1	Bradley J. Stevens – 006723		
2	<u>bstevens@jsslaw.com</u> JENNINGS, STROUSS & SALMON, P.L.C. A Professional Limited Liability Company		
3	One East wasnington Street, Suite 1900		
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2554 Telephone: (602) 262-5911		
5	Facsimile: (602) 495-2654 Attorneys for Debtors		
6			
7		ES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
8	FOR THE DISTR	RICT OF ARIZONA	
9	In re:	Chapter 11 Proceedings	
10	PHOENIX MANUFACTURING PARTNERS, LLC, et al.,	Case No. 2:16-bk-04898-EPB	
11	Debtors.	Case No. 2:16-bk-06107-EPB	
12		Case No. 2:16-bk-06109-EPB	
13	This filing applies to:		
14	ALL DEBTORS	Jointly Administered Under	
15	PHOENIX MANUFACTURING PARTNERS, LLC	Case No. 2:16-bk-04898-EPB	
16	JOINED ALLOYS, LLC	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RELATING TO DEBTORS' JOINT	
17	DLS PRECISION FAB, LLC, dba	PLAN OF REORGANIZATION	
18	DI-MATRIX PRECISION MANUFACTURING	DATED NOVEMBER 30, 2016	
19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
20	Phoenix Manufacturing Partners, LLC, Joined Alloys, LLC and DLS Precisions Fab,		
21	LLC, dba Di-Matrix Precision Manufacturing, the debtors and debtors-in-possession (the		
22	"Debtors") herein, by and through undersigned counsel, hereby submits to the Court and		
23	creditors of the Debtors' estate the following "Disclosure Statement Relating to Debtors' Joint		
24	Plan of Reorganization Dated November 30, 2016" ("Disclosure Statement"). This Disclosure		
25	Statement is submitted pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1125.		
26	11 U.S.C. § 1125(b) prohibits the solicitation of acceptances or rejections of a plan		
	Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 1 of 60		

of reorganization unless such plan is accompanied by a copy of a disclosure statement which
has been approved by the Bankruptcy Court. The purpose of this Disclosure Statement is to
provide creditors and interested parties in this bankruptcy proceeding with such information as
may reasonably be deemed sufficient to allow creditors and interested parties to make an
informed decision regarding the Debtors' "Joint Plan of Reorganization Dated November 30,
2016" ("Plan"). Unless otherwise noted, those portions of the Plan and this Disclosure
Statement providing factual information concerning the Debtors, their assets and liabilities,
have been prepared from information submitted by the Debtor and its retained professionals.

This Disclosure Statement contains information that may influence your decision to accept or reject the Debtors' proposed Plan. Please read this document with care.

The financial information contained in this Disclosure Statement has not been subjected to an audit by an independent certified public accountant. For that reason, the Debtors are not able to warrant or represent that the information contained in this Disclosure Statement is without any inaccuracy. To the extent practicable, the information has been prepared from the Debtors' financial books and records and great effort has been made to ensure that all such information is fairly represented.

This Disclosure Statement and the Plan will classify all creditors into Classes. The treatment of each Class of creditors will be set forth in this Disclosure Statement and in the Plan. You should carefully examine the treatment of the Class to which your Claim will be assigned.

This Disclosure Statement requires approval by the Bankruptcy Court after notice and a hearing pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §1125(b). Once approved, the Disclosure Statement will be distributed with the Debtors' proposed Plan for voting. Approval of the Disclosure Statement by the Bankruptcy Court does not constitute either certification or approval of the Debtors' Plan by the Bankruptcy Court or that the Disclosure Statement is without any inaccuracy.

The Bankruptcy Court will confirm the Plan if the requirements of §1129 of the Bankruptcy Code are satisfied. The Bankruptcy Court must determine whether the Plan has

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 2 of 60 been accepted by each impaired Class entitled to vote on the Plan. Impaired Classes entitled to
vote on the Plan are those Classes of claims whose legal, equitable, or contractual rights are
altered, as defined under §1124 of the Bankruptcy Code. An impaired Class of claims is
deemed to have accepted the Plan if at least two-thirds (2/3) in amount of those claims who vote
and more than one-half (1/2) in number of those claims who vote have accepted the Plan. An
impaired Class of interests is deemed to have accepted the Plan if the Plan has been accepted by
at least two-thirds (2/3) in amount of the allowed interests who vote on the Plan.

Even if each Class of creditors does not accept the Plan, the Plan can be confirmed under §1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, so long as one impaired Class of creditors accepts the Plan. This is referred to as the "cram down" provision of the Bankruptcy Code. The failure of each Class to accept the Plan could very well result in a conversion of this case to Chapter 7 or dismissal of the Chapter 11.

Only the votes of those creditors or interested parties whose ballots are timely received will be counted in determining whether a Class has accepted the Plan.

I. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

26

The definitions set forth in Article I of the Plan apply in this Disclosure Statement except to the extent other definitions are set forth in this Disclosure Statement.

18 II. THE DEBTOR, BACKGROUND, AND EVENTS PRECIPITATING THE CHAPTER 11 19 A. TU. D. M.

A. The Debtors.

Phoenix Manufacturing Partners, LLC ("PMP"), formerly known as GeoMerch,
 LLC, is a limited liability company, organized in 2003, under the laws of the state of
 Delaware. PMP is taxed as a partnership. The members of PMP are JJPS, LLC and BizDev,
 LLC. PMP is the sole member of DLS Precision Fab, LLC and Joined Alloys, LLC. PMP also
 owned 51% of OVAC, LLC, until that interest was sold, post-petition. (See Section III (F),
 infra.)

DLS Precision Fab, LLC dba Di- Matrix Precision Manufacturing ("DM") is a

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 3 of 60

limited liability company which was organized in 1997 under the laws of the state of Arizona. 1 Joined Alloys, LLC ("JA") is a limited liability company which was organized in 2 2003 under the laws of the state of Arizona.

3

7881 E. Gray Rd., LLC ("Gray Road") is a limited liability company which was 4 organized in December 2011 under the laws of the state of Arizona. Gray Rd purchased land 5 and a building in January 2012 which it leased to DM. Gray Road is dissolved and no longer 6 conducts any business. 7

OVAC, LLC ("OVAC") is a limited liability company which was organized in 8 January 2013, under the laws of the state of Arizona. OVAC is a metal distributor focused on 9 aluminum, stainless steel and specialty metals. In January 2013, OVAC acquired Hi Temp 10 Management Consulting, Inc. ("HiTemp") 11

DM is a full-service contract DM and JA are engaged in manufacturing. 12 manufacturer of fabricated sheet metal, Computer Numerical Controlled ("CNC") machined 13 components, and welded and painted components and assemblies. The Debtors serve a variety 14 of industries, including military, aerospace, medical, energy, electronic, automotive and 15 general commercial. JA is a AS9100 and ISO 9001 certified manufacturer of Computer 16 Numerical Controlled ("CNC") machining components as well as a special processes provider 17 of brazing, heat-treating, welding, and many other processes, mainly servicing the aerospace 18 industry. 19

JA was founded by Joseph W. Yockey ("Yockey") and James Z. Bowen in 2003. 20 JA's primary customer at the time was Honeywell and JA provided manufacturing of various 21 parts for use in their turbines. JA also provided brazing, heat treating and general machining 22 for other aerospace and commercial clients as a job shop. 23

JA's business in aerospace manufacturing expanded and led to increasing sales. 24 Revenues grew from \$400,000 in 2003 to \$8.1 million in 2010 and JA operated profitably each 25 year for those seven years. 26

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 60 5410066v3(65599.2)

In May 2007, JA acquired American Precision Machining (APM) and integrated
 those operations seamlessly into JA's business. In September 2008, JA acquired Space
 Manufacturing Inc. (SM) and also integrated those operations into JA's business. In January
 2011, JA allowed its assets to be acquired by PMP through formation of a partnership with
 DM. In exchange, JA was given 25% interest in PMP.

The logic of this partnership was based on perceived synergies between the two 6 manufacturing companies in both aerospace and defense with a hope of significant new 7 business generated from the combination. The percentage of ownership between the 8 companies in the new venture was based upon representations from Jordan Geotas ("Geotas"), 9 principal of DM. In particular, Geotas provided documents showing EBITDA earnings for 10 DM of four times the EBITDA of JA and assurances that the programs DM provided product 11 for would remain strong. The members of JA expressed concern about DM sales based on the 12 potential wind down of the Iraq war effort but were reassured by Geotas' financial 13 information. Geotas, who is an attorney, suggested that in order to save costs in closing the 14 transaction, that JA should not get its own attorney and instead have one law firm represent all 15 the members. 16

Geotas presented the Operating Agreement at closing instructing each member to sign. The agreement stated that each member had been advised to consult separate counsel with regards to their interest in PMP. In fact, he knew this to be untrue as he had advocated for the use of a single law firm and undertook drafting the agreement without input from any of the other members or their attorneys. When questioned why this statement was in the agreement his stated reason was explicit; it was required to protect him as an attorney, not to protect the other members or their interests.

A post-closing audit of 2010 financials revealed that while DM's gross revenues were double the revenues of JA, cash earnings, both on a gross and percentage basis were actually less than JA's. On a gross margin basis, DM had 14% gross profit margins while JA

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 5 of 60 1 had 41% gross profit margins. Net profit for DM was 2% while JA was 4%. This pattern of
2 misrepresentation by Geotas is a major cause of PMP's current financial crisis.

Although PMP is comprised of two partner members, only one of those partner members, JA, has been shouldering the financial burdens of the enterprise since the merger of the members. JA has continued to perform at its pre-merger levels and has even grown its revenues whereas DM has declined precipitously since the merger. This decline has jeopardized the entire enterprise. (See comparative chart of the <u>Debtors' Operating Activities</u>, attached hereto as **Exhibit A**).

9

B. Ownership of the Debtors

PMP began with an Operating Agreement that provided for the following member
interests for voting in 2011:

12	For Biz Dev LLC	Thomas Tierney	18.65625%
13		James J. Farley	17.53125%
14		Thomas Kenrick	17.53125%
15		Jordan Geotas	17.53125%
16		Keith Hastings	3.75%
17	For JJPS LLC	Joe Yockey	10.5%
18		Jim Bowen	10.5%
19		Plamen Ivanov	3.25%
20		Scott Omelianowich	.75%

A second amended and restated operating agreement for PMP was executed in April of 2014. Execution of this new agreement was completed over the objections of Yockey and with reservations from other members of JJPS. The voting interests in the amended agreement were as follows:

- 25
- 26

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB 5410066v3(65599.2)

1	For Biz Dev LLC		
2		James J. Farley	21.90028 %
3		Thomas Kenrick	21.90028%
4		Jordan Geotas	21.90028%
5	For JJPS LLC		
6		Joe Yockey	14.59509 %
7		Jim Bowen	14.59509%
8		Plamen Ivanov	4.15105%
9		Scott Omelianowich	.95794%

C. PMP Financial Information

In 2011 upon merger, the duties of running the business were split between the four 11 major interest holders that were active in the business. Since JA was in the middle of a large 12 development project with Honeywell, Yockey's main duties were to oversee the successful 13 development efforts at JA and mentor the operations and management personnel at DM in 14 implementing a lean enterprise system similar to that at JA. Geotas was responsible for 15 business development, sales and finance. Thomas Kenrick ("Kenrick") was responsible for 16 operations at DM and Jim Bowen ("Bowen") was responsible for special processing. In 2011, 17 PMP had gross revenues of \$36,635,851. Revenues from JA were \$8,155,426 and revenues 18 from DM were \$28,480,425. Combined expenses were \$35,768,271 for an EBITDA of 19 \$867,580. 20

In 2012, Debtors had gross revenues of \$23,165,381 made up of \$10,600,527 from 21 JA and \$12,564,854 from DM. JA revenues were up approximately \$2 million from the 22 previous year and revenues were down approximately \$16 million for DM. Combined cost of 23 goods and expenses were \$18,476,001 and \$2,825,494 respectively for an EBITDA of 24 \$1,863,886. 25

26

10

A significant amount of DM's revenue came from defense contracts for armored

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 60 5410066v3(65599.2)

vehicles used in the war effort. In 2012, the US Government went through a shut down that 1 caused sequestration of spending which drastically reduced revenues. During this time of 2 shrinking revenues, Geotas pushed to purchase the property on Gray Road and move the 3 operations from the south Phoenix plant to Scottsdale Airpark. The members of JJPS 4 questioned the logic of this transaction, suggesting it would be more prudent to reduce the 5 existing space from 60,000 square feet to 30,000 square feet and avoid the cost of moving. The 6 recommendations were rejected by Geotas and the company spent approximately \$600,000.00 7 in move-related expenses. 8

In 2013, Debtors had gross revenues of \$14,979,861 made up of \$9,732,091 from
JA and \$5,009,625 from DM. JA revenues were down approximately \$1 million from the
previous year and revenues were down approximately \$7 million for DM. Combined cost of
goods and expenses were \$13,155,032 and \$1,618,261, respectively, for an EBITDA of
\$33,353.

In 2013, contracts associated with Armor Works and Shock Ride were canceled
resulting in permanent loss of significant revenues for DM. Even with the slight reduction in
sales from the JA side, Yockey was able to deliver \$1,816,087 in operating income for the
company. Unfortunately, the income was offset by a (\$1,847,663) loss on the DM side.

