45 days from 10 the Confirmation Hearing Date. If, at the status conference, the objection has not been resolved to the parties' satisfaction, the Court may then, at the status hearing, set a 11 12 briefing schedule and a further evidentiary or other hearing to resolve the objections on 13 their merits. If a dispute arises regarding: (a) the amount of any proposed cure payments; 14 (b) whether the Debtors have provided adequate assurance of future performance under an executory contract or unexpired lease to be assumed; or (c) any other matter pertaining to 15 16 a proposed assumption, the proposed cure payments will be made within 30 days after 17 entry of a Final Order resolving the dispute and approving the assumption or a Final Order 18 consensually resolving such dispute.

19 20 2.

Rejection of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases

a. Schedule of Rejected Agreements.

21 The Confirmation Order will constitute a Court order approving the rejection, as of 22 the Effective Date, of any and all of the agreements that the Debtors executed before the 23 Petition Date—except for any agreements that were previously assumed or rejected either 24 by a Final Order or under Bankruptcy Code Section 365 or that will be assumed under 25 Section III.I.1.a to the extent that these agreements constitute executory contracts or 26 unexpired leases under Code Section 365. The agreements to be rejected under the Plan 27 include all executory contracts and unexpired leases listed on Exhibit 2 to the Plan and 28 Exhibit D hereto (the Schedule of Rejected Agreements). (Listing an agreement on the

- 82 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 33 of 62

Schedule of Rejected Agreements is not an admission that the agreement is an executory
 contract or unexpired lease or that the Debtors have any liability under the agreement.)

3 The Debtors reserve the right to amend the Schedule of Rejected Agreements on or 4 before 14 days before the Confirmation Hearing Date to: (a) delete any executory contract 5 or unexpired lease and provide for its assumption and assignment under Section III.I.1.a; 6 or (b) add any executory contract or unexpired lease and provide for its rejection under 7 this Section. The Debtors will provide notice of any amendment to the Schedule of 8 Rejected Agreements to the party or parties to the agreement affected by the amendment. 9 The order confirming the Plan shall constitute an order approving the rejection of the lease 10 or contract. If you are a party to a contract or lease to be rejected and you object to the rejection of your contract or lease, you must file and serve your objection to the Plan 11 12 within the deadline for objecting to the confirmation of the Plan, as set forth in the 13 Disclosure Statement.

14

b. Bar Date for Rejection Damage Claims.

15 ANY REJECTED-LEASE ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM OR OTHER CLAIM FOR DAMAGES ARISING FROM THE REJECTION UNDER THE 16 17 PLAN OF AN EXECUTORY CONTRACT OR UNEXPIRED LEASE MUST BE FILED WITH THE COURT AND SERVED UPON THE REORGANIZED 18 19 DEBTORS AND THEIR REORGANIZATION COUNSEL WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THE MAILING OF NOTICE OF ENTRY OF THE CONFIRMATION 20 21 ORDER. Any such damage Claims that are not timely Filed and served will be forever 22 barred and unenforceable against the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, the Estates, and 23 their respective property, and Persons holding these Claims will be barred from receiving 24 any distributions under the Plan on account of their Rejected-Lease Administrative Claims 25 or other damage Claims.

26 27

28

- 83 -

Ca	ase 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 34 of 62	Desc
1	3. Postpetition Contracts and Leases.	
2	Except as expressly provided in the Plan or the Confirmation Order, all contracts,	
3	leases, and other agreements that the Debtors entered into after the Petition Date will	
4	remain in full force and effect after the Confirmation Date and the Effective Date.	
5	4. Changes in Rates Subject to Regulatory Commission Approval	
6	The Debtors are not subject to governmental regulatory commission approval of	
7	their rates.	
8	5. Retention of Jurisdiction.	
9	Notwithstanding the entry of the Confirmation Order or the occurrence of the	
10	Effective Date, the Court will retain jurisdiction over the Debtors' Reorganization Cases	
11	after the Effective Date to the fullest extent provided by law, including, without limitation,	
12	the jurisdiction to:	
13	a) Allow, disallow, determine, liquidate, classify, establish the priority or	
14	secured or unsecured status of, estimate, or limit any Claim or Interest;	
15	b) Grant or deny any and all applications for allowance of compensation or	
16	reimbursement of expenses authorized under the Bankruptcy Code or the	
17	Plan for periods ending on or before the Effective Date;	
18	c) Resolve any motions pending on the Effective Date to assume, assume and	
19 20	assign, or reject any executory contract or unexpired lease to which the	
20 21	Debtors are parties or with respect to which the Debtors may be liable, and	
21 22	to hear, determine, and if necessary, liquidate any and all Claims arising	
22	from such a motion;	
23 24	d) Ensure that distributions to Persons holding Allowed Claims and Allowed	
2 4 25	Interests are accomplished under the Plan provisions;	
25 26	e) Resolve any and all applications, motions, adversary proceedings, and other	
20 27	matters that involve the Debtors and that are pending before the Court on	
28	the Effective Date;	
_0		
	- 84 -	

Ca	ase 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:1 Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 35 of 62	0:46 Desc
1	f) Enter any orders necessary or appropriate to implement, consummate, or	
2	enforce the provisions of the Plan and of all contracts, instruments, releases,	
3	and other agreements or documents entered into under or in connection with	
4	the Plan;	
5	g) Resolve any and all controversies, suits, or issues that may arise either in	
6	connection with the Plan's consummation, interpretation, or enforcement or	
7	in connection with any Person's rights or obligations under the Plan;	
8	h) Under Bankruptcy Code Section 1127, modify the Plan, the Disclosure	
9	Statement, or any contract, instrument, release, or other agreement or	
10	document created in connection with the Plan or Disclosure Statement;	
11	i) Remedy—in any manner necessary and appropriate to consummate the Plan	
12	and to the extent authorized by the Bankruptcy Code—any defect, omission,	
13	or inconsistency in any Court order, the Plan, the Disclosure Statement, or	
14	any contract, instrument, release, or other agreement or document created in	
15	connection with the Plan or Disclosure Statement;	
16	j) Issue injunctions, enter and implement orders, or take any other actions that	
17	may be necessary or appropriate to restrict any Person's interference with	
18	the Plan's consummation or enforcement;	
19	k) Enter and implement any orders that are necessary and appropriate if the	
20	Confirmation Order is for any reason modified, stayed, reversed, revoked, or	
21	vacated;	
22	l) Determine any other matters that may arise in connection with, or relate to,	
23	the Plan, the Disclosure Statement, the Confirmation Order, or any contract,	
24	instrument, release, or other agreement or document created in connection	
25	with the Plan or the Disclosure Statement; and	
26	m) Enter an order closing the Debtors' Reorganization Cases.	
27		
28		
	- 85 -	

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 36 of 62

If the Court abstains from exercising jurisdiction, or is without jurisdiction, over any matter, this Section will not effect, control, prohibit, or limit the exercise of jurisdiction by any other court that has jurisdiction over that matter.

4 K. Tax Consequences of Plan

1.

