1	BERT L. ROOS, #006960 Bert L. Roos, P.L.L.C. 5045 N. 12th Street, Suite B		
2			
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85014 Phone (602) 242-7869		
4	Fax: (602) 242-5975		
5	Email: blrpc85015@msn.com Attorney for Debtor		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
8	In re:) In Proceedings Under Chapter 11	
9	BECK & BECK ENTERPRISE, INC,)	
10	Debtor(s).) Case No.: 2:15- 15092 - MCW	
11)	
12)	
13	DEBTOR'S FIRST AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT		
14	OF DECEMBER 29, 2016		
15			
16	ARTICLE	<u>E I</u>	
17	INTRODUCTION AND REPRESENTATIONS		
18	1.1 INTRODUCTION.		
19	Debtor, BECK & BECK ENTERPRISE, INC, ("Debtor"), has prepared and is disseminating		
20	this First Amended Disclosure Statement (the "Disclosure Statement") in accordance with Section 1125 of the		
21	Bankruptcy Code to holders of Claims against Debtor for the purpose of soliciting acceptances of		
22	Debtor's First Amended Plan of Reorganization (the "Plan").		
23	Debtor believes this First Amended Disclosure Statement contains adequate information for it		
24	creditors to arrive at an informed decision in exercising their right to vote for acceptance of the Plan. A copy		
25	of the First Amended Plan accompanies this First Amended Disclosure Statement, and is attached hereto and		
26	incorporated herein.		
27	THE FIRST AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEM	MENT IS NOT THE PLAN. THIS	
28	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT, TOGETHER WITH THE F	TIRST AMENDED PLAN ATTACHED HERETO	
	Case 2:15-bk-15092-MCW Doc 92 Filed 12/29/1 Main Document Pag	6 Entered 12/29/16 20:08:46 Desc ge 1 of 15	

confirmed, the Plan will be binding with respect to all holder of Claims of each Class, including

members who did not vote or who voted to reject the Plan.

27

28

Acceptance of the First Amended Plan is sought only from holder of Claims which Claims are impaired by the Plan; that is, acceptance is solicited only from those creditors and parties-in-interest whose legal, equitable or contractual rights are altered by the Plan, or who will not receive under the Plan, the amount of their allowed claims. Holders of Claims, which are not impaired under the Plan, are deemed to have accepted the Plan. 11 U.S.C.§ 1126.

If the First Amended Plan is rejected by one or more impaired Classes of Claims held by Debtor's creditors, the Plan or a modification thereof may still be confirmed by the Court at the request of Debtor if the Court determines, among other things, that the Plan does not discriminate unfairly and is "fair and equitable" with respect to such rejection Class or Classes of Claims impaired by the Plan. Debtor has requested such a determination if the Plan or a modification thereof is not accepted by one or more of the impaired Classes of Claims.

The First Amended Plan must be affirmatively accepted by at least one of the impaired classes of Claims. DEBTOR RECOMMENDS THAT ALL CREDITOR VOTE TO ACCEPT THE PLAN.

1.3 REPRESENTATIONS.

NO REPRESENTATIONS CONCERNING THE DEBTOR OR THE FIRST AMENDED PLAN ARE AUTHORIZED OTHER THAN AS SET FORTH HEREIN. ANY REPRESENTATIONS OR INDUCEMENTS TO SECURE YOUR ACCEPTANCE OF THE PLAN OTHER THAN AS CONTAINED HEREIN SHOULD NOT BE RELIED UPON BY YOU.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN HAS NOT BEEN REVIEWED OR PASSED UPON BY AN ACCOUNTANT. DEBTOR IS UNABLE TO WARRANT OR REPRESENT THAT THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS WITHOUT ANY INACCURACY, ALTHOUGH ALL SUCH INFORMATION IS ACCURATE TO DEBTORS' BEST KNOWLEDGE, INFORMATION AND BELIEF.

THE COURT HAS NOT VERIFIED THE ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN, AND THE COURT'S APPROVAL OF THIS FIRST AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT DOES NOT IMPLY THAT THE COURT ENDORSES OR APPROVES THE FIRST AMENDED PLAN. BUT ONLY THAT IF THE INFORMATION IS ACCURATE, IT IS SUFFICIENT TO PROVIDE AN ADEQUATE BASIS FOR CREDITORS TO MAKE INFORMED DECISIONS WHETHER TO APPROVE OR REJECT THE PLAN.

