1 2 3 4 5	CHARLES R. HYDE THE LAW OFFICES OF C.R. HYDE, PLC 2810 NORTH SWAN ROAD SUITE 160 TUCSON, ARIZONA 85712 TELEPHONE: (520) 270-1110 CRHYDE@OLDPUEBLOBANKRUPTCY.COM SBA # 22512				
6			UNITED STATES B.	ANKRUPTCY COURT	
7			DISTRICT	OF ARIZONA	
8	In re:			In Proceedings under Chapter 11	
9					
10	TWC	BAR	O COUNTRY STORE, INC.,	Case No. 4:17-BK-12618-BMW	
1			Debtor.	FIRST AMENDED DISCLOSURE	
2			Debtol.	STATEMENT DATED AUGUST 30, 2018	
3					
4		DIS	SCLOSURE STATEMENT FO	OR DEBTOR'S CHAPTER 11 PLAN	
5			TABLE OF	F CONTENTS	
16 17 18 19	I.	Introd A. B. C. D.	General Information		
20	II.	Descr	intion of the Debtor	8	
21	III.			9	
22	IV.	Event	s Precipitating the Chapter 11 Pe	etition11	
23	V.	Signif	ficant Events During the Chapter	1112	
24		A.	Administrative Proceedings	12	
25		B.		ement; Sale of Commercial Property12	
		C. D.			
26		Б. Е.		stments, LP Judgment13	
27		_			
28	VI.		*		
-		A.		14	
		B.			
Cas	se 4:17-	·DK-126	6118:38 AN TO COMMON TO SHARE THE CONTROL OF THE CO	30/118 es EfitAreit 08/30/18 15:16:47 Desc Page 1 of 34	

1		
2	VII.	Summary of the Plan
3		B. Classification and Treatment of Claims and Interests15
4		1. Unclassified Claims
5		2. Classified Claims 19
6 7	VIII.	Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases
8 9 10 11	IX.	Means for Executing and Implementing the Plan23A. Means of Funding the Plan23B. Causes of Action24C. Objections to Claims and Interests24D. Tax Compliance25E. Vesting25
12	X.	Distribution under the Plan
14 15 16 17	XI.	Effect of Plan on Claims
18	XII.	Liquidation Analysis
19 20 21	XIII.	Risk Analysis28A. General28B. Financial Projections28C. Alternatives to Confirmation of the Plan28
22	XIV.	Tax Consequences
23	XV.	Confirmation Process
24 25	XVI.	Future of Debtor30
26 27		
27		2.

1		EXHIBITS
2	EXHIBIT A	Plan of Reorganization
3	EXHIBIT B	Liquidation Analysis
5	EXHIBIT C	LETTER OF INTENT B/W CROWN CASTLE AND DEBTOR
6	EXHIBIT D	Pro forma projections of Debtor
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
2627		
28		

I. Introduction

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Two Bar O Country Store, Inc., as debtor and debtor-in-possession (the "Debtor" of "Two Bar"), proposes the following chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization (the "Plan") pursuant to section 1121(a) of the United States Bankruptcy Code. All capitalized terms used in the Plan are defined either in section 101 of the Bankruptcy Code or in Article I to the Plan. The Plan sets forth how Administrative Expenses, Claims against and Equity Interests in the Debtor will be treated upon the Debtor's emergence from chapter 11 if the Plan is confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court and is thereafter consummated. This Disclosure Statement describes certain aspects of the Plan, the Debtor's business operations, significant events leading to the Chapter 11 Cases, and related matters. For a complete understanding of the Plan, you should read this Disclosure Statement, the Plan and all of their related exhibits and schedules in their entirety. The Debtor believes that the Plan complies with all provisions of the bankruptcy code and will enable him to restructure his debt successfully and accomplish the objectives of Chapter 11, and therefore that acceptance of the Plan is in the best interest of the Debtor, the Debtor's estate and creditors.

a. **General Information**

The Debtor submits this disclosure statement to holders of Claims against and Interests in the Debtors for the purpose of soliciting acceptance of the Plan.

The Debtor believes this Disclosure Statement contains the material, important, and necessary information for creditors to arrive at an informed decision in exercising their right to vote for acceptance or rejection of the Plan.

Most words or phrases in this Disclosure Statement have their usual and customary meanings. Certain capitalized terms have the same meaning as defined in the Plan. If not otherwise defined, certain terms in this Disclosure Statement have the meaning provided in the Bankruptcy Code or Bankruptcy Rules.

Unless otherwise noted, those portions of the Plan and this Disclosure Statement providing factual information concerning the Debtor, including assets and liabilities, have been prepared from information submitted by the Debtor and professionals retained by the Debtor.

The financial information contained in this Disclosure Statement has not been subjected to an audit by an independent certified public accountant. For that reason, neither the Debtor nor Debtor's Counsel are able to warrant or represent the information contained in this Disclosure Statement is without any inaccuracy. To the extent practicable, the information has been prepared from the Debtor's financial books and records and great effort has been made to ensure that all such information is accurate.

The Disclosure Statement and the Plan will classify all creditors into Classes. The treatment of each class of creditors will be set forth in this Disclosure Statement and in the Plan. You should carefully examine the treatment of the Class to which your claim will be assigned.

This Disclosure Statement must be approved by the Bankruptcy Court after notice and a hearing pursuant to section 1125 of the Bankruptcy Code. Approval of the Disclosure Statement by the Bankruptcy Court does not constitute either certification or approval of the

Debtor's Plan or that the Disclosure Statement is without any inaccuracy. Creditors may vote on the Plan once the Disclosure Statement is approved by the Bankruptcy Court.

