1	Steven M. Olson, Esq.		
2	State Bar No. 146120 LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN M. OLSON		
3	100 E Street, Suite 104 Santa Rosa, CA 95404		
4	Telephone: (707) 575-1800 Facsimile: (707) 575-1867		
5	Email: smo@smolsonlaw.com Attorney for Sullivan Vineyards Corporation		
6	Michael C. Fallon, Esq.		
7	State Bar No. 88313 FALLON & FALLON		
8	100 E Street, Suite 219 Santa Rosa, CA 95404		
9	Telephone: (707) 546-6770 Facsimile: (707) 546-5775		
10	Email: mcfallon@fallonlaw.net Attorney for Sullivan Vineyards Partnership		
11	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SANTA ROSA DIVISION		
14			
15	In Re Case No. 17-10065-AJ (Chapter 11)		
16	SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION,		
17	Debtor.		
18 19	Case No. 17-10067-AJ SULLIVAN VINEYARDS (Chapter 11) PARTNERSHIP,		
20	Debtor.		
21	/		
22	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND		
23	SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017		
24	TO ALL CREDITORS AND OTHER PARTIES IN INTEREST:		
25	THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE UNITED STATES		
26	BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA AS CONTAINING		
27	ADEQUATE INFORMATION AS REQUIRED BY THE BANKRUPTCY CODE FOR		
28	SOLICITATION OF ACCEPTANCES OF THE FIRST AMENDED CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF		
Case	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017 - Page 1 17-10065 Doc# 107 Filed: 05/24/17 Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Page 1 of		

Filed: 05/24/17 30

1	REORGANIZ	ZATION DATED MAY 24,	2017, AND FILED BY THE DEBTORS IN THESE
2	JOINTLY ADMINISTERED PROCEEDINGS. HOWEVER, APPROVAL OF THE DISCLOSURE		
3	STATEMENT DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN ENDORSEMENT OF THE PLAN BY THE COURT.		
4	THE COURT HAS MADE NO INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION OR DETERMINATION OF ANY		
5	FACTUAL STATEMENTS OR DOLLAR VALUES SET FORTH IN THE PLAN OR THE		
6	DISCLOSUR	E STATEMENT.	
7	DATED:	May 24, 2017	/s/ Michael C. Fallon
8			Michael C. Fallon
9			Attorney for SVP
10			
11	DATED:	May 24, 2017	/s/ Steven M. Olson
12			Steven M. Olson Attorney for SVC
13			rationity for 5 v C
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
0.5	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017 - Page 2		

Case 17-10065 Doc# 107 Filed: 05/24/17 Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Page 2 of 30

I. INTRODUCTION

Sullivan Vineyards Corporation ("SVC") and Sullivan Vineyards Partnership ("SVP"), the Debtors-in-Possession in the above-captioned jointly administered cases, submit this Disclosure Statement (the "Disclosure Statement") in support of the First Amended Joint Reorganization Plan of Sullivan Vineyards Corporation and Sullivan Vineyards Partnership Dated May 24, 2017 (the "Plan"). SVC and SVP seek to reorganize their debts. This Disclosure Statement is being provided to creditors to provide adequate information of a kind, and in sufficient detail, to enable creditors to make informed judgments about the Plan before exercising their rights to vote for acceptance or rejection of the Plan. Capitalized terms in this Disclosure Statement are defined in the Plan.

An acceptance or rejection of the Plan may be voted by completing the ballot which accompanies the Plan and mailing, faxing, or emailing it to the Law Offices of Michael C. Fallon, 100 E Street, Ste 219, Santa Rosa, California 95404. (707) 546-5775 (Fax) or manders@fallonlaw.net, or by mailing, faxing, or emailing it to the Law Office of Steven M. Olson, 100 E Street, Suite 104, Santa Rosa, CA 95404 (707) 575-1867 (Fax) or smo@smolsonlaw.com.

II. BACKGROUND OF THE DEBTORS

A. Formation.

The Sullivan family purchased its first vineyard in Napa Valley in 1972. In 1978, it purchased the vineyard property located at 1090 Galleron Road, Rutherford, California (the "Winery Property") and began a small winery thereon.

In 1987, SVP was formed to own the Winery Property. The initial partners were JoAnna Sullivan, her husband, James O'Neil Sullivan, and their five children, Philomena Gildea, Sean Sullivan, Kelleen Sullivan, Caireen Sullivan and Ross Sullivan.

Also in 1987, SVC was formed to own and operate the winery on SVP's Winery Property. The initial shareholders in SVC were JoAnna Sullivan, her husband,

Case

James O'Neil Sullivan, and their five children, Philomena Gildea, Sean Sullivan, Kelleen Sullivan, Caireen Sullivan and Ross Sullivan.

James Sullivan passed in 2004, and JoAnna retained his shares in SVC and his interest in SVP.

В. Mr. Finn Acquires Control in 2011.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In August of 2011, JoAnna Sullivan wished to retire. She sold her interests in SVC and SVP to Stephen Finn, who had recently married Kelleen Sullivan. Mr. Finn thus became the majority shareholder in SVC and the majority partner in SVP.

As of August of 2011, the assets of SVP and SVC included the following:

Asset	Book Value
Winery Property	\$2,050,963
Bottled Wine	\$1,942,959
Bulk Wine	\$919,327
TOTAL	\$4,913,249

As of August of 2011, the liabilities of SVP and SVC included the following:

Liability Type	Apx. Amt.
DOTs Against Winery Property	\$7,059,971
SVC other third-party debts	\$449,622
SVP other debts	\$198,693
TOTAL	\$7,708,286

C. Kelleen Sullivan Divorces Mr. Finn and Acquires His Interests in SVC and SVP.

When Kelleen Sullivan became engaged to marry Mr. Finn, she and Mr. Finn entered into a prenuptial agreement. One of the terms of this agreement was that upon the entry of a divorce decree, any ownership interests of Mr. Finn would become the assets of Kelleen Sullivan.

