Case 18-50609-btb Doc 617 Entered 09/06/19 15:05:28 Page 1 of 27

5

10

1213

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

2324

2526

27

28

CONCURRENTLY HEREWITH

Date: September 12, 2019

Time: 2:00 p.m.

Place: Courtroom 2 (5th Floor)

C. Clifton Young Federal Bldg.

300 Booth Street Reno, NV 89509

TO THE HONORABLE BRUCE T. BEESLEY, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE, THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE, THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS, AND OTHER PARTIES-IN-INTEREST:

X-Treme Bullets, Inc. ("X-Treme"), Ammo Loan Worldwide, Inc. ("ALW"), Clearwater Bullets, Inc. ("Clearwater"), Freedom Munitions, LLC ("Freedom"), Howell Machine, Inc. ("Howell Machine"), Howell Munitions & Technology, Inc. ("HMT"), Lewis-Clark Ammunition and Components, LLC ("LCAC") and Components Exchange, LLC ("Components Exchange"), the debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned Chapter 11 cases ("Debtors"), hereby submit this Motion for Order: (1) Approving Sale and Bidding Procedures in Connection with the Sale of Substantially All of the Assets of the Debtors Free and Clear of Liens and Interests; and (2) Approving Manner of Notice to Be Provided to Creditors and Parties-in-Interest in Connection with Sale Motion ("Sale Procedures Motion").

By this Sale Procedures Motion, the Debtors request that this Court enter an order granting to the Debtors, in part, the following relief:

- 1. Approving the sale and bidding procedures, set forth in that Sale Procedures Memorandum attached as Exhibit "1" to the Declaration of J. Michael Issa filed concurrently herewith ("Issa Declaration"), that the Debtors hereby propose in connection with the conducting of an auction with respect to a proposed sale of substantially all of the assets and properties of the Debtors;
 - 2. Approving the Break-Up Fee proposed hereby; and
- 3. Approving the manner of the notice to be provided to creditors and parties-in-interest in connection with a hearing on a motion for an order authorizing the sale and assignment of substantially all of the assets and properties of the Debtors ("Sale Motion") that will be filed by the Debtors by September 18, 2019.

Case 18-50609-btb Doc 617 Entered 09/06/19 15:05:28 Page 3 of 27

Subject to the approval of this Court, the Debtors and Kash CA, Inc. ("Kash CA"), have entered into that Asset Purchase Agreement ("Kash Asset Purchase Agreement") pursuant to which the Debtors have agreed to sell to Kash CA and Kash CA has agreed to purchase from the Debtors the Purchased Assets, as such term is defined in the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement attached as <a href="Exhibit" 2" to the Issa Declaration. The Debtors have determined that the best means to obtain the most favorable recovery for creditors in these cases is for the Debtors to conduct an Auction of the Purchased Assets, subject to open bidding, with the Auction to be conducted before this Court immediately before the hearing on the Sale Motion ("Sale Motion Hearing"). The Debtors then will seek, at the Sale Motion Hearing, the Court's approval of the Successful Bidder for the Purchased Assets, so that a Closing of the Transaction may occur by October 31, 2019. By this Sale Procedures Motion, the Debtors request that the Court approve the sale and bidding procedures proposed by the Debtors, as described in the Sale Procedures Memorandum, in connection with the sale process and the conducting of the Auction.\(^1\)

In support of this Sale Procedures Motion, the Debtors respectfully represent as follows:

<u>First</u>, the sale and bidding procedures hereby proposed by the Debtors are fair, and provide for a "level playing field" for prospective bidders with respect to the Transaction contemplated herein.

Second, the proposed Break-Up Fee that may become payable to Kash CA under the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement is fair.

Third, the manner of the notice that the Debtors propose hereby to provide to creditors and parties-in-interest in connection with the Sale Motion Hearing is designed reasonably to enhance interest in the acquisition of the Purchased Assets and is sufficient under the circumstances of these cases.

The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors ("Committee") has been an active participant in the sale process in the Debtors' cases. **The Committee** has reviewed the Sale

¹ Unless otherwise defined herein, the definitions of the capitalized terms contained herein are as set forth in the Sale Procedures Memorandum.

Procedures Memo (Exhibit "1" to the Issa Declaration) and supports the Court's approval of the Sale Procedures Memo and the relief requested hereby by the Debtors.

This Sale Procedures Motion is made and based upon the allegations and representations set forth hereinabove, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities attached hereto, the Issa Declaration filed concurrently herewith, the pleadings, papers, and other documents on file in these Chapter 11 cases, and any supplemental evidence, both oral and documentary, that the Debtors may submit to this Court at or before the time of the hearing on this Sale Procedures Motion.

WHEREFORE, the Debtors request that this Court enter an order:

- Approving the sale and bidding procedures that the Debtors hereby propose in connection with the sale process and the Auction as set forth in the Sale Procedures Memorandum;
- 2. Approving the proposed Break-Up Fee that may become payable to Kash CA, as the "stalking horse bidder," as set forth in the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement;
- 3. Approving as adequate under the circumstances of these cases (i) the marketing of the Debtors' assets, and (ii) the manner of the notice to be provided to the Debtors' creditors and parties-in-interest in these cases in connection with the Sale Motion Hearing, as described hereinbelow; and
- 4. Granting to the Debtors such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper under the circumstances of these cases.

DATED: September 6, 2019 WINTHROP COUCHOT GOLUBOW HOLLANDER, LLP

By: /s/Robert E. Opera
Robert E. Opera

Peter W. Lianides
Alastair M. Gesmundo
General Insolvency Counsel for
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

INTRODUCTION

After consultation with the Committee, the Debtors have determined, that, in order to maximize the value of the Debtors' estates, the Debtors should seek to effectuate a prompt, going concern sale of their businesses and the assets used therein, subject to a competitive bidding and sale process.