CREDITORS AND INTEREST HOLDERS CONCERNED WITH HOW THE 5 6 PLAN MAY AFFECT THEIR TAX LIABILITY SHOULD CONSULT WITH THEIR 7 OWN ACCOUNTANTS, ATTORNEYS, AND/OR ADVISORS. The following 8 disclosure of possible tax consequences is intended solely for the purpose of alerting 9 readers about possible tax issues this Plan may present to the Debtors. The Plan 10 Proponents CANNOT and DOES NOT represent that the tax consequences contained below are the only tax consequences of the Plan because the Tax Code embodies many 11 12 complicated rules which make it difficult to state completely and accurately all the tax 13 implications of any action.

14

1

2

3

Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Plan to the Debtors

15 The following is a general summary of certain significant U.S. federal income tax 16 consequences of the Plan to the Debtors and the Holders of certain Claims and Interests. 17 This summary is based upon the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Tax Code"), the Treasury Department regulations promulgated thereunder ("Treasury 18 19 Regulations"), judicial decisions and current administrative rulings and practice as in 20 effect on the date hereof. These authorities are all subject to change at any time by 21 legislative, judicial or administrative action, and such change may be applied retroactively 22 in a manner that could adversely affect Holders of Claims or Interests and the Debtors.

Due to a lack of definitive judicial or administrative authority or interpretation, the complexity of the application of the Tax Code and Treasury Regulations to the implementation of the Plan, the possibility of changes in the law, the differences in the nature of various Claims and Interests and the potential for disputes as to legal and factual matters, the tax consequences discussed below are subject to substantial uncertainties.

28

- 86 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 37 of 62

a.

1

Net Operating Loss Carryover

2 The Debtors, whose tax returns are prepared on a consolidated basis, have an 3 approximately \$17 million net operating loss ("NOL") carryovers from their taxable year 4 ending December 31, 2008 and expect to have additional NOLs for the year ending 5 December 31, 2009. The Debtors believe that under the Plan they may be able to preserve 6 the NOLs. However, there is much uncertainty regarding whether, to what extent, and at 7 what rate, those NOL carryovers will be available to the Reorganized Debtors given the 8 change in ownership provisions of the Tax Code. If the amount of the NOLs or the rate at 9 which the Reorganized Debtors can use the NOLs in the future is limited because of such 10 provisions, the value of those Debtors will be reduced accordingly.

11

b. Realization of Cancellation of Indebtedness Income

Generally, a taxpayer recognizes cancellation of indebtedness ("<u>COD</u>") income upon satisfaction of its outstanding indebtedness for less than its adjusted issue price. The amount of COD income is, in general, the excess of (i) the amount of the indebtedness satisfied, over (ii) the amount of cash and the fair market value of any other consideration (including any new indebtedness issued by the taxpayer or stock of the taxpayer) given in exchange for the indebtedness satisfied.

18 Each of the Debtors generally must include in its gross income the amount of any 19 COD income that is realized during the taxable year. However, COD income is not 20 included in gross income to a debtor if the discharge occurs in a formal Title 11 21 bankruptcy case or when the debtor is insolvent (except with respect to certain discharged 22 intercompany debt which is discussed below). Rather the debtor generally must instead, 23 after determining its tax for the taxable year of discharge, reduce its NOLs and any capital 24 losses and loss carryovers first and then, as of the first day of the next taxable year, reduce 25 the tax basis of its assets by the amount of COD income excluded from gross income. 26 Pursuant to applicable Treasury Regulations, the tax basis of the debtor's assets used in its 27 trade or business or held for investment are to be reduced before reducing the tax basis in 28 the debtor's inventory, accounts receivables or notes. As an exception to the order of

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 38 of 62

1 reduction described above, a taxpayer may elect to reduce its tax basis in its depreciable 2 assets first, then its NOLs. COD income realized from the discharge of intercompany 3 debt is generally not excluded from gross income but rather is offset by a corresponding 4 bad debt deduction to the intercompany lender. The Debtors believe that as a result of the 5 transactions contemplated by the Plan they may realize certain COD income with respect 6 to the reduction in the principal amount of the Notes and as a result of the change in terms 7 (including the waiver of interest) of the Employee Stock Option Notes. Also, if the 8 Debtors elect to prepay those Employee Stock Option Notes at a discount of 20%, it is 9 very uncertain whether any resultant COD income could be excluded from gross income 10 under the favorable rules described above regarding discharges of debt in a Title 11 11 bankruptcy case.

12

Alternative Minimum Tax

c.

13 A corporation generally must pay an alternative minimum tax ("AMT") equal to 20 percent of its alternative minimum taxable income ("AMTI") reduced by certain credits 14 15 allowable for AMT purposes to the extent that the AMT exceeds the tax of the corporation 16 calculated at the normal progressive income tax rates. In calculating the AMTI, a 17 corporation's income and losses are subject to various adjustments. For example, in 18 computing AMTI, a corporation's NOLs are adjusted for the adjustments and preferences 19 under the AMT sections of the Tax Code, and such resulting NOLs cannot be utilized to 20 fully offset the corporation's AMTI (determined before the NOL deduction). However, 21 COD income that is excluded from taxable income under the rules discussed above 22 similarly is excluded from AMTI.

23

24

2. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Plan to Holders of Claims and Interests

The Debtors will withhold distributions provided under the Plan and required by law to be withheld and will comply with all applicable reporting requirements of the Tax Code. Under the Tax Code, interest, dividends and other "reportable payments" may under certain circumstances be subject to "backup withholding". Backup withholding

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 39 of 62

1 generally applies if the Holder (i) fails to furnish his social security number or other 2 taxpayer identification number ("TIN"), (ii) furnishes an incorrect TIN, (iii) fails to report 3 interest or dividends, or (iv) under certain circumstances fails to provide a certified 4 statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that the TIN provided is his correct TIN and 5 the Holder is not subject to backup withholding. Your Ballot contains a place to indicate 6 your TIN. EACH HOLDER OF A CLAIM OR INTEREST IS URGED TO SEEK 7 ADVICE FROM HIS OR HER OWN TAX ADVISOR WITH RESPECT TO THE 8 FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE PLAN AND, IF APPLICABLE, 9 STATE AND LOCAL TAX CONSEQUENCES.

10 L. Securities Law Matters

The securities law considerations detailed below pertain to the issuance by the Reorganized Debtors of the Preferred Stock, which is to be distributed under the Plan, and to the Holdings' Common Stock which is to be Reinstated under the Plan. The Debtors have not filed, and do not intend to file, a registration statement under the Securities Act or any other federal or state securities laws with respect to the issuance of the Preferred Stock or the Reinstatement of the Holdings Common Stock.

