Main Document

Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Des¢

Page 1 of 45

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC

FIRST AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND PLAN OF REORGANIZATION FOR ORANGE GROVE SERVICE, INC.

Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION.	1
II.	GENERAL DISCLAIMER AND VOTING PROCEDURE.	2
III.	WHO MAY OBJECT TO CONFIRMATION OF THE PLAN	3
IV.	WHO MAY VOTE TO ACCEPT OR REJECT THE PLAN	3
V.	VOTES NECESSARY TO CONFIRM THE PLAN.	5
VI.	INFORMATION REGARDING VOTING IN THIS CASE	5
VII.	DESCRIPTION OF DEBTOR'S PAST AND FUTURE BUSINESS AND EVENTS	S
VIII.	PRECIPITATING BANKRUPTCY FILING	6
IX.	SIGNIFICANT EVENTS DURING THE BANKRUPTCY CASE	10
X.	CRITICAL PLAN PROVISIONS.	13
XI.	DESCRIPTION AND TREATMENT OF CLAIMS	18
XII.	SOURCE OF MONEY TO PAY CLAIMS AND INTERST HOLDERS	29
XIII.	ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF THE ESTATE.	32
XIV.	TREATMENT OF NONCONSENTING CLASSES	32
XV.	TREATMENT OF NONCONSENTING MEMBERS OF CONSENTING CLASS	
XVI.	(CHAPTER 7 LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS).	33
XVII.	FUTURE DEBTOR	30
XVIII.	SALE OR TRANSFER OF PROPERTY; ASSUMPTION OF CONTRACTS AND)
	LEASES; OTHER PROVISIONS.	.37
XIX.	TAX CONSEQUENCES OF PLAN.	38
XX.	EFFECT OF CONFIRMATION OF PLAN.	38
I		

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

On March 25, 2010, Orange Grove Service, Inc. ("Debtor" or "Proponent") filed a bankruptcy petition under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code ("Code"). The document you are reading is **both** the First Amended Plan of Reorganization ("Plan") and the First Amended Disclosure Statement¹. Debtor has proposed the Plan to treat the claims of the Debtor's creditors and, if applicable, the interests of shareholders or partners and to reorganize the Debtor's business affairs. A disclosure statement describes the assumptions that underlie the Plan and how the Plan will be executed. The Bankruptcy Court ("Court") has approved the form of this document as an adequate disclosure statement, containing enough information to enable parties affected by the Plan to make an informed judgment about the Plan. The Court has not yet confirmed the Plan, which means the terms of the Plan are not now binding on anyone.

The Proponent has reserved ______ at ____ a.m. in Courtroom 1639 for a hearing to determine whether the Court will confirm the Plan.

Any interested party desiring further information should contact the Debtor's attorney, Jerome S. Cohen, 3731 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 514, Los Angeles, CA 90010, Telephone (213) 388-8188.

¹ Initially the Debtor's Bankruptcy Case was assigned to the Honorable Alan M. Ahart. Pursuant to Administrative Order 11-04 dated 4/15/2011, the Debtor's Bankruptcy Case was reassigned from Judge Alan M. Ahart to Judge Ellen Carroll. This Disclosure Statement and Plan was drafted pursuant to Judge Ahart's mandatory format. Please note that the format prescribed by Judge Ahart is different from the Chapter 11 Disclosure Statement and Plan format adopted by the Central District of California.

II. GENERAL DISCLAIMER AND VOTING PROCEDURE

PLEASE READ THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING THE ATTACHED EXHIBITS, CAREFULLY. IT EXPLAINS WHO MAY OBJECT TO CONFIRMATION OF THE PLAN. IT EXPLAINS WHO IS ENTITLED TO VOTE TO ACCEPT OR REJECT THE PLAN. IT ALSO TELLS ALL CREDITORS WHAT TREATMENT THEY CAN EXPECT TO RECEIVE UNDER THE PLAN, SHOULD THE PLAN BE CONFIRMED BY THE COURT. THE SOURCES OF FINANCIAL DATA RELIED UPON IN FORMULATING THIS DOCUMENT ARE SET FORTH IN THE <u>DECLARATION OF ARTURO FLORES</u> BELOW. ALL REPRESENTATIONS ARE TRUE TO THE PROPONENT'S BEST KNOWLEDGE.

NO REPRESENTATIONS CONCERNING THE DEBTOR THAT ARE INCONSISTENT WITH ANYTHING CONTAINED HEREIN ARE AUTHORIZED EXCEPT TO THE EXTENT, IF AT ALL, THAT THE COURT ORDERS OTHERWISE.

After carefully reviewing this document and the attached exhibits, please vote on the enclosed ballot and return it in the enclosed envelope.

The Proponent has not reserved a hearing date for a hearing to determine whether the Court will confirm the Plan. If, after return of the ballots, it appears that the Proponent has the requisite number of votes required by the Code, the Proponent will file a motion for an order confirming the Plan (the "Confirmation Motion").

The Confirmation Motion shall at least be served on all impaired creditors and partners and shareholders who reject the Plan and on the Office of the United States Trustee. Any opposition to the Confirmation Motion shall be filed and served on the Proponent no later than fourteen days prior to the hearing date. Failure to oppose confirmation of the Plan may be deemed consent to the Plan's confirmation.

III. WHO MAY OBJECT TO CONFIRMATION OF THE PLAN

Any party in interest may object to confirmation of the Plan, but, as explained below, not everyone is entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan.

IV. WHO MAY VOTE TO ACCEPT OR REJECT THE PLAN

It requires both an allowed and impaired claim or interest in order to vote either to accept or reject the Plan. A claim is defined by the Code to include a right to payment from the Debtor.

An interest represents an ownership stake in the Debtor.

In order to vote, a creditor or interest-holder must first have an <u>allowed claim or interest</u>. With the exceptions explained below, a claim is allowed if proof of the claim or interest is properly filed before any bar date and no party in interest has objected, or if the court has entered an order allowing the claim or interest. Please refer to Section VI below for specific information regarding bar dates in this case.

Under certain circumstances a creditor may have an allowed claim even if a proof of claim was not filed and the bar date for filing a proof of claim has passed. A claim is deemed allowed if the claim is listed on the Debtor's schedules and is not scheduled as disputed, contingent, or unliquidated.

Similarly, an interest is deemed allowed if it is shown on the list of equity security holders filed by the Debtor with the court and is not scheduled as disputed.

In order to vote, an allowed claim or interest must also be impaired by the Plan.

<u>Impaired creditors</u> include those whose legal, equitable, and contractual rights are altered by the Plan, even if the alteration is beneficial to the creditor. A contract provision that entitles a

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 6 of 45

creditor to accelerated payment upon default does not, however, necessarily render the claimant impaired, even if the Debtor defaulted and the Plan does not provide the creditor with accelerated payment. The creditor is deemed unimpaired so long as the Plan cures the default, reinstates the maturity of such claim as it existed before default, compensates for any damages incurred as a result of reasonable reliance upon the acceleration clause, and (except for a default arising from failure to operate a nonresidential lease subject to 11 U.S.C.A. § 365 (b)(1)(A) (West Supp. 2006) compensates for any actual pecuniary loss incurred as a result of any failure to perform a non-monetary obligation.

<u>Impaired interest-holders</u> include those whose legal, equitable, and contractual rights are altered by the Plan, even if the alteration is beneficial to the interest-holder.

There are also some types of claims that the Code requires be treated a certain way. For that reason they are considered unimpaired and therefore holders of these claims cannot vote.

To summarize, there are two prerequisites to voting: a claim or interest must be both allowed and impaired under the Plan.

If a creditor or interest-holder has an allowed and impaired claim or interest, then he or she may vote either to accept or reject the Plan (unimpaired claimants or interest-holders are deemed to have accepted the Plan). Impaired claims or interests are placed in classes and it is the class that must accept the Plan. Members of unimpaired classes do not vote, although as stated above, they may object to confirmation of the Plan. Even if all classes do not vote in favor of the Plan, the Plan may nonetheless be confirmed if the dissenting classes are treated in a manner prescribed by the Code. Please refer to Section VI below for information regarding impaired and unimpaired classes in this case.

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 45

Section X sets forth which claims are in which class. Secured claims are placed in separate classes from unsecured claims. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3018(d) provides: "A creditor whose claim has been allowed in part as a secured claim and in part as an unsecured claim shall be entitled to accept or reject a plan in both capacities."

V. VOTES NECESSARY TO CONFIRM THE PLAN

The Court may confirm the Plan if at least one non-insider impaired class of claims has accepted and certain statutory requirements are met as to both non-consenting members within a consenting class and as to dissenting classes. A class of claims has accepted the Plan when more than one-half in number and at least two-thirds in amount of the allowed claims actually voting, vote in favor of the Plan. A class of interests has accepted the Plan when at least two-thirds in amount of the allowed interests of such class actually voting have accepted it. It is important to remember that even if the requisite number of votes to confirm the Plan is obtained, the Plan will not bind the parties unless and until the Court makes an independent determination that confirmation is appropriate. That is the subject of any upcoming confirmation hearing.

