1	Law Offices of MICHAEL W. CARMEL, LTD.	
2	80 East Columbus Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2334	
3	Telephone: (602) 264-4965 Arizona State Bar No. 007356	
4	Facsimile: (602) 277-0144 E-mail: Michael@mcarmellaw.com	
5	Counsel for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession	
6		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES I	BANKRUPTCY COURT
8	FOR THE DISTRIC	T OF ARIZONA
9		
10		Chapter 11 Proceedings
11	In re:	Case No. 2:16-bk-8963-PS
12	DAVID ZOWINE and KARINA ZOWINE	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
13		CONCERNING DEBTORS' PLAN OF REORGANIZATION DATED
14	Debtors.	DECEMBER 2, 2016
15		
16		
17		WINTE (11414
18	petition for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11	WINE (collectively the " Debtor ") filed a of the United States Code (" Bankruptcy
19	Code ") on August 4, 2016 (" Petition Date ") v the District of Arizona (" Bankruptcy Court	"). The Debtors remain in possession of
20	their property and continue to operate their fi accordance with Bankruptcy Code Sections 110	inancial affairs as debtors-in-possession in 07 and 1108.
21		sure Statement ("Disclosure Statement") in
22	connection with the solicitation of acceptances Debtors dated December 2, 2016 (" Plan "). A	copy of the Plan is attached as "Exhibit 1"
23	to this Disclosure Statement and is incorporate the Proponents of the Plan.	d herein by this reference. The Debtors are
24	Capitalized terms used in this Disclo	sure Statement have the same meanings
25	ascribed to those terms in the Plan and the Disclosure Statement that are also defined i	n the Plan are defined herein solely for
26	convenience, and there is no intent to change the	e definitions of those terms from the Plan.
27		
28		
Case	2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Main Document Page	Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc 1 of 33

Information Regarding the Plan and Disclosure Statement

1

2 The object of a Chapter 11 case is the confirmation (i.e., approval by the Bankruptcy Court) of a plan of reorganization. A plan describes in detail (and in language 3 appropriate for a legal contract) the means for satisfying the claims against and interests in a debtor. After a plan has been filed, the holders of such claims and interests are 4 permitted to vote to accept or reject the plan. Before a proponent can solicit acceptances of its plan, however, Section 1125 of the Bankruptcy Code requires the proponent to 5 prepare a disclosure statement containing adequate information of a kind, and in sufficient detail, to enable those parties entitled to vote on the plan to make an informed judgment 6 about the plan and about whether they should accept or reject the plan. 7 The purpose of this Disclosure Statement is to provide the Debtors' Creditors with adequate information to make an informed judgment about the Plan. This information 8 includes, among other matters, a brief history of the Debtors, a summary of their Chapter 11 Case, a description of the Debtors' assets and liabilities, a description of the 9 terms under which the Debtors' assets will be administered in accordance with the Plan, and an explanation of how the Plan will function. 10 It is important that Creditors read and carefully consider this Disclosure Statement 11 and the Plan, and that such Creditors vote promptly on the acceptance of the Plan. 12 SHOULD READ THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT IN ITS YOU ENTIRETY BEFORE VOTING ON THE PLAN. THIS DISCLOSURE 13 STATEMENT SUMMARIZES CERTAIN TERMS OF THE PLAN, BUT THE PLAN ITSELF IS THE GOVERNING DOCUMENT. IF ANY INCONSISTENCY 14 EXISTS BETWEEN THE PLAN AND THE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT, THE **TERMS OF THE PLAN CONTROL.** 15 IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS CONCERNING YOUR TREATMENT 16 UNDER THE PLAN, PLEASE CONTACT COUNSEL TO THE DEBTOR. MICHAEL W. CARMEL, MICHAEL W. CARMEL, LTD., 80 EAST COLUMBUS 17 AVENUE, PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85012, TELEPHONE NUMBER (602) 264-4965, FAX NUMBER (602) 277-0144, E-MAIL: MICHAEL@MCARMELLAW.COM. 18 A SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF THE CLASSIFICATION OF THE 19 CLAIMS AND THE TREATMENT PROPOSED UNDER THE PLAN ARE CONTAINED UNDER CLASSIFICATION AND TREATMENT UNDER THE 20 PLAN BEGINNING ON PAGE 4. 21 THE PROPONENTS RESERVE THE RIGHT TO AMEND, MODIFY, OR SUPPLEMENT THE PLAN AT ANY TIME BEFORE THE CONFÍRMATION OF 22 THE PLAN, PROVIDED THAT SUCH AMENDMENTS OR MODIFICATIONS DO NOT MATERIALLY ALTER THE TREATMENT OF, OR DISTRIBUTIONS 23 TO, CREDITORS UNDER THE PLAN. 24 THE FINANCIAL PROJECTIONS CONTAINED IN THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT REPRESENT THE DEBTOR'S ESTIMATES OF FUTURE 25 EVENTS BASED ON CERTAIN ASSUMPTIONS MORE FULLY DESCRIBED BELOW, SOME OR ALL OF WHICH MAY NOT BE REALIZED. NONE OF 26 FINANCIAL ANALYSES CONTAINED THIS THE IN DISCLOSURE STATEMENT ARE CONSIDERED TO BE A FORECAST OR PROJECTION AS 27 TECHNICALLY DEFINED BY THE AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS. THE USE OF THE WORDS, "FORECAST," 28 **"PROJECT", OR "PROJECTION" WITHIN THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT** 2

Case 2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 33 1RELATE TO THE BROAD EXPECTATIONS OF FUTURE EVENTS OR
MARKET CONDITIONS AND QUANTIFICATIONS OF THE POTENTIAL
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS UNDER THOSE CONDITIONS.2RESULTS OF OPERATIONS UNDER THOSE CONDITIONS.

 ALL FINANCIAL INFORMATION PRESENTED IN THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT WAS PREPARED BY THE DEBTOR. EACH CREDITOR IS
 URGED TO REVIEW THE PLAN IN FULL BEFORE VOTING ON THE PLAN TO ENSURE A COMPLETE UNDERSTANDING OF THE PLAN AND THIS
 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.

6 THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT IS INTENDED FOR THE SOLE USE OF CREDITORS, EQUITY HOLDERS AND OTHER PARTIES-IN-INTEREST, 7 AND FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF ASSISTING THEM IN MAKING AN INFORMED DECISION ABOUT THE PLAN. NO PERSON HAS BEEN 8 AUTHORIZED TO GIVE ANY INFORMATION OR MAKE ANY **REPRESENTATIONS IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SOLICITATION OF** 9 VOTES TO ACCEPT OR REJECT THE PLAN OTHER THE THAN **INFORMATION** AND REPRESENTATIONS CONTAINED IN THIS 10 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT OR IN THE BALLOTS. IF GIVEN OR MADE, ANY SUCH INFORMATION OR REPRESENTATIONS MUST NOT BE RELIED 11 UPON AS HAVING BEEN AUTHORIZED BY THE DEBTOR.

 THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN APPROVED BY THE BANKRUPTCY COURT. THE BANKRUPTCY COURT WILL CONSIDER ANY OBJECTIONS TO AND DETERMINE THE LEGAL ADEQUACY OF THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT IN CONJUNCTION WITH CONFIRMATION OF THE PLAN. APPROVAL OF THE LEGAL ADEQUACY OF THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY THE BANKRUPTCY COURT IS NOT A CERTIFICATION BY THE BANKRUPTCY COURT AS TO THE TRUTH OR ACCURACY OF THE FACTUAL MATTERS THAT ARE CONTAINED IN THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.

THE DEBTOR STRONGLY URGES YOU TO VOTE FOR THE PLAN AS
 IT BELIEVES THAT THE PLAN WILL PROVIDE FOR A SIGNIFICANTLY
 LARGER DISTRIBUTION TO HOLDERS OF CLAIMS THAN WOULD
 OTHERWISE RESULT IF AN ALTERNATIVE RESTRUCTURING PLAN
 WERE PROPOSED OR THE DEBTOR'S ASSETS WERE LIQUIDATED UNDER
 CHAPTER 7 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE.

This Disclosure Statement has not been subject to a certified audit but has been prepared in part from the information compiled by the Debtors from records maintained by it in the ordinary course of business or from information received by the Debtors from third parties. Every effort has been made to be as accurate as possible in the preparation of this Disclosure Statement.

Other than as stated in this Disclosure Statement, the Debtors have not authorized any representations or assurances concerning the Debtors, their operations, or the value of assets. Therefore, in deciding whether to accept or reject the Plan, you should not rely on any information relating to the Debtors or the Plan other than that contained in this Disclosure statement or in the Plan itself. You should report any unauthorized representations or inducements to counsel for the Debtors, who may present such information to the Bankruptcy Court for action as may be appropriate.