17 The issuance by the Reorganized Debtors of the Preferred Stock shall be exempt 18 from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the 19 "Securities Act") by virtue of the exemption provided in Section 4(2) thereunder. The 20 Debtors are relying on this exemption based upon the representations of each Investor 21 that: (a) such Investor understands that the Preferred Stock will not been registered under 22 the Securities Act, (b) such Investor has substantial experience in evaluating and 23 investing in private placement transactions of securities so that the Investor is capable of 24 evaluating the merits and risks of the investment in the Preferred Stock and has the 25 capacity to protect its own interests, and can afford the loss of its investment in the 26 Preferred Stock; (c) such Investor is acquiring the Preferred Stock for his or its own 27 account for investment only, and not with a view towards their sale or distribution, (d) 28 such Investor agrees that the Preferred Stock may not be sold or transferred unless such

- 89 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 40 of 62

Preferred Stock has subsequently been registered under the Securities Act or an exemption
 from registration is available and such shares are sold or otherwise transferred in
 accordance therewith, and (e) such Investor is an "accredited investor" within the meaning
 of Regulation D under the Securities Act.

5 The Preferred Stock will constitute "restricted securities" within the meaning of 6 Rule 144 under the Securities Act and may not be sold, pledged or otherwise disposed of 7 unless it is subsequently registered under the Securities Act and registered or qualified 8 under any applicable state securities laws or unless an exemption from registration is 9 available.

The Debtors do not believe that the Reinstatement of the Holdings Common Stock constitutes a transaction subject to the Securities Act. However, if the Reinstatement of the Holdings Common Stock is deemed to be subject to the Securities Act, the Reorganized Debtors, to the extent set forth herein, will rely on Bankruptcy Code section 1145(a) to exempt from registration under the Securities Act and any applicable state securities laws the offer, any deemed issuance and sale of Holdings Common Stock that may be deemed to be made pursuant to the Plan.

17 Generally, Bankruptcy Code section 1145(a)(1) exempts the offer and sale of 18 securities of the Debtor pursuant to a plan of reorganization from such registration 19 requirements if the following conditions are satisfied: (a) the securities are issued by a 20 debtor (or its affiliate or successor to the debtor) under a plan of reorganization; (b) the 21 recipients of the securities hold a claim against, an interest in, or a claim for an 22 administrative expense against, the debtor; and (c) the securities are issued entirely in exchange for the recipient's claim against or interest in the debtor, or are issued 23 24 "principally" in such exchange and "partly for cash or property." The Debtors believe 25 that, for purposes of Bankruptcy Code section 1145(a)(1), the Reorganized Debtors 26 should be deemed a successor to the Debtors because, among other things, the Debtors' 27 assets will be revesting in the Reorganized Debtors in accordance with the provisions of 28 the Plan. The Debtors maintain that any deemed issuance of the Holdings Common Stock

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 41 of 62

to the holders pursuant to the Plan is exempt from the registration requirements under the
 Securities Act.

3 The Holdings Common Stock, if deemed distributed pursuant to the exemption 4 provided under Bankruptcy Code section 1145 under the Plan, is deemed to have been 5 sold in a "public offering," and therefore may be resold by the holders thereof without 6 restriction, except for any such holder that is deemed to be an "underwriter" as defined in 7 Code section 1145(b)(1) with respect to the Holdings Common Stock. Generally, Code 8 section 1145(b)(1) defines an "underwriter" as any person who (a) purchases a claim 9 against, or an interest in, a debtor with a view towards distribution of any security to be 10 received in exchange for such claim or interest, (b) offers to sell securities issued pursuant to a bankruptcy plan for the holders of such securities, (c) offers to buy securities issued 11 12 pursuant to a bankruptcy plan from persons receiving such securities, if the offer to buy is 13 made with a view towards distribution of such securities, or (d) is an issuer within the meaning of Section 2(11) of the Securities Act. Section 2(11) of the Securities Act 14 15 provides that the term "issuer" includes all persons who, directly or indirectly, through 16 one or more intermediaries, control, or are controlled by, or are under common control 17 with, an issuer of securities. Under Rule 405 of Regulation C under the Securities Act, 18 the term "control" means the possession, direct or indirect, of the Reorganized Debtors to 19 direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of a person, whether through 20 the ownership of voting securities, by contract or otherwise. Accordingly, an officer or 21 director of a reorganized debtor (or its affiliate or successor) under a plan of 22 reorganization may be deemed to "control" such debtor (and therefore be an underwriter 23 for purposes of Code section 1145), particularly if such management position is coupled 24 with the ownership of a significant percentage of a debtor's (or its affiliate's or successor's) 25 voting securities. Any person that is an "underwriter" but not an "issuer" with respect to 26 an issue of securities is entitled to engage in exempt "ordinary trading transactions" within 27 the meaning of Code section 1145(b).

28

Holders of such securities who are deemed to be "underwriters" within the meaning

- 91 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 42 of 62

1 of Code section 1145(b)(1) or who may otherwise be deemed to be "underwriters" of, or 2 to exercise "control" over, the Reorganized Debtors within the meaning of Rule 405 of 3 Regulation C under the Securities Act should, assuming all other conditions of Rule 144A 4 are met, be entitled to avail themselves of the safe harbor resale provisions thereof. Rule 5 144A, promulgated under the Securities Act, provides a non-exclusive safe harbor 6 exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act for resales to certain 7 "qualified institutional buyers" of securities which are not securities of the same class of 8 securities then listed on a national securities exchange (registered as such under Section 6 9 of the Exchange Act) or quoted in a U.S. automated interdealer quotation system (e.g., 10 NASDAQ). Under Rule 144A, a "qualified institutional buyer" is defined to include, among other persons (e.g., "dealers" registered as such pursuant to Section 15 of the 11 12 Exchange Act and "banks" as defined in Section 3(a)(2) of the Securities Act), any entity 13 which purchases securities for its own account or for the account of another qualified 14 institutional buyer and which (in the aggregate) owns and invests on a discretionary basis 15 at least \$100 million in the securities of unaffiliated issuers.

16 Holders of Holdings Common Stock distributed under the Plan who may be 17 deemed to be "underwriters" within the meaning of Code section 1145(b)(1), and persons 18 who are affiliates of the Reorganized Debtors, may also be able to sell such securities 19 pursuant to the safe harbor resale provisions of Rule 144 promulgated under the Securities 20 Act. Generally, such persons may resell their securities if, among other things, the 21 conditions of such Rule relating to volume limitations, manner of sale, and availability of 22 current information about the issuer, are satisfied. Such persons will not be subject to the 23 holding period requirements of Rule 144 since the securities to be received under the Plan 24 will not be deemed "restricted securities" within the meaning of Rule 144.

IN VIEW OF THE COMPLEX, SUBJECTIVE NATURE OF THE QUESTION
OF WHETHER A HOLDER OF THE HOLDINGS COMMON STOCK MAY BE AN
UNDERWRITER OR AN AFFILIATE OF THE REORGANIZED DEBTORS, THE
DEBTORS MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS CONCERNING THE RIGHT OF ANY

- 92 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 43 of 62

SUCH PERSON TO TRADE IN ANY HOLDINGS COMMON STOCK DEEMED TO
 BE DISTRIBUTED PURSUANT TO THE PLAN. ACCORDINGLY, THE DEBTORS
 RECOMMEND THAT THE PERSONS HOLDING HOLDINGS COMMON STOCK
 CONSULT THEIR OWN COUNSEL CONCERNING WHETHER THEY MAY
 FREELY TRADE SUCH SECURITIES.

