UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

) JOINTLY ADMINISTERED UNDER) CASE NO. 17-14551 EEB
Debtor.)
SMOU PROPERTY HOLDINGS, LLC,) Chapter 11
SM PROPERTY HOLDINGS, LLC, a/k/a) Case No. 17-14554-EEB
IN RE:))
Debtor.) _)
SISU TOO, LLC,) Chapter 11
IIV KL.) Case No. 17-14555-EEB
IN RE:)
Debtor.)
EIN NO. 42-1669202) Chapter 11
BARONG, LLC,) Case No. 17-14551-EEB
IN RE:)

JOINT DISCLOSURE STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY AMEMDED JOINT PLAN OF REORGANIZATION DATED AUGUST 28, 2017

THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT HAS BEEN NEITHER APPROVED NOR DISAPPROVED BY THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION. THE COMMISSION HAS SIMILARLY NOT REVIEWED THE ACCURACY OR ADEQUACY OF THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.

The Plan is the governing document or contract with creditors once it is confirmed by the Court. In the event of any inconsistencies between the Plan and this Disclosure Statement, the Plan supersedes the Disclosure Statement and will be the sole Court-approved document that governs the post-confirmation relationship and agreements between the parties.

This Disclosure Statement is provided to you along with a copy of the Plan and a Ballot to be used for voting on the Plan. Please complete the Ballot according to the instructions contained on the Ballot if you intend to vote for or against each of the Debtors' Plan. Each creditor or Interest holder may vote on the Plan by completing the enclosed Ballot and returning it to counsel for the Debtors at the address below:

For Barong or Sisu:

Jenny M.F. Fujii Kutner Brinen, P.C. 1660 Lincoln Street Suite 1850 Denver, CO 80264

For SM:

Robert D. Lantz, Esq. COAN, PAYTON, & PAYNE, LLC 999 18th Street South Tower, Suite S1500 Denver, CO 80202

This Ballot must be received by Kutner Brinen, P.C. no later than **5:00 p.m. on** _____ which is the date set by the Court as the last day to vote on the Plan. Capitalized terms contained in this Disclosure Statement that are defined in the Plan have the same meaning as set forth in the definitional section of the Plan, Article II.

Recommendation. As discussed more fully below, the Debtors firmly believe that the Plan represents the best alternative for providing the maximum value for creditors. The Plan provides creditors with a distribution on their Claims in an amount greater than any other potential known

option available to the Debtor. Again, the Debtors strongly believe that confirmation of the Plan is in the best interest of creditors and recommends that all creditors entitled to vote on the Plan vote to accept the Plan.

<u>Voting Requirements</u>. Pursuant to the Bankruptcy Code, only Classes of Claims or Interests that are "impaired" under the Plan are entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan. Classes of Claims and Interests that are not impaired are not entitled to vote and are deemed to have accepted the Plan. Voting on the Plan shall be pursuant to the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and the Bankruptcy Rules, and a Class shall have accepted the Plan if the Plan is accepted by at least two-thirds in amount and more than one-half in number of the Allowed Claims of such Class actually voting.

<u>Voting Classes</u>. Each holder of an Allowed Claim in Classes 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, and 10 shall be entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan.

<u>Deemed Acceptance of Plan</u>. Unimpaired classes are conclusively presumed to accept the Plan pursuant to Section 1126(f) of the Bankruptcy Code. Classes 4, 8, and 11 are unimpaired under the Plan.

<u>Deemed Rejection of Plan</u>. Classes that receive and retain nothing under the Plan are deemed to reject the Plan pursuant to Section 1126(g) of the Bankruptcy Code. There are no Classes that receive or retain nothing under the Plan.

One Vote Per Holder. If a holder of a Claim holds more than one Claim in any one Class, all Claims of such holder in such Class shall be aggregated and deemed to be one Claim for purposes of determining the number of Claims voting for or against the Plan.

