1	SCHIAN WALKER, P.L.C.			
2	1850 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE, #900 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85004-4531 TELEPHONE: (602) 277-1501			
3	DALE C. SCHIAN, #010445 E-MAIL: dale@biz.law			
4	ANDREA WIMMER, #025470 E-MAIL: andrea@biz.law			
5	Attorneys for Debtor-in-Possession			
6	Email for Electronic Service: <u>bkdocket@biz.law</u>			
7	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT			
8	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
9	In re:	Case No. 2:17-bk-02989-EPB		
10	PRADO MANAGEMENT L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company,	CHAPTER 11		
12		AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF		
13	Debtor.	REORGANIZATION DATED NOVEMBER 8, 2017		
14		<u> </u>		
15	The Debtor ^{1,23} has prepared this Amended Disclosure Statement in conjunction with its Plan.			
16	EXHIBITS			

Exhibit A	The Plan
Exhibit B	Real Property Appraisal
Exhibit C	The Prado Listing Agreement
Exhibit D	June Operating Report

¹ Unless otherwise defined herein, capitalized terms shall have the same meanings ascribed to them in the Debtor's Plan filed July 25, 2017 [DE 67]. If a term is not defined in the Plan, it shall have the meaning given to it by the Code or Bankruptcy Rules.

00360838.13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Case 2:17-bk-02989-EPB Doc 94

² This Amended Disclosure Statement was prepared at the direction of and signed by German Osio as the Debtor's Manager. Richard and Melissa Turasky contend that German Osio is not authorized to act for the Debtor.

³ All portions of this Amended Disclosure Statement shown in *italics* are included upon the request by Richard and Melissa Turasky and do not constitute the position(s) of the Debtor or its management.

I. <u>INTRODUCTION TO DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</u>

Bankruptcy Code ("Code") § 1125(b) prohibits the solicitation of acceptances or Rejections of a plan of reorganization unless the plan is accompanied by a copy of a disclosure statement that has been approved by the Bankruptcy Court. The Debtor has prepared this Disclosure Statement to solicit acceptances of the Plan filed with the Bankruptcy Court on July 25, 2017. The Plan is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

A. <u>Purpose of the Disclosure Statement</u>. The Debtor submits this Disclosure Statement to all of its Creditors to comply with certain Code provisions that require creditors to receive sufficient information to enable them to make an informed decision about whether to vote to accept or reject the Plan.

This Disclosure Statement sets forth certain information regarding the Debtor's operations and financial history. It also discusses the Debtor's need to file its Bankruptcy Case, the significant events that have occurred during its Bankruptcy Case, and the anticipated organization and operations of the Reorganized Debtor. This Disclosure Statement also contains a detailed discussion of the Plan, its implementation, and its associated risk factors. In addition, this Disclosure Statement discusses the Plan confirmation process and the voting procedures holders of Claims must follow in order for their votes to be counted.

The only representations the Debtor is authorized to make concerning the value of its assets or potential distributions under the Plan are contained in this Disclosure Statement. The Debtor may not solicit votes to accept or reject the Plan until the Court approves the Disclosure Statement as containing sufficient information to allow a Creditor to make an informed decision about whether to vote to accept or reject the Plan. The statements contained in this Disclosure Statement are made only as of the date hereof and there can be no assurance that the statements contained herein will be correct at any time thereafter. In addition, the descriptions and summaries contained herein may be subject to continuing negotiations between the Debtor and various parties and these negotiations may be ongoing, incomplete,

and subject to change. The Debtor urges Creditors and other parties-in-Interest to consult with independent counsel in deciding whether to accept or reject the Plan.

II. BANKRUPTCY CODE PROVISIONS FOR VOTING

- **A.** <u>Impairment.</u> The Plan provides holders of Claims and Interests retain unaltered the legal, equitable, and contractual rights to which Claim or Interest entitles the holder of such Claim or Interest, except to the extent modification without impairment is permitted by Code § 1124(2). Absent impairment, as specified in Code § 1124, Classes of Claims and Interests are conclusively presumed to have accepted the Plan and no vote is required or solicited from those classes.
- B. Allowed and Impaired Claims. Should any Class of Claim or Interest be determined to be impaired under the Plan, or any amendment to the Plan, a Claim will be assigned to a Class containing Claims and the treatment of the Claims within each Class is set forth in this Disclosure Statement and in the Plan. All Claims within the same Class will receive the same treatment under the Plan. The Debtor has used its best efforts to comply with Code § 1129 for this treatment. Generally speaking, impaired Claims cannot be placed in the same Class with Unimpaired Claims and only impaired Classes of Claims are entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan. Unimpaired Claims are deemed to have accepted the Plan as a matter of law.

Allowed Claims and Disputed Claims may be placed in the same Class of Claims if the requirements of Code § 1122 are met. However, only Creditors holding Allowed Claims (or temporarily Allowed Claims) within an impaired Class of Claims may vote to accept or reject the Plan. The allowance of a Claim for voting purposes does not necessarily mean the Claim will be allowed or disallowed for purposes of receiving a distribution under the Plan. Any Claim as to which an objection has been filed or will be filed will not receive a distribution under the Plan unless the Court enters an order allowing the Claim in whole or part. Whether such a Disputed Claim will become an Allowed Claim and entitled to receive a distribution under the Plan may not be determined until after the Plan is confirmed.

- C. Acceptance or Rejection of the Plan by an Impaired Class. A Creditor that does not vote or that votes to reject the Plan may be bound by the Plan if the Plan is accepted by the requisite majorities in each impaired Class of Claims and if the Plan is then confirmed by the Court. An impaired Class of Claims is deemed to have voted to accept the Plan if at least two thirds (2/3) of the total dollar amount of all Allowed Claims in the Class votes to accept the Plan and more than one half (1/2) of the total number of Allowed Claims in that same Class also votes to accept the Plan. For example, if an impaired Class of Claims contains seven Allowed Claims totaling \$90.00, then that Class will be deemed to have voted to accept the Plan if four of those Allowed Claims vote to accept the Plan and those same four Allowed Claims total, in dollar amount, at least \$60.00.
- D. Other Plan Requirements and Cram Down. In addition, except where the "cramdown" provisions apply, the Plan can only be confirmed if (1) every impaired Class of Claims votes to accept the Plan and (2) each Allowed Claim within a Class voting to reject the Plan will receive or retain value under the Plan equal to or greater than the value the holder of the rejecting claim would receive in a Chapter 7 case of the Debtor. If the "cram-down" provisions apply, however, then the Plan can be confirmed even if the requirement of (1) above is not met, but the requirement of (2) above remains in place. For the cram-down provisions to apply: (1) at least one impaired Class of Claims must vote to accept the Plan; (2) the Court must determine that confirmation of the Plan is not likely to be followed by a liquidation or the need for further reorganization of the Debtor; and (3) the Plan does not discriminate unfairly and is fair and equitable with respect to all impaired Classes of Claims that have voted to reject the Plan.
- **E.** The Debtor's Representations are Limited. This Disclosure Statement has been prepared in accordance with Code § 1125 and Bankruptcy Rule 3016(b). This Disclosure Statement contains summaries of certain provisions of the Plan, certain statutory provisions of the Code, certain documents related to the Plan, certain events occurring in the Bankruptcy Case, and certain financial information about the Debtor. Although the Debtor believes these summaries are fair and accurate, the

summaries are qualified to the extent that they do not set forth the entire text of such documents or statutory provisions. Factual information contained in this Disclosure Statement has been provided by the Debtor's management.