6 At the Confirmation Hearing, the Debtors will request that the exemption from the 7 requirements of Section 5 of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77e, and any state or local 8 law requiring registration or qualification for the offer or sale of a security, provided 9 under Code section 1145 shall apply to any deemed issuance by the Reorganized Debtors 10 of Holdings Preferred Stock and any deemed distribution of such securities by the 11 Reorganized Debtors pursuant to the Plan.

IV.

CONFIRMATION REQUIREMENTS AND PROCEDURES

PERSONS OR ENTITIES CONCERNED WITH CONFIRMATION OF THIS PLAN SHOULD CONSULT WITH THEIR OWN ATTORNEYS BECAUSE THE LAW ON CONFIRMING A PLAN OF REORGANIZATION IS VERY COMPLEX. The following discussion is intended solely for the purpose of alerting readers about basic confirmation issues, which they may wish to consider, as well as certain deadlines for filing claims. The Plan Proponents CANNOT and DOES NOT represent that the discussion contained below is a complete summary of the law on this topic.

Many requirements must be met before the Court can confirm a Plan. Some of the requirements include that the Plan must be proposed in good faith, acceptance of the Plan, whether the Plan pays creditors at least as much as creditors would receive in a Chapter 7 liquidation, and whether the Plan is feasible. These requirements are <u>not</u> the only requirements for confirmation.

26 27

12

13

28

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 44 of 62 Who May Vote or Object 1 A. 2 Who May Object to Confirmation of the Plan 1. 3 Any party in interest may object to the confirmation of the Plan in the event that 4 their rights are affected, but as explained below not everyone is entitled to vote to accept 5 or reject the Plan. 6 2. Who May Vote to Accept/Reject the Plan 7 A creditor or interest holder has a right to vote for or against the Plan if that 8 creditor or interest holder has a claim which is both (1) allowed or allowed for voting 9 purposes and (2) classified in an impaired class. 10 What Is an Allowed Claim/Interest a. As noted above, a creditor or interest holder must first have an allowed claim or 11 12 interest to have the right to vote. Generally, any proof of claim or interest will be allowed, 13 unless a party in interest brings a motion objecting to the claim. When an objection to a 14 claim or interest is filed, the creditor or interest holder holding the claim or interest cannot 15 vote unless the Court, after notice and hearing, either overrules the objection or allows the 16 claim or interest for voting purposes. 17 THE DEBTORS HAVE REQUESTED THAT THE BAR DATE FOR FILING A PROOF OF CLAIM IN THIS CASE BE ESTABLISHED AS MARCH 3. The Court has 18 19 established a general Bar Date of April 20, 2010. A creditor or interest holder may have 20 an allowed claim or interest even if a proof of claim or interest was not timely filed. A 21 claim is deemed allowed if (1) it is scheduled on the Debtors' schedules and such claim is not scheduled as disputed, contingent, or unliquidated, and (2) no party in interest has 22 23 objected to the claim. An interest is deemed allowed if it is scheduled and no party in 24 interest has objected to the interest. 25 What Is an Impaired Claim/Interest b. 26 As noted above, an allowed claim or interest only has the right to vote if it is in a 27 class that is impaired under the Plan. A class is impaired if the Plan alters the legal, 28 equitable, or contractual rights of the members of that class. For example, a class - 94 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 45 of 62

comprised of general unsecured claims is impaired if the Plan fails to pay the members of
 that class 100% of what they are owed.

3 In this case, the Plan Proponents believe that classes $\frac{2}{2}$, $\frac{10}{2}$ and $\frac{11}{10}$ are impaired 4 and that holders of claims in each of these classes are therefore entitled to vote to accept 5 or reject the Plan. The Plan Proponents believe that classes 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12 and 6 13 are unimpaired and that holders of claims in each of these classes therefore do not have 7 the right to vote to accept or reject the Plan. Parties who dispute the Plan Proponents' 8 characterization of their claim or interest as being impaired or unimpaired may file an 9 objection to the Plan contending that the Plan Proponents have incorrectly characterized 10 the class.

11

3.

Who is <u>Not</u> Entitled to Vote

12 The following four types of claims are not entitled to vote: (1) claims that have 13 been disallowed; (2) claims in unimpaired classes; (3) claims entitled to priority pursuant to Code sections 507(a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(8); and (4) claims in classes that do not receive 14 15 or retain any value under the Plan. Claims in unimpaired classes are not entitled to vote 16 because such classes are deemed to have accepted the Plan. Claims entitled to priority 17 pursuant to Code sections 507(a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(7) are not entitled to vote because 18 such claims are not placed in classes and they are required to receive certain treatment 19 specified by the Bankruptcy Code. Claims in classes that do not receive or retain any 20 value under the Plan do not vote because such classes are deemed to have rejected the 21 Plan. EVEN IF YOUR CLAIM IS OF THE TYPE DESCRIBED ABOVE, YOU MAY 22 STILL HAVE A RIGHT TO OBJECT TO THE CONFIRMATION OF THE PLAN.

4.

Who Can Vote in More Than One Class

A creditor whose claim has been allowed in part as a secured claim and in part as an unsecured claim is entitled to accept or reject a Plan in both capacities by casting one ballot for the secured part of the claim and another ballot for the unsecured claim.

27 28

23

24

25

26

- 95 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 46 of 62

5.

7.

Votes Necessary to Confirm the Plan

If impaired classes exist, the Court cannot confirm the Plan unless (1) at least one impaired class has accepted the Plan without counting the votes of any insiders within that class, and (2) all impaired classes have voted to accept the Plan, unless the Plan is eligible to be confirmed by "cramdown" on non-accepting classes, as discussed later in Section [IV.A.8.].

6 7

1

2

3

4

5

6. Votes Necessary for a Class to Accept the Plan

A class of claims is considered to have accepted the Plan when more than one-half (1/2) in number and at least two-thirds (2/3) in dollar amount of the claims which actually voted, voted in favor of the Plan. A class of interests is considered to have accepted the Plan when at least two-thirds (2/3) in amount of the interest-holders of such class which actually voted, voted to accept the Plan.

13

Treatment of Nonaccepting Classes

As noted above, even if all impaired classes do not accept the proposed Plan, the 14 15 Court may nonetheless confirm the Plan if the nonaccepting classes are treated in the 16 manner required by the Bankruptcy Code. The process by which nonaccepting classes are 17 forced to be bound by the terms of the Plan is commonly referred to as "cramdown." The 18 Bankruptcy Code allows the Plan to be "crammed down" on nonaccepting classes of 19 claims or interests if it meets all consensual requirements except the voting requirements 20 of 1129(a)(8) and if the Plan does not "discriminate unfairly" and is "fair and equitable" 21 toward each impaired class that has not voted to accept the Plan as referred to in 11 U.S.C. 22 § 1129(b) and applicable case law.

23

24

8. Request for Confirmation Despite Nonacceptance by Impaired Class(es)

The party proposing this Plan asks <u>the Court</u> to confirm this Plan by cramdown on
impaired Classes 10, 11 and 12 if any of these classes do<u>Class</u> 10 if this class does not
vote to accept the Plan.