No representations concerning the Debtor or the Plan are authorized other than as set forth in this Disclosure Statement.

B. Classification of Claims and Interests

The following table designates the Classes of Claims and Interests in the Debtor and specifies the Classes that are impaired by the Plan and entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan. A detailed description of the Classes of Claims and Interests is provided in Section VII below.

Class	Description	Impairment	Entitled to Vote
1	Secured Tax Claims	Unimpaired	No
2	Priority Tax Claims	Unimpaired	No
3	Secured Claim of Hogan School of Real Estate PSP	Unimpaired	No
4	Claim of Sweet Rosie Investments, LP	Impaired	Yes
5	General Unsecured Claims	Impaired	Yes
6	Contingent, Disputed, Unliquidated	Impaired	Yes
7	Debtor's Interest	Unimpaired	No

C. Voting

As a Creditor, your vote is important. All Holders of Claims are encouraged to vote. All Creditors entitled to vote must cast their vote by completing, dating, and signing the ballot mailed to them with the Disclosure Statement once it is approved. The ballot will contain instructions concerning the deadline for submitting the ballot and the address where the ballot should be mailed.

The Court will confirm the Plan if the requirements of section 1129 of the Bankruptcy Code are met. The Court must determine whether the Plan has been accepted by each impaired Class entitled to vote. Impaired Classes entitled to vote are those Classes of Claims and Interests whose legal, equitable or contractual rights are altered, as defined by section 1124 of the Bankruptcy Code.

Pursuant to section 1126(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, for a Class of Claims to accept the Plan, there must be acceptance by Holders of: (a) at least two-thirds of the dollar amount of the Allowed Claims of such class that actually vote on the Plan; and (b) more than one-half in number of the Allowed Claims of such class that actually vote on the Plan. Failure to vote does not constitute either an acceptance or a rejection of the Plan.

The Plan may be confirmed under section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code even if each class of Creditors does not accept the Plan, so long as one impaired class of Creditors accepts the Plan. Only the votes of Creditors or Interested parties whose ballots are timely received will be counted in determining acceptance of the Plan. Ballots must be received by counsel for the Debtor no later than 5:00 p.m., Arizona time, on October 3, 2018 at the following address:

The Law Offices of C.R. Hyde, PLC 2810 North Swan Road Suite 160 Tucson, Arizona 85712

D. Confirmation Hearing

In accordance with section 1128 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3017(c), a hearing will be held before the Honorable Brenda M. Whinery, 38 S. Scott, Ave., Tucson, AZ 85701, at a time and date to be set by this Court and noticed out to all interested parties. At such hearing, the Court will consider whether the requirements for confirmation have been met and whether the Plan has received the requisite acceptance, or whether the Plan can be confirmed pursuant to section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code. At the Confirmation Hearing, the Debtor will request confirmation of the Plan.

II. Description of the Debtor

A. History of the Debtor

Debtor has been in the retail tack and feed business since the 1970s, operating primarily but not exclusively out of that commercial property commonly known as 7821 E. Wrightstown Road, Tucson, Arizona (the "Two Bar Property"). Debtor, or Debtor's two principals, brothers Lloyd and Frank Ormsby, has owned the Two Bar Property dating back to when they commenced work as retail operators. The Ormsby brothers continue to own the Two Bar Property but have not conducted retail tack and feed operations since 2008. In 2008 Debtor determined to sell the Two Bar Property along with the Debtor's operating retail business to Cilanoco, LLC ("Cilanoco") on a seller-financed basis. Cilanoco executed a note and deed of trust in favor of Debtor, Debtor executed conveyance instruments which

included an assignment of Debtor's interest in an Option and Site Lease Agreement dating back to 1996 with AT&T Wireless PCS, LLC ("AT&T Lease").

Cilanoco defaulted on its note owing to Two Bar and Two Bar foreclosed its lien interest in the Two Bar Property and regained title in 2012 and recovered those rights under the AT&T Lease that it had assigned to Cilanoco.

AT&T Lease had been modified and assigned on more than one occasion over the last two decades. The holder of the easement granted under the AT&T Lease is presently Crown Castle, Inc., a successor in interest to Wireless Capital partners, LLC. Rent monies on account of the AT&T Lease were presold several years prior to the sale of the Two Bar Property to Cilanoco and well prior to the Order for Relief in this matter.

Payments as and for the most recent addendum or modification to the AT&T Lease re-commence in favor of the Debtor in October 2018. The last tenant option under the most recent addendum expires in 2026.

The Debtor is also party to an expired multi-year commercial lease with Tucson Feed and Pet Food, LLC ("Pet Lease"). Since the Order for Relief in this matter, Debtor has received the base rent contemplated in the Pet Lease in the amount of \$3,646.48 per month. Rent has been received and deposited by the Debtor subject to a reservation of its rights since at least the Order for Relief in this matter. Although contemplated by the Pet Lease, Debtor has NOT received the common area maintenance, insurance or real property taxes obligations that are owed under the Pet Lease. The payment Debtor is receiving is also less

than the holdover base rent contemplated by the expired Pet Lease, which is simply a 10% increase in the base rent that was contemplated in the final year of the Pet Lease.

The reason Debtor has not pursued rights under the Pet Lease at this time is complicated. The named tenant under the Pet Lease is supposedly not the entity occupying the premises. The current occupant is allegedly the franchisor of the named tenant.