28

Case 2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 33

1 2	This is a solicitation by the Debtors only and is not a solicitation by any affiliates, attorneys, agents, financial advisors, accountants, or any other professionals employed by the Debtors.
3	David Zowine is the individual who has provided the primary information contained in this Disclosure Statement.
4	HISTORY
5	SUMMARY OF CLASSIFICATION AND TREATMENT UNDER THE PLAN
6	
7 8	Set forth in the following section is a summary of the classification and treatment of Claims under the Plan. Creditors are referred to Article I of the Plan for an explanation of all Defined Terms.
9	The Classes of Claims against the Debtors shall be treated under the Plan as follows:
10	<u>CLASS 1 – ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS</u>
11	Claims for Professional Fees. Each Person seeking an award by the Bankruptcy
12	Court of Professional Fees: (a) must file its final application for allowance of compensation for services rendered and reimbursement of expenses incurred through the Confirmation Data within thirty days after the Confirmation Data and (b) if the
13	Confirmation Date within thirty days after the Confirmation Date; and (b) if the Bankruptcy Court grants such an award, each such Person must be paid in full in Cash in such amounts as are allowed by the Bankruptcy Court as soon thereafter as practicable.
14	Post-Confirmation Professional Fees. All Professional Fees for services rendered
15	in connection with the Chapter 11 Case and the Plan after the Confirmation Date, including those relating to the prosecution of Litigation Claims preserved under the Plan
16	and the resolution of Disputed Claims, are to be paid by the Debtor upon receipt of an invoice for such services, or on such other terms to which Debtor may agree, without the
17	need for further Bankruptcy Court authorization or entry of a Final Order. The Debtor shall have ten days after the receipt of any such invoice to object to any item contained in
18	such invoice. If the Debtor and any Professional cannot agree on the amount of post- Confirmation Date fees and expenses to be paid to such Professional, such amount is to be
19	determined.
20	<u>CLASS 2 – FISCHER SECURED CLAIM.</u>
21	(a) <u>Impairment and Voting</u> . Class 2 is impaired by the Plan. The holder of the Class Claim is entitled to vote on the Plan.
22	
23	(b) <u>Nature of Interest</u> . The holder of the Class 2 Claim holds a secured claim in the amount of \$1,211,600.00. The collateral for this debt is a first position lien on the
24	Debtors' Residence. Fischer will retain his on the collateral until paid in full.
25	(c) <u>Treatment</u> Interest payments to Fischer will be modified to an annual payment of \$91,000 per year. The first payment will be made ninety (90) days after the Effective
26	Date. The Class 2 Claim will be paid in full.
27	<u>CLASS 3 – Wichansky DISPUTED CLAIM</u>
28	(a) Impairment and Voting. Class 3 is impaired by the Plan, and entitled to vote
	4
Case	2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 33

1 (b) Nature of Interest. The Wichansky Disputed Claim (as defined in Section 1.73) of the Plan) consists of (1) the District Court Judgment, other than the Wichansky 2 Disputed Punitive Damages Claim; minus (2) offsets against the District Court Judgment based on the State Court Judgment. The offsets under the State Court Judgment include 3 (a) sums Wichansky owes to Zowine for attorneys' fees Wichansky paid to his private 4 counsel out of ZHC coffers, (b) one-half of the sum paid by ZHC to the Receiver appointed by the State Court, (c) \$344,000 drawn by Wichansky from ZHC before 5 January 25, 2011 in excess of sums drawn by Zowine, (d) sums Wichansky owes to 6 Zowine for pre-March 23, 2012 contingent liabilities of ZHC (and expenses to defend against, resolve, or settle such liabilities), (e) sums Zowine pays to Wichansky under the 7 State Court Judgment, and (f) sums Wichansky is held to owe Zowine based on Zowine's 8 state law breach of fiduciary duty claims pending in State Court. The Debtors deny any liability on the Wichansky Disputed Claim. 9

(c) Treatment. The Class 3 creditor will not receive any current distributions on 10 account of the disputed Class 3 Claim, until the Wichansky Claim Resolution Date. Until 11 the Wichansky Claim Resolution Date, the Plan Sponsor shall make contributions on a calendar quarterly basis (January 1, April 1, July 1, and October 1 of each year) to the 12 Disputed Claim Account: (a) at the rate of \$200,000 per quarter for the first eight (8) quarters; thereafter at the rate of \$225,000 per quarter for the next eight (8) quarters; 13 thereafter at the rate of \$250,000 per quarter for the next eight (8) quarters; thereafter at 14 the rate of \$275,000 per quarter for the next eight (8) quarters; thereafter at the rate of \$300,000 for the next eight (8) quarters, for a total of Ten Million Dollars (\$10,000,000) 15 over forty (40) quarters; plus (b) simple interest at the federal judgment rate of interest, 16 (currently at .0068% (68 Basis points)). Upon the occurrence of the Wichansky Claim Resolution Date, the amount of the Class 3 Claim as allowed, will be paid as follows: (x) 17 the amounts in the Disputed Claims Account (including any interest accrual therefrom); and (y) the balance (if any) to be paid under the remainder of the amortization set forth in 18 sub item (a) of this subsection, with the balance due, in full, on the tenth (10th) anniversary 19 of the Plan Effective Date.

20

The first payment set forth in subsection (a) will be made on the first calendar payment following the Effective Date. By way of example only, if the Effective Date is June 20, the first quarterly payment will be made on July 1. If the Effective Date is July 2, the first payment will be made on October 1.

23

<u>CLASS 4 – KZAD SECURED CLAIM</u>

(a) <u>Impairment and Voting</u>. Class 4 is unimpaired by the Plan. The holder of the Class 4 Claim is therefore deemed to have accepted the Plan.

(b) <u>Nature of Interest</u>. The holder of the Class 4 Claim asserts a secured claim in the approximate amount of \$1,500,000.00. KZAD holds a first position lien on property located at 121 Alvern Court, Alamo California 94507.

28

1 (c) Treatment. The holder of the Class 4 Claim shall receive payment, in full of its Allowed Claim in accordance with the agreement with the Debtors. 2 CLASS 5-WELLS FARGO SECURED CLAIM 3 (a) Impairment and Voting. Class 5 is impaired, and is therefore entitled to vote 4 on the Plan. 5 (b) <u>Nature of Interest.</u> Wells Fargo holds a secured claim against a 2007 Bentley GTC in the approximate amount of \$20,000.00. 6 (c) Treatment. The holder of the Class 5 Claim shall receive payment in full. The 7 interest rate shall be modified to five percent (5%) per annum, and payments will be made on a monthly basis for twenty-four (24) months. The first payment will be made thirty 8 (30) days after the Effective Date. 9 10 CLASS 6 - SPECIAL COUNSEL CLAIMS. 11 (a) <u>Impairment and Voting</u>. Class 6 is impaired by the Plan, and therefore entitled to vote. The holders of the Class 6 Claims are two (2) of the Debtors' court-approved 12 Special Counsel. Their claims aggregate approximately \$229,000.00. 13 (b) Nature of Interest. Class 6 is unsecured. 14 (c) <u>Treatment</u>. The Class 6 Claims will be paid in full. Class 6 will receive no interest on account of the unpaid amounts, and will receive four (4) equal quarterly 15 payments, the first of which will be sixty (60) days after the effective Date. 16 CLASS 7-ALLIANCE GUARANTY CLAIM 17 (a) <u>Impairment and Voting</u>. Class 7 is impaired by the Plan and therefore entitled to vote. 18 (b) <u>Nature of Interest</u>. Alliance holds a guaranty signed by the Debtors of ZHC 19 Debt in the approximate amount of \$10,094,000.00. 20 (c) <u>Treatment.</u> The Class 7 Creditor will not receive any current distributions on account of its claim, but will retain all rights under the existing Alliance Guaranty. The 21 Alliance Loan Documents and Alliance Guaranty will be modified to allow for any additional distributions to be made to the Debtors not otherwise authorized by the 22 Alliance Loan Documents in order to permit the Plan Sponsor to assist in the funding of the Plan Payments. Nothing in the Plan is intended to, nor should it be construed as, 23 altering, amending or prejudicing any rights of Alliance with respect to the ZHC Debt. 24 **CLASS 8-CLAIMS HELD BY THE GUARANTY CREDITORS** 25 (a) Impairment and Voting. Class 8 is impaired by the Plan and therefore entitled to vote. 26 (b) <u>Nature of Interest</u>. The Guaranty Creditors hold guarantees signed by the 27 Debtors of ZHC Debt in the approximate amount of \$586,414.00. 28 6

1 (c) Treatment. The Class 8 Creditors will not receive any current distributions on account of their claims, but will retain all rights under their respective existing guarantees. 2 Nothing in the Plan is intended to, nor should it be construed as, altering, amending or prejudicing any rights of Guaranty Creditors with respect to the ZHC Debt. 3 **CLASS 9-VECTOR LITIGATION CLAIM** 4 (a) <u>Impairment and Voting</u>. Class 9 is impaired by the Plan, and therefore entitled 5 to vote. 6 (b) <u>Nature of Interest</u>. Vector is a Plaintiff in the Vector litigation. It currently holds an unliquidated claim. The Debtors dispute the claim in its entirety. 7 (c) <u>Treatment</u>. Class 9 will receive payment in full of the amount of its allowed 8 claim, when such claim is determined. Payment will be made in two (2) equal annual payments, with interest at the federal judgment rate, the first of which will be made thirty 9 (30) days after the claim becomes an Allowed Claim. 10 CLASS 10 – GENERAL UNSECURED CLAIMS. 11 (a) <u>Impairment and Voting</u>. Class 10 is impaired by the Plan, and therefore entitled to vote. 12 (b) <u>Distributions</u>. Each holder of a Class 10 General Unsecured Claim shall 13 receive 100% of their allowed general unsecured claim over three (3) years, paid quarterly. The first payment will be made ninety (90) days after the Effective Date. 14 **CLASS 11-WICHANSKY DISPUTED PUNITIVE DAMAGES CLAIM.** 15 (a) <u>Impairment and Voting</u>. Class 11 is impaired by the Plan, and therefore 16 entitled to vote. 17 (b) <u>Nature of Interest</u>. This Class is subordinated to all other Classes of Creditors, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 726 (a) (4). The Debtors dispute the claim in its entirety. 18 (c) <u>Treatment</u>. Class 11 will receive full payment of the amount of its allowed 19 claim, with interest at the Federal Judgment Rate of interest (.68% per annum) only after full payment pursuant to the Plan has been made to all other creditors. Payment will be no sooner than the tenth (10^{th}) Anniversary of the Effective Date. 20 21 22 DESCRIPTION OF THE PLAN OF REORGANIZATION 23 As noted, a copy of the Plan accompanies this Disclosure Statement as **Exhibit 1**. 24 The following summary of the material provisions of the Plan is qualified in its entirety by the specific provisions of the Plan, including the Plan's definitions of certain 25 terms used below. The following is intended to provide a general description of the Plan. For more specific information, please refer to the Plan itself. The Debtor has attempted to 26 minimize the use of defined terms in describing the Plan. However, any capitalized terms that are not defined in this section of the Disclosure Statement are defined in the Plan. 27 **Voting and Confirmation Procedures** 28 7

Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49

Page 7 of 33

Desc

Case 2:16-bk-08963-PS

Doc 144

Main Document

This Disclosure Statement is accompanied by copies of the following: (a) the Amended Plan, attached as Exhibit 1 to this Disclosure Statement; (b) the Bankruptcy Court's Order: (1) Setting Hearing on Approval of Adequacy of Disclosure Statement and Plan Confirmation; (2) Setting Objection Deadlines thereon; (3) Setting Record Date; (4) Approving Ballots and Solicitation Protocol; (5) Setting Ballot Deadlines; and (6) Related Matters (the "Solicitation Order"); and (c) a Ballot to accept or reject the Plan.