28

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 47 of 62

Please note that the proposed Plan treatment described by this Disclosure
 Statement cannot be crammed down on the following classes: Class 2. AS A RESULT,
 IF CLASS 2 DOES <u>NOT</u> VOTE TO ACCEPT THE PLAN, THE PLAN WILL <u>NOT</u> BE
 CONFIRMED.

B. Liquidation Analysis

5

6 Another confirmation requirement is the "Best Interest Test", which requires a 7 liquidation analysis. Under the Best Interest Test, if a claimant or interest holder is in an 8 impaired class and that claimant or interest holder does not vote to accept the Plan, then 9 that claimant or interest holder must receive or retain under the Plan property of a value 10 not less than the amount that such holder would receive or retain if the Debtors were 11 liquidated under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code.

In a Chapter 7 case, the Debtors' assets are usually sold by a Chapter 7 trustee. Secured creditors are paid first from the sales proceeds of properties on which the secured creditor has a lien. Administrative claims are paid next. Next, unsecured creditors are paid from any remaining sales proceeds, according to their rights to priority. Unsecured creditors with the same priority share in proportion to the amount of their allowed claim in relationship to the amount of total allowed unsecured claims. Finally, interest holders receive the balance that remains after all creditors are paid, if any.

19 For the Court to be able to confirm this Plan, the Court must find that all creditors 20 and interest holders who do not accept the Plan will receive at least as much under the 21 Plan as such holders would receive under a Chapter 7 liquidation. The Plan Proponents 22 maintain that this requirement is met here for the following reasons: The plan provides 23 for the payment of 100% of all Allowed Priority and General Unsecured Claims as well as 24 100% of all Allowed Administrative Claims and Allowed Reclamation Claims in these 25 Reorganization. The Plan also provides for WFRF to receive 100% of its Allowed Class 1 26 Clams and 95% of the Noteholders' Allowed Class 2 Claims. Allowed Secured Claims. 27 Under a Chapter 7 liquidation, unsecured creditors would receive 0% after payment of all 28 administrative expenses/claims and payment of secured claims.

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 48 of 62

1 Below is a demonstration, in balance sheet format, that all creditors and interest 2 holders will receive at least as much under the Plan as such creditor or interest holder 3 would receive under a Chapter 7 liquidation. (See Exhibit D for a detailed explanation of 4 how the following assets are valued. This information is provided by the Debtors' 5 financial advisor, The Clear Thinking Group, based on information provided by the 6 Debtors' management.

7	ASSETS VALUE AT LIQUIDATION VALUES:	
8	CURRENT ASSETS	
9	a. Cash on hand \$1,9	98,000
	b. Accounts receivable	
0 1	c. Inventories \$23,1	00,000
2	TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS \$25,0	98,000
3	FIXED ASSETS	
ŀ	a. Furniture, Fixtures & Equipment (Net of Recovery Fee \$1,4 of \$350,135)	00,53
5		00,53
,		
1	OTHER ASSETS	
3	a. Intellectual Property (Trademarks, URL's, etc.) \$7	50,00
)	TOTAL OTHER ASSETS \$7	50,00
	TOTAL ASSETS AT LIQUIDATION VALUE \$27,2	48,53
2	Less:	
3	Secured creditor's recovery \$14,5	
)	Secured Bondholders \$19,4	
ŀ	Less: $\$1,5$ Chapter 7 trustee fees and expenses $\$$	62,42
5	Less:	
5		00,00
,	Less: Chapter 11 503(b)9 claims \$3,0	82,00
		,

28

 $\frac{98}{98}$ Includes operating expenses incurred in conducting an orderly liquidation.

- 98 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 49 of 62

1 2

Redille - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 49 01 62				
Less:				
Priority Admin claims		\$1,000,000		
(1) Balance for unsecur	ed claims	-0-		
(2) Total amt of unsecu	red claims	\$41,856,000		
 % OF THEIR CLAIMS WHICH UNSECURED CREDITORS WOULD RECEIVE OR RETAIN IN A CH. 7 LIQUIDATION¹⁰: =0% % OF THEIR CLAIMS WHICH UNSECURED CREDITORS WILL RECEIVE OR RETAIN UNDER THIS PLAN: =100% Below is a demonstration, in tabular format, that all creditors and interest holders will receive at least as much under the Plan as such creditor or holder would receive under a Chapter 7 liquidation. 				
CLAIMS & CLASSES ⁸⁵	<u>PAYOUT PERCENTAGE</u> <u>UNDER THE PLAN</u>	<u>PAYOUT</u> <u>PERCENTAGE IN</u> <u>CHAPTER 7</u> LIQUIDATION		
Administrative Claims	100%	98%		
Priority Tax Claims	100%	100%		
Class 1 – WFRF's Secured Claims Against the Debtors Under the Prepetition Credit Facility and DIP Facility	100%	100%		
Class 2 – The Noteholders' Secured Claims Against the Debtors Under the Notes	95%	0%		

24 25 26

27

28

21

22

23

Class 3 – Other Secured

Class 4 - Reclamation

Class 5 – Priority

Unsecured Claims Class 6 – Atchinson

Unsecured Claims

Claims

Claims

¹⁰ Note: If this percentage is greater than the amount to be paid to the unsecured creditors on a "present value basis" under the Plan, the Plan is not confirmable unless Plan Proponents obtain acceptance by every creditor in the general unsecured class.

0%

100%

100%

0%

- 99 -

100%

100%

100%

100%

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 50 of 62

CLAIMS & CLAS	SES ⁸⁵ PAYOUT PERC <u>UNDER THE</u>	
Class 7 – General Unsecured Claims-E	Big Dog	0%
Class 8 – General Unsecured Claims-T	WC 100%	0%
Class 9 – General Unsecured Claims-H	Ioldings 100%	0%
Class 10 – Intercom Claims	pany 100%	0%
Class 11 – HoldCo's Existing Common S	100%	0%
Class 12 – TWC Exi Common Stock	100%	0%
Class 13 – Big Dogs Existing Common S		0%

C. Feasibility

Another requirement for confirmation involves the feasibility of the Plan, which means that confirmation of the Plan is not likely to be followed by the liquidation, or the need for further financial reorganization, of the Debtors or any successor to the Debtors under the Plan, unless such liquidation or reorganization is proposed in the Plan.

There are at least two important aspects of a feasibility analysis. The first aspect considers whether the Debtors will have enough cash on hand on the Effective Date of the Plan to pay all the claims and expenses which are entitled to be paid on such date. The Plan Proponents maintain that this aspect of feasibility is satisfied as illustrated here:

Cash Debtors will have on hand by Effective Date	<u>\$11,587,500</u>
To Pay: Administrative claims ^{44<u>10</u>}	<u>\$ 2,000,000</u>
To Pay: Statutory costs & charges (US Trustee expenses)	<u>\$ 32,275</u>
To Pay: Other Plan Payments due on Effective Date ¹¹ ¹² ¹³	<u>\$ 8,100,000</u>

The Debtors will pay administrative claims of \$2,000,000 which \$1,587,500 represent professional fees held in Trust. All other administrative claims are being paid in the ordinary course of business

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 51 of 62

Balance after paying these amounts

1

28

\$ 1,455,225

The proceeds of the Exit Financing will be used to refinance the Debtors' obligations under the Prepetition Credit Facility and the DIP Obligations upon the Effective Date and to provide financing for working capital, issuance of letters of credit, capital expenditures, and other general corporate purposes of the Reorganized Debtors. Borrowings under the Exit Facility will be repaid from the Reorganized Debtors' cash from operations.