By the end of 2013, it was apparent that the existing DM business could not support the operation. Yockey recommended to Geotas that PMP shutter the Gray Road facility and combine what DM business was left into the company's other operating facilities. This recommendation was also rejected by Geotas. Instead, Geotas orchestrated the recruitment and subsequent hiring of managers to replace Yockey's roles as CEO and COO of the company. Yockey opposed this decision based on the Company's ability to operate profitably with the increased overhead.

In 2014, Debtors had gross revenues of \$16,753,338 made up of \$12,255,861 from JA and \$4,497,477 from DM. JA revenues were up approximately \$2 million from the

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/80/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 8 of 60 previous year and revenues were down approximately \$500,000 for DM. Combined cost of
goods and expenses were \$13,731,410 and \$2,425,714, respectively, for an EBITDA of
\$596,215.

In 2014, it became clear that the decisions being made by the new managers were
having an adverse effect on the company's profitability, cash flow and customer relations. At
JA, cost of goods sold increased by 41%, overhead expenses increased by 83% and operating
profits decreased 37%. On time delivery of the products to the company's main customer,
Honeywell, decreased from 95% to 70% and the failure to adequately allocate resources to a
new customer, Vericor Power Systems, resulted in a sustained shutdown of their engine
assembly line and the subsequent loss of business.

In September 2014, the COO Bill Pesch was removed and Yockey was asked to assume the operational duties at JA. Soon after, it became apparent that without a drastic change in the direction and structure of the company, the operation was not sustainable. In October 2014, the remaining managers called a meeting with the owners and demanded a salary increase and bonuses based on representations provided them by Geotas. Based on the compensation plan provided to ownership by Geotas and the financial situation of the company, Yockey voted to reject the demand but was out-voted by majority.

As a result of Yockey's objections to the decisions being made, and the failure to act, primarily by Geotas, with regard to taking on additional debt, increasing overhead costs and hiring highly paid executives to replace him, in February, 2015, Yockey ceased active involvement in the operational and financial affairs of the Debtors.

In 2015, Debtors had gross revenues of \$18,134,251 made up of \$13,484,916 from JA and \$4,649,335 from DM. Combined cost of goods and expenses were \$16,421,589 and \$2,510,029, respectively, for an EBITDA of (\$797,366).

In early 2015, the members of JJPS became aware that Rich McManus the CEO had entered into a multi-million dollar long term lease agreement for a new facility in north

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 9 of 60 Phoenix. PMP's operating agreement restricted the CEO from committing the company to
 liabilities over one million dollars without majority interest approval. Upon discovering that
 an agreement had been signed, the members of JJPS called an owners meeting to discuss this
 and other concerns related to the performance of the business.

On April 25, 2015, an owners meeting was held in which Yockey provided the other 5 owners with data showing the drastic decline in business performance at the JA facility since 6 the new managers were hired. (See Exhibit B). Also discussed was how the CEO was able to 7 obligate the company to a long term lease without approval from the owners. Geotas 8 disregarded the data and stated that the approval to enter into the lease was an implied 9 Subsequently discovered and unknown to the members of JJPS, Geotas had approval. 10 previously executed an agreement with Kenrick and Farley on April 15, 2015, to purchase 11 their interest in BizDev giving him majority control. (See Exhibits C and D). This violated 12 the covenants with the bank and was a clear failure to perform his fiduciary duty to protect his 13 minority partners. It also provided Geotas a justification to act unilaterally on major decisions. 14

PMP began its first year of operation in the midst of a government shut-down. The two events which coincided to create a major loss of revenue for Debtors were sequestration of federal spending caused by congressional dysfunction. On top of the sequestration, the conclusion of the war efforts in Iraq and Afghanistan created massive deficits which required a reduction in military spending as those efforts were reduced. Together, those events led to a loss of the majority of DM revenue contribution to PMP (over \$20 million loss of revenue from 2011 to 2013).

In the midst of this downturn in revenue from DM, the majority owner representative of PMP, Geotas, believed that the way out of the crisis in revenue was to acquire other companies as a way to avoid paying bills. Over JJPS's objections, PMP acquired an interest in a supplier (OVAC, LLC and Hi Temp) and created more debt as a result.

26

The original operating agreement of PMP, because it had two different groups of

minority voting members with separate shared histories, created a provision that required a 1 super majority of 65% of voting interests to acquire companies or bring in new members. 2 Geotas, who drafted the agreement, advocated to the JJPS group that the operating agreement 3 should be amended in order to allow PMP to hire officers. When asked directly if any other 4 provisions of the agreement had changed except to allow the hiring of officers, Geotas 5 represented that that was the only change made. In reality, he had also changed the 6 requirement for super majority which gave his voting group control over those decisions. This 7 act created mistrust among the members and created a split in the voting factions of the 8 owners, leading to lack of consensus on company direction. 9

As noted above, Geotas advocated hiring high paid professional managers as a way to bring revenues back to the company. Specifically, he wanted to hire a CEO and a COO to replace Yockey's role as CEO/COO. Yockey believed that this was a bad idea in the midst of declining revenue. Salary and benefits for the two officers amounted to over \$250,000 in 2014 and over \$500,000 in 2015. As noted above, EBITDA for the previous year was only \$596,215 and was \$(797,366) in 2015.

The new CEO, Richard McManus, rather than consolidating assets in facilities appropriate to the scale of operations, decided to enter into a lease agreement with M-Pinnacle7thAZ that was above market price and beyond the needs of the company. Such a move also created serious logistical issues at a time that PMP was already having trouble meeting customer deadlines for product.

With cash extremely constrained as a result of all these factors, Geotas entered into additional loans that pulled even more cash away from company operations with hard money lenders Merchant Cash/Biz Fi and Can Capital/New Logic. Those loans required daily withdrawals from PMP's bank accounts. These loans were entered into without the knowledge or consent of any other owners or of UMB. The loans violated the restrictive covenants previously in place with UMB and Geotas' ultra vires actions severely damaged the

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 11 of 60 1 Debtors.

2

D. Efforts to Avoid Chapter 11

After the hiring of the highly paid executives and having Yockey's concerns for the financial state of the business ignored, Yockey quit in August of 2015 and went to work for CAD Enterprises. In November of 2015, the bottom finally fell out. The CFO Keith Kranzow resigned and the CEO Richard McManus was terminated. Yockey was asked to rejoin the Debtors in January of 2016 as the crisis reached its peak. Yockey voluntarily took a \$50,000.00/yr. pay reduction from his previous employment at CAD in order to address the crisis.

The company had an employee furlough the first week of January 2016. On January
11 15th a 8% reduction in force was performed. Bowen and Ivanov voluntarily reduced their
salaries by approximately \$35,000/yr.

PMP hired John Trotter of Brockson, an investment banking group, to explore
financing options related to a transaction or sale of the company.

As a result of the hiring of Trotter, a corporate resolution was drafted and executed
giving Yockey control of all operations of the Debtors including the ability to enter into
bankruptcy if necessary.

18 Trotter and Yockey had extensive negotiations with Honeywell that included loans, 19 cash infusions, long term purchase orders, payment reduction terms and revenue support 20 proposals. Unfortunately, after several months of good faith efforts, Honeywell ultimately 21 rejected the financial accommodation options which left the Debtors with no choice but to 22 seek protection under Chapter 11.

23

E. Jordan Geotas Misconduct.

Exacerbating these difficult circumstances was a pattern of misrepresentation, fraud and self-dealing by the principal architect of the merger, Geotas, and his associated poor business decisions. He obscured the actual financial situation of DM from merger partners and

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 12 of 60 the bank, which omissions were not discovered until it was too late. Even during the crisis
when some of these misrepresentations were made know, intentional obfuscation and
ill-conceived majority voting interests, delayed crucial intervention that could have avoided a
Chapter 11 filing. This pattern of misconduct is briefly described below:

Two key documents were provided by Geotas to JA before the merger. One
 was a financial Statement of Operations report of historical and year-to-date income. The
 second provided an Income Statement and Balance Sheet for JA. (See Exhibit E and F).

Both of these documents had serious misstatements that came to light in a 8 post-merger audit. With respect to the Statement of Operations both the Operating Income, 9 Gross Margin and Net Margin were falsely represented. The document shows Operating 10 Income as of September 2010 of over \$1 million but audited numbers show less than half that 11 by the end of the year. Similarly, the gross margin shown in the Statement of Operations was 12 at 23% based on sales of \$10 million showing a gross profit of \$2.4 million. But the audited 13 gross margin was only 10% based on sales of \$17 million and the same \$2.4 million gross 14 profit. In other words, approximately \$7 million in sales went out of DM at 0% margin from 15 September to December 2010, if the original numbers were to be believed. 16

The Balance Sheet was even more misrepresented as it was a comparison, prepared 17 by Geotas, between the accurate numbers provided by JA, with inaccurate numbers provided 18 by DM. The inventory number listed as an asset and used to provide justification for BizDev's 19 member interests was grossly misrepresented. Whereas \$7,499,113 was listed as the asset 20 value in the represented balance sheet, the actual value was reduced dramatically as it became 21 obvious that this inventory was worthless. As a result, PMP wrote off the entire value of DM's 22 inventory within three years. Importantly, this asset number was used to justify the member 23 interests assigned in the agreement as it inflated the net worth and equity of DM. Had these 24 numbers been represented accurately it is unlikely the merger would have taken place. And 25 even if it had gone forward with accurate information, the member interests would have been 26

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11(30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 13 of 60 assigned in proportion to net worth. JA relied on these misrepresentations due to Geotas'
 standing as an attorney with professional responsibilities and industry credentials.

Both JA and DM had partners that were part of their pre-merger structures. 2. 3 Numerous discussions ensured that these partners were bought out in anticipation of a new 4 company structure formed through the merger. In the case of JA, these partners were bought 5 out and their equity was acquired properly. However, the BizDev liabilities did not appear on 6 any documents provided to the merger partners nor did they appear on the compliance 7 certificate signed by Geotas and provided to the bank. Geotas cleverly hid BizDev's 8 obligations as CFO of the new venture and made these obligations a part of the debt structure 9 of the new company. Randy Chamerski and Gary Eckebrecht, both previous partners of 10 Geotas prior to the merger with JA, received cash payouts in total of \$814,215.00 including 11 legal fees post-merger from January 2011 through August 2015. This is a clear case of 12 self-dealing, as Geotas made PMP responsible for a debt that was clearly a legacy debt of 13 BizDev. With the precipitous decline of revenues from DM, the actual payment of this 14 partner's previous debt was shouldered by JA which was the only member company 15 generating income. So not only was the debt responsibility shifted to the new company, the 16 actual payments were not even split evenly between the companies but rather they were 17 covered by the member that should not have had any responsibility for the debt in the first 18 place. (See Exhibits G and H). 19

3. The original operating agreement of PMP, because it had two different groups of minority voting members with separate shared histories, created a provision that required a super majority of 65% of voting interests for important decisions. Geotas, who drafted the agreement, advocated to the JJPS group that the original operating agreement should be amended in order to allow PMP to hire officers. When asked directly if any other provisions of the agreement had changed except to allow the hiring of officers, Geotas represented that that was the only change made. In reality, he had also changed the

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 14 of 60 requirement for super majority, which gave his voting group control over all decisions. This
 act created mistrust among the members, allowed Geotas to avoid Board meetings and created
 a split in the voting factions of the owners, leading to lack of consensus on company direction.

4 4. As noted above, two hard money loans were entered into by Geotas that
5 obligated the company and were secured by accounts receivable. These loans from BizFi and
6 Can Capital were at above market interest rates and required daily withdrawals from PMP's
7 bank accounts to service the debts. These loans were entered into, ultra vires, and without the
8 knowledge or consent of any of the other owners or the primary lending institution in violation
9 of the UMB Bank restrictive covenants previously in place.

Geotas also used the elimination of the super majority to avoid board 5. 10 meetings and made unilateral decisions to increase debt in violation of bank covenants. A 11 CEO, CFO and COO were hired and were paid significant salaries at a time when revenues 12 were declining. These hires were strenuously objected to by Yockey but these objections were 13 ignored. In addition and despite clear criteria associated with providing raises and bonuses that 14 were not met, Geotas approved raises and bonuses to these officers again over objections of 15 Yockey. Salary and benefits for the two officers amounted to over \$250,000 in 2014 and over 16 \$500,000 in 2015. EBITDA for 2014 was \$596,215 and \$(797,366) in 2015. 17

6. During the short tenure of these officers, Geotas, rather than consolidating
assets in facilities appropriate to the scale of operations, allowed the new CEO to enter into a
lease agreement with M-Pinnacle 7thaz, LLC that was above market price and beyond the
needs of the company. This decision created another financial burden that exceeded the
financial resources of the company.

This pattern of misrepresentation, self-dealing and lack of fiduciary responsibility are at the heart of the current difficulties of PMP. By falsifying information, manipulating agreements, obligating the company beyond its means, all during a challenging business environment in which key government contracts were being eliminated, Geotas put an

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 15 of 60 extraordinary burden on the one member company that maintained a measure of adequate
 revenues and profits -- JA. However even for that member company, burden was
 unsustainable and resulted in the decision to file Chapter 11.

Yockey came back to work in January of 2016 as acting President when the crisis
was at its peak, and voluntarily took a \$50,000.00/year salary reduction from his previous
employment. The company had an employee furlough the first week of January 2016. On
January 15th an 8% reduction in force was performed. Bowen and Ivanov voluntarily reduced
their salaries by approximately \$35,000/yr.