After extensive marketing of the Debtors' assets, the Debtors have determined, subject to the approval of the Court, to sell and to assign to Kash CA substantially all of the Debtors' assets and properties pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement (Exhibit "2" to the Issa Declaration). The Debtors believe strongly that the proposed Transaction is in the best interests of the Debtors' creditors, in part, because substantial sale proceeds will be generated from the proposed Transaction which will enable the Debtors to make significant distributions to unsecured creditors in these cases.

The Debtors propose the sale and bidding procedures described herein in order to facilitate the sale process and potentially an Auction of the Purchased Assets, for the benefit of the Debtors' creditors. The Debtors believe that the proposed sale and bidding procedures are fair, will lead to an orderly sale process, and will ensure a level playing field for all prospective purchasers.

II.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. General Description of the Debtors.

David C. Howell ("Mr. Howell") is the principal of each of the Debtors.

On January 1, 2014, Mr. Howell caused HMT to be formed. Mr. Howell owns 95% of the issued and outstanding shares of stock in HMT. HMT, in turn, is the sole shareholder of the following Debtors: X-Treme; Clearwater; ALW; and Howell Machine. HMT is the sole member of Freedom. Mr. Howell owns 100% of the membership interests in LCAC. Mr. Howell owns 90% of the membership interests in Components Exchange.

A description of each Debtor and its operations is set forth hereinbelow.

- 1. <u>HMT</u>. HMT is the parent company of Debtors X-Treme, Clearwater, ALW, Howell Machine and Freedom. While Debtors X-Treme, Clearwater, ALW, Howell Machine, Freedom and LCAC are legal entities separate from HMT, HMT and these Debtors have operated at all times on a consolidated basis.
- X-Treme. X-Treme is an Idaho corporation located in Carson City, Nevada.
 X-Treme was in the business of manufacturing bullets, but has suspended such operations.
- 3. <u>Clearwater</u>. Clearwater is an Idaho corporation located in Lewiston, Idaho. Clearwater was founded by Mr. Howell in or about 2008. Clearwater is in the business of manufacturing bullets.
- **4. ALW.** ALW is an Idaho corporation located in Lewiston, Idaho. ALW is in the business of manufacturing ammoload machines and other machines for resale to third-party customers.
- Howell Machine. Howell Machine is an Idaho corporation. Mr. Howell founded Howell Machine in or about 1989. Howell Machine is in the business of fabricating parts that are used to build the ammoload machines manufactured by ALW and to maintain the other machinery and equipment owned by the other Debtors.
- 6. Freedom. Freedom is an Idaho limited liability company located in Lewiston, Idaho. Mr. Howell founded Freedom in or about 2011. Freedom is in the business of selling ammunition. Freedom sells its products online via the freedommunitions.com website.
- 7. <u>LCAC</u>. LCAC is an Idaho limited liability company. LCAC was in the business of manufacturing shell cases, but no longer conducts business operations. LCAC still owns items of machinery and equipment.
- **8.** <u>Components Exchange.</u> Components Exchange is an Idaho limited liability company located in Peck, Idaho. Components Exchange is in the business of manufacturing and assembling ammunition. Components Exchange manufactures

2

3

45

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and assembles ammunition for HMT and is paid for labor and overhead to perform this service. Components Exchange holds a federal license to manufacture ammunition.

Components Exchange's financial affairs largely have been maintained separately from the other Debtors.

B. Financial Performance of the Debtors.

The Debtors, together with their affiliates, Twin River Contract Loading, Inc. ("Twin River") and Big Canyon Environmental, LLC ("Big Canyon"), historically have prepared financial statements on a consolidated basis.² The net sales of the Debtors, Twin River and Big Canyon for the past several years are as follows:

- **1. 2015** -- \$85,811,134.
- **2. 2016** -- \$104,671,762.
- **3. 2017** -- \$74,624,745.
- **4. 2018** -- \$33,648,082.

The consolidated operations of the Debtors, Twin River and Big Canyon were profitable in 2016, but were unprofitable in 2017 and 2018. The Debtors' operations have not been profitable since the Petition Date.

The Debtors' assets consist primarily of the following: cash; accounts receivable; inventory; machinery and equipment; office equipment, furniture and fixtures; vehicles; and potential bankruptcy avoidance claims and other litigation claims. Twin River's and Big Canyon's assets consist primarily of mothballed machinery and equipment of limited value.

C. Retention of CRO/Chapter 11 Filings.

On June 7, 2018, the Debtors engaged J. Michael Issa ("Mr. Issa") of GlassRatner Advisory & Capital Group LLC ("GlassRatner") to act as Chief Restructuring Officer ("CRO") of the Debtors.

² Information contained herein regarding the financial affairs of the Debtors, Twin River and Big Canyon for 2015 and 2016 are derived from their audited consolidated financial statements. The financial information for the Debtors, Twin River and Big Canyon for 2017 and for 2018 derives from unaudited, internally-prepared financial statements.

On June 8, 2018 ("Petition Date"), the Debtors filed petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of

the Bankruptcy Code commencing these cases. Mr. Issa, as CRO, effectively has acted as the

chief executive officer of the corporate Debtors and as a manager of the limited liability company

Debtors, and has been primarily responsible for overseeing the Debtors' business operations and

for administering the Debtors' Chapter 11 cases. Since the Petition Date, the Debtors have acted

diligently, as debtors-in-possession, to restructure their operations and to enhance the profitability

D. Zions Secured Claim.

of their businesses for the benefit of the Debtors' creditors.

Zions is the Debtors' primary pre-petition secured lender. On July 19, 2018, Zions filed in each of the Debtors' cases a proof of claim asserting against each of the Debtors a secured claim ("Zions Secured Claim") in the amount of approximately \$17,529,219 (exclusive of certain interest and fees and costs) (which now has been reduced by a \$200,000 payment made by the Debtors). Zions asserts that its loans to the Debtors are secured by a first-priority security interest encumbering substantially all of the assets of the Debtors, substantially all of the assets of Twin River and Big Canyon, and by deeds of trust encumbering two real properties owned by Mr. Howell ("Howell Deeds of Trust").

