```
1 ||48
   TRUDI G. MANFREDO, Bar No. 166474
   THE LAW OFFICE OF TRUDI G. MANFREDO
   377 W. Fallbrook Ave., Ste. 102
 3 Fresno, California 93711
   Telephone: (559) 242-5577
  Facsimile: (559) 513-8148
  Attorney for RANDELL PARKER
   Chapter 11 Trustee
 6
7
 8
                  UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
9
                  EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
                          FRESNO DIVISION
11
   In the Matter of
                                   Case No. 15-12827-A-11
12
                                   Chapter 11
13
   BLUEGREENPISTA
                                   DC#: TGM-33
14
  ENTERPRISES, INC.,
                                          March 8, 2018
                                   Date:
15
                                   Time:
                                          1:30 p.m.
                 Debtor(s).
                                   Dept.: A, Courtroom 11,
16
                                   Fresno
                                   Honorable Fredrick E. Clement
17
           CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE'S DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
                          JANUARY 25, 2018
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	ARTICLE I. INTRODUCTION
3	
4	1.1 Introduction
5	1.4 Voting Instructions5
6	1.4.1 Claims for Plan Voting Purposes
7	1.4.3 Voting Procedures6 1.4.4 Withdrawal of Vote on the Plan6
8	1.4.5 Balloting Agent, Questions and Requests for Ballots7
10	1.5 Confirmation7
11	1.5.1 Votes considered in Determining Acceptance of the Plan10
12	ARTICLE II. <u>BACKGROUND AND EVENTS PRECEDING THE CHAPTER 11 CASE</u> .11
13	2.1 Background11
14	2.2 Events Preceding the Bankruptcy Filing
15	2.4 Debtor's Liabilities16
16	2.4.1 Administrative expenses
17	2.4.3 Romance
18	2.4.5 Paramount/CalPure18 2.4.6 Kern County Treasurer Tax Collector18
19	2.4.7 Other Alleged Secured Claims
20	2.4.9 Priority Non Tax Claims
21	ARTICLE III. SIGNIFICANT EVENTS DURING THE CHAPTER 11 CASE20
22	3.1 Commencement of the Chapter 11 Case
23	3.3 Administrative and Operational Motions and Events22
24	3.3.1 Crop Loan/Use of Cash Collateral22
25	3.3.2 Leases and Executory Contracts
26	3.3.5 Other Motions
27	3.3.7 Monthly Operating Reports
28	J.J.O CIAIMS DAI DALES

i

1	ARTICLE IV	DESCRIPTION OF PLAN
2	4.1	Classification of Claims and Interests26
3	ARTICLE V.	TREATMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES, U.S. TRUSTEE FEES, AND PRIORITY TAX CLAIMS27
5	5.1 5.2	Unclassified Claims
6	5.3 5.4	United States Trustee Fees
7	ARTICLE VI	TREATMENT OF CLAIMS AND INTERESTS28
8 9	6.1	Class 1 - State Bank of India (California)28 6.1.1 Impairment and Voting28 6.1.2 Treatment28
10 11	6.2	Class 2 - Claim of CalPure
12	6.3	Class 3 - Claim of Kern Co. Treasurer Tax Collector28 6.3.1 Impairment and Voting28
13 14	6.4	Class 4 - Claim of Romance
15 16	6.5	Class 5 - Claim of Kaur
17 18	6.6	Class 6 - Priority Tax Claims
19 20	6.7	Class 7 - Priority Non-Tax Claims
21 22	6.8	Class 8 - General Unsecured Claims
23	6.9	Class 9 - Interests
24		6.9.2 Treatment32
25		Nonconsensual confirmation
	ARTICLE V	
	ARTICLE V	III. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PLAN
28	8.1 -	implementation

1 2	8.2 Disbursements
3	ARTICLE IX. <u>EFFECTS OF CONFIRMATION</u>
4 5	9.1 Binding Effect34 9.2 Discharge34 9.3 Limitation of Liability34
6	9.4 Exculpation
7	ARTICLE X. <u>MISCELLANEOUS</u> 36
8	10.1 Governing Law36 10.2 Provisions Enforceable36
9	ARTICLE XI. RISK FACTORS36
10	11.1 The Plan Projections are Base on Estimates and Some Assumptions36
11	ARTICLE XII. REQUIREMENTS CONFIRMATION
12	12.1 Good Faith Compliance with the Law
13	12.2 Best Interests
14	12.4 Confirmation of the Plan without Acceptance by Impaired Classes
15	12.5 Feasiblity40
	ARTICLE XIII. <u>LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS</u> 40
	ARTICLE XIV. CONCLUSION43
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	iii

Randell Parker, Chapter 11 Trustee of the above-referenced Chapter 11 case ("Trustee" or "Proponent"), has filed a Chapter 11 3 Liquidating Plan ("Plan") and submits this Disclosure Statement in 4 support of the Plan.

All Creditors and other parties-in-interest should refer to the 6 Disclosure Statement for a discussion of the Debtor's history, 7 business, property, results of operations and events leading up to the proposed Plan, as well as and analysis of the Plan and related 9 matters.

definitions contained in Bankruptcy Code the 11 |incorporated in the Disclosure State and definitions set forth in 12 the Plan will apply to capitalize terms used in this Disclosure 13 Statement not otherwise defined.

ARTICLE I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

5

10

14

15

16

17

20

25

At the time of the filing of the bankruptcy petition, Debtor 18 owned and operated a 152 acre pistachio farm in Kern County, 19 California.

Trustee's Chapter 11 Plan is a liquidating plan. 21 Disclosure Statement describes the Plan, which is being filed and 22 served concurrently herewith, and provides other adequate 23 |information, including (without limitation) information on voting 24 procedure and important disclosures.

The Plan proposes to pay all creditors with Allowed Claims in 26 |full within ninety (90) days of the Effective Date of the Plan from 27 the 2015 and 2016 pistachio Crop Proceeds. Allowed general unsecured claims will receive a cash payment in full and will

1 receive interest at the federal judgment rate. The Plan also provides for payment of administrative claims (except for professional fees) and taxes in full on the Effective Date of the The Debtor will not receive a discharge. Plan. The Debtor's interests shall be extinguished by the Plan. At the time of the filing of this Disclosure Statement, there are no outstanding taxes; any taxes that become due and owing will be paid in full within ninety (90) days of the Effective Date.

will be remaining funds after payment all 10 administrative claims, tax claims and general unsecured claims. 11 There was a dispute as to ownership and lien interests in the Crop 12 Proceeds between Chapter 11 Trustee, Randeep Kaur and Balraj Singh, Co-Executors of the Estate of Amerjeet Kaur ("Kaur") (Randeep 14 | Dhillon's deceased mother) and Joseph P. and Pamela D. Romance 15 ||("Romance"). This remaining balance will be distributed to Romance 16 and Kaur to be deposited into the Walter Wilhelm Attorney Trust Account pursuant to a Bankruptcy Court approved settlement between 18 the parties.

1.2 <u>Disclaimers</u>

19

20

23

24

FOR CONVENIENCE OF CREDITORS, THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT 21 ||SUMMARIZES THE TERMS OF THE PLAN, BUT IF ANY INCONSISTENCY EXISTS BETWEEN THE PLAN AND THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT, THE TERMS OF THE PLAN ARE CONTROLLING.

NO REPRESENTATIONS CONCERNING THE DEBTOR'S FINANCIAL CONDITION OR ANY ASPECT OF THE PLAN ARE AUTHORIZED BY THE BANKRUPTCY COURT, 26 ||DEBTOR OR TRUSTEE OTHER THAN AS SET FORTH IN THIS DISCLOSURE 27 STATEMENT. ANY REPRESENTATIONS OR INDUCEMENTS MADE TO SECURE YOUR ACCEPTANCE THAT ARE OTHER THAN AS CONTAINED IN OR INCLUDED WITH THIS

3

16

20

27

1 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT SHOULD NOT BE RELIED UPON BY YOU IN ARRIVING AT YOUR DECISION.

THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT IS CURRENT AS OF THE DATE SET FORTH 4 IN ITS TITLE. THE DELIVERY OF THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT WILL NOT, UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES, CREATE ANY IMPLICATION THAT THE INFORMATION IN THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT IS CORRECT AS OF ANY TIME AFTER THE 7 DATE IN ITS TITLE, OR THAT THERE HAS BEEN NO CHANGE IN THE AFFAIRS OF THE DEBTOR AS OF SUCH LATER DATE.

ALL PROFESSIONALS FOR THE TRUSTEE HAVE RELIED UPON INFORMATION 10 PROVIDED BY THE DEBTOR IN CONNECTION WITH THE PREPARATION OF THIS 11 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT. ALTHOUGH PROFESSIONALS FOR THE TRUSTEE HAVE 12 PERFORMED CERTAIN LIMITED DUE DILIGENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE 13 PREPARATION OF THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT, THE PROFESSIONALS HAVE NOT 14 INDEPENDENTLY VERIFIED ALL OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN OR 15 NATTACHED TO THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.

THE CONTENTS OF THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT SHOULD NOT BE 17 CONSTRUED AS LEGAL, BUSINESS OR TAX ADVICE. CREDITORS SHOULD 18 CONSULT THEIR OWN LEGAL COUNSEL AND ACCOUNTANTS AS TO THE LEGAL, TAX 19 AND OTHER MATTERS CONCERNING THE PLAN.

This Disclosure Statement may not be relied on for any purpose 21 other than to determine whether to accept or reject the Plan, and 22 nothing stated therein will constitute an admission of any fact or 23 liability of any party, or be admissible in any proceeding involving 24 the Trustee or any other party, or be deemed conclusive evidence of 25 the tax or other legal effects of the Plan on the Debtor or 26 Creditors.

Summaries of certain provisions of agreements referenced in 28 this Disclosure Statement do not purport to be complete and are 1 subject to, and are qualified in their entirety by reference to the 2 full text of applicable agreement, including the definitions of 3 terms contained I the agreement.

1.3 Overview of the Chapter 11 Plan

4

5

The following Chart describes that treatment of Claims under 6 the Plan:

7	CLASS NO	. DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED AMOUNT OR	ESTIMATED/PROJECTED PAYMENT/TREATMENT		
8			VALUE OF ALLOWED	PAINENI/IREAINENI		
9			CLAIMS			
10	CLASS 1		-0-	UNIMPAIRED, NOT ENTITLED TO		
11	BANK OF INDIA, 1 (CALIFORNIA)			VOTE		
12	CLASS 2	CAL PURE	-0-	UNIMPAIRED, NOT ENTITLED TO VOTE		
13	CLASS 3	KERN COUNTY	0			
14	CLASS 3	TREASURER TAX	-0-	UNIMPAIRED, NOT ENTITLED TO VOTE. Paid in full through foreclosure of real property.		
15		COLLECTOR				
16	CLASS 4	ROMANCE*	Unknown	IMPAIRED, ENTITLED TO VOTE. To be paid as set forth in		
17				Bankruptcy Court approved compromise		
18	_			-		
19	CLASS 5	KAUR*	Unknown	IMPAIRED, ENTITLED TO VOTE. To be paid as set forth in		