I. CHAPTER 11 AND PLAN CONFIRMATION

Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code is designed to allow for the rehabilitation and reorganization of financially troubled entities or individuals. Chapter 11 allows the Debtors to retain his assets during the administration of the Chapter 11 case as debtor-in-possession. Following confirmation of the Plan, Chapter 11 allows the Debtors to retain their assets as reorganized debtors or as otherwise provided in the Plan. If the Plan is approved by the Court, the Plan is the permanent restructuring of the Debtors' financial obligations. The Plan also provides a means through which the Debtors will restructure or repay their obligations. The Plan will provide the Debtors with an opportunity to pay all creditors through the sale of assets and the generation of income from the sale

of assets.

The Plan divides creditors into Classes of similarly situated creditors. All creditors of the same Class are treated in a similar fashion. All Interests are also classified and treated alike. Each Class of creditors or interest holders is either impaired or unimpaired under the Plan. A Class is unimpaired if the Plan leaves unaltered the legal, equitable and contractual rights to which each creditor in the Class is entitled or if the Plan provides for the cure of a default and reinstatement of the maturity date of the claim as it existed prior to default.

Each Debtor filed separate Applications requesting that the Court set a deadline for filing Proofs of Claim and certain administrative expense claims. On June 27, 2017, the Court entered orders in each of the cases establishing September 1, 2017, as the last day: (a) for filing of any Proof of Claim for a pre-petition claim or interest; and (b) by which motions or requests for allowance of administrative expense claims pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9) must be filed with the Court ("Bar Date"). The Plan provides that Claims and Interests of all Classes shall be allowed only if such Claims are either: (a) evidenced by a timely filed Proof of Claim or Interest; or b) appear in the Schedules filed by the Debtors and are not scheduled as disputed, contingent or unliquidated, unless subsequently allowed by the Court. Creditors may check as to whether or not their Claims are scheduled as disputed, contingent or unliquidated by reviewing the Schedules and the amendments thereto filed by the Debtors in the Bankruptcy Court for the District of Colorado. Alternatively, creditors may contact counsel for the Debtors or the Debtors directly in order to determine how their claim was scheduled.

Chapter 11 does not require that each holder of a Claim or Interest vote in favor of the Plan in order for the Court to confirm the Plan. The Plan, however, must be accepted by at least one impaired Class of Claims by a majority in number and two thirds in amount, without including insider acceptance of those Claims of such Class actually voting on the Plan. Assuming one impaired Class votes to accept the Plan, it may be confirmed over its rejection by other Classes if the Court finds that the Plan does not discriminate unfairly and is fair and equitable with respect to each Class of Claims that is impaired under and has not accepted the Plan. Since the Debtors believes that the Plan provides the best alternative for creditors, all creditors are urged to vote to accept the Plan.

If all Classes of Claims entitled to vote accept the Plan, the Court may confirm the Plan. Section 1129 of the Bankruptcy Code sets forth the requirements for confirmation. Among other

things, Section 1129 requires that the Plan be in the best interest of the holders of Claims and Interests and be feasible through a showing that confirmation will not be followed by the need for further financial reorganization of the Debtors.

II. OVERVIEW OF THE PLAN AND MEANS OF EXECUTION

The Plan divides creditors and Interest holders into the following eleven (11) Classes. Treatment of each of the Classes is discussed in greater detail below and in the Plan. The following table summarizes the eleven (11) Classes, whether or not each such Class is impaired, and, to the extent determinable, the treatment of each Class.

CLASS	<u>IMPAIRMENT</u>	TREATMENT
1, 5, 9: Montegra	Impaired	Allowed Secured Claim paid in full by June 30, 2018 with non-default interest accruing at the contract rate. Payment shall be made from the net proceeds from the sale of the Barong and Sisu real property.
2, 6: Vail Village Plaza Condominium Association	Impaired	Allowed Secured Claim paid in full by June 30, 2018 with interest at the applicable statutory rate. Payment shall be made from the net proceeds from the sale of the Barong and Sisu real property.
3, 7, 10: General Unsecured Creditors	Impaired	Allowed Unsecured Claims shall be paid from the Net Proceeds from the sale of the Barong and Sisu real property on a pro-rata basis. Interest shall accrue at the rate of 3% per annum if claims are paid in full.
4, 8, 11: Interest holders	Unimpaired	Interest holders shall retain their respective interests.