In the spring of 2015, the marriage was deteriorating. Mr. Finn attempted to amend the prenuptial agreement so that his interests in SVC and SVP would not be

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017 - Page 4

Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Filed: 05/24/17

30

17-10065 Doc# 107 Filed: 05/24/17 Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Page 5 of 30

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION

PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN

VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017 - Page 5

Case

Bottled Wine	\$2,409,212
Bulk Wine	\$2,014,294
TOTAL	\$9,326,634

4 5

1

2

3

As of September 30, 2015, the liabilities of SVP and SVC included the following:

Apx. Amt.

6 7

8

9

Liability Type

First DOT Against Winery Property \$9,538,889 Disputed Second DOT of Mr. Finn \$4,144,122 **Against Winery Property** SVC other third-party debts \$408.333

10

11

SVP other debts \$38,264 **TOTAL** \$14,129,608

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Thus, during the time when Mr. Finn had controlling ownership interests in SVC and SVP, the combined debts of the two entities grew by approximately \$6,421,322, and the combined book values of their assets grew by \$4,413,385.

The third-party debts, excluding the debts secured by deed of trust against the Winery Property, aggregated approximately \$446,597 when Kelleen Sullivan acquired majority ownership from Mr. Finn. In the 15 months since then, the Debtors have reduced the aggregate balance of their third-party debts by approximately \$129,000. During the same time period, the Debtors paid down the principal balance of the debt secured by the first deed of trust against the Winery Property by approximately \$194,994, until WR, the assignee of the claim, refused to accept any further periodic payments.

Ε. Mr. Finn's Pre-Divorce Efforts to Force Sale of Assets.

Notwithstanding the Colorado court's June 2015 injunction, Mr. Finn proceeded with extensive efforts to cause the sale of the assets of SVC and SVP. Mr. Finn orchestrated a meeting of shareholders of SVC in July of 2015 on very short notice to change the board of directors of SVC. The minority shareholders hurriedly obtained counsel to assist them in banding together to elect one of the three board members, Ross DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017 - Page 6

Case

Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Filed: 05/24/17

Sullivan.

On or about August 3, 2015, Mr. Finn caused SVC and SVP to file a Complaint in Napa County Superior Court, seeking a declaratory judgment regarding the sale of assets.

Mr. Finn gave very short notice of a special board meeting. He sought a resolution authorizing the sale of the assets of SVC before the October trial in his divorce proceeding.

Mr. Finn then filed another suit in Napa County Superior Court. He sought an *ex parte* order to appoint his selected director to force the sale of assets. The Court denied Mr. Finn's request.

F. Mr. Finn's 2016 Litigation Actions.

In February of 2016, JoAnna Sullivan filed suit against Mr. Finn in Napa County Superior Court to enforce Mr. Finn's obligations to JoAnna Sullivan in the agreement by which Mr. Finn acquired JoAnna's Sullivan's interests in SVP and SVC, including his obligations to pay \$9,000 per month for the rest of JoAnna Sullivan's life and his obligation to pay \$500,000 towards a life interest of a personal residence for JoAnna Sullivan. Mr. Finn responded by removing the action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California and then filing a cross-complaint against SVC, SVP and individual members of the Sullivan family. Judge Orrick granted motions to strike the cross-complaint.

On September 15, 2016, Mr. Finn filed a new action against SVC, SVP, and Sullivan family members, asserting the same claims as he had asserted in the cross-complaint. By order entered on January 13, 2017, Judge Orrick granted the defendants' motion to dismiss Mr. Finn's complaint on the ground it is barred by the doctrine of judicial estoppel. In so doing, Judge Orrick found that Mr. Finn was "playing fast and loose with the courts," that his assertion in the complaint of ownership interests in SVC and SVP was contrary to Mr. Finn's representations in the Colorado divorce court, and that the decision would "protect the integrity and dignity of the Colorado proceedings."

Case

G. Mr. Finn's Disputed Secured Claim.

In May of 2012, SVC and SVP jointly and severally gave Mr. Finn their Subordinated Secured Grid Promissory Note (the "Grid Note"), secured by a deed of trust against SVP's real property and by a security interest in much of the personal property of SVC and SVP. The Grid Note contemplated future advances from Mr. Finn pursuant to written requests from the authorized officers of SVC and SVP. The Grid Note was signed by Ross Sullivan on behalf of SVP in his stated capacity as partner, and it was signed by David B. Runberg on behalf of SVC in his stated capacity as CFO.

In May of 2012, when the Grid Note was created, Mr. Finn and senior lienor Silicon Valley Bank entered into an agreement by which Mr. Finn agreed to subordinate his liens and security interests to Silicon Valley Bank and Mr. Finn agreed to subordinate his right to receive payments to Silicon Valley Bank, such that no payments were due and payable to Mr. Finn until SVC and SVP had repaid their debt to Silicon Valley Bank.

SVC and SVP dispute whether most of the advances from Mr. Finn on the Grid Note were properly authorized. As of May of 2012, Mr. Finn was majority shareholder in SVC and he was majority partner in SVP. If the advances on the Grid Note were not properly authorized, SVC and SVP contend that the law prevents Mr. Finn from asserting claims arising from the advances unless he can establish that the advances were just and reasonable, evaluated from the perspective of SVC and SVP.