1.4 DEFINITIONS.

Most words or phrases used in this First Amended Disclosure Statement shall have their usual and customary meanings. Some words or phrases with initial capital letters shall have the definitions set forth in the

Plan. Unless otherwise defined, the terms used in this Disclosure Statement shall have the same meaning as in the Bankruptcy Code or Bankruptcy Rules.

1.5 OVERVIEW OF THE PLAN.

Debtor Beck & Beck Enterprise, Inc., proposes under their First Amended Plan of Reorganization to pay the Internal Revenue Service and the Arizona Department of Revenue their priority and secured amounts in full and the unsecured portion, if any, according to its proportionate share along with all other unsecured creditors, to pay that portion of any secured debt to the extent of the value of the security interest, and to pay the unsecured creditors that file claims two (2%) percent of their unsecured claim. There are additional secured debts: The first secured debt is secured by JP Morgan Chase Bank, and is secured by a Commercial Security Agreement, UCC-1 Financing Statement against certain fixtures and equipment located at the Koala-Ty Custom Cleaners location at 20831 N. Scottsdale, Road #103, in Scottsdale, Arizona. This is the Debtor's primary location wherefrom the Debtor operates a dry cleaning facility or "Plant". The value of the used equipment secured by this Creditor at the time of the filing of the bankruptcy was estimated to be \$36,000.00 (see Schedule B) by the Debtor. This estimated value was based upon information obtained by the Debtor from the managements experience in owning and operating Dry Cleaning facilities for over nine (9) years and from checking the current values of used dry cleaning equipment through trade publications. Debtor will be attempting to negotiate their debts with the Creditor and if unsuccessful will file appropriate proceeding herein.

The second secured debt is with James Mizera and is partially secured by used dry cleaning equipment located at one of the drop stores locations. This location is a drop location and only consists of a used conveyor system and controller and some miscellaneous items listed In Exhibit 1. This equipment could be replaced for \$4,000.00 based upon research and information obtained by management at one time. There was additional security for this debt at one time which was located at a closed location. The location was closed by the Debtor and the equipment was returned to Mr. Mizera. Upon information and belief Mr. Mizera has sold the equipment from the closed location but for a significantly less amount than the remaining balance of the note. Mr. Mizera has not filed a proof of claim.

The U.S. Trustee's office has filed a claim for \$2,275.00 for fees from the Debtor's previously filed Chapter 11 case.

ARTICLE II

13

14

12

15 16

18

17

19 20

2122

23

2425

2627

28

HISTORY AND BUSINESS OF DEBTOR

2.1 NATURE OF DEBTORS BUSINESS.

Debtor, Beck & Beck Enterprise, Inc is a dry cleaning business and has been operating in Arizona since June of 2007, the business has been under the primary management of Bo and Patrick Beck. They currently have 5 locations. Two locations have a dry cleaning plant and the other 3 locations are for drop off and pick up.

2.2 OWNERSHIP OF BUSINESS.

Bo Beck, is the only shareholder of Beck & Beck Enterprise, Inc., an Arizona Corporation.

2.3 ASSETS OF THE ESTATE.

A. Personal Property.

An itemized list of Debtor's personal property as filed with the Bankruptcy Court is attached as Exhibit 2.

The value of the used Equipment was estimated by the Debtor's management to be \$36,000.00 (Schedule B, Item 29 and see itemization provided in Exhibit 2. They also have a point of Sales system in their Lone Mountain, Stetson, and DC Ranch locations. The value of this equipment at the time of filing was \$8,000.00 (Schedule B, Item 4). At the time of filing the Petition the Debtor held \$67.14 in its Alliance Bank of Arizona bank accounts. The Debtor also had security deposits with utility companies A.P.S. \$5,000.00, and Southwest Gas \$7,945.00. They also had security deposits with two of its landlords as follows YAM \$6,650.00 and DC Ranch \$2,750.00. The total value of personal property in the Estate at the time of filing was \$71,412.14.

All of the personal property listed is available for the reorganization of Debtor subject to the liens of JP Morgan Chase and Jim Mizera. If an agreement is not reached with Mr. Mizera than Debtor would purchase used equipment to continue operating that Drop store location and the cost to do so is estimated to be between \$3,000.00 to \$4,000.00. This does not have a significant impact on the First Amended Plan.