Appropriate forms of Ballots must be used.

Who May Vote

1

2

3

4

5

6

Under the Bankruptcy Code, impaired Classes of Claims are entitled to vote to accept or reject a plan of reorganization. A Class that is not impaired under a plan is deemed to have accepted a plan and does not vote. A Class is impaired under the Bankruptcy Code when the legal, equitable, and contractual rights of the holders of Claims or Equity Interests in that Class are modified or altered. For purposes of this Plan, holders of Claims in Classes 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 are entitled to vote on the Plan.

If, however, the Debtors file an objection to your claim, you are responsible to request that the Bankruptcy Court temporarily allow your claim for voting purposes. Rule 3018 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure provides that the Bankruptcy Court after notice and hearing may temporarily allow the Claim in an amount which the Bankruptcy Court deems proper for the purpose of voting. If the Debtor files an objection to your claim, you should seek an attorney's assistance with respect to this matter.

14 **Voting Instructions**

All votes to accept or reject the Plan must be cast by using the appropriate form of
 Ballot enclosed with this Disclosure Statement. Only votes using such Ballots will be
 counted, except to the extent the Bankruptcy Court orders otherwise.

For your vote to count, your Ballot must be properly completed according to the voting instructions on the Ballot and received no later than the Voting Deadline by the Debtor's counsel. Any Ballot not indicating an acceptance or rejection will be deemed an acceptance of the Plan.

- 20 If you have any questions concerning the Plan, please contact:
- 21 Michael W. Carmel, Esq. Michael W. Carmel, Ltd. 80 East Columbus Avenue
- 22 Phoenix, Arizona 85012
- 23 Telephone: (602) 264-4965
 - ⁵ Facsimile: (602) 277-0144
- 24 E-Mail: <u>michael@mcarmellaw.com</u>
- 25 Acceptance or Rejection of the Plan

Under the Bankruptcy Code, a Class of Claims entitled to vote is deemed to have accepted the Plan if it is accepted by creditors in such Class who, of those actually voting on the Plan, hold at least two-thirds in amount and more than one-half in number of the Allowed Claims of such Class.

- 28 **Confirmation Hearing; Objections**
- 8

Case 2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 8 of 33

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Section 1128(a) of the Bankruptcy Code requires the Bankruptcy Court, after notice, to hold a Confirmation Hearing. Section 1128(b) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that any party-in-interest may object to Confirmation of the Plan. Under Section 1128 of the Bankruptcy Code and Rule 3017(c) of the Bankruptcy Rules, the Bankruptcy Court has scheduled the Confirmation Hearing before the Honorable Paul Sala, United States Bankruptcy Judge, at the United States Bankruptcy Court, District of Arizona, 230 North First Avenue, 6th Floor, Phoenix, Arizona 85004 for [to be inserted after approval of the Disclosure Statement] The Solicitation Order setting forth the time and date of the Confirmation Hearing has been included along with this Disclosure Statement. Pursuant to the Solicitation Order, the Confirmation Hearing has been set to consider the adequacy of this Disclosure Statement, as well as to consider Confirmation of the Plan. The Confirmation Hearing may be adjourned from time to time by the Bankruptcy Court without further notice, except for an announcement of such adjourned hearing date by the Bankruptcy Court in open court at such hearing. Any objection to the adequacy of this Disclosure Statement or to Confirmation of the Plan must be in writing, must comply with the Bankruptcy Rules and the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court, and must be filed and served by 5:00 p.m. (Mountain Standard Time) on the date are arguired in the Solicitation Order
10	Time) on the date as required in the Solicitation Order.
11	<u>GENERAL BACKGROUND OF THE DEBTOR AND EVENTS LEADING TO</u> <u>BANKRUPTCY FILING</u>
12	A. <u>Realtionship Between Zowine and Wichansky</u>
14	Wichansky and David Zowine ("Zowine") were each 50% shareholders of Zoe
15	Holding Company, Inc. (f/k/a ZHC Holding Company) ("ZHC") through
16	
17	March 26, 2012 when David Zowine purchased Wichansky's 50% interest as
18	the result of a court ordered dissolution of the Company. Since that date,
19	Zowine has been the sole shareholder of ZHC.
20	Zowine and Wichansky at one time were close personal friends and business
21	associates with each owing 50% of ZHC. Wichansky served as ZHC's President and
22	
23	Zowine as its Vice President, although all major decisions were made together. Their
24	relationship began to deteriorate toward the end of 2010, and in early 2011, Wichansky set
25	on a scheme to oust Zowine from ZHC and buy out his interest for pennies on the dollar.
26	Zowine and Wichansky have been involved in multiple lawsuits against each other ever
27	
28	since.
	9
Case	2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 9 of 33

1 1. Wichansky Tries to Fire Zowine, Buy His Interest in ZHC and Also Sues 2 Him. 3 On January 25, 2011, Wichansky purported to fire Zowine from his employment at 4 ZHC, and on January 26, 2011, Wichansky (and ZHC at Wichansky's behest), using an 5 6 attorney hired solely by Wichansky, initiated a lawsuit against Zowine in Maricopa 7 County Superior Court, Cause No. CV2011-002120 (the "State Case"). Wichansky and 8 ZHC sought a declaration that Wichansky's termination of Mr. Zowine was proper and 9 they sought an injunction against Mr. Zowine to keep him from showing up at ZHC's 10 11 offices. 12 On February 1, 2011, Wichansky attempted to purchase all of Mr. Zowine's 13 interest in ZHC by exercising purported rights under a cross purchase agreement 14 Wichansky and Zowine had entered into on or about September 9, 2002. Wichansky 15 16 asserted that he had the right to purchase Zowine's interest in ZHC under the terms of the 17 Cross Purchase Agreement because Zowine was no longer an employee of ZHC (having 18 been terminated by Wichansky the prior week). Wichansky tendered \$950,000 in a 19 cashier's check and a \$950,000 promissory note to Zowine. Zowine rejected the tender. 20 21 2. Zowine Counterclaims and Seeks to Disgualify Wichansky's Counsel. 22 Zowine answered Wichansky's complaint and filed a counterclaim against 23 Wichansky on February 4, 2011.¹ Zowine also moved to disqualify Wichansky's counsel, 24 since counsel purported to represent ZHC and Wichansky, and Zowine had not approved 25 26 ¹ Wichansky caused Zoe to file an amended complaint against Zowine just hours before 27 Zowine filed his answer and counterclaim. The amended complaint removed Wichansky as a plaintiff, but Wichansky was named as a counterdefendant in Zowine's 28 counterclaim. 10

1	the hiring of counsel. Zowine's counterclaim alleged, among other things, Wichansky's
2	breach of fiduciary duty, fraud, constructive fraud, breach of contract, and breach of the
3 4	covenant of good faith and fair dealing.
4 5	3. The Court Rejects Wichansky's Firing of Zowine and Disqualifies His
6	Lawyer. Wichansky Promptly Petitions to Dissolve the Company.
7	
8	The Superior Court held a hearing on Wichansky's injunction application on
9	March 30, 2011. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Court indicated that it would likely
10	find that Wichansky did not have the authority to terminate Zowine's employment with
11	ZHC. On March 31, 2011, before the court issued its order, Wichansky replied to
12	Zowine's counterclaim, filed a petition to dissolve ZHC, and moved for the appointment
13 14	of a receiver for ZHC. The following day, the Court ruled as it indicated it would rule and
14	denied Mr. Wichansky's application for a preliminary injunction. The court also granted
16	Mr. Zowine's motion to disqualify Wichansky's counsel.
17	
18	Wichansky's filing of the petition for dissolution gave Zowine the option to
19	purchase Mr. Wichansky's 50% interest in ZHC under A.R.S. § 10-1434. Mr. Zowine
20	filed his notice of election under section 10-1434 on June 10, 2011. The Superior Court
21	then scheduled a fair value hearing for August 29, 2011.
22	4. <u>Wichanksy Tries to Scuttle Dissolution but the Court Denies His Motions.</u>
23	
24	Shortly after the Court scheduled the fair value hearing, in early August 2011,
25	Wichansky filed motions to, among other things, withdraw his petition for dissolution, set
26	aside Zowine's election to purchase his interest in ZHC, and to stay proceedings related to
27	
28	11
	11
Case	2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc

Case 2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 11 of 33

1	dissolution of ZHC. Wichansky also sought to file an amended complaint asserting
2	claims against Zowine for, among other things, breach of fiduciary duty.
3	The court denied Wichansky's motions to withdraw his petition for dissolution and
4 5	to set aside Zowine's election under A.R.S. § 10-1434, but the Court continued the fair
6	value hearing to February 27, 2012. In denying Wichansky's motion to withdraw his
7	
8	petition for dissolution, the Court stated on the record:
9	Well, you know, my consideration is that there's been information relating to equities that have been presented by both
10	sides. The Plaintiffs, through their amended complaint and all the attachments, have the greater weight if we were to photocopy it and mut it on a table. The greater number of articulated pieces of
11	put it on a table. The greater number of articulated pieces of information, relating particularly to the billing issue, and the allegations that stem in their complaint from that. I've considered
12	that information. I've also considered, you know, which legal standard really applies, and honestly, to me it doesn't matter.
13	I don't feel I have to make that determination. I'll give the
14	Plaintiffs the benefit of the doubt, and give them the more generous interpretation under 10-1434(a), that I'm just to look at the equities
15	from everybody's point of view.
16 17	And when I look at the equities from everybody's point of view, I did see somebody who had competent appeared to me,
17 18	competent, sophisticated, legal counsel representing him at a time when he engaged in a course of action to not only fire somebody, but then proceed into Court, and then proceed to file an action for
19	dissolution. And having done that, there are consequences to that. The law says somebody else gets to elect to do something if they
20	choose to, and it can only be set aside if there's equitable grounds, and I'm not finding that there's sufficient equitable grounds to set it
21	aside. Any of it. As I said, I don't think returning these parties to the status quo of having nothing pending, except lawsuits for breaches of
22	fiduciary duty against one another and the related claims is an equitable thing to do. To me, it's a nonsensical thing to do.
23	And so when I point that out, then I'm told, well, Judge, you've
24	got the discretion to just do half of what we asked for, which is to set aside the election that somebody else made. That he didn't make, that
25	somebody else made, somebody else had had to agonize over and make the decision whether to go through that or not, and figure out how to come and to do it or not. And I'm not going to go there. So
26	I'm going to deny the Plaintiff's request for setting aside the dissolution, and I'm going to deny the request for setting aside the
27	Defendant's election to purchase shares in lieu of dissolution.
28	12
Case	2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 12 of 33

1 8/30/2011 Transcript of Proceeding, p. 39:3-40:18.

2	The Court also granted Wichansky's motion to file an amended complaint, but
3	The Court also granted witchansky's motion to the an amended complaint, but
4	Wichansky never filed his amended complaint notwithstanding permission to do so.
5	5. <u>The Fair Value Hearing and Judgment.</u>
6	The fair value hearing proceeded before the Honorable Robert Oberbillig for four
7	days beginning on February 27, 2012. After hearing the evidence, including the testimony
8 9	of business valuation experts retained by both Zowine and Wichansky, the court
10	concluded the Fair Value of ZHC was \$10,000,000 and that Mr. Wichansky was entitled
11	to receive \$5,000,000 for his 50% interest in ZHC, subject to several offsets.
12	First, the Court offset the amount to be paid to Wichansky by \$98,000, the amount
13 14	Wichansky had paid his personal lawyer from ZHC funds after the court had disqualified
15	the lawyer. Second, the Court offset the amount to be paid to Wichansky by roughly one-
16	half of the amount paid to the court-appointed Management Consultant ² from funds of
17	ZHC after the valuation date, \$500,000. Third, the Court offset the amount to be paid to
18 19	Wichansky by \$344,000, the amount Wichansky had historically drawn from ZHC coffers
20	in excess of the amount drawn by Zowine. The Court ordered Zowine to pay Wichanksy
21	the net amount of \$4,058,000.
22	The court also found that Wichansky was liable for one-half of the contingent
23 24	liabilities of ZHC (and its subsidiaries) arising from events that occurred before March 23,
24 25	2012, including but not limited to all costs and expenses incurred by Mr. Zowine, the
26	, the grant frank is r
27	
28	2 The State Court appointed Ted Burr as a Management Consultant on April 12, 2011. His appointment was terminated by Court Order on July 23, 2012. 13
Case	2.16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc

Case 2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 13 of 33 Company or any subsidiary on or after March 23, 2012, to defend against, settle and resolve such contingent liabilities.

The court entered a judgment on its fair value order and transferred ownership of
Mr. Wichansky's interest in ZHC to Mr. Zowine. The judgment included provisions
permitting Mr. Zowine to pay Mr. Wichansky over time, establishing a procedure
pursuant to which Mr. Zowine could charge Mr. Wichansky for Wichansky's share of
ZHC's contingent liabilities, and procedures for resolving any dispute between the parties
over responsibility for contingent liabilities.

11

23

1

2

3

6. <u>Wichansky's State Court Appeal and Federal Court Action.</u>

12 The judgment included Rule 54(b) language, and Wichansky filed a timely notice 13 of appeal. He subsequently moved to stay the remaining trial court proceedings in the 14 State Case pending the outcome of the appeal. The court granted the motion to stay. 15 16 While the state court appeal was pending and while the stay of proceedings was 17 effective, in June 2013, Wichansky initiated another action in the United States District 18 Court for the District of Arizona, Cause No. 2:13-cv-01208-DGC (the "Federal Case"). 19 The complaint in the Federal Case included numerous federal law claims and numerous 20 21 pendent state law claims. The Federal Case ultimately resulted in a judgment against the 22 Debtors in the amount of \$20,622,800.

The Debtors were unable to obtain a supersedeas bond to stay execution of the judgment pending appeal, and on August 4, 2016, Wichansky sought to obtain writs of garnishment permitting him to obtain Zowine's stock in ZHC as well as all funds belonging to the Debtors on deposit at Wells Fargo Bank. Faced with the garnishments

Case 2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 14 of 33 and the inability to post a supersedeas bond, the Debtors initiated their bankruptcy proceeding.

3	Zowine filed two motions to dismiss the Federal Case focusing on the federal
4	
5	claims asserted by Wichansky. The court granted the first motion to dismiss in its
6	entirety, but granted Wichansky leave to amend his complaint. The only federal claims
7 8	remaining in the Federal Case after Wichansky filed his amended complaint were claims
8 9	asserted under the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, Zowine moved to dismiss those
10	claims again in his second motion to dismiss, and that motion was granted in part.
11	Zowine then moved for summary judgment on the remaining CFAA claims which motion
12	was granted. Zowine later moved for summary judgment on the remaining state law
13	claims and that motion was granted in part as well. After motion practice, the only claims
14	
15	remaining in the Federal Case were state law claims against Zowine for assault and
16	battery and breach of fiduciary duty and aiding and abetting claims against employees of
17 18	ZHC. The court repeatedly declined to remand the state law claims to state court. ³
19	The Federal Case proceeded to trial on the state law claims in April 2016. The jury
20	returned a verdict in favor of Mr. Wichansky and against Mr. Zowine and awarded Mr.
21	Wichansky \$10,311,400 in compensatory damages and \$14,375,000 in punitive damages. ⁴
22	
23	
24	³ Wichansky and Zowine are involved in two other actions. First, Wichansky filed a <i>qui tam</i> action against Zowine and Zoe in the United States District Court for the District of
25	Arizona, Cause No. 2:13-cv-01924-SRB (Qui Tam Action"). The Court dismissed the <i>Qui Tam</i> Action and that case is now on appeal in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. Second,
26	Zoe filed an unfair competition action against Wichansky's new employer, Home Health Agency – Arizona, Inc., dba Team Select Home Care and others, Maricopa County
27	Superiro Court Cause No. CV2013-001150. Wichansky is currently named as a defendant in the Team Select Case. The Team Select Case is described in detail below.
28	⁴ The jury also found that certain co-defendants had aided and abetted Mr. Zowine's breach of fiduciary duty and awarded damages against those co-defendants. None of 15
Case	2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 15 of 33

The Debtors filed a motion for a new trial and a motion for judgment as a matter of law which was granted in part and denied in part. In its order on these motions, the Court remitted the punitive damages award against the debtors to \$10,311,400 resulting in a total judgment against the debtors in the amount of \$20,622,800. On November 4, 2016, the debtors filed a notice of appeal.

7 8

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7. <u>The State Case Appeal is Dismissed and Wichansky Tries to Pursue</u> Additional Claims in State Court.

In the meantime, on November 19, 2014, the Arizona Court of Appeals dismissed 10 11 the State Case appeal based on its conclusion that the judgment was not appealable and 12 the court of appeals lacked jurisdiction. Wichansky petitioned the Arizona Supreme 13 Court to review the Court of Appeals order, but the Court denied the petition for review. 14 The court of appeals notified the trial court of its dismissal order on June 18, 2015, 15 16 and shortly thereafter the case began to proceed forward along with the Federal Case. In 17 January 2016, Wichansky filed an amended cross claim against the Debtors and ZHC in 18 the State Case asserting claims for indemnification, breach of contract, abuse of process, 19 accounting, tortious interference with existing contractual relations, and breach of the duty 20 21 of good faith and fair dealing. The Debtors and the Company filed a motion to dismiss the 22 cross claim on February 18, 2016. The court has issued a minute entry stating that it 23 intends to schedule oral argument on the motion to dismiss in due course. 24 8. Wichansky Contests Zowine Assertion of Contingent Liability Claims. 25 26 27 the co-defendants are implicated by the Debtor's bankruptcy, so this disclosure 28 statement does not address the claims asserted against those parties.