H. Cause of Bankruptcy Petitions - Foreclosure by Secured Creditors.

In mid 2016, the debt secured by a senior security interest in the assets of SVC and SVP matured by its terms. SVP and SVC sought to refinance to pay this debt. They were unable to do so, however, because of (1) the cloud created by Mr. Finn's assertion of a continued ownership interest in SVC and SVP, and (2) the cloud created by Mr. Finn's assertion of a debt of over \$4 million secured by a junior security interest in the assets of SVC and SVP.

Mr. Finn, through a limited liability company formed by him called Winery

Case

Rehabilitation, LLC ("WR") acquired the secured claim of Silicon Valley Bank. Thereafter, WR and Mr. Finn commenced nonjudicial foreclosure.

Post-Petition Settlement Between Debtors and WR/Finn.

On May 9, 2017, Judge Dennis Montali conducted a settlement conference with the Debtors, on the one hand, and WR and Mr. Finn, on the other hand. The settlement conference was successful. It resulted in a settlement agreement that is the subject of a pending motion to compromise controversy. The Plan is contingent upon entry of an order (the "Compromise Order") granting the motion to compromise controversy. The settlement terms are incorporated into the Plan.

The settlement contains the following central terms, as relates to SVC and SVP:

- WR has an allowed \$12,000,000 claim against SVC and SVP, secured by WR's existing security interests in the Winery Property and the Debtors' personal property. This claim will accrue interest at the rate of 5% per annum from the date of entry of the Compromise Order until paid. The claim will be due and payable no later than 18 months after the date of entry of the Compromise Order. SVC and SVP shall pay all accrued interest on a monthly basis, beginning 30 days after the date of entry of the Compromise Order.
- b. Neither WR nor Mr. Finn will interfere with the efforts of SVC or SVP to generate the requisite funds to pay the WR claim, via sale, refinance or equity infusion.
- Effective on the date of entry of the Compromise Order, Kelleen Sullivan will quit claim, to Mr. Finn, her asserted interests in the real property and improvements located at 575 Circle Drive, Denver, CO (the "Colorado Property") and any tangible personal property presently in the possession of Mr. Finn for which she asserts an interest (including clothing and personal effects and physical paintings), and she will release Mr. Finn from any future alimony payments, in exchange for Mr. Finn's assignment, to Kelleen Sullivan, of Mr. Finn's secured claim against SVC and SVP. Kelleen Sullivan acknowledges that her secured claim amount is reduced by the difference between the

28

Case

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

Case

Date.

The treatment of claims and interests described below applies only to Allowed Claims. Determination of the amounts due to creditors will be after reconciliation of the amounts claimed by the Creditor in question with the applicable Debtor's records. In the event of a dispute, the applicable Debtor will file an objection to the allowance of the claim.

The treatment of each particular type of Creditor is described below.

<u>a.</u> <u>Unclassified Claims</u>

Section 1123(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that certain claims, including claims for post-petition administrative expenses (including professional fees) and certain claims by governmental units for taxes, are not classified under the Plan. Entities holding unclassified claims are not entitled to vote on the Plan.

Any unpaid professional fees incurred up through Confirmation will be paid if and when allowed by the Court pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 330. The amount of unpaid professional fees depends in large part on whether there are contested Confirmation proceedings. SVP's counsel estimates that the amount of these additional fees may range from zero to \$25,000. SVC's counsel estimates that the amount of these additional fees will exceed \$100,000. All other post-petition administrative expenses, including quarterly fees due or to become due to the United States Trustee will be paid as of the Effective Date of the Plan. The Debtors estimate that, other than professional fees, unpaid administrative expenses will be less than \$5,000.

All tax claims entitled to priority under Bankruptcy Code Section 507(a)(8) ("Tax Claims") will receive equal deferred quarterly cash payments over a period not to exceed five (5) years after the SVC Petition Date or the SVP Petition Date, as applicable, as provided by Bankruptcy Code Section 1129(a)(9)(C). Tax Claims will bear interest at the rate specified in Section 6621 of the Internal Revenue Code or the similar provision in the California statutes regarding Tax Claims owing to the State of California.

Case

Unclassified Tax Claims do not include local real estate taxes. SVP believes that it owes no unclassified Tax Claims, and SVC believes that its unclassified Tax Claims aggregate less than \$5,200.

<u>b.</u> <u>Classified Claims And Interests</u>

The Plan divides claims and interests into fourteen (14) classes. A description of each class and its treatment under the Plan is as follows:

Class 1: Secured Claim of The County of Napa

The County of Napa holds a Secured Claim in an unknown amount, which claim, if any, is collateralized by a lien on the Winery Property as provided by non-bankruptcy law. The Plan provides that to the extent that the County of Napa has an Allowed Secured Claim, it will be paid on a current basis in accordance with nonbankruptcy law. This Class is unimpaired and not entitled to vote on the Plan.

Class 2: Winery Rehabilitation, LLC

WR holds a Secured Claim in the amount of \$12,000,000, as of the date of entry of the Compromise Order. Except to the extent that the holder of the Class 2 Claim has agreed to a different treatment of such Claim, the holder of the Allowed Class 2 Claim shall be paid in accordance with the terms and conditions in the settlement agreement arising from the May 9, 2017, settlement conference, as approved by the Court. The holder of the Allowed Class 2 Secured Claim shall retain its lien on the Winery Property and its security interests in the inventory, equipment, and intangibles of SVC and in the equipment and intangibles of SVP to the extent enforceable under non-bankruptcy law. This Class is unimpaired and not entitled to vote on the Plan.

Class 3: Kelleen Sullivan.