Except where otherwise specifically noted, the Debtor does not warrant or represent that the information contained herein, including the financial information, is without any material inaccuracy or omission. Further, some of the information contained herein consists of projections of the future performance of an uncertain business. While every effort has been made to ensure the assumptions are valid and the projections set forth herein are as accurate as possible under the circumstances, the Debtor does not certify or warrant that the projections and other information contained herein are accurate or complete.

Further, the Court has not verified the accuracy of the information contained in this Disclosure Statement. The Court's approval of the Disclosure Statement does not imply that the Court endorses or approves the Plan, but only that that the information contained in this Disclosure Statement, if accurate, provides Creditors with adequate information to make an informed decision about whether to vote to approve or reject the Plan.

The financial information contained in the Disclosure Statement, including, but not limited to the exhibits, were provided by the Debtor, but have not been audited, verified, or approved by the Court or by any of the professionals retained in this case, and may not have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. All of the information set forth above represents the Debtor's best estimates given current market conditions and its past experiences.

The Debtor has not authorized any representation about its operations, assets, and liabilities except as set forth in this Disclosure Statement. You should not rely on any other representation or inducement to secure your vote on the Plan. Any person who makes an unauthorized representation or inducement concerning the Plan should be reported to counsel for the Debtor at the address above and to the United States Trustee. The United States Trustee may be reached at (602) 682-2600.

III. BACKGROUND INFORMATION RELATED TO THE DEBTOR

A. General Background.

The Debtor is a Delaware Limited Liability Company formed in 2011 with its principal place of business in Arizona. The Debtor has one asset consisting of a single family residential property located in the Prado Estates gated community at 23875 North 91st Street in Scottsdale, Arizona (the "Property"). Michael Kang asserts that he is the sole member and holds 100% ownership Interest in the Debtor (the "Equity Interest"). Mr. Kang also asserts that the Debtor currently has one manager: German Osio. The Debtor has no employees and no income. Mr. Kang is providing the funds necessary for the continued upkeep and maintenance of the Property, including, but not limited to utility expenses and insurance costs.

In contrast to the forgoing, Richard and Melissa Turasky (the "Turaskys") assert that the Debtor is neither managed by Mr. Osio nor owned by Mr. Kang and that neither Mr. Kang nor Mr. Osio had authority to seek relief under Chapter 11 of the bankruptcy code on behalf of Debtor. The Turaskys further assert that Debtor is 100% owned by Whisper Capital Group, LLC ("Whisper Capital"), that Whisper Capital is owned and controlled through the Turaskys, that Debtor's principal place of business is 385 Airport Rd., Ste. 100 Elgin, IL 60123, and that the Turaskys formed both the Debtor and Whisper Capital as Delaware limited liability companies. The Turaskys have not authorized the Debtor's bankruptcy filing and assert that the filing was unauthorized and made in bad faith.

B. The Property.

The Property was purchased by the Debtor in May 2011 for \$5 million. The Property is approximately 11,900 square feet. According to Mr. Kang, the Property was acquired with the intent that Mr. Kang, use the Property as his personal residence. Consequently, Mr. Kang's wife hired an interior decorator and made many alterations and additions to the interior of the Property costing an estimated \$2.4 million. The Property was lived in by Mr. Kang and his family as a seasonal Property during the falls and winters when the Kangs were not at their other residence in Canada.

9

12

13

11

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

According to the Turaskys, Whisper Capital advanced all funds necessary to acquire and improve the Property including the 2.4 million referred to by Debtor above. The Turaskys further assert that neither Mr. Kang nor Mr. Osio can make any legitimate claim to the funds of Whisper Capital or trace any of their funds into the acquisition of the Property.

The Attempted Sale and Lis Pendens on the Property. C.

1. Mr. Kang asserts the following:

The Kangs consistently lived in the Property in this above-referenced manner until they decided to put the Property up for sale. The Property was listed for sale with a real estate broker in October 2014. In 2012, U.S. Bank, N.A. brought suit against, among others, Capital Realty & Development, LLC and the Turaskys in the District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division for breach of contract with a stated demand of more than \$1.4 million. In 2015, as part of this litigation, Richard Turasky filed a Motion for Rule to Show Cause ("Show Cause Motion") against, Michael Kang and his attorney. When the court set a hearing on the Show Cause Motion, Capital Realty and/or Turasky filed a "Notice of Lis Pendens" in the District Court and subsequently recorded this Lis Pendens, specifically identifying the Property as being affected by it, with the Maricopa County Recorder's Office as document number 2015-0260941. Mr. Kang, and his attorney, subsequently filed a motion to vacate the Show Cause Motion which was granted by the Court. Upon information and belief, the Lis Pendens has not been released, but no proof of claim was filed asserting its continued validity.

On or about November 18, 2015, an interested buyer, Villa 14 LLC ("Villa"), came forward, signed a purchase contract to buy the Property for \$6 million, and put down an earnest money deposit to secure its place to purchase the Property. Villa is owned by the Williams family. During the time the Property was under contract with Villa, on or about January 13, 2016, Canal Investment Fund (another Turasky entity) asserted an interest in the Debtor and filed a lis pendens on the Property. The anticipated sale of the Property was not consummated between the Debtor and Villa; however, Villa was still interested in the Property. Therefore, the Debtor permitted Villa to rent the Property for a nominal

fee of \$100 per month, until such time as the lis pendens could be resolved and the sale could go through. The Debtor anticipated that the lis pendens would be resolved in a short period of time, about 60 days or less. The Williams family (Villa) agreed to the rental offer and did in fact move into the Property.

On or about February 29, 2016, Villa filed a complaint against the Debtor requesting specific performance of the sale contract on the Property. Soon afterwards, on or around March 8, 2016, Villa filed its own lis pendens on the Property requesting specific performance to consummate the sale of the Property to Villa. On March 21, 2016, Villa also filed and obtained a temporary restraining order against the Debtor to keep it from evicting Villa or selling the Property to any other party. During this time, the Williams family continued to live in the Property. Unbeknownst to the Debtor and without notice, the Williams acquired another home in the vicinity and moved out of the Property. The Debtor estimates that the Williams family (Villa) did approximately \$165,000.00 in damage to the audio/video equipment and interior of the Property at the time it was in their possession. No claim has been made on the insurance carrier to date to repair the damage to the Property. Any claim against either the Williams family/Villa, or on the Property's insurance coverage, is a potential claim of this bankruptcy estate.

On or about August 31, 2016, the underlying state court action by Villa giving rise to the recording of the lis pendens (Maricopa County Superior Court Case No. 2016-001632) was consolidated with an earlier action brought by Mr. Kang against, among others, the Turaskys and Villa in 2015 (Maricopa County Superior Court Case No. 2015-051396) ("the Consolidated Cases"). No releases of the lis pendens have been identified, but Villa has not asserted any claim in these proceedings.

2. The Turaskys assert the following:

Due to pending state court litigation in both Illinois and Arizona, and the concern that Mr. Kang would abscond with proceeds from a sale of the Property, lis pendens were filed against the Property by Canal Management Fund I, LLC (a/k/a Canal Investment Fund, LLC) ("Canal Investment"), Capital Realty & Development, LLC ("Capital Realty") and Richard P. Turasky, Jr. Canal Investment is the

manager of Whisper Capital which in turn owns the Debtor.