The Plan provides for the reorganization of the Debtors under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtor believes that the Plan represents the best way for creditors to recover the maximum amount on their claims.

III. BACKGROUND AND EVENTS LEADING TO CHAPTER 11 FILING

Barong is a Colorado limited liability company formed by three individuals in March 2005. The members of Barong have not changed since its inception and the interests are as follows:

Sharon Mou	50%
Bonnie Havlik	25%
Dennis Havlik	25%

Barong was formed to acquire real property located in Vail, Colorado with an address of 100 East Meadow Drive, Units 2, 3, 5, 6B, 6C, and 9 ("Barong Property"). Bonnie Havlik and Sharon Mou co-managed Barong until approximately March 2017 when Sharon Mou became the sole manager.

The Barong Property consists of a restaurant, bakery, bar, and storage. This property was leased to a separate entity, Sharon Mou, Inc. ("Tenant"), who operated the Alpenrose II, which is owned by Bonnie and Dennis Havlik ("Barong Lease"). The Tenant lease payments are the only source of income for Barong.

The Barong Property was initially financed by Colorado Capital Bank in 2005. The purchase price was approximately \$1,670,123. All three members personally guaranteed the debt. In 2013, Barong was forced to refinance the debt. Montegra Capital Resources, Ltd. ("Montegra") agreed to loan Barong the money to pay off Colorado Community Bank¹, but required additional capital to be pledged by SMPH as set forth below. The new note with Montegra was in the principal amount of \$2,090,000, which required monthly interest only payments on a 9.5% per annum rate, plus a monthly loan servicing fee of approximately \$1,700 ("Montegra Loan").

Sisu is a Colorado limited liability company formed by three individuals in October 2013. The members of Sisu have not changed since its inception and the interests are as follows:

Sharon Mou	50%
Bonnie Havlik	25%
Dennis Havlik	25%

Sisu was formed to acquire real property consisting of five parking spaces located in Vail, Colorado. The parking spaces are known and described as Units 784, 791, 792, 800, and 801 in the Village Inn

6

¹ The Montegra note also paid off the lien held by Colorado Capital Bank, which was secured by Sisu Real Property.

Plaza ("Sisu Property"). The Sisu Property is adjacent to the Barong Property and is used on conjunction with the Barong Property. Bonnie Havlik and Sharon Mou are the co-managers of Sisu.

Sisu Real Property was pledged as collateral for the 2013 Montegra Loan in exchange for the payoff of the underlying mortgage. The Sisu Real Property was originally financed by Colorado Capital Bank in the amount of \$383,093.

SMPH was formed by Sharon Mou in 2013 for the purpose of facilitating the Montegra Loan. Montegra insisted on additional security before agreeing to finance the loan, and Sharon Mou pledged SMPH real property to further secure the Montegra Loan. The SMPH real property is located at 100 West Beaver Creek Boulevard, Unit 125, Avon, Colorado ("SMPH Property"). SMPH is owned by Sharon Mou.

While Barong and Sisu lease their all of their property to the Tenant in Vail, SMPH operates independently. The SMPH Property is leased to 3 Dragons, LLC for the purpose of operating a Chinese restaurant. 3 Dragons, LLC is not related to the Debtors or their members. The SMPH Property is not subject to any liens except for liens related to the Montegra Loan.

As a result of the Montegra Loan, all three Debtors became jointly liable for the debt, with all of the Debtors' real property pledged to secure the debt. Further, Sharon Mou, Bonnie Havlik, and Dennis Havlik are all personally liable on the Montegra Loan.

The initial maturity date on the Montegra Note was October 15, 2015. Montegra agreed to extend the maturity date through October 15, 2016 and executed a Modification Agreement recorded on November 13, 2016 in the Eagle County real property records at reception no. 201521465. The Debtors were unable to refinance the Montegra Loan, and Montegra did not agree to another extension of the term. As a result, Montegra commenced foreclosure proceedings against the Barong, Sisu, and SMPH Property.