Since the Petition Dates debtors have created and enforced a strict Budget approved
by UMB Bank and in conjunction with the Cash Collateral Order. Debtor has reduced staffing
to a very lean level from 103 to 83, has implemented weekly cash flow spreadsheet reviews,
and has tightly followed a break-even analysis, which is constantly monitored. As a result, JA
is timely fulfilling its orders and operating with adequate margins to maintain operations. DM
is still struggling to provide any substantive revenues.

15

F. <u>Prepetition Secured Debt Structure</u>.

The UMB Facility. The Debtors are indebted and obligated to UMB under the
 Loan, which is currently in default, as set forth below:

a. *The Loan*. On July 31, 2015, UMB extended to the Debtors ("Borrowers"),
jointly and severally, a consolidated non-revolving line of credit in the principal amount of
\$8,650,000.00 (the "Loan") that replaced 1) a revolving line of credit in the original principal
amount of \$5,600,000.00 (the "A-Loan"), and 2) a term loan in the original principal amount
of \$4,968,641.00 (the "Term Loan").

b. *The Note.* The Loan is evidenced by that certain consolidated and
replacement Promissory Note dated July 31, 2015 in the principal amount of \$8,650,000.00
(the "Note"), which replaced and consolidated that (i) Promissory Note dated January 31, 2014
in the principal amount of \$5,600,000.00, which replaced a Promissory Note dated February

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 16 of 60

21, 2013 in the principal amount of \$6,500,000.00, which replaced an earlier Promissory Note 1 dated May 31, 2012 in the same principal amount, which replaced the original Promissory 2 Note dated April 7, 2011 in the same principal amount and which was reduced to 3 \$5,000,000.00 pursuant to the Third Modification Agreement (defined below) and that (ii) 4 Promissory Note dated January 31, 2014 in the principal amount of \$4,968,641.00, which 5 replaced that certain Consolidated Replacement Promissory Note dated February 21, 2013 in 6 the principal amount of \$4,388.859.64, which consolidated and replaced that Promissory Note 7 dated May 16, 2012 in the principal amount of \$4,556,000.00, and that Promissory Note dated 8 January 8, 2013 in the principal amount of \$625,000.00. 9

10

The Loan Agreement. The Loan was advanced to the Borrowers pursuant to c. a Business Loan Agreement by and between Borrowers and Lender dated January 31, 2014, 11 which replaced a Business Loan Agreement dated February 21, 2013, which replaced a 12 Business Loan Agreement dated May 31, 2012, which replaced the original Business Loan 13 Agreement dated April 7, 2011 (collectively, the "Loan Agreement"). 14

The Security Documents. The Loan is secured by, among other things: (i) d. 15 three separate Commercial Security Agreements dated April 7, 2011 executed for the benefit 16 of UMB by the Joined Alloys and DiMatrix; (ii) together with a separate Commercial Security 17 Agreement dated February 25, 2013 granted and executed for the benefit of UMB by OVAC, 18 LLC and Debtors for UMB's benefit (collectively, the "Security Agreements"), pursuant to 19 which Borrowers pledged and granted a first priority security interest and lien to Lender 20 against all of Borrower's business assets including without limitation all inventory, accounts, 21 equipment, and general intangibles, now owned or later acquired, all accessions, additions, 22 replacements, and substitutions, and all proceeds relating to any of the foregoing (collectively, 23 the "Collateral"), and (iii) three Collection Account Collateral Agreements executed by the 24 Subsidiaries (collectively, the "Collection Agreement"), which established a non-interest 25 bearing demand deposit account in the name of, and owned by, UMB on its books and records 26

Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Desc Page 17 of 60 Main Document 5410066v3(65599.2)

and denominated as follows: "UMB Bank, n.a.; Collateral Security for Obligations of Phoenix 1 Manufacturing Partners, LLC and its Subsidiaries" (the "Control Account"), which is subject 2 to UMB's first priority perfected security interest and UMB's sole control. Since the PMP 3 Petition Date, no disbursement or debit activity has occurred from the Control Account. UMB 4 holds its first priority perfected security interest in the Collateral pursuant to those UCC-1s (as 5 amended) filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on or before April 12, 2011. The 6 documents described in this paragraph 5(d) are collectively referred to as the "Security 7 Documents"). 8

The Debtors' performance is guaranteed pursuant to those Guarantees. 9 e. certain separate Commercial Guaranty agreements (collectively, the "Guarantees") executed 10 by (i) Sun West Capital Holdings, L.L.C., an Arizona limited liability company; (ii) BizDev, 11 LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; (iii) JJPS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability 12 company; (iv) Thomas J. Kenrick; (v) Jordan K. Geotas and Christy Ann S. Geotas; (vi) James 13 J. Farley and Lorraine M. Farley; (vii) Patricia Tierney; (viii) James Z. Bowen and Marie A. 14 Bowen; (ix) Joseph W. Yockey and Sharlene M. Bosch; (x) Plamen Ivanov; (xi) Scott 15 Omelianowich and Sue Omelianowich; (xii) Carlos N. Ruiz and Cindy J. Ruiz, jointly and 16 severally (collectively, the "Guarantors"), pursuant to which the Guarantors, jointly and 17 severally, guaranteed the full payment, collection and performance of all Debtors' obligations 18 to UMB, except for the specific Guarantees executed by Carlos N. Ruiz and Cindy L. Ruiz, 19 which limited the guaranty obligations of Carlos N. Ruiz and Cindy L. Ruiz to a maximum 20 sum of \$300,000.00. 21

On August 16, 2016, UMB timely filed Proofs of Claim in Debtors' respective
bankruptcy proceedings based on the Loan Documents. Per those Proofs of Claim, UMB's
secured debt is \$8,263,539.51.

25

G. Business Plan and Projections.

26

Debtors' business, particularly that of JA, has a proven 12-year track record of

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 18 of 60

profitability in its own right. JA is an AS9100 and ISO 9001 certified manufacturer of 1 Computer Numerical Controlled ("CNC") machining components as well as a special 2 processes provider of brazing, heat-treating, welding, and many other processes, mainly 3 servicing the aerospace and defense industries. These specialty processes and highly skilled 4 certified manufacturing capabilities will continue to be in demand by aerospace and defense 5 companies in the US in general and in the Phoenix region in particular. JA is essentially an 6 aerospace and defense job shop. The key to JA's profitability is a proven lean cellular 7 manufacturing process and proven operational excellence delivering quality products with 8 precise specifications on time and within budget. 9

The Debtors' business objectives are (a) to manufacture parts and assemblies for the aerospace, defense and commercial industries, providing customers with quality products, services and information; (b) to present the company in an honest and trustworthy manner in all transactions; (c) to empower employees to conduct the business; and (d) provide the company with a consistent and reasonable profit.

As noted above, JA's ability to operate profitably has been impaired as a result of an 15 unwarranted debt burden largely imposed through mismanagement of the operations by the 16 previous officers and financial misrepresentation from an unscrupulous member. Freed of that 17 burden, there is little doubt that the business would again achieve sustainable profitability. 18 Debtor's plan is to continue to be operationally lean, providing service and on time delivery of 19 product to new and legacy customers. However to reduce its debt burden and come out of 20 Chapter 11 proceedings, Debtors must execute on four main areas of their renewed business 21 plan as follows: 22

- 23
- 24

1) <u>Consolidate the three companies' operations and finance under a single ERP</u> system.

As noted above one of the major managerial mistakes of the hired officers and majority principals, was a failure to make the necessary adjustments to the operations and

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB 5410066v3(65599.2)

Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc Main Document Page 19 of 60

locations when major contracts were cancelled. Reduced revenue and profitability are a clear 1 signal to find operational efficiencies by downsizing a company. Instead, previous 2 management expanded operations and made commitments to enter into uneconomic leases 3 and purchases. Post-confirmation, high priority will be to consolidate operations between the 4 two companies of DM and JA. The Debtors have already moved the DM operations into a 5 facility appropriate to the scale of the business and within walking distance of the JA facility. 6 The close proximity allows for a wireless connection that enables the Debtors to incorporate 7 the operations into the existing JA ERP system. This will create efficiencies and provide 8 greater control and transparency into the DM remaining business activities. Current plans for 9 consolidation are being developed as this document is being drafted. Projections for 10 cash-flows with this consolidation are detailed in Exhibit J. 11

12

2)

Increase sales with existing and new customers at appropriate margin.

Since filing Chapter 11, Debtors have been able to maintain a business relationship with all of its previous customers. Debtors have been able to turn around the operations and begin to earn back the trust of these customers by manufacturing quality product and delivering them on time. Parker Hannifin, Honeywell and Ventana Medical Systems have all recently remarked about the positive turnaround they have seen over the last several months. Parker's business has increased and is up 25% over last year mainly with new orders received in September and October.

Honeywell, PWC and Ventana orders although down from last year, are stable and consistent enough to allow for continued profitability. Several new customers have been added to the orders backlog including Marvin Land Systems and PAS Technologies and opportunities to quote new business has increased from Vericor Power Systems, Armor Works, ACME and Arnprior Aerospace. Significant effort will be expended to get this new business and convert it to long-term business relationships and revenue.

26

3) Negotiate and convert short and long-term liabilities to manageable level.

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 20 of 60 1 This effort is explained in detail under the debt treatment plan. (See Section VI, 2 below.)

3

4) Enter into Strategic partnership for joint venture or acquisition.

The original plan of PMP was to capture enough business to achieve gross sales of 4 \$50M-\$70M and EBITDA of 15-20% in order to become attractive to a potential acquisition 5 or strategic partner. There is nothing wrong with that plan except the strategic partner that JA 6 chose. Debtor's acknowledge their culpability in lack of due diligence by relying on 7 information and statements of fact with respect to the counterparties involved in their merger. 8 Therefore a concerted effort to identify several potential strategic partners and perform high 9 level due diligence in the process of forming a partnership is the fourth key area of Debtors' 10 business plan. JA has already been approached by several possible strategic partners but would 11 prefer to get through the Chapter 11 proceeding prior to entering into additional discussions. 12

13

H. Post-Reorganization Management.

The Reorganized Debtor will continue to be managed post-petition by Joseph
Yockey, President and Managing Member. The compensation for Mr. Yockey is set out in the
projections. The remaining management team is set out on the attached organization chart.
(See Exhibit I, attached hereto).

Attached to this Disclosure Statement are Debtors' projections for future operations, revenues and expenses which reflect that the Reorganized Debtor will be able to satisfy the financial obligations described herein and in the Plan. (See Exhibit J, attached hereto.)

22

I. Preferences and Fraudulent Conveyances.

To the extent that a preference or fraudulent conveyance occurred before the bankruptcy filing, such transfer may be recoverable by the bankruptcy estate for the benefit of the estate under §§ 544, 547, or 548 of the Bankruptcy Code. To date, no complaints have been filed under any of these theories. However, the Debtor is currently analyzing any such claims

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 21 of 60 for the recovery of preferences or fraudulent conveyances. These potential claims are
 specifically preserved for the benefit of the bankruptcy estate. Any recovery that is obtained
 will be obtained for the benefit of the estate.

4 III. SIGNIFICANT EVENTS DURING THE CHAPTER 11 CASE

5

A. <u>Administrative Proceedings</u>.

The Debtors filed their Petitions for Relief under Chapter 11 on May 3, 2016
(Phoenix Manufacturing Partners, LLC) and May 27, 2016 (Joined Alloys, LLC and DLS
Precision Fab, LLC, dba Di-Matrix Precision Manufacturing). The First Meetings of
Creditors were held on June 7, 2016 and June 28, 2016, respectively.

10

B. <u>Retention of Professionals and Interim Compensation Order</u>.

On May 9, 2016 and June 2, 106, respectively, Debtors filed their Applications to
Debtors filed their Applications to Employ Jennings, Strouss & Salmon, P.L.C. ("JSS") to act
as its bankruptcy counsel. The Court signed Orders approving the retention of JSS on May 13,
2016 and June 6, 2016, respectively.

On June 15, 2016, the Court signed an Order granting Debtors' Motion for
 Administrative Order to Establishing Procedures for Interim Compensation for Professionals.

On August 12, 2016, Debtor Phoenix Manufacturing Partners, LLC filed their
Application to Employ Eide Bailly, LLP as Debtor's accountants. On August 17, 2016 the
Court signed an Order approving the retention of Eide Bailly.

On August 24, 2016, Debtor Di-Matrix filed its Application to Employ Gallagher &
 Kennedy as special counsel to represent Di-Matrix in a pending, pre-petition 9th Circuit appeal.
 On August 30, 2016, the Court signed an Order approving the retention of Gallagher &
 Kennedy.

24

C. First Day Motions and Orders.

On June 2, 2016, Debtors filed their Motion for Joint Administration. The Court
granted that Motion with its Order on June 10, 2016.

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 22 of 60 On June 28, 2016, Debtors filed their Motion to Pay Claims of Critical Vendors.
 The Court granted that Motion with its Order Granting on July 27, 2016.

On June 2, 2016, Debtors filed their Motion to Approve Maintenance of Existing
4 Merchant Accounts. The Court granted that Motion with its Order on June 15, 2016.

5 On June 16, 2016, Debtors filed their Motion to Pay Adequate Assurance of 6 Payment for Future Utility Services. The Court granted that Motion with its Order on June 15, 7 2016.

8

D. Motions for Use of Cash Collateral and Orders.

On June 2, 2016, Debtors and UMB Bank filed their Notice of Lodging Proposed
Stipulated Interim Order Authorizing Debtors Use of Motion to Approve Use of Cash
Collateral. On June 10, 2016, the Court issued a Stipulated Interim Order Authorizing
Debtors' Use of Cash Collateral, granting Post-Petition Liens, Modifying the Automatic Stay
and Granting Related Relief. On July 27, 2016, the Court entered a Final Stipulated Order
relating to the June 10, 2016 Cash Collateral Order.