20)			Bankruptcy Court approved compromise		
21	CLASS 6	PRIORITY TAX	-0-	UNIMPAIRED, NOT ENTITLED TO		
22		CLAIMS		VOTE. Paid as required by law. No unpaid tax claims as of the date of this Disclosure Statement.		
23						
24	CLASS 7	PRIORITY NON	-0-	UNIMPAIRED, NOT ENTITLED TO		
25		TAX CLAIMS		VOTE		
26	CLASS 8	GENERAL UNSECURED	\$23,237.87	IMPAIRED, ENTITLED TO VOTE. These claims will be paid		
27				in full with interest at the federal judgment rate.		
28	*The Court approved settlement between Romance, Kaur and Trustee					

1 provides that these parties will receive a cash payment of all funds remaining in the estate after payment of all administrative, 3 priority and general unsecured Allowed Claims. These funds shall be deposited into the attorney client trust account of Walter Wilhelm who will distribute these proceeds pursuant to stipulation, without further order of the Court.

This is a summary of treatment of the listed claims. should be reviewed to determine the exact treatment of such claims. The aggregate figures provided herein are estimates only and subject 10 to all qualifications and disclaimers described above.

1.4 Voting Instructions

7

11

12

15

16

23

24

The Disclosure Statement Order will set forth deadlines for voting to accept or reject the Plan and for filing objections to Confirmation of the Plan.

1.4.1 Claims for Plan Voting Purposes.

For Plan voting purposes, any claim not disputed by Trustee or 17 not disputed in the bankruptcy schedules or to which the Trustee or 18 another party in interest has filed an objection to the Proof of 19 Claim which has been sustained, will be allowed in the amount 20 ||included in the Proof of Claim or Schedules. However, nothing contained in the Disclosure statement will be deemed a determination 22 of the amount or allowance of any claim.

1.4.2 How to Vote

A ballot is enclosed herewith for Creditors to use in voting on To vote for the Plan, indicate on the enclosed ballot accept or reject the Plan, provide the requested 27 information, sign your name, and mail the ballot in the envelope 28 provided for that purpose.

1 | In order to be counted, ballots must be completed, signed and returned so that they are actually received no later than 5:00 p.m. prevailing Pacific Time on the date set forth in the Disclosure Statement Order by counsel for the Chapter 11 Trustee at the following address:

> Trudi G. Manfredo Law Office of Trudi G. Manfredo 377 W. Fallbrook Avenue, Suite 102 Fresno, California 93711 Telephone: (559)242-5577 Facsimile: (559)513-8148

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

21

24

26

27

To be counted for purposes of voting on the Plan, all of the 11 |information requested on the ballot must be provided. 12 | ballot is not properly completed, signed and returned as described 13 by the voting deadlines, it will not be counted. If your ballot is 14 damaged or lost, you may request a replacement by sending a written 15 request to the same address.

1.4.3 Voting Procedures

All votes to accept or reject the Plan must be cast by using 18 the ballot. Votes that are cast in any other manner will not be 19 counted. Ballots must be received no later than 5:00 p.m. Pacific 20 Time on the date set forth in the Disclosure Statement Order.

Parties who elect to vote on the Plan should complete and sign 22 the ballot in accordance with the instructions thereon, being sure 23 to check the appropriate box entitled "Accept the Plan" or "Reject the Plan." Parties should carefully review the voting procedures 25 set forth here and in the ballot.

1.4.4 Withdrawal of Vote on the Plan

A properly submitted ballot may be withdrawn by delivering a 28 written notice of withdrawal to Trustee's Bankruptcy Counsel at the 1 address set forth on the ballot at any time prior to the voting Thereafter, withdrawal may be effected only with the approval of the Bankruptcy Court, under Bankruptcy Rule 3018(a).

To be valid, a notice of withdrawal must (a) specify the name of the holder who submitted the vote on the Plan to be withdrawn, (b) contain the description of the Claim to which it relates and the 7 amount of such Claim, and (c) be signed by the holder in the same manner as on the ballot. The Trustee reserves the right to contest the timeliness or validity of any such withdrawals of votes in the 10 Plan.

In addition to the withdrawal as specified above, any holder 12 who has previously submitted a properly completed ballot prior to 13 the voting deadline may revoke and change such vote by submitting to 14 the Trustee's counsel prior to the voting deadline a subsequent 15 properly completed ballot for acceptance or rejection of the Plan. 16 |In the case where more than one timely, properly completed ballot is 17 received, only the ballot that bears the latest date will be counted 18 for purposes of determining whether acceptance sufficient to seek 19 confirmation of the Plan has been received.

1.4.5 Balloting Agent, Questions and Requests for Ballots

Trustee's Counsel, Trudi G. Manfredo, shall serve as balloting 22 agent for the Plan. Questions, requests for assistance, and 23 requests for additional copies of this Disclosure Statement or 24 ballots should be directed to Trustee's Counsel at the address set 25 forth on the ballots (which is the same address as set forth herein 26 above.

27 ///

11

20

21

28 ///

Confirmation 1.5

10

17

23

24

27

28

Plan Confirmation is the technical term for the Bankruptcy 3 Court's approval of the Chapter 11 Plan. At the Confirmation Hearing, in order to confirm the Plan, the Trustee must demonstrate that it has met the requirements of 11 U.S.C. § 1129 of the Bankruptcy Code. If the Bankruptcy Court determines that all of the requirements have been satisfied, the Court will enter an order confirming the Plan. Trustee believes that the Plan satisfies all the statutory requirements of Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

Your vote on the Plan is important. As of the date of the 11 filing of this bankruptcy case, Debtor was a farmer. 12 reason, the case cannot be converted to a case under Chapter 7 13 unless Debtor consents. The only other alternative would be 14 dismissal which would create uncertainty not evident under the Plan. 15 Therefore the Trustee urges you to accept the Plan by completing and 16 returning the enclosed ballot.

Voting is tabulated by Class. Each creditor who holds a Claim 18 which is included in an "Impaired Class" as that term is defined in 19 \parallel 11 U.S.C. § 1124 is entitled to vote for or against the Plan. An "Impaired Class" under the Code generally means a class of Claimants whose claims or interests, under a plan, will be modified in terms of principal, interest, length of time for payment, or a combination of the above.

Under 11 U.S.C. § 1126(f) unimpaired classes are deemed to have accepted the Plan. Accordingly, Trustee is not required to solicit 26 |votes from such unimpaired classes with respect to the acceptance or rejection of the Plan.

8

If a Class of Claims entitled to vote on the Plan rejects

1 the Plan, Trustee reserves the right to amend the Plan or request Confirmation of the Plan under 11 U.S.C. §1129(b) or both. 3 1129(b) permits confirmation of a plan notwithstanding nonacceptance of the plan by one or more impaired classes of claims 5 or equity interests. Under the statute, a plan may be confirmed by a Bankruptcy Court if it does not "discriminate unfairly" and is "fair and equitable" with respect to each non accepting class. The Court may confirm the Plan if it finds the Plan affords fair and 9 equitable treatment to the class rejecting it, if the requisite 10 acceptances are not obtained.

At the hearing on Plan confirmation which will be set by the 12 Bankruptcy Court, the Court will determine whether the Plan has been 13 accepted by the requisite number of Creditors and whether the other 14 requirements for Confirmation of the Plan have been satisfied. 15 Confirmation Hearing may be continued from time to time and day to 16 day without further notice. If the Bankruptcy Court confirms the 17 Plan, it will enter the Confirmation Order. Any objections to 18 Confirmation of the Plan must be in writing and must be filed with 19 the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court and served on counsel for the Trustee and the Office of the United States Trustee on or before the date set forth in the notice of the Confirmation Hearing sent to you 22 |with this Disclosure Statement and the Plan. Bankruptcy Rule 3007 and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3018-1 govern the form of any objection.

The parties on whom objections must be served are:

Counsel for Chapter 11 Trustee Trudi G. Manfredo Law Office of Trudi G. Manfredo 377 W. Fallbrook, Suite 102 Fresno, California 93711 Telephone: (559) 242-5577 Facsimile: (559) 513-8148

11

23

24

25

26

27

28

Office of the United States Trustee: Office of the Untied States Trustee Attn: Gregory Powell, Esq. 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 1401 Fresno, California 93721 Telephone: (559) 487-5002 Facsimile: (559) 487-5030

1

2

3

4

5

6

13

15

18

24

1.5.1 Votes Considered in Determining Acceptance of Plan

When acceptance of the Plan is determined by the Bankruptcy Court under 11 U.S.C. § 1126 and Rule 3018 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, votes of Creditors will only be counted if submitted by Creditors with Allowed Claims who are members of 10 impaired classes. However, in determining whether an impaired class has accepted the Plan, votes of insiders are not considered pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(10) for purposes of confirmation.

If you are in any way uncertain if or how your Claim has been 14 scheduled, you should review the Debtor's schedules and any amendments thereto which are on file with the Clerk's Office of the United States Bankruptcy Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 2501, 17 Fresno, California 93721.

The Bankruptcy Court set a claims bar date for governmental units of January 14, 2016 and for all other claims (except for holders of Claims that appear in the Schedules and are **not** scheduled as disputed, contingent or unliquidated) of November 25, 2015. date fixed for filing administrative claims other than Professional Fees was October 19, 2016.