Due to the pending Montegra foreclosure proceedings, the Chapter 11 cases were filed on May 16, 2017. The Chapter 11 cases have given the Debtors time to proceed with the marketing and liquidation of assets in an organized manner, and reorganize their financial affairs.

IV. **DESCRIPTION OF ASSETS**

The values of the Debtors' assets, owned on the petition date, are set forth in the following chart:

A. Real Property

Barong:

Estimated Value

Real Property – 100 East Meadow Drive,

Units 2, 3, 5, 6B, 6C, and 9 \$3,650,000

Sisu:

Estimated Value Asset

Real Property (parking spaces) Units 2, 3, 5, 6B, 6C, and 9

\$550,000

SM Property Holdings, LLC a/k/a SMOU Property Holdings, LLC:

<u>Asset</u> **Estimated Value**

Real Property

100 West Beaver Creek Boulevard, Avon \$550,000

B. Personal Property

Barong and Sisu:

Estimated Value Asset

\$125,083 Accounts receivable from Tenant

SMPH:

Estimated Value <u>Asset</u> n/a

\$0

The asset values set forth above represent a gross value for each asset, not a value net of **liens.** The values for all assets represent the values as listed in the Debtors' Schedules or generally as determined by the Debtors based on their knowledge of the assets and the market, as well as based

on relevant appraisals.

Avoidance Actions

The Debtors are reserving the right to bring avoidance actions pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 545 through 550 and state and bankruptcy law fraudulent conveyance actions. At present, the Debtors does not believe there were any preference payments made to creditors within the 90 days prior to the Petition Date or any fraudulent conveyance actions that exist.

V. DESCRIPTION OF LIABILITIES

A. Priority Claims

1. Priority Claims

Priority Claims are defined in the Plan as any pre-petition Claim entitled to a priority in payment under § 507(a) of the Code, excluding any Administrative Claim or Tax Claim. The Debtors have no employees.

2. Administrative Claims

Administrative Claims are those Claims for payment of an administrative expense of a kind specified in § 503(b) or § 1114(e)(2) of the Code and entitled to priority pursuant to § 507(a)(2) of the Code, including, but not limited to: (a) the actual, necessary costs and expenses, incurred after the Petition Date, of preserving the estate and operating the business of the Debtors, including wages, salaries, or commissions for services rendered after the commencement of the Chapter 11 Case; (b) Professional Fee Claims; (c) all bankruptcy fees and charges assessed against the Estates under 28 U.S.C. § 1930; and (d) all Allowed Claims that are entitled to be treated as Administrative Claims. The Administrative Claims include the Professional Fees incurred during the case which remain unpaid, including fees and cost for all professionals retained during the case. Additional information on specific fees and costs are set forth below.

Other than the administrative expenses for certain professionals, the Debtors intend to pay their administrative expenses in the ordinary course of business during the course of the bankruptcy case once a cash collateral agreement is approved, and therefore does not believe there will be any significant material administrative claims asserted against the estate.

3. Tax Claims

Tax Claims are any Claim of a governmental unit for taxes entitled to priority pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8).

Barong: The IRS sent Barong a letter stating that Barong owed \$1,950 in penalties.

Sisu: The IRS filed a proof of claim in the amount of \$400 for unfiled tax returns. The IRS subsequently sent Sisu a letter stating that Sisu owed \$1,950 in penalties for late filed tax returns.

SMPH: There are no known tax liabilities for SMPH.

B. Secured Claims

1. Class 1, 5, and 9, Montegra Capital Resources, Lt. ("Montegra"). Montegra holds secured Claims against each of the Debtors secured by all of their respective real properties.

The Montegra Allowed Secured Claim is based on a Promissory Note dated October 5, 2013 in the original principal amount of \$2,090,000 ("Montegra Loan"). The term of the Montegra Loan expired on October 15, 2015, but was renewed on that date with an extension of the term through October 15, 2016. The Montegra Loan is secured by the real property held by Barong, Sisu, and SMPH. Montegra filed its Deed of Trust on October 16, 2013 in the real property records of Eagle County at Reception No. 201320971. Montegra filed a UCC Financing Statement in the real property records of Eagle County at Reception No. 201320973. Montegra also filed its UCC Financing Statement with the Colorado Secretary of State on October 16, 2013 at Reception No. 20132090482. The balance due to Montegra on the Petition Date is approximately \$2,630,680.