On August 30, 2016, UMB filed its Notice of Modification of Final Stipulated
Order regarding cash collateral. The Cash Collateral Order is currently in effect through
January 21, 2017, per the October 7, 2016 Notice of Modification to Final Order Authorizing
Debtors' Use of Cash Collateral.

19

E. Motions to Assume and Reject Executory Contracts.

On May 5, 2015 Phoenix Manufacturing Partners, LLC filed its Emergency Motion
to Reject Commercial Real Property Lease with M-Pinnacle 7thaz, LLC. On May 17, 2016,
the Court issued its Order granting that Motion.

On July 5, 2016 the Debtor PMP and landlord M-Pinnacle filed their Certification
of Termination Date of the Lease, which date was June 30, 2016.

On September 14, 2016, Debtor JA filed its Motion for Order Authorizing
 Assumption of Unexpired Leases of Non Residential Real Property with landlord EastGroup

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 23 of 60

Properties, L.P. and landlord Shangri-la Commercial Holdings, LLC. On October 23, 2016, 1 the court entered its Orders granting both Motions to Assume. 2

assumed or rejected. (See Exhibit K, attached hereto.)

3

4

- - 5

F. Motion to Sell PMP's 51% Interest in OVAC and Critical Vendors Motion

Debtors have identified leases of personal property or services that are to be

On June 28, 2016, Debtor PMP filed its (1) Motion to Sell Phoenix Manufacturing 6 Partners, LLC's 51% member Interest in OVAC, LLC Free and Clear of Liens and Interests; 7 and Debtors JA and DM filed their (2) Emergency Motion to Authorize Payment of 8 Prepetition Claims of Critical Vendors. OVAC was a company which acquired a metal 9 distributing company, Hi Temp. PMP was the 51% owner of OVAC and Hi Temp was the 10 49% owner. This transaction was done during the period of Geotas' mismanagement of the 11 Debtors. The transaction was not mutually beneficial for either PMP or OVAC/Hi Temp. 12 Post-petition, the parties entered a Purchase Agreement whereby Hi Temp bought out PMP's 13 51% interest for \$275,000. Those sale proceeds were paid over to UMB Bank and applied 14 against the secured claim for which OVAC was also liable. 15

16

Part of the sale also involved an agreement to pay Hi Temp \$65,732.81 for a prepetition debt. This payment to Hi Temp was one of the critical vendors approved for 17 post-petition payment under Debtors JA and DM's Emergency Motion for Order Authorizing 18 Payment of Prepetition Claims of Critical Vendors. 19

After proper notice and hearing, the Motion to Sell was granted by the Court by 20 Order dated July 26, 2016 and the Emergency Motion to pay critical vendor claims was also 21 granted by the Court by Order dated July 27, 2016. 22

23

G. Bar Dates for Consolidated Exclusivity Deadline and Proofs of Claims.

On June 16, 2016, Debtors filed their Motion for Order Setting Consolidated 24 Exclusivity Deadline for all Debtors and to Set Bar Date to File Proofs of Claim and Proofs of 25 Interest in the jointly administered case. The Court granted that Motion on July 19, 2016 with 26

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB 5410066v3(65599.2)

Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Doc 215 Desc "Page 24 of 60 Main Document

its Order setting the Bar Date for filing proofs of claim and proofs of interest for September 12,
 2016 and establishing the consolidated exclusivity deadline for September 26, 2016.
 Thereafter, by a subsequent motion, the exclusivity periods were extended, by Court order
 dated October 23, 2016, to November 30, 2016 to file the Plan and to February 1, 2017 to
 obtain acceptances of the Plan.

H. Operating Reports.

7 The Debtors monthly operating reports are current and copies may be obtained from
8 the Court's electronic calendar. The Debtors' most recent October 15, 2016 reports are
9 attached hereto as Group Exhibit L.

10

6

I. <u>Anticipated Litigation</u>.

Debtors anticipate that litigation in the form of adversary proceedings or contested 11 matters will be initiated by or against Debtors including, but not limited to: (1) subordination 12 of insider Jordan Geotas' claims and equity assertions due to his breach of fiduciary duties, 13 fraud, self-dealing and misrepresentation, as noted above in Section II of this Disclosure 14 Statement; (2) challenge by Debtor to Merchant Cash and Capital, LLC, dba BizFi's claim of 15 ownership of accounts receivable which are UMB Bank's collateral securing its loan to 16 Debtors; and (3) a possible adversary proceeding involving Debtors and Jordan Geotas, on his 17 personal guaranty. 18

19 IV. DESCRIPTION OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF THE DEBTORS.

See Exhibit M—Liquidation Analysis

- 20
- A. <u>Assets</u>.
- 21 22

23

- D T tak!
- B. <u>Liabilities</u>.
- See Exhibit N—List of Claims

24 V. PLAN SUMMARY.

Set out below are the major terms and provisions of the Joint Plan of Reorganization
 which the Debtors are proposing to satisfy and treat all claimants and interest holders in these

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 25 of 60

three jointly administrative chapter 11 bankruptcy cases. 1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

VI. CLASSIFICATION AND TREATMENT OF CLAIMS AND EQUITY INTERESTS. 3

Summary of Classification. Pursuant to this Plan and in accordance with Section A. 1123(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, all Claims of Creditors are placed in the Classes described below. A Claim is classified in a particular Class only to the extent that the Claim qualifies within the description of that Class and is classified in other Classes only to the extent that any remainder of the Claim qualifies within the description of such other Classes. A Claim also is classified in a particular Class only to the extent that such Claim has not been paid, released, or otherwise satisfied prior to the Effective Date. As of the Confirmation Hearing, any Class of Claims which does not contain any Creditor's Claim will be deemed deleted automatically from the Plan; and any Class of Claims which does not contain an Allowed Claim (or a Claim temporarily or provisionally allowed by the Bankruptcy Court for voting purposes) will be deemed deleted automatically from the Plan with respect to the voting on confirmation of the Plan.

16	Class 1	Administrative Claims	Unimpaired No solicitation required
17	Class 2	Priority Claims	Impaired
18	C1a55 2	Thority Claims	Entitled to vote
19	Class 3	UMB Secured Claim	Impaired
20	01055 5		Entitled to vote
21	Class 4	TCF Equipment Finance Secured Claim	Impaired
22			Entitled to vote
23	Class 5.1	U.S. Bank Equipment Finance Claim	Impaired
24		(FANUC C600)	Entitled to vote
25	Class 5.2	U.S. Bank Equipment Finance Claim	Impaired
26	(CT 500)		Entitled to vote
	Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 26 of 60		

Desc

1	Class 6	Hitachi Capital America Corp.	Impaired Entitled to vote
2 3	Class 7	Merchants Cash & Capital, dba Bizfi LLC Disputed Secured Claim	Impaired Entitled to vote
4 5	Class 8	CAN Capital Asset Servicing, Inc., dba New Logic Disputed Secured Claim	Impaired Entitled to vote
6 7	Class 9	General Unsecured Claims	Impaired Entitled to vote
8	Class 10	Convenience Claims	Impaired Entitled to vote
9 10	Class 11	Equity Interests	Impaired Not entitled to vote
11	B. <u>Class 1—Administrative Claims</u> . Class 1 consists of all Administrate Claims, if		
12	any, other than Priority Tax Claims.		
13			
14			
15		·	
16			
17	Priority Tax Claim, will receive Cash in an amount equal to its Allowed Administrative Claim on the later of: (i) the Effective Date, or as soon after that date as feasible; and (ii) 30 days after		
18		Claim is Allowed; unless, before the later o	
19	·		
20	Claim and the Debtors agree in writing to a different date. C. <u>Class 2—Priority Claims</u> . Class 2 consists of the tax claims of the Internal		
21	C.		
22	Revenue Service and the Maricopa County Treasurer and employee claim Tony wallenburg.		
23	1. Impairment and voting. Class 2 is impaired by the Plan. The holders of		
24	the Allowed Class 2 Claims are entitled to vote and will be solicited to vote on the Plan.		
25	2. Description of Claim. The Internal Revenue Service claim is \$700.00. The		
26	Maricopa County Treasurer claim is \$130,843.47. Wallenburg's claim is \$7,052.00.		
20		3. Treatment. Each holder of an Allow	ed Class 2 Tax Claim will receive
	Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 27 of 60		

payment in full – within thirty days of the Effective Date for the IRS claim and over five years
 from the May 27, 2016 Petition Date with interest at 5% per annum for the Maricopa County
 claim. Wallenburg shall be paid in full within 60 days of the Effective Date.

4

D. <u>Class 3—UMB Secured Claim</u>. Class 3 consists of the UMB Secured Claim.

Impairment and Voting. Class 3 is impaired by the Plan. The holder of the
 UMB Secured Claim is entitled to vote and will be solicited to vote on the Plan.

7 2. Description of Claim. The UMB Secured Claim is \$7,746,998.75 as of
8 February 2017.

3. Treatment of Claim. The UMB Secured Claim, once determined and
allowed, will be paid through the Plan as follows:

Payment in full amount of the debt over 15 years amortized over 20 years (balloon payment at the end of year 15). Payments in the amount of \$35,000.00 per month during year 1; payments in the amount of \$45,000.00 per month during years 2 through 5; payments in the amount of \$55,000.00 per month during years 6 through 10; payments in the amount of \$65,000.00 per months during years 11 through 15. Balloon payment at the end of the 15th year of the balance then owing.

E. <u>Class 4 – TCF Equipment Finance Secured Claim</u>. Class 4 consists of the
 Allowed Secured Claim of TCF Equipment Finance.

Impairment and Voting. Class 4 is impaired by the Plan. The holder of the
 TCF Equipment Finance Secured Claim is entitled to vote and will be solicited to vote on the
 Plan.

22

2.

Description of Claim. The TCF Equipment Finance claim is \$112,803.00.

3. Treatment. The TCF Equipment Finance claim, once determined and
allowed, will receive payments of the full amount of its allowed claim over five years with
interest at 3.5% per annum.

26

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 28 of 60 1

Class 5.1—U.S. Bank Equipment Finance Secured Claim. F.

Impairment and Voting. Class 5 is impaired by the Plan. The holder of the 1. 2 U.S. Bank Equipment Finance Secured Claim is entitled to vote and will be solicited to vote on 3 the Plan. 4

The U.S. Bank Equipment Finance claim is 2. Description of Claim. 5 comprised of the stated Claim 5-1 on the Claims Register of \$223,235.00. Debtors believe this 6 stated amount is erroneous and that U.S. Bank added its two claims (5-1 and 12-1) together. 7 Debtor contends this claim should be \$87,235.00, which is the current balance owing. 8

9

3. Treatment. The U.S. Bank Equipment Finance claim, once determined and allowed, will receive payments in the amount equal to the value of its collateral of 10 approximately \$87,000.00, over five years with interest at 3.5% per annum. 11

12

Class 5.2—U.S. Bank Equipment Finance Secured Claim. G.

Impairment and Voting. Class 5 is impaired by the Plan. The holder of the 1. 13 U.S. Bank Equipment Finance Secured Claim is entitled to vote and will be solicited to vote on 14 the Plan. 15

2. The U.S. Bank Equipment Finance claim is **Description** of Claim. 16 comprised of the stated Claim 12-1 on the Claims Register of \$223,234.00. Debtors believe 17 this stated amount is erroneous and that U.S. Bank added its two claims (5-1 and 12-1) 18 together. Debtor contends this claim should be \$94,971.00, which is the current balance 19 owing. 20

21

Treatment. The U.S. Bank Equipment Finance claim, once determined and 3. allowed, will receive payments of in the amount equal to the value of the collateral of 22 \$85,250.00 over five years at 3.5% per annum. 23

24

<u>Class 6 – Hitachi Capital America Corp. Secured Claim.</u> H.

Impairment and Voting. Class 6 is impaired by the Plan. The holder of the 25 1. Hitachi Capital America Corp. Secured Claim is entitled to vote and will be solicited to vote 26

Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Desc Main Document Page 29 of 60 5410066v3(65599.2)

1 on the Plan.

2 2. The Hitachi Capital America Corp. claim is comprised of the stated Claim
 3 3-1 on the Claims Register of \$21,080.36. The current balance of the claim is \$18,640.00.
 4 The Claim is secured by a 2014 Chevrolet Express Cargo Van valued at approximately the
 5 amount of the debt owing.

3. The Hitachi Capital America Corp. claim, once determined and allowed, will
continue to be paid pursuant to the terms of the agreement between the parties of \$546.47 per
month.

I.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

<u>Class 7—Merchants Cash & Capital, LLC, dba Bizfi Disputed Secured</u> <u>Claim</u>.

1. Impairment and Voting. Class 7 is impaired by the Plan. The holder of the Merchants Cash & Capital Disputed Secured Claim is entitled to vote and will be solicited to vote on the Plan.

2. Description of Claim. The October 13, 2015 Merchant Agreement ("BizFi Agreement") entered into between Merchant Cash & Capital and Debtors was signed by Debtors' former CFO, Jordan Geotas, without the knowledge or consent of any other owners of the Debtors. Moreover, Mr. Geotas' ultra vires act of signing the BizFi Agreement was in direct violation of the UMB Bank loan documents which forbade any act by the Debtors' assets. The UMB loan documents and UCC financing statements were executed and perfected on or about April 7, 2011 – four and one-half years before the BizFi Agreement was signed and its alleged security interest purportedly perfected. The amount of the Merchants Cash & Capital claim is asserted to be \$288,385.01.