According to Richard Spector, General Counsel and Director of Real Estate for the current occupant, Natural Pet Partners, LLC they were foreclosed or assumed the operation of the named tenant. due some kind of default that predated Debtor's counsel's involvement.

Upon information and belief, the current occupant and the named tenant were connected by a franchise agreement. It is possible that the two were or are affiliates, but the explanations received have been as unsatisfactory as their willingness to communicate with Debtor's counsel.

The Two Bar Property is encumbered by a first position lien in favor of the State of Arizona Department of Revenue in the approximate amount of \$53,165.24 (the "AZDOR Lien").

The Property is also encumbered by a second position Deed of Trust and Assignment of Rents (the "Hogan School DOT") and the note ("Hogan Note") for which it secures has an approximate balance of \$140,000.00. The Hogan Note is presently held by Hogan School of Real Estate, Inc. Profit Sharing Plan (the "Hogan School").

Real property taxes are due and owing against the Property as well in the approximate amount of \$50,000.00 and are statutorily of the highest lien priority.

A non-consensual judgment lien in favor of Sweet Rosie Investments, LP was also recorded. Debtor has amended its schedules to provide for the Sweet Rosie debt in schedule

F and identifies the claim as unknown and disputed although an alleged balance has been furnished to Counsel for Debtor. To the extent a balance is owed, Sweet Rosie's lien priority is also in dispute because the Sweet Rosie Judgment was recorded without a cover/information sheet when the judgment was obtained in 2004, possibly compromising its lien priority pursuant to A.R.S. § 33-967. Counsel is aware that affidavits of renewal of the Sweet Rosie Judgment appear to be timely and contain the cover sheet necessary under state law.

The AT&T Lease consists of a long-term easement which commenced in 1993 for the right to erect and maintain a cellular phone tower. The AT&T Lease also afforded AT&T the exclusive right to sublease the tower signal to cellular phone carriers.

For health reasons of its owners over the years, the management of the commercial rental has suffered some, in particular its ability to enforce CAM provisions under the Pet Lease.

III. Debtors Debt.

In no definitive order of priority, as of the Order for Relief in this matter, Debtor owes Pima County for real property taxes, the Arizona Department of Revenue, Hogan School of Real Estate PSP, the Internal Revenue Service, and there exists a disputed claim of unknown lien priority held by Sweet Rosie Investments, LP.

IV. Events Precipitating the Bankruptcy Case

After acquiring title to the Two Bar Property, Debtor became delinquent in the

payment of real property taxes and borrowed money against the Two Bar Property. The interest-only note secured by the Two Bar Property matured around the time purchaser of the first delinquent real property tax lien was eligible to commence a tax lien foreclosure action. As a result, Debtor solicited the approximate amount of \$141,000.00 from Hogan.

In the middle of 2017, Debtor failed to retire the Hogan Debt in advance of its balloon date. Due to the default, Hogan made demand of the tenant of the Two Bar Property and began collecting the rents due to Two Bar under the Pet Lease. Meanwhile, Hogan commenced non-judicial foreclosure proceedings, and agreed to postpone at least two (2) of those sale dates as Debtor made diligent attempts to sell the grant of easement to Crown Castle prior to the trustee's sale and pay off its secured lenders. Debtor was forced to file for bankruptcy relief due to Hogan's unwillingness to postpone the trustee's sale any further.

V. Significant Events During the Bankruptcy Case

a. Administrative Proceedings

On October 24, 2017, the Debtor commenced the instant bankruptcy case under Chapter 11 of Title 11. The Debtor obtained authority to use cash collateral for the very limited purposes of paying real property taxes and insurance premiums. The cash collateral order expired at the end of April 2018 and a renewed hearing is scheduled for August 2, 2018. Debtor amended its Petition only to evidence its belief that it was not a small business as that term is defined by the Bankruptcy Code, but Debtor does assert that the

Petition and the Amended Petition always contained the single asset real estate case designation.

b. Sale of Perpetual Grant of Easement; Sale of Commercial Property

The Ormsby Brother's signed corporate resolutions to both list the Two Bar Property for sale with an agent and enter into a letter of intent for the sale of a perpetual easement of the space subject to the AT&T Lease ("Perpetual Grant of Easement") for \$260,000.00 subject to Court approval. Prior to and after the Order for Relief in this matter, Debtor, through counsel, engaged Crown Castle on the purchase of the Perpetual Grant of Easement of that portion of the Debtor's real property that is the subject of the AT&T Lease. Crown Castle is a builder, broker, syndicator, lessor and lessee of wireless infrastructure to cell phone service providers and their ability to consummate a sale is not in question. Not coincidentally, Crown Castle is the current holder of those tenant rights under the current AT&T Lease.

Debtor has retained Greg Furrier and PICOR as the broker for the Two Bar Property, which is going to be sold subject to the Perpetual Grant of Easement because Debtor believes the Crown Castle transaction will close prior to any sale of the Two Bar Property. The Two Bar Property is being listed at \$375,000.00, exclusive of the AT&T Lease. Any sale of the Two Bar Property shall reserve to the seller/Debtor a perpetual easement consistent with the existing AT&T Lease and the letter agreement and which captures the scope of the easement. Both transactions will be consummated pursuant to Section 363(f) of the Bankruptcy Code.