2. Class 2 and 6, Vail Village Plaza Condominium Association ("VVP"). VVP holds Allowed Secured Claims against Barong and Sisu encumbering their respective real properties for condominium association dues, penalties, fees, and interest. The Class 2 and 6 claims are secured by the Barong and Sisu Properties pursuant to the Colorado Common Interest Ownership Act and accrues interest pursuant to statute.

VVP filed an amended proof of claim against the Barong estate in the amount of \$30,330. VVP filed an amended proof of claim against the Sisu estate in the amount of \$1,510.60.

C. Non-Priority Unsecured Creditors

The Debtors have a small number of unsecured pre-petition creditors which comprise Classes 3, 7, and 10. The lists below include the amount of the Claims as listed on each of the Debtor's Schedules, and the amount asserted by the creditor in its Proof of Claim, if filed. To the extent the amount filed by the creditor is different than the amount listed on the Debtors' Schedules, the amount of the Claim as filed by the creditor is considered in the analysis.

The total amount of the unsecured Claims currently asserted against each of the Debtors' estates are estimated as follows:

Class 3: Barong General Unsecured Creditors

<u>Creditor:</u>	Amount:	Proof of claim:
J.S. Lengel & Associates	\$2,750	n/a
Porterfield & Associates, LLC	\$5,324.79	No. 1

Class 7: Sisu General Unsecured Creditors

<u>Creditor:</u>	Amount:	Proof of claim:
J.S. Lengel & Associates	\$2,750	n/a
Porterfield & Associates, LLC	\$5,324.79 ²	n/a

Class 10: SMPH General Unsecured Creditors

Creditor:	Amount:	Proof of claim:
J.S. Lengel & Associates	\$2,250	n/a

These amounts do not include any anticipated deficiency amount on any undersecured claims, as the total Net Proceeds from the sale of the Barong and Sisu Real Properties are estimated to be greater that the total secured claims. However, if the Net Proceeds are not sufficient to pay the secured claims in full, then pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §506, the unpaid portion of those claims shall be treated as unsecured. The Debtors also anticipate that the unsecured creditor classes 3, 7, and 10 will be paid in full.

² These claims are duplicative of the claims asserted against Barong.

The total amount of unsecured debt which the Debtors believe will exist after the Barong and Sisu Real Properties are sold and any potential claim objections and appeals completed is approximately \$13,074.79. The amount of the unsecured Claims asserted against each of the Debtors' estates may increase or decrease depending on the amount owed on any deficiency Claims associated with secured lenders and on the results of any Claim objections. Classes 3, 7, and 10 are being treated as detailed in the Plan Description section of this Disclosure Statement and as set forth in the Plan.

D. Leases

Barong and Sisu are parties to a Commercial Lease ("Barong Lease") of all of their Real Property with Sharon Mou, Inc. ("SMI"). SMI is owned by Bonnie and Dennis Havlik. The SMI Lease was delinquent at the Petition Date in the amount of approximately \$125,000. SMI paid approximately \$111,000 post-Petition Date in July 2017. The SMI lease has been paid late on a post-Petition Date basis, and will be rejected under the Plan. The SMI Lease term ends in October 2017, so the lease will also automatically terminate.

SMPH is a party to a Commercial Lease with 3 Dragons, LLC, which will be assumed under the Plan.

VI. DESCRIPTION OF THE PLAN

A. General Description

The Debtors filed their Plan of Reorganization with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Colorado on August 14, 2017. The Plan may be amended prior to confirmation. The Plan provides for the reorganization of the Debtors under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Pursuant to the Plan, the Debtors shall restructure their debts and obligations, sell certain properties, and otherwise continue to operate in the ordinary course of business. Funding of the Plan will be derived from the sale of Barong and Sisu Properties.