Litigation involving the sale of the Property was initiated by Villa and that litigation (the "Villa Litigation") was consolidated with other pending litigation involving a dispute over ownership of the Debtor. In the Villa Litigation, the Maricopa County Superior Court authorized Villa to proceed with the sale of the Property and ordered the sale proceeds to be deposited into the court registry. The sale was for the purchase price of \$6,000,000. In order to facilitate the closing of the sale transaction, Canal Investment, Capital Realty and Richard P. Turasky, Jr. each executed a release of lis pendens and tendered the releases to Villa pending the closing of the sale of the Property. Notwithstanding the forgoing court authorization and the tenders of the releases of the lis pendens, the Debtor and its purported manager Mr. Osio, defaulted under the Villa sale contract by refusing to execute required closing documents and to close the sale of the Property. The proposed sale of the Property to Villa was not precluded by any lis pendens filed by Canal Investment, Capital Realty, Richard Turasky or any other person or entity, but rather, by Mr. Osio's refusal to consummate the sale. Simply stated, Mr. Osio refused to close the sale transaction because the court had ordered the net proceeds to be deposited into the court registry pending resolution of the dispute over ownership of the Debtor was at issue in the Maricopa County Superior litigation.

D. The Arizona Bank & Trust Loan on the Property.

In 2014, the Debtor with Mr. Osio as co-guarantor, took out a mortgage on the Property for \$3.4 million with Arizona Bank & Trust ("AZBT"). The Property was valued at approximately \$5 million at the time.

The AZBT loan is accruing Interest and fees, both of which will be paid in full from the proceeds of the sale of the Property. The approximate balance of the amount owed to AZBT is currently _____. The Property is currently listed for sale at \$5.75 million, a value greater than the secured amount perfected against it. The Debtor believes that there is approximately \$2 million in Equity remaining in the Property. Therefore, there is sufficient Equity in the Property to pay AZBT in full once a sale is

5

1112

13

1415

16

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

consummated.

The Turaskys assert that the AZBT loan was obtained by Mr. Osio without the authority of Debtor, was not authorized by the Debtor or the Debtor's true owner, Whisper Capital, and was obtained through the presentation to AZBT of false ownership and other documents including a fraudulent residential lease agreement for the Property.

E. <u>The Parties Asserting a Dispute Over Ownership in the Debtor in the State Court</u> Litigation.

Mr. Kang maintains that he is the sole member (Class 6 Equity Interest Holder) of the Debtor and Mr. Osio is the sole manager and that according to all available records, Mr. Kang is the sole owner of the Debtor. If other parties believe they have a claim to, or an Interest in the Debtor, then those parties had the opportunity to file a proof of Claim or Interest by September 11, 2017, which is the deadline set by the Court.

The other entities and parties disputing Mr. Kang's ownership in the Debtor and wanting to claim that ownership as their own are primarily Richard and Melissa Turasky, in their individual capacities and by and through their affiliations with Canal Investment Fund and Whisper Capital Group, LLC.

Mr. Kang however, contests these assertions. Mr. Kang and his wife retained a real estate agent in 2011 specifically to find a residence in the Scottsdale area. They chose the Property and Mr. Kang subsequently directed the formation of the Prado Management LLC (the Debtor; aptly named because the Property is located in the Prado Estates Community) in order to purchase the Property.

The Turaskys dispute Mr. Kang's ownership interest in Debtor. According to the Turaskys, the Debtor is owned by Whisper Capital which in turn is owned and controlled through the Turaskys. The Turaskys further assert that they formed and created Debtor and Whisper Capital for their own benefit.

F. <u>Factors Leading to the Filing of the Bankruptcy Case</u>.

Mr. Kang has desired to sell the Property since 2015 but litigation over ownership of the Debtor

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

24

23

25

clouded title and precluded the Debtor from selling or refinancing the Property. The Property was set for a trustee's sale on March 28, 2017, by AZBT because the Debtor was unable to sell or refinance the Property. The Debtor was forced to file the Bankruptcy Case in order to preserve its only asset from being lost at sale.

According to the Turaskys, Mr. Kang sabotaged the sale of the Property to Villa by refusing to close the transaction in accordance with a state court order. Turaskys assert that this bankruptcy case was not filed to preserve the Property or to protect the Property from an imminent trustee's sale, but rather, to avoid the finality of state court litigation over the ownership of Debtor and the determinations of the state court relative to such ownership.

G. **Events and Filings in the Bankruptcy Case.**

- 1. Statements, Petition, and Schedules. On March 27, 2017, the Debtor filed its Chapter 11 petition (the "Bankruptcy Case"). Subsequently, the Debtor has filed its Statements and Schedules [DE 16 and 17], and various amendments thereto [DE 27 and 46]. The June 8, 2017 amendment, changed the Debtor's previously selected "single asset real estate" designation to "None of the Above." See DE 46 at p. 4. The Petition, Statements, and Schedules contain detailed financial information about the Debtor, its assets, liabilities, and creditors.
- 2. Employment of Professionals. The Debtor has retained Schian Walker, P.L.C. ("Schian Walker") as its general bankruptcy and restructuring counsel. By order entered April 29, 2017 [DE 25], Schian Walker was approved as the Debtor's counsel. The Debtor has also retained Ms. Kelly Carroll (f.k.a. Hendon) and Mr. Keith Mishkin of Cambridge Properties, as its real estate broker in order to list the Property for sale. By order entered June 12, 2017 [DE 47], both Ms. Carroll and Mr. Mishkin were approved as Debtor's real estate broker.
- 3. Claims Bar Date. The Debtor has filed a Motion to Establish a Bar Date for Filing Proofs of Claims or Interests ("Claims Motion") [DE 34]. The Turaskys filed an objection to the Claims Motion [DE 51]. The Court established September 11, 2017, as the date to file proofs of Claims and

Interests [DE 75]. Three claims have been timely filed. The claims filed by the Maricopa County and AZBT are described more fully below. The third claim was filed by Whisper Capital, LLC, which is owned and controlled by the Turaskys. Whisper Capital, LLC, claims to hold a 100 percent ownership interest of the Debtor. The claim does not specify an amount owed. The Debtor disputes the Whisper Capital, LLC, claim and for the purposes of this Disclosure Statement assigns it no value as a disallowed claim.