3. Treatment of Claim. The Merchants Cash & Capital Disputed Secured Claim, once determined and allowed, will be treated as a Class 9 General Unsecured Claim.

J. <u>Class 8—CAN Capital Asset Servicing, Inc., dba New Logic Disputed</u> <u>Secured Claim</u>.

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 30 of 60 Impairment and Voting. Class 8 is impaired by the Plan. The holder of the
 CAN Capital Asset Servicing, Disputed Secured Claim is entitled to vote and will be solicited
 to vote on the Plan.

Description of Claim. The October 7, 2015 CAN Capital Asset Servicing, 2. 4 Inc. Agreement ("New Logic Agreement") entered into between CAN Capital Asset 5 Servicing, Inc. and Debtor Di-Matrix was signed by Debtors' former CFO, Jordan Geotas, 6 without the knowledge or consent of any other owners of the Debtors. Moreover, Mr. Geotas' 7 ultra vires act of signing the New Logic Agreement was in direct violation of the UMB Bank 8 loan documents which forbade any act by the Debtors in impairing or compromising UMB's 9 first priority loan and security interests in all of Debtors' assets. The UMB loan documents 10 and UCC financing statements were executed and perfected on or about April 7, 2011 - four 11 and one-half years before the Agreement was signed and its alleged security interest 12 purportedly perfected. The amount of the CAB Capital claim is asserted to be \$161,749.33. 13

143. Treatment of Claim. The Merchants Cash & Capital Disputed Secured15Claim, once determined and allowed, will be treated as a Class 9 General Unsecured Claim.

16 K. <u>Class 9—General Unsecured Claims</u>. Class 9 consists of all General Unsecured
 17 Claims, excluding the UMB's Unsecured Claim.

Impairment and Voting. Class 9 is impaired by the Plan. All holders of
 Class 9 Claims are entitled to vote and will be solicited to vote on the Plan. Each General
 Unsecured Claimant is entitled to vote each of its Claims in Class 9 separately. The amount of
 the General Unsecured Creditors is approximately \$4,400,000.00.

22 2. Treatment. Each holder of an Allowed Class 9 Claim will be paid 5% of
23 their claim with no interest, commencing the first day of the first full month after the Effective
24 Date, over a period of ten years.

L. <u>Class 10—Convenience Class</u>. Class 10 consists of General Unsecured Creditors whose claims are individually less than or equal to \$1,000; or General Unsecured

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 31 of 60 1 Creditors who elect to reduce their Allowed Claims to \$1,000.

Impairment and Voting. Class 10 is impaired by the Plan. All holders of
 Class 10 claims are entitled to vote and will be solicited to vote on the Plan.

2. Treatment. Each holder of an Allowed Class 10 Claim will be paid the full
amount of its claim, up to \$1,000, over 18 months after the Effective Date.

Class 11—Equity Interests. Class 11 consists of all Equity Interests. The Equity Μ. 6 Interests consists solely of Equity Interests to be retained by Joseph and Shar Yockey, James 7 and Marie Bowen, Plamen Ivanov and Scott and Sue Omelianowich, in recognition of their 8 contributions made pursuant to subparagraph c below. Jim and Lorraine Farley will also retain 9 an equity in position in the Reorganized Debtor to the extent that they contribute new value, in 10 pari passu with the new contributions set out below in subparagraph 3. The equity interests 11 previously held by Tom Kenrick and Jordan and Christy Geotas are extinguished under this 12 Plan. The equity and ownership interests of BizDev, LLC together with any and all related 13 entities or affiliated subsidiaries of BizDev, LLC are also extinguished under the Plan. 14

Impairment and Voting. Class 11 is impaired by the Plan. All holders of
 Equity Interests who will retain their interests under the Plan do so since § 1126(g) of the
 Bankruptcy Code does not apply as to them.

18 2. Treatment. All equity interests being retained as set out above in Debtors
19 will be transferred to the Reorganized Debtor.

3. Subsequent New Value. The Equity Holders who are retaining their
interests in the Debtors, and thereafter in the Reorganized Debtor by virtue of the subsequent
new value provided by them, are as follows:

23	Yockeys	\$12,150
24	Bowens	\$12,150
25	Ivanov	\$10,000
26	Omelianowich	\$8,400

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB 5410066v3(65599.2)

Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc Main Document Page 32 of 60 \$10,000

Yockeys/Bowens

Farleys

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

\$47,300 in rent/debt forgiveness under the assumed Shangri-la Lease

VII. <u>IMPLEMENTATION.</u>

A. <u>Plan Funding</u>. Funds to be used to make Cash payments under the Plan have been or will be generated from (i) the Reorganized Debtor's operations, and (ii) the net proceeds from any Avoidance Actions. The Reorganized Debtor shall make distributions under the Plan to holders of Allowed Claims and report on activity in this account in periodic reports to the Court. The projections of revenues from operations are set out in Exhibit J attached hereto.

Any sums recovered by Avoidance Actions brought by the Debtor or Reorganized Debtor, net of the attorneys' fees and costs associated with prosecuting the Avoidance Actions, shall be used to fund the Plan; unless all payments required by the Plan have been made, in which case, those sums shall accrue to the benefit of the Reorganized Debtor.

B. <u>Distributions on Account of Claims Allowed as of the Effective Date</u>. Except as otherwise provided in the Plan, a Final Order, or as agreed to by the relevant parties, initial Distributions under the Plan on account of Claims Allowed on or before the Effective Date shall be made on the Distribution Date; provided, however, that: (1) Allowed Administrative Claims with respect to liabilities incurred by the Debtor in the ordinary course of business during the Chapter 11 Cases or assumed by the Debtor prior to the Effective Date shall be paid or performed in the ordinary course of business in accordance with the terms and conditions of any controlling agreements, course of dealing, course of business, or industry practice; and (2) Allowed Priority Tax Claims, unless otherwise agreed, shall be paid in accordance with Article 4.2 of this Plan.

- 23 24
- 24 25

26

C. <u>Distribution on Account of Claims Allowed after the Effective Date</u>.

1. Payments and Distributions on Disputed Claims.

Notwithstanding any provision otherwise in the Plan and except as otherwise agreed

by the relevant parties: (1) no partial payments and no partial Distributions shall be made with 1 respect to a Disputed Claim until all such disputes in connection with such Disputed Claim 2 have been resolved by settlement or Final Order and (2) any Person that holds both an Allowed 3 Claim and a Disputed Claim shall not receive any distribution on the Allowed Claim unless and 4 until all objections to the Disputed Claim have been resolved by settlement or Final Order and 5 all Claims of such holder have been Allowed. In the event that there are Disputed Claims 6 requiring adjudication and resolution, the Reorganized Debtor shall in its sole discretion 7 establish appropriate reserves for potential payment of such Claims. All Distributions made 8 pursuant to the Plan on account of a Disputed Claim shall be made together with any dividends, 9 payments, or other Distributions made on account of, as well as any obligation arising from, the 10 distributed property as if such Disputed Claim had been an Allowed Claim on the dates 11 Distributions were previously made to holders of Allowed Claims included in the applicable 12 Class. 13

2. Reserve of Funds for Payment of Disputed Claims. On the Effective Date, 14 after calculating Distributions to holders of Allowed Claims and potential Distributions to 15 holders of Disputed Claims under the Plan, the Reorganized Debtor shall retain and set aside in 16 a reserve fund an amount in cash sufficient to make all payments and Distributions which may 17 be subsequently required for payment to holders of Disputed Claims. As Disputed Claims are 18 Allowed, the Reorganized Debtor shall distribute, in accordance with the terms of the Plan, 19 Cash to holders of Allowed Claims, and the reserve fund shall be adjusted. The Reorganized 20 Debtor may (but is not required to) request estimation for any Disputed Claim that is contingent 21 or unliquidated 22

3. Limits on Distributions. Notwithstanding anything in the applicable holder's
Proof of Claim or otherwise to the contrary, the holder of a Claim shall not be entitled to receive
or recover a Distribution under the Plan on account of a Claim in excess of: (a) the amount
stated in the holder's Proof of Claim, if any, as of the Distribution Record Date, plus interest

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 34 of 60 thereon to the extent provided for by the Plan; (b) if the Claim is denominated as contingent or
 unliquidated as of the Distribution Record Date, the amount identified on Debtors' Schedules
 for such Claim, or such other amount as may be estimated by the Bankruptcy Court prior to the
 Confirmation Hearing; or (c) if a Claim has been estimated, the amount reserved to satisfy such
 Claim after such estimation.

4. Postpetition Management. The postpetition management of the Reorganized
7 Debtor as of the Effective Date shall be as set out in the organizational chart. (See Exhibit I,
8 attached hereto).

D. <u>Administration Pending Effective Date</u>. Before the Effective Date, the Debtors
will continue to operate their businesses, subject to all applicable requirements of the
Bankruptcy Code and the Bankruptcy Rules. After the Effective Date, Reorganized Debtor
may operate its business, and may use, acquire, and dispose of property free of any restrictions
of the Bankruptcy Code or the Bankruptcy Rules, but subject to the continuing jurisdiction of
the Bankruptcy Court as set forth in Article 12.

E. <u>Post-Confirmation Fees; Final Decree</u>. Reorganized Debtor is to be responsible
 for paying any post-confirmation fees under 28 U.S.C. § 1930(a)(6) and the filing of
 post-confirmation reports, until a final decree is entered. A final decree is to be entered as soon
 as practicable after distributions have commenced under the Plan.

Payments Effective on Tender. Whenever the Plan requires a payment to be F. 19 made, such payment will be deemed made and effective upon tender thereof by the 20 Reorganized Debtor to the Creditor to which payment is due. Such tender will be effective 21 when and if made in Cash. If any Creditor refuses a tender, the amount tendered and refused 22 will be held by the Reorganized Debtor for the benefit of that Creditor pending final 23 adjudication of the dispute. However, when and if the dispute is finally adjudicated and the 24 Creditor receives the funds previously tendered and refused, the Creditor will be obliged to 25 apply the funds in accordance with the Plan as of the date of the tender; and while a dispute is 26

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 35 of 60 pending and after adjudication thereof, the Creditor will not have the right to claim interest or
 other charges or to exercise any other right which would be enforceable by the Creditor if the
 Debtor failed to pay the tendered payment.

G. <u>Effectuating Documents; Further Transactions; Timing</u>. The Debtors are
authorized and directed to execute, deliver, file, or record such contracts, instruments,
releases, and other agreements or documents, and to take such actions as may be necessary or
appropriate to effectuate and further evidence the terms and conditions of the Plan, the Plan
Supplement, and any securities issued in accordance with the Plan. All transactions required
to occur on the Effective Date under the terms of the Plan will be deemed to have occurred
simultaneously.

11

VIII. EXECUTORY CONTRACTS AND UNEXPIRED LEASES

A. <u>Assumption or Rejection of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases</u>. All
 executory contracts and unexpired leases between Debtors and any Person are dealt with in the
 following manner:

Assumption of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases. All 1. 15 executory contracts and unexpired leases set forth on the schedule of assumed executory 16 contracts and unexpired leases filed with the Bankruptcy Court as part of Exhibit K to the Plan 17 will be deemed assumed as of the Effective Date. The Debtors reserve the right to amend the 18 list of assumed or rejected contracts and to assume any executory contracts and unexpired 19 leases of the Debtors by appending a schedule of assumed and rejected executory contracts 20 and unexpired leases as part of **Exhibit K** to the Plan no later than ten (10) days before the 21 deadline for voting on the Plan. Any such appended schedule shall include the cure amount as 22 to each executory contract or unexpired lease to be assumed. The Debtor will, pursuant to the 23 provisions of §§1123(a)(5)(G) and 1123(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code, file with the 24 Bankruptcy Court and serve by first class mail on each non-debtor party to such executory 25 contract or unexpired lease, a notice ("Assumption Notice"), which will list the cure amount 26

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 36 of 60

as to each executory contract or unexpired lease to be assumed. The parties to such executory 1 contracts or unexpired leases to be assumed or assumed and interested parties will have 2 twenty (20) days from the date of the filing of the Assumption Notice to file and serve any 3 objection to the assumption of any executory contract or unexpired lease or to the cure 4 amount listed. Any such executory contracts or leases so appended to the Plan as assumed 5 will be deemed assumed as of the Effective Date, except for any executory contract or 6 unexpired lease: (i) that has been rejected in accordance with a Final Order entered before the 7 Confirmation Date; or (ii) as to which a motion to reject has been filed with the Bankruptcy 8 Court before the Confirmation Date. 9

2. Rejection of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases. All executory
 contracts and unexpired leases either (i) set forth on any appended schedule of rejected
 executory contracts and unexpired leases filed with the Bankruptcy Court as part of Exhibit
 K to the Plan or (ii) existing but not listed on Exhibit K to the Plan will be deemed rejected as
 of the Effective Date, except for any executory contract or unexpired lease that has been
 assumed or rejected in accordance with a Final Order entered on or before the Confirmation
 Date.