The Plan provides for the specification and treatment of all creditors and interest holders of the Debtor. The Plan identifies whether each Class is impaired or unimpaired. A Class is unimpaired only if the Plan leaves unaltered the legal, equitable or contractual obligations between the Debtor and the unimpaired claimants or interest holders. The following is a brief summary of the

Plan. The actual text of the Plan should be reviewed for more specific detail. In the event of any

conflict between the Plan and this Disclosure Statement, the terms of the Plan govern.

As provided in § 1123(a)(1) of the Code, the Administrative and Tax Claims against the

Debtor are not designated as classes. The holders of such Allowed Claims are not entitled to vote on

the Plan and such claims will be paid in full.

В. Claims

> **Unclassified Priority Claims** 1.

> > **Administrative Claims**

The holders of Allowed Claims of the type specified in Section 507(a)(2) of the Code,

Administrative Claims, shall receive cash equal to the Allowed amount of such Claim or a lesser

amount or different treatment as may be acceptable and agreed to by particular holders of such

Claims. Such Claims shall be paid in full on the Effective Date of the Plan, or as otherwise agreed

to by the particular holders of such Claims. Section 507(a)(2) Administrative Claims that are

Allowed by the Court after the Effective Date of the Plan shall be paid upon Allowance.

The Debtors intend to pay their administrative expenses in the ordinary course during the

bankruptcy case and therefore do not believe that any material administrative claim exists against the

bankruptcy estate, except for the administrative claims of the Debtors' professionals as set forth

below.

Barong and Sisu Bankruptcy Counsel

As of the Petition Date, Kutner Brinen, P.C. ("KB"), held a pre-petition retainer for payment

of post-petition fees and costs for the Barong and Sisu debtors as follows:

Barong: \$5,001

Sisu: \$5,001

The retainer was paid by Sharon Mou and KB has been authorized to be paid 75% of monthly fees

and 100% of costs on an ongoing basis subject to filing interim fee applications. As of July 31,

2017, KB's fees totaled approximately \$12,653 for Barong and \$9,255 for Sisu. This amount has

not yet been paid, and KB has not yet applied the retainers.

SMPH Bankruptcy Counsel

As of the Petition Date, Coan, Payton & Payne, LLC ("CPP"), held a pre-petition retainer for

payment of post-petition fees and costs for SMPH in the amount of \$5,002. The retainer was paid by

Sharon Mou. As of July 31, 2017, CPP's fees totaled approximately \$0.

13

Barong, Sisu, and SMPH Accountant

All three Debtors retained the accounting firm of McGraw & McGraw, P.C. ("Accountant") to provide accounting services to the Debtor during this case. The amount due to Accountant as of

July 31, 2017 is:

Barong: \$1,700

Sisu: \$1.700

Accountant has filed a fee application for approval and payment of the accrued fees.

All Administrative Claims of professionals are subject to Court approval on notice to creditors with an opportunity for a hearing. Certain professional fees may be paid pursuant to interim fee applications and upon Court allowance. The fees set forth above are the total fees expected in the case as of the estimated Confirmation Date of the Plan, assuming minimal litigation over the Plan.

> h. **Tax Claims**

The Allowed Claims of a type specified in Section 507(a)(8) of the Code, unsecured Tax Claims of governmental taxing authorities, shall be paid in full on the Effective Date of the Plan.

United States Trustee Fees

All payments due from the Debtor to the U.S. Trustee pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1930(a)(6) shall be paid on the Effective Date, and the U.S. Trustee shall thereafter be paid fees due on a quarterly basis until the case is closed, converted, or dismissed. The Debtors shall request entry of a final decree closing the case on or before the later of the date all Claim objections and any pending litigation is concluded or after the Debtors receives a discharge.

2. Class 1, 5, and 9, Montegra Capital Resources, Ltd.

The Class 1, 5 and 9 claims are impaired by the Plan. These claims shall continue to be secured by the Properties, and the SMPH Property and paid the amount of \$2,630,680 upon the sale or refinance of the Properties no later than June 30, 2018, or at a later date if agreed by the Class 1, 5, and 9 claimants. As of the Effective Date of the Plan, the Class 1, 5, and 9 Claims shall accrue interest at the contact, non-default rate of interest as set forth in the applicable loan documents.