E. TTB's Claim.

On or about January 12, 2017, the Department of the Treasury Alcohol and Tobacco Trade and Tax Bureau ("TTB") filed a federal tax lien against the assets of Twin River. The TTB's claim arises from Twin River's failure to pay to the TTB excise taxes associated with Twin River's manufacture of ammunition. Twin River filed a federal excise tax return with the TTB and was the company that failed to pay excise taxes to the TTB. The Debtors believe that they have <u>no</u> liability to the TTB.

On or about December 3, 2018, the TTB filed in HMT's case, as Claim 52-1, a proof of claim asserting against HMT a claim in the aggregate amount of \$12,183,415.27, consisting of a secured claim in the amount of \$5,079,998.40 and an unsecured claim in the amount of

\$7,103,416.87.³ The Debtors dispute the TTB's claim. The Debtors believe that the TTB's claim is against only Twin River, and not against any of the Debtors. Moreover, the Debtors believe that the TTB can have no valid secured claim of any nature against the Debtors, in part, because (i) the TTB's pre-petition lien was recorded only against Twin River and not against any of the Debtors, and (ii) the TTB's lien is junior in priority to Zions's first-priority liens (Zions's liens were recorded years prior to the TTB's filing of the tax lien against Twin River) and, consequently, the TTB's lien unquestionably is "out of the money" and valueless.

F. <u>Marketing of Debtors' Assets.</u>

- 1. Marketing Efforts. The Debtors have acted diligently to market their assets. As set forth in the Issa Declaration, the Debtors, under the supervision of Mr. Issa, have sent "teaser" memoranda to numerous potential strategic or financial purchasers in order to solicit interest in the Debtors' assets. The Debtors have had meaningful discussions with five potential purchasers of the Debtors' assets. Four potential purchasers have conducted on-site visits to the Debtors' facilities, have had access to an extensive data room established by the Debtors and have participated in discussion with the Debtors' management regarding the Debtors' financial affairs. The Debtors have received from each of such four potential purchasers comprehensive asset purchase agreements.
- 2. Ammo, Inc. Over a period of several months, the Debtors and Ammo, Inc. engaged in extensive negotiations regarding a possible purchase and sale transaction.

 Ammo, Inc. performed extensive diligence investigations with respect to the Debtors' financial affairs and conducted three on-site visits to the Debtors' facilities. The Debtors and Ammo, Inc. exchanged several drafts of a proposed asset purchase agreement, pursuant to which Ammo, Inc. proposed to acquire substantially all of the Debtors' assets and properties. In November 2018, the Debtors determined to pursue instead a possible purchase and sale transaction with Marksman Acquisitions, LLC because (i) the terms of the proposed transaction with Marksman Acquisitions, LLC were more favorable to the

³ The TTB filed a proof of claim only against HMT. The TTB did not file a proof of claim against any other Debtor.

Debtors than the transaction proposed by Ammo, Inc., and (ii) Ammo, Inc. could not demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Debtors that it would have promptly the funding needed by for its proposed transaction with the Debtors.

3. Marksman Acquisitions, LLC. In November 2018, the Debtors commenced negotiations with Marksman Acquisitions, LLC ("Marksman") with respect to a possible purchase and sale transaction. After extensive negotiations and after preparation of several drafts of an asset purchase agreement, the Debtors and Marksman reached an agreement by which the Debtors, Twin River and Big Canyon (collectively, "Sellers") would sell and assign to Marksman, and Marksman would acquire from the Sellers, substantially all of the assets and properties of the Sellers.

In furtherance of the purchase and sale transaction among the Sellers and Marksman, Zions and Marksman entered into an agreement pursuant to which Zions agreed to sell and assign to Marksman, and Marksman agreed to acquire from Zions, the Zions Secured Claim, all of Zions's liens and interests with respect to the assets of the Sellers and the Howell Deeds of Trust ("Zions/Marksman Loan Purchase Agreement"). Zions agreed to sell the Zions Secured Claim to Marksman at a discount in excess of several million dollars, provided that the Zions/Marksman Loan Purchase Agreement were consummated by not later than December 21, 2018.

Zions required, as a condition to its entering into the Zions/Marksman Loan
Purchase Agreement (and the sale of the Zions Secured Claim to Marksman for a very
substantial discount) that the Sellers and Mr. Howell waive and release any and all claims
that they may have against Zions pursuant to a settlement and release agreement
satisfactory to Zions ("Zions/Marksman Settlement Agreement"). Moreover, Marksman
required, as a condition to its entering into the Zions/Marksman Loan Purchase Agreement
and an asset purchase agreement with the Sellers ("Marksman Asset Purchase
Agreement"), that the Sellers and Mr. Howell waive and release any and all claims that
they may have against Marksman and to waive any and all defenses to the loans, liens and

the loan documents related to the Zions Secured Claim, pursuant to a settlement and release agreement satisfactory to Marksman ("Marksman Settlement Agreement").

In furtherance of the Zions/Marksman Loan Purchase Agreement and the Debtors' purchase and sale transaction with Marksman, on December 11, 2018, the Debtors filed their Motion for Order Approving Compromise of Controversies [Docket No. 297] ("Marksman Rule 9019 Motion"), requesting that the Court authorize the Debtors to enter into the Zions/Marksman Settlement Agreement and the Marksman Settlement Agreement pursuant to the provisions of Rule 9019 of the Federal Bankruptcy Rules. Zions and the Committee supported the Court's granting of the Marksman Rule 9019 Motion. At a hearing held on December 19, 2018, the Court granted the Marksman Rule 9019 Motion.

In furtherance of the purchase and sale transaction among the Sellers and Marksman, agreements were reached among the Debtors, the Committee, Zions and Marksman regarding the form and content of the Zions/Marksman Loan Purchase Agreement, the Zions/Marksman Settlement Agreement, the Marksman Settlement Agreement and the Marksman Asset Purchase Agreement.