- 4. <u>Maricopa County Treasurer's Proof of Claim</u>. On May 4, 2017, Maricopa County filed proof of claim No. 1 in the amount of \$41,416.85, which consists of the second half of 2016 real estate taxes and the estimated 2017 real estate taxes associated with the Property. The Maricopa County Treasurer claim accrues Interest at 16% annually.
- 5. <u>AZBT's Proof of Claim.</u> On June 20 2017, AZBT filed proof of claim No. 2 in the amount of \$3,665,959.75 including principal, Interest, attorneys' fees and costs, appraisals, and searches. AZBT listed the principal balance of the loan as \$3,399,981.11, with Interest and other fees and costs as \$265,978.64. Additionally, the AZBT claim lists an accruing per diem Interest in the amount of \$1,416.65. The Debtor is in the process of determining whether the amounts set forth in AZBT's claim are consistent with the Debtor's records.
 - 6. Executory Contracts. The Debtor has no contracts to assume or reject.
 - 7. <u>Leases</u>. The Debtor has no leases to assume or reject.
- 8. <u>United States Trustee Requirements</u>. The Debtor has filed all required Monthly Operating Reports and maintains a segregated debtor-in-possession bank account to receive or disperse any monies as required. Upon information and belief, the Debtor has made all required payments to the United States Trustee and is current on any other requirements set by the United States Trustee.
- 9. <u>Motions to Dismiss</u>. Two motions to dismiss have been filed against the Debtor. Both motions allege that the Bankruptcy Case was filed in bad faith and that it is essentially a two-party dispute. The motions are as follows: (a) the Turaskys filed their motion to dismiss on June 5, 2017

[DE 44] and (b) AZBT filed its motion to dismiss on June 21, 2017 [DE 52]. *The Turaskys Motion to Dismiss asserts that this bankruptcy proceeding was initiated by Debtor without proper authority and in bad faith.* A pre-trial conference on the motions will be held on November 21, 2017, the initial evidentiary hearing set for December 13, 2017.

10. <u>Motion for Relief from Stay</u>. AZBT filed a Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay to exercise its rights under its Deed of Trust on the Property, including completion of the pending trustee's sale and/or appointment of a receiver to sell the Property. In the alternative, AZBT seeks partial relief from the automatic stay in order to file a declaratory judgment in state court to determine that its interest in the Deed of Trust related to the Property is valid and perfected [DE 83]. The Court has set a preliminary hearing on this matter for November 8, 2017 [DE 88].

IV. <u>SUMMARY OF THE PLAN</u>

The following is an overview of the Plan. It describes the structure of the Plan and the classification of the Claims asserted against the Debtor in the Plan. The following is only a summary of the Plan and does not purport to be the Plan or to contain all of the terms, conditions, and provisions of the Plan. Accordingly, the Plan should be read carefully and in its entirety. The Plan sets forth the treatment of Claims against the Debtor. The obligations and distributions made under the Plan are in full and final settlement, release, and compromise of any and all Claims against the Debtor.

- A. <u>Sale of the Property</u>. Unless the Property sells earlier, it will be auctioned for sale at the Bankruptcy Court once the Court approves a Confirmation Order. Any party may bid at the sale in order to purchase the Property and fund the Plan. The rights of parties under Code § 363(k) shall be preserved.
- **B.** <u>Classification of Claims</u>. The Plan contains separate Classes for holders of Claims against the Debtor. Under the Code, impaired Classes of Claims are entitled to vote on the Plan. A Class containing Unimpaired Claims is deemed to have accepted the Plan and, thus, the claims within that Class are not permitted to vote. A Class is "impaired" under the Code if the Claims within it have

had their legal, equitable, or contractual rights modified or altered by the Plan. For purposes of the Plan, **no classes are "impaired" and therefore, no classes are entitled to vote** on the Plan. Because no classes are impaired, all Classes will be deemed to have accepted the Plan without voting pursuant to Code § 1126(f).

The following chart summarizes the Classes within the Plan and the Debtor's estimation of the total dollar amount of Claims within each such Class.

Class	TYPE OF CLAIMS	ESTIMATED CLAIMS
1	Administrative Expense Claims	Broker fees: \$375,000.00 Schian Walker fees: \$50,000.00
2	Secured Tax Claims	2016 taxes: \$13,927.79 2017 taxes: \$27,489.06
3	AZBT's Secured Claim	Principal: \$3,400,000.00 Interest and costs: \$265,978.64
4	Priority Claims	\$0.00
5	General Unsecured Claims	No Claims Filed
6	Equity Interest	Unknown (amount remaining in Equity cushion)

1. <u>Class 1 Administrative Claims</u>. Class 1 consists of Administrative Claims, which include the following claims: (a) ordinary course business expenses the Debtor has incurred after the Petition Date; (b) Professional Fee Claims; and (c) any other Claim under Code § 503(b). The amount of fees and costs going forward will depend greatly on whether the Plan process is contested and, if so, as to which issues. Currently, the anticipated broker fees are \$375,000, or 6% commission on the sale of the Property, currently listed for \$6.25 million. On the Petition Date, Schian Walker held a fee deposit

of \$44,460.50. The Debtor anticipates additional fees to Schian Walker of approximately \$50,000.00.

- 2. <u>Class 2 Secured Tax Claims</u>. Class 2 consists of Secured Tax Claims of the Maricopa County Treasurer in the amount of the real property taxes that became due and payable before the Petition Date. The Secured Tax Claims consist of two separate years: the second half of 2016 taxes (\$13,927.79) and the 2017 taxes owed, but not yet assessed (estimated at \$27,489.06). The Debtor estimates that such Claims will total approximately \$41,416.85.
- 3. <u>Class 3 Secured Claim of AZBT</u>. Class 3 consists of the Secured Claim of AZBT. It has filed proof of claim No. 2 in the amount of \$3,665,959.75, including principal, Interest, attorneys' fees and costs, appraisals, and searches. AZBT listed the principal balance of the loan as \$3,399,981.11, with Interest and other fees and costs as \$265,978.64. Additionally, the AZBT claim lists an accruing per diem Interest in the amount of \$1,416.65.
- 4. <u>Class 4 Priority Claims</u>. Class 4 consists of Priority Claims, including those Tax Claims under Code § 507(a)(8). The Debtor estimates that such Tax Claims will total approximately \$0. Other Priority Claims under Code § 507(b) not falling within Class 1 above are also included in Class 4. The Debtor estimates that such Claims will total approximately \$0.00.
- 5. <u>Class 5 General Unsecured Claims</u>. Class 5 is comprised of all Claims that are not classified within any other Class under the Plan. Class 5 Claims include, without limitation, all deficiency claims, all Rejection claims, and all other unsecured claims.
- 6. <u>Class 6 Equity Interests</u>. Class 6 consists of membership, Equity, and other ownership Interests in the Debtor.
- C. <u>Classes of Claims and Allowed Claims</u>. The Code provides for treatment only of "impaired class" claims. Because no class is impaired under the Plan, no treatment explanation is provided and no ballot solicitations will be made. The Plan and the Disclosure Statement will be provided to all Creditors. All Creditors will have the opportunity to object to approval of both the Disclosure Statement and confirmation of the Plan. The Plan is deemed to treat all Claims against the

Estate in the same, unimpaired fashion regardless of its character, description, or classification.

V. <u>IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PLAN</u>

- A. Administrative Claims Bar Date. Applications requesting payment of Administrative Claims incurred before the Effective Date pursuant to Code §§ 507(a)(l) and 503(b), including applications for Professional Fees under Code §§ 331 and 330, must be served and filed with the Bankruptcy Court no later than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date. Any such Claim not served and filed within this time period will be forever barred. Administrative Claims need not be filed with respect to (i) any operating expense the Debtor incurred in the ordinary course of its business after the Petition Date and (ii) any and all Professional Fees, costs, and expenses the Debtor incurred after the Effective Date. The Claims and expenses set forth in (i) and (ii) may be paid in the ordinary course of business without notice or hearing.
- **B.** <u>Causes of Action.</u> The Debtor's assets include the Causes of Action and other potential litigation claims. The Causes of Action and other potential litigation claims are against Villa 14, LLC: Claim resulting from damages to Property's audio/video equipment and interior. Estimated amount of claim is \$165,000.00.