VI. INFORMATION REGARDING VOTING IN THIS CASE

The bar date (deadline) for filing a proof of claim in this case was **August 20, 2010.**The bar date (deadline) for objecting to claims in this case was **September 20, 2010**.

In this case, the Proponent believes that **Classes 1-9** are impaired and are therefore entitled to vote. A party that disputes the Proponent's characterization of its claim or interest as unimpaired may request a finding of impairment from the Court in order to obtain the right to

1 2 3

vote.

Blvd., Suite 514, Los Angeles, CA 90010. Currently, the Proponent has not set a deadline to cast

ballots for or against the Plan. As soon as a deadline is set, the Proponent will send a notice to

Ballots must be received by the Proponent, addressed to: Jerome S. Cohen, 3731 Wilshire

2 3 4

1

5

6 7

8

9

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

|

//

VII. DESCRIPTION OF DEBTOR'S PAST AND FUTURE BUSINESS AND **EVENTS PRECIPITATING BANKRUPTCY FILING**

The Debtor is a California corporation formed in 1979, wholly owned by Arturo Flores. Arturo Flores serves as the Debtor's President and Diana Flores serves as the Secretary. What follows is a brief description of the Debtor's business and future business plans. For twentyseven (27) years, the Debtor owned and operated gas stations. In 2006, Debtor sold its last gas station(s) and purchased two commercial properties: (1) 308-388 Lemon Ave., Walnut, CA 91789 ("Lemon Creek Center") and (2) 2120-2150 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91803 ("Fremont Center"). Currently, Debtor is in the business of operating Lemon Creek Center and Fremont Center, which are "strip" shopping centers (collectively, the "Centers"). The rental income from the commercial tenants provides all of Debtor's income.

A. **Lemon Creek Center**

all parties in interest of the deadline.

In 2006, Debtor purchased Lemon Creek Center from Signal Walnut Partnership, LP ("SWP") for \$11,800,000.00. Debtor made a 40% down payment of \$4,800,000.00 in cash at closing, and financed the balance of the purchase price with a promissory note in the amount of \$7,080,000.00 from the seller of the property, SWP (the "SWP Note"). The SWP Note is an interest only note with a variable interest rate.

1. <u>SWP Litigation</u>

In 2009, SWP filed a complaint seeking the appointment of a receiver, in the Superior Court of Pomona captioned as *Signal Walnut Partnership*, *LP v. Orange Grove Service*, *Inc*.

Case No.: KC057439 (the "SWP Litigation"). Pre-petition, the Court declined to appoint a receiver and pre-petition the Debtor filed a cross complaint against SWP alleging fraud, intentional interference with contract and prospective business advantage, abuse of the foreclosure process, breach of fiduciary duty, etc. Subsequently, the Debtor contacted Michael Brown ("Brown") to represent Debtor in the SWP Litigation, to amend the cross-complaint against SWP, and to add certain parties that were involved in the sale of the Lemon Creek Center such as Phoebe Chen Huang ("Huang") of Coldwell Banker.

On February 15, 2011, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order approving Brown's employment as special litigation counsel (Docket #258). Brown intends to file the amended cross complaint shortly. Trial is currently set for April 9, 2012.

The misrepresentations, interference, and wrongful foreclosure actions of Joseph Kung ("Kung") the principal of SWP, precipitated the Debtor's bankruptcy filing. After purchasing the Lemon Creek Center, the Debtor began to discover discrepancies in what Kung had represented, and unresolved issues in existing tenant leases. Further, though Debtor cured all defaults outstanding with SWP (with the exception of certain disputed amounts) SWP commenced and pressed forward a non-judicial foreclosure. At the time of the scheduled sale, and after Debtor had met with Kung to temporarily restructure the loan payments to SWP, the Debtor was only one month behind in its loan payments to SWP. Tenants also informed the Debtor that Kung, the previous owner of the Lemon Creek Center, had informed the tenants not to pay rents to the Debtor because Kung was going to re-take the Lemon Creek Center. The

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 10 of 45

decrease in tenant income, the withholding of rental income from certain tenants, and the SWP Litigation caused the Debtor to seek relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code with all of Chapter 11's additional expenses.

2. Current Cash Flow and Fair Market Value

The Lemon Creek Center has twenty-six (26) units or 31,793 square feet of leasable space and a cell phone tower. Currently, twenty-one (21) units are rented and generate \$64,112.00 per month in gross rental income. On October 8, 2010, Lunetta Appraisal Company appraised Lemon Creek and valued Lemon Creek at \$7,500,000.00.

B. Fremont Center

 $\backslash \backslash$

//

In 2007, Debtor purchased the Fremont Center for the purchase price of \$5,400,000.00. The Debtor made a down payment of \$1,917,011.37 and paid an additional \$163,500.00 to Golden Escrow, Inc. for closing costs. The Debtor financed the rest of the purchase price through a loan from American Continental Bank ("ACB") in the amount of \$3,475,000.00, as evidenced by a promissory note ("ACB Note") dated November 23, 2007.

In 2008, Phoebe Chen Huang ("Huang") lent the Debtor \$250,000.00 in exchange for the exclusive right to market the Fremont Center and Lemon Creek Center. Huang was the agent who had sold the Debtor both the Fremont Center and Lemon Creek. The understanding was that Huang would be paid back from the sale of the Fremont Center. Huang was unable to sell the Fremont Center, and on October 19, 2009 recorded a deed of trust in the amount of \$275,000.00 encumbering the Fremont Center (the "Junior Lien").

In 2009, the Debtor invested \$250,000.00 in capital improvements to the Fremont Center.

1. <u>Current Cash Flow and Fair Market Value</u>

The Fremont Center has 14,160 sq. ft. of leasable space divided into nine (9) units.

Currently, 7,910 sq. ft. in seven (7) units are rented, generating \$23,152.00 per month in gross rental income.

The "end cap" of the Fremont Center (2120-2126 Fremont Avenue) is approximately 6,250 sq. ft. and has been vacant since November 2008. The "end cap" alone constitutes approximately 40% of the Fremont Center. On February 23, 2011, in connection with its motion for stay relief, ACB submitted an appraisal by Babcock & Macsoud valuing the Fremont Center at \$4,000,000.00 as of December 23, 2010.

On the Petition Date, the Debtor was current on its loan obligations to ACB. However, due to the vacancy of the "end cap", ACB asserts that Debtor is in non-monetary default of the ACB Note by breaching the ACB Note's leasing requirements. Originally, Debtor had reserved the "end cap" for the tenancy of ACE Hardware. However, Debtor had no unencumbered cash to finance the tenant improvements ACE Hardware required as a condition of its tenancy.

Since commencement of the case, the Debtor has divided the "end cap" into three units. In March 2011, a national credit tenant with a strong brand name expressed interest in leasing 1,600 square feet of the "end cap" and on April 28, 2011, this national credit tenant submitted its letter of intent to occupy the space. While this national credit tenant has submitted a letter of intent to the Debtor, it has requested that the Debtor not disclose its interest until the lease has been finalized.

In addition, commencing September 1, 2011, the Debtor anticipates a local florist to occupy an additional 960 square feet., leaving only 3,690 square feet of the original "end cap" vacant. These tenancies will cure Debtor's non-monetary breach of the ACB Note and generate

 $\backslash \backslash$

additional cash to fund Debtor's Plan. These prospective leases would make productive a sizable space that Debtor has historically had difficulty leasing. Further, the national credit tenant will revitalize and increase traffic to the Fremont Center, making the remaining vacant space easier to lease. Details regarding these new tenants are explained further detail in Section IX below.

Since commencing its bankruptcy case, Debtor has retained new bankruptcy counsel, retained an experienced chapter 11 accountant to sort Debtor's financial affairs, and found several new tenants to increase the Debtor's cash flow. The Debtor intends to use rental income collected from the Fremont Center and Lemon Creek Centers to fund the Plan.

Further details relating to the Debtor's financial condition and post-confirmation operation of the Debtor are found in sections IX, XI, XII, XV, and XVI.

VIII. SIGNIFICANT EVENTS DURING THE BANKRUPTCY CASE

The following is a summary of significant events in the Bankruptcy Case.

A. Substitution of Bankruptcy Counsel

On April 22, 2010, the Court entered its order authorizing Debtor's employment of Ori S. Blumenfeld of Wilson & Associates LLP ("Former Counsel") as general bankruptcy counsel.

On December 30, 2010, Debtor filed a combined Disclosure Statement and Plan. On February 9, 2011, the Court denied approval of Debtor's Disclosure Statement.

On February 10, 2011, Debtor substituted Jerome S. Cohen as general bankruptcy counsel and filed an employment application with the Court. Creditors SWP and ACB filed limited objections to Cohen's employment, limiting their objections to Cohen's post-petition retainer. On April 6, 2011, the Court entered an Order approving Cohen's employment as bankruptcy counsel and approving the post-petition retainer.