Approval of Assumption or Rejection. Entry of the Confirmation Order В. 17 constitutes: (a) the approval under Bankruptcy Code § 365 of the assumption and assignment 18 of the executory contracts and unexpired leases assumed and assigned under the Plan; and 19 (b) the approval under Bankruptcy Code § 365 of the assumption and rejection of the 20executory contracts and unexpired leases rejected under the Plan. Notwithstanding anything 21 contained in Article VIII(A) to the contrary, the Debtors retain the right to change the 22 treatment (assumed or rejected) of any executory contract or unexpired lease on Exhibit K to 23 the Plan, thus changing the treatment of the contract or lease under the Plan, at any time 24 before the Confirmation Hearing. 25

26

C. <u>Cure of Defaults</u>. On the Effective Date, or as soon after that date as feasible, or

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 37 of 60 on another date on which the counterparty to the assumed executory contract or unexpired
lease agrees, the Debtors will Cure any defaults under any executory contract or unexpired
lease assumed under the Plan. Subject to the occurrence of the Effective Date, any cure
amount shall be treated as an Allowed Administrative Claim under the Plan, and, upon
payment of such Allowed Administrative Claim, all defaults existing as of the Confirmation
Date with respect to such executory contract or unexpired lease shall be deemed cured.

Rejection Claims Bar Date. Any Rejection Claims must be filed with the D. 7 Bankruptcy Court by the later of (a) 30 days after the Confirmation Date and (b) 30 days after 8 the applicable executory contract or unexpired lease is rejected under the Plan. Any 9 Rejection Claim not filed within that time will be forever barred. All Rejection Claims are 10 Class 9 Claims under the Plan. With respect to any executory contract or unexpired lease 11 rejected before the Confirmation Date, the deadline for filing a Rejection Claim remains the 12 deadline set forth in the order of the Bankruptcy Court authorizing that rejection. If such an 13 order did not contain such a deadline, the deadline for filing a Rejection Claim is 30 days after 14 the Confirmation Date. 15

16

IX. DETERMINATION OF CLAIMS.

Objections to Claims. Notwithstanding the occurrence of the Effective Date, and A. 17 except as to any Claim that has been Allowed before the Effective Date, the Debtors may 18 object to the allowance of any Claim against the Debtor or seek estimation of any Claim on 19 any grounds permitted by the Bankruptcy Code, including initiation of an Avoidance Action 20 or Litigation Claim. All objections to Claims must be brought by filing the appropriate 21 pleading in the Bankruptcy Court before the first Business Day that is 180 days after the 22 Effective Date, but the Bankruptcy Court may approve a later date on the Reorganized 23 Debtor's motion filed (but not necessarily heard) before the first Business Day that is 180 24 days after the Effective Date. 25

26

B. Contingent Claims. Until a Contingent Claim becomes an Allowed Claim or

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 38 of 60

is Disallowed, the Claim will be treated as a Disputed Claim for all purposes under the Plan. 1 The holder of a Contingent Claim will be entitled to a distribution under the Plan only when 2 the Contingent Claim becomes an Allowed Claim. Any Contingent Claim for 3 reimbursement or contribution held by a Person that may be liable with the Debtor on a 4 Claim of a Creditor is Disallowed as of the Effective Date if: (a) that Creditor's Claim is 5 Disallowed; (b) the Claim for reimbursement or contribution is contingent as of the Effective 6 Date; or (c) that Person asserts a right of subrogation to the rights of the Creditor under 7 Bankruptcy Code § 509. 8

C. <u>Resolution of Administrative Claims and other Claims</u>. After the Effective
Date, the Reorganized Debtor shall have the authority to compromise, settle, otherwise resolve,
or withdraw any objection to Administrative Claims and any other Claims and to compromise,
settle, or otherwise resolve any Disputed Claims without approval of the Bankruptcy Court,
other than with respect to Administrative Claims relating to Professional Fee Claims.

X. <u>CONFIRMATION WITHOUT ACCEPTANCE BY ALL IMPAIRED CLASSES</u>

If any impaired Class is determined to have rejected the Plan in accordance with
Section 1126 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtor may use the provisions of Section 1129(b) of
the Bankruptcy Code to satisfy the requirements for Confirmation of the Plan.

18 **XI**.

14

CONDITIONS PRECEDENT

A. <u>Conditions to Confirmation</u>. The following are conditions precedent to
 20 confirmation of the Plan:

Approval of Disclosure Statement. The Bankruptcy Court enters a
 Final Order approving the Disclosure Statement.

23 **2.** Form of Confirmation Order. The Bankruptcy Court enters the 24 Confirmation Order in form and substance reasonably acceptable to the Debtors. If the 25 Debtors are unable to reach an agreement with any party regarding the form and substance 26 of the Confirmation Order, the Bankruptcy Court will resolve all such disputes.

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 39 of 60 Substance of Confirmation Order. The Confirmation Order contains the
 following:

a. The provisions of the Confirmation Order are non-severable and
4 mutually dependent;

b. Approval of the Plan's assumption or rejection of all executory
contracts and unexpired leases;

c. The Debtors are released and discharged from all obligations arising
under all executory contracts and unexpired leases rejected during the Chapter 11 Cases or
under the Plan; and

10d. The Bankruptcy Court retains jurisdiction to the fullest extent11permissible by applicable law and at least to the extent contemplated by Article 10 of the Plan.

12B.Conditions to Effectiveness.The following are conditions precedent to the13Effective Date:

14

1. The Confirmation Date occurs;

15 2. No request for revocation of the Confirmation Order under Bankruptcy Code
16 § 1144 is pending;

17 3. All instruments and agreements to be issued, entered into, delivered, or filed
18 under the Plan are issued, entered into, delivered, or filed and are effective.

19 **C.** <u>Waiver of Conditions</u>. The Debtors may waive any condition to 20 confirmation or the Effective Date, in whole or in part, at any time without notice, an order of 21 the Bankruptcy Court, or any further action other than proceeding to confirmation and 22 consummation of the Plan.

23

XII. PRESERVATION OF AVOIDANCE ACTIONS

A. <u>Preservation of Avoidance Actions</u>. In accordance with Section 1123(b)(3) of
the Bankruptcy Code, and except as otherwise expressly provided in the Plan, all Avoidance
Actions are retained and reserved for the benefit of the Reorganized Debtor.

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 40 of 60 B. Prosecution of Avoidance Actions. The Reorganized Debtor will prosecute all
preserved Avoidance Actions not otherwise expressly compromised in the Plan in accordance
with Section 1123(b)(3)(B) of the Bankruptcy Code. The fees and costs to litigate such
preserved Avoidance Actions will come from the Reorganized Debtor. Reorganized Debtor
will have sole discretion to determine in its business judgment what Avoidance Actions to
pursue, which to settle, and the terms and conditions of those settlements.

C. <u>Distribution of Avoidance Action Proceeds</u>. All monetary judgments and
awards resulting from the settlement or prosecution of the preserved Avoidance Actions will
be deposited into the Reorganized Debtor general operating account(s) after deduction of the
reasonable and necessary fees and costs incurred by Reorganized Debtor in the prosecution
and/or settlement of the preserved Avoidance Actions.

D. Preservation of Insurance. Debtors' discharge and release from Claims as
provided in the Plan, except as necessary to be consistent with this Plan, do not diminish or
impair the enforceability of any insurance policy that may cover Claims against the Debtors or
any other Person.

16 XIII. <u>TITLE TO PROPERTY; INJUNCTION; THIRD PARTY RIGHTS AND</u> 17 <u>RELEASES</u>

Except as specifically provided in the Plan or the Vesting of Assets. A. 18 Confirmation Order, all property of the Estate will vest in the Reorganized Debtor on the 19 Effective Date and, except as expressly provided for in this Plan, will be free and clear of all 20 Liens and Claims existing before the Effective Date. From and after the Effective Date, the 21 Reorganized Debtor may use and dispose of property free of any restrictions of the Bankruptcy 22 Code, including the employment of, and payment to, Professionals except as otherwise 23 provided in the Plan or the Confirmation Order. The Reorganized Debtor shall be the sole 24 entity responsible for all Distributions to be made under the Plan. 25

26

B. <u>Injunction</u>. Except as provided in the Plan or the Confirmation Order, as

of the Confirmation Date, all entities that have held, currently hold, or may hold a Claim that 1 is unclassified by the Plan or that is classified by Article VI of the Plan or that is subject to a 2 distribution under the Plan, are permanently enjoined from taking any of the following 3 actions on account of any such Claims or rights: (a) commencing or continuing in any 4 manner any action or other proceeding against any property to be distributed under the 5 Plan; (b) enforcing, attaching, collecting, or recovering in any manner any judgment, 6 award, decree, or order against any property to be distributed under the Plan; (c) creating, 7 perfecting, or enforcing any Lien or encumbrance against any property to be distributed 8 under the Plan; and (d) commencing or continuing any action, in any manner, in any place, 9 that does not comply with or is inconsistent with the provisions of the Plan or the 10 Bankruptcy Code. Nothing in this Article XIII or elsewhere in the Plan is to be construed 11 or is to have the effect of extinguishing, prohibiting, or otherwise limiting, the right of any 12 holder of a Claim to assert a right to setoff or recoupment arising in connection with that 13 Claim as part of the resolution and treatment of that Claim under the Plan. Nothing in this 14 Article XIII or elsewhere in the Plan is to be construed or is to have the effect of 15 extinguishing, prohibiting, or otherwise limiting, the right of the Debtors to assert and 16 prevail on any Avoidance Action or Litigation Claim. Nothing in this Article XIII or elsewhere 17 in the Plan enjoins or otherwise precludes (or may be construed to enjoin or otherwise 18 preclude) any party in interest from enforcing the terms of the Plan and the Confirmation 19 Order. 20

C. <u>Exculpation</u>. The Debtors, or any of their respective directors, managers,
 officers, employees, partners, members, agents, representatives, accountants, financial
 advisors, investment bankers, or attorneys (but solely in their capacity as such) shall have or
 incur no liability for any claim, cause of action or other assertion of liability for any act taken
 or omitted to be taken since the Petition Date in connection with, or arising out of, the
 Chapter 11 Cases, the formulation, dissemination, confirmation, consummation, or

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 42 of 60 administration of this Plan, property to be distributed under this Plan, or any other act or
omission in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases, this Plan, the Disclosure Statement, or
any contract, instrument, document or other agreement related thereto; provided, however,
that the foregoing shall not affect the liability of any person that would otherwise result from
any such act or omission to the extent such act or omission is determined by a Final Order to
have constituted willful misconduct, gross negligence, actual fraud, or criminal conduct, or
intentional unauthorized misuse of confidential information that causes damages.

Releases by Holders of Claims and Equity Interests. Effective as of the D. 8 Confirmation Date, but subject to the occurrence of the Effective Date, and in consideration of 9 the services provided to the Debtors by the present and former directors, managers, officers, 10 employees, affiliates, agents, financial advisors, attorneys, and representatives of the Debtors 11 who acted in such capacities after the Petition Date, (1) each holder of a Claim or Equity 12 Interest that votes to accept the Plan (or is deemed to accept the Plan) and (2) to the fullest 13 extent possible under applicable law, as such law may be extended or integrated after the 14 Effective Date, each holder of a Claim or Equity Interest that does not vote to accept the Plan, 15 (collectively, the "Releasing Parties" and each a "Releasing Party") shall release, 16 unconditionally and forever, the Debtors and each of their respective present and former 17 members, officers, directors, managers, agents, financial advisors, attorneys, employees, 18 equity holders, parent corporations, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, and representatives from 19 any and all claims or causes of action that exist as of the Effective Date and arise from or relate 20 to, in any manner, in whole or in part, the operation of the business of the Debtors, the subject 21 matter of, or the transaction or event giving rise to, the Claim or Equity Interest of such holder, 22 the business or contractual arrangements between any Debtors or such holder, any 23 restructuring of such claim or equity prior to the Commencement Date, or any act, omission, 24 occurrence, or event in any manner related to such subject matter, transaction or obligation, or 25 occurring or existing on property owned by the Debtor, or arising out of the Chapter 11 Cases, 26

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 43 of 60 including, but not limited to, the pursuit of confirmation of the Plan, the consummation
thereof, the administration thereof, or the property to be distributed thereunder; provided, that
the foregoing shall not operate as a waiver or release from any causes of action arising out of
the willful misconduct, gross negligence, actual fraud, criminal conduct, or intentional
unauthorized misuse of confidential information that causes damages of any such Person or
Entity.