Pursuant to Rule 3018 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, an equity security holder or creditor whose claim is 26 based on a security of record shall not be entitled to accept or 27 reject a plan unless the equity security holder or creditor is the holder of record of the security on the date the order approving the 1 disclosure statement is entered or on another date fixed by the court, for cause, after notice and a hearing.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

15

16

22

23

ARTICLE II

BACKGROUND AND EVENTS PRECEDING THE CHAPTER 11 CASE

The following is an overview of the historical business of Debtor, and events leading up to the filing of the Chapter 11 Case on July 28, 2015.

Background 2.1

Α. Creation

At the time of the filing of the bankruptcy case, Debtor was an 12 active, closely held California Corporation in good standing with 13 the California Secretary of State which was formed on August 28, 14 2009.

В. General Description of Business Operations

The main asset of this estate was approximately 152 acres of 17 pistachios located at 8027 Martin Avenue, Bakersfield, (Assessor's Parcel Nos: 104-030-10 and 104-030-10-11, also known as 19 | 7th Standard and Martin) ("Pistachio Farm"), more particularly described on **Exhibit 1** attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference which Debtor farmed.

Equity Structure C.

According to the List of Equity Security Holders filed as Doc. 24 | 15 on August 1, 2015, and Debtor's Statement of Affairs, Pinder Singh owns 100% of the stock of the Debtor. The shares were 26 previously owned by Randeep Dhillon and his spouse Kamalpreet K. 27 ||Sidhu ("Dhillon"). Dhillon purportedly transferred the shares to 28 Pinder Singh on April 14, 2012.

On February 8, 2017, Trustee received a wire transfer from Cal 2 Pure, in the amount of \$559,937.98 representing a growers bonus for 3 the 2015 crop year.

Shortly thereafter, Randeep Kaur and Balraj Singh, Co-Executors of the Estate of Amerieet Kaur ("Kaur") (The estate of Randeep 6 Dhillon's deceased mother) asserted that it, rather than Pinder Singh, owned 100% of the shares of the Debtor. On May 9, 2017, Pinder Singh signed a declaration which was filed with the 9 Bankruptcy Court as Docket No. 595 on May 10, 2017 declaring that 10 his stock purchase agreement with Dhillon was null and void, and 11 supporting Kaur's claim that the stock belonged to it. Kaur also 12 claimed a lien interest in the proceeds held by the Chapter 7 13 Trustee. Trustee settled the ownership and lien issue with Kaur and 14 an order authorizing this compromise was approved by the Court on January 12, 2018. Docket No. 772.

Executive Officers D.

16

17

20

21

23

24

25

27

28

As of the petition date, according to Debtor's Schedules and 18 Statement of Affairs, Pinder Singh is the President, Vice President 19 and Secretary of the Debtor.

E. Source of Crop Financing

the crop years 2014 and 2015, Debtor obtained crop 22 financing through CalPure Produce, Inc., fka CalPure Pistachios, Inc., fka Paramount Farms ("Cal Pure").

F. Secured Creditors

Debtor's primary secured creditors on the Petition Date were as 26 discussed below.

G. Priority Claims

There are no wage claims listed on Debtor's schedules.

1 Franchise Tax Board has filed a Claim No. 1 for \$822.02. This claim has been paid in full.

Η. Unsecured Claims.

3

4

10

11

14

18

23

24

25

Allowed unsecured claims consist of Claim No. 9, filed by Farm 5 Pump and Irrigation Co. Inc. in the amount of \$2,542.30, and Claim No. 10 filed by Thomas Ferrari, dba Pollen Bank, in the amount of 7 \$20,695.57. All other unsecured claims have been paid in full, are listed as disputed, or the Chapter 11 Trustee has filed an objection and his objection has been sustained.

2.2 Events Preceding the Bankruptcy Filing

On October 13, 2006, Dhillon purchased the Pistachio Farm from 12 R&M Kent Family Ltd. Partnership, a Texas limited partnership 13 ("Kent").

Financing for the purchase of the Pistacho Farm by Dhillon was 15 provided by a note secured by a first deed of trust in favor of 16 California State Bank of India ("SBOI") and a second deed of trust 17 in favor of the Kents.

On November 28, 2007, Dhillon refinanced with Bank of India. 19 This refinanced note paid the note secured by a first deed of trust to Bank of India in full, and a portion of the note secured by the second deed of trust by the Kents was paid. No subordination of the Kent note to the refinanced note of Bank of India was recorded.

On May 26, 2011, Dhillon transferred the Pistachio Farm to the Debtor.

On August 12, 2008, Dhillon received a second loan from SBOI 26 ||for \$1,000,000 secured by a fourth deed of trust on the Pistachio The proceeds of this note were used by one of Dhillon's Entities.

On July 5, 2011, Dhillon and his spouse Kamalpreet Sidhu filed 2 for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, Case No. 11-17650 (not the present case). They listed 45% ownership of the Debtor. Bluegreenpista Enterprises, Inc. was listed as a dba of Dhillon, but the property was not listed as an asset. This case was dismissed on May 4, 2012, with a one year bar to further filings.

On April 14, 2012, Dhillon and his spouse transferred their 500 shares in the Debtor to Pinder Singh. On August 31, 2017, 450 more shares were transferred.

On April 18, 2014, Joseph P. Romance and Pamela D. Romance ("Romance") purchased the Kent notes.

In 2014, state court litigation commenced between Bank of India 13 and Romance to determine which creditor was in first position with 14 regard to the Pistachio Farm.

Dhillon defaulted on the Bank of India and Kent notes, and a 16 sale date for the Farm Property was scheduled. Dhillon commenced litigation in an attempt to stop the foreclosure. This attempt failed, and this Chapter 11 case was filed on July 18, 2015 to stop the sale of the Farm Property.

Debtor encountered stiff opposition from the secured creditors and the United States Trustee, and Debtor eventually stipulated with 22 the U.S. Trustee for the appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee.

On December 29, 2015, by order of this Court, Randell Parker was appointed Chapter 11 Trustee, and he has been overseeing the continuing operations of the Debtor.

26 1///

7

10

11

12

15

17

20

23

25

27 1///

28 ///

2.3 Debtor's Assets

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

26

27

The Debtor scheduled the following described assets with the below values:

REAL PROPERTY	<u>LIENS</u>	VAL	<u>UE</u>
Pistachio Farm	\$7,400,000	\$8,	000,000
PERSONAL PROPERTY Bank Account PG&E security deposit Rain & Hail Insurance cl Lawsuit vs. State Bank o 2015 crop on trees Ag chemicals NH Tractor, Case Tractor blower, quad, water filt small items	f India , shredder, mower	\$ \$ Unl \$1, \$	UE 41,246 9,800 500,000 iquidated 800,000 2,200 60,000
	TOTAL:	\$10	,413,246

Changes in Assets Since Commencement of Case

Real property

Romance purchased the note secured by a first deed of trust 15 from SBOI and foreclosed on the real property. After the 16 foreclosure, there was a deficiency.

Personal Property

Bank Account

Dhillon or his entities received these funds without benefit of 20 a court order. The bank statements provided by the Debtor to the 21 U.S. Trustee and attached to monthly operating reports did not match 22 the statements that the Trustee received directly from Debtor's Upon appointment of the Chapter 11 Trustee, he closed the 24 Debtor in Possession account and transferred the balance of the 25 funds to a Chapter 11 estate account.

PG&E Deposit

Prior to the appointment of a trustee, Debtor did not remain 28 current on his PG&E obligation. PG&E applied this deposit to the 1 outstanding obligation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

21

22

25

26

Rain & Hail 2014 Crop Insurance

Trustee's investigations revealed that the insurance premium had not been paid, so there was no crop insurance.

4. 2015 Crop Proceeds

This asset was overvalued. Trustee initially received a portion of the 2015 crop proceeds and used these proceeds to pay administrative claims and adequate protection payments pursuant to a court order. Trustee is currently holding \$555,056.17 of the 2015 crop proceeds.

- 5. Dhillon's Lawsuit vs. State Bank of India and Romance Trustee determined that this lawsuit had no merit.
- 6. Ag Chemicals

the time the Trustee was appointed, there no agricultural chemicals.

NH Tractor, Case Tractor, shredder, mower blower, quad, 7. water filtration system, misc. small items

The value of these assets was inflated, and the existence of 19 most of these assets could never be confirmed. Romance foreclosed 20 on this personal property.

2.4 Debtor's Liabilities

The majority of liabilities scheduled by this Debtor were 23 either listed as disputed, or Trustee has objected to these 24 scheduled claims and his objections have been sustained.

Administrative Expenses

With the exception of professional fees, all administrative 27 expenses were paid during the pendency of the Chapter 11 case. 28 Plan provides that fees and expenses of Professionals retained by

1 Trustee and the Chapter 11 Trustee for services rendered and costs incurred after the Petition Date and prior to the date each final 3 | fee application is filed will be paid following approval by the 4 Bankruptcy Court after notice and a hearing.

Trustee estimates that the unpaid Administrative Claims against 6 the Estate will total \$120,000 as of the date of the filing of the final fee applications, primarily for payment of the Chapter 11 Trustee, his counsel and his accountant.

Allowed Administrative Claims will be paid from the 2015 and 10 2016 Crop Proceeds.

State Bank of India

5

11

12

24

25