The Debtors believe that the terms of the Marksman Asset Purchase Agreement were favorable to the Debtors' creditors. Pursuant to the proposed purchase and sale transaction with Marksman, substantial obligations of the Debtors were to have been extinguished and substantial proceeds were to have been made available to the Debtors in order to enable very significant distributions to be made to unsecured creditors of the Debtors. Regrettably, in late December 2018, Marksman terminated the Zions/Marksman Loan Purchase Agreement (and, hence, the purchase and sale transaction with the Sellers), asserting that it was unable to reach with David Howell Rentals an agreement satisfactory to Marksman with respect to the modification of David Howell Rentals's real property leases with HMT and an assignment of such modified leases to Marksman.⁴

⁴ Mr. Howell disputes Marksman's assertion that Marksman terminated the purchase and sale transaction with the Sellers because of disagreements with David Howell Rentals regarding the assignment to Marksman of David Howell Rentals's leases with HMT.

⁵ This section is intended to be merely a summary of certain material terms of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement. In the event of any inconsistency between this summary and the terms of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement will control.

⁶ Unless otherwise defined herein, the definitions of the capitalized terms contained herein are as set forth in

Section 1.1 of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement.

4. PDRR-Iowa, LLC. In or about December 2018, the Debtors commenced discussions with PDRR-Iowa, LLC ("PDRR") regarding a possible purchase and sale transaction with the Debtors. PDRR has conducted an extensive diligence investigation with respect to the Debtors' assets and properties and has conducted on-site visits to the Debtors' facilities in connection with a possible purchase and sale transaction with the Debtors.

In or about March 2019, in furtherance of a possible purchase and sale transaction with the Debtors, PDRR and Zions entered into an agreement pursuant to which PDRR agreed to acquire from Zions, and Zions agreed to sell and assign to PDRR, the Zions Secured Claim. In May 2019, subject to the approval of the Court, the Debtors and PDRR entered into an asset purchase agreement pursuant to which the Debtors agreed to sell and assign to PDRR, and PDRR agreed to acquire from the Debtors, substantially all of the Debtors' assets. However, PDRR terminated its agreement with Zions, and, consequently, PDRR's asset purchase and sale transaction with the Debtors was not effectuated.

The Debtors believe that PDRR was unable to obtain financing sufficient to fund its proposed transactions with Zions and the Debtors. PDRR asserts, however, that it now has obtained access to financing sufficient to fund the proposed transactions with Zions and the Debtors. On August 7, 2019, PDRR submitted to Zions a proposed agreement to purchase the Zions Secured Claim as a "back-up" to the Kash Loan Purchase Agreement (as defined in paragraph H hereof).

G. Kash Asset Purchase Agreement.5

Material terms of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement include the following:⁶

1. <u>Sale and Assignment of Assets and Properties of the Debtors</u>. Pursuant to Section 2.1 of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, the Debtors will sell and assign to Kash CA, and Kash CA will purchase from the Debtors, all of the assets and

properties of the Debtors, except only for the Excluded Assets identified expressly in the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement. Kash CA will have the right to make a credit bid for the Debtors' assets and properties up to the full amount of the Zions Secured Claim to be acquired by Kash CA pursuant to the Kash Loan Purchase Agreement, in accordance with Section 363(k) of the Bankruptcy Code. The assets and properties of the Debtors will be sold to Kash CA free and clear of all claims, liens and interests pursuant to Section 363(f) of the Bankruptcy Code.

- **Excluded Assets.** Pursuant to Section 2.2 of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, the Debtors will <u>not</u> sell to Kash CA, but will retain, among other assets and properties of the Debtors: certain refunds, rebates and deposits; the Debtors' directors and officers insurance policy and all claims and recoveries with respect thereto; all preference, fraudulent transfer and other bankruptcy avoidance claims under Chapter 5 of the Bankruptcy Code; and cash and cash equivalents of the Debtors (including, without limitation, \$832,000 in funds on deposit in an account of HMT at Zions upon which the TTB levied on or about June 4, 2018 (the "TTB Levied Funds")).
- Assumed Liabilities. Pursuant to the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, Kash CA will not assume or be liable for any of the liabilities of the Debtors, except only for the Assumed Liabilities set forth in Section 2.3 of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement. In accordance with the provisions of Section 2.3 of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, Kash CA will assume certain liabilities of the Debtors including: certain liabilities relating to vacation days, sick days and other paid time-off of employees of the Debtors who are hired by Kash CA; and the obligation to pay certain Cure Amounts under executory contracts and leases that may be assigned to Kash CA pursuant to the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement.

- 4. <u>Purchase Price</u>. Pursuant to Sections 3.1 and 3.2 of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, the Purchase Price to be paid by Kash CA will be as follows:⁷
 - a. Credit Bid. A credit bid by Kash CA in an amount not less than \$8.8 million on account of the Zions Secured Claim that Kash CA will acquire from Zions pursuant to the Kash Loan Purchase Agreement, or such greater amount of the Zions Secured Claim at the Auction to be determined by Kash CA in the exercise of its sole and absolute discretion, in accordance with Section 363(k) of the Bankruptcy Code, and with Kash CA's subordinating any balance of the Zions Secured Claim as of the Closing Date in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement;
 - b. <u>Cash/Note</u>. A \$3.0 million payment for the benefit of creditors of the Debtors, payable \$125,000 in cash and \$2,875,000 by a promissory note;
 - c. Release of Liens Encumbering Excluded Assets. A release of the liens that Kash CA will acquire from Zions pursuant to the Kash Loan Purchase Agreement with respect to the Excluded Assets, including the Debtor's cash as of the Closing and the TTB Levied Funds (\$832,000); and
 - d. <u>Assumption of Assumed Liabilities</u>. Kash CA's assumption of the Assumed Liabilities.
- Termination of Kash Asset Purchase Agreement. Pursuant to Section 4.4 of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, Kash CA may terminate the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, in part, on the following grounds:
 - a. If this Bidding Procedures Motion is not approved by the Court by September 13, 2019;
 - b. If the hearing on the Sale Motion to be filed in this Court has not taken place by October 15, 2019;8

⁷ Pursuant to Section 3.2 of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, Kash CA will pay portions of the Purchase Price pursuant to a subordination of the Zions Secured Claim and related liens that Kash CA will acquire from Zions pursuant to the Kash Loan Purchase Agreement.