B. Employment of Professionals

The following professionals have been employed during the pendency of this case:

Name of Professional	Description	Date of Employment Application	Date of Order Granting Application
			04/22/2010
Ori S. Blumenfeld, Esq.	Former Bankruptcy Counsel	04/07/2010	(Terminated)
STC Management	Property Manager	05/20/2010	07/01/2010
Paul T. Gough, Esq.	State Court Counsel (AIMS Litigation)	08/03/2010	08/19/2010
James F. Lunetta and			
Bradley J. Lunetta	Appraisers	08/03/2010	08/19/2010
Neil Wachsberger	Marketing Broker	10/22/2010	(Terminated 4/2011)
	Special Litigation Counsel		
Michael R. Brown, Esq.	(SWP Litigation)	01/10/2011	02/15/2011
Jerome S. Cohen, Esq.	Bankruptcy Counsel	02/10/2011	04/19/2011
Hahn Fife & Company, LLP	Chapter 11 Accountants	03/07/2011	04/04/2011

C. Cash Collateral Stipulations and Orders

Debtor and creditors SWP and ACB have entered into several Cash Collateral stipulations during the pendency of this case. On January 27, 2011, through Former Counsel, Debtor filed motions for the use of cash collateral of SWP and ACB. The Court has entered orders approving both cash collateral motions. Currently, the Debtor submits monthly cash collateral budgets to ACB and SWP for approval.

D. Motion For Relief From the Automatic Stay – Vehicles

1. Class 5 DCFS USA, LLC

On June 1, 2010, the Class 5 secured creditor filed its Motion For Relief From the Automatic Stay with regard to Debtor's 2005 Mercedes vehicle ("Mercedes"). In August 2010, the Debtor and this creditor entered into a stipulation for adequate protection and plan treatment.

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 14 of 45

On August 11, 2010, the Court entered its order approving the stipulation, lifting the automatic stay as to the Mercedes.

2. Class 6 Ally Financial Inc., fka GMAC, Inc.

On June 22, 2010, the Class 6 secured creditor filed its Motion for Relief From the Automatic Stay with regard to Debtor's 2006 Chevrolet C1500. In July 2010, Debtor and this creditor entered into a stipulation for adequate protection and plan treatment. On July 22, 2010 the Court entered its order approving the stipulation and lifted the automatic stay as to the Chevrolet.

E. Motion For Relief From the Automatic Stay -- Real Property

1. Lemon Creek Center

//

On February 23, 2011, SWP filed its motion for relief from the automatic stay. On March 28, 2011, the Court granted relief from the automatic stay should the Debtor fail to (1) file an Amended Disclosure Statement and Plan by April 30, 2011²; (2) obtain an entry of an order approving the amended Disclosure Statement by June 17, 2011; and (3) obtain entry of an order confirming the amended Plan of Reorganization by July 15, 2011.³

2. Fremont Center

On February 23, 2011, ACB filed its motion for relief from the automatic stay. On March 28, 2011 the Court entered its order denying relief from the automatic stay and set adequate protection payments in the amount of \$13,864.61.

On April 12, 2011, the Court entered an order approving a stipulation between Debtor and SWP extending this deadline to May 6, 2011. *See* Docket #301.

³ Judge Ahart set this timetable before the Court transferred this case to Judge Carroll, and if Judge Carroll's calendar should require delays, the Debtor will seek appropriate adjustments.

F. Unison Deal

On January 20, 2011, the Debtor filed a motion for an order approving the sale of certain cell phone tower leases to Unison (the "Unison Deal"). On February 22, 2011, the Court denied the sale without prejudice.

IX. CRITICAL PLAN PROVISIONS

Listed below are the sources of money earmarked to pay creditors and interest-holders. The Debtor will commit all or such portion of earnings from rental income and personal services after the commencement of the case or other future income of the Debtor as is necessary for the execution of the Plan. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1123(a)(8).

A. Rental Income -- Lemon Creek Center

Currently, the Debtor receives monthly gross income in the amount of \$64,112.00 from the Lemon Creek Center. The monthly gross income consists of (1) rents and (2) common area maintenance ("CAM") charges⁴. Effective July 1, 2011, due to a reduction in CAM charges, the Debtor will receive a monthly gross income in the amount of \$62,052.00.

B. Rental Income – Fremont Center

Currently, the Debtor receives monthly gross income in the amount of \$23,152.00 for the Fremont Center. The monthly gross income consists of (1) rents and (2) CAM charges.

C. New Leases -- Lemon Creek Center

1. <u>Carmen's Mexican Restaurant.</u> Beginning November 2011, Debtor expects to receive \$3,744.00 per month in additional rental income under this new lease. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a letter from Vons, a subsidiary of Safeway Inc. ("Vons") approving the tenancy.

⁴ Debtor generally receives rent and CAM contribution (a pass-through) in one check. Debtor pays the maintenance expenses and shows the payments as expenses. It is important to note that Debtor's monthly income varies slightly due to fluctuations in CAM charges.

Vons, an owner of adjoining land and a major occupant of the total retail center, exercises rights

 $\backslash \backslash$

 $\backslash \backslash$

Carmen's Takeout Express: Beginning November 2011, Debtor expects to receive \$1,872.00 per month in additional rental income under this new lease.
 Hong Kong Express: Beginning September 2011, Debtor expects to receive

\$2,233.00 per month in additional rental income from this new lease.

- 4. <u>Verizon Wireless:</u> Beginning November 2011, Debtor expects to receive **\$2,132.00** per month in additional rental income under this new lease.
- 5. <u>U.S. Black Belt:</u> This tenant currently occupies Unit 366 and pays \$3,189.38 in monthly rent. On June 1, 2011, tenant is moving into bigger unit (Unit 352) and has agreed to pay an additional amount for a total of **\$5,460.00**.

D. New Leases—Fremont Center

to approve or disapprove Debtor's leases to restaurants.

- 1. National Credit Tenant: On April 28, 2011, Debtor received a letter of intent from a national credit tenant that requested anonymity until lease terms are finalized. The national credit tenant proposes to lease 1,600 sq ft. (Unit 2120-30) for a ten (10) year term at a monthly rent of \$4,800.00 per month. This prospective lease would make productive a sizable space that Debtor has historically had difficulty leasing. Further this particular national credit tenant will revitalize and increase traffic to the Fremont Center making the remaining vacant unit easier to lease.
- Florist: The Debtor is in negotiations with a florist to rent 960 sq. ft. (Unit 2120-26) for \$1,920.00 per month commencing September 1, 2011.

E. 2010 CAM Reimbursements

In 2010, Debtor advanced CAM charges payable by adjoining parcel owners (Chevron, Vons, Blockbuster, Wells Fargo). This month, the Debtor anticipates sending the 2010 CAM bills to the adjacent parcel owners and receiving, by June 2011, reimbursement of Debtor's 2010 advances for CAM from parcel owners in the total amount of \$45,000.

The Debtor will begin billing these parcel owners quarterly for 2011 CAM Reimbursements.

F. State Court Litigation

- 1. <u>AIMS Litigation</u>: On March 2, 2010, the Debtor filed a complaint to recover unpaid rents, Common Area Maintenance charges, commissions, and damages arising from defendant Aims Academy's breach of a lease agreement ("AIMS Lawsuit"). The AIMS Lawsuit is currently pending in Los Angeles Superior Court East District, Pomona as *Orange Grove Service, Inc. v. Cheng, et al*, Case No. KC058118. The trial is currently scheduled for May 23, 2011. In the Aims Lawsuit, the Debtor requests \$104,598 in damages. The Debtor anticipates prevailing in the AIMS Lawsuit and estimates receiving **\$101,250.00** by November 2011 and has provided for this amount in Debtor's Cash Flow (*See Exhibit B*).
- 2. <u>Chiang Litigation</u>: In February 2011, Debtor entered into a stipulated judgment with a tenant of the Lemon Creek Center, Steve Chiang ("Chiang"). According to the Stipulated Judgment, in February 2011, Chiang was to pay \$34,500.00. Chiang has made this payment. Further, commencing April 1, 2011 Chiang was to pay the total sum of \$11,000.00 in monthly installment payments of \$2,000.00 per month. The Debtor has agreed to allow Chiang to begin the \$2,000.00 payments in May 2011.

In tabular form, the following summarizes the Debtor's sources of money to fund its Plan.