On the Petition Date, State Bank of India held notes secured by a first and fourth deed of trust on the Pistachio Farm. 14 individual who entered into these obligations was Randeep Dhillon, 15 not the Debtor. During the pendency of the Chapter 11, State Bank 16 of India obtained relief from stay and sold its note secured by a 17 First Deed of Trust to Romance. Romance then foreclosed and there 18 was a deficiency balance secured by personal property. State Bank 19 of India has withdrawn its filed Proof of Claim representing the 20 note secured by a third deed of trust, as it was not satisfied through the foreclosure, and the individual liable for this claim 22 was Randeep Dhillon, not the Debtor. For this reason, State Bank of 23 |India is not owed an obligation by this Debtor.

Joseph and Pamela Romance

On the petition date, Romance held a note secured by a second 26 deed of Trust on the Pistachio Farm. Although this claim was 27 secured by the Debtor's real property, the individual who signed the note and deed of trust was Dhillon, not the Debtor. Romance

1 purchased the SBOI and Kent notes secured by deeds of trust on the 2 Pistachio Farm and foreclosed. There was a deficiency balance 3 following foreclosure, secured by personal property. Romance had asserted a lien interest in the 2015 and 2016 Crop Proceeds currently held by the Trustee. Trustee settled with Romance, and 6 the Bankruptcy Court approved the settlement on January 12, 2018. Under the terms of the Plan, Romance will receive a portion of the funds remaining in the estate after payment of all other allowed 9 claims to be divided with Kaur.

2.4.4 Kaur

10

11

20

21

27

28

On the petition date, Kaur was listed as a disputed secured 12 debt. During the pendency of the Chapter 11, Kaur asserted a lien interest in the 2015 and 2016 Crop Proceeds currently held by the Trustee, and also claimed that it was the sole 14 Chapter 11 15 shareholder of the Debtor. Trustee settled with Kaur, and the 16 Bankruptcy Court authorized this settlement on January 12, 2018. Under the terms of the Plan, Kaur will receive a portion of the 18 funds remaining in the estate after payment of all other allowed 19 claims to be divided with Romance.

2.4.5 Paramount Farms/Cal Pure

Paramount Farms/Cal Pure was scheduled as a secured creditor in 22 the amount of \$1,100,000.00. Paramount Farms/CalPure provided crop 23 |financing for the Debtor in 2014 and 2015, and to the Trustee It also filed a Proof of Claim. It was paid in full during 2016. the pendency of the Chapter 11 case, and filed a statement that it's 26 Proof of Claim had been satisfied.

Kern County Treasurer Tax Collector

Although this creditor was not scheduled, it did file a claim

1 in the bankruptcy case in the amount of \$35,170.64. This claim was secured by the Pistachio Farm. The property was foreclosed, and this creditor was paid in full by Romance.

Other Alleged Secured Claims

5

17

19

20

21

23

24

25

27

28

Other Scheduled Claims were listed as disputed, or the Trustee 6 has objected to those claims and those claims have been disallowed. Among those disputed secured claims was a number of taxing agencies. Trustee's investigation revealed that the Debtor was not liable for 9 those debts; rather the debts were owed by Randeep Dhillon, his 10 wife, or one of their entities other than the Debtor. 11 Property was foreclosed on, and to the extent that these creditors 12 | had a lien interest in the real property, they are sold out junior 13 lien holders. Other than the settlement with Kaur and Romance 14 regarding their alleged liens, Trustee does not believe there are 15 any Other Secured Claims on the Effective Date. In the event that any disputed secured claim, in fact, has a perfected security interest in the 2015 and 2016 crop proceeds, that lien would remain 18 in full force and effect.

2.4.8 Priority Tax Claims

Debtor did not list any Priority Tax Claims. Franchise Tax Board filed a Proof of Claim in the amount of \$822.02 for a corporate fee. No other Proofs of Claim have been filed by taxing agencies. The claim of Franchise Tax Board has been paid.

Priority Non-Tax Claims

Debtor did not schedule any Priority Non-Tax Claims, and 26 Trustee does not believe any will exist on the Effective Date.

2.4.10 General Unsecured Claims

Debtor had scheduled \$227,592.49 on its Schedule F. However, 1 these claims were either listed as disputed or Trustee objected to the claims and his objections were sustained.

Debtor listed PG&E as an unsecured claim in the amount of \$33,217.23. This claim was paid in full during the Chapter 11 Proceeding through a Court Order.

Trustee has filed objections to all undisputed scheduled claims and Proofs of Claims he disputed, and all of his objections have been sustained.

The total Allowed Unsecured Claims are as follows:

Claim No. 9 Farm Pump and Irrigation Co. Claim No. 10 Thomas Ferrari dba Pollen Bank \$20,695.57 Total: \$23,237.87

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

ARTICLE III

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS DURING THE CHAPTER 11 CASE

Since the Petition Date, significant events in the Chapter 11 case have included the following filings and entered orders. Copies of all relevant court documents are on file with the Bankruptcy Court.

Commencement of the Chapter 11 Case

The Chapter 11 Petition was filed on July 18, 2015. Assets 21 |scheduled as of the petition date were \$10,374,000.00. 22 debts were scheduled at \$7,244,086.58, and unsecured debt were 23 scheduled at \$227,592.49. Schedules B, D, E and F were amended on September 9, 2015. In this amendment, secured debt totaled \$8,411,551.39 and unsecured debt was unchanged. Asset value was 26 scheduled at \$10,415,246.00. Trustee believes the asset values were 27 greatly inflated, and other than the secured creditors, creditors listed on the bankruptcy schedules were disputed,

1 Trustee has objected to those scheduled claims, and they have been The Trustee believes the Debtor was insolvent for disallowed. months, and more likely for years, prior to the Petition Date.

Court Appointed Professionals

Α. TRUSTEE

Randell Parker was appointed the Chapter 11 Trustee on December 29, 2015.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3

4

5

6

7

В. CREDITOR'S COMMITTEE

There is no creditor's committee.

Court Appointed Professionals

During the pendency of the Chapter 11 case, the Bankruptcy Court authorized the employment of the following professionals:

- David R. Jenkins, P.C., Attorney for Debtor by court order a. dated October 15, 2015. Debtor's counsel subsequently obtained an order authorizing him to withdraw, and Debtor is currently unrepresented. Doc. No. 71.
- Trudi G. Manfredo, Attorney for Chapter 11 Trustee, by b. court order dated January 6, 2016. Doc. No. 127.
- James E. Salven, Accountant for Chapter 11 Trustee, by c. court order dated January 6, 2016. Doc. No. 128.
- d. Wegis & Young Property Management, LLC, Farm Mangers for the Pistachio Farm by court order dated April 15, 2016. Doc. No. 248.
- Pearson Realty, Inc. Real Estate Broker for Chapter 11 e. Trustee by Court order dated May 26, 2016. Doc. No. 286.
- Merriman, Hurst & Associates, Inc., Appraiser for Chapter f. 11 Trustee, by court order dated June 4, 2016. Doc. 290.

q. T. Scott Belden and Belden Blain Raytis, LLP, Special Counsel to Trustee by court order dated September 12, 2016. Doc. No. 358.

3.3 Administrative and Operational Motions

1 |

2

3

4

5

6

14

15

18

21

23

24

28

3.3.1 Crop Loan/Use of Cash Collateral

On April 11, 2016, Trustee obtained an initial order to use on 7 hand cash collateral. Doc. No. 239. On May 2, 2016, Trustee obtained a final order authorizing the use of cash collateral, and 9 obtained an order authorizing crop financing in the amount of 10 | \$500,000.00 for the 2016 crop year with CalPure. Docket No. 267. 11 As part of these Orders, Trustee obtained an order authorizing him 12 to settle with Cal Pure for post petition funds taken by CalPure in 13 |violation of the automatic stay. Docket No. 266.

3.3.2 Leases and Executory Contracts

On April 7, 2016, an order authorizing Trustee to reject the 16 purported executory contract with Sainand Medical, Inc. was filed. 17 Doc. No. 236.

By order of this Court on May 2, 2016, Trustee assumed the 19 executory contract with Paramount/CalPure for the purchase of the 20 2016 crop. Doc. No. 268.

Any other executory contracts, if discovered, will be rejected 22 upon Confirmation.

3.3.3 Sales and Disposition of Assets

Initially Trustee and secured creditors agreed that Trustee 25 would market and sale the Pistachio Farm following the harvest of 26 the 2016 crop. The parties agreed that a better price for the 27 Pistachio Farm would be obtained from marketing rather than foreclosure.

6

14

17

22

26

In October, 2016, the 2016 pistachio crop was harvested and 2 crop proceeds estimates were received from Cal Pure. 3 proceeds were much less than expected, but the yield was high enough 4 so that the crop insurance did not come into play. At this point it 5 appeared that the bankruptcy estate became insolvent.

On November 23, 2016, by agreement with Trustee and SBOI, an 7 order authorizing relief from stay for Bank of India to foreclose on the land was filed, as well as an order authorizing the Trustee to 9 abandon the farm land. Doc. No. 456. As part of this stipulation, 10 Trustee was authorized to abandon the farmland (Doc. No. 458) and 11 the crop proceeds then on hand could be used to pay professional 12 fees, administrative expenses and adequate protection payments to 13 SBOI. Doc. 457.

Fee orders for professionals were obtained, and on January 19, 15 2017, the court authorized the surcharge of the crop proceeds. Doc. 16 No. 531.

On December 29, 2016, State Bank of India transferred the note 18 secured by a first deed of trust and all documents evidencing and 19 securing the loan underlying the note including the Deed of Trust 20 and Security Agreement to Romance, the holder of the second deed of 21 trust encumbering the farmland.

On January 17, 2017 an order authorizing a surcharge of 2016 23 crop proceeds held by the Trustee was authorized whereby Trustee and 24 his professionals were paid and Bank of India adequate protection 25 payments were made. Doc. No. 531.

On February 6, 2017, Romance completed the foreclosure on this 27 |deed of trust through a credit bid. The SBOI Note was not paid in 28 |full.

3

5

7