3. Class 2 and 6, Vail Village Plaza Condominium Association The Class 2 and 6 claims are impaired by the Plan. These claims shall continue to be secured by the Barong Property and Sisu Property as appropriate, and paid in the amounts of \$30,330 for Class 2 and \$1,510.60 for Class 6 upon the sale or refinance of the Properties in the order of priority as determined by applicable law. The Properties shall be sold or refinanced no later than June 30, 2018, or at a later date if agreed by the Class 2 and 6 claimants.

4. Class 3, 7, and 10, Unsecured Claims

Classes 3, 7, and 10 consists of those unsecured creditors of the Debtors who hold Allowed Unsecured Claims. Classes 3, 7, and 10 claimants shall receive distributions of the principal amount of their claims from the Net Proceeds which remain from sale or refinance of the Properties after Classes 1, 2, 5, 6, and 9 and priority claims are paid in full. Distributions shall be made pro rata until the Classes 3, 7, and 10 claims are paid in full. If the Net Proceeds are sufficient to pay Classes 3, 7, and 10 in full, these claims shall accrue interest at the rate of 3% per annum beginning on the Effective Date of the Plan.

5. Class 4, 8, and 11, Interests

Class 4, 8, and 11 consist of the interests held by the members of each of their respective estates. Classes 4, 8, and 11 are unimpaired by this Plan. On the Effective Date of the Plan all membership interests in the Debtors held by Classes 4, 8, and 11 shall be retained by the existing members.

VII. PLAN FEASIBILITY

The Debtors believe that the Plan, as proposed, is feasible. The anticipated value of the Barong and Sisu Properties together are estimated to sell for approximately \$4,000,000, which is more than sufficient to pay all closing costs, realtors fees, priority creditors, secured creditors, and unsecured creditors in full. The Debtors have hired a realtor with extensive knowledge of the Vail community, investors, and market to sell the Barong and Sisu Properties for maximum value. The location and history of the Properties attract a wide variety of investors who are looking to own real commercial real property in the exclusive Vail area. The Barong and Sisu Properties are both located in Vail Village. Located along Gore Creek at the base of the Vail Ski Resort, Vail is the hub of the Eagle River Valley. It is a combination of lodging, retail, commercial and residential development centered on the recreation industry with access from Interstate 70. The Barong Property is located along Grouse Creek Drive in the west/central portion of the Vail "village" area.

The village is a heavy concentration of high-end residential condominiums and retail, lodging and commercial operations.

The Barong Property consists of six legally separate condominium units configured to comprise three separate, non-contiguous areas. One area is finished into a self-contained restaurant with a kitchen/food preparation area, a bar and both interior and exterior seating areas; one area is unfinished storage; and the last area is finished and currently used as a bakery preparation and pastry cooking area. The restaurant area has an estimated 1,750 square feet, the storage area approximately 1,200 square feet and the bakery has an estimated 896 square feet. Thus, the Barong Property has a total estimated area of 3,846 square feet. The Barong Property currently houses a restaurant

The Sisu Property consists of five legally separate garage condominium units located in an underground, covered security gated parking area. These covered parking spaces are rarely up for sale and are currently used in conjunction with the operations at the Barong Property. Both the Barong and Sisu Properties are being marketed together.

The Sisu parking garage spaces are walking distance away from the Barong Property at 68 E Meadow Drive. The parking garage spaces are legally described as Units 784, 791, 792, 800 and 801 and are also within Vail Village. Having parking within Vail Village is an attribute not commonly available in this market and will continue to benefit the owner as Vail continues to attract more visitors annually and parking becomes more scarce.

The Debtors believe the Properties will sell at approximately \$4,000,000 is because Vail is one of the top family-oriented ski destinations in North America with a very loyal, affluent and year-round following. Vail has over 1.6 million annual visitors and attracts high end clientele from all over the world. Nestled up to the base of the ski mountain, the village experience is at once timeless and vibrant. The highly regarded fine dining scene is matched by stellar shopping experiences. Family and group friendly options abound with ice skating rinks, a high-end movie theater, ritzy bowling, premier shopping and event concert venues are all within a few minutes' walk of the Barong Property.