- c. If the Court has not entered the Sale Order approving the Sale Motion by October 18, 2019; or
- d. If the Closing of the Transaction has not occurred by October 31, 2019.
- **Kash CA is Not an Insider of the Debtors.** Pursuant to Section 6.10 of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, Kash CA represents and warrants that neither Kash CA nor any of its Representatives is an insider of the Debtors.
- 7. <u>Break-Up Fee.</u> Pursuant to Section 8.1 of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, Kash CA will be entitled to a Break-Up Fee in the amount of \$150,000 if the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement is terminated because the Debtors have entered into an Alternative Transaction with another bidder and such Alternative Transaction closes.
- **Good Faith Finding.** Pursuant to Section 8.3 of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, the Debtors covenant to use their commercially reasonable efforts to obtain from the Court a finding that Kash CA is a good faith purchaser under Section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 9. No Diligence Contingency. Pursuant to Section 9.2 of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, Kash CA acknowledges and agrees that Kash CA's obligation to consummate the Transaction is not subject to Kash CA's being satisfied with results of its diligence investigation.
- Pursuant to Section 9.14 of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, the Debtors agree not to oppose, and to cause Twin River not to oppose, Kash CA's exercise of Kash CA's rights and remedies as a secured creditor against assets and properties of Twin River and Big Canyon, which rights and remedies Kash CA will acquire as a result of Kash CA's acquisition of the Zions Secured Claim pursuant to the Kash

⁸ By stipulation with Kash CA, the October 15, 2019 deadline for the conducting of a hearing on the Sale Motion has been extended to October 16, 2019.

Loan Purchase Agreement, including Kash CA's right to foreclose against such assets and properties.

- 11. <u>Jurisdiction of this Court</u>. Pursuant to Section 13.6 of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, this Court will have sole and exclusive jurisdiction over any and all disputes arising out of or related to the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement.
- **12.** <u>Debtors' Right to Solicit Other Bids</u>. Pursuant to Section 13.17 of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, the Debtors may solicit other bids for the assets and properties of the Debtors.

H. Kash Loan Purchase Agreement.

Zions and Kash CA have entered into the Kash Loan Purchase Agreement, pursuant to which Zions has agreed to sell and assign to Kash CA, and Kash CA has agreed to purchase from Zions, the Zions Secured Claim and all of Zions's liens and interests encumbering the assets and properties of the Debtors, Twin River and Big Canyon and the Howell Deeds of Trust. Pursuant to the Kash Loan Purchase Agreement, Zions has agreed to sell to Kash CA the Zions Secured Claim for \$8.8 million in cash, a discount in excess of \$8.5 million.

Zions has required, as a condition to Zions's entering into the Kash Loan Purchase Agreement, that the Debtors, Twin River, Big Canyon and Mr. Howell settle and release their claims against Zions, in accordance with the terms and conditions of a settlement agreement among Zions and other parties, on one hand, and the Debtors, Twin River, Big Canyon and Mr. Howell, on the other hand ("Zions Settlement Agreement"). On August 19, 2019, the Debtors filed a motion to obtain from the Court, pursuant to Rule 9019 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, approval of the Zions Settlement Agreement and two other settlement agreements to which the Debtors are parties, and, by order entered on August 30, 2019 [Dkt. No. 611], the Court approved such settlement agreements acknowledging the amount, scope, validity, perfection and enforceability of Zions's first-priority secured claims with respect to the Purchased Assets and Excluded Assets subject to the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement ("Compromise Order").

The Compromise Order further authorized Kash CA, pursuant to Section 363(k) of the Bankruptcy Code, to make a credit bid for the Debtors' assets and properties up to the full amount

of the Zions Secured Claim that it may acquire pursuant to the Kash Loan Purchase Agreement, pursuant to the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement or at any Auction.

It is the Debtors' understanding that Zions and Kash CA are working toward closing the Kash Loan Purchase Agreement, that, assuming Zions's satisfaction of the remaining standard closing conditions and delivery of the deliverables set forth therein, a \$2.0 million deposit made by Kash CA pursuant to the Kash Loan Purchase Agreement now has become nonrefundable pursuant to the provisions of the Kash Loan Purchase Agreement, and that Zions and Kash CA anticipate that the closing of such transaction will occur by September 20, 2019.

I. Sale Motion.

At the request of the Debtors, the Court has scheduled a hearing on the Sale Motion for October 16, 2019. In accordance with the requirements of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, the Debtors will use their commercially reasonable efforts to cause the Closing of the Transaction to occur by October 31, 2019.

J. The Proposed Sale and Bidding Procedures.

In order to create a fair, orderly and competitive process for the bidding on the Purchased Assets, the Debtors propose hereby that the Court approve sale and bidding procedures with respect to the contemplated sale of the Purchased Assets in substantially the form reflected in the Sale Procedures Memorandum attached as Exhibit "1" to the Issa Declaration. The Debtors believe that the proposed sale and bidding procedures are fair, and provide for a level playing field to all prospective bidders.

III.

GOOD CAUSE EXISTS TO APPROVE THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED SALE AND BIDDING PROCEDURES

The sale and bidding procedures that the Debtors propose to govern the sale and auction process in these cases are set forth in the Sale Procedures Memorandum (Exhibit "1" to the Issa Declaration).

The Debtors believe that the proposed sale and bidding procedures are reasonable, provide "transparency" in the sale process in these cases, and will facilitate an orderly and fair sale process

8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

in these cases. As set forth in the Issa Declaration, the Debtors believe that the proposed sale and bidding procedures are reasonable and treat all parties fairly. Under normal circumstances, sales of businesses are fraught with complexity. In the context of bankruptcy, such sales become even more challenging, due, in part, to a debtor's duty to maximize the value of estate assets and the obligation to encourage competitive bidding in order to achieve the highest and best price for the sale of the debtor's assets. It is clear that few potential buyers of a debtor's business would be willing to enter into a purchase agreement in the context of a debtor's bankruptcy case without assurance that the bidding process will treat all parties fairly.