E. **Reservation of Rights.** Nothing contained in the Plan or the Confirmation Order 7 shall be deemed to be a waiver or relinquishment of any claim, cause of action, right of setoff, 8 or other legal or equitable defense that the Debtors had immediately prior to the 9 Commencement Date, against or with respect to any Claim. The Reorganized Debtor shall 10 have, retained, reserved, and be entitled to assert all such claims, causes of action, rights of 11 setoff, and other legal or equitable defenses that the Debtors had immediately prior to the 12 Commencement Date as fully as if the Chapter 11 Cases had not been commenced, and all of 13 the Debtors' legal and equitable rights respecting any Claim may be asserted after the 14 Confirmation Date to the same extent as if the Chapter 11 Cases had not been commenced. 15

16

XIV. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

A. Jurisdiction. Notwithstanding the entry of the Confirmation Order and the
 occurrence of the Effective Date, the Bankruptcy Court will retain as much jurisdiction over
 the Chapter 11 Case after the Effective Date as legally permissible, including jurisdiction to:

Allow, disallow, determine, liquidate, classify, estimate, or establish the
 amount, priority, or secured or unsecured status of any Claim, and resolve any request for
 payment of any Administrative Claim and any objection to the Allowance or priority of any
 Claim;

24 2. Grant or deny any applications for allowance of compensation or
25 reimbursement of expenses authorized under the Bankruptcy Code or the Plan;

26

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 44 of 60 Resolve any matters related to the assumption or rejection of any executory
 contract or unexpired lease to which the Debtors are a party and to hear, determine and, if
 necessary, liquidate any Claims arising from such rejection;

4 4. Ensure that distributions required under the Plan are accomplished in
5 accordance with the Plan;

5. Decide or resolve any motions, adversary proceedings, contested matters,
and any other matters and grant or deny any applications or motions involving the Debtors
that may be pending on the Effective Date;

- 6. Enter any necessary or appropriate orders to implement or consummate
 the Plan's provisions and all contracts, instruments, releases, and other agreements or
 documents created in connection with the Plan or the Disclosure Statement;
- 7. Resolve any cases, controversies, suits, or disputes that may arise in
 connection with the consummation, interpretation, or enforcement of the Plan, or any
 Person's obligations incurred in connection with the Plan;

Hear and determine any motion or application to modify the Plan before or 8. 15 after the Effective Date under Bankruptcy Code § 1127 or modify the Disclosure Statement 16 or any contract, instrument, release, or other agreement or document issued, entered into, 17 filed, or delivered in connection with the Plan or the Disclosure Statement; or hear or 18 determine any motion or application to remedy any defect or omission or reconcile any 19 inconsistency in any Bankruptcy Court order, the Plan, the Disclosure Statement, or any 20 contract, instrument, release, or other agreement or document issued, entered into, filed or 21 delivered in connection with the Plan or the Disclosure Statement, in such manner as may be 22 necessary or appropriate to consummate the Plan, to the extent authorized by the Bankruptcy 23 Code: 24

- 25
- 26

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB 5410066v3(65599.2) 9. Issue injunctions, enter and implement other orders, or take any other
 necessary or appropriate actions to restrain any entity's interference with consummation or
 enforcement of the Plan;

4

10. Enter and implement any necessary or appropriate orders if the Confirmation Order is for any reason modified, stayed, reversed, revoked, or vacated;

11. Determine any other matters that may arise in connection with or related to
the Plan, the Disclosure Statement, the Confirmation Order, or any contract, instrument,
release, or other agreement or document issued, entered into, filed, or delivered in connection
with the Plan, the Disclosure Statement or the Confirmation Order;

10

12. Issue a final decree and enter an order closing the Chapter 11 Case; and

11 13. Adjudicate the Disputed Claims, and Avoidance Actions and Litigation
12 Claims and any other cause of action or claims of the Estate, if any.

13

XV. AMENDMENT AND WITHDRAWAL OF PLAN

Amendment of Plan. At any time before the Confirmation Date, the Debtors A. 14 may alter, amend, or modify the Plan, or any of its attached Exhibits, under Bankruptcy Code 15 § 1127(a) as long as doing so does not materially and adversely affect the treatment and 16 rights of the holders of Claims and Equity Interests under the Plan. After the Confirmation 17 Date but before substantial consummation of the Plan as defined in Bankruptcy Code § 18 1101(2), the Reorganized Debtor may, under Bankruptcy Code § 1127(b), institute 19 proceedings in the Bankruptcy Court to remedy any defect or omission or reconcile any 20inconsistencies in the Plan, the Disclosure Statement, or the Confirmation Order, and any 21 matters necessary to carry out the purposes and effects of the Plan as long as such 22 proceedings do not materially and adversely affect the treatment of holders of Claims or 23 Equity Interests under the Plan. The Reorganized Debtor must serve prior notice of such 24 proceedings in accordance with the Bankruptcy Rules or applicable order of the Bankruptcy 25 Court. 26

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/20/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 46 of 60 B. <u>Revocation or Withdrawal of Plan</u>. The Debtors may revoke or withdraw the
Plan at any time before the Confirmation Date. If withdrawn or revoked, the Plan is void and
nothing contained in the Plan may be deemed a waiver of any Claims by or against the
Debtors or any other Person in any further proceedings involving the Debtor or an admission
of any sort, and the Plan and any transaction contemplated by the Plan may not be admitted
into evidence in any proceeding.

7 **XVI**.

EFFECTS OF CONFIRMATION

8 Except as otherwise provided in the Plan or the Confirmation Order, Confirmation 9 acts as a Discharge, effective as of Confirmation, of any and all debts of the Debtor that arose 10 any time before the entry of the Confirmation Order including, but not limited to, all principal 11 and all interest accrued thereon, pursuant to §1141(d)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code. The 12 Discharge shall be effective as to each Claim, regardless of whether a Proof of Claim thereon 13 was filed, whether the Claim is an Allowed Claim, or whether the Holder thereof votes to 14 accept the Plan.

15 XVII. LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS

16 See attached Exhibit M.

17 XVIII. <u>TAX CONSEQUENCES</u>

Pursuant to \$1125(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors are to provide a 18 discussion of the potential material tax consequences of the Plan to the Debtors, any successor 19 to the Debtors, and a hypothetical investor typical of the holders of claims or interests in the 20 case, that would enable such a hypothetical investor of the relevant Class to make an informed 21 judgment about the Plan. However, the Debtors need not include such information about any 22 other possible or proposed plan. In determining whether the Disclosure Statement provides 23 adequate information, the Court shall consider the complexity of the case, the benefit of 24 additional information to creditors and other parties in interest, and the cost of providing 25 additional information. 26

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB 5410066v3(65599.2)

Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc Main Document Page 47 of 60 The following discussion summarizes certain considerations that may affect the anticipated federal income tax consequences of the Plan's implementation to Creditors and to the Debtors. It does not address all federal income tax consequences of the Plan nor does it address the state or local income tax or other state or local tax consequences of the Plan's implementation to Creditors or to the Debtors.

This description of the federal income tax consequences of implementing the Plan is 6 based on Debtors' interpretation of the applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 7 1986, as amended (the "IRC"), the regulations promulgated thereunder, and other relevant 8 authority. Debtors' interpretation, however, is not binding on the IRS or any court. The 9 Debtors have not obtained, nor does it intend to obtain, a private letter ruling from the IRS, nor 10 have the Debtors obtained an opinion of counsel with respect to any of these matters. The 11 discussion below is general in nature and is not directed to the specific tax situation of any 12 particular interested taxpayer. For these reasons, all Creditors and Interest Holders 13 should consult with their own tax advisors as to the tax consequences of implementation 14 of the Plan to them under applicable federal, state, and local tax laws. 15

16

A. <u>Tax Consequences to the Debtors</u>.

In general, the amount of any debt of a business entity that is partially or totally 17 discharged pursuant to a Title 11 bankruptcy case is excluded from gross income. Generally, 18 the amount of debt discharge income ("DDI") that is excluded from gross income must be 19 applied to reduce the tax attributes of the Debtors. The Debtors' tax attributes are reduced in 20 the following order: (1) net operating losses ("NOLs"); (2) general business credits; (3) 21 minimum tax credit; (4) capital loss carryovers; (5) reduction in tax basis of the Debtors' 22 property; (6) passive/activity loss and credit carryovers; and (7) foreign tax credit carryovers. 23 The Debtors may elect to apply the debt discharge exclusion first to depreciable property and 24 thereafter to the tax attributes in the above prescribed order. 25

26

B. Tax Consequences to the Secured and Unsecured Creditors.

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11480/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 48 of 60

Both the Secured Claimants and/or the Unsecured Claimants may be required to 1 report income or be entitled to a deduction as a result of implementation of the Plan. The exact 2 tax treatment depends on, among other things, each Claimant's method of accounting, the 3 nature of each Claimant's claim, and whether and to what extent such Claimant has taken a 4 bad debt deduction in prior taxable years with respect to the particular debt owed to it by the 5 Debtors. Each Holder of a secured claim or an unsecured claim is urged to consult with 6 his, her, or its own tax advisor regarding the particular tax consequences of the 7 treatment of his, her, or its claim under the Plan. 8

9

C. <u>Tax Consequences to the Interest Holders</u>.

Each Interest Holder of the Debtors is urged to consult with his, her, or its own tax advisor regarding the particular tax consequences of the treatment of his, her, or its interest under the Plan.

XIX. OBJECTIONS TO AND ESTIMATIONS OF CLAIMS

14

13

A. Objections and Bar Date for Filing Objections,

As soon as practicable, but in no event later than 60 days after the Effective Date, objections to Claims shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court and served upon the holders of each of the Claims to which objections are made pursuant to the Bankruptcy Code and the Bankruptcy Rules. Objections filed after such date will be barred.

19

B.

<u>Settlement of Claims.</u>

Settlement of any objection to a Claim not exceeding \$10,000 shall be permitted on the eleventh (11th) day after notice of the settlement has been provided to the Reorganized Debtor, the Creditors, the settling party, and other persons specifically requesting such notice, and if on such date there is no written objection filed, such settlement shall be deemed approved. In the event of a written objection to the settlement, the settlement must be approved by the Court on notice to the objecting party.

26

C. Estimation of Claims.

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 49 of 60 For purposes of making distributions provided for under the Plan, all Claims objected to shall be estimated by the Reorganized Debtor at an amount equal to (i) the amount, if any, determined by the Court pursuant to §502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code as an estimate for distribution purposes (ii) an amount agreed to between the Debtor and the Claimant; or, (iii) that amount set forth as an estimate in the Plan or Disclosure Statement. Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, no distributions shall be made on account of any Claim until such Claim is an Allowed Claim.

8

D. <u>Unclaimed Funds and Interest</u>.

9 Distribution to Claimants shall be mailed by the Reorganized Debtor to the 10 Claimants at the address appearing on the master mailing matrix unless the Claimant provides 11 the Reorganized Debtor with an alternative address. After a period of one year from the date 12 that a distribution was made by the disbursing agent but has gone uncollected by the Claimant, 13 the disbursing agent shall retain any distributions otherwise distributable hereunder which 14 remain unclaimed or as to which the disbursing agent has not received documents required 15 pursuant to the Plan. Thereafter, the unclaimed funds shall revest in the Reorganized Debtor.

16 **XX**.

NON-ALLOWANCE OF PENALTIES AND FINES

No distribution shall be made under the Plan on account of, and no Allowed Claim,
whether Secured, Unsecured, Administrative, or Priority, shall include any fine, penalty,
exemplary or punitive damages, late charges, default interest or other monetary charges
relating to or arising from any default or breach by the Reorganized Debtor, and any Claim on
account thereof shall be deemed Disallowed, whether or not an objection was filed to it.

22 XXI. <u>CLOSING OF CASE</u>

If the Court does not close these cases on its own motion, the Reorganized Debtor
will move the Court to close the case once the Plan is deemed substantially consummated.
Until substantial consummation, the Reorganized Debtor will be responsible for filing pre- and
postconfirmation reports required by the United States Trustee and paying the quarterly

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 50 of 60

postconfirmation fees of the United States Trustee, in cash, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1930, as 1 amended. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §1129(a)(12), all fees payable under Section 1930 of Title 28, 2 as determined by the Court at the hearing on confirmation of the Plan, will be paid, in cash, on 3 the Effective Date. 4

5

XXII. **MODIFICATION OF THE PLAN**

In addition to its modification rights under §1127 of the Bankruptcy Code, the 6 Debtors may amend or modify the Plan at any time prior to Confirmation without leave of the 7 Court. The Reorganized Debtor may propose amendments and/or modifications of the Plan at 8 any time subsequent to Confirmation with leave of the Court and upon notice to Creditors. 9 After Confirmation of the Plan, the Reorganized Debtor may, with approval of the Court, as 10 long as it does not materially or adversely affect the interests of Creditors, remedy any defect 11 or omission or reconcile any inconsistencies of the Plan, or in the Confirmation Order, if any 12 may be necessary to carry out the purposes and intent of the Plan. 13

XXIII. 14

JURISDICTION OF THE COURT

The Court will retain jurisdiction until the Plan has been fully consummated for, 15 including but not limited to, the following purposes: 16

1. The classification of the Claims of any Creditors and the re-examination of 17 any Claims which have been allowed for the purposes of voting, and for the determination of 18 such objections as may be filed to the Creditor's Claims. The failure by the Reorganized 19 Debtor to object to or examine any Claim for the purpose of voting shall not be deemed to be a 20 waiver of the Reorganized Debtor's rights to object to or to re-examine the Claim in whole or 21 in part. 22

2. To determine any Claims which are disputed by the Reorganized Debtor, 23 whether such objections are filed before or after Confirmation, to estimate any Unliquidated or 24 Contingent Claims pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(c)(1) upon request of the Debtors or 25 Reorganized Debtor any holder of a Contingent or Un-liquidated Claim, and to make 26

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc Main Document Page 51 of 60 5410066v3(65599.2)

1 determination on any objection to such Claim.

3. To determine all questions and disputes regarding title to the assets of the
 Estate, and determination of all causes of action, controversies, disputes or conflicts, whether
 or not subject to action pending as of the date of Confirmation, between the Reorganized
 Debtor and any other party, including but not limited to, any rights of the Reorganized Debtor
 to recover assets pursuant to the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code.

7 4. The correction of any defect, the curing of any omission or any reconciliation
8 of any inconsistencies in the Plan, or the Confirmation Order, as may be necessary to carry out
9 the purposes and intent of the Plan.

10 5. The modification of the Plan after Confirmation, pursuant to the Bankruptcy
11 Rules and the Bankruptcy Code.

12

6.

To enforce and interpret the terms and conditions of the Plan.

7. The entry of an order, including injunctions, necessary to enforce the title,
rights and powers of the Reorganized Debtor, and to impose such limitations, restrictions,
terms and conditions of such title, right and power that this Court may deem necessary.