The total value of personal property at the time of filing was \$71,412.14.

As of November 30, 2016 the Debtor had \$655.94 and \$1,792.55 in their Alliance Bank accounts (See Exhibit 3C, Business Monthly Reports for November 30, 2016.)

After the filing of the Petition Debtor has generated sales Revenue of \$859,295.98 for the time period January 1, 2016 through November 30, 2016 (Exhibit 4) while downsizing its operations to 5 locations from the original 9 locations. Prior to filing the Petition Debtor had generated \$758,033.19 in sales revenue for the time period January 1, 2015 through August 31, 2015. The significance of this is that despite downsizing the number of

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

2627

28

locations their gross revenues have only decreased by approximately 17% (see August 31, 2015 Financial Statement Exhibit 5, Page 4 and November 30, 2016 Exhibit 4, Page 4). In addition to this the Debtors' Operating Expenses have decreased by an Average of \$16,500.00 per month (Also see Exhibits 4 & 5, P. 4).

2.4 EVENTS PROMPTING BANKRUPTCY FILING.

The bankruptcy filing was occasioned by the downturn in the economy, increased competition, leases that were not competitive given the marketplace, the delinquency and filing of a lawsuit regarding a Small Business loan which is secured by the equipment located at the Koala-Ty location, and a purchase carryback loan for one of the previous locations which was not financially feasible. The Debtor's primary source of funds is from the operation of its dry cleaning and laundry locations.

ARTICLE III

DEVELOPMENTS FOLLOWING FILING OF BANKRUPTCY PETITION

3.1 POST PETITION DEVELOPMENTS AND ANTICIPATED FUTURE.

This is the second filing of a Chapter 11 proceeding by the Debtor. That case number was 2:15-BK-08655. The original case was filed on July 10, 2015 and was dismissed on October 23, 2015.

Since the time of filing the second Petition, Debtor has employed the services of Attorney Bert L. Roos to represent the Debtor in this case and has employed the services of Dukmo Sung, CPA to provide payroll services and to prepare monthly operating reports.

Debtor has been streamlining the operation of its business by downsizing from 9 locations to 5 locations and this has led to decreased monthly operating costs as stated previously herein. The Debtor has also renegotiated the leases for 3 of the remaining locations further reducing monthly operating expenses. Two of the 5 locations operate a dry cleaning plant on the premises, the other 3 locations are just drop off locations.

The Debtor is working on reducing the monthly Costs of Goods Sold expense to further provide funding for the Plan

Some of the Debtor's Dry Cleaning Equipment is over 17 years old and some of the equipment may need to replaced during the course of the Plan. The primary replacement would be the cooling tower located at the Koala-Ty location. Debtor does not believe that the replacement of some of the equipment

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

what is usually allocated for maintenance and repair of the equipment.

will have any significant impact on the First Amended Plan. The cost of replacement would be absorbed by

3.2 FINANCIAL HISTORY

For the financial history of Beck & Beck Enterprise, Inc., also, see the three most recent monthly operating reports (Exhibit 3 a, b, c) and Financial Statements as of November 30, 2016 (Exhibit 4)...

Pursuant to the November 30, 2016 Financial Statement and Debtor's August 31, 2015 Financial Statements (Exhibits 4 & 5) After the filing of the Petition Debtor has generated sales Revenue of \$859,295.98 for the time period January 1, 2016 through November 30, 2016, while downsizing its operations to 5 locations from the original 9 locations. Prior to filing this Petition, Debtor had generated \$758,033.19 in sales revenue for the time period January 1, 2015 through August 31, 2015. The significance of this is that despite downsizing the number of locations their gross revenues have only decreased by approximately 17% (see August 31, 2015 Financial Statement Exhibit 5, Page 4 and November 30, 2016 Exhibit 4, Page 4). In addition to this the Debtors' Operating Expenses have decreased from an Average of \$16,500.00 per month (Also see Exhibits 4 & 5, P. 4).

Debtors Net Profit before taxes for the time period January 1, 2016 through November 30, 2016 is \$23,209.80 (Exhibit 4, P.4). This is an average of \$2,109.98 per month available to fund the Plan.

