UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
	X	
In re:	:	
	:	Chapter 11
BRONX MIRACLE GOSPEL TABERNACLE	:	•
WORD OF FAITH MINISTRIES f/k/a	:	Case No. 17-11395 (SMB)
BRONX MIRACLE GOSPEL TABERNACLE,	:	
	:	
Debtor.	:	
	X	

### MEMORANDUM DECISION GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS CASE

#### APPEARANCES

## **CARDENAS ISLAM & ASSOCIATES, PLLC**

Attorneys for Debtor 175-61 Hillside Avenue, Suite 302 Jamaica, New York 11432

> Barak P. Cardenas, Esq. Of Counsel

## WILLIAM K. HARRINGTON

United States Trustee U.S. Federal Office Building 201 Varick Street, Rm 1006 New York, New York 10014

Serene K. Nakano, Esq. Of Counsel.

#### **ROBINOWITZ COHLAN DUBOW & DOHERTY LLP**

Attorneys for Newell Funding, LLC 199 Main Street White Plains. New York 10601

> Bruce Minkoff, Esq. Of Counsel

# STUART M. BERNSTEIN United States Bankruptcy Judge:

The United States Trustee has moved to dismiss this chapter 11 case. His motion is supported by Newell Funding, LLC ("Newell"), the sole secured creditor of the

debtor's principal asset, and is opposed by the debtor. For the reasons that follow, the motion is granted.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The debtor is a not-for-profit religious corporation that filed this chapter 11 case *pro se* on May 22, 2017. After retaining counsel, it filed Schedules (ECF Doc. # 15) indicating that its principal asset was real estate (the "Property") worth \$3.5 million located in the Bronx. The Property was encumbered by a mortgage in the sum of \$1.1 million held by Newell, and the Schedules did not list Newell's secured claim as contingent, unliquidated or disputed. In addition, the debtor did not schedule any causes of action or claims against third parties. The Schedules were signed under the penalty of perjury by Rev. Dr. Keith Elijah Thompson, the president of the debtor.

On November 13, 2017, Newell moved for relief from the automatic stay. (ECF Doc. # 29.) The motion for stay relief revealed that Newell had made a \$425,000 loan secured by the Property on March 5, 2008, and the loan matured one year later. The debtor defaulted, Newell commenced a mortgage foreclosure action, and the state court granted a Judgment of Foreclosure on March 2, 2017 which included a money judgment in the sum of \$1,196,033.99 (the "Judgment"). Newell subsequently filed a proof of claim in the amount of \$1,381,054.53, and submitted an appraisal in connection with its motion for stay relief ascribing a value of \$710,000.00 to the Property.

The debtor responded to Newell's motion acknowledging that it would have to return to state court for the relief it needed. (See Affidavit of Dr. Keith Elijah Thompson in Response to the Motion of Newell Funding for Relief from the Automatic

Stay, sworn to Dec. 5, 2017 ("Response") (ECF Doc. # 30).) Rev. Thompson stated his belief that the Judgment "was entered upon false information provided to the State Court," (id. ¶ 15), and concluded:

I am prepared to take this matter back to the State Court where the Church will be able to pursue all of its State Court remedies as I am currently in possession of newly discovered information to challenge the Judgment and I believe that at this time it is in the best interest of the Church that the Church seeks recourse in the State Court in order to attack the Judgment.

( $\mathit{Id}$ . ¶ 16.) In light of the debtor's position, the Court granted stay relief to Newell to complete the foreclosure and permit the debtor to pursue the claims identified in the *Response*. (ECF Doc. # 33.)

The U.S. Trustee thereafter moved to dismiss the case on January 8, 2018. He argued that the Court had granted stay relief regarding the Property, there was substantial loss to the estate and there was no likelihood of rehabilitation.

(Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion of the United States Trustee to Dismiss this Case, dated Jan. 8, 2018 (ECF Doc. # 38-4).)