6

9 10 11

12

13

1415

161718

19

20

21

22

2324

2526

2728

c. Retention of Professionals

Court approved Debtor's attorney's employment application in October 2017. The Court also approved the employment of Troy Ruboyianes as CPA for the Debtor and PICOR Real Estate Services in May 2018.

d. <u>Preparation and Filing of Delinquent Tax Returns</u>

In coordination with the Debtor's accountant, corporate tax returns covering tax periods 2016 through 2017 have been or will be filed, and assessed, during the course of this bankruptcy, which could change the amount of Allowed Claims of priority, and non-priority unsecured creditors but not in an amount that would jeopardize Debtor's belief that all creditors will be paid in full in this matter.

e. Discovery of Sweet Rosie Investments, LP Judgment

In March of 2018, as Crown Castle and Debtor worked through the letter agreement (Exhibit C to be supplemented), and the form of easement, a latent judgment against Debtor, issued by Arizona Superior Court was discovered. This has delayed the filing of the sale motion, and Debtor addresses this judgment in Class 4 of the Plan.

VI. Description of Assets

A. Real and Personal Property

Debtor owns the Two Bar Property, the right to receive rents under the AT&T Lease through 2022 if the holder of the tenant rights under the AT&T Lease exercises its exclusive option. The sale of the Perpetual Grant of Easement to Crown Castle contemplates the assignment of the Debtor's rights in the AT&T Lease to Crown Castle. Put another way, the future rents payable to Debtor under the AT&T Lease are going to be assigned to Crown

AT&T Lease moot.

Bar Property.

B.

C.

such claims.

VII.

REORGANIZATION.

5

8

15

14

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

Case 4:17-bk-12618-BMW

Α.

Main Document

Summary of the Plan

Overview

Doc 112 Filed 08/30/18

EXPRESS TERMS OF THE PLAN ITSELF SHALL GOVERN.

Desc

Entered 08/30/18 15:16:47

IN THE EVENT OF ANY INCONSISTENCY, THE

Page 15 of 34

Castle and the Perpetural Grant of Easement purchase shall render any issue relating to the

against the current occupant. The current occupantis allegedly the former franchisor to the

named tenant. Debtor has approximately \$8,000.00 in cash in its debtor in possession

Preference/Fraudulent Transfer Causes of Actions

Accounts Receivable and Affiliates

Debtor has no accounts receivable. Debtor has no affiliates.

accounts, having received rent in the approximate amount of \$3,800.00 each month since

the Order for Relief. This unpaid rent/CAM claim could become part of the sale of the Two

The Debtor is not aware of any preference or fraudulent transfer claims. At this time

THE FOLLOWING IS ONLY A SUMMARY OF THE PLAN OF

The goal of the Plan is to provide for repayment of all claims against the Debtor to

the extent repayment is required under the Bankruptcy Code. Based on the liquated assets

currently held by the estate, the Debtor shall be able to repay all allowed Claims in full.

Debtor does not anticipate the filing of any avoidance actions or other litigation relating to

Debtor holds a claim for unpaid rent against the named tenant of the Pet Lease and

B. Classification and Treatment of Claims and Interests

All Claims and Interests, except administrative expense and priority tax claims, are placed into classes as set forth below. A Claim or Interest is placed in a particular class, only to the extent that the Claim or Interest falls within the description of that class and is classified in all other classes to the extent that any portion of the Claim or Interest falls within the description of such other class.

A Claim or Interest is placed into a particular class for all purposes, including voting on this Plan, confirmation, and receiving distributions pursuant to this Plan, only to the extent that such Claim or Interest is an Allowed Claim in that class, and such claim has not been paid, released, or otherwise settled prior to the Effective Date. The establishment of particular Classes or categories of Unclassified Priority Claims does not mean or imply that there are any Allowed Claims that fall into each such Class or category, and the Debtors, may later contend there are no such Allowed Claims in any given Class or category.

The following table provides only a summary of the classification, impairment, and entitlement to vote of Claims, and Interests under the Plan. For a complete description of the classification and treatment of Claims and Interests, reference should be made to the entire Disclosure Statement and the Plan and all exhibits attached thereto.

Class	Description	Impairment	Entitled to Vote
1	Secured Tax Claims	Unimpaired	No
2	Priority Tax Claims	Unimpaired	No
3	Secured Claim of Hogan	Unimpaired	No

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	

	School of Real Estate PSP		
4	Sweet Rosie Investments, LP	Impaired	Yes
5	General Unsecured Claims	Impaired	Yes
6	Contingent, Disputed, Unliquidated	Impaired	Yes
7	Debtor's Interest	Unimpaired	No

Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1123(a)(1), Administrative Expense Claims pursuant to

section 507(a)(2) and (a)(4)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code are not classified under the Plan.

These Claims are not considered impaired and holders of such Claims do not vote on the

Plan. They may, however, object if, in their view, their treatment under the Plan does not

1011

1. Unclassified Claims

comply with that required by the Code.

1314

12

15

1617

18 19

i. Administrative Expenses

21

20

2223

24

2526

27

28

Generally. Except to the extent that a Holder of an Administrative Expense Claim agrees to different treatment, or as otherwise provided for in the Plan, the Holders of Administrative Expense Claims will receive cash on account of and in the amount of such Administrative Expenses. Payment of Administrative Expenses will be made: (a) on the Effective Date; or (b) or as otherwise provided for in the Plan. A notice setting forth the Administrative Expense Bar Date will be filed on the Bankruptcy Court's docket.