Class 3 consists of the Allowed Claim of Kelleen Sullivan, in her capacity as assignee of Stephen A. Finn, secured by a junior deed of trust lien on the Winery Property, a junior security interest in the inventory, equipment, and intangibles of SVC, and a junior security interest in the equipment and intangibles of SVP. This Class is

Case

1 deemed fully secured by its collateral. The holder of the Allowed Class 3 Secured Claim 2 shall retain her liens under non-bankruptcy law. SVC and SVP will pay the Class 3 Claim 3 from available cash only after all other Allowed claims against SVC and SVP are paid in full. 4 5 Class 4: Claims of General Unsecured Creditors of SVP 6 Class 4 Allowed General Unsecured Claims shall be paid in full via ten 7 semiannual installments, with interest at the Legal Rate from the SVP Petition Date, commencing six months from the Effective Date. SVP may prepay the Class 4 Claims in 8 9 full or in part at any time. This Class is impaired and entitled to vote on the Plan. 10 Class 5: Claims of General Unsecured Creditors of SVC 11 Class 5 Allowed General Unsecured Claims shall be paid in full via ten 12 semiannual installments, with interest at the Legal Rate from the SVC Petition Date, 13 commencing six months from the Effective Date. SVC may prepay the Class 4 Claims in 14 full or in part at any time. This Class is impaired and entitled to vote on the Plan. 15 Class 6: Ford Credit 16 Ford Credit holds a claim in the approximate amount of \$15,500 secured by a lien 17 on SVC's 2016 Ford F250 vehicle. Except to the extent that the holder of the Class 6 18 Claim has agreed to a different treatment of such Claim, the holder of the Allowed Class 19 6 Claim shall be paid in accordance with applicable non-bankruptcy law, except as 20 provided in Section 1124(2) of the Bankruptcy Code. The holder of the Allowed Class 6 21 Secured Claim shall retain its lien on SVC's vehicle to the extent enforceable under non-22 bankruptcy law. The Class 6 Claimant is not impaired and therefore is not entitled to vote 23 on the Plan. 24 Class 7: Growers 25 Two entities hold claims against SVC for the purchase price for grapes sold to 26 SVC in 2016. These claims are secured by the growers' statutory liens on the wine made 27 from the growers' grapes. Except to the extent that a holder of the Class 7 Claim has

28

Case

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017 - Page 14

17-10065 Doc# 107 Filed: 05/24/17 Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Page 14 o

agreed to a different treatment of such Claim, the holder of the Allowed Class 7 Claim shall be paid in accordance with applicable non-bankruptcy law, except as provided in Section 1124(2) of the Bankruptcy Code. The holders of the Allowed Class 7 Secured Claims shall retain their liens on SVC's wine made from their grapes to the extent enforceable under non-bankruptcy law. The Class 7 Claimants are not impaired and therefore are not entitled to vote on the Plan. Class 8: SVC Priority Employee Benefit Claim SVC has a small amount of indebtedness for employee benefits, an amount SVC believes is less than \$1,000. These Claims will be paid in full on the Effective Date. The Class 8 Claimants are not impaired and therefore are not entitled to vote on the Plan. Class 9: Warehouse Secured Claims Two warehouses at which SVC stores bottled wine hold claims that aggregate approximately \$36,300. These claimants have statutory warehouse liens on the items being stored at their respective facilities. Except to the extent that a holder of a Class 9 Claim has agreed to a different treatment of such Claim, the holders of the Allowed Class 9 Claims shall be paid in accordance with applicable non-bankruptcy law, except as provided in Section 1124(2) of the Bankruptcy Code. The holders of the Allowed Class 9 Secured Claims shall retain their liens on SVC's wine being stored at their respective facilities, to the extent enforceable under non-bankruptcy law. The Class 9 Claimants are not impaired and therefore are not entitled to vote on the Plan. Class 10: SVP's Claims Against SVC The claims of SVP against SVC are subordinated to all other claims against SVC. SVC will pay the claims of SVP from available funds only after all other claims against SVC are paid in full. The Class 10 Claimant is impaired and entitled to vote on the Plan. Class 11: Equity Interests in SVP The Class 11 interests of the general partners in SVP shall remain unaltered by this Plan. This Class is unimpaired and therefore is not entitled to vote on the Plan.

28

Case:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017 - Page 15

17-10065 Doc# 107 Filed: 05/24/17 Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Page 15 of

Class 12: <u>Equity Interests in SVC</u>

The Class 12 interests of the shareholders in SVC shall remain unaltered by this Plan. This Class is unimpaired and therefore is not entitled to vote on the Plan.

Class 13: SVP Administrative Convenience Claims

Class 13 consists of Allowed Claims in amounts no more than \$1,000, or for which the claimant elects to reduce the Allowed amount to \$1,000, that would otherwise be in Class 4, whose holders elect, in their ballots submitted on the Plan, to have their claims placed in Class 13. They will receive a payment equal to 70% of their Allowed claim amount on or before the end of the sixth month after the Effective Date. This Class is impaired and entitled to vote on the Plan.

Class 14: SVC Administrative Convenience Claims

Class 14 consists of Allowed Claims in amounts no more than \$1,000, or for which the claimant elects to reduce the Allowed amount to \$1,000, that would otherwise be in Class 5, whose holders elect, in their ballots submitted on the Plan, to have their claims placed in Class 14. They will receive a payment equal to 70% of their Allowed claim amount on or before the end of the sixth month after the Effective Date. This Class is impaired and entitled to vote on the Plan.

c. Other Provisions of the Plan

The Plan contains a number of other provisions concerning its implementation.

The following is a summary. Consult the Plan itself for details.

1. Post-Confirmation Disbursing Agent.

Following Confirmation, the Reorganized Debtors will act as the Disbursing Agents under the Plan. The Debtors reserve the right to appoint any other Person as the Disbursing Agent under the Plan, if it so chooses.