10

17

18

21

25

26

28

On February 3, 2017, Debtor filed a motion to dismiss the Chapter 11 case which was denied. Doc. No. 573.

On February 8, 2017, Trustee received a wire transfer from Cal Pure, in the amount of \$559,937.98 representing a growers bonus for the 2015 crop year. On January 8, 2018, Trustee received \$256,301.88 representing a growers bonus for the 2016 crop year.

Romance and Kaur, Randeep Dhillon's deceased mother, claimed an interest in the 2015 and 2016 crop proceeds currently held by the 9 Chapter 11 Trustee.

Romance and Kaur reached a compromise with the Chapter 11 11 Trustee as to these disputed interest and as to the distribution of 12 these proceeds. This motion to compromise was heard and approved on 13 January 8, 2018. The compromise allows for payment in full of 14 administrative fees and costs and payment in full with interest at 15 the Federal Judgment Rate to unsecured creditors with allowed 16 claims.

3.3.4 Relief From Stay

Shortly after the filing of the Bankruptcy Petition, SBOI filed 19 a motion for relief from stay regarding the Pistachio Farm, which 20 was denied. Doc. No. 57.

Randeep Dhillon filed a motion for relief from stay to pursue 22 state court litigation wherein he alleged that the deed transferring 23 the Pistachio Farm to him in 2007 was forged. This motion was 24 denied. Doc. No. 407.

3.3.5 Other Motions

On October 14, 2016, Trustee obtained an order fixing deadlines 27 ||for requests for payment of administrative claims. Doc. No. 400.

> Trustee filed objections to claims and obtained orders

1 sustaining these objections. Doc. 718 through 725.

2

3

12

13

15

16

21

25

26

27

Significant Litigation and Collection Matters

initial investigation determined that Trustee's Randeep 4 Dhillon, his spouse, and his various Dhillon entities received substantial funds from the Debtor within the statutory times either 6 as a fraudulent conveyance or a preference or they received post petition bankruptcy estate funds not authorized by Court Order. Since the funds on hand will provide for payment in full of 9 administrative fees and costs and unsecured creditors, and the 10 ability to collect on any judgment is doubtful, this litigation will 11 not be pursued.

3.3.7 Monthly Operating Reports

Trustee is current on the filing of its Monthly Operating 14 Reports as required by 11 U.S.C. § 2015 and Local Rule 2015-1.

3.3.8 Claims Bar Dates

On July 22, 2015, the Bankruptcy Court issued a Notice of 17 | Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Case in the above referenced Chapter 11 Case 18 (Docket No. 6) which established November 25, 2015 as the general 19 Bar Date in Debtor's Chapter 11 Case and January 14, 2016 as the Bar 20 Date for governmental units in the Chapter 11 Case.

The claims bar date for administrative claimants to file a 22 request for payments of administrative claims with the exception of 23 Trustee and Professional claimants is October 16, 2016. Docket No. 24 400.

ARTICLE IV

DESCRIPTION OF THE PLAN

A discussion of the principal provisions of the Plan as they relate to the treatment of Classes of Allowed Claims and Interests

1 |is set forth below. The discussion of the Plan that follows 2 constitutes a summary only and should not be relied upon for voting 3 purposed. You are urged to read the Plan in full in evaluating whether to accept or reject the Plan proposed by the Trustee. any inconsistency exists between this summary and the Plan, the terms of the Plan will control.

In accordance with 11 U.S.C. § 1123(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, all Claims of Creditors (except those Claims receiving 9 treatment as set forth in Article 2 of the Plan) are placed in the 10 Classes described below for all purposes, including voting on, 11 Confirmation of and Distributions under, the Plan.

4.1 Classification of Claims and Interests

7

12

28 1///

13		Class	DESCRIPTION	VOTING	
14		CLASS 1	CLAIM OF STATE BANK OF INDIA, (CALIFORNIA)	UNIMPAIRED, NOT ENTITLED TO VOTE.	
15		a	•		
16	CLASS 2	CAL PURE SECURED CLAIMS	UNIMPAIRED, NOT ENTITLED TO VOTE		
17		CLASS 3	KERN COUNTY TREASURER TAX COLLECTOR	UNIMPAIRED, NOT ENTITLED TO VOTE	
18					
19		CLASS 4	CLAIM OF ROMANCE	IMPAIRED, ENTITLED TO VOTE	
20		CLASS 5	CLAIM OF KAUR	IMPAIRED, ENTITLED TO VOTE	
21					
22		CLASS 6	PRIORITY TAX CLAIMS	UNIMPAIRED, NOT ENTITLED TO VOTE	
23		CLASS 7	PRIORITY NON TAX CLAIMS	UNIMPAIRED, NOT ENTITLED TO VOTE	
24					
25	CLASS 8	GENERAL UNSECURED CLAIMS	IMPAIRED, ENTITLED TO VOTE		
26	///				
27	///				

ARTICLE V

2

1

TREATMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES,

3

U.S. TRUSTEE FEES AND PRIORITY TAX CLAIMS

4

5.1 <u>Unclassified Claims</u> Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §1123(a)(1),

classes.

7

10

18

administrative expense claims, and priority tax claims are not in

- 5.2 Priority Tax Claims Each holder of a priority tax claim will be paid in full. As of the date this Disclosure Statement is filed, there are no priority tax claims.
- 5.3 United States Trustee Fees All fees required to be paid 11 by 28 U.S.C. Section 1930(a)(6) (U.S. Trustee Fees) will accrue and 12 |be timely paid until the case is closed, dismissed, or converted to 13 another chapter of the Code. Any U.S. Trustee Fees owed on or 14 | before the Effective Date of this Plan will be paid on the Effective 15 Date. Debtor anticipates that all distributions will be made under 16 the Plan within 90 days of the Effective Date, and that U.S. Trustee 17 Fees will cease accruing at that time.
- 5.4 Claims for Professional Fees Each Person seeking an award 19 of Professional Fees and Trustee Fees; (a) must, within thirty (30) 20 days of the Effective Date, file a final application for allowance 21 of compensation for services rendered and reimbursement of expenses 22 |incurred through the date that each final fee application is filed; 23 and (b) if the Bankruptcy Court grants such an award (whether the 24 ||grant of such award was before or after confirmation for the Plan), 25 must be paid in full in Cash in such amounts as are allowed by the 26 Bankruptcy Court as soon thereafter as practicable. 27 applications for allowance and disbursement of Professional Fees and 28 Trustee Fees must be in compliance with all of the requirements of

1 | the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules and any applicable 2 quidelines and with all of the terms and conditions set forth in any 3 application order of the Bankruptcy Court, including, without 4 ||limitation, the Confirmation order, and all other orders governing payment of Professional Fees. Trustee estimates that the total amount of administrative expenses that will be owing upon confirmation amount to be \$120,000.00.

ARTICLE VI

TREATMENT OF CLAIMS AND INTERESTS

6.1 Class 1 - State Bank of India (California)

6.1.1 Impairment and Voting

State Bank of India ("SBOI") is unimpaired under the Plan. 13 SBOI is not entitled to vote on the Plan.

6.1.2 Treatment

SBOI sold its note secured by a first deed of trust to Romance. 16 It's third deed of trust was a sold out junior when Romance foreclosed on the Pistachio Farm. The obligor on the third deed of 18 trust was not the Debtor, and SBOI withdrew this claim.

6.2 Class 2 - Claim of Cal Pure

6.2.1 Impairment and Voting

This claim having been fully satisfied is unimpaired and not 22 entitled to vote on the Plan.

6.3 Class 3 - Claim of Kern County Treasurer Tax Collector

6.3.1 Impairment and Voting

Kern County Treasurer Tax Collector is unimpaired under the 26 Plan. Kern County Treasurer is not entitled to vote on the Plan.

27 ///

7

8

9

10

11

12

14 I

15 l

17

19

20

21

23 l

24

25 I

28 ///

6.4 Class 4 - Claim of Romance

1

2

3

18

19

24

25

26

6.4.1 Impairment and Voting

Romance had three claims. It's first claim was a deed of trust 4 on the Pistachio Farm with disputed priority. This claim may have been a sold out junior at foreclosure, and the obligor on this claim 6 was Randeep Dhillon, not the Debtor. Romance will withdraw this Proof of Claim. It's second claim arises out of the purchase of the SBOI note secured by a first deed of trust by Romance. 9 foreclosed on the note, and this claim was not paid in full. 10 pobligor on this claim was not the debtor. This third claim was that 11 as a result of the purchase of the note secured by a first deed of 12 trust from SBOI, Romance claimed that there was also a security 13 |interest in the 2015 and 2016 Crop Proceeds currently by the Chapter 14 11 Trustee. This issue was compromised with the Trustee, such 15 |compromise having been approved by the Bankruptcy Court. As to this 16 claim, Romance is impaired and as to this claim is entitled to vote 17 on the Plan.

6.4.2 Treatment

Through the compromise reached with Kaur, Romance and the 20 Trustee, the balance of the funds remaining on had after payment of all allowed claims - administrative, tax and unsecured claims - will 22 be deposited into the attorney client trust account of Walter Wilhelm for the benefit of Romance and Kaur.

6.5 Class 5 - Claim of Kaur

6.5.1 Impairment and Voting

Kaur claims both a lien interest in the Crop Proceeds and an 27 ownership interest of the shares of the Debtor. This issue was compromised with the Trustee, such compromise having been approved 1 by the Bankruptcy Court. As to this claim, Kaur is impaired and is entitled to vote on the Plan.

6.5.2 Treatment

3

4

10

11

12

13

19

20

Through the compromise reached with Kaur, Romance and the Trustee, the balance funds remaining on had after payment of all allowed claims - administrative, tax and unsecured claims - will be deposited into the attorney client trust account of Walter Wilhelm for the benefit of Romance and Kaur who will distribute these proceeds pursuant to stipulation, without further order of the Court.

6.6 Class 6 - Priority Tax Claims

6.6.1 <u>Impairment and Voting</u>

Class 6 is unimpaired under the Plan. Holders of Allowed 14 Priority Tax Claims are deemed to have accepted the Plan under 11 15 U.S.C. § 1126(f) of the Bankruptcy Code and holders of Class 5 16 Claims are not entitled to vote. Trustee believes that at the time 17 of the filing of this Disclosure Statement, there are no Priority 18 Tax Claims.