Professional Compensation. Professionals employed at the expense of the Estates and entities who may be entitled to reimbursement or the allowance of fees and expenses from the Estates pursuant to section 503(b)(2)-(6) of the Bankruptcy Code shall receive cash in the amount awarded to such professionals and entities at such times and only in accordance with a Final Order entered pursuant to section 330 or 503(b)(2)-(6) of the Bankruptcy Code.

Any application for payment of an Administrative Expense must be filed within sixty days of the Effective Date (the "Administrative Expenses Bar Date"), or such other date as may be fixed by the Bankruptcy Court. The application for payment of an Administrative Expense will be paid by or on behalf of the Debtor or Reorganized Debtor on the (i) date of the order granting such award becomes a Final Order, (ii) or as soon thereafter as is practicable, (iii) or such other terms as may be mutually agreed upon by the Professional and the Debtor or Reorganized Debtor.

The anticipated Administrative Expenses on the Effective Date include (1) Debtor's counsel's outstanding fees in the approximate amount of \$30,000.00; however, this amount may vary depending on the plan confirmation process and related proceedings; (2) Debtor's accountant's outstanding fees in the approximate amount of \$10,000.00; however, this amount may vary depending on the extent of the work necessary to prepare prior unfiled tax returns of the Debtor; (3) Post-petition rental tax returns in the amount of UNKNOWN. The anticipated Administrative Expenses are an estimate and the Debtor reserves all rights to submit additional Administrative Expenses to the Bankruptcy Court for approval. Post-confirmation, the Debtor and their counsel will continue to incur fees, and it is anticipated

the accountant will continue to be employed and incur fees. Notwithstanding any of the foregoing, the applicable Debtor or Reorganized Debtor shall assume all post-petition liabilities, fees and expenses for, and make payment in the ordinary course to any Professional retained by the applicable Debtor or Reorganized Debtor as an ordinary course professional pursuant to that certain order of the Bankruptcy Court.

U.S. Trustee's Fees. All fees and charges assessed against the Estates pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1930 shall be paid on or before the Effective Date. The Debtors will file with the Court and serve on the U.S. Trustee a quarterly financial report for each quarter that the Case remains open in the format prescribed by the U.S. Trustee, and the Debtors will pay such quarterly fees as due for each post-confirmation quarter the case remains open.

2. Classified Claims

Claims against the Debtor, are classified for all purposes, including voting (unless otherwise specified), confirmation, and distribution pursuant to the Plan, as follows:

Class 1 – Secured Tax Claims

- (a) **Impairment and Voting**. Class 1 is unimpaired under the Plan. Class 1 is not entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan.
- (b) Class Members. Class 1 consists of real property taxes and the transaction privilege tax claims of the State of Arizona.
- (c) **Distributions**. The holders of Class 1 Claims shall be paid in full through the net sale proceeds of either the Two Bar property or the Perpetual Grant of Easement directly

from the close of escrow, which ever sale closes first.

Class 2 – Priority Tax Claims

- (a) **Impairment and Voting**. Class 2 is unimpaired under the Plan. Class 2 is not entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan.
- (b) Class Members. Class 2 includes the Internal Revenue Service (Proof of Claim #1) and the Arizona Department of Revenue (Proofs of Claim #2).
- (c) **Distributions**. Class 2 Claims shall be paid in full out of the later of the sale of the Two Bar Property or the Perpetual Grant of Easement directly direct from the close of escrow.

<u>Class 3 – Secured Claim of the Hogan School of Real Estate, Inc. Profit Sharing</u> <u>Plan</u>

- (a) **Impairment and Voting**. Class 3 is unimpaired under the Plan. Class 3 is not entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan.
- (b) **Class Members**. Class 3 the secured claim of the Hogan School of Real Estate Profit Sharing Plan.
- (c) **Distributions**. Class 3 Claim shall be paid in full through the net proceeds from the sale of the Two Bar Property and the sale of the Perpetual Grant of Easement. Given its lien priority it is likely that the sale of the first asset will not satisfy Class 3 in full.

Class 4 - Sweet Rosie Investments, LP

(a) **Impairment and Voting**. Class 4 is impaired under the Plan. Class 4 is entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan.

- (b) Class Members. Class 4 includes the future liquidated, undisputed Claim of Sweet Rosie Investments, LP.
- (c) **Distributions**. To the extent the holder of a Class 4 Claim has its claim liquidated, and that claim is not in dispute, it shall be paid in full from the net proceeds from sale of the Two Bar Property and/or the sale of the Perpetual Grant of Easement. To the extent it is determined that it is secured, it shall be entitled to post-petition interest on its claim.

Class 5 – General Unsecured Claims

- (a) **Impairment and Voting**. Class 5 is impaired by the Plan. Each Holder of an Impaired Allowed General Unsecured Claim is entitled to accept or reject the Plan.
- (b) Class Members. Class 5 includes all Allowed Claims in the Debtor that are not entitled to priority, are not secured by an interest in the Debtor's property, and are not contingent, unliquidated or disputed. A complete list of all Class 5 claims is set forth in the Disclosure Statement at Section VII.C.
- (c) **Treatment**. All allowed Class 5 claims shall be paid no less than thirty (30) days after all administrative claims and creditor classes are paid in full, but in no event no longer than sixty (60) days from the close of escrow of the Two Bar Property sale or the Perpetual Grant of Easement, whichever is later.

<u>Class 6 – Contingent, Unliquidated, and Disputed Claims</u>

(a) **Impairment and Voting**. Class 6 is impaired by the Plan. Class 6 is entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan.