ARTICLE IV

PLAN OF REORGANIZATION

4.1 CLASSIFICATION OF CLAIMS AND INTERESTS

A copy of the Debtor's First Amended Plan of Reorganization is attached and incorporated herein. Under the Debtor's First Amended Plan of Reorganization, all allowed claims and allowed interests are placed in the following Classes.

A. PRIORITY CLAIMS.

1. Class Al Allowed Wage Claims. Class Al consists of all Allowed Wage Claims which are for wages, salaries, commissions, including vacation pay, severance and sick leave pay of the kind and in the amount specified in §507(a)(3) of the Code. No such claims exist.

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

2. Class A2: Allowed Tax Claims. Class A2 consists of all Allowed Tax Claims of governmental units of the kind specified in Section 507(a)(8) of the Code. Internal Revenue Service in the amount of \$19,923.11, and Arizona Department of Revenue in the amount of \$13,967.23.

B. SECURED CLAIMS.

The following classes of claims include only claims to the extent allowable as secured claims against property of the Debtor under §506 of the Bankruptcy Code or by Court Order.

- 1. Class B1: JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, NA. Class B1 consists of that portion of the Allowed Secured Claim of JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, NA in the amount of \$137,769.04 which is secured by the 17 year old equipment located at its Koala-Ty location at 20831 N. Scottsdale Rd. #103, Scottsdale Arizona 85255. Debtor believes the value of this used equipment is \$36,000.00 and that any amount owed over \$36,000.00 (\$101,769.04) is unsecured.
- 2. Class B2: Internal Revenue. Class B2 consists of all Allowed Tax Claims of Internal Revenue Service in the amount of \$15,128.45, which is secured by a lien on Debtor's personal property.
 - 3. Class B3: NONE
 - C. UNSECURED CLAIMS.
- 1. Class Cl: Disputed Unsecured Claims. Class Cl consists of those unsecured claims, which are contingent, unliquidated and disputed which are subject to final determination through the claims adjudication process. As of this date the only unsecured claims filed are a certain portion of the Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service's claim which it has designated as \$16,593.80, that portion of the Arizona Department of Revenue's claim of \$13,967.23, and the United States Trustees Offices for \$2,275.00.

Debtor anticipates that \$101,769.04 of JP Morgan Chase bank's \$137,769.04 claim will be designated as unsecured. If this can not be resolved with the Creditor, Debtor will file to dispute the amount of this claim.

- 2. Class C2: General Unsecured Claims. Class C2 consists of all general unsecured claims against the Debtor not included in Class Cl. None at this time.
 - 4.2 TREATMENT OF NON-CLASSIFIED CLAIMS ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

The holders of Claims entitled to priority under §507(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, including entities entitled to payment pursuant to Bankruptcy Code §503, and professionals employed by the Debtor prior to or after the entry of the Confirmation Order, shall receive cash in the amount of such claim (i) within three months after the Effective Date, (ii) at the option of Debtor, in accordance with the ordinary business terms of payment of such Claims, or (iii) at such time and/or in such amounts as the Debtor and the holders of such Allowed Claims shall agree. Debtor's counsel shall file an application for fees through confirmation.

4.3 TREATMENT OF CLASSIFIED CLAIMS.

All claims shall be treated, settled, satisfied, discharged and resolved in accordance with the following provisions:

- A. Priority Claims.
- 1. Class Al: Priority Wages. Class Al consists of all Allowed Wage Claims, which are for wages, salaries, commissions, including vacation pay, severance and sick leave pay of the kind and in the amount specified in §507(a)(3) of the Code. No such claims exist.
- 2. Class A2: Allowed Tax Claims. Class A2 consists of all Allowed Tax Claims of governmental unit of the kind specified in Section 507(a)(8) of the Code. Internal Revenue Service in the amount of \$19,923.11, and Arizona Department of Revenue in the amount of \$13,967.23 shall be paid over 44 months
 - B. Secured Claims.
- 1. <u>Class B1: JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, NA</u>. Class B1 shall be paid the amount of \$36,000.00 of their \$137,769.04 claim over 60 months. The Holder of the Class B1 Claim shall retain its lien securing such claim, the balance of the claim, \$101,769.04, shall be treated as unsecured.
- 2. <u>Class B2: Internal Revenue</u>. Class B2 shall be paid the amounts of \$15,128.45 to the Internal Revenue Service, over the next 48 months.
 - Class B3: None
 - C. Unsecured Claims.
- 1. Class C1: Disputed Unsecured Claims. Class C1 will be decided upon, either in pending litigation or by agreement of the parties.