1	Also pending in the State Case is Wichansky's motion challenging Mr. Zowine's
2	assertion of contingent liability claims against Wichansky. Since entry of the Court's fair
3	
4	value order and Judgment, Zowine followed the procedures set forth in the Judgment for
5	resolving Wichansky's responsibility for contingent liabilities and has charged Wichansky
6	a total of \$1,807,490.65 for his share of contingent liabilities. ⁵ To date, Zowine has made
7	four demands for payment to Wichansky: \$545,885 demanded in 2013 (which amount
8	was later reduced to \$471,157.10); \$552,673.86 demanded in 2014; \$96,416.15 demanded
9	
10	in 2015; and \$687,243.54 demanded in 2016.
11	The Judgment permits Wichansky to contest Zowine's assertion of claims against
12	Wichansky for the Company's contingent liabilities by serving an objection within ten
13 14	days after service of Zowine's demand. Wichansky timely objected to the 2013, 2014,
15	and 2016 demands, but he did not timely object to the 2015 demand.
16	Wichansky filed a motion in the State Case on January 22, 2016 asking the Court
17	to determine his liability for contingent liabilities and related expenses assessed against
18	
19	him by Zowine in 2013, 2014 and 2015. Wichansky has not filed a motion contesting
20	Zowine's assessment against Wichansky in the amount of \$687,243.54 in 2016.
21	Zowine responded to and opposed Wichansky's motion on March 4, 2016, and the
22	Superior Court held oral argument on Wichansky's motion on July 8, 2016. Following
23	
24	⁵ This sum does not include \$500,000 Zowine initially paid into an escrow account for the
25	benefit of Wichansky to be applied to contingent liabilities of Zoe as required by the Judgment. After Mr. Zowine paid this sum into an escrow account for Mr. Wichansky's benefit as required by the Judgment, the funds were transferred to a separate escrow
26	account established for the benefit of Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS). Mr. Zowine deposited \$500,000 on his own account into the AHCCCS Escrow
27	thus resulting in an escrow for the benefit of AHCCCS in the amount of \$1,000,000. These funds were transferred from the AHCCCS escrow account to AHCCCS in 2013 when
28	Zoe entered into a settlement with AHCCCS. 17
Case	2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 17 of 33

1	the oral argument, the court issued an order stating that the court intended to schedule an
2	evidentiary hearing on Wichansky's motion.
3	10. Effect of State Case on Federal Case.
4	
5	The Debtors believe the Judgment in the Federal Case is likely to be reversed in its
6	entirety on appeal, but even if it is affirmed, the Debtors at the very least will be entitled
7	to an offset against the Judgment in the Federal case based the following:
8 9	First, the Debtors will be entitled to an offset for the offsets included in the State
10	Court Fair Value Judgment in the amount of nearly \$1,000,000. These offsets include:
11	(a) \$98.000 for payment made by Wichansky from ZHC funds to his private counsel; (b)
12	\$500,000 for Wichansky's share of fees paid by ZHC to the court appointed receiver; and
13	(c) \$344,000 for Wichansky's use of ZHC funds to pay his personal and family expenses.
14	
15	Second, the Debtors will be entitled to an offset for Contingent liabilities of the
16	Company (and its subsidiaries) arising from events that occurred before March 23, 2012,
17	plus costs and expenses incurred by Mr. Zowine, the Company or any subsidiary on or
18 19	after March 23, 2012, to defend against, settle and resolve such contingent liabilities.
20	Until the Superior Court rules otherwise, the value of this offset is at least \$1,807,490.65
21	as noted above.
22	Third, the Debtors will be entitled to an offset for any sum Zowine pays to
23	
24	Wichansky toward the purchase price under the State Court Judgment after the date of this
25	disclosure statement. The next scheduled payment in the amount of \$500,000 is due on
26	April 30, 2017 subject to any offset for contingent liabilities of ZHC.
27	Fourth, the Debtors will be entitled to an offset for any sum Wichansky is found to owe
28	18
Case	2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 18 of 33

3

Zowine as a result of Zowine's pending claims in the State Case.

11. The "Team Select" Case

In late 2012 and early 2013, Zowine and ZHC became aware that Wichansky's 4 new employer Team Select, a pediatric home health agency that competes with ZHC 5 subsidiaries MGA Home Healthcare and MGA Home Healthcare Colorado, was soliciting 6 7 MGA Home Healthcare referral sources. The company also became aware that in addition 8 to hiring Wichansky, Team Select had hired several former ZHC or MGA employees in 9 violation of the restrictive covenants of their employment agreements. Importantly, the 10 company learned that Wichansky and former employee Richard Eden had retained copies 11 of MGA's confidential documents, which contain trade secrets, and were sharing them with Team Select to benefit Team Select's efforts to enter the pediatric home health 12 13 market, and to make Team Select more competitive with MGA. Wichansky and Eden also 14 used these documents, and their knowledge of MGA, to make a false and malicious report 15 of medical billing fraud by MGA to Arizona's Medicaid agency, AHCCCS, resulting in a 16 suspension of payment to MGA by that agency. This in turn caused a loss of patients, 17 whose families believed that because of the payment suspension they were obliged to seek 18 a new home healthcare agency to provide nursing care for their children. Lastly, the 19 company later learned that Team Select had solicited and hired several MGA nurses and 20 had successfully solicited MGA's patients (some of whom followed their nurses to Team 21 Select).

As a result of these acts, in February 2013, ZHC, MGA Home Healthcare, MGA Home Healthcare Colorado, MGA Healthcare Staffing, Inc., and MGA Employee Services, Inc. ("Plaintiffs") filed suit against Team Select, its owner Michael Lovell, and several former ZHC and MGA employees, for breach of contract, breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing, breach of fiduciary duty, tortious interference, trade secret misappropriation, and unjust enrichment (ZHC Holding Co., Inc. et al. v. Eden, et al, Case No. 2013-cv-001150, Maricopa County Superior Court). At the time of filing, the 19

Plaintiffs sought a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction to restrain the Defendants' conduct. The parties engaged in limited discovery, and opted to vacate the motion for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction. After this limited discovery, Wichansky was also added as a defendant in the case. Plaintiffs originally sought damages in the form of lost profits due to lost patients and expected referrals from those patients (approximately \$1.1 million) and expenses associated with increased nurse salary required to retain a nurse that had been solicited by Team Select (\$6,600.00); after extensive discovery, Plaintiffs added damages in the form of disgorgement of revenue that Team Select earned from MGA's former patients (\$422,592) and the value of the confidential information that Wichansky and Eden misappropriated.

Throughout the course of the litigation, Plaintiffs sought discovery regarding the materials that Wichansky took from MGA, including a computer that he had removed from his office in 2011 and failed to return. Wichansky had testified in discovery in connection with the valuation hearing that he had removed the computer, and that it contained extensive MGA files, however, he refused to return it. Ultimately, Wichansky was ordered to return the computer to MGA via a third-party forensic examiner. However, when the forensic examiner inspected the computer, it was discovered that the hard drive was missing. After extensive attempts to obtain the missing hard drive, the company sought spoliation sanctions against Wichansky, and was awarded not only an adverse inference instruction, but also attorneys' fees and costs incurred in efforts to obtain the computer.

After the close of discovery in July 2015, the Defendants sought summary judgment on all claims through multiple motions for summary judgment. Defendants argued that Plaintiffs (1) could not prove any damages; (2) could not prove that the confidential information that they sought to protect was, in fact, trade secrets;, and (3) could not prove that any of the Defendants had caused Plaintiffs to lose patients. The Court granted Defendants' motion with respect to lost patients, finding that Plaintiffs did

Case 2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 20 of 33 not have a property interest or an enforceable contract with patients because of a patient's right to freely chose providers—however, the Court found that there remained genuine issues of material fact as to the Plaintiffs' claims and damages.

In early 2016, on the eve of the evidentiary hearing on Plaintiffs' spoliation motion, Wichansky disclosed that he possessed a computer that "might" have the data from the missing 2011 computer. He had purchased this "new" computer in 2014. He offered to turn it over to a third party forensic investigator, similar to the procedure ordered for the 2011 Computer. However, Wichansky soon balked and refused to agree upon a protocol for review of the 2014 Computer, forcing Plaintiffs to obtain an order for its turnover. Also, though Wichansky had offered to allow discovery on the 2014 Computer, he later rescinded that offer, again forcing Plaintiffs to seek an order securing this discovery. Upon receiving an index of the contents of the 2014 Computer, Plaintiffs learned that Wichansky had extensive MGA data remaining in his possession (despite the loss of the 2011 Computer), and that he had likely withheld evidence in the federal litigation. This discovery into the 2014 Computer and Wichansky's conduct surrounding his failure to disclose the computer when so ordered in 2015, is ongoing, and is expected to close on December 22, 2016. Trial in this case is set to commence on February 6, 2017.

Post-Petition Operations

Since the Petition Date, the Debtors have continued to operate their affairs as a "debtor-in-possession" under Sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors have been filing Monthly Operating reports on a regular basis, which reflect the ongoing financial activities. The U.S. Trustee has not appointed an Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors.

- On August 16, 2016, the Debtors filed a Motion for Relief from Stay (DE #16)
 seeking an Order permitting litigation with Wichansky to go forward. Wichansky opposed the Motion. The Court conducted a hearing on September 20, 2016 and granted the Motion. An Order was signed on October 5, 2016 (DE #103).
- **<u>Retention of Professionals</u>**

On August 10, 2016, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order authorizing the Debtor to retain Michael W. Carmel, Ltd. as bankruptcy and reorganization counsel. (DE #10).

Case 2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 21 of 33 The Court has also entered Orders approving the employment of three (3) separate law firms (DE #94, #95, and #96).

23

8

9

1

Bar Date for Filing Proofs of Claims

On, November 15, 2016, the Debtors filed a Motion asking the Court to establish a
Claims Bar Date of January 4, 2017. The Court has granted that request. A separate mailing has been sent to Creditors. If your claim is listed as disputed, contingent, unliquidated, or unknown, or you disagree with the amount of the listed claim, or whether you are asserting a claim against any alleged assets of the Estate in any adversary proceeding, YOU MUST HAVE FILED A PROOF OF CLAIM BY JANUARY 4, 2017 IN THIS CASE OR BE FOREVER BARRED FROM RECEIVING A DIVIDEND FROM THE ESTATE.

A copy of the Claims Register is attached as Exhibit 2. At a minimum, the Debtors will be filing an Objection to each of the claims filed by Wichansky.

10 Debtor's Assets

The Debtors' Bankruptcy Schedules reflect assets as of the time of filing in the approximate amount of \$219,000,000.00. The liquidation analysis attached to this Statement reflects the current value of the debtor's assets.