8 The second aspect considers whether the Plan Proponents will have enough cash9 over the life of the Plan to make the required Plan payments.

The Plan Proponents have provided financial statements which include both
historical and projected financial information. Please refer to Exhibit B for the relevant
financial statements YOU ARE ADVISED TO CONSULT WITH YOUR
ACCOUNTANT OR FINANCIAL ADVISOR IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS
PERTAINING TO THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Exhibit E provides financial projections for the Reorganized Debtors, including projected balanced sheets, cash flow statements, and income and expenses statements (collectively, the "Projections"). The Projections project financial information on an annual basis for fiscal years 2010 - 2012.

The Projections have been prepared by or under the direction of the Debtors. To the best of the Debtors' knowledge, the projections present the expected financial results of the Reorganized Debtors for the periods projected, subject to the various assumptions set forth therein. Readers are urged to review carefully all of the notes and assumptions including the projections and to consult with their own financial and legal advisors

¹² Debtor will pay Allowed Administrative and Priority Claim and Allowed General Unsecured Claims of approximately \$8.1 million within 30 days of the Effective Date, followed by monthly payments over primarily the next 12 months totaling ~ \$2.9 million.

- 101 -

 ¹¹ Debtor will pay Allowed Administrative and Priority Claim and Allowed General Unsecured Claims of approximately \$8.1 million within 30 days of the Effective Date, followed by monthly payments over primarily the next 12 months totaling ~ \$2.9 million.

H312 Debtor will pay Kayne Anderson Capital Advisors a \$2.5 million advisory fee to be paid after the plan effective date. Payment is anticipated to be the earlier of the closing of the rights offering or January 31, 2011.

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 52 of 62

1 regarding the same.

2 The Projections are based upon a variety of estimates and assumptions, which 3 though considered reasonable at the time they were prepared, may not be realized and are 4 inherently subject to significant business, economic, and competitive uncertainties and 5 contingencies, many of which are beyond the Debtors' control. The Debtors caution that 6 no representations can be made as to the accuracy of the projections or Purchaser's ability 7 to achieve the projected or illustrated results. Some assumptions inevitably will not 8 materialize, and events and circumstances occurring after the date on which the 9 projections were prepared, but which were not then known to the Debtors, may differ 10 materially from those assumed. The Projections therefore may not be relied upon as a 11 guarantee or other assurance of the actual results that will occur.

The Debtors do not, as a matter of course, publish their business plans and strategies or projections or their anticipated financial position or results of operations. Accordingly, the Debtors do not intend to, and disclaims any obligation to, furnish updated business plans or projections of Purchaser at any time prior to or after the Effective Date. To assist the reader to understand the Debtors' recent operating performance, Exhibit B includes the Debtors' unaudited income statement and balance sheet as of December 31, 2009.

In summary, the Plan proposes to pay 100% of all unsecured creditors of its claims
and 100% of all administrative expenses/claims in the case. As Debtors' financial
projections demonstrate, Debtors will have an average cash flow, after paying operating
expenses and post-confirmation taxes, of more than \$8 million each year for the life of the
Plan. The final Plan payment is expected to be paid on May 11, 2011. The Plan
Proponents contend that Debtors' financial projections are feasible.

As shown by Debtors' historical financial statements, the Debtors' average yearly cash flow, after paying operating expenses and post-confirmation taxes, in the three years preceding the filing of these Reorganization Cases has been approximately \$1.7 million. The Debtors' average monthly cash flow, after paying operating expenses and post-

- 102 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 53 of 62

confirmation taxes, during the Reorganization Cases is approximately \$450,000.
 Furthermore, as discussed at length earlier in the Disclosure Statement at Section II., the
 Debtors have implemented procedures to decrease costs.

v.

EFFECT OF CONFIRMATION OF PLAN

A. Discharge

4

5 6

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7 This Plan provides that upon the Effective Date, Debtors shall be discharged of 8 liability for payment of debts incurred before confirmation of the Plan, to the extent 9 specified in 11 U.S.C. § 1141. Any liability imposed by the Plan will not be discharged. 10 However, this Plan provides that upon the Effective Date, the Debtors will be discharged of liability for payment of debts incurred before confirmation of the Plan, to the extent 11 12 specified in 11 U.S.C.§ 1141. The rights afforded under the Plan and the treatment of 13 Claims and Interests under the Plan will be in exchange for-and in complete satisfaction, 14 discharge, and release of—all Claims and Interests of any nature whatsoever (including, 15 without limitation, any interest accrued on Claims from and after the Petition Date except 16 as such interested is expressly provided for under the Plan) against the Debtors, the 17 Reorganized Debtors, the Estates, or their property. Except as otherwise provided in the 18 Plan or the Confirmation Order:

a) On the Effective Date, the Debtors, the Estates, the Reorganized Debtors, and their property will, to the fullest extent permitted by Bankruptcy Code Section 1141, be deemed discharged and released from all Claims and Interests including, without limitation, demands, liabilities, Claims, and Interests that arose before the Confirmation Date and all debts of the kind specified in Bankruptcy Code Sections 502(g), 502(h), or 502(i) regardless of whether: (1) a proof of Claim or proof of Interest based on such a debt or Interest is Filed or deemed Filed; (2) a Claim or Interest based on such a debt or Interest is allowable under Bankruptcy Code Section 502; or (3) the

- 103 -

Ca	ase 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14 Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 54 of 62	1:10:46	Desc
1	Person holding the Claim or Interest based on such a debt or Interest has		
2	accepted the Plan; and		
3	b) All Persons will be precluded from asserting against the Debtors, the		
4	Estates, the Reorganized Debtors, or their property any other or further		
5	Claims or Interests based upon any act or omission, transaction, or other		
6	activity of any kind that occurred before the Confirmation Date.		
7	B. Injunction		
8	Except as otherwise provided in the Plan or the Confirmation Order, commencing		
9	on the Effective Date, all Persons who have held, currently hold, or may hold a debt,		
10	Claim, or Interest discharged under the Plan are permanently enjoined from taking any of		
11	the following actions on account of that discharged debt, Claim, or Interest:		
12	a) Commencing or continuing in any manner any action or other proceeding		
13	against the Debtors, the Estates, the Reorganized Debtors, or their property;		
14	b) Enforcing, attaching, collecting, or recovering in any manner any judgment,		
15	award, decree, or order against the Debtors, the Estates, the Reorganized		
16	Debtors, or their property;		
17	c) Creating, perfecting, or enforcing any lien or encumbrance against the		
18	Debtors, the Estates, the Reorganized Debtors, or their property;		
19	d) Commencing or continuing any action, in any manner or in any place, which		
20	does not comply with or is inconsistent with the Plan provisions or the		
21	Confirmation Order.		
22	Any Person injured by a willful violation of this injunction is entitled to recover		
23	from the violator actual damages (including, without limitation, costs and attorneys' fees)		
24	and, in appropriate circumstances, punitive damages.		
25	C. Revesting of Property in the Debtors		
26	Except as otherwise provided in the Plan or in any agreements contemplated under		
27	the Plan, the confirmation of the Plan revests all of the property of the Estates in the		
28	Reorganized Debtors free and clear of all Claims, liens, encumbrances, or Interests.		
	- 104 -		

