Case No. 2:15-cv-02390-APG-CWH, United States District Court, Las Vegas.

3.

26

27

28

Peerless Indemnity Insurance Company v. LFG, Saffari, Cobb, and Cunningham,

Case 16-50850-btb Doc 65 Entered 08/25/16 14:47:42 Page 2 of 2

Civil actions 1 and 2 have been consolidated and as of the Petition Date, documents have 1 been exchanged in accordance with NRCP 16.1. 2 3 In these cases, LFG's claims against Novotak are essentially contingent assets which LFG 4 may pursue against Novotak. [Note: on August 24, 2016, the parties to these consolidated actions 5 participated in a voluntary settlement conference conducted by retired District Court judge Brent 6 Adams. No settlement was reached. Following that conference, LFG consented to the lifting of 7 the automatic stay to permit Novotak to pursue claims in state court on the condition that any 8 recovery would be limited to available insurance proceeds, if any. 9 In the event the settlement conference is unsuccessful, LFG reserves the right to pursue its 10 11 affirmative claims against Novotak. 12 Civil action 3, Peerless Indemnity v. LFG, et al. is a declaratory relief action pending in 13 federal court. Peerless seeks a determination that LFG, Saffari, Cobb and/or Cunningham are not 14 entitled to coverage under a Commercial General Liability Policy or a Commercial Umbrella 15 Liability Policy. 16 LFG has not filed an answer to the <u>Peerless</u> action; however, in the event it is required to 17 do so, available coverage is deemed by LFG to be an asset of the bankruptcy estate. 18 19 Leap Forward Gaming, Inc. 20 By /S/ Darby Bryant Darby Bryant, CFO/Controller 21 DATED: August 25, 2016. 22 23 **HARTMAN & HARTMAN** 24 /S/ Jeffrey L. Hartman Jeffrey L. Hartman 25 Attorney for Debtor 26 27

28