2. Post-Confirmation Compensation and Reimbursement of Professionals.

All professionals employed by the Reorganized Debtor or the Disbursing Agent (if a third party Disbursing Agent is appointed), including the Debtors' Professionals,

Case

shall be entitled to payment of their post-Confirmation Date fees and reimbursement of expenses on a monthly basis, based on the service of a detailed statement of the requested fees and expenses on the Notice Parties as discussed more fully in the Plan. Pre-Confirmation compensation remains subject to the noticed motion requirements of Bankruptcy Code Section 330.

3. Distributions and Claims.

Case:

Subject to the deadlines in the Plan, Distributions will be made to Creditors when their Claims are Allowed Claims, as defined in the Plan. Proofs of Claim, when required, must be filed with the Bankruptcy Court no later than the applicable Claims Bar Date, or the applicable Governmental Unit Claims Bar Date for prepetiton tax and similar Claims. However, Bankruptcy Rule 3001(b) provides that it is not necessary for a Creditor to file a proof of Claim if its Claim has been listed on the Debtors' Schedules filed with the Bankruptcy Court pursuant to Section 521(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code and Rule 1007(a)(3) of the Bankruptcy Rules, and is not listed as disputed, contingent, unliquidated or unknown as to amount. Except as provided by the Plan or as otherwise permitted by the Bankruptcy Court, the Bankruptcy Rules or applicable law, upon expiration of the applicable bar date, proofs of Claim may not be filed or amended unless the amendment is solely to decrease the amount or priority. Distributions to Creditors under the Plan will be made to the Persons shown on the Debtors' or the Bankruptcy Court's records on the Effective Date.

Any party who acquires a claim against the Reorganized Debtor after the Effective Date must arrange with the holder on that date to receive Distributions to which the transferee may be entitled. Neither the Reorganized Debtors nor the Disbursing Agent will be required to track changes in ownership of claims after the Effective Date.

Objections to any Claim may be filed by any party in interest and shall be filed no later than the Claims Objection Date, which is defined in the Plan as 90 days after the Effective Date.

4. <u>Reservation of Litigation Rights.</u>

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017 - Page 17

17-10065 Doc# 107 Filed: 05/24/17 Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Page 17 of

Under the Plan, the Debtors reserve all of its litigation rights and defenses against all Creditors, including without limitation (1) any claims and causes of action against any party, and (2) the right to object to any Claim, even if the Creditor in question votes to accept the Plan, including without limitation objections to the claims of Trinity Scott, Teresa Sullivan, Elizabeth Matulich, Sonyia Grabski, and Angelica de Vere. The failure of this Disclosure Statement to disclose or discuss any particular potential Claim objection, cause of action or claim for relief held by the Debtors or the Bankruptcy Estates is not and shall not be construed as a settlement, compromise, waiver, or release of any such Claim objection, cause of action or claim for relief.

5. Retention of Jurisdiction.

Article X of the Plan provides that the Bankruptcy Court shall retain broad jurisdiction under the Bankruptcy Code to adjudicate any disputes arising out of the Plan, the administration of the case, and claims for relief held by the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors.

6. <u>Persons Bound/Discharge of Debts.</u>

Confirmation of the Plan binds the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, any entity acquiring property under or otherwise accepting the benefits of the Plan, and every Creditor, whether or not such Creditor has filed a proof of Claim in the bankruptcy case, whether or not the Claim of such Creditor is impaired under the Plan, and whether or not such has accepted or rejected the Plan. The Confirmation Order shall operate as an injunction against the commencement or continuation of any action to collect, recover or offset any debt in this case from the Debtors, the Reorganized Debtors, or their Estates, except as otherwise permitted by this Plan, the Bankruptcy Code, or order of the Court.

7. Executory Contracts.

All insurance contracts to which SVC or SVP is an insured will be assumed on the Effective Date. SVC's contracts to purchase grapes with Castellucci Napa Valley and Rutherford River Ranch will be assumed on the Effective Date. All other executory

Case

contracts of SVP or SVC will be deemed rejected on the Effective Date unless, prior to		
Confirmation, SVP or SVC gives the other party to any such executory contract notice of		
the intent to assume the contract upon Confirmation. Proofs of Claim arising from		
rejection of executory contracts must be filed within sixty (60) days after the Effective		
Date.		
8. <u>Co-Debtor Injunction</u> .		
Asserted creditors Angelica De Vere, Teresa Sullivan, Sonyia Grabski,		
Elizabeth Matulich, and Trinity Scott will be enjoined from taking any actions to		
pursue asserted co-debtor Kelleen Sullivan for so long as there is no uncured default		
by SVC or SVP under the Plan.		
IV. STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES		
AND FEASIBILITY OF THE PLAN		
A. In General		
This section will describe the assets and liabilities of the Debtors and discuss the		
feasibility of the Plan.		
B. Assets		
The sole meaningful asset of SVP's Estate is the Winery Property. The general		
partners in SVP believe that its Winery Property asset has a fair market value of at least		
\$16,000,000.		
The assets of SVC's Estate include bottled wine inventory that SVC values at		
\$5,500,000, bulk wine inventory that SVC values at \$850,000, other tangible personal		

property assets that SVC values at approximately \$1,650,000, and intangible personal

property assets that SVC values at approximately \$4,678,000. SVC apprehends that the

liquidation values of these assets, were SVC to discontinue operations, is a fraction of

25 these stated going-concern values.