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 55 of 62

Commencing on the Effective Date, the Reorganized Debtors may operate their business
 and use, acquire, or dispose of property or settle or compromise Claims or Interests
 without Court supervision and free of any restrictions imposed by the Bankruptcy Code or
 Bankruptcy Rules, other than those restrictions that the Plan or Confirmation Order
 expressly impose on the Reorganized Debtors..

D. Modification of Plan

Subject to the restrictions set forth in Bankruptcy Code Section 1127, the
Reorganized Debtors reserve the right to alter, amend, or modify the Plan before it is
substantially consummated. The Court may require a new disclosure statement and/or
revoting on the Plan if the Proponent modifies the plan before confirmation. The Debtors
may also seek to modify the Plan at any time after confirmation so long as (a) the Plan has
not been substantially consummated <u>and</u> (b) the Court authorizes the proposed
modifications after notice and a hearing.

14

6

E. Dissolution of the Committee.

15 The Committee shall dissolve on the Effective Date, and the members of the 16 Committee and counsel for the Committee will be released and discharged from all rights 17 and duties arising from or related to these Reorganization Cases except for their duties 18 regarding final applications for compensation. Neither the professionals retained by the 19 Committee nor the Committee members will be entitled to compensation or 20 reimbursement of expenses for any services rendered or expenses incurred after the 21 Effective Date, except for services or expenses relating to their applications for 22 compensation that were pending on the Effective Date or that were timely Filed after the 23 Effective Date.

24 **F.** Post-Confirmation Status Report

Within 120 days of the entry of the order confirming the Plan, Plan Proponents shall file a status report with the Court explaining what progress has been made toward consummation of the confirmed Plan. The status report shall be served on the United States Trustee, the twenty largest unsecured creditors, and those parties who have

- 105 -

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 56 of 62

requested special notice. Further status reports shall be filed every 120 days and served
 on the same entities.

G. Quarterly Fees

Quarterly fees accruing under 28 U.S.C. § 1930(a)(6) to date of confirmation shall
be paid to the United States Trustee on or before the effective date of the Plan. Quarterly
fees accruing under 28 U.S.C. § 1930(a)(6) after confirmation shall be paid to the United
States Trustee in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1930(a)(6) until entry of a final decree, or
entry of an order of dismissal or conversion to chapter 7.

9

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

3

H. Post-Confirmation Conversion/Dismissal

A creditor or party in interest may bring a motion to convert or dismiss the case under § 1112(b), after the Plan is confirmed, if there is a default in performing the Plan. If the Court orders the case converted to Chapter 7 after the Plan is confirmed, then all property that had been property of the Chapter 11 Estates and that has not been disbursed pursuant to the Plan, will revest in the Chapter 7 Estates. The automatic stay will be reimposed upon the revested property, but only to the extent that relief from stay was not previously authorized by the Court during these Reorganization Cases.

The order confirming the Plan may also be revoked under very limited
circumstances. The Court may revoke the order if the order of confirmation was procured
by fraud and if the party in interest brings an adversary proceeding to revoke confirmation
within 180 days after the entry of the order of confirmation.

21 I. Final Decree

Once the Estates have been fully administered as referred to in Bankruptcy Rule 3022, the Plan Proponents, or other party as the Court shall designate in the Plan Confirmation Order, shall file a motion with the Court to obtain a final decree to close the Cases.

VI.

RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION

The Debtors believe that Plan confirmation and implementation are preferable to

- 106 -

Ca	Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed Redline - Part 2 (Page			Desc
1	any feasible alternative because the Plan will provide	e entities hold	ing Claims and Interests	
2	2 with substantially greater recoveries than the alter	cordingly, the Debtors		
3	3 urge entities who hold impaired Claims and Int	terest to vote	to accept the Plan by	
4	checking the box marked "Accept" on their Ballo	ots and then r	eturning the Ballots to	
5	the Debtors as directed in the Plan and Disclosure	<u>e Statement.</u>		
6				
7			pany Holdings, Inc. pany, and Big	
8		g USA, Inc	.p	
9				
10	By	Andrew D.	Feshbach	
11			utive Officer	
12	SUBMITTED BY:			
13				
14	/s/ Mette H. Kurth H Mette H. Kurth, Reorganization Counsel for the Debtors			
15	ARENT FOX LLP 555 West Fifth Street, 48th Floor			
16				
17	7			
18	3			
19				
20)			
21				
22	2			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	3			
	- 107 -			

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 58 of 62

Document comparison done by DeltaView on Wednesday, March 17, 2010 12:15:50 PM

Input:	
Document 1	file://C:/Documents and
	Settings/kirklanl/Desktop/ORIGINAL.doc
Document 2	file://C:/Documents and
	Settings/kirklanl/Desktop/MODIFIED.doc
Rendering set	Standard no moves

Legend:				
Insertion				
Deletion				
Moved from				
Moved to				
Style change				
Format change				
Moved deletion				
Inserted cell				
Deleted cell				
Moved cell				
Split/Merged cell				
Padding cell				

Statistics:			
	Count		
Insertions	70		
Deletions	69		
Moved from	0		
Moved to	0		
Style change	0		
Format changed	1		
Total changes	140		

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 59 of 62

In re: THE WALKING COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, d/b/a Alan's Shoes, Footworks, Overland Trading Co., Sole Outdoors, and Martini Shoes; f/k/a TWC Acquisition Corporation; BIG DOG USA, INC., a California corporation, d/b/a Big Dog Sportswear; f/k/a Fortune Dogs, Inc.; and THE WALKING COMPANY HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Big Dog Holdings, Inc. and 190th Shelf Corporation, Debtor(s).

CHAPTER: 11

CASE NUMBER: 9:09-bk-15138-RR

[Jointly Administered with Case Nos. 9:09-bk-15137-RR and 9:09-bk-15139-RR]

NOTE: When using this form to indicate service of a proposed order, **DO NOT** list any person or entity in Category I. Proposed orders do not generate an NEF because only orders that have been entered are placed on the CM/ECF docket.