(b) Class Members.	Class 6 consists	of claims tha	t are either	contingent,
unliquidated, disputed, or an	y combination o	f the foregoin	g claims in	the Debtor

(c) **Treatment**. Class 6 shall receive no distribution under the Plan. In the event that any claims in Class 6 become liquidated, noncontingent or undisputed they shall be entitled to treatment as Class 5 claims, unless a separate class has been identified for the holder of a disputed, unliquidated and/or contingent claim.

Class 7 – Debtor's Interest

- (a) **Impairment and Voting**. Class 6 is unimpaired by the Plan. The Debtor is not entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan.
 - (b) **Treatment**. On the Effective Date, all estate property shall vest in the Debtor.

C. General Unsecured Claims

To date, the following claims and amounts have either been scheduled by the Debtor or filed as a proof of claim in this case and are not disputed or contingent but may be unknown or unliquidated in amount:

- Arizona Department of Revenue......UNKNOWN
- Internal Revenue Service (POC#2)......\$2,983.00
- Cilanoco, LLC \$ 0.00

VIII. Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases

The Debtor shall assume as of the Effective Date each Executory Contract and Unexpired Lease listed in Schedule G, as amended, whether written or oral, except as otherwise provided for in the Plan by the time of the Court's entry of a Confirmation Order.

Assumption means that the Debtor has elected to continue to perform obligations under such contracts and leases, and to cure defaults of the type that must be cured under the Code, if any. Entry of the Confirmation Order shall constitute approval of such assumption pursuant to sections 365 and 1123 of the Bankruptcy Code. After the Effective Date, the Debtors may enter into new leases of Property without approval by the Court in the ordinary course of business as is necessary and proper to bring additional income into the Estates. Such new leases will be in writing, and pre-petition leases may be formalized in new written leases.

To the extent Cilanoco, LLC asserts an interest that is executory in nature or asserts a claim against the estate, Debtor asserts that such claim or interest is offset by Debtor's claims against Cilanoco, LLC.. Debtor also asserts that Cilanoco, LLC and Debtors claims are time barred under state law.

To the extent that Cilanoco, LLC, an entity identified on schedule F, asserts a claim against the estate, or asserts an interest in the AT&T Lease, Debtor might elect to reject the underlying AT&T Lease and therefore the disputed Cilanoco, LLC assignment. Such rejection is conditioned upon the Courts approval of the Sale of the Perpetual Grant of Easement and the purchaser's consent to rejection. The Sale of the Perpetual Grant of Easement shall take place prior to or at the same time as the entry of a Confirmation Order in this matter. Also conditional upon the approval of the Perpetual Grant of Easement, Debtor shall also forever release Cilanoco, LLC of any claim it may hold against it. See 11 U.S.C. 1123(b)(3)(A).

Unless a rejection motion is filed prior to the Confirmation Hearing, no executory contracts or unexpired leases will be rejected unless such executory contract is rejected within the Plan.

Any individual or entity that is a party to an executory contract or unexpired lease assumed pursuant to the Plan who objects to such assumption must file with the Court a written statement stating the basis for the objection. This statement must be filed and served within the deadline for objecting to the confirmation of the Plan, unless the Court has set an earlier time. Any individual or entity that fails to file timely and serve such a statement will be deemed to have waived any objection to the proposed assumption.

The Pet Lease has expired and there exists no executory contract relating to the real property of the estate that has not been addressed here.

IX. Means for Executing and Implementing the Plan

A. Means of Funding the Plan

The Plan will be funded from the Debtor's sale of the Two Bar Property and the sale of the Perpetual Grant of Easement pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 363(f), and cash on hand or to be received from the Pet Lease rents.

B. Causes of Action

Pursuant to section 1123(b)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Reorganized Debtor shall retain all of the Debtor's causes action pursuant to applicable local, state or federal law. In particular, but not exclusively, Debtor holds a lease damages claim against the named tenant of the now-expired Two Bar Property Lease and a contract or quantum meruit claim against the occupant who alleges to be the successor in interest to the named tenant of the Two Bar

Property Lease. The causes of action identified are not meant to limit the Debtor's theories of recovery.

Based on the validity of the Pet Lease, the holdover language in particular, in addition to the commercial reasonableness of the monetary obligations contained in that Pet Lease, a damages award would be entered in Debtor's favor against the current occupant for unpaid CAM charges dating back to at least July 2017.

Debtor is equally confident that a lease damages judgment against the named tenant would be entered for unpaid CAMs through June 2017, the month that the named tenant was succeeded by its successor/affiliate/franchisor. Debtor is less confident that the cost of seeking lease damages against the current occupant for pre-July 2017 lease damages would prove beneficial because the question of liability is less clear, let alone the collectability of any damages claim. As to the named tenant, the current occupant, and guarantor(s), Debtor lacks insufficient information to determine whether any future judgment would have a strong collection value.

Debtor does not see any claims litigation at this time. The solvency of the Debtor probably moots any lien priority litigation.

The only other non-monetary litigation that could arise relates to Cilanoco, LLC, who was assigned those rights under the AT&T Lease in combination with the sale of the Two Bar Property on a seller "carry-back" basis. Debtor believes that Cilanoco, LLC was divested of the rights it was assigned under the AT&T Lease at the time the Debtor exercised its rights under the Deed of Trust, foreclosing its lien interest in the Two Bar Property. Time will only tell, as Cilanoco, LLC has been noticed throughout these

Easement. The entry of an Order permitting the Section 363 sale of the Perpetual Grant of Easement shall moot any litigation by or between Debtor and Cilanoco, LLC because Debtor and Crown Castle intend that the Order approving the sale will assign all landlord rights under the AT&T Lease to Crown Castle.