C. Liabilities

SVP's best estimate of the amount of asserted claims against its Chapter 11 Estate

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017 - Page 19

17-10065 Doc# 107 Filed: 05/24/17 Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Page 19 of

is as follows:

Secured Debts	
Napa County Tax Collection	\$ 12,000.00
Winery Rehabilitation	12,000,000.00
Kelleen Sullivan	3,300,000.00
SUBTOTAL SECURED DEBT	\$15,312,000.00
Unsecured Debts	
Administrative Expenses	\$ 15,000.00
Priority Claims (Non-Tax)	0.00
Priority Unsecured Tax Claims	0.00
General Unsecured Claims	130,996.00
SUBTOTAL UNSECURED DEBT	\$ 145,996.00
TOTAL DEBT	\$14,357,996.00

SVC's best estimate of the amount of asserted claims against its Chapter 11 Estate, before taking into account the objection to the secured claim of Mr. Finn and excluding the subordinated debts to SVP, is as follows:

Secured Debts	
Ford Credit	\$ 15,500.00
Winery Rehabilitation	12,000,000.00
Kelleen Sullivan	3,300,000.00
Warehouses	36,300.00
Growers	50,000.00
SUBTOTAL SECURED DEBT	\$15,401,800.00
Unsecured Debts	
Administrative Expenses	\$120,000.00
Priority Claims (Non Tax)	1,000.00
Priority Claims (Tax)	5,200.00
General Unsecured Claims	186,769.85

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017 - Page 20

17-10065 Doc# 107 Filed: 05/24/17 Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Page 20 o

Cas

SUBTOTAL UNSECURED DEBT	312,969.85
TOTAL DEBT	\$15,714,769.85

The combined debts of SVC and SVP, counting debts for which the two debtors are jointly and severally liable only once, aggregate approximately \$12,572,765.85, excluding the subordinated debts of SVC to SVP and of SVC and SVP to Kelleen Sullivan.

Former employees Angelica de Vere (the former CEO of SVC), Teresa Sullivan (the former CFO of SVC), Elizabeth Matulich, Sonyia Grabski, and Trinity Scott have filed general unsecured claims against SVC aggregating just over \$2,000,000. SVC has requested the bases for the calculations of the claims of these claimants. To date, the claimants have not provided the requested information. SVC is preparing objections to these claims. SVC anticipates that the objections will be filed before June 1, 2017. SVC is confident that it will prevail on the objections.

D. Feasibility of the Plan

The Debtors estimate that the Plan will require them to have on hand the sum of \$222,300 on the effective date to pay administrative-expense claims, Warehouse secured claims, Growers secured claims, and non-tax unclassified priority claims. The Debtors estimate that they will have cash on hand, from operations, of approximately \$200,000 on the effective date. The majority shareholder in SVC and majority partner in SVP, Kelleen Sullivan, will advance any required balance of funds in order to facilitate full payment of sums that must be paid on the effective date.

Successful consummation of the Plan requires the Debtors to make periodic payments to its secured and unsecured creditors and to maintain the Winery Property.

The Debtors estimate that the monthly debt service accruing and/or due to its secured and priority Creditors under the Plan will be approximately \$51,000 per month. Other operating costs on the Winery Property are approximately \$175,000 per month. As

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017 - Page 21

Case

0065 Doc# 107 Filed: 05/24/17 Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Page 21 is noted above, the Debtors estimate that they owe approximately \$317,765.85 in thirdparty general unsecured claims. The Plan requires that these claims be paid in 60 months;
i.e., approximately \$31,776.59 semi annually. Thus, the Plan plus operations will require
total average monthly outflows of approximately \$231,000 per month, or \$2,772,000 per
year.

The Debtors believe they can make these payments. Historically, the Debtors' gross revenues from operations over the past four fiscal years is as follows:

Fiscal Year Ending	Annual Gross Revenues
3/31/2013	\$1,721,555.00
3/31/2014	\$2,510,771.23
3/31/2015	\$3,490,094.99
3/31/2016	\$3,352,544.52
3/31/2017	\$2,214,903.96

For the 2017 fiscal year, the Debtors' operations were significantly impaired by the extensive litigation involving Mr. Finn, as discussed above. The settlement with Mr. Finn and Winery Rehabilitation LLC will enable the Debtors to focus on business operations going forward.

The agreement with Winery Rehabilitation LLC calls for monthly interest payments and for a payment of the principal balance within 18 months after the Compromise Order is entered. The Debtors believe they will be able to generate the funds for repayment by obtaining take-out financing within the 18-month period. If the financing is not available, then the Debtors will sell their assets in order to pay Winery Rehabilitation LLC and all other creditors holding allowed claims.

Aside from financial matters, the Debtors believe that they can comply with all technical requirements of the Bankruptcy Code necessary to confirm and substantially consummate the Plan.

V. ALTERNATIVES TO THE PLAN

A. Chapter 7 Liquidation

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017 - Page 22

17-10065 Doc# 107 Filed: 05/24/17 Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Page 22 of 30

In Chapter 7 liquidation proceeding, the Debtors' interests in any assets of the Estates would vest in Chapter 7 trustees, who would either release them to the respective secured Creditors or attempt to sell those assets to third parties and distribute any proceeds Pro Rata to all Creditors of the estate under the priorities established by Bankruptcy Code Section 507. Chapter 7 Trustees also have the statutory power to assert "avoidance claims" and other litigation claims held by the Estates against third parties pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 510, 541, 544, 545, 547, 548, and 549, which can generate funds to pay unsecured Creditors.

The Debtors believe that the Plan is significantly more beneficial to Creditors than Chapter 7 for two main reasons.

First, it is unlikely that a Chapter 7 Trustee would elect to continue to operate the Debtors' businesses to facilitate a going-concern sale. This would likely result in a significant negative impact on the proceeds realized from a sale.