13 The Debtors' Bankruptcy Schedules reflect liabilities of approximately \$39,000,000.00.

¹⁴ **Brief Explanation of Chapter 11 Reorganization**

15

The Debtors are being reorganized pursuant to the Plan that is proposed under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code ("Chapter 11"). Under Chapter 11, a debtor is authorized to reorganize its financial affairs for the benefit of itself, its creditors and equity holders. Confirmation of a Plan of Reorganization is the principal objective of a Chapter 11 case.

18

In general, a Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization (a) divides Claims into separate Classes; (b) specifies the property that each Class is to receive under the Plan; and 19 c) contains other provisions necessary to the reorganization of the Debtor. A Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization may provide that certain Classes of Claims are either: (i) to be 20 paid in full upon the effective date of the plan; (ii) reinstated; or (iii) their legal, equitable and contractual rights are to remain unchanged by the reorganization or liquidation 21 effectuated by the plan. These Classes are referred to under the Bankruptcy Code as unimpaired and, because of such favorable treatment, are deemed to accept the plan. 22 Accordingly, it is not necessary to solicit votes from the holders of Claims in such unimpaired Classes. A Chapter 11 plan may also provide that certain Classes will not 23 receive any distributions of property. Such Classes are deemed to reject the plan.

24

All other Classes of Claims contain impaired Claims. An impaired Class is generally a Class which will receive something less than their Claim under the plan of reorganization. Before a plan can be confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court, Chapter 11 generally requires that each impaired Class of Claims votes to accept a plan. Acceptances must be received from the holders of Claims constituting at least two-thirds in dollar amount and more than one-half in number of the allowed Claims in each impaired Class of Claims that have voted on the plan. However, even if an impaired Class rejects the plan, the Bankruptcy Court may confirm the plan if certain minimum treatment standards are met with respect to such Class or Classes. This is discussed in this Disclosure Statement under the Section heading "Confirmation Without Acceptance by All Impaired Classes". Classes that receive nothing are deemed to reject the Plan.

3

4

5

6

1

2

Chapter 11 does not require each holder of a Claim to vote in favor of a plan of reorganization in order for the Bankruptcy Court to confirm the Plan. However, the Bankruptcy Court must find that the Plan meets a number of tests (other than the voting requirements described in this section) before it may confirm, or approve, the Plan. Many of these tests are designed to protect the interests of holders of Claims who do not vote to accept the Plan but who will nonetheless be bound by the Plan's provisions if it is confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court.

7

8

9

Preserved Claims

The Parties are referred to §8.9 of the Plan for a description of the claims which are being preserved for future prosecution/collection.

10 Solicitation of Acceptance of the Plan

The Debtor is seeking acceptances of the Plan from holders of Allowed Claims classified in Classes 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10, which are the only Classes entitled to vote under the Plan. The remaining Classes are unimpaired, and therefore deemed to accept the Plan. If the requisite acceptances are received, the Debtor will use the acceptances as evidenced by the Ballots solicited in connection with this Disclosure Statement and the Solicitation Order to seek confirmation of the Plan under Chapter 11.

If any impaired Class is determined to have rejected the Plan in accordance with
 Section 1126 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtor may use the provisions of Section
 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code to satisfy the requirements for confirmation of the Plan.

The Debtor believes that its Plan complies with applicable bankruptcy and nonbankruptcy law. The Debtor believes this Disclosure Statement contains adequate information for all holders of Impaired Claims to cast an informed vote to accept or reject the Plan. Furthermore, the Debtor believes the holders of Impaired Claims will obtain a greater recovery under the Plan than they would otherwise obtain if the Debtor's assets were immediately liquidated under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code.

If the Plan is confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court, each holder of an Impaired
 Allowed Claim will receive the same pro-rata consideration as other holders of Claims in
 the same Class, whether or not such holder voted to accept the Plan. Moreover, upon
 Confirmation, the Plan will bind all Creditors regardless of whether or not such Creditors
 voted to accept the Plan.

23

<u>Classification of Claims and Equity Interests</u>

Section 1123 of the Bankruptcy Code provides that a plan of reorganization must classify Claims against a debtor. Under Section 1122 of the Bankruptcy Code, a plan must classify Claims into Classes that contain substantially similar Claims. The Plan divides the Claims of known Creditors into Classes and sets forth the treatment offered each Class. The Debtor believes it has classified all Claims in compliance with the provision of Section 1122 of the Bankruptcy Code, but it is possible that a Creditor may challenge such classification of Claims and that the Bankruptcy Court may find that a different classification is required for the Plan to be confirmed. If so, the Debtor intends, to the extent permitted by Bankruptcy Code and the provisions of the Plan, to amend or 23

Case 2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 23 of 33 revoke the Plan and file an amended or different Plan that would make modifications to the classification of Claims required by the Bankruptcy Court for confirmation.

2

3

4

5

6

1

The Classes under the Plan take into account the differing nature and priority of Claims against the Debtor. Section 101(5) of the Bankruptcy Code defines Claim as a right to payment, whether or not such right is reduced to judgment, liquidated, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured, disputed, undisputed, legal, equitable, secured or unsecured; or a right to an equitable remedy for breach of performance if such breach gives rise to a right to payment whether or not such right to an equitable remedy is reduced to judgment, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured, unmatured, unmatured, disputed, undisputed, secured or unsecured. A Claim against the Debtor also includes a Claim against the Debtor's property as provided in Section 102(2) of the Bankruptcy Code.

7

For the holder of a Claim to participate in a reorganization plan and receive the 8 treatment offered to the Class in which it is classified, its Claim must be Allowed. Under the Plan, an Allowed Claim is defined as a Claim: (a) proof of which, requests for 9 payment of which, or application for allowance of which, was filed or deemed filed on or before the Bar Date, Administrative Claim Bar Date, or the Professional Fee Bar Date, as 10 applicable, for filing proofs of claim or requests for payment of claims of such type against the Debtor; (b) if no proof of claim is filed, which has been or is ever listed by the 11 Debtor in the Schedules as liquidated in amount and not disputed or contingent; or c) a Claim that is allowed in any contract, instrument, indenture, or other agreement entered 12 into in connection with the Plan and, in any case, a Claim as to which no objection to its allowance has been interposed within the applicable period of limitation fixed by the Plan, 13 the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the Bankruptcy Court. Any Claim to which an Objection is filed is not an allowed claim until a court of competent jurisdiction 14 has entered a final, no-appealable order.

15 **Implementation of the Plan**

16

Since the Plan is being funded by ZHC as the Plan Sponsor, projections for ZHC's operations are attached as **Exhibit 3**.

17

18 Management of the Reorganized Debtor

Subject to the provisions of the Plan, and in accordance with Section 1123(b)(3)(B)
 of the Bankruptcy Code, David Zowine is the designated representative of the Reorganized Debtor. Subject to the provisions of the Plan, Mr. Zowine will have the power to take any and all such actions as are, in his judgment, necessary to fulfill the Debtors' obligations under the Plan.

22 Distributions

On the Distribution Date, or as soon thereafter as practical, the Debtor shall effect a
 Distribution to holders of Allowed Claims that, as of the date of the Distribution, have not
 otherwise been paid or satisfied in accordance with the Plan.

- 25 **Description of Other Provisions of the Plan**
- 26 Executory Contracts

The Debtors are not a party to any executory contracts. The bankruptcy Court will retain jurisdiction to resolve any disputes regarding executory contracts.

²⁸ **<u>Post-Effective Date Distributions</u>**

24

Distributions made after the Effective Date to holders of Claims that are not Allowed Claims as of the Effective Date, but which later become Allowed Claims, shall be deemed to have been made on the Effective Date. Notwithstanding any provision in any contract or other document that may relate to a Claim, all Distributions made pursuant to the Plan shall be made as if paid on the Initial Distribution Date, without the additional accrual of interest, fees or penalties.

Discharge

1

2

3

4

5

Except as provided in the Plan or the Confirmation Order, the rights afforded under 6 the Plan and the treatment of Claims under the Plan are in exchange for and in complete satisfaction, discharge, and release of, all Claims including any interest accrued on 7 Administrative Expense Priority Claims and General Unsecured Claims from the Petition Date. Except as provided in the Plan or the Confirmation Order, confirmation of the Plan: 8 (a) discharges the Debtor from all Claims or other debts that arose before the Confirmation Date, and all debts of the kind specified in Sections 502(g), 502(h) or 502(I) 9 of the Bankruptcy Code, whether or not: (i) a proof of claim based on such debt is filed or deemed filed under Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code; (ii) a Claim based on such debt 10 is Allowed under Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code; or (iii) the holder of a Claim based on such debt has accepted the Plan. 11

12 Injunction

Except as provided in the Plan or the Confirmation Order, as of the Confirmation 13 Date, all entities that have held, currently hold or may hold a Claim or Interest or other debt or liability that is discharged are permanently enjoined from taking any of the 14 following actions on account of any such discharged Claims, debts or liabilities: (a) commencing or continuing in any manner any action or other proceeding against the 15 Debtor (including any officer or director acting as a representative of the debtor) or property of the Debtor; (b) enforcing, attaching, collecting or recovering in any manner 16 any judgment, award, decree or order against the Debtor or property of the Debtor; (c) creating, perfecting, or enforcing any lien or encumbrance against the Debtor or 17 property of the Debtor, including; (d) asserting a setoff, right of subrogation or recoupment of any kind against any debt, liability, or obligation due to the Debtor; and 18 (e) commencing or continuing any action, in any manner, in any place, that does not comply with or is inconsistent with the provisions of the Plan or the Bankruptcy Code.

19 20

Preservation of Insurance

The Debtor's discharge and release from Claims as provided in the Plan, except as necessary to be consistent with the Plan, do not diminish or impair the enforceability of any insurance policy that may cover Claims against the Debtor or any other Person.