C. Objections to Claims and Estimates of Claims

Objections. The Reorganized Debtor or any other party in interest shall serve a copy of an objection upon the Holder of the Claim that was not scheduled, or was scheduled as disputed, contingent, or unliquidated, that has not been allowed by a Final Order of the Bankruptcy Court, as soon as is practicable. Any Claim that was not scheduled and for which no Proof of Claim was filed will be disallowed.

There shall be no distribution to the holder of a Disputed Claim until the Objection to the Claim has been resolved by a Final Order of the Bankruptcy Court and the Claim has become an Allowed Claim. Payments and distributions on account of each Disputed Claim that is allowed shall be made in accordance with the provisions of the Plan relating to the class of creditors to which the holder belongs.

Estimations. The Debtor or Reorganized Debtor may, at any time, request the Bankruptcy Court to estimate any Claim, under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, regardless of whether the Debtor or Reorganized Debtor previously have objected to such Claim, and the Bankruptcy Court shall retain jurisdiction to estimate any Claim, at any time, including during litigation concerning any objection to such Claim.

D. Tax Compliance

The Reorganized Debtor will comply with all tax withholding and reporting requirements, including in regards to all distributions and receipts pursuant to this Plan, as applicable. All Holders of Allowed Claims and Interests shall have sole responsibility for any tax obligation imposed by any Governmental Unit pursuant to a distribution received under the Plan.

E. Vesting

Except as provided for in the Plan or Confirmation Order, on the Effective Date, the Debtor shall be vested with the remaining property or assets from the Estate, free and clear of all claims, liens, charges, and other interests of creditors arising prior to the filing date, except as provided by the Plan.

X. Distributions Under the Plan

A. Manner of Payments

All distributions will be made in the form of cash payments to entities holding Claims at the addresses listed on the Proof of Claim filed by such entity as the address where payments are to be sent, unless other instructions are received in writing by the Debtor. Payments will be made by check, cashier's check, or wire transfer at the option of the Debtor. Some creditors will be satisfied in part or in full directly from the close of escrow from the Two Bar Property Sale or the Perpetual Grant of Easement Sale.

11

14

17

25

28

В. **Post-Petition Interest, Fees, and Costs**

Interest on and fees and expenses, if any, with respect to any Allowed Secured Claim, including but not limited to unpaid professional fees due the Holders of such Claims, shall be paid only to the extent permitted by section 506(b) of the Bankruptcy Code from the proceeds of the Collateral securing such Claims. Allowance thereof shall be determined separately for each Class and each subclass. Any interest, fees and expenses paid during the pendency of these Cases to the Holder of any Allowed Secured Claim that are not allowable pursuant to Section 506(b) of the Bankruptcy Code shall be credited against and shall reduce the principal amount of any such Allowed Secured Claim.

XI. **Effect of Plan on Claims**

Effect of Confirmation Α.

Except for continuing liens, claims, rights, and interests of the Secured Creditors against Debtor, and the Property as provided for in the Plan, or in the Confirmation Order, the confirmation of the Plan is a discharge, on the Effective Date, of any and all debts of Debtor that arose any time prior to confirmation, including, but not limited to, all principal and all interest accrued thereon, pursuant to section 1141(d)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code. Such discharge shall be effective as to each Claim, regardless of whether a proof of claim thereof was filed, whether the Claim is an allowed claim or whether the holder thereof votes to accept the Plan.

Holders of claims against the Debtor may not receive any payment or distribution except as otherwise provided for in the Plan, and may not seek any recourse against the Debtors or its assets except as provided for in the Plan. After the Confirmation Date, all 28

holders of Claims will be forever enjoined from taking any action against the Debtor or its property on account of such claim; including the commencement or continuation of any proceeding; enforcing any judgment or award; creating, perfecting, or enforcing any lien; or any other action inconsistent with the terms of the Plan.

The Reorganized Debtor will move the Court to enter a Final Decree once the Plan has been substantially consummated and all claims contemplated under the Plan have been made in full. Until substantial consummation, the Reorganized Debtors will be responsible for filing pre- and post-confirmation reports required by the United States Trustee and paying the quarterly post-confirmation fees of the United States Trustee. Alternatively, the Court may enter a final decree closing the case on its own motion.

B. The Debtor's Authority to Compromise and Settle

Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a), the Debtor may compromise or settle any Claim or Interest, or any cause of action against a Debtor or brought by a Debtor upon notice and a hearing.

C. Right of Setoff

The Debtor may set off against any payment or distribution made pursuant to a Claim of any kind that Debtor may have against the Holder of such a Claim, Guarantor or Beneficiary of a Guaranty, but the Debtor will not be required to do so. The failure to utilize the right of setoff does not constitute a waiver or release of any claim the Debtor have against a Holder of an Allowed Claim.

XII. Liquidation Analysis

Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(7), the Plan must provide that Creditors not accepting the Plan will receive at least as much under the Plan as they would receive in a hypothetical liquidation of the Debtor under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code. Attached is such a liquidation analysis for the Debtor (**Exhibit B**).