The debtor, through new counsel, opposed the motion to dismiss. (*See Letter from Barak P. Cardenas, Esq. to the Court*, dated May 11, 2018.) It contended that there had been no diminution to the estate and there was a reasonable likelihood of rehabilitation. Specifically, the debtor asserted that Newell had converted and/or failed to allocate to the debtor certain alleged excess rents pledged by Rev. Thompson that were generated by properties he personally owned. The debtor also asserted that it had

Because the debtor is a not-for-profit corporation its case cannot be converted to chapter 7 unless the debtor requests conversion. 11 U.S.C. § 1112(c).

a legal malpractice claim against its attorney in the state court foreclosure action for failing to raise the debtor's lack of authority to enter into the Newell mortgage and a day care center that was formerly a tenant on the Property.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Bankruptcy Code § 1112(b) authorizes a court to dismiss a chapter 11 case for cause. "Cause" includes a "substantial or continuing loss to or diminution of the estate and the absence of a reasonable likelihood of rehabilitation." 11 U.S.C. § 1112 (b)(4)(A). The last monthly operating report filed by the debtor covering November 2017, (ECF Doc. # 51), showed a cumulative net operating loss of \$45,140.29 since the Petition Date. Adding in the unpaid chapter 11 expenses, the cumulative net loss totaled \$82,838.29. By memorandum endorsement and order signed May 7, 2018, the Court granted the debtor's request to have until May 18, 2018 to file the remaining monthly operating reports, (ECF Doc. # 56), but the debtor failed to comply. Instead, the debtor submitted a second request to extend the deadline to file the monthly operating reports until five days after the Court decides the motion to dismiss. (ECF Doc. # 63.) The granting of the motion to dismiss moots this request which is otherwise unacceptable because all chapter 11 debtors must disclose the results of their operations by filing monthly operating reports, but based on what the debtor has filed, I find that the estate is suffering a continuing loss and diminution.

I also find that it is not reasonably likely that the debtor will be able to rehabilitate. "If the debtor or some other party in interest is unable or unwilling to put together a convincing business plan within a *reasonable amount of time*, and can offer neither a valid justification for the failure to do so nor a reasonable prospect of being

able to accomplish the task in the near future, there is often little reason to proceed with the reorganization." 7 Collier on Bankruptcy ¶ 1112.04 (6th ed. 2018) (emphasis added). The debtor filed this case one year ago, and the Court has granted Newell relief from the automatic stay to complete the foreclosure of the debtor's principal asset, the Property. Further, the main thrust of the debtor's opposition is that it owes Newell less than the Judgment (or nothing) based on Newell's misconduct and the debtor's lack of authority to enter into the mortgage. However, these claims are foreclosed by the Judgment. Moreover, the debtor recently moved in state court to vacate the Judgment based on the same claims it makes here. In a decision dated Apr. 26, 2018, (ECF Doc. # 57-1), the state court denied the motion, concluding that the allegations regarding Newell's misconduct had already been determined by the court when it granted Newell's motion for summary judgment. In addition, the state court rejected the debtor's arguments that the mortgage was unauthorized and the underlying debt was usurious. It concluded that "[t]he judgment of foreclosure and sale is not vacated and the sale of the premises may proceed."

The debtor cannot, therefore, base its prospects for rehabilitation on its claims against Newall. Additionally, the claims of professional malpractice against the debtor's state court attorney and its former tenant are speculative, will take years to litigate, and do not provide the basis for a successful rehabilitation within a reasonable time in the near future.

At bottom, this chapter 11 case no longer serves any purpose. The debtor filed the case to stay the foreclosure sale and refinance its debt. (*Affidavit Pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 1007-2*, sworn to July 12, 2017, at ¶ 6 ("[F]acing the prospect of a

17-11395-smb Doc 64 Filed 05/24/18 Entered 05/24/18 08:42:51 Main Document

Pg 6 of 6

foreclosure sale of its Church Property, Petitioner had no alternative but to seek

protection under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in order to obtain time to refinance

the indebtedness to Newell or to complete the negotiation of the development of the

property where the developer would assist Petitioner with the necessary funding to

resolve its obligations with Newell.") (ECF Doc. # 14.) The Court granted relief from the

stay, the foreclosure will proceed, and the debtor has been unable to refinance its debt to

Newell in the year since the Petition Date. The debtor can continue to pursue any

remedies it may have against Newell or anyone else in state court, but cannot remain in

chapter 11 while it does so.

The motion is granted, and the U.S. Trustee is directed to submit an order

dismissing this chapter 11 case.

Dated: New York, New York

May 23, 2018

/s/ Stuart M. Bernstein

STUART M. BERNSTEIN

United States Bankruptcy Judge

6