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 18 of 45

	EEK CENTER Current Monthly Rental Income (each tenant's monthly pay	ment includes a			
	entribution to Common Area Maintenance to take effect July 1, 2011):	42.000.00			
356	Fantastic Hair (Pete Urquijo)	\$2,000.00 \$4,067.00			
332-34					
364	New York Pizza (Joe Babazadeh)	\$6,701.00			
360	Lemon Cleaners (Charles Kim)	\$3,481.00 \$3,682.00			
388	Donut Trees (Sandy Chhor)				
314	Family Medicine (Dr. Iskander)	\$2,880.00			
316	Ninja Sushi (Tomoichi Terashima)	\$2,664.00			
378	Farmer's Insurance (Frank Argumosa)	\$1,699.00			
386	Walnut Shoe Repair (Miguel Rivera)	\$1,727.00			
382	Postal Box Plus (Dave Hemangini)	\$1,848.00			
366	U.S. Black Belt (Yeon Kyu Han)	\$3,052.00			
330	Dentist (Dr. Diep)	\$3,299.00			
308 (b)	Ku Insurance (Elien Ku and Ivy Chang)	\$1,485.00			
	Recyling Center (Tomra)	\$1,700.00			
	Crown Castle (Tower 1)	\$1,541.00			
	T-Mobile (Tower 2)	\$1,292.00			
	AT&T (Tower 3)	\$1,795.00			
380					
370 Stress Buster (Claudia Lara)		\$1,500.00			
372-74 Bangkok BBQ (Sriutai Chada)		\$3,258.00			
376	Boba Café (Kittitod)	\$1,233.00			
336-346	Aims Academy (Steve Chiang)	\$8,080.00			
310	Vacant (new tenant moving in)				
312	Vacant (new tenant moving in)				
318	Vacant (new tenant moving in)				
352	Vacant (new tenant moving in)				
358	Vacant (new tenant moving in)				
Total Curre	nt Monthly Rental Income	\$62,052.00			
NEW LEAST	S Lemon Creek Center				
318	Hong Kong Express	\$2,233.00			
310	Carmen's Mexican Restaurant	\$3,744.00			
312 Carmen's Express Takeout		\$1,872.00			
US Black Belt					
	*** Tenant currently occupies Unit 366 . On June 1, 2011, tenant is				
	moving into a larger unit (Unit 352) and will pay a monthly rent of				
352	\$5,460.00. Only the rent increment is reflected here.	\$2,408.00			
358 Verizon \$2,132.00					
Total New Leases \$12,389.00					
Total Lemo	on Creek Center Current Plus Projected Monthly Income	<u>\$74,441.00</u>			

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 19 of 45

FREMONT CENTER Current Monthly Rental Income (each tenant's monthly payment includes its monthly contribution for Common Area Maintenance):						
	Pacific Bell	\$1,957.00				
	Kinh Ngo	\$2,500.00				
	Dr. Morse	\$2,700.00				
	David Ma	\$2,100.00				
	7-Eleven	\$8,900.00				
	Paul Baskaran					
	Subway					
	Vacancy (3690 sq. ft.)					
Total Curre	Total Current Monthly Rental Income \$23,152.00					
NEW LEAS	ES Fremont Center					
2120-30	A National Credit Tenant (1,600 sq. ft.)	\$4,800.00				
2120-26 Flower Shop (960 sq. ft.) \$1,920.0						
Total New	Total New Leases \$6,720.0					
Total Fremont Center Current Plus Projected Monthly Income \$29,872.00						

TOTAL CURRENT PLUS PROJECTED MONTHLY INCOME FOR BOTH CENTERS: \$104,313.00

STATE COURT LITIGATION				
_AIMS Litigation	\$101,250.00			
Chiang Settlement	\$2,000.00			
SWP Litigation	unknown			
2010 CAM Reimbursement	\$45,000.00			
Total Litigation	\$92,000.00			

X. <u>DESCRIPTION AND TREATMENT OF CLAIMS</u>

A. Overview of Plan Payments

Below is a summary of who gets paid what and when and from what source. The identity of members within a particular class is explained beginning on the next page. The second column lists the amount of each payment, or if only one is to be made, then that amount. The Proponent is usually not required by law to pay an unsecured creditor or interest holder everything it would otherwise be entitled to, had a bankruptcy case not commenced. The

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 20 of 45

"Payment Due Date" column states the frequency with which payments will be made and the starting and ending dates. Look at the starting date to figure out who will be paid before and after you and in what amount. The "Source of Payment" column describes the expected source of payment. Further details regarding the source of payment are found in Section XI.

The timing of payments to many creditors is determined by the "Effective Date."

Administrative claims, unless otherwise stated, must be paid by the Effective Date. The timing of payments to impaired creditors is measured from the Effective Date. The Plan anticipates

an Effective Date of August 10, 2011.

Payment Recipient	<u>Plan Payment</u>	Payment Due Date
Jerome S. Cohen Bankruptcy Counsel	\$30,000.00 estimated outstanding balance ⁵	Begin Date: Effective Date
		End Date:
	Monthly Payment: \$2,500.00	12 Months after the Effective Date
Hahn & Fife Chapter 11 Accountant	\$35,000.00 (estimated)	Begin Date: Effective Date
	Monthly Payment: \$2,917.00	End Date: 12 Months after the Effective Date
U.S. Trustee Quarterly Fees	Monthly Payment: \$1,950.00 per Quarter	Every Quarter
Clerk's Office Fees	\$1,000.00 (estimated)	Effective Date
Los Angeles County Treasurer & Tax Collector	Monthly Payment: \$978.04	Begin Date: April 25, 2011 End Date: March 25, 2015
Class 1 – Secured claim of Los Angeles County Transurer & Tay Collector	Monthly Payment: \$4,865.92	Begin Date: April 25, 2011
Treasurer & Tax Collector		End Date: March 25, 2015

⁵ Upon retaining Jerome S. Cohen as counsel, and from time thereafter, Debtor has deposited money with Cohen. At May 6, 2011, Cohen is holding in trust, for payment of fees for services and reimbursement of costs advanced the sum of \$67,044.00. Cohen has issued monthly invoices to the Debtor which should detail a balance due at March 31, 2011 of \$63,079.25. Cohen intends to file applications for orders approving fee requests and, if the Court approves, to draw down funds in trust to pay allowed fees and costs. Debtor estimates that after continued deposits and Cohen invoices, the estimated unpaid fees and costs at Effective Date will equal \$30,000.00 and it is this unpaid balance that Cohen has agreed to receive in deferred payments over 12 months.

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 21 of 45

Class 2 – Secured claim of Signal Walnut Partnership,	Debt Service : \$35,400.00 monthly for 60 months	Begin Date: Effective Date
<u>LP</u>	Balloon Payment in 60 months	End Date: 60 months from the Effective Date
	Arrears: \$30,000.00 on Effective Date \$6,500.00 monthly for 60 months	
Class 3 – Secured claim of	Debt Service: \$13,864.61 monthly	Begin Date: Effective Date
American Continental Bank	for 60 months Balloon Payment in 60 months	End Date: 60 months from the Effective Date
	Arrears: \$30,000.00 on Effective Date Remaining balance (estimated at	
	\$10,000.00) in monthly installment payments of \$833.33 for 12 months.	
Class 4 – Secured claim of Nelson Huang and Phoebe	Monthly Payment: \$5,189.59	Begin Date: Effective Date
<u>Chen Huang</u>		End Date: 60 months from the Effective Date
		**Claim will also be offset by any recovery from the SWP Litigation
Class 5 – Secured claim of DCFS USA, LLC	Monthly Payment: \$949.14	Begin Date: Effective Date
		End Date: 48 months from Effective Date
Class 6 – Secured claim of GMAC, Inc.	Monthly Payment: \$507.78	Begin Date: Effective Date
		End Date: 5 months from Effective Date
Class 7—Secured claim of GMAC, Inc.	Monthly Payment: \$500.00	Begin Date: August 3, 2010
CI. O	M. II. D	End Date: September 3, 2012
Class 8 Non-Priority Unsecured	Monthly Payment: \$5,861.28	Begin Date: Effective Date
Claims—Non Insiders		End Date: 60 months from the Effective Date
Class 9 Non-Priority Unsecured	Monthly Payment: \$366.66	Begin Date: Effective Date
Claims—Insiders		End Date: 60 months from the

Below is a detailed description and treatment of administrative expenses, claims and interests

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 22 of 45

B. Administrative Expenses

Administrative expenses are claims for costs or expenses of administering the Debtor's Chapter 11 case which are allowed under Code section 507(a)(2). These include the "actual, necessary costs and expenses of preserving the estate" as determined by the Court after notice to creditors of a request for payment and after a hearing thereon. The Code requires that each allowed administrative claim be paid in cash, in full, on the Effective Date of the Plan, unless a particular claimant agrees to a different treatment.