Second, although the Plan proposes to subordinate the claims of SVP againt SVC and to subordinate the secured claim of Kelleen Sullivan to the claims of other creditors, these claims would most likely not be subordinated in distributions by a Chapter 7 trustee. The general rule in Chapter 7 is that insider claims are not subordinated. Subordination could only occur if the Chapter 7 trustee were to incur the expense and uncertainty of an adversary complaint for subordination and were to prevail.

In light of the foregoing, the Debtors apprehend that a liquidation in Chapter 7 would not generate sufficient funds, after payment of administrative expenses, priority claims, and secured claims, to facilitate payment in full to general unsecured creditors.

B. No Other Plans

The Bankruptcy Code permits parties in interest other than the Debtors to propose a plan of reorganization under certain circumstances. The Plan submitted by the Debtors is the only plan of reorganization that has been proposed at this time.

Case

VI. CERTAIN FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE PLAN

The following is a summary of certain United States federal income tax

A. In General

7 8

consequences of the Plan that may be material to Creditors (each a "Holder"). This discussion is included for general information purposes only and is not intended to be, and is not, legal or tax advice to any particular Holder. This summary is based on the current provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), the Income Tax Regulations (the "Regulations") and other legal authorities, all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect. No rulings from the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") or opinions of counsel have been or will be requested concerning the matters discussed below. The tax consequences set forth in the following discussion are not binding on the IRS or the courts, and no assurance can be given that contrary positions will not be successfully asserted by the IRS or adopted by a court.

This summary does not address the taxation of the Debtors or the Holders under state, local law or foreign law.

TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH TREASURY DEPARTMENT CIRCULAR 230, HOLDERS ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT: (A) ANY DISCUSSION OF FEDERAL TAX ISSUES IN THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE RELIED UPON, AND CANNOT BE RELIED UPON BY HOLDERS FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING PENALTIES THAT MAY BE IMPOSED ON HOLDERS UNDER THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE; (B) SUCH DISCUSSION IS INCLUDED HEREIN BY DEBTOR IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROMOTION OR MARKETING (WITHIN THE MEANING OF CIRCULAR 230) BY DEBTORS OF THE TRANSACTIONS OR MATTERS ADDRESSED HEREIN; AND (C) HOLDERS SHOULD SEEK ADVICE BASED ON ITS PARTICULAR CIRCUMSTANCES FROM AN INDEPENDENT TAX ADVISOR.

EACH HOLDER SHOULD CONSULT THE HOLDER'S OWN TAX ADVISOR TO DETERMINE THE HOLDER'S PARTICULAR U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES AND OTHER TAX CONSEQUENCES TO THE HOLDER OF THE PLAN, INCLUDING ANY STATE, LOCAL AND FOREIGN TAX LAWS AND THE EFFECT OF ANY CHANGES IN SUCH LAWS.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017 - Page 24

Case: 17-10065 Doc# 107 Filed: 05/24/17 Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Page 24 of

B. Consequences to Creditors.

Creditors should consult their own tax advisors concerning any income tax consequences of their respective treatment under the Plan.

C. Wage Withholding.

If any Allowed Claim under the Plan constitutes "wages" for U.S. federal income tax purposes, the U.S. federal income tax rules applicable to wage withholding will apply to the payment of the Allowed Claim.

D. Backup Withholding.

U.S. federal income tax laws require that, to avoid backup withholding with respect to "reportable payments" (in an amount equal to 28%), a Creditor or Holder must (a) provide the Debtors with its correct taxpayer identification number ("TIN") on IRS Form W-9 and certify as to its eligibility for exemption from backup withholding, or (b) establish a basis for exemption from backup withholding on an appropriate IRS Form W-8 (including a Form W-8BEN, W-8ECI, W-8EXP and W-8IMY) or IRS Form W-9, as applicable. Exempt Creditors and Holders (including, among others, all corporations and certain foreign individuals) are not subject to backup withholding and reporting requirements. If withholding is made and results in an overpayment of taxes, a refund may be obtained.

VII. VOTING, ACCEPTANCE AND CONFIRMATION

A. In General.

The Hon. Alan Jaroslovsky, Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court, has set a date for the hearing on the Confirmation of the Plan. The hearing is to be held at the United States Bankruptcy Court, 99 South E Street, Santa Rosa, CA 95404. The Plan can be implemented only if accepted by the requisite percentage of Creditors and confirmed by the Bankruptcy Judge. Creditors entitled to vote should vote on the Plan by filling out and mailing the accompanying ballot to counsel. There is no assurance that, if accepted, the Plan will be confirmed by the Bankruptcy Judge.

Case

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017 - Page 25

17-10065 Doc# 107 Filed: 05/24/17 Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Page 25 o

B. Voting.

Only impaired classes under the Plan will be entitled to vote on the Plan. The definition of an "impaired" class of Creditors is set forth in Section 1124 of the Bankruptcy Code. Classes 3, 4, 5, 10, 13 and 14 are impaired by the Plan and entitled to vote. No other Classes are impaired under the Plan. Pursuant to Section 1126(f) of the Bankruptcy Code, a class that is not impaired under the Plan, and each holder of a Claim of such class, are conclusively presumed to have accepted the Plan, and solicitation of acceptances with respect to such class from the holders of Claims of such class is not required. The Bankruptcy Code defines "acceptance" of a plan by a class of Creditors as acceptance by the holders of two-thirds (2/3) in dollar amount and more than one-half (½) in number of the claims of that class which actually cast ballots for acceptance or rejection of the Plan, not counting any acceptances submitted by insiders.