In this case, for among others the reasons set forth below, the Debtors believe that the proposed sale and bidding procedures are fair and should be approved by this Court.

Α. The Proposed Sale Procedures Are Fair.

The Debtors' proposed sale procedures are fair and do not unduly favor any prospective bidders in the bidding process. The fairness of the sale procedures is evidenced by the following:

- 1. Same Diligence Rights. All prospective bidders have been afforded an opportunity to review and may continue to review financial reporting associated with the Debtors' businesses, the Purchased Assets and all agreements associated with the Purchased Assets. All prospective bidders have had and will continue to have the same and equal access to the Debtors' books and records, the Debtors' employees and the Debtors' premises with respect to the Purchased Assets for the purpose of conducting a due diligence investigation with respect to a Transaction. All prospective bidders must complete their due diligence by the same time (i.e., by the Bid Deadline).
- 2. Fair Procedures for Qualifying Bidders. The proposed procedures for qualifying prospective bidders are fair, and the requirements imposed upon prospective bidders to become Qualified Bidders are reasonable.
- 3. Same Disclosure Regarding Bid. All Qualified Bidders will receive a copy of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement of Kash CA, and have the same opportunity to obtain from the Debtors copies of all other bids.

4. <u>Open Auction Proceeding</u>. The proposed sale procedures provide for an "open auction" process by and among all Qualified Bidders, thereby providing to all bidders an equal opportunity to present competitive offers. The Auction will be conducted <u>before this Court</u>, thereby ensuring the fairness of the auction process.

B. The Proposed Break-Up Fee is Fair.

Pursuant to Sections 4.4(j), 4.5(c) and 8.1 of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, Kash CA, as the "stalking horse bidder," will be entitled to a \$150,000 Break-Up Fee if the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement is terminated because the Debtors have entered into an Alternative Transaction with another bidder and such Alternative Transaction closes. Kash CA will be entitled to no other expense reimbursement or fee. The Debtors believe that the proposed Break-Up Fee is fair.

In addressing break-up fees in bankruptcy proceedings, the court in the case, <u>In re</u>

<u>Integrated Res., Inc.</u>, 135 B.R. 746 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.), aff'd, 147 B.R. 650 (S.D.N.Y. 1992), stated as follows:

In order to encourage the making of bids, debtors entice potential purchasers by utilizing various incentives, such as break-up fees, topping fees and expense reimbursement agreements. A "break-up fee" is a fee paid to a potential acquiror of a business, or certain assets, by the seller, in the event that the transaction contemplated fails to be consummated and certain criteria in the purchase agreement are met. The condition most commonly giving rise to the payment of a break-up fee is the seller's acceptance of a later bid. Break-up fees may take the form of paying the out-of-pocket expenses incurred in arranging the deal, including due diligence expenses, or break-up fees may be wholly independent of the transaction costs. For example, a break-up fee may include compensation for a bidder's lost opportunity costs.

In re Integrated Res., Inc., 135 B.R. 746, 750 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.), aff'd, 147 B.R. 650 (S.D.N.Y. 1992).

See also, In re Genco Shipping & Trading Ltd., 509 B.R. 455, 465 fn 6 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2014) ("A 'stalking horse bidder' refers to a preliminary bidder whose offer can be 'shopped around' to attract higher bids. Break-up fees can be used to overcome bidders' reluctance 'to make the first bid for fear that it will be shopped around and 'topped' by an entity relying on the initial offeror's due diligence."").

Courts recognize that a provision for a break-up fee can serve several beneficial purposes for a debtor. A break-up fee encourages bidding in a bankruptcy sale context by providing protection to a prospective purchaser that makes an offer that is then "shopped around" to attract higher offers, and by providing compensation to a prospective purchaser if another bidder relies on the prospective purchaser's due diligence and other work to make a higher offer. A break-up fee also may aid a debtor as follows: a break-up fee may serve to discourage a bidding strategy designed to hold back competitive bids until late in the sale process and may aid the debtor to negotiate an initial bid that is the bidder's highest bid; a break-up fee may establish a high floor early in the bidding process; and a break-up fee may enhance the bidding process by creating momentum toward closing a sale. Canatxx Gas Storage Ltd. v. Silverhawk Capital Partners, LLC, 2008 WL 1999234, at *7 (S.D. Tex. May 8, 2008).

Break-up fee arrangements outside bankruptcy are presumptively valid under the business judgment rule. See, In re Integrated Res., Inc., 147 B.R. 650 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1992) (citing Cottle v. Storer Comm'ns, Inc., 849 F.2d 570 (11th Cir. 1988)) (\$29 million termination fee protected by business judgment rule); CRTF Corp. v. Federated Dep't Stores, 683 F.Supp. 422 (S.D.N.Y. 1988) (break-up fees not illegal when they enhance rather than hamper bidding). See also, Mark F. Hebbeln, The Economic Case For Judicial Deference To Break-Up Fee Agreements In Bankruptcy, 13 Bankr. Dev. J. 475, 502-505 (1997) (unless the court determines that a break-up fee arrangement is tainted with self-dealing, fraud, or bad faith, courts should accord "substantial deference" to the fiduciary duty of the debtor's board members who approved the terms of the break-up fee).

While few courts have addressed the validity of break-up fees in bankruptcy, the court in the <u>Integrated Resources</u> case, <u>supra</u>, found that courts addressing this issue routinely have asked the following questions:

Is the relationship of the parties who negotiated the break-up fee tainted by selfdealing or manipulation such that the business judgment rule should not be applied? A court will uphold a decision by the board of directors of a debtor if the

- decision was safeguarded by the scrutiny of disinterested directors or other means. In re Integrated Res., Inc., supra, at 657.
- Does the break-up fee materially hamper bidding? In assessing the effect of a break-up fee, a court should determine whether the amount of the break-up fee is so substantial that it has a "chilling" effect. <u>In re Integrated Res., Inc., supra</u>, at 660.
- Is the amount of the break-up fee unreasonable relative to the proposed purchase price? A break-up fee should constitute a fair and reasonable percentage of the proposed purchase price, and should be reasonably related to the risk, effort and expenses of the prospective purchaser. <u>In re Integrated Res., Inc., supra,</u> at 662 (the court heard expert testimony that "the average break-up fee in the industry is 3.3 percent [of the Purchase Price]").