6.6.2 Treatment

If an Allowed Priority Tax Claim has not been paid prior to the 21 Effective Date on, or as soon as reasonably practicable after, the 22 | later of (a) the Effective Date and (b) the date a Priority Tax 23 Claim becomes Allowed, each holder of such Allowed Priority Tax 24 Claim shall receive, in full and final satisfaction, settlement and 25 release of and in exchange for such Allowed Priority Tax Claims (a) 26 Cash equal to the unpaid portion of such Allowed Priority Tax Claim, 27 or (b) such other treatment as to which such holder and Trustee 28 shall have agreed upon in writing.

6.7 Class 7 - Priority Non-Tax Claims

6.7.1 Impairment and Voting

Class 7 is unimpaired under the Plan. Holders of Allowed Priority Non-Tax Claims are deemed to accept the Plan under section 1126(f) of the Bankruptcy Code and holders of Class 6 Claims are not entitled to vote. Trustee believes that at the time of the filing of this Disclosure Statement, there are no non-tax priority claims.

6.7.2 Treatment

1 |

2

3

8

17

19

20

21

23

25

26

27

28

Provided that an Allowed Priority Non-Tax Claim has not been 10 paid prior to the Effective Date, on, or as soon as reasonably 11 practicable after, the later of (a) the Effective Date and (b) the 12 date a Priority Non-Tax Claim becomes Allowed, each holder of such 13 Allowed Priority Non-Tax Claim shall receive in full and final 14 satisfaction settlement and release of an in exchange for such 15 Allowed Non-Tax Priority Claim (a) Cash equal to the unpaid portion of such Allowed Non-Tax Priority Claim, or (b) such other treatment as to which the holder and Trustee shall have agreed upon in 18 writing.

6.8 Class 8 - General Unsecured Claims

6.8.1 Impairment and Voting

Class 8 shall consist of Allowed General Unsecured Claims and 22 are not separately classified under the Plan. Holders of Allowed General Unsecured Claims are entitled to vote for the Plan. There are only two Allowed General Unsecured Claims: Thomas Ferrari, dba Pollen Bank and Farm Pump and Irrigation Co., Inc.

6.8.2 Treatment

The holder of an Allowed General Unsecured Claim shall receive a Cash payment in the amount of holder's Allowed Claim, plus

1 interest at the federal judgment rate to the Effective Date of the 2 Plan, in full and final satisfaction, settlement and release of and 3 in exchange for such Allowed General Unsecured Claim. Claims shall be paid in full from the Crop Proceeds being held or to be held by the Chapter 11 Trustee.

6.9 Class 9 - Interests

6.9.1 Impairment and Voting

Class 9 consists of Interests in Debtor. Class 9 is unimpaired under the Plan and shall not be entitled to vote on the Plan.

6.9.2 Treatment

The identity of the owner of the shares of the Debtor is murky Originally Randeep Dhillon and his spouse owned the 12 at best. 13 |shares. Purportedly they transferred the shares to Pinder Singh 14 prior to the filing of the bankruptcy case. Kaur has alleged that 15 ∥it currently owns the shares as well as having a lien on the Crop 16 Proceeds held by the Chapter 7 Trustee. Pinder Singh signed a 17 declaration allegedly agreeing with this position. Kaur's purported 18 interest in the shares and lien interests were compromised with the 19 Chapter 11 Trustee and the Court approved this compromise on January 20 8, 2018, as set forth herein above. This is a liquidating Chapter 21 11 Plan, once disbursements are made under the terms of the Plan, 22 | the Debtor will have no other assets. All class 9 equity interests 23 |will be extinguished. Kaur will be paid as set forth according to 24 the terms of the compromise as set forth herein above, and Kaur has 25 been listed as impaired under Class 4 and is entitled to vote under 26 that classification. Class 9 Claims will receive no distribution 27 under the terms of the Plan.

6

7

8

10

11

6.10 Nonconsensual Confirmation

Trustee hereby requests Confirmation of the Plan pursuant to section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code in the event that not all impaired classes of Claims vote to accept the Plan in accordance with 11 U.S.C. §1126 of the Bankruptcy Code.

ARTICLE VII

EXECUTORY CONTRACTS AND UNEXPIRED LEASES

During the pendency of the Chapter 11 Case, Trustee assumed the executory contract with CalPure to harvest the 2016 pistachio crop. 10 The last distribution of Crop Proceeds for the 2016 crop year 11 occurred on January 8, 2018. At that time the contract was 12 completed. Other than this contract there are no contracts to be Any other executory contracts were previously rejected 13 assumed. 14 during the pendency of the Chapter 11 case.

ARTICLE VIII

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PLAN

Implementation

This is a liquidating Chapter 11 Plan. As of January 8, 2018, 19 all assets of the Debtor have been liquidated. Trustee will make the payments and distributions of the funds he holds effective according to the provisions in the Plan.

8.2 Disbursements

Trustee shall serve as the disbursing agent and shall make all 24 disbursements required by the Plan.

The Debtor After the Effective Date of the Plan

Once the Trustee has made the disbursements under the Plan, 27 there will be no assets to revest.

28 ///

2

3

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

22

23

25

26

8.4 Final Decree

1 11

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

At any time following the final disbursement by Trustee of funds on hand, Trustee shall be authorized for the entry of a final decree closing the Chapter 11 Case pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 350 of the Bankruptcy Code.

Article IX

EFFECTS OF CONFIRMATION

9.1 Binding Effect

The rights afforded under the Plan and the treatment of all 10 Claims and Interests under the Plan shall be the sole and exclusive 11 remedy on account of such Claims against, and Interests in the 12 Debtor and the Estate Assets, including any interest accrued on such 13 Claims from and after the Petition Date or interest which would have 14 accrued but for the commencement of the Chapter 11 Case. The 15 distribution made pursuant to the Plan shall be in full and final 16 satisfaction, settlement, release and discharge of the Allowed 17 Claims on account of which such Distributions 18 Confirmation of the Plan shall bind and govern the acts of the 19 Trustee and Debtor, and all holders of Claims against, Interests in 20 the Debtor, whether or not: (a) a Proof of Claim or Interest is allowed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502, or (b) the holder of a Claim or 22 Interest has accepted the Plan.

9.2 Discharge

Debtor shall not receive a discharge.

9.3 Limitation of Liability

The Trustee and Agents shall have all of the benefits and 27 protections afforded under 11 U.S.C. § 1125(e) and applicable law.

28

///

23

24

25

26

9.4 Exculpation

2

Except as otherwise provided in the Plan, the Trustee and the 3 Estate and any of the foregoing parties' respective employees, advisors, attorneys, representatives, financial advisors, Agents or other Professionals, shall not have or incur any liability for any 6 claim, action proceeding, cause of action, suit, account. controversy, agreement, promise, right to legal remedies, right to equitable remedies, right to payment or Claim, whether known, 9 unknown, reduced to judgment, not reduced to judgment, liquidated, 10 unliquidated, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured, disputed, 11 undisputed, secured, or unsecured and whether asserted or assertable 12 directly or derivatively, in law, equity or otherwise to one another 13 or to any Claim holder or Interest holder, or any other party in their 14 linterest. any of respective Agents, employees, or representatives, advisors, attorneys, or affiliates, or any of their 16 successors or assigns, for any act or omission originating or 17 occurring on or after the Petition Date through and including the 18 Effective Date in connection with, relating to, or arising out of 19 the Chapter 11 Case, the negotiation and filing of the Plan, the 20 Disclosure Statement, or the pursuit of Confirmation of the Plan and 21 all respects shall be entitled to rely reasonably upon the advice of 22 counsel with respect to duties and responsibilities under the Plan, 23 provided, however, that the foregoing provisions shall have no 24 effect on the liabilities of any Person that resulted from any such 25 act or omission that is determined in a Final Order of the 26 Bankruptcy Court or other court of competent jurisdiction to have 27 constituted gross negligence or wilful misconduct.

28 ///

ARTICLE X

MISCELLANEOUS

10.1 Governing Law

1

2

3

4

5

11

12

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

Except to the extent the Bankruptcy Code or other federal law is controlling, the right, duties and obligations of the Trustee and any other PERSON arising only under te Plan, the confirmation Order, or any Order interpreting, clarifying or modifying the Plan or Confirmation Order shall be governed by, and construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California, without 10 giving effect to California's choice of law provisions.

10.2 Provisions Enforceable

The Confirmation Order shall constitute judicial a 13 determination that the applicable terms of 11 U.S.C. § 1129 have 14 been met.

ARTICLE XI

RISK FACTORS

Since this is a liquidating Plan and all administrative 18 expenses, professional fees and costs, taxes and unsecured creditors 19 with Allowed Claims will be paid in full, and the funds to make 20 these payments are currently held by the Chapter 11 Trustee, there are minimal, if any risk factors.

11.1 The Plan Projections Are Based on Estimates and Some Assumptions

Underlying the projected payments under the Plan are a number 25 of estimates and assumptions that, although developed and considered 26 reasonable by the Trustee, are inherently subject to uncertainties 27 and contingencies beyond the Trustee's control. To the extent the estimates and assumptions are inaccurate, the distributions under

1 the Plan to Kaur and Romance may be affected either in a positive or negative manner.