The following chart lists <u>all</u> of the Debtor's § 507(a)(2) administrative claims and their treatment under the Plan:

<u>Name</u>	Amount Owed	<u>Treatment</u>			
Jerome S. Cohen, Esq. Bankruptcy Counsel	\$30,000.00 (est.)	This claimant is entitled to payment in full from cash on hand on the Plan's Effective Date but has agreed to accept monthly payments of \$2,500.00 for 12 months starting on the Effective Date.			
Hahn &Fife Chapter 11 Accountant	\$35,000.00 (est.)	This claimant is entitled to payment in full from cash on hand on the Plan's Effective Date but has agreed to accept monthly payments of \$2,917.00 for 12 months starting on the Effective Date.			
Paul T. Gough, Esq. Counsel for AIMS Litigation	\$13,000.00 (est.)	Paid in full on Effective Date			
Los Angeles County Treasurer & Tax Collector (Claim for real property taxes accruing post-petition POC #10)	\$43,316.36 Per Stipulation, Docket # 298	This claimant is entitled to payment in full from cash on hand on the Effective Date, but claimant has stipulated to Plan treatment as follows: Beginning April 25, 2011, the claim shall be amortized over forty-eight (48) months at the interest rate of 4% per annum, resulting in monthly payments of \$978.04.			
Clerk's Office Fees	\$1,000.00 (est.)	Paid in full on Effective Date			
Office of the U.S. Trustee Fees	\$1,950.00	Paid in full on Effective Date			
TOTAL: \$124,266.36 (\$22,345.04 to be paid at Effective Date)					

C. Priority Unsecured Tax Claims

Priority tax claims are certain unsecured income, employment and other taxes described by Code Section 507(a)(8).

The Code requires that the holders of such claims receive regular installment payments in cash over a period ending not later than five years after the date of the order for relief, unless agreed otherwise. The claimant has not agreed otherwise. The total cash payments must have a present value equal to the amount of the allowed claim. The treatment of this claim is in a manner not less favorable than the most favored nonpriority unsecured claim provided in this Plan (other than any cash payments to an administratively convenient class).

The Debtor has no priority tax claims under Section 507(a)(8).

D. Secured Claims

Secured claims are those claims secured by liens on property of the estate.

The following charts list all classes containing Debtor's secured pre-petition claims and their respective treatments under this Plan:

CLASS#	DESCRIPTION	INSIDERS (Y/N)	IMPAIRED (Y/N)	TREATMENT
1	Secured claim of: L.A. County Treasurer and Tax Collector Collateral description: Lemon Creek Center Fremont Center Collateral value: \$11,500,000.00 Total Claim for 2008-2009 unpaid real property taxes: \$215,505.76 (Per Proof of Claim #8 and Stipulation, Docket # 297)	N	Creditor in this class is impaired and entitled to vote on the Plan	Payment amt/interval: \$4,865.92 monthly Begin date: April 25, 2011 End date: March 25, 2015 Interest rate: 4% Total Payout: \$233,564.16

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 24 of 45

CLASS #	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	INSIDERS (Y/N)	IMPAIR ED (Y/N)	TREATMENT
<u>#</u> 2	Secured claim of: Signal Walnut Partnership, LP	N	Y	Upon the Effective Date, the Reorganized Debtor
	("SWP")		Creditor in this	shall deliver to SWP a new note (the "New Note"), superseding the existing note in the following
	Collateral description: Lemon Creek Center		class is impaired	particulars:
	Collateral value:		and entitled to	Payment Amount: \$35,400.00 per month (original monthly payment)
	\$7,500,000.00 Priority of security interest:		vote on the Plan	Principal Balance : \$7,080,000.00
	1 st			Begin Date: Effective Date
	Original Principal Balance: \$7,080,000.00			End Date: The New Note shall mature and all unpaid principa and accrued but unpaid interest shall be fully due at
	Pre-petition Arrearage Amt: Late Charges: \$12,095.00			payable on the sixtieth (60th) month following the Effective Date (the "New Maturity Date").
	Attorney Fees: \$31,966.64 Foreclosure Fees: \$16,245.64 Consulting Fees: \$39,755.00			Interest Rate: 6% per annum as set forth in the Original Note
	Advances: \$1,537.22 Accrued Interest: \$90,318.33			ARREARS
	Post-petition Arrearage Amt: Attorney Fees: \$210,238.93			Total Amount of Pre and Post Petition Arrears: \$420,000.00 (Amount capped to fair market value of the state o
	Accrued Interest through Aug. 1, 2011: \$566,817.43			collateral) Payment Amount: \$36,500.00 on the Effective Day
	LESS Adequate Protection Payments through Aug. 2011:			and \$6,500.00 monthly thereafter
	\$493,364.24			Begin Date: Effective Date
	Total Amount of Pre and Post Petition Arrears: \$475,609.95			End Date: 60 months after Effective Date
	Total Amount of Claim: \$7,555,609.95			Treatment of Lien: Claimant shall retain its lien and accrue interest pending payment of its claim in full.
	Total Amount of Allowed Secured Claim:			The treatment of the Class 2 allowed claim describ in the Plan shall be in full settlement and satisfaction of the entire claim including all arrears.
	\$7,500,000.00			
	The claim is capped at the value of the collateral			Total Plan Payment:
	(\$7,500,000.00) because the claim of an oversecured creditor is entitled to			Effective Date: \$71,900.00 (\$35,400 + \$36,500) then \$41,900.00 monthly thereafter (\$35,400.00 +
	postpetition interest on its claim only to the extent the			\$6,500.00)
	interest, when added to the principal amount of the claim,			
	does not exceed the value of the collateral securing the claim.			
	Unsecured Portion of Claim: None			

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 25 of 45

		INSIDERS	<u>IMPAIRED</u>	
CLASS #	DESCRIPTION	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	<u>TREATMENT</u>
<u>#</u>		(1/11)	(1/14)	
3	Secured claim of:	N	Y	Upon the Effective Date the Reorganized Debtor shall
	American Continental Bank		Creditor in	deliver to ACB a new note (the "New Note") pursuant to the terms and conditions generally described herein.
			this class is	
	Collateral description: Fremont Center		impaired and entitled	Principal Balance: \$3,366,358.41
			to vote on	Payment Amount: \$13,864.61 per month
	Collateral value: \$4,000,000.00		the Plan	Begin Date: Effective Date
	(per ACB's appraisal			begin Date. Effective Date
	filed with the Court as			End Date:
	Docket # 266)			The New Note shall mature and all unpaid principal and accrued but unpaid interest shall be fully due and
	Priority of security			payable on the sixtieth (60th) month following the
	interest:1st			Effective Date (the "New Maturity Date").
	Principal Balance as of			
	April 15, 2010 per POC #2:			Interest Rate: Interest shall accrue in accordance with the terms of the
	<u>π2.</u>			Original Note. The interest rate is based on the Prime
	\$3,387,637.83			Rate as published in the Wall Street Journal (currently
	Post-petition accrued			3.25%), plus 0.250 percentage points, resulting in an initial interest rate on the Effective Date of 3.5%.
	interest:			
	ACB's stay relief motion filed on			Treatment of Lien:
	February 23, 2011			Claimant shall retain its lien and accrue interest
	asserts \$13,480.72 in post-petition accrued			pending payment of its claim in full. The treatment of the Class 3 allowed claim as described in the Plan shall
	interest. Debtor			be in full settlement and satisfaction of the entire claim
	disputes this calculation and believes there is			including all arrears.
	\$0.00 in owed in post-			<u>ARREARS</u>
	petition accrued interest.			August Farman I Cart \$22,200 (1 (are ACD) a Gu
	Further, as of April 14,			Attorney Fees and Cost: \$32,202.61 (per ACB's Stay Relief Motion, Docket #266)
	2011, Debtor has made			
	\$134,750.61 in adequate protection payments to			On the Effective Date the Reorganized Debtor shall deliver to ACB a lump sum of \$30,000.00 as payment
	ACB and calculates the			toward post-petition legal fees and costs.
	total unpaid principal balance in the amount of			The remaining balance, if any, shall be paid in monthly
	\$3,366,358.41			installment payments for twelve (12) months until such
	Attorney Fees and Costs			sums are paid in full. The Debtor estimates a remaining
	\$32,202.61 (estimated			balance of \$10,000.00. If so, the Plan will disburse monthly payments of \$833.33 for 12 months.
	per Stay Relief Motion,			V F 10
	Docket #266)			Total Plan Payment:
	Total Amount of			Effective Date:
	Allowed Secured Claim:			\$44,697.94 (\$13,864.61+\$30,833.33)
	\$3,398,561.02			then \$14,697.94 monthly thereafter (\$13,864.61+
	Unsecured Portion of			\$14,697.94 monthly thereafter (\$13,864.61+
	Claim: None			, '

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 26 of 45

2			INSIDERS	IMPAIRED	
3	CLASS #	DESCRIPTION	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	TREATMENT
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<u>CLASS</u> # 4	Secured claim of: Nelson L. Huang and Phoebe Chen Huang ("Huangs") Collateral description: Fremont Center Collateral value: \$4,000,000 (per ACB's appraisal filed with the Court as Docket # 266) Priority of security interest: 2nd Principal Balance: \$275,000.00 Secured Portion of Claim: \$275,000.00		Y	Currently, there is pending litigation in the Superior Court of Pomona between the Debtor and SWP captioned as: Signal Walnut Partnership, LP v. Orange Grove Service, Inc. Case No.: KC057439 (the "SWP Litigation") The Bankruptcy Court authorized the Debtor to hire Michael Brown to defend Debtor against the SWP Litigation and to file an amended cross-complaint against the Huangs and others involved in the sale of the Fremont Center and Lemon Creek Center. On February 15, 2011, the Court entered an order approving Michael Brown's employment as special litigation counsel (Docket #258). Trial is currently set for April 9, 2012. The Debtor's Plan proposes to pay the Class 4 claim in monthly installments for 60 months until resolution of the SWP Litigation. The Debtor will offset any recovery from the SWP Litigation against the Class 4 claim. Payment amt/interval: \$5,190.00 Begin date: Effective Date End date: 60 months from the Effective Date
19					Interest Rate: 5%
20					Total Payout: \$311,400.00