In addition to the requirement that a Creditor be in an "impaired class", in order for a Creditor's vote to be counted, either for or against the Plan, the Creditor must have either (1) filed a proof of claim on or before the "Claims Bar Date"; or (2) have been listed by the Debtor in the Schedule of Liabilities as having a claim which was noncontingent and undisputed.

IF YOU HAVE ALREADY FILED A CLAIM YOU NEED NOT REFILE FOR THE PURPOSE OF VOTING ON THE PLAN.

If a Creditor wishes to vote for or against the Plan, the Creditor should complete an acceptance or rejection of the Plan on the form ballot enclosed herewith which must be returned pursuant to the instructions set forth thereon.

C. Confirmation.

If no impaired Creditor classes accept the Plan, it cannot be confirmed. If at least one impaired class of Creditors of each of the Debtors accepts the Plan, the Court will hold a Confirmation Hearing. At the Confirmation hearing, the Bankruptcy Judge has the duty to determine whether the Plan meets the requirements of Section 1129 of the

Case

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017 - Page 26

17-10065 Doc# 107 Filed: 05/24/17 Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Page 26 of

1 Bankruptcy Code. The principal requirements of Section 1129 include the following: (1) 2 that the proponents of the Plan have complied with the applicable provisions of the 3 Bankruptcy Code on all matters connected with the case; (2) that the Plan has been proposed in good faith, and not by any means forbidden by law; (3) that the requisite 4 5 amount of Creditors have accepted the Plan or that the Creditors are receiving an amount 6 not less than they would receive if liquidation under Chapter 7 took place; (4) that at least 7 one class of Creditors has accepted the Plan; and (5) that Confirmation of the Plan is not 8 likely to be followed by liquidation, or the need for further financial reorganization of the 9 debtor; and (6) that the Debtors and the Plan in all other respects comply with applicable 10 law. Only if such determinations are made will the Judge confirm the Plan. 11 In addition, if there are impaired Creditor classes which have rejected the Plan, 12 the Bankruptcy Judge may order Confirmation over their rejection, but only if the Judge 13 first determines that the rights of non-consenting classes of Creditors are protected under 14 Bankruptcy Code Section 1129(b) and other applicable law. D. 15 Modification of the Plan. 16 The Debtors may propose amendments to or modifications of the Plan under 17 Section 1127(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3019 at any time prior to 18 the conclusion of the hearing on Confirmation of the Plan. After the Confirmation Date, 19 the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors may modify the Plan in accordance with Section 20 1127(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3019. 21 VIII. CONCLUSION 22 The Debtors believe that its Plan of Reorganization realistically affords to 23 Creditors their best opportunity for receiving a prompt, meaningful dividend. The 24 Debtors therefore respectfully request that Creditors vote to accept the Plan.

25

26

Dated: May 24, 2017

/s/ Michael C. Fallon
Michael C. Fallon
Attorney for SVP

27

28

Case

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017 - Page 27

17-10065 Doc# 107 Filed: 05/24/17 Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Page 27 of

1		
2	Dated: May 24, 2017	SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP
3		/s/ Ross Sullivan
4		/s/ Ross Sullivan Its Responsible Individual
5		
6	Dated: May 24, 2017	<u>/s/ Steven M. Olson</u> Steven M. Olson
7		Attorney for SVC
8		
9		
10	Dated: May 24, 2017	SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION
11		COR ORTHOR
12		/s/ Ross Sullivan Its Responsible Individual
13		its responsible individual
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY	Y FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION
	PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORA VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24,	TION AND SULLIVAN
Case:		Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Page 28 of

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I reside in the County of Sonoma, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Law Office of Steven M. Olson, 100 É Street, Suite 104, Santa Rosa, CA 95404. 3 On May 24, 2017, I served the 4 5 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017 6 7 on the parties listed on the attached Service List. I served such parties in the manner described as follows: 8 /X/ (BY MAIL) I placed a copy of the document in sealed envelopes, with postage 9 thereon fully prepaid for First Class Mail, addressed to such parties as have mailing addresses set forth on the attached Service List, for collection and mailing at Santa Rosa, 10 California. 11 /_/ (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused the document to be delivered by hand to the address(es) noted on the attached Service List. 12 / / (BY FACSIMILE) I caused the document to be transmitted by facsimile machine to 13 such parties as have facsimile numbers set forth on the attached Service List. /_/ (BY EMAIL) I caused the document to be transmitted by Email to such parties as 14 have Email addresses set forth on the attached Service List. 15 I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the United States and of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Santa Rosa, 16 California, on May 24, 2017. 17 /S/ Steven M. Olson 18 Steven M. Olson 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN

<u>VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017</u> - Page 29 17-10065 Doc# 107 Filed: 05/24/17 Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Page 29 of

Case:

1	SERVICE LIST
2	Special Notice Philip S. Warden, Esq.
3	Cecily A. Dumas, Esq. Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP 4 Embarcadero Center, 22 Fl
4	San Francisco, CA 94111-5998
5	<u>United States Trustee</u> Lynette C. Kelly, Esq.
6 7	Office of the U.S. Trustee 450 Golden Gate Avenue, 5 th Fl., #05-0153 San Francisco, CA 94102
8	Securities and Exchange Commission
9	Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, NE
10	Washington, DC 20549
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 Case:	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY FIRST AMENDED JOINT REORGANIZATION PLAN OF SULLIVAN VINEYARDS CORPORATION AND SULLIVAN VINEYARDS PARTNERSHIP DATED MAY 24, 2017 - Page 30 17-10065 Doc# 107 Filed: 05/24/17 Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Page 30 of
- 200.	1 - 1000 Doon 10 1 100 00 1 1 - 1 110 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00

Case: 17-10065 Doc# 107 Filed: 05/24/17 Entered: 05/24/17 16:20:41 Page 30 of