23 Section 1146 Exemption

In accordance with Section 1146(c) of the Bankruptcy Code: (a) the distribution, transfer, or exchange of Estate property; (b) the creation, modification, consolidation, or recording of any deed of trust or other security interest, the securing of additional indebtedness by such means or by other means in furtherance of, or connection with, the Plan or the Confirmation Order; (c) the making, assignment, modification, or recording of any lease or sublease; or (d) the making, delivery, or recording of a deed or Order, or any transaction contemplated above, or any transactions arising out of, contemplated by, or in any way related to, the foregoing shall not be subject to any document recording tax, stamp tax, conveyance fee, intangibles or similar tax, mortgage tax, stamp act or real

25

 estate transfer act, mortgage recording tax or other similar tax or governmental assessment and the appropriate state or local government officials or agents shall be directed to forego the collection of any such tax or assessment and to accept for filing or recordation any of the foregoing instruments or other documents without payment of any such tax or assessment.

4

Withholding and Reporting Requirements

5 In connection with the Plan and all instruments issued in connection with the Plan, the Debtor shall comply with all withholding and reporting requirements imposed by any 6 federal, state, local or foreign taxing authority, and all Distributions under the Plan remain subject to any such withholding and reporting requirements. The Debtor shall be 7 authorized to take all actions necessary to comply with such withholding and recording Notwithstanding any other provision of the Plan, each holder of an requirements. 8 Allowed Claim that has received a Distribution of Cash, shall have sole and exclusive responsibility for the satisfaction or payment of any tax obligation imposed by any governmental unit, including income, and other tax obligation on account of such 9 Distribution. For tax purposes, Distributions received in respect of Allowed Claims will 10 be allocated first to the principal amount of such Claims, with any excess allocated to unpaid accrued interest.

- 11
- 12

Full and Final Satisfaction and Penalties and Fines

In accordance with the Plan, all payments and all distributions are in full and final
 satisfaction, settlement, release, and discharge of all Claims and Equity Interests, except
 as otherwise provided in the Plan.

14

Except as expressly provided for in the Plan, no distribution shall be made under the Plan on account of, and no Allowed Claim (whether Secured, Unsecured, Priority or Administrative) shall include any find, penalty, or exemplary or punitive damages relating to or arising from any default or breach by the debtor, and any claim on account of such fine, penalty, or exemplary or punitive damages shall be deemed to be disallowed, whether or not an objection is filed to such Claim.

18 Impaired Classes to Vote

Each holder of a Claim in an impaired Class shall be entitled to vote separately to accept or reject the Plan unless such holder is deemed to reject the Plan.

21 Acceptance by Class of Creditors and Holders of Interest

An impaired Class of holders of Claims shall have accepted the Plan if the Plan is accepted by at least two-thirds in dollar amount and more than one-half in number of the Allowed Claims of such Class that have voted to accept or reject the Plan. A class of holders of Claims shall be deemed to accept the Plan in the event that no holder of a Claim within that Class submits a Ballot by the Voting Deadline.

25 Cramdown

If any impaired Class of Claims entitled to vote does not accept the Plan by the requisite statutory majorities provided in Section 1126(c) or 1126(d) of the Bankruptcy Code as applicable, or if any impaired Class is deemed to have rejected the Plan, the Debtor reserves the right to request that the Bankruptcy Court confirm the Plan under Section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and to amend the Plan, in accordance with the

26

Case 2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 26 of 33 applicable provisions of the Plan governing amendments or modifications, to the extent necessary to obtain entry of the Confirmation Order.

2

3

1

Disbursement of Funds

Any payment of Cash required to be made under the Plan will be made by check drawn on a domestic bank or by wire transfer from a domestic bank at the election of the Person making such payment. Any payment or distribution required to be made under the Plan on a day other than a Business Day will be made on the next succeeding Business Day, without interest.

6

7

8

From and after the Effective Date, the Debtor may litigate to Final Order, propose settlements of, or withdraw objections to, all pending or filed Disputed Claims or Litigation Claims and may settle or compromise any Disputed Claim or Litigation Claim without notice and a hearing and without approval of the Bankruptcy Court.

9 <u>Retention of Jurisdiction</u>

Notwithstanding the entry of the Confirmation Order and the occurrence of the Effective Date, the Bankruptcy Court retains broad jurisdiction over the Chapter 11 case after the Effective Date, to the extent legally permissible.

12Amendment of the Plan

13 At any time before the Confirmation Date, the Debtor may alter, amend, or modify the Plan under Section 1127(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provided that such alteration, 14 amendment, or modification does not materially or adversely affect the treatment and rights of holders of Claims or Interests under the Plan. After the Confirmation Date and 15 before substantial consummation of the Plan as defined in Section 1101(2) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtor may, under Section 1127(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, 16 institute proceedings in the Bankruptcy Court to remedy any defect or omission or reconcile any inconsistencies in the Plan, the Disclosure Statement, or the Confirmation 17 Order, and such matters as may be necessary to carry out the purposes and effects of the Plan so long as such proceedings do not materially and adversely affect the treatment of 18 holders of Allowed Claims under the Plan; provided, however, that prior notice of such proceedings shall be served in accordance with the Bankruptcy Rules or applicable order 19 of the Bankruptcy Court.

20 **Revocation or Withdrawal of the Plan**

0.1

The Debtor reserves the right to revoke or withdraw the Plan at any time before the Confirmation Date. If the Plan is withdrawn or revoked, then the Plan shall be deemed null and void and nothing contained in the Plan shall be deemed a waiver of any Claims by or against the Debtor or any other person in any further proceedings involving the Debtor or an admission of any sort, and the Plan and any transaction contemplated by the Plan shall not be admitted into evidence in any proceeding.

25 **Post-Confirmation Fees**

The Debtor will be responsible for the payment of any fees payable to the Office of the United States Trustee for the Debtor after Confirmation, consistent with applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rules, and 28 U.S.C. Section 1930(a)(6). The Debtor plans to seek an order closing the case as soon as it is substantially consummated, without the burden of ongoing fees assessed against all the Reorganized Debtor's expenditures.

27

Case 2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 27 of 33 The Debtor estimates that it will incur at least \$100,000 in attorneys' fees to implement the Plan, once it is confirmed. These fees would be incurred primarily to represent the debtor on any appeals as well as claims objections.

1

2

3	Conditions to Confirmation and Effective Date
4	<u>Conditions to Confirmation</u> . The following are conditions precedent to confirmation of the Plan:
5 6	• The Bankruptcy Court shall have entered a Final Order approving the Disclosure Statement with respect to the Plan;
7	•
8	• The Confirmation Order has been entered in form and substance reasonably acceptable to the Debtor, and contains specific provisions as set forth in the
9	Plan.
10	• Conditions to Effectiveness: The following are conditions precedent to the occurrence of the Effective Date:
11	
12	• The Confirmation Date has occurred;
13	• The Confirmation Order is a Final Order, except that the Debtor reserves the right to cause the Effective Date to occur
14	notwithstanding the pendency of an appeal of the
15	Confirmation Order, under circumstances that would render moot such an appeal;
16	
17	• No request for revocation of the Confirmation Order under Section 1144 of the Bankruptcy Code has been made, or, if made, remains pending;
18	
19	• The Bankruptcy Court, in the Confirmation Order, has approved the retention of jurisdiction provisions of the Plan;
20	and
21	• All documents necessary to implement the transactions
22	contemplated by the Plan are made in form and substance
23	reasonably acceptable to the Debtor and the Creditors' Committee.
24	
25	• <i>Waiver of Conditions</i> . The conditions to confirmation and the Effective Date may be waived in whole or in part by the
26	Debtor at any time without notice, an order of the Bankruptcy
27	Court, or any further action other than proceeding to confirmation and consummation of the Plan.
28	ACCEPTANCE AND CONFIRMATION OF THE PLAN 28
Case	2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 28 of 33

The following is a brief summary of the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code relevant to acceptance and confirmation of a plan of reorganization. Holders of Claims are encouraged to review the relevant provisions of the Bankruptcy Code with their own attorneys.

Acceptance of the Plan

This Disclosure Statement is provided in connection with the solicitation of acceptances of the Plan. The Bankruptcy Code defines acceptance of a plan of reorganization by a Class of Claims as acceptance by holders of at least two-thirds (2/3) in dollar amount, and more than one-half (1/2) in number, of the Allowed Claims of that Class that have actually voted or are deemed to have voted to accept or reject a plan. The Bankruptcy Code defines acceptance of a plan of reorganization by a Class of interests as accepted by at least two-thirds in amount of the allowed interests of that Class that have actually voted or are deemed to accept or reject a plan.

9 If one or more impaired Classes reject the Plan, the Debtor may, in its discretion, nevertheless seek confirmation of the Plan if the Debtor believes that the requirements of Section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code for Confirmation of the Plan (which are summarized below) will be met, despite the lack of acceptance by all Impaired Classes.

12 **Confirmation**

Confirmation Hearing

Section 1128(a) of the Bankruptcy Code requires the Bankruptcy Court, after
 notice, to hold a hearing on confirmation of a plan. Notice of such hearing is being
 provided to all known holders of Claims or Interests or their respective representatives
 along with this Disclosure Statement. The hearing may be adjourned from time to time by
 the Bankruptcy Court without further notice except for an announcement of the adjourned
 date made at such hearing or any subsequent adjournment thereof.

17

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Section 1128(b) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that any party-in-interest may object to confirmation of a plan. Any objection to confirmation of the Plan must be in writing, must conform with the Bankruptcy Rules and the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court, must set forth the name of the objecting party, the nature and amount of Claims or Equity Interests held or asserted by that party against the Debtor's Estate or property, and the specific basis for the objection. Such objection must be filed with the Bankruptcy Court, with a copy forwarded directly to the chambers of the Honorable Paul Sala, together with a proof of service, and served on all parties and by the date set forth on the notice of the confirmation hearing in accordance with the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court.