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 0 Main Document Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Page 27 of 45

I			INSIDERS	IMPAIRED	
	CLASS #	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	TREATMENT
	5	Secured claim of: DCFS USA, LLC	N	Y	Principal and Interest
		Collateral description:		Creditor in this class is	Payment amt/interval: \$949.14
		2005 Mercedes Benz S55AMG		impaired and entitled to	Begin date:
		Collateral value:		vote on the Plan	Effective Date
		\$31,600.00 (per DCFS stay relief motion, Docket #95)			End date: 48 months from Effective Date
		Priority of security interest:			Interest rate: 5.579% (Modified Interest Rate)
		Amount of Claim: \$40,691.00 (per Stipulated Order, Docket #150) Secured Portion of Claim: \$31,600.00			Total Payout: \$ 45,558.72 Treatment of Lien: Claimant shall retain its lien and accrue interest pending payment of its claim in full The treatment of the Class 5 allowed claim
		Unsecured Portion of Claim: \$9,091.00			described in the Plan shall be in full settlement and satisfaction of the entire claim including all arrears.
. 1		•	1		

The Debtor does not seek to bifurcate the claim of the creditor in Class 5, but will pay this claim in full. Thus, the election under 11 U.S.C. §1111(b) is not available for Class 5.

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 28 of 45

ri e		INSIDERS	IMPAIRE	
CLASS #	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	(Y/N)	<u>D</u> (Y/N)	<u>TREATMENT</u>
6	Secured claim of:	N	Y	
	GMAC, Inc.			Payment amt/interval:
			Creditor in	\$570.78
	Collateral description:		this class is	
	2005 Cadillac STS V6		impaired	Begin date:
			and entitled	Effective Date
	Collateral value:		to vote on	
	\$8,540.00 (per kbb.com)		the Plan	End date:
				5 months from Effective Date
	Priority of security			
	interest: 1 st			Interest rate:
				5.9% (original interest rate)
	Amount of Claim:			
	\$2,392.06 (per POC #1)			Total Payout: \$ 2,853.90
	Secured Portion of			Treatment of Lien:
	Claim:			Claimant shall continue to retain its lien and accrue
	\$2,392.06			interest pending payment of its claim in full
	Unsecured Portion of			The transfer of the Charles (11 and 12 and 13 and 1
	Claim:			The treatment of the Class 6 allowed claim described
	None			in the Plan shall be in full settlement and satisfaction
III	110110		I	of the entire claim including all arrears.

The Debtor does not seek to bifurcate the claim of the creditor in Class 6, but will pay this claim in full. Thus, the election under 11 U.S.C. §1111(b) is not available for Class 6.

17	CLASS	DESCRIPTION	INSIDERS (Y/N)	IMPAIRED (Y/N)	TREATMENT
18	# <u>#</u> 7	Secured claim of:	N	Y	Plan Treatment Pursuant to Stipulated
19		Ally Financial Inc., fka GMAC, Inc.		Creditor in	Order Entered 7/22/2010, Docket # 141
20		Collateral description: 2006 Chevrolet C1500		this class is impaired and entitled to	Payment amt/interval: \$500.00 per month until total balance of claim is paid in full.
21 22		Collateral value: \$10,300.00 (per kbb.com)		vote on the Plan	Begin date:
23		Priority of security interest:1st			August 3, 2010 End date: September 3, 2012
24		Amount of Claim: \$7,423.00 as of April 2011			Total Payout: \$13,025.00
25		_			Treatment of Lien:
26		Secured Portion of Claim: \$7,423.00			Claimant shall continue to retain its lien and accrue interest pending payment of its claim in full
27		Unsecured Portion of Claim: None			The treatment of the Class 7 allowed claim described in the Plan shall be in full settlement and
28					satisfaction of the entire claim including all arrears.

5

8

17

22

The Debtor does not seek to bifurcate the claim of the creditor in Class 7, but will pay this claim in full. Thus, the election under 11 U.S.C. §1111(b) is not available for Class 7.

Ε. **Classes of Priority Unsecured Claims**

Certain priority claims that are referred to in Code Sections 507(a)(3), (4), (5), (6), and (7) are required to be placed in classes. These types of claims are entitled to priority treatment as follows: the Code requires that each holder of such a claim receive cash on the Effective Date equal to the allowed amount of such claim. However, a class of priority unsecured claim holders may vote to accept deferred cash payments of a value, as of the Effective Date, equal to the allowed amount of such claims.

The Debtor does not have any claims that would qualify as Sections 507(a)(3), (a)(4), (a)(5), (a)(6), and (a)(7) priority unsecured claims under the Plan.

F. **Class of General Unsecured Claims**

General unsecured claims are unsecured claims not entitled to priority under Code Section 507(a). The following chart identifies this Plan's treatment of the class containing Debtor's general unsecured claims.

CLASS #	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	INSIDERS (Y/N)	IMPAIRED (Y/N)	TREATMENT
8	All General Non-Priority Unsecured Claims— Non-Insiders Hamid Habib Agahi \$100,000.00 Manijeh Habib Agahi \$100,000.00	N	Y Creditors in this class are impaired and entitled to vote on the Plan	Payment amt/interval: \$5,861.28 monthly Begin date: Effective Date End date: 60 months from Effective Date
	Hossein Hedjazi \$150,000.00 Paul Gough, Esq. \$1,677.00			Interest rate: 0% Total Payout: \$351,677.00
	Total Amount of Claims: \$351,677.00			The treatment of the Class 8 allowed claims described in the Plan shall be in full settlement and satisfaction of the entire claim including all arrears

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 30 of 45

CLASS #	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>INSIDERS</u> (Y/N)	IMPAIRED (Y/N)	TREATMENT
9	All General Non-Priority Unsecured Claims Insiders Nelly Rabadi (Art Flores' Sister in law) Total Amount of Claim: \$22,000.00	Y	Y Creditor in this class is impaired and entitled to vote on the Plan	Payment amt/interval: \$366.67 Begin date: Effective Date End date: 60 months from Effective Date Interest rate: 0% Total Payout: \$22,000.00

G. Classes of Interest Holders

Interest holders are entities that hold an ownership interest (i.e. equity interest) in the Debtor. The following chart describes the Plan's treatment of the classes of interests:

CLASS #	DESCRIPTION	IMPAIRED (Y/N)	<u>TREATMENT</u>
10	Equity Interests in the Debtor Arturo Flores	N	On the Effective Date all Class 10 interests will retain their interest under the Plan

XI. SOURCE OF MONEY TO PAY CLAIMS AND INTEREST-HOLDERS

The Plan cannot be confirmed unless the Court finds that it is "feasible," which means that there is evidence establishing that the Debtor will have sufficient funds available to satisfy all expenses, including the scheduled creditor payments discussed above. There are at least two important aspects of a feasibility analysis.

The first aspect considers whether the Debtor will have enough cash on hand on the Effective Date of the Plan to pay all the claims and expenses entitled to payment. The Debtor maintains that this aspect of feasibility is satisfied as illustrated here:

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 31 of 45

CASH ON HAND ON THE EFFECTIVE DATE (est. August 10, 2011 (Amount of funds Debtor Expects to Have in all DIP Accounts)	\$266,741.00
MONTHLY INCOME—Effective Date	4 200,11100
Lemon Creek Center	\$65,460.00
Fremont Center Rents	\$22,744.00
Chiang Settlement (\$2,000.00 per month through September 2011)	\$2,000.00
2011 First Quarter CAM Reimbursement From Adjoining Parcel Owners	\$11,250.00
(LESS 5% For Bad Debt)	(\$4,510.00)
Total Gross Income	\$96,944.00
LESS MONTHLY OPERATING EXPENSES	
Monthly Operating Expenses (excluding debt service)	(\$12,077.00)
Total Operating Expenses	(\$12,077.00)
Net Income Available for Effective Date Payments	\$351,608.00
EFFECTIVE DATE PAYMENTS	
Jerome S. Cohen (paid in full 12 months from Effective Date assuming	(\$0.500.00)
outstanding balance of \$30,000.00) Hahn & Fife (paid in full 12 months from Effective Date assuming outstanding	(\$2,500.00)
balance of \$35,000.00)	(\$2,917.00)
Paul Gough, Esq. (estimated \$13,000.00)	(\$13,000.00)
US Trustee Fees (quarterly)	(4 : 0,000:00)
L.A. County Treasurer and Tax Collector POC #10	(\$978.04)
Class 1: L.A. County Treasurer and Tax Collector POC #8	(\$4,865.92)
Class 2: Signal Walnut Partnership Debt Service	(\$35,400.00)
Class 2: Signal Walnut Partnership <i>Arrears</i>	(\$36,500.00)
Class 3: American Continental Bank Debt Service	(\$13,864.61)
Class 3: American Continental Bank <i>Arrears</i>	(\$30,833.00)
Class 4: Nelson L. Huang and Phoebe Chen Huang	(\$5,190.00)
Class 5: DCFS USA, LLC (paid in full 48 months from Effective Date)	(\$949.14)
Class 6: Ally Financial Inc., fka GMAC Inc.	
(paid in full 5 months from Effective Date)	(\$507.78)
Class 7: Ally Financial Inc., fka GMAC Inc.	(0.500.00)
(paid in full 13 months from Effective Date)	(\$500.00)
Class 8: Non-Priority Unsecured ClaimsNon Insiders	(\$5,861.28)
Class 9: Non- Priority Unsecured Claims- Insiders	(\$366.67)
Total Effective Date Payments	(\$154,233.44)
MONTHLY CASH BALANCE	\$197,374.56