In this case, the Debtors believe, and hereby respectfully represent to the Court, that the proposed Break-Up Fee is fair and should be approved by the Court.

<u>First</u>, the proposed Break-Up Fee has been approved by the CRO, acting as an independent fiduciary on behalf of the Debtors. The Committee also has approved the proposed Break-Up Fee. Kash CA is not an insider of the Debtors. Therefore, there is no "taint" to the agreement by the Debtors to provide the Break-Up Fee to Kash CA pursuant to the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement.

Second, the proposed Break-Up Fee should not materially chill any competitive bidding at an Auction. Requiring an overbidder to pay a \$150,000 Break-Up Fee on a Transaction with a Purchase Price in an amount of almost \$12.0 million should not impose a material burden on the overbidder.

Third, the amount of the proposed Break-Up Fee is reasonable compared with the amount of the Purchase Price to be paid by Kash CA pursuant to the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement. The proposed \$150,000 Break-Up Fee represents only about 1.3% of the Purchase Price. Furthermore, the amount of the proposed Break-Up Fee has a reasonable relationship to the risk, effort and expenses of Kash CA. Kash CA has expended substantial time and resources in

3 4

5 6

8 9

7

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

connection with acquiring the Zions Secured Claim pursuant to the Kash Loan Purchase Agreement, conducting diligence regarding the Transaction, and negotiating the terms of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement with the Debtors. The Debtors believe that the proposed Break-Up Fee is not unreasonable given the efforts made by and the expenses incurred by the Kash CA.

The Committee has been involved actively in the sale process in these cases, has evaluated the proposed Break-Up Fee and does not oppose the allowance of the Break-Up Fee.

Based upon the foregoing, the Debtors believe, and hereby respectfully represent to the Court, that authorizing the possible payment of a Break-Up Fee to Kash CA is fair and reasonable under the circumstances of these cases.9

C. The Proposed Bidding Procedures at an Auction Are Fair.

Similarly, the Debtors' proposed procedures for the conducting of the Auction and for the Closing of the Transaction are fair, and provide for a level playing field for all prospective bidders. The fairness of such procedures is evidenced by the following:

- 1. **Equal Disclosure Regarding Bids.** Subject to the approval of the Court, the Debtors will conduct the Auction in an open manner before this Court, and provide an opportunity for all Qualified Bidders to engage in competitive bidding. All Qualified Bidders will know each bid made at the Auction, and will have a reasonable opportunity to evaluate the bids. The Debtors will provide full and equal disclosure to all Qualified Bidders relative to the Debtors' position regarding bids made at the Auction. Each Qualified Bidder will have the right, but not the obligation, to improve its bid at the Auction. The Auction will be conducted, therefore, in an open and transparent manner under the supervision of this Court.
- 2. **Initial Bidding Increment Is Fair.** The initial overbidding increment with respect to the Transaction is \$250,000. Kash CA's bid has a value of almost \$12.0 million (the initial overbid, then, is only about 2.1% of Kash CA's bid). The proposed initial bidding increment should not impair materially any competitive bidding.

⁹ Pursuant to the Sale Procedures Memorandum, no overbidder will be entitled to payment of a Break-Up Fee.

- 3. <u>Same Incremental Bids at Auction</u>. At the Auction, after any initial overbid is made, subsequent overbids must be in minimum \$50,000 increments on terms the same for all Qualified Bidders.
- **4.** Auction Approved by Court. The Auction will be conducted before this Court and in a manner approved by this Court.
- Auction, the Debtors will announce the bid that they propose to accept as the Successful Bid. The Debtors will request, at the Sale Motion Hearing, that this Court confirm such bid as the Successful Bid. This Court will determine, at the Sale Motion Hearing, the bid that is the most favorable bid for the Debtors' creditors. This Court, therefore, will confirm in open Court the Successful Bid(s) with respect to the Purchased Assets.

The sale process in these cases have been and will continue to be conducted primarily by Mr. Issa, as CRO. Mr. Issa is an independent party with no material connections with Kash CA or with any other potential bidder in these cases. The CRO's handling the sale process in these cases provides assurance that the sale process will be conducted fairly and in an open and transparent manner, with no bias in favor of Kash CA or any other bidder. Mr. Issa is charged with the responsibility of conducting a fair and open sale process and attempting to maximize the recovery from the sale of the Debtors' assets, and will owe his duty and loyalty in these cases solely to the Debtors' estates, and not to the Debtors' insiders or to Kash CA.

In addition, the Debtors note that the Committee has been involved actively, and will continue to be involved actively, in all aspects of the sale process in these cases. The Committee's active involvement in the sale process helps to ensure that the sale process will be conducted fairly and in a manner designed to maximize the recovery by unsecured creditors in these cases.

Based upon the foregoing, the Debtors respectfully submit that the proposed sale and bidding procedures, as set forth in the Sale Procedures Memorandum, are reasonable.

Pursuant to Section 8.1 of the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement, an order approving this Sale Procedures Motion must "approve the Break-Up Fee and the Bid Protections" (i.e., an initial overbid in the amount of \$250,000 and minimum bid increments thereafter in the amount of

\$50,000). The Debtors request, therefore, that this Court approve the Break-Up Fee and the Bid Protections and the other sale and bidding procedures reflected in the Sale Procedures Memorandum by **September 13, 2019**, the deadline set forth by the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement for the Debtors to obtain approval of this Sale Procedures Motion.

IV.