Further, the Properties are restaurant ready. The Barong Property has one area that is a self-contained restaurant with a kitchen/food preparation area, a bar and both interior and exterior seating areas; one area is unfinished storage; and the last area is finished and currently used as a bakery

preparation and pastry cooking area. At 3,846 square feet including the pastry area, plus two outside seating areas, this property has the ideal layout for restaurant space for a tenant hoping to benefit from this premier location.

Coveted as one of the largest ski resorts in the world with more than 5,200 acres of developed ski and snowboard terrain, seven legendary Back Bowls spanning seven miles, and the most groomed terrain on the planet, Vail has been an extraordinary winter vacation destination for passionate skiers and snowboarders for more than 50 years. The average family of four spends over \$20,000 for a vail vacation. Vail has been rated the most expensive ski vacation in North America, beating out towns such as Aspen and Telluride.

VIII. TAX CONSEQUENCE

Regulations require you to be informed that, to the extent this section includes any tax advice, it is not intended or written by the Debtor or its counsel to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding federal tax penalties. Each party affected by the Plan should consult its own tax advisor for information as to the tax consequences of Plan confirmation. Generally, unsecured creditors should have no tax impact as a result of Plan confirmation. The recovery of each creditor is payment on account of a debt and generally not taxable, unless the creditor wrote off the debt against income in a prior year in which case income may have to be recognized. Interest holders may have very complicated tax effects as a result of Plan confirmation.

IX. LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS UNDER CHAPTER 7

The principal alternative to the Debtors' reorganization under Chapter 11 is a conversion of the case to Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code. Chapter 7 requires the liquidation of the Debtors' assets by a Trustee who is appointed by the United States Trustee's office. In a Chapter 7 case, the Chapter 7 Trustee would take over control of the assets and be the principal party involved in reviewing claims. Any remaining non-exempt assets would be liquidated and the proceeds distributed to creditors in the order of their priorities. Funds would first be used to pay priority claims of the Chapter 11 case and the Chapter 7 case. Section 326 of the Bankruptcy Code defines

the limitations of compensation of the Chapter 7 Trustee. Following the payment of the Chapter 7 costs and expenses of administration, the Chapter 7 Trustee would pay the Chapter 11 costs and expenses of administration, and then other priority claims existing in the Chapter 11 bankruptcy case.

It is doubtful that a Chapter 7 trustee could realize as much from the Debtors' assets as the Debtors. Given the uncertainty that a Chapter 7 conversion would bring and the fire sale liquidation that would likely follow, the Debtors' Plan presents a far better alternative for creditors. It is urged that all creditors vote to accept the Debtors' Plan.

DATED: August 28, 2017

Kutner Brinen, P.C. ("KB") has acted as legal counsel to Barong and Sisu on bankruptcy matters during the Chapter 11 cases. KB has prepared this Disclosure Statement with information provided primarily by the debtors. The information contained herein has been approved by Barong and Sisu. KBG has not made any separate independent investigation as to the veracity or accuracy of the statements contained herein.

Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors- In-Possession, Barong and Sisu:

KUTNER BRINEN, P.C.

By: /s/ Jenny M.F. Fujii

Jenny M.F. Fujii

1660 Lincoln Street, Suite 1850

Denver, CO 80264

Telephone: (303) 832-2400 Telecopier: (303) 832-1510 Email: jmf@kutnerlaw.com

Coan, Payton, & Payne, LLC ("CPP") has acted as legal counsel to SMPH on bankruptcy matters during the Chapter 11 case. CPP has prepared this Disclosure Statement with information provided primarily by SMPH. The information contained herein has been approved by SMPH. CPP has not made any separate independent investigation as to the veracity or accuracy of the statements contained herein.

Counsel to the Debtor and Debtor- In-Possession, SMPH:

COAN, PAYTON, & PAYNE, LLC

By: /s/ Robert D. Lantz

Robert D. Lantz, Esq. 999 18th Street South Tower | Suite S1500 Denver, CO 80202

Telephone: 303-861-8888 Email: rlantz@cp2law.com