The second aspect considers whether the Debtors will have enough cash over the life of the Plan to make the required Plan payments. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a detailed cash flow for the duration of the Plan. The focus is on projected cash receipts and cash

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 32 of 45

disbursements. All non-cash items such as depreciation, amortization, gains and losses are omitted. A positive number reflects a source of cash; a (negative number) reflects a use of cash.

The Debtor submits that the Plan is feasible. The Debtor currently collects approximately \$85,204.00 in monthly gross income from both the Lemon Creek Center and the Fremont Center and anticipates an additional \$18,972.00 in additional income from new leases to come into effect within the next six months for an average of \$104,176.00 in monthly gross income. After operating expenses of approximately \$12,077.00 per month, there is a remaining \$92,099.00 available for Plan disbursements. The Plan proposes to disburse an average of \$81,233.44 in Plan Payments each month for the first 12 months. After the first 12 months of the Plan, The Debtor's monthly Plan Payments will decrease to \$76,475.66 when Debtor retires ACB arrearages, vehicle claims, and administrative claims of Chapter 11 counsel and accountant.

Further, the gross income of \$104,176.00 does not take into account (1) the additional \$101,250.00 from the AIMS Litigation, (2) the Debtor's cash balance of \$197,374.56 after Effective Date payments, (3) and the potential \$7,380.00 in rental income from the 3,690 square foot. vacant unit at the Fremont Center.

Section XV states the assumptions and details surrounding the statement of projected cash flow.

The Debtor has provided projected financial information. Please refer to Debtor's Monthly Operating Reports on file with the Court and the United States Trustee for the Debtor's historical information. A copy can also be obtained by written request to Debtor's bankruptcy counsel. YOU ARE ADVISED TO CONSULT WITH YOUR ACCOUNTANT OR FINANCIAL ADVISOR IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS PERTAINING TO THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 33 of 45

XII. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF THE ESTATE

A. Assets

The identity and fair market value of the estate's assets are listed in **Exhibit C** so that the reader can assess what assets are at least theoretically available to satisfy claims and to evaluate the overall worth of the bankruptcy estate.

B. Liabilities

Exhibit D shows the allowed claims against the estate, claims whose treatment is explained in detail by Section X.

C. Summary

The fair market value of all assets equals \$11,910,255.63. Total liabilities \$11,934,566.20.

XIII. TREATMENT OF NONCONSENTING CLASSES

As stated above, even if all classes do not consent to the proposed treatment of their claims under the Plan, the Plan may nonetheless be confirmed if the dissenting classes are treated in a manner prescribed by the Code. The process by which dissenting classes are forced to abide by the terms of a plan is commonly referred to as "cramdown." The Code allows dissenting classes to be crammed down if the Plan does not "discriminate unfairly" and is "fair and equitable." The Code does not define discrimination, but it does provide a minimum definition of "fair and equitable." The term can mean that secured claimants retain their liens and receive cash payments whose present value equals the value of their security interest. For example, if a creditor lends the Debtor \$100,000 and obtains a security interest in property that is worth only \$80,000, the "fair and equitable" requirement means that the claimant is entitled to cash payments whose present value equals \$80,000 and not \$100,000. The term means that unsecured

claimants whose claims are not fully satisfied at least know that no claim or interest that is junior to theirs will receive anything under the Plan, except where the Debtor is an individual, has elected to retain property included in the Estate under 11 U.S.C.A. § 1115 (West Supp. 2006) and has satisfied 11 U.S.C.A. § 1129(b)(2)(B)(ii) (West Supp. 2006). "Fair and equitable" means that each holder of an interest must receive the value of such interest or else no junior interest is entitled to receive anything.

Therefore, if a class of general unsecured claims votes against the Plan, the Plan cannot be confirmed where the Debtor or a class of interest holders (e.g. shareholders or partners) will receive or retain any property under the Plan, <u>unless</u> the Plan provides that the class of general unsecured claims shall be paid in full with interest

The Plan provides that the Debtor will retain all assets listed in **Exhibit C.** These are complex statutory provisions and the preceding paragraphs do not purport to state or explain all of them.

XIV. TREATMENT OF NONCONSENTING MEMBERS OF CONSENTING CLASS (CHAPTER 7 LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS)

The Plan must provide that a nonconsenting impaired claimant or interest holder of a consenting class receive at least as much as would be available had the Debtor filed a Chapter 7 petition instead.

In a Chapter 7 case the general rule is that the Debtor's assets are sold by a trustee.

Unsecured creditors generally share in the proceeds of sale <u>only</u> after secured creditors and administrative claimants are paid. Certain unsecured creditors get paid before other unsecured creditors do. Unsecured creditors with the same priority share in proportion to the amount of their allowed claim in relationship to the total amount of allowed claims.

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 35 of 45

A creditor would recover from the assets of the bankruptcy estate less under Chapter 7 than under Chapter 11 for the following reasons:

 $\backslash \backslash$

//

//

 \parallel

 $\backslash \backslash$

//

First, for those assets with equity, the liquidation value is less than the fair market value because of the costs associated with liquidation. In formulating the chart below, the Debtor reduced the fair market value by 8% to account for the estimated cost of sale.

Second, in a Chapter 7 case, a trustee is appointed and is entitled to compensation from the bankruptcy estate in an amount no more than 25% of the first \$5,000.00 of all moneys distributed, 10% on any amounts over \$5,000.00 of all moneys disbursed, 10% on any amounts over \$5,000.00 and up to \$50,000.00, 5% on all amounts over \$50,000.00 and up to \$1,000,000.00, and such reasonable compensation no more than 3% of moneys over \$1,000,000.00.

Debtor believes that unsecured creditors will receive nothing under a hypothetical Chapter 7 liquidation. In contrast, the Plan proposes to pay general unsecured creditors a **100%** distribution of their total claim. Unsecured creditors will undoubtedly receive more under the Plan than in a Chapter 7 liquidation.

Below is a demonstration, in spread sheet format, that all creditors and interest holders will receive at least as much under the Plan as they would receive under a Chapter 7 liquidation:

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 36 of 45

Asset	Fair Market Value	Liquidation Value (Reduced by 8% for Cost of Sale)	Exemption	Value of Claimed Exemption	Value of Asset after Deducting Value of Claimed Exemption
Cash on Hand (on Effective Date)	\$ 197,312.00				\$197,312.00
308-388 Lemon Ave., Walnut, CA 91789 ("Lemon Creek Center")	\$7,500,000.00 (per Debtor's appraisal)	\$6,900,000.00			\$6,900,000.00
2120-2150 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra, CA 91803 ("Fremont Center")	\$4,000,000.00 (per Lender's appraisal)	\$3,680,000.00			\$3,680,000.00
2005 Mercedes 555 AMG	\$23,425.00 (per Kelley Blue Book value)	\$21,551.00			\$21,551.00
2006 Chevrolet Suburban	\$10,300.00 (per Kelley Blue Book value)	\$ 9,476.00			\$9,476.00
2005 STS Cadillac	\$8,540.00 (per Kelly Blue Book value)	\$7,856.80			\$7,856.80
AIMS Litigation	\$101,250.00				\$101,250.00
TOTAL ASSETS AT LIQU	IDATION VALUE				\$10,917,445.80
Less secured claim (Le	emon Creek)				\$ (7,500,000.00)
Less secured claim (Fi	remont Center)				\$ (3,366,358.41)
Less secured claim (Me	ercedes)				\$ (40,691.00)
Less Real Property Tax	œs				\$ (258,822.12)
Less secured claim (Ch				\$ (7,423.00)	
Less secured claim (Ca	adillac)				\$ (2,392.06)
Less Chapter 7 Truste	e Fees (3%)				\$ (324,485.87)
Less Chapter 11 Admi	nistrative Expenses				\$ (65,000.00)
AMOUNT AVAILABLE TO	NON-PRIORITY UNS	SECURED CREDITOR	 S		\$ (647,726.66)

PERCENTAGE OF THEIR CLAIMS WHICH UNSECURED CREDITORS AND SECOND LENDER WOULD RECEIVE OR RETAIN IN A CHAPTER 7 LIQUIDATION = 0%

PERCENTAGE OF THEIR CLAIMS WHICH UNSECURED CREDITORS AND SECOND LENDER WILL RECEIVE OR RETAIN UNDER THIS PLAN: 100%

XV. FUTURE DEBTOR

//

A. Post-Confirmation Management

The Debtor alone will provide post-confirmation management.