The Debtor reserves all rights to commence, continue, amend, and prosecute all Causes of Action against any Person or Governmental Unit (as both are defined in the Code), including after the Effective Date by and through the Reorganized Debtor, and with respect to any transaction that occurred through the Effective Date. The Debtor is currently evaluating the Causes of Action. Potential damages and recoveries for the Causes of Action include monetary awards, setoff rights, claim reductions, and potential subordination or disallowance of Claims. All Causes of Action shall be preserved and transferred to and for the benefit of the Reorganized Debtor on the Effective Date. The Reorganized Debtor shall have the exclusive power to bring, dismiss, or compromise any of the Causes of Action, and all matters pertaining to the implementation of the Plan. The Debtor reserves the right to prosecute litigation to challenge the validity of any lien that AZBT or any other party claims in the Debtor's

property. Such a challenge shall include the right to prosecute avoidance actions under Chapter 5 of the Code.

- **C.** <u>Conditions to Confirmation</u>. The Court enters the Confirmation Order in form and substance acceptable to the Debtor in its sole and absolute discretion.
- **D.** <u>Conditions to Effective Date</u>. The Confirmation Date occurs and the Plan Documents have been issued, executed, delivered, or filed and have become effective and binding. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in its sole and absolute discretion, the Debtor may waive any of the aforementioned conditions.
- **E.** <u>Contingent Claims</u>. Until a Contingent Claim or a disputed Claim becomes an Allowed Claim or a Disallowed Claim, the Claim will be treated as a Disputed Claim for all purposes under the Plan. The holder of a Contingent Claim will be entitled to a distribution under the Plan only when the Contingent Claim becomes an Allowed Claim. Any Contingent Claim for reimbursement or contribution held by a person that may be liable to the Debtor on a Claim of a Creditor is disallowed as of the Effective Date if: (i) that Creditor's Claim is disallowed; (ii) the Claim for reimbursement or contribution is Contingent as of the Effective Date; or (iii) that person asserts a right of subrogation to the rights of the Creditor under Code § 509.
- **F.** <u>Disbursing Agent.</u> Unless otherwise set forth in the Confirmation Order, the Reorganized Debtor shall act as Disbursing Agent under the Plan. The Disbursing Agent shall ensure that all distributions provided for under the Plan are accurately and timely made. The Disbursing Agent shall create and maintain a register of all Allowed Claims and a record of all payments made on account of such Allowed Claims. The Disbursing Agent shall calculate (with the assistance of any professionals deemed necessary) any pro-rata distribution calculations and/or other calculations necessary to implement the provisions of the Plan regarding distributions to Creditors.
- **G.** <u>Distributions Under the Plan.</u> No payments or distributions will be made to any Creditor or Interest holder on account of a Claim or Interest unless and until the Claim or Interest is

allowed. The Reorganized Debtor or any party in Interest may object to the allowance of any Claim or Interest by filing a written objection with the Bankruptcy Court. Objections must be filed on or before the Claims Objection Date. If the Reorganized Debtor or an Interested party objects to a Claim or Interest, any distributions payable by reason of such Disputed Claims or Interests shall be held by the Reorganized Debtor until the Court has entered final orders resolving the Disputed Claims or Interests. Except as otherwise provided in the Plan, no interim distributions shall be required to be made by the Disbursing Agent in an amount less than \$100.00. The Disbursing Agent shall distribute funds to Claimants holding Allowed Claims according to the priorities set forth in the Plan. If there are insufficient funds available to pay all Allowed Claims in a given Class in full, then the Reorganized Debtor may make a pro rata distribution for such Allowed Claims on an interim or final basis. All distributions under the Plan unclaimed for a period of one year after distribution thereof (or an attempt to effect such distribution) in accordance with the Plan will be deemed unclaimed property under Code § 347(b), and such unclaimed property will be forfeited and shall indefeasibly vest in the Reorganized Debtor. Notwithstanding anything otherwise to the contrary, in no event will a holder of an Allowed Claim be entitled to receive distributions in excess of its Allowed Claim.

- **H.** <u>Executory Contracts</u>. Pursuant to Code § 365(a), the Plan provides for the Rejection of any and all Executory Contracts of the Debtor that are in force on the Confirmation Date, except for those Executory Contracts specifically assumed pursuant to an order of the Court, including the Confirmation Order.
- I. <u>Funding of Plan.</u> Funding for the Plan will come from the sale proceeds of the Property and any capital contributions from the Equity Interests, which the Debtor believes will be sufficient to enable the Debtor to meet its Plan obligations. Unless the Property sells earlier, at the Confirmation Hearing the Debtor shall request that the Court enter an order establishing a date for a sale of the Property, free and clear of all liens, claims and Interests as soon as possible after the Confirmation Order becomes final. The sale shall be by public auction, conducted in open court, and upon such other terms

and conditions as the Court may establish after notice and hearing. The rights of parties under Code § 363(k) shall be preserved. Unless the Court orders otherwise, all bids must be in cash and the sale must close at least seven (7) prior to the Effective Date. The Court may establish bidder qualifications, but no party shall be disqualified from bidding based upon their affiliation or prior affiliation with the Debtor or the holder of any Claim or Interest. Distributions under this Plan shall be made to holders of Allowed Claims and Interests by the Disbursing Agent, who shall report on activity in this account in periodic reports to the Court.

Whisper Capital, LLC objects to the use of proceeds of the sale of the Debtor's property to fund the Plan.

J. <u>Management.</u> The Debtor's management after the Effective Date shall be substantially similar to the management the Debtor had before its Bankruptcy Case was filed. It is anticipated that no changes will be made to the members, managers, or organization of the company.

It is the Turaskys' position that the only authorized manager of Debtor is Richard Turasky, not German Osio.

- **K.** Objections to Claims. Notwithstanding the occurrence of the Effective Date, and except as to any Claim that has been Allowed before the Effective Date by a Final Order, the Reorganized Debtor may object to the allowance of any Claim or Interest, or seek estimation of any Claim on any grounds permitted by the Code. All objections to Claims and Interests must be brought by filing the appropriate pleading in the Bankruptcy Court on or before the Claims objection bar date unless a motion seeking to extend the Claims objection bar date is filed on or before such date. Any Disputed Claim may be settled by order of this Court submitted after 20 days' notice of the settlement has been provided to all parties that have requested notice.
- L. <u>Rejection Claims Bar Date</u>. All Rejection Claims arising from the rejection of any Executory Contract under the Plan are required to be filed with the Bankruptcy Court no later than the Rejection Claims bar date. Any such Rejection Claim not filed on or before the Rejection Claims bar

date will be forever barred. With respect to any Executory Contract rejected by the Debtor before the Confirmation Date, the deadline for filing a Rejection Claim related to that rejected contract remains the deadline set forth in the order that authorized its rejection. If that particular order did not contain its own deadline to file a Rejection Claim, then the Rejection Claim bar date shall be that deadline. Rejection Claims shall be treated as Class 5 General Unsecured Claims.