XIII. Risk Analysis

A. General

The Debtor's substantial assets in relation to its outstanding secured and unsecured claims indicate there will be sufficient assets to satisfy all Allowed Claims. Consequently, the Debtor believes there is little to no risk associated with this Plan. Any risk that may exist is not any greater under this Plan than it would be in a Chapter 7 liquidation or if the Cases were dismissed and Creditors were forced to collect through state law procedures.

B. Financial Projections

Because the Plan proposes a liquidation of the two assets, and because the liquidation of the Main Street Property has already occurred, the Debtor does not believe that Financial Projections are relevant or helpful to those determining whether to accept or reject the Plan.

C. Alternatives to the Confirmation of the Plan

If the Plan is not confirmed, there are several possible alternatives. First is to convert the Chapter 11 case to a Chapter 7 cases, have Chapter 7 Trustees appointed, and the Debtor's estate liquidated. The Debtor does not believe this is a suitable alternative. Considerable investigation, time, and effort have been put into evaluating the assets of the Debtor and determining the best course of action. It would be time-intensive for Chapter 7 30

Trustees to become familiar with the case, causing substantial delay and expense in the payment of Creditors. The second alternative is to dismiss the case, leaving Creditors to resort to state law collection procedures, which is not a viable option for many of Unsecured Creditors.

XIV. Tax Consequences

Pursuant to § 1125(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Disclosure Statement is to provide a discussion of the possible material tax consequences of the Plan to the Debtor, any successor to the Debtor and a hypothetical investor typical of the Holders of Claims or Interests in the cases, such that would enable such investor to make an informed judgment about the Plan. The Debtor has not obtained a tax opinion and does not express any opinion as to the tax consequences to the Creditors or equity security Holders. Interested parties are encouraged to obtain their own professional counsel to determine the tax consequences of the Plan. In particular, to the extent any Creditor is not paid in full on its Allowed Claim, such Creditor should consult with a tax advisor concerning the potential for any write off of such Claim. It is generally anticipated that any discharge of debt will not have to be recognized as income for the Debtor for income tax purposes. It is anticipated that all Allowed Claims will be paid in full and thus no debts will be discharged.

XV. Confirmation Process

The Plan may be corrected or modified, prior or subsequent to Confirmation, or prior to consummation, after notice to interested parties and by Court order as provided by law. The Trustee further retains all rights to modify the Plan prior to Confirmation as permitted by 11 U.S.C. § 1127. The Plan may be amended or modified prior to Confirmation without

leave of Court, so long as notice is provided to Creditors. After Confirmation and with approval of the Court and upon notice to creditors, the Reorganized Debtors may remedy any defect or omission, or may reconcile any inconsistencies in the Plan or Confirmation Order, so long as such modification does not materially alter or adversely affect the interests of Creditors, to the extent it may be necessary to carry out the purposes and intent of the Plan.

The Court will be asked to confirm the Plan as to any Class of Claims or Interests that does not accept the Plan. In order to do so, the Court must find (1) that the Plan is fair and equitable to each class of Claims or Interests that is impaired and has not accepted the Plan and that the classification of claims is not discriminatory; and (2) that each claimant or interest-holder receives, under the Plan, property of a value as of the Effective Date that is not less than what would be received or retained if the Property were liquidated pursuant to Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code.

The first requirement is satisfied with respect to any class that might not accept the Plan because the classification has not been designed in a discriminatory manner and any similar claims classified separately have been treated in this manner because they are either an administrative classification or arise from a substantially different economic basis. The second requirement is satisfied as demonstrated by the Liquidation Analysis provided.

If a Class of Secured Claims does not accept the Plan, the Bankruptcy Code provides that the fair and equitable requirement is satisfied if the class retains its lien and receives deferred cash payments of a present value equal to the value of the claimant's secured interest in the collateral. This requirement may be satisfied as to each class treated as a

Secured Claim because the Plan provides for them to receive the value of their interest in their collateral together with interest.

If a Class of unsecured Claims does not accept the Plan, the fair and equitable rule requires that (1) each impaired Unsecured Creditor receives or retains, under the Plan, Property of a value equal to the amount of its allowed Claim; or (2) the Holders of Claims and Interests that are junior to the Claims of the dissenting Class will not receive any Property under the Plan if Claims in the dissenting Class are not paid in full.

The Debtor recommends that the Plan and Disclosure Statement be approved as it is in the best interests of the Estate and all creditors. The alternatives to confirmation of the Plan include dismissal or conversion to Chapter 7 liquidation. Dismissal would result in creditors having to resort to other legal proceedings to collect debts, and Chapter 7 liquidation would delay distributions and result in a slower and possibly lower recovery for unsecured creditors. For these reasons, the Debtor recommends all Creditors accept the Plan and return ballots timely so that the votes can be counted.

XVI. Future Operations of Debtor

Upon the disposition of the Perpetual Grant of Easement and the Two Bar Property debtor will be devoid of non-cash assets. Debtor has no present designs on the operation of any commercial endeavors. Much of the Debtor's future depends on the sale price of the Two Bar O Property. Debtor does not doubt that it will satisfy any claims that could remain after the sale of the Perpetual Grant of Easement. It is possible purchase offers are unsatisfactory in which case the Debtor might be inclined to borrow those monies necessary to complete its Plan payments. In that case Debtor

1	might be inclined to see the listing expire and lease the Two Bar Property and/or
2	redevelop it in some manner.
3	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this August 30, 2018
4	
5	The Law Offices of C.R. Hyde, PLC
6	By: /s/ C.R. Hyde
7	Charles R. Hyde, Attorney for the Debtor
8 9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	