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: Arent Fox, LLP, 555 West Fifth Street, 48th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90013-1065

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document described as **NOTICE OF FILING OF REDLINE OF DEBTORS' SECOND AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FOR DEBTORS' SECOND AMENDED JOINT CHAPTER 11 PLAN (DATED MARCH 9, 2010)** will be served or was served **(a)** on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and **(b)** in the manner indicated below:

I. <u>TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING ("NEF")</u> – Pursuant to controlling General Order(s) and Local Bankruptcy Rule(s) ("LBR"), the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On <u>March 17, 2010</u> checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following person(s) are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email address(es) indicated below:

 \boxtimes Service information continued on attached page

II. SERVED BY U.S. MAIL OR OVERNIGHT MAIL(indicate method for each person or entity served):

On <u>March 17, 2010</u> I served the following person(s) and/or entity(ies) at the last known address(es) in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States Mail, first class, postage prepaid, and/or with an overnight mail service addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge <u>will be</u> completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

Service information continued on attached page

III. <u>SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (indicate method for each person or entity served)</u>: Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on <u>March</u>, <u>2010</u> I served the following person(s) and/or entity(ies) by personal delivery, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on the judge <u>will be</u> completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

Service information continued on attached page

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

March 17, 2010	Adriane Lark Madkin	/s/ Adriane Lark Madkin
Date	Type Name	Signature

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 60 of 62

In re: THE WALKING COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, d/b/a Alan's Shoes, Footworks, Overland Trading Co., Sole Outdoors, and Martini Shoes; f/k/a TWC Acquisition Corporation; BIG DOG USA, INC., a California corporation, d/b/a Big Dog Sportswear; f/k/a Fortune Dogs, Inc.; and THE WALKING COMPANY HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Big Dog Holdings, Inc. and 190th Shelf Corporation, Debtor(s). CHAPTER: 11

CASE NUMBER: 9:09-bk-15138-RR [Jointly Administered with Case Nos. 9:09-bk-15137-RR and 9:09-bk-15139-RR]

I. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING ("NEF")

Craig H Averch on behalf of Creditor The Ad Hoc Committee of The Walking Company Holdings, Inc. Noteholders caverch@whitecase.com

Lawrence Bass on behalf of Interested Party Gart Capital Partners lbass@faegre.com

William C Beall on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF artyc@aol.com

Shirley Cho on behalf of Creditor Committee Official Committee Of Unsecured Creditors scho@pszjlaw.com

Emily R Culler on behalf of Interested Party Genesco, Inc. eculler@omm.com

Lawrence A Diamant on behalf of Interested Party Kahala Mall lad@lnbrb.com, katie@lnbrb.com

Denise Diaz on behalf of Debtor The Walking Company Holdings, Inc. Denise.Diaz@rmsna.com

Robert K Edmunds on behalf of Interested Party Huntington National Bank robert.edmunds@bipc.com, timothy.palmer@bipc.com;jacqueline.forjais@bipc.com

Belkys Escobar on behalf of Creditor County of Loudoun belkys.escobar@loudoun.gov

Brian D Fittipaldi on behalf of U.S. Trustee United States Trustee (ND) brian.fittipaldi@usdoj.gov

Todd R Gabriel on behalf of Creditor Seaport Village Operating Company tgabriel@sparberlaw.com

Brian D Huben on behalf of Creditor Crossgates Mall Company NewCo, LLC brian.huben@kattenlaw.com, carole.levine@kattenlaw.com;donna.carolo@kattenlaw.com;laura.nefsky@kattenlaw.com

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 61 of 62

In re: THE WALKING COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, d/b/a Alan's Shoes, Footworks, Overland Trading Co., Sole Outdoors, and Martini Shoes; f/k/a TWC Acquisition Corporation; BIG DOG USA, INC., a California corporation, d/b/a Big Dog Sportswear; f/k/a Fortune Dogs, Inc.; and THE WALKING COMPANY HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Big Dog Holdings, Inc. and 190th Shelf Corporation, Debtor(s). CHAPTER: 11

CASE NUMBER: 9:09-bk-15138-RR [Jointly Administered with Case Nos. 9:09-bk-15137-RR and 9:09-bk-15139-RR]

William W Huckins on behalf of Creditor Federal Realty Investment Trust whuckins@allenmatkins.com, clynch@allenmatkins.com

Nathan E Jones on behalf of Creditor US Debt Recovery III, LP info@usdrllc.com

Steven G Polard on behalf of Creditor Bellevue Square LLC spolard@perkinscoie.com

David L Pollack on behalf of Creditor Galleria Mall Investors, LP pollack@ballardspahr.com

Hamid R Rafatjoo on behalf of Creditor Committee Official Committee Of Unsecured Creditors hrafatjoo@pszjlaw.com, hrafatjoo@pszjlaw.com

Diane W Sanders on behalf of Creditor San Marcos CISD austin.bankruptcy@publicans.com

David B Shemano on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF dshemano@pwkllp.com

Howard Steinberg on behalf of Creditor Richard A. Kayne, Trustee, Richard & Suzanne Kayne Living Trust dated 1/14/99 hsteinberg@irell.com, awsmith@irell.com

Wayne R Terry on behalf of Creditor Request for Courtesy NEF wterry@hemar-rousso.com

Ronald M Tucker on behalf of Creditor Simon Property Group, Inc. rtucker@simon.com, psummers@simon.com;rwoodruff@simon.com;shclark@simon.com

United States Trustee (ND) ustpregion16.nd.ecf@usdoj.gov

Kimberly S Winick on behalf of Creditor South Coast Plaza kwinick@clarktrev.com

Rebecca J Winthrop on behalf of Interested Party Kravco Simon Company winthropr@ballardspahr.com

Case 9:09-bk-15138-RR Doc 319-1 Filed 03/17/10 Entered 03/17/10 14:10:46 Desc Redline - Part 2 (Page 51-POS) Page 62 of 62

In re: THE WALKING COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, d/b/a Alan's Shoes, Footworks, Overland Trading Co., Sole Outdoors, and Martini Shoes; f/k/a TWC Acquisition Corporation; BIG DOG USA, INC., a California corporation, d/b/a Big Dog Sportswear; f/k/a Fortune Dogs, Inc.; and THE WALKING COMPANY HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Big Dog Holdings, Inc. and 190th Shelf Corporation,

Debtor(s).

CHAPTER: 11

CASE NUMBER: 9:09-bk-15138-RR [Jointly Administered with Case Nos. 9:09-bk-15137-RR and 9:09-bk-15139-RR]

II. SERVED BY U.S. MAIL OR OVERNIGHT:

VIA OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

Honorable Robin Riblet United States Bankruptcy Court 1415 State Street, Suite 103 Santa Barbara, California 93101-2511

VIA U.S. MAIL

Dennis Strayhan Office of the United States Trustee 21051 Warner Center Lane, Suite 115 Woodland Hills, CA 91367

Brian Fittipaldi Office of the United States Trustee 128 E. Carrillo Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Steven B. Levine, Esq. Brown & Rudnick, LLP One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission Los Angeles Regional Office Rosalind Tyson, Regional Director 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90036-3648

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission SEC Headquarters 100 F Street, NE Washington, DC 20549

Howard Steinberg, Esq. Jeff Sklar, Esq. Irell & Manella LLP 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Hamid R. Rafatjoo, Esq. Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 1100 Los Angeles, CA 90067

Craig Averch White & Case LLP 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1900 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2007