23

27

28

Statutory Requirements for Confirmation of the Plan

At the confirmation hearing, the Debtor will request the Bankruptcy Court determine that the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129 of the Bankruptcy Code. If the Bankruptcy Court so determines, the Bankruptcy Court will enter an order confirming the Plan. The applicable requirements of Section 1129 of the Bankruptcy Code are as follows:

• The Plan must comply with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code;

Case 2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 29 of 33

1 The Debtor must have complied with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code; 2 The Plan must have been proposed in good faith and not by any means 3 forbidden by law; 4 Any payment made or promised to be made by the Debtor under the Plan for 5 services or for costs and expenses in, or in connection with, the Chapter 11 Case, or in connection with the Plan, must have been disclosed to the 6 Bankruptcy Court, and any such payment made before Confirmation of the 7 Plan must be reasonable, or if such payment is to be fixed after Confirmation of the Plan, such payment must be subject to the approval of 8 the Bankruptcy as reasonable; 9 The Debtor must have disclosed the identity and affiliates of any individual 10 proposed to serve, after Confirmation of the Plan, as a director, officer, or voting trustee of the Debtors under the Plan. Moreover, the appointment to, 11 or continuance in, such office of such individual, must be consistent with the 12 interests of holders of Claims and with public policy, and the Debtor must have disclosed the identity of any insider that the Debtor will employ or 13 retain, and the nature of any compensation for such insider; 14 Best Interests of Creditors Test: With respect to each Class of Impaired 15 Claims, either each holder of a Claim of such Class must have accepted the Plan, or must receive or retain under the Plan on account of such Claim, 16 property of a value, as of the Effective Date of the Plan, that is not less than 17 the amount such holder would receive or retain if the Debtor was liquidated on such date under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code. In a Chapter 7 18 liquidation, creditors and interest holders of a debtor are paid from available 19 assets generally in the following order, with no lower Class receiving any payments until all amounts due to senior Classes have either been paid in 20 full or payment in full is provided for: (i) first to secured creditors (to the extent of the value of their collateral); (ii) next the Chapter 7 trustee's and 21 his attorney's fees and expenses, and other liquidation costs; (iii) next to 22 priority creditors; (iv) next to unsecured creditors; (v) next to debt expressly subordinated by its terms or by order of the Bankruptcy Court; and (vi) last 23 to holders of equity interests. The Debtor's best estimates of values of 24 assets and liabilities are set forth herein. The Debtor has attached a Liquidation Analysis as Exhibit 4 which it believes satisfies the best 25 Interests of Creditors test. 26 27 28 30 Case 2:16-bk-08963-PS Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Doc 144 Desc

Page 30 of 33

Main Document

1 2	• Each Class of Claims must have either accepted the Plan or not be Impaired under the Plan;
	• Except to the extent that the holder of a particular Claim has
3 4	• Except to the extent that the holder of a particular Claim has agreed to a different treatment of such Claim, the Plan provides that Allowed Administrative and Priority Claims (other than
5	Allowed Priority Tax Claims) will be paid in full on the Effective
6	Date and that Allowed Priority Tax Claims will receive on account of such Claim's deferred Cash payment, over a period not
7	exceeding six years after the date of assessment of such Claim, of a value, as of the Effective Date, equal to the Allowed amount of
8	such Claim; and
9 10	• At least one Impaired Class of Claim must have accepted the Plan, determined without including any acceptance of the Plan by any incider holding a Claim of such Class
11	the Plan by any insider holding a Claim of such Class.
12	Confirmation Without Acceptance by All Impaired Claims
13	Section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code allows a Bankruptcy Court to confirm a plan, even if such plan has not been accepted by all impaired Classes entitled to vote on such plan, provided that such plan has been accepted by at least one Impaired Class. If
14	any Impaired Classes reject or are deemed to have rejected the Plan, the Debtor reserves
15	its right to seek the application of the requirements set forth in Section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code for Confirmation of the Plan despite the lack of acceptance by all Impaired Classes.
16	Section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that notwithstanding the failure
17	of an Impaired Class to accept a plan of reorganization, the plan must be confirmed, on request of the plan proponent (in a procedure commonly known as <u>Cramdown</u>), so long
18	as the plan does not discriminate unfairly and is fair and equitable with respect to each Class of Impaired Claims or Interests that has not accepted the plan.
19	The condition that a plan by fair and equitable with respect to a rejecting Class of
20	Secured Claims includes the requirements that (a) the holders of such Secured Claims retain the liens securing such Claims to the extent of the allowed amount of the Claims,
21	whether the property subject to the liens is retained by the debtor or transferred to another entity under the plan, and (b) each holder of a Secured Claim in the Class receives
22	deferred cash payments totaling at least the allowed amount of such Claim with a present value, as of the effective date of the plan, at least equivalent to the value of the secured
23	claimant's interest in the debtor's property subject to the liens.
24	The condition that a plan be fair and equitable with respect to a rejecting Class of Unsecured Claims or a rejecting Class of Interests includes the requirement that either
25	(a) such Class receive or retain under the plan property of a value as of the effective date of the plan equal to the allowed amount of such Claim or Interest, as the case may be, or
26	(b) if the Class does not receive such amount, no Class junior to the non-accepting Class
27	will receive a payment distribution under the plan.
28	
	31
Case	2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 31 of 33

1	CERTAIN INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES
2	SUBSTANTIAL UNCERTAINTY EXISTS WITH RESPECT TO THE TAX
3	CONSEQUENCES OF THE PLAN. NO RULINGS HAVE BEEN REQUESTED FROM THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE TAX ASPECTS OF THE PLAN. THE TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE
4	THE TAX ASPECTS OF THE PLAN. THE TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE PLAN ARE COMPLEX AND, IN MANY AREAS, UNCERTAIN. THEREFORE,
5	EACH HOLDER OF A CLAIM IS STRONGLY URGED TO CONSULT HIS OWN TAX ADVISOR REGARDING SUCH FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL AND OTHER TAX CONSEQUENCES.
6	RISK FACTORS
7	In this section, the Debtor has attempted to identify the potential material risks of
8 9	the Plan. CREDITORS SHOULD CONSIDER CAREFULLY THE FOLLOWING FACTORS, IN ADDITION TO THE OTHER INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT, BEFORE SUBMITTING A VOTE TO
10	ACCEPT OR REJECT THE PLAN.
10	Fluctuations in the Value of Debtor's Assets
11	The current value assigned to the Debtor's assets is uncertain, may not remain
12	constant, and may decline over time due to a variety of factors including a downturn in the general economy of the United States or the economics of the potential customers of the
13	Debtor. A disruption or continued downturn in the economy could make it more difficult, or impossible, for the Debtor's product to be sold at a favorable price. In addition, the
15	projections on which these valuations are based could also prove to be incorrect. It is important to remember that the value assigned to a business is in many cases difficult to predict and involve uncertainty.
16	Risk of Non-Confirmation of the Plan
17	Although the Debtor believes the Plan will satisfy all requirements necessary for
18	confirmation by the Court, there can be no assurance that the Court will reach the same conclusion. Amendments to the Plan may also be required by the Court for confirmation,
19	and these amendments could adversely affect the Creditors' rights to receive distributions under the Plan. Any amendment may also necessitate the re-solicitation of votes. If the
20	Plan is not confirmed, a fire sale (i.e., immediate liquidation) of the Debtor's assets may occur. While a fire sale of the Debtor's assets would likely yield less than the value of the
21	assets in accordance with the Plan, the range of estimated recoveries in either case is subject to variation based upon market conditions and other factors that are beyond the
22	Debtor's control.
23	ALTERNATIVES TO THE PLAN
24	If the Plan is not timely confirmed, the most likely alternative is either (1) a sale of the debtor's assets, or (2) a Chapter 7 liquidation proceeding. A sale is fraught with a
25	multitude of issues, such as the lease of where the debtor currently conducts its operations, and the lease of a substantial amount of the debtor's equipment. In a Chapter 7
26	liquidation proceeding, a Chapter 7 trustee would be appointed by the Bankruptcy Court to oversee the liquidation of the Debtor's assets. Such trustee would be entitled to retain a
27	new set of professionals, including lawyers and accountants, to review and analyze all of the Claims and the Debtor's assets. In addition, the Chapter 7 trustee would be entitled to
28	request a fee equal to 3% of all distributions made to the Creditors. The Debtor believes that the conversion to a Chapter 7 liquidation proceeding and the appointment of a new 32
Case	2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 32 of 33

1	trustee and new estate professionals would substantially increase professional fees and result in further delays and a reduction in distributions.
2 3	The Debtor has explored various alternative scenarios, including the scenarios described above, and believes the Plan enables the holders of Claims to realize the
4	maximum recovery under the circumstances. The Debtor believes the Plan is the best plan that can be proposed and serve the best interests of the Debtor and other parties-in- interest.
5	RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION
6	
7	The Debtor has analyzed different scenarios and believes the Plan will provide the best opportunity for the Debtor to reorganize its financial affairs and provide a full
8	payment to creditors. Any alternative other than confirmation of the Plan could result in extensive delays and increased administrative expenses resulting in potentially less successful emergence from bankruptcy and ultimately liquidation. Accordingly, the
9 10	Debtor recommends confirmation of the Plan and urges all holders of Impaired Claims to vote to accept the Plan and to indicate acceptance by returning their Ballots so as to be received by no later than the Voting Deadline.
11	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 2 nd day of December, 2016.
12	
12	/s/ <u>David Zowine</u> David Zowine
13	/s/ Karina Zowine
14	Karina Zowine
15	
10	COPY of the foregoing served by electronic
	mail this 2 nd day of December, 2016 to:
18	Office of the United States Trustee
19 20	230 North First Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85003
20	Thounk, Alizona 65005
21	/s/ Sharon D. Kirby
22	<u>-Bronaron D. Hiroy</u>
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	22
	33
Case	2:16-bk-08963-PS Doc 144 Filed 12/02/16 Entered 12/02/16 13:03:49 Desc Main Document Page 33 of 33