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

2728

2. Class C2: General Unsecured Claims. The holders of Class C2 shall be paid two (2%) percent the amount of their Allowed Claims over the next 72 months.

D. Treatment of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases.

Debtor has leases for 5 locations that it operates. Debtor has renegotiated the leases for three (3) of the 5 locations and will complete the terms of those leases. Claims for balances owed, if any, for locations that were closed and not reaffirmed shall be treated as unsecured. No claims have been filed.

E. Penalties and Other Charges.

No portion of an allowed claim shall include, and no distribution shall be made on account of any fines, penalties, exemplary or punitive damages, late charges or other monetary charge relating to or arising from any default or breach by the Debtor. An objection shall be deemed to have been filed by Debtor to any claim for such an amount.

F. Controlling Effect

The provisions of this Plan shall modify and supersede the terms of any promissory notes, deeds of trust, security agreements and other documents relating to any Allowed Claim. The Debtor shall not be deemed to have assumed any obligations specified in any promissory notes, deed of trust, security agreement or other document relating to an Allowed Claim except as specifically provided by the Plan. All Allowed Claims maybe prepaid at any time without penalty or premium.

G. Prepayment of Claims.

The Debtor reserves the right to prepay any class of Claims in full at any time without prepayment penalties.

ARTICLE V

MEANS FOR EXECUTION OF THE PLAN

5.1 SOURCE OF PLAN FUNDS

The primary means for effectuating the plan shall be the Debtor's net income from the operation of the 2 dry cleaning locations, and the 3 Drop locations..

5.2 PROJECTION OF INCOME AND EXPENSES.

The Debtor projects it will receive sufficient income to make all payments called for under the First Amended Plan of Reorganization. Debtor anticipates that the Plan will be funded with future income of the Debtor's business.

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

20

19

2122

2324

25

26

2728

Based upon the Debtor's November 30, 2016 Financial Statements (Exhibit 4) Debtor currently has available average monthly revenue from its sales of \$2,109.90 per month to fund the Plan. Debtor also believes that further reductions in monthly wages by \$500.00 to \$1,000.00 can provide additional monthly revenue to fund the Plan within a 72 month period of time and to pay the Department of the Treasury, IRS and Arizona Department of Revenue within 44 months.

5.3 RETENTION OF CLAIMS

The Plan provides that pursuant to 11 U. S. C. § 1123 (b)(3), the Debtors shall retain and may enforce the claims they held pre-petition.

ARTICLE VI

ACCEPTANCE AND CONFIRMATION OF PLAN

6.1 CONFIRMATION POSSIBLE WHERE CLASS DOES NOT ACCEPT.

The Court will be asked to confirm as to any Class of claims that does not accept the First Amended Plan. To do so, the Court must find that the Plan: (1) is fair and equitable with respect to each Class of claims that is impaired and has not accepted the Plan; and (2) that each holder of a claim receives or retains under the Plan, on account of such Claim, property of a value, as of the Effective date of the Plan, that is not less than the amount that would be received or retained if the Debtor's property were liquidated under Chapter 7 of Title 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

6.2 BEST INTEREST TEST.

The Debtor believes that the "Best Interest Test" imposed by 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(7) is satisfied by the First Amended Plan. That is, each holder of a claim will receive at least as much as such holder would receive in a Chapter 7 liquidation.

6.3 FAIR AND EQUITABLE TEST.

If a class of Secured claims does not accept the First Amended Plan, the Bankruptcy Code provides that the fair and equitable requirement of 11 U.S.C. § 1129(b) is satisfied if the Class retains its lien and receives deferred cash payments of a present value equal to the value of the claimant's secured interesting Debtor's property. Debtor believes this requirement is satisfied as to each class of Secured Claims, because the Plan provides for each holder to receive the value of its interest in its collateral together with interest at the existing rate.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

ARTICLE VII

TAX CONSEQUENCES

IN NO EVENT WILL THE DEBTOR OR ANY AFFILIATES OR PROFESSIONAL ADVISORS ENGAGED BY ANY OF HIM BE LIABLE IF, FOR ANY REASON, THE TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE FIRST AMENDED PLAN ARE OTHER THAN AS ANTICIPATED. CREDITORS MUST LOOK SOLELY TO AND RELY UPON THEIR OWN ADVISORS AS TO THE TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THIS PLAN.