VI. EFFECT OF CONFIRMATION

- A. <u>Binding Effect</u>. The Plan is binding on, and inures to the benefit of, the Debtor, the Reorganized Debtor, and the holders of all Claims and Interests, and their respective successors and assigns. The Plan, if confirmed, and if the Effective Date occurs, shall bind all creditors and parties in Interest whether a Claim has been filed, deemed to be an Allowed Claim or a Disallowed Claim, whether a distribution has or has not been made on account of any such Claim, or whether such Claim has voted to accept or reject the Plan.
- **B.** <u>Deletion of Classes</u>. Classes not containing any Allowed Claims within them shall be automatically deleted from the Plan.
- C. <u>Discharge</u>. Except as provided in the Plan or the Confirmation Order, the rights granted under the Plan and the treatment of Claims and Interests under the Plan are in exchange for and in complete satisfaction and release of all Claims and Interests against the Debtor. The Plan provides for the treatment and satisfaction of all Claim and Interests and the vesting of assets in the Reorganized Debtor. Pursuant to Code § 1141(d)(3)(A) the Debtor shall not receive a discharge.
- **D.** Exemption from Transfer Taxes. In accordance with Code § 1146(a): (i) the issuance, distribution, transfer, and exchange of assets or property of an Estate; (ii) the execution, assignment, modification, or recording of any lease or sublease; and (iii) the execution, delivery, or recording of a deed or other instrument of transfer under, in furtherance of, or in connection with the Plan, the Confirmation Order, or any transaction contemplated above, or any transactions arising out of, contemplated by, or in any way related to, the foregoing are not subject to any document recording tax,

stamp tax conveyance fee, intangibles or similar tax, mortgage tax, or real estate transfer tax, or other similar tax or governmental assessment and the appropriate state or local government officials or agents are directed to forego the collection of any such tax or assessment and to accept for filing or recordation any of the foregoing instruments or other documents without the payment of any such tax or assessment.

- **E.** <u>Injunction.</u> Except as provided in the Plan or the Confirmation Order, as of the Confirmation Date, all entities that have held, currently hold, or may hold a Claim or other debt or liability that is unclassified by the Plan, or that is subject to a distribution under the Plan, are permanently enjoined from taking any of the following actions on account of such Claims, debts or liabilities: (i) commencing or continuing in any manner any action or other proceeding against any property to be distributed under the Plan; (ii) enforcing, attaching, collecting, or recovering in any manner any judgment, award, decree, or order against any property to be distributed under the Plan; (iii) creating, perfecting, or enforcing any lien or encumbrance against any property to be distributed under the Plan; and (iv) commencing or continuing any action, in any manner, in any place, that does not comply with or is inconsistent with the provisions of the Plan or the Code.
- **F.** Ratification. The Confirmation Order ratifies all transactions initiated by the Debtor during the period commencing on the Petition Date and ending on the Effective Date, except for any acts constituting willful misconduct, gross negligence, recklessness, or fraud.
- **G.** <u>Vesting of Property.</u> Pursuant to Code §§ 541(a) and 1141(b), all property of the Debtor will vest in the Reorganized Debtor on the Effective Date, free and clear of all liens, Claims, encumbrances, and Interests, except as the Plan provides. The Reorganized Debtor shall have the authority to incur debt, sell assets, conduct business inside and outside the ordinary course, and encumber and grant liens on its property subject to those liens and restrictions retained under the Plan, all of the foregoing as it deems fit in its good business judgment and without notice to creditors or further order of the Court.

1415

16

1718

19

2021

22

23

2425

VII. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

- **A.** <u>Administrative Claims</u>. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain jurisdiction to adjudicate all Claims, controversies, contested matters, or adversary proceedings arising out of any action, purchase, sale, agreement, or obligation made or undertaken by and between the Debtor and any third party during the pendency of the Bankruptcy Case.
- **B.** <u>Claims and Interests</u>. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain jurisdiction related to the classification, allowance, subordination, and liquidation of any Claim or Interest and the determination of such objections as may be filed, including determinations of Disputed Claims and Interests.
- C. <u>Disputes</u>. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain jurisdiction related to the determination of all questions and disputes regarding title to the assets of the Estate and the determination of all causes of action, controversies, disputes or conflicts, known or unknown, whether or not subject to actions pending as of the Confirmation Date, between the Debtor and any other person or governmental unit, including, but not limited to, the Debtor's right to recover assets, avoid transfers, recover fraudulent transfers, offset Claims, recover money or property from any person or governmental unit, or to seek the return assets which were or are the property of the Estate.
- **D.** <u>Enforcement.</u> The Bankruptcy Court shall retain jurisdiction to enter any order, including injunctions, necessary to enforce the title, rights, and powers of the Debtor under the Plan.
- **E.** <u>Executory Contracts.</u> The Bankruptcy Court shall retain jurisdiction to determine all matters relating to the assumption, assignment, or Rejection of Executory Contracts, including matters related to Rejection Claims.
- **F.** Extensions of Time. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain jurisdiction to shorten or extend, for cause, the time fixed for doing any act or thing under the Plan.
- **G.** Governmental Unit. The Bankruptcy Court will retain jurisdiction to adjudicate any dispute or to hear and determine any action taken, proposed, or threatened by any federal, state, or local government unit having or asserting jurisdiction or power over the conduct of the Debtor or its business.

- **H.** <u>Injunction</u>. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain jurisdiction to issue any injunction (including an injunction under Code § 105) or restraining order or stay that is necessary to implement the Plan. To issue injunctions, enter and implement other orders, or take such other action as may be necessary or appropriate to restrain interference by any person or entity with consummation or enforcement of the Plan.
- **I.** <u>Intent.</u> Until the Bankruptcy Case is closed, the Bankruptcy Court will retain jurisdiction to ensure that the purposes and intent of the Plan are performed.
- **J.** <u>Interpretation</u>. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce and construe the terms and conditions of the Plan and to determine all controversies and disputes that may arise in connection with the enforcement, interpretation, or consummation of the Plan.
- **K.** <u>Litigation</u>. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain jurisdiction to decide or resolve any and all applications, motions, adversary proceedings (including but not limited to any Causes of Action), contested or litigated matters, and any other matters, or grant or deny any application involving the Debtor or the Estate.
- **L.** Other Matters. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain jurisdiction to determine such other matters as may be provided in the Confirmation Order or as may be authorized under the Code.
- M. <u>Plan Corrections</u>. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain jurisdiction to correct any defect, the curing of any omission, or the reconciliation of any inconsistency in the Plan, the Confirmation Order, or any and all document executed or to be executed in connection therewith, as may be necessary to carry out the purposes and the intent of the Plan.
- N. <u>Professional Fees of Estate Professionals.</u> The Bankruptcy Court will retain jurisdiction to determine any timely application for Professional Fees sought pursuant to Code §§ 330, 331, and 503(b).
- O. <u>Termination</u>. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain jurisdiction to enter an order concluding and terminating this Bankruptcy Case.

P. <u>United States Trustee Fees.</u> Until the Bankruptcy Case is closed, the Debtor must file post-confirmation reports and pay quarterly fees under 28 U.S.C. § 1930(a)(6).