ARTICLE XII

REQUIREMENTS FOR CONFIRMATION

11 U.S.C. § 1129 sets forth the requirements that must be satisfied to confirm a plan. A number of the more significant Confirmation requirements are discussed in this section of this Disclosure Statement. Trustee believes he has complied or will comply with each of the requirements.

12.1 Good Faith Compliance with Law

The Bankruptcy Code requires that a Plan be proposed in good 12 faith and disclose certain relevant information regarding payments due and the nature of compensation to insiders. Trustee believes 14 that he has satisfied these requirements and will seek a ruling to that effect from the Bankruptcy Court in connection with the Confirmation of the Plan.

12.2 Best Interests

3

4

5

10

11

15

16

17

18

27

28

11 U.S.C. §1129(a)(7) requires that, with respect to each 19 impaired Class, each member of such Class either (i) has accepted 20 the Plan or (ii) will receive or retain under the Plan on account of 21 |its Claim or Interest property of a value as of the Effective Date, 22 that is at least equal to the amount that such member of the Class 23 would receive or retain if the Debtor was liquidated under Chapter 24 | 7 of the Bankruptcy Code. Trustee believes the Plan meets this test and will seek appropriate findings from the Bankruptcy Code in 26 connection with the Confirmation of the Plan.

12.3 Plan Acceptance

The Bankruptcy Code requires, subject to an exception described

1 in the section below entitled "Confirmation of the Plan Without 2 Acceptance by All Impaired Classes," that the Plan be accepted by 3 all impaired Classes of Claims and Interests. Classes of Claims 4 that are unimpaired under a plan are deemed to have accepted the plan and are not entitled to vote.

The Bankruptcy Code defines acceptance of a plan by a Class of 7 Claims as acceptance by holders of at least two-thirds in dollar amount and more than one-half in number of Allowed claims in that 9 class, but for this purpose counts only those Claims that have voted 10 on the Plan. Holders of Claims who fail to vote or who abstain will 11 not be counted to determine the acceptance or rejection of the Plan 12 by any impaired Class of Claims. Additionally, the vote of any 13 holder will not be counted if the holder is designated by the 14 Bankruptcy Court based on its vote or its solicitation not being in 15 good faith under 11 U.S.C. § 1126(e).

12.4 Confirmation of the Plan Without Acceptance by All Impaired Classes

16

17

18

24

The Bankruptcy Code Provides an exception to the requirement 19 that every Class must accept a Plan. This exception is known as the 20 "cram down" provision. This provisions allows for Plan confirmation 21 notwithstanding rejection by any Class that votes on the Plan or is 22 deemed to reject the Plan would be bound to the treatment afforded 23 to that Class under the Plan.

To obtain Confirmation of the Plan using the "cram down" 25 provision, Trustee must demonstrate to the Bankruptcy Court that, as 26 to each Class that has rejected the Plan, the treatment afforded to 27 such Class under the Plan "does not discriminate unfairly" and is "fair and equitable."

In general a Plan does not discriminate unfairly if it provides 2 treatment to a class that is substantially equivalent to the 3 treatment that is provided to other classes that have equal rank. In determining whether a plan discriminate unfairly, courts will take into account a number of factors.

- 11 U.S.C. § 1129(b) applies a different test to holders of 7 secured claims, unsecured claims, and interests to determine whether the treatment proposed in a plan of reorganization is "fair and 9 equitable." In general, a plan of reorganization is "fair and 10 equitable" to a holder of -
- (a) secured claim if the Plan provides that the holder (i) the 12 lien or liens securing its claim and (ii) will receive cash 13 payments, normally evidenced by a note that totals at least the 14 amount of its claim, with such payments having a present value of at 15 | least equal to the value of the collateral securing the claim.

11

16

20

26

- (b) unsecured claim if the plan provides that the holder (i) 17 will retain property equal in amount of its claim or (ii) no holder 18 of a claim or interest that is junior to the creditor receives any 19 value under the plan of reorganization.
- (c) equity interest if the plan provides that the holder (i) 21 will retain property equal to the greatest of the allowed amount of 22 any liquidation preference to which such holder is entitled, any 23 redemption price to which such holder is entitled or the value of 24 such interest or (ii) no holder of an interest that is junior to the 25 holder will receive any value under the Plan.

As set forth above, the Plan may be confirmed if certain 27 conditions are met even if the Plan is not accepted by each Class of Claims entitled to vote. The Trustee reserves the right to modify 1 the terms of the Plan as necessary for the Confirmation of the Plan 2 without acceptance by any Impaired Classes. Such modification could 3 result in a less favorable treatment to holders of certain Classes of Claims or Interests than the treatment currently provided in the Plan.

12.5 Feasiblity

5

6

7

11

12

13

21

The Bankruptcy Court must also determine that the Plan is feasible and is not likely to be followed by liquidation or further reorganization. Since this case is a liquidating Plan, feasiblity should not be an issue.

ARTICLE XIII

LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS

Under 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(7), unless there is unanimous 14 |acceptance of the Plan by an impaired Class, the Trustee must 15 |demonstrate, and the Bankruptcy Court must determine, that, with 16 respect to such class, the holder of a Claim will receive or retain 17 under the Plan not less than the holder would receive or retain on 18 account of the Claim if the debtor liquidated under Chapter 7 of the 19 Bankruptcy Code. This requirement is referred to as the "Best 20 |Interests Test."

There are three important aspects to the applying the "Best 22 ||Interests Test." The first is that as of the date of the filing of 23 the bankruptcy petition, Debtor was a farmer. The case can't be 24 converted to a Chapter 7 case unless the Debtor consents. 25 second is that this is a Chapter 11 liquidating plan, so the 26 differences between a Chapter 7 and a Chapter 11 are the increased 27 costs of a Chapter 7 case, which would include, but are not limited 28 to the Chapter 7 Trustee's commission and the Chapter 7 Trustee's

1 professionals' fees, and the delay in time that the administration 2 of a Chapter 7 case would create. Delay would also be incurred 3 because a new Claims Bar Date would be set, with the possibility of 4 additional claims objections. In the Chapter 11 Case, Trustee has already objected to all claims. Finally, in the Chapter 11 Plan, unsecured creditors with Allowed Claims are being paid in full with interest at the federal judgment rate within ninety (90) days of the Effective Date of the Plan. This is the most they would be entitled 9 to receive in a Chapter 7.

10

If the Plan is confirmed, distribution to unsecured creditors 11 will occur on within 90 days of the Effective Date of the Plan. 12 Chapter 7 Trustee would have to obtain the authority of the 13 Bankruptcy Court to retain the services of an accountant to prepare 14 the estate tax returns, would probably have to retain the services 15 of an attorney in the event there was opposition to any action taken 16 by the trustee. Further, Romance and Kaur would probably object to 17 the conversion of the case as neither the additional fees nor the 18 delay that would be incurred by a Chapter 7 case were contemplated 19 in making their compromise with the Chapter 11 Trustee. 20 creditors would receive less than expected due to these increased Once all issues were resolved the Trustee would 21 ||fees and costs. 22 |submit Trustee's Final Report to the U.S. Trustee. The U.S. Trustee 23 has 60 days to either approve it or to discuss issues with this 24 final report with the Chapter 7 Trustee. If the U.S. Trustee 25 approved the final report, then it would be set for hearing, giving 26 28 days notice. If no one objected to the Final Report, the 27 distribution could be made. After distribution, it would be 28 approximately three months before the Chapter 7 case closed.

There is also the potential avoidance claims against Randeep Dhillon, his spouse and his various entities. There was no need for 3 the Chapter 11 Trustee to pursue these claims for two reasons (1) under the Chapter 11 Plan, Trustee is paying general unsecured creditors in full with interest at the federal judgment rate; (2) Trustee believes that, even if he pursued these actions, it would be difficult if not impossible to collect on these claims. Kaur nor Romance requested that this claim be assigned to them as part of the compromise. It is uncertain what the Chapter 7 10 Trustee's position and that of Romance and Kaur would be in the 11 event of conversion.

Romance and Kaur were each claiming a lien interest in the Crop 13 Proceeds. These issues were resolved with the Chapter 11 Trustee, 14 by the parties agreeing that from the Crop Proceeds, the Bankruptcy 15 Estate would receive amount sufficient to pay administrative claims, 16 taxes and Allowed Claims Unsecured Creditors. It is uncertain what 17 the effect on this compromise would be in the event of a conversion 18 to a Chapter 7 with the attendant Chapter 7 Trustee commission and 19 Chapter 7 administrative expenses.

12

20

25

27

Trustee's commission is on a sliding scale, that is the 21 percentage commission decrease the larger the amount liquidated. In 22 this case the Chapter 7 Trustee's commission would be \$43,817.00. 23 Because the Chapter 11 Trustee has already liquidated substantial 24 assets in this case, his commission structure is lower, and his commission on the distribution of the remaining funds would be 26 \$26,085.00.

Finally, the majority of the Chapter 11 professional unpaid 28 fees have been incurred. The actions remaining in the Chapter 11