ARTICLE VIII

LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS

"BEST INTEREST" TEST AND LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS.

Unless all impaired creditors or interest holders accept, in order to confirm the First Amended Plan of Reorganization the Bankruptcy Court must independently determine that the Plan is in the best interest of all creditors or interest holders which do not accept the Plan. The "best interest" test requires that the Plan provide to each member of each class of unsecured claims recovery that has a present value at least equal to the present value of the Distribution, which each such claimant would receive if the Debtor was instead liquidated under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code.

In other words, the issue is whether the creditors and equity interest holders will receive as much under the Plan as they would from a Trustee's liquidation pursuant to Chapter 7.

A Liquidation Analysis is attached to this Disclosure Statement as Exhibit 6.

In performing this analysis, the Bankruptcy Court must first determine the dollar amount that would be generated from a Chapter 7 liquidation of Debtor's assets, add this amount to cash held by the Debtor and to be recovered on actions against third parties, then deduct the costs of liquidation.

Under the Plan of Reorganization, all of the Debtor's creditors will be paid pursuant to the value of the secured claim. Obviously, creditors would not receive more than this amount under a liquidation. Moreover, if the Debtor was to be liquidated in a Chapter 7 case, the Debtor believes that the chances of creditors receiving full payment are remote. A court-appointed trustee with no prior knowledge of the Debtor, his business operations (or transactions which would fund the Distributions to creditors) would liquidate the assets of Debtor and Distribute the proceeds in accordance with the legal priorities established

under the Bankruptcy Code. All expenses of the Chapter 7 case, including fees of the Trustee, his or her counsel, accountants and any other professionals appointed in the Chapter 7 case must be paid in full before any Distribution is made on account of expenses and claims in the Chapter 11 case, which in turn must be paid before any Distribution is made to pre-petition creditors.

Debtor's projected Distributions under the First Amended Plan, and that the costs associated with a Chapter 7 liquidation would be substantially greater than the projected costs of consummating the Plan, primarily because a Chapter 7 liquidation would add another layer of costs and expenses to this one. A Chapter 7 Trustee would have no familiarity with the Debtor's business operations and would necessarily incur a substantial amount of fees in becoming educated on the complexities of this business. Moreover, the Chapter 7 Trustee would require as much assistance, if not more, from outside counsel and other professionals as the Debtor will require to consummate the Plan. Assuming the Chapter 7 Trustee were to hire different professionals, they would also incur an exorbitant amount of additional fees in making the transition and familiarizing themselves with the bankruptcy and all related matters. Accordingly, such increased fees and expenses would considerably lower the return to creditors in a Chapter 7 case as compared with the expected Distributions under the First Amended Plan.

In addition, a conversion to Chapter 7 would delay Distributions to creditors. The Chapter 7 Trustee, like Debtor, might decide to proceed with litigation against various creditors on preference, fraudulent conveyance and subordination theories. However, under the Plan, Debtor will make Distributions prior to the resolution of all such claims. In contrast, no Distributions are generally made in a Chapter 7 case until all of the assets of and claims against the estate have been liquidated, a process that could take several years. It is unusual for a Distribution to be made within two years of the appointment of a Chapter 7 Trustee in a case involving substantial assets or claims. The First Amended Plan, on the other hand, provides for immediate Distributions and interim Distributions thereafter, until the Plan is consummated. In short, the delay posed by a Chapter 7 liquidation would further impair the value of any Distribution made to secured or unsecured creditors under such liquidation as compared with Distributions made under Debtor's Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization.

ARTICLE IX

REQUIREMENTS RESPECTING U.S. TRUSTEE

1	Internal Revenue Service
2	Department of the Treasury
3	Cincinnati, OH 45999-0029
4	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
5	P.O. Box 29010
6	PHOENIX, AZ. 85038-9010
7	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
8	Attention BK Payment Unit c/o 1275 W. Washington St.
9	Phoenix, AZ 85007-2926
10	JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, NA
11	c/o POLI & BALL, PLC 2999 N. 44 th St. Ste. 500
12	Phoenix, AZ 85018
13	
14	ALL PARTIES ON THE MASTER MAILING MATRIX
15	
16	By /s/Theresa Bailey
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	