VIII. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- **A.** <u>Additional Assurances</u>. The Debtor and any party-in-Interest will execute any such other documents as are necessary to implement the Plan.
- Business of Reorganized Debtor. After the Confirmation Date, as well as after the Effective Date, the Reorganized Debtor shall be empowered to and shall continue its ordinary course business operations. As of the Effective Date (i) the adoption, execution, delivery, and implementation of all contracts, leases, instruments, releases, and other agreements related to or contemplated by the Plan and (ii) the other matters provided for under, or in furtherance of, the Plan involving corporate action required of the Debtor, will be deemed to have occurred and become effective as provided in the Plan, and will be deemed authorized and approved in all respects without further order of the Bankruptcy Court or any further action by the directors of the Debtor. In addition, the Reorganized Debtor shall have the exclusive power and standing to bring, dismiss, or compromise all Causes of Action. The net proceeds realized from any Causes of Action shall be available to the Reorganized Debtor and disbursed or invested as the Reorganized Debtor deems fit in its sole discretion except as set forth in the Plan.
- C. <u>Effecting Documents</u>. The Debtor and all other parties to the Plan Documents are authorized and directed as of the Effective Date, and without further order of the Bankruptcy Court, to execute, deliver, file, or record all Plan Documents and other contracts, instruments, releases, and other agreements or documents, and to take all actions necessary or appropriate to effect and further evidence the terms of the Plan. All transactions required to occur on the Effective Date under the terms of the Plan are deemed to have occurred simultaneously.
- **D.** <u>Fees and Costs</u>. Except as the Plan provides, the Allowed amount of any Claim shall not include Claims for attorneys' fees, default Interest, punitive damages, penalties, fines other fees, and

charges unless the holder of such Claim obtains an Order of the Court allowing such Claims.

- **E.** Good Faith. Confirmation of the Plan shall constitute a finding that the Plan has been proposed in good faith and in compliance with the Code, and that the solicitation of acceptances and Rejections of the Plan has been performed in good faith and in compliance with the Code.
- **F.** Governing Law. Except to the extent that the Bankruptcy Code or other federal law is applicable or as provided in any document entered into in connection with the Plan, the rights, duties, and obligations of the Debtor and any other person arising under the Plan are governed by, and construed and enforced, in accordance with, the internal laws of the State of Arizona, without giving effect to Arizona's choice of law provision.
- **G.** <u>Insurance</u>. The discharge and release from Claims as provided in the Plan, except as necessary to be consistent with the Plan, do not diminish or impair the enforceability of any insurance policy that may cover Claims against a Debtor or any other person.
- **H.** <u>Interest on Claims</u>. Unless otherwise provided in instruments that either take effect on the Effective Date or that remain unaltered by the Plan, interest on any Allowed Claims that is payable under the Plan will be simple interest and will not be compound interest. In all events, there will be no default interest payable with respect to any Allowed Claims.
- **I.** Modification of Plan. In addition to the modification rights under Code § 1127, the Debtor may propose amendments to, or modifications of, the Plan at any time prior to the entry of the Confirmation Order with leave of the Court, upon such notice as may be prescribed by the Court. After entry of the Confirmation Order, the Debtor may, with the approval of the Court, and so long as it does not materially or adversely affect the Interest of Creditors, cure any omission, correct any defect, or reconcile any inconsistencies in the Plan, the Confirmation Order or any and all documents executed or to be executed in accordance therewith, in such manner as may be necessary to carry out the purposes and intent of the Plan.
 - **J. Payment Dates.** Whenever any payment or distribution to be made under the Plan shall

be due on a day other than a business day, such payment or distribution shall instead be made without Interest on the next business day.

- **K.** <u>Prohibition Against Prepayment Penalties.</u> If the Debtor so chooses, in its sole and absolute discretion, to prepay any obligation on which deferred payments are provided for under the Plan, the Debtor will not be liable or subject to the assessment of any prepayment penalty thereon.
- L. Revocation of Plan. The Debtor reserves the right to revoke and withdraw the Plan before entry of the Confirmation Order. If the Debtor revokes or withdraws the Plan, or if Confirmation of the Plan does not occur, then the Plan shall be deemed null and void and nothing contained herein shall be deemed to constitute a waiver or release of any Claims by or against the Debtor or any other person, or to prejudice in any manner the rights of the Debtor in any further proceedings involving the Estate.
- M. Severability and Reformation. It is the Debtor's intent to comply fully with the Code and applicable non-bankruptcy law in proposing the Plan. Therefore, if any provision of the Plan is determined by the Bankruptcy Court to be contrary to the Code or applicable non-bankruptcy law, that provision will be deemed severed and automatically deleted from the Plan if it cannot be reformed or the provision or its interpretation will be deemed reformed to ensure compliance; provided, however, that nothing contained in this paragraph will prevent the Debtor from modifying the Plan in any manner whatsoever in accordance with and as set forth in the Plan. Pursuant to any ruling by the Bankruptcy Court regarding the subject matter of this paragraph, any such severance or reformation will be stated specifically in the Confirmation Order, which then will control notwithstanding any contrary or inconsistent provisions of the Plan.
- N. <u>Statutory Fees.</u> All fees payable pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1980 will be paid according to applicable law, and quarterly reports of disbursements will be filed according to applicable bankruptcy law.
 - O. <u>Timing of Distributions</u>. In the event that any payment, distribution, or act under the

Plan is required to be made or performed on a date that is not a Business Day, then the making of such payment or distribution or the performance of such act may be completed on or as soon as reasonably practicable after the next succeeding Business Day, but will be deemed to have been completed as of the required date.

IX. VOTING, ACCEPTANCE, AND CONFIRMATION

- A. Parties Entitled to Vote. Holders of Claims are entitled to vote if their Claims are Allowed and impaired. An Allowed Claim is a claim that has been Allowed under the Code or by the Bankruptcy Court, or that has been temporarily Allowed under Bankruptcy Rule 3018. An impaired Claim is a claim that has had its legal or equitable rights modified under the Plan. Impaired Claims and unimpaired Claims are not placed in the same Class.
- **B.** <u>Ballots.</u> No ballots will be sent or solicited to any Classes in the Plan because no Classes are impaired and none are entitled to vote on the Plan.
- C. Hearing on Confirmation. A hearing on confirmation of the Plan will be conducted by the Bankruptcy Court at the date and time to be set by the Court. The confirmation hearing may be adjourned from time to time without further notice except for an announcement made at the hearing. Code § 1128(b) provides that any creditor or Interested party may object to confirmation of the Plan. If an objection to confirmation of the Plan is not timely made, then the Court is not required to consider it. All objections to confirmation of the Plan must be filed with the Bankruptcy Court and served upon parties entitled to notice on or before the date set by the Bankruptcy Court.
- **D.** <u>Liquidation Analysis</u>. Before a plan of reorganization can be confirmed, it must provide for a distribution to any unsecured creditor that votes to reject the plan that has a value that is at least equal to the value that such creditor would receive if the assets of the same debtor were liquidated in a hypothetical Chapter 7 proceeding. This test is known as the "Best Interest of Creditors Test" and is codified in Code § 1129(a)(7). The Plan calls for the sale and liquidation of the Debtor's sole asset and payment of creditors. The Debtor has already commenced that process by engaging a broker to market

its sole asset. The Debtor is not seeking any fee or commission in connection with the sale and distribution of proceeds. If the Property sells for \$6,000,000, a Chapter 7 trustee would be entitled to 25% of the first \$5,000 (\$1,250); 10% between \$5,000 and \$50,000 (\$4,500); 5% between \$50,000 and \$1,000,000 (\$47,500) and 3% above \$1,000,000 (\$150,000). That is a total fee of \$203,250 payable to the Chapter 7 trustee. The trustee would incur the same commissions and expenses of sale and liquidation. Unlike the Debtor, which has already commenced marketing the Property, some delay would necessarily be occasioned by the trustee's selection, appointment and familiarity with the case and the Property. During that time AZBT's Secured Claim will continue to accrue interest and other fees and costs. The Debtor's Plan also provides that all other creditors holding Allowed Claims will receive full payment on account of their Allowed Claims. The Plan, therefore, will result in recoveries to all creditors that are more favorable than those that could be achieved if a Chapter 7 trustee were to liquidate the Debtor and satisfies the Best Interest of Creditors Test.