```
1 |case are (1) if this Disclosure Statement is found to be adequate,
2 the hearing on Plan Confirmation will be held; (2) if confirmed, the
3 estate accountant will prepare the final tax return; professionals
  will file their final fee applications, and once approved, (3)
  distributions under the Plan will be made.
        Trustee believes. based on the foregoing, that the Chapter 11
6
  Plan meets the "Best Interests Test."
                              ARTICLE XIV
8
9
                               CONCLUSION
        Trustee believes that the Plan is in the best interests of
10
11 Creditors and urges such parties to vote to accept the Plan.
12 Dated: 1-24-18
                                  /s/ RANDELL PARKER
13
                                 Randell Parker
                                 Chapter 11 Trustee
14
15 Dated: 1-24-18
                                 /s/ TRUDI G. MANFREDO
16
                                 Trudi G. Manfredo
17
                                 Attorney for Chapter 11 Trustee
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

43

OLD REPUBLIC TITLE COMPANY ORDER NO. 1411003778-KB AMENDED

The form of policy of title insurance contemplated by this report is:

An ALTA Loan Policy - 2006. A specific request should be made if another form or additional coverage is desired.

The estate or interest in the land hereinafter described or referred or covered by this Report is:

Fee

Title to said estate or interest at the date hereof is vested in:

BlueGreenPista Enterprises, Inc.

The land referred to in this Report is situated in the unincorporated area of the County of Kern, State of California, and is described as follows:

The Northeast quarter of Section 3, Township 29 South, Range 25 East, Mount Diablo Meridian, in the unincorporated area of the County of Kern, State of California, according to the official plat thereof.

Except all of that portion of the Northeast quarter of Section 3, Township 29 South, Range 25 East, Mount Diablo Meridian, in the County of Kern, State of California, being a parcel of land described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the East line of the West 65 feet of the Northeast quarter of said Section 3 with the South line of the North 30 feet of said Section; thence (1) Westerly along last named South line to a point in the East line of the West 20 feet of said Northeast quarter; thence (2) Southerly along last named East line to a point in the South line of the North 100 feet of said Section; thence (3) Easterly along last named South line to a point in the East line of the West 30 feet of the Northeast quarter of said Section 3; thence (4) Northerly along last named East line to a point in the South line of the North 42 feet of said Section; thence (5) Northeasterly to the point of beginning.

Except all oil, naptha, gas, petroleum and other mineral and kindred substances within and underlying said land as reserved of record.

APN: 104-030-10 & 104-030-11

At the date hereof exceptions to coverage in addition to the Exceptions and Exclusions in said policy form would be as follows:

1. Taxes and assessments, general and special, for the fiscal year 2015 - 2016, a lien, but not yet due or payable.

Page 2 of 31 Pages

ORT 3158-B

Exhibit Page of