16

8. The entry of an order concluding and terminating this case.

17

XXIV. <u>RETENTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF CLAIMS</u>

Pursuant to §1123(b)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Reorganized Debtor shall retain and may enforce any and all claims of the Debtors, except those claims specifically waived herein. Any retained causes of action include, but are not limited to, all avoidance actions, fraudulent conveyance actions, preference actions, and other claims and causes of action of every kind and nature whatsoever, arising before the Effective Date which have not been resolved or disposed of prior to the Effective Date, whether or not such claims or causes of action are specifically identified in the Disclosure Statement.

Any recovery obtained from retained causes of action shall become an additional asset of the Reorganized Debtor, unless otherwise ordered by the Court, and shall be available

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 52 of 60 1 for distribution in accordance with the terms of the Plan.

2 XXV. <u>EXECUTORY CONTRACTS</u>

The treatment of Debtors' executory contracts is set out, *infra*, in Exhibit K. Debtors incorporate herein by this reference that treatment and the procedure as set out in Article VIII, above.

6 XXVI. <u>REVESTING</u>

7 Except as provided for in the Plan or in the Confirmation Order, on the Effective
8 Date the Reorganized Debtor shall be vested with all the property of the Estate free and clear
9 of all claims, liens, charges, and other interests of Creditors, arising prior to the Effective Date.
10 Upon the Effective Date, the Reorganized Debtor shall operate its business free of any
11 restrictions.

12 XXVII. <u>DISCLAIMER</u>

Court approval of this Disclosure Statement and the accompanying Plan of Reorganization, is not a certification of the accuracy of the contents thereof. Furthermore, Court approval of these documents does not constitute the Court's opinion as to whether the Plan should be approved or disapproved.

17 XXVIII. <u>RISKS</u>

The risk of the Plan depends on the Reorganized Debtor successfully continuing in its business operations at levels and at rates sufficient to generate revenues to satisfy the Plan payments required and as described in Article VI of this Disclosure Statement. Based on Debtors' thorough and careful review and analysis of their operations and projected future performance, Debtors submit that the long term prospects of this Plan, however, are favorable. Debtors contend that the Plan represents the best means for Creditors to recover on their Claims.

25 XXIX. <u>MISCELLANEOUS</u>.

26

A. Effecting Documents; Further Transactions; Timing.

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 53 of 60 The Reorganized Debtor is authorized and directed as of the Effective Date, without further order of the Bankruptcy Court, to execute, deliver, file, or record all contracts, instruments, releases, and other agreements or documents, and to take all actions necessary or appropriate to effect and further evidence the terms of the Plan. All transactions required to occur on the Effective Date under the terms of the Plan are deemed to have occurred simultaneously.

7

B. <u>Exemption From Transfer Taxes</u>.

Under Bankruptcy Code § 1146(a): (a) the issuance, distribution, transfer, and 8 exchange of assets or property of the Estate; (b) the execution, assignment, modification, 9 or recording of any lease or sublease; and (c) the execution, delivery, or recording of a deed or 10 other instrument of transfer under, in furtherance of, or in connection with, the Plan, the 11 Confirmation Order, or any transaction contemplated above, or any transactions arising 12 out of, contemplated by, or in any way related to, the foregoing are not subject to any 13 document recording tax, stamp tax, conveyance fee, intangibles or similar tax, mortgage tax, 14 or real estate transfer tax, or other similar tax or governmental assessment and the appropriate 15 state or local government officials or agents are directed to forego the collection of any such 16 tax or assessment and to accept for filing or recordation any of the foregoing instruments 17 or other documents without the payment of any such tax or assessment. 18

19

C. <u>Binding Effect</u>.

The Plan is binding on, and inures to the benefit of, the Debtors and the holders of all
Claims and Equity Interests and their respective successors and assigns.

22

D. <u>Substantial Consummation</u>.

On the Effective Date, this Plan shall be deemed substantially consummated under
\$\$ 1101 and 1127(b) of the Bankruptcy Code on the Effective Date.

25 E. <u>Governing Law</u>.

26

Except to the extent that the Bankruptcy Code or other federal law is applicable or as

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 54 of 60 provided in any document entered into in connection with the Plan, the rights, duties and
 obligations of any Person arising under the Plan are governed by, and construed and enforced
 in accordance with, the internal laws of the State of Arizona, without giving effect to Arizona's
 choice of law provisions.

5

F. <u>Compromises and Settlements of Claims after Confirmation</u>.

After Confirmation, but prior to the Effective Date, pursuant to Rule 9019, the Debtors
may compromise and settle various Claims against it and/or claims that it may have against
others. Following the occurrence of the Effective Date, the Reorganized Debtor, in its sole
discretion, may compromise and settle Claims against the Estate, as well as any claims that the
Estate may have against others, without Bankruptcy Court approval.

11

G. Modification of Treatment of Claims.

The Reorganized Debtor reserves the right to modify the treatment of any Allowed
Claim in any manner adverse only to the holder of that Claim at any time after the Effective
Date on that holder's prior written consent.

15

23

H. Setoffs and Recoupment.

The Reorganized Debtor may, but is not required to, set off or recoup against any Claim and the payments or other distributions to be made under the Plan in respect of such Claim, Claims of any nature that arose before the Petition Date that the Estate may have against the holder of such Claim to the extent such Claims may be set off or recouped under applicable law, but neither the failure to do so nor the fact of any Claim under the Plan becoming Allowed constitutes a waiver or release by the Estate of any such claim that it may have against such holder.

I. <u>Notices</u>.

Any notice required or permitted to be provided under the Plan must be in writing and served by certified return-receipt-requested U.S. mail, hand delivery, overnight courier, or read-receipt-enabled e-mail to:

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 55 of 60

To the Debtors:	Phoenix Manufacturing Partners, LLC 2350 W. Shangri La Road Phoenix, AZ 85029
To the Reorganized Debtor:	JJPS, LLC, dba Joined Alloys 2350 W. Shangri La Road Phoenix, AZ 85029
To the Attorneys for the Debtors/ Reorganized Debtor:	Bradley J. Stevens Jennings Strouss & Salmon One East Washington Street Suite 1900 Phoenix, AZ 85004-2554
To UMB Bank, N.A.:	UMB Bank, N.A. Post Office Box 419226 Kansas City, MO 64141-6226
To Attorneys for UMB Bank, N.A.	Hilary L. Barnes, Esq. Allen Barnes & Jones, PLC 1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 1150 Phoenix, AZ 85004

J. <u>Delivery of Notices</u>.

If personally delivered, notice is deemed delivered on actual receipt; if e-mailed in accordance with the Plan, notice is deemed delivered noon of the first Business Day following transmission; if sent by overnight courier in accordance with the Plan, notice is deemed delivered noon of the first Business Day following deposit with such courier; and if sent by U.S. mail in accordance with the Plan, notice is deemed delivered as of the date of delivery indicated on the receipt issued by the relevant postal service; or, if the addressee fails or refuses to accept delivery, as of the date of that failure or refusal. Any party to the Plan may change its address for the purposes of the Plan by giving notice of the change.

K. Severability.

If the Bankruptcy Court finds the Plan or any provision of the Plan to be invalid,
 illegal or unenforceable, or if the Bankruptcy Court cannot confirm the Plan under
 Bankruptcy Code § 1129, the Bankruptcy Court, at the Debtors' request, may retain the

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 56 of 60 power to alter and interpret the Plan or any such provision to make it valid or enforceable to the maximum extent feasible, consistent with the original purpose of the provision held to be invalid or unenforceable, and such provision will then become applicable as altered or interpreted. The Confirmation Order constitutes a judicial determination and provides that each term and provision of the Plan, as it may have been altered or interpreted in accordance with the foregoing, is valid and enforceable.

L. <u>Plan Documents</u>.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in the Plan, including
any reference in the Plan to documents in the forms annexed to the Plan as exhibits, the
Debtors may revise any such document by filing the revised document with the Bankruptcy
Court at least five days before the deadline for voting on the Plan, or with the written consent
of all parties in interest that are entitled to vote on the Plan and are materially and adversely
affected by the revision.

14

7

M. Inconsistency.

If any inconsistency between the Plan and the Disclosure Statement exists, the
Plan governs. If any inconsistency between the Plan and any document promulgated under
the Plan exists, the document governs.

18

N. <u>Subordination</u>.

The distributions under the Plan take into account the relative priority of each Claim in connection with any contractual subordination provisions relating to such Claim. Accordingly, distributions under the Plan are not and may not be subject to levy, garnishment, attachment, or other legal process by any holder of a Claim or Equity Interest purporting to be entitled to the benefits of such contractual subordination, and all such holders are deemed to have waived all contractual subordination rights they otherwise may have had.

25

O. <u>Withholding and Reporting Requirements</u>.

26

In connection with the Plan and all instruments issued in connection with the

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 115/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 57 of 60

Plan, the Debtors must comply with all withholding and reporting requirements imposed by 1 any federal, state, local, or foreign taxing authority, and all distributions under the Plan remain 2 subject to any such withholding and reporting requirements. The Debtors may take all 3 actions necessary to comply with such withholding and reporting requirements. 4 Notwithstanding any other provision of the Plan, each holder of an Allowed Claim that has 5 received a distribution under the Plan has sole and exclusive responsibility for the 6 satisfaction or payment of any tax obligation imposed by any governmental unit, 7 including income, withholding, and other tax obligation on account of such distribution. 8

9

P. <u>Post-Effective Date Fees; Final Decree</u>.

The Reorganized Debtor will be responsible for paying any post-Effective Date fees under 28 U.S.C. § 1930(a)(6) and filing post-confirmation reports until the Bankruptcy Court enters a final decree, which will be as soon as feasible after distributions under the Plan have commenced. Notice of application for a final decree need be given only to those holders of Claims and Equity Interests and other parties that, after the Effective Date, specifically request such notice.

16

Q. <u>De Minimis Distributions</u>.

No distributions of less than \$10 will be made on account of any Claim. An
Allowed Claim remains eligible for distributions on the first date set for distributions when
such distribution exceeds \$10.

20

R. <u>Delivery of Distributions; Undeliverable Distributions</u>.

Distributions to a holder of an Allowed Claim will be made: (a) to the address set forth on the holder's proof of claim, the Schedules, or, if no proof of claim is filed and the holder does not appear on the Schedules, the holder's last known address; or (b) to the address set forth in any written notice of address change delivered to the Reorganized Debtor. If any holder's distribution is returned as undeliverable, no further distributions to that holder will be made unless and until the Reorganized Debtor is notified of the

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 58 of 60

holder's then-current address. Claims held by a holder whose distributions are returned as 1 undeliverable and who fails to notify the Reorganized Debtor of its correct address within 2 90 days after the distributions are returned to the Reorganized Debtor as undeliverable will 3 be expunded, after which all unclaimed property will revert to the Reorganized Debtor free of 4 Claims in respect of void checks and the underlying distributions are any restrictions. 5 forever barred against the Reorganized Debtor, or their respective property, 6 notwithstanding any federal or state escheat laws to the contrary. Nothing contained in the 7 Plan requires the Reorganized Debtor to attempt to locate any holder of an Allowed Claim. 8

9

S.

<u>Failure to Negotiate Checks</u>.

Checks issued in respect of distributions under the Plan are void if not negotiated 10 within 120 days after issuance. Any amounts returned to the Reorganized Debtor in respect of 11 a non-negotiated check will be held by the Reorganized Debtor. Requests for reissuance of 12 any such check must be made directly to the Reorganized Debtor by the holder of the Allowed 13 Claim with respect to which such check originally was issued. All amounts represented by any 14 voided check will be held until the later of six months after the Effective Date and six months 15 after the voided check was issued, and all requests for reissuance by the holder of the Allowed 16 Claim in respect of the voided check must be made before that date. Thereafter, all such 17 amounts revest in the Reorganized Debtor free of any restriction. All Claims in respect of 18 void checks and the underlying distributions are forever barred against the Reorganized 19 Debtor, or their respective property, notwithstanding any federal or state escheat laws to the 20 contrary. 21

22 **XXX**.

PROPONENT'S RECOMMENDATION/ALTERNATIVES TO THE PLAN.

The Debtors recommend that all creditors entitled to vote for the Plan do so and that they vote in favor of the Plan. The alternatives to confirmation of the Plan would be either conversion of this case to a case under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code or its dismissal, which would result in the demise of the Debtors' businesses and creditors receiving nothing on

Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Desc 5410066v3(65599.2) Main Document Page 59 of 60 account of their claims.

5410066v3(65599.2)

1

If the case were converted, the result would be the appointment of a Chapter 7 2 trustee and, most likely, the hiring of an attorney by the trustee. Expenses incurred in 3 administering the Chapter 7 case would take priority in the right to payment over allowed, 4 administrative expenses incurred in the Chapter 11 case. Both Chapter 7 and Chapter 11 5 administrative expenses take priority over the payment of unsecured claims without priority. 6 In other words, conversion would likely decrease the net amount available to pay currently 7 existing Creditors. The most likely effect of conversion of the case to a Chapter 7 would be a 8 termination of all of Debtors' business operations and, as a result, Creditors would receive 9 nothing. 10

For all these reasons, the Debtors urge all creditors to vote to accept the Plan and to 11 return ballots promptly for timely processing and computation. 12

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 30th day of November, 2016. 13 JENNINGS, STROUSS & SALMON, P.L.C. 14 15 78 Frus 16 By: /s/ Bradley J. Stevens 17 Bradley J. Stevens Attorneys for Debtor 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 Filed 11/30/16 Entered 11/30/16 14:46:07 Case 2:16-bk-04898-EPB Doc 215 Desc Main Document Page 60 of 60