- **E.** <u>Feasibility Analysis</u>. Before a plan can be confirmed, it must be determined to be that the plan is not likely to be followed by the liquidation, or the need for further reorganization, **unless** such liquidation is proposed under the plan. The Plan calls for a liquidation and therefore satisfies this requirement.
- **F.** Acceptance of Plan by Impaired Class. The Debtor believes there are no impaired Classes in the Plan. Therefore, Code § 1129(a)(10) does not require an affirmative acceptance by any Class as all are conclusively presumed to have accepted the Plan.
- **G.** <u>Cram Down.</u> Cram Down only applies where a Class is impaired and does not accept the Plan. Should any Class of Claim or Interest be determined to be impaired under the Plan, or any amendment to the Plan, then the Debtor may seek to proceed to Confirmation as described herein.

Although Code § 1129(a)(8) requires that the Plan be accepted by each Class that is impaired by the Plan, Code § 1129(b) provides that the Court may still confirm the Plan at the request of the Debtor if all requirements of Code § 1129(a) are met and (1) at least one impaired Class has accepted the Plan;

(2) the Plan "does not discriminate unfairly" and (3) the Plan is "fair and equitable." This provision commonly is referred to as a "cram down" because the Plan may be imposed against a rejecting Class of Claims. The Debtor has requested cram-down confirmation of the Plan with respect to any such non-accepting classes.

- 1. <u>Unfair Discrimination</u>. For purposes of cram down, a plan of reorganization "does not discriminate unfairly" if (a) the legal rights of a non-accepting class are treated in a manner that is consistent with the treatment of other classes whose legal rights are related to those of the non-accepting class; and (b) no Class receives payments in excess of that which it is legally entitled to receive on account of its claims or Equity Interests. The Debtor asserts that the Plan satisfies these requirements.
- 2. <u>Fair and Equitable Test</u>. For purposes of cram down, the Code establishes different "fair and equitable" tests for secured creditors, unsecured creditors, and holders of Equity Interests, as follows:
- (a) **Secured Creditors**. With respect to a secured claim, "fair and equitable" means that a Plan provides for one of the following: (i) the holder of the impaired secured Claim (a) retains its liens on the subject property whether the property is retained by the Debtor or is transferred to another entity, and does so to the extent that such claim is secured pursuant to Code § 506, and (b) receives deferred cash payments totaling at least the allowed amount of such claim, of a value, as of the Effective Date of the Plan, of at least the value of such holder's Interest in the estate's Interest in such property; (ii) a sale, subject to Code § 363(k), of any property that is subject to the liens securing such claim, free and clear of such liens, with such liens to attach to the proceeds of such sale; or (iii) the realization by such holder of the "indubitable equivalent" of such claim. The Debtor believes that the Plan is fair and equitable with respect to the holders of Secured Claims in its Bankruptcy Case.
- (b) **Unsecured Creditors**. With respect to an unsecured claim, "fair and equitable" means that a Plan provides that either (i) each impaired unsecured creditor receives or retains property of a value, as of the Effective Date, equal to the amount of its allowed claim, or (ii) the holders of claims

and Equity Interests that are junior to the claims of the dissenting class will not receive or retain any property under the Plan. Because the Debtor is a not-for-profit entity, it does not have any Interests that are junior to the Interests of Unsecured Creditors, and therefore that the Plan is fair and equitable with respect to Class 5 General Unsecured Claims, which is the only Class of unsecured claims in the Plan.

equitable" means that a Plan provides that either (i) each holder will receive or retain under the Plan property of a value, as of the Effective Date, equal to the greater of: (a) the fixed liquidation preference or redemption price, if any, of such Interest, or (b) the value of such Interest; or (ii) the holders of Equity Interests that are junior to the non-accepting class will not receive any property under the Plan. Because the Debtor is a Limited Liability Company with one member, it has one Equity Interest holder.

The Turaskys assert that Whisper Capital, LLC is the holder of 100% of the member interests in Debtor.

X. TAX CONSEQUENCES

Pursuant to § 1125(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtor is to provide a discussion of the potential material federal tax consequences of the Plan to the Debtor, any successor to the Debtor, and a hypothetical investor typical of the holders of Claims or Interests in the Bankruptcy Cases, that would enable such a hypothetical investor of the relevant Class to make an informed judgment about the Plan, but adequate information need not include such information about any other possible or proposed plan. In determining whether the Disclosure Statement provides adequate information, the Court shall consider the complexity of the Bankruptcy Cases, the benefit of additional information to creditors and other parties-in-interest, and the cost of providing additional information.

Substantial uncertainties exist with respect to any tax consequences of the Plan to any particular holder of a Claim or Interest. No opinion of counsel has been sought or obtained with respect to any tax consequences of the Plan. To the extent a creditor's Allowed Claim is not paid in full, that creditor should consult with its tax advisor concerning the possibility of writing off for tax purposes that portion

of its Allowed Claim that is not paid. The Debtor strongly urges each creditor in the Bankruptcy Cases, when analyzing the Plan, to consult with its own professional advisors to determine whether or not acceptance of the Plan by the creditor will result in any adverse tax consequences to the creditor.

In accordance with IRS Circular 230, holders of Claims or Interests are hereby notified that (i) any discussion of federal tax issues contained or referred to in this Disclosure Statement is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by Holders of Claims or Interests for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed on them under the Internal Revenue Code, (ii) any tax discussion contained in this Disclosure Statement is prepared in connection with the promotion of the transactions or matters discussed herein; and (iii) holders of Claims or Interests should seek advice based on their particular circumstances from an independent tax adviser.

Certain payments by the Debtor may be subject to information reporting to the Internal Revenue Service. Moreover, such reportable payments are subject to backup withholding under certain circumstances. Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules may be credited against a holder's United States federal income tax liability, and a Holder may obtain a refund of any excess amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules by filing an appropriate claim for refund with the IRS.

XI. ATERNATIVES TO THE PLAN, RECOMMENDATION, AND CONCLUSION

If the Plan is not confirmed, then several possible events could occur. The Debtor or another party might be able to propose an alternative plan, but this possibility is tempered or limited by the fact that the Debtor's sole asset is a single family residential Property. The Court could grant relief from the automatic stay to permit secured creditors to foreclose their liens upon the Debtor's assets. Or the Court also could convert or dismiss the Bankruptcy Case. The Debtor believes that the Plan provides a better result for Creditors than do any of these possible alternatives. The Debtor has proposed the Plan in a good faith effort to maximize the recovery for all Creditors, and to use future income from the sale proceeds to pay Creditors the best return possible on their Allowed Claims. The Debtor urges Creditors

and Interested parties to vote to accept the Plan. DATED this 8 November 2017. PRADO MANAGEMENT L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company German Osio, its Manager PREPARED BY: SCHIAN WALKER, P.L.C. Dale C. Schian Andrea Wimmer Attorneys for the Debtor