THIS COURT SHOULD APPROVE THE MANNER OF THE NOTICE PROPOSED TO BE PROVIDED IN CONNECTION WITH THE SALE MOTION

The Kash Asset Purchase Agreement provides that the Sale Motion Hearing must be conducted by October 15, 2019 (since extended to October 16, 2019) and that the Closing of the Transaction must occur by October 31, 2019. The Debtors have scheduled, with the approval of the Court, the Sale Motion Hearing for October 16, 2019. Conducting the Sale Motion Hearing on October 16, 2019 will allow notice of the Sale Motion Hearing, which will include notice of sale and bidding procedures approved by the Court, to be served in accordance with the 28 days' notice requirement of Rule 9014(a)(1) of the Local Rules. Accordingly, creditors and parties-in-interest, and any prospective bidders for the Purchased Assets, will be given ample notice of the Sale Motion Hearing.

As set forth in the Issa Declaration, the Debtors have conducted already extensive marketing of the Debtors' assets and properties. About 25 companies in the Debtors' industry, including primary competitors of the Debtors, have been contacted to notify them of the purchase and sale opportunity. There has been substantial follow up with potential purchasers. The Debtors have had meaningful discussions with five potential purchasers of the Debtors' assets and properties. Four potential purchasers have conducted on-site visits to the Debtors' facilities and have performed extensive diligence investigations regarding the Debtors' financial affairs. Four potential purchasers emerged as the primary candidates to acquire the Debtors' assets -- Kash CA, with which the Debtors have negotiated the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement; Ammo, Inc. with which the Debtors exchanged several drafts of an asset purchase agreement; Marksman with which the Sellers negotiated an asset purchase agreement but thereafter terminated its transaction

22.

with the Sellers; and PDRR-Iowa, LLC, which continues to pursue a possible transaction with the Debtors.

As set forth in the Issa Declaration, the Debtors believe that, as a result of the Debtors' marketing efforts to date, they have created significant interest in a potential purchase and sale transaction with respect to the Debtors' assets and properties and have had success reaching the likely market for the Debtors' assets and properties.

In furtherance of the Debtors' marketing efforts, the Debtors propose to advertise the sale of the Debtors' assets in the following publications:

- The Wall Street Journal; and
- American Rifleman

The Debtors believe that, given the extensive marketing conducted to date by the Debtors and the limited resources available to the Debtors, such additional advertising should be deemed to be sufficient.

The Debtors will serve on creditors and parties-in-interest notice of the Sale Motion Hearing ("Sale Motion Hearing Notice"). The Sale Motion Hearing Notice will set forth clearly the sale and bidding procedures proposed herein, the date and time of the Auction and the Sale Motion Hearing, the date by which any objections to the Sale Motion must be filed, and all other material information relevant to the sale process.

The Debtors will serve the Sale Motion Hearing Notice on the following entities: (i) all creditors of the Debtors' estates and parties-in-interest in these cases; (ii) all entities who have requested special notice in these cases; and (iii) all entities that have expressed interest, or that the Debtors believe may have legitimate interest, in acquiring the Purchased Assets, (e.g., PDRR, Marksman, Ammo, Inc. and competitors of the Debtors and other potential strategic acquirers, a list of which has been developed by GlassRatner with input from the Debtors). The Debtors believe that the notice proposed by the Debtors will help to ensure that the sale of the Purchased Assets will receive as much exposure as possible under the circumstances.

The Debtors will serve a copy of the Sale Motion on the following entities: (i) the Office of the United States Trustee; (ii) the Committee; (iii) those parties whose unexpired leases or

Case 18-50609-btb Doc 617 Entered 09/06/19 15:05:28 Page 26 of 27

executory contracts are proposed to be assumed and assigned, or rejected, in connection with the Transaction; (iv) the creditors who will receive notice electronically by the Court (NEF); and (v) Kash CA. All creditors and parties-in-interest will receive a copy of the Sale Motion Hearing Notice.

The Debtors respectfully submit that the proposed notice to be provided to creditors and parties-in-interest (including, without limitation, the entities most likely to submit bids for the purchase of the Purchased Assets) is well designed to attract the most interest in the acquisition of the Purchased Assets, and thereby to maximize the value of the Purchased Assets, and is sufficient under the circumstances of these cases. The Debtors respectfully request, therefore, that this Court approve as adequate under the circumstances of these cases the marketing of the Debtors' assets and the manner of giving notice of the Sale Motion Hearing, as set forth herein. ¹⁰

V.

CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing, the Debtors hereby respectfully request that this Court (i) approve the sale and bidding procedures that the Debtors propose hereby, as reflected in the Sale Procedures Memorandum; (ii) approve as sufficient the marketing of the Debtors' assets and the manner of giving notice to the Debtors' creditors and parties-in-interest in connection with the Sale Motion Hearing as described herein; and (iii) grant to the Debtors such other and further

///

The Debtors acknowledge that, if Kash CA consummates its acquisition of the Zions Secured Claim pursuant to the Kash Loan Purchase Agreement, in light of credit bid rights provided by Section 363(k) of the Bankruptcy Code, overbidders may face substantial difficulty competing successfully against Kash CA at an Auction. Nevertheless, the Debtors are pursuing approval of sale and bidding procedures and the Break-Up Fee pursuant to this Sale Procedures Motion, in part, because: (i) the Debtors are required to do so pursuant to the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement; (ii) notwithstanding the credit bid provisions of Section 363(k), overbidders still may be able to bid successfully against Kash CA; and (iii) there is no absolute assurance that Kash CA will perform its obligations under the Kash Asset Purchase Agreement and, accordingly, it is prudent for the Debtors to pursue diligently an orderly and vibrant sale process and Auction.

Case 18-50609-btb Doc 617 Entered 09/06/19 15:05:28 Page 27 of 27

1	relief as this Court deems just and proper under the circumstances of these cases.	
2	DATED: September 6, 2019	WINTHROP COUCHOT
3		GOLUBOW HOLLANDER, LLP
4		By: /s/ Robert E. Opera
5		Robert E. Opera Peter W. Lianides
6		Alastair M. Gesmundo General Insolvency Counsel for
7		Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession
8		
9		
10		
12		
13		
4		
15		
6		
7		
8		
9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		