2

4 5

6 7

8

10

13

12

15

16

14

17

18

19 20

21

2223

2425

26

2728

B. Disbursing Agent

The Debtor will act as its own disbursing agent for the purpose of making all distributions provided for under the Plan. The Debtor shall serve without bond and without compensation.

C. Future Financial Outlook

The Debtor believes that the Debtor's economic health will improve from its prebankruptcy condition for the following reasons:

- Stipulation with the L.A. County Treasurer and Taxing Authority to pay real property tax claims over time without incurring additional fees and penalties;
- ii. Success in securing new tenants such as the National Credit Tenant, Verizon, Carmen's Mexican Restaurant, Carmen's Express Takeout, and the Florist. Previously, Vons withheld approval of certain tenant leases. In April 2011, Debtor was finally able to obtain Vons approval.
- iii. Settlement of the Chiang Litigation. Chiang is the largest tenant at the Lemon Creek Center with a monthly rent of \$8,080.00. In February when the Chiang Litigation settled, Chiang paid a lump sum of \$34,000.00 to the Debtor and commenced making monthly rent payments of \$8,080.00 to the Debtor. In addition, pursuant to the Stipulated Judgment Chiang will pay an additional \$11,000.00 in monthly installments of \$2,000.00 per month.

As Debtor's financial projections demonstrate, Debtor will have an annual cash flow, after paying operating expenses and post-confirmation taxes, of \$202,291.00 by the end of 2011, \$107,300.00 at the end of 2012, \$123,750 at the end of 2013, \$169,854.00 at the end of 2014, and \$276,146.00 at the end of 2015. See Exhibit B. Debtor's cash flow projections assume bad debt of 5% per month.

3

4 5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

XVI. SALE OR TRANSFER OF PROPERTY; ASSUMPTION OF CONTRACTS AND LEASES; OTHER PROVISIONS

The Plan provides for the following:

A. Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases

i. Assumptions

On the Effective Date, Debtor will assume the executory contracts and unexpired leases identified in **Exhibit "E"**. The Confirmation Order, upon the arrival of the Effective Date, will constitute a Court order approving the assumption, on the Effective Date, of the executory contracts and unexpired leases identified in **Exhibit "E"** and any other leases with tenants of any of the Debtor's real properties that may hereafter be entered into in the ordinary course.

ii. Rejections

To the extent that an executory contract or unexpired lease is not assumed, as specified in **Exhibit "E"** on the Effective Date, all such executory contracts and unexpired leases shall be deemed rejected. The Confirmation Order, subject to the occurrence of the Effective Date, shall constitute an Order approving the Debtor's rejection of all such executory contracts and unexpired leases.

THE BAR DATE FOR FILING A PROOF OF CLAIM BASED ON A CLAIM
ARISING FROM THE REJECTION OF AN EXECUTORY CONTRACT OR UNEXPIRED
LEASE WILL BE 30 DAYS AFTER ENTRY OF THE CONFIRMATION ORDER.

Any claim based on the rejection of an executory contract or unexpired lease will be barred if the proof of claim is not timely filed, unless the Court orders otherwise.

B. Changes in Rates Subject to Regulatory Commission Approval Debtor is not subject to governmental regulatory commission approval of its rates.

C. Retention of Jurisdiction.

The Court will retain jurisdiction to the extent provided by law.

XVII. TAX CONSEQUENCES OF PLAN

CREDITORS AND INTEREST HOLDERS CONCERNED WITH HOW THE PLAN MAY AFFECT THEIR TAX LIABILITY SHOULD CONSULT WITH THEIR OWN ACCOUNTANTS, ATTORNEYS, AND/OR ADVISORS. The following disclosure of possible tax consequences is intended solely for the purpose of alerting readers about possible tax issues this Plan may present to the Debtor.

The Debtor CANNOT and DOES NOT represent that the tax consequences contained below are the only tax consequences of the Plan because the Internal Revenue Code embodies many complicated rules which make it difficult to state completely and accurately all the tax implications of any action. The Debtor has accounted for taxes in its cash flows as reflected in **Exhibit B.** The Debtor does not anticipate that this Plan will have a significant or material effect on their tax liability. The Debtor makes no representations regarding the potential tax consequences to creditors.

XVIII. EFFECT OF CONFIRMATION OF PLAN

A. General Comments

The provisions of a confirmed Plan bind the Debtor, any entity acquiring property under the Plan, and any creditor, interest holder, or general partner of the Debtor, even those who do not vote to accept the Plan.

The confirmation of the Plan vests all property of the estate in the Debtor.

The automatic stay is lifted upon confirmation as to property of the estate. However, the stay continues to prohibit collection or enforcement of pre-petition claims against the Debtor or the Debtor's property until the date the Debtor receives a discharge, if any. If the Debtor does not seek a discharge, the discharge is deemed denied, and the stay as to the Debtor and the Debtor's property terminates upon entry of the order confirming the Plan.

B. Discharge of liability for payment of debts; status of liens; equity security holders

Unless the Debtor is not entitled to receive a discharge pursuant to 11 U.S.C.A. 1141(d)(3) (West 2004), the debtor may obtain a discharge only upon specific order of the Court.

The confirmation of the Plan does not discharge the Debtor from any debt of a kind specified in 11 U.S.C.A. § 523(a)(2)(A)-(B) (West 2004 & Supp. 2006) that is owed to a domestic governmental unit, or owed to a person as the result of an action filed under subchapter III of chapter 37 of title 31 or any similar State statute, or for a tax or customs duty with respect to which the debtor made a fraudulent tax return or willfully attempted in any manner to evade or to defeat such tax or such customs duty." *See* 11 U.S.C.A. § 1141(d)(5)-(6) (West Supp. 2006).

C. Modification of the Plan

 $\backslash \backslash$

//

//

//

The Debtor may modify the Plan pursuant to 11 U.S.C.A. § 1127 (West 2004 & Supp. 2006).

D. Post-Confirmation Causes of Action

The Debtor is designated as representative of the estate under 11 U.S.C.A. § 1123(b)(3) (West 2004) and shall have the right to assert any or all causes of action post-confirmation in accordance with applicable law.

Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 41 of 45

E. Final Decree

Once the Plan has been consummated, a final decree may be entered upon motion of the Proponent. The effect of the final decree is to close the bankruptcy case. After such closure, a party seeking any type of relief relating to a Plan provision can seek such relief in a state court of general jurisdiction.

Dated: May 6, 2011

Orange Grove Services, Inc.
Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession

Presented By:

/s/ Jerome S. Cohen

Jerome S. Cohen

Attorney for Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession and Plan Proponent

Oase 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 42 of 45

E. <u>Final Decree</u>

Once the Plan has been consummated, a final decree may be entered upon motion of the Proponent. The effect of the final decree is to close the bankruptcy case. After such closure, a party seeking any type of relief relating to a Plan provision can seek such relief in a state court of general jurisdiction.

Dated: May 6, 2011

1.3

Orange Grove Services, Inc.
Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession

Presented By:

/s/ Jerome S. Cohen

Jerome S. Cohen

Attorney for Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession and Plan Proponent

Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Main Document Page 43 of 45

DECLARATION OF ARTURO FLORES

I, ART FLORES, declare:

- 1. I am the President of Orange Grove Service, Inc., the Debtor and Plan Proponent herein ("Debtor" or "Proponent"). I make this declaration in support of Debtor's First Amended Disclosure Statement And Plan of Reorganization of Orange Grove Service, Inc. (the "First Amended Disclosure Statement and Plan").
- 2. Debtor's attorney, Jerome S. Cohen and his associates are the individuals who prepared this document.
- 3. I provided all financial data along with STC Management, the property management company employed by Debtor. All facts and representations in this First Amended Disclosure Statement and Plan are true to the best of my knowledge.
- 4. No fact material to a claimant or equity security holder in voting to accept or reject the proposed Plan has been omitted.
- 5. The name of the person who prepared the cash flow projections and other financial documents is Don T. Fife, a partner of Hahn & Fife, and such person was acting within the capacity of accountant for the Debtor.
- 6. The cash basis accounting method was used to prepare the cash flow projections and the other financial documents.
- 7. Attached herein as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of a letter from Vons, a subsidiary of Safeway Inc. approving the new restaurant tenants.
- 8. Attached herein as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of a detailed cash flow for the duration of the Plan.
- 9. Attached herein as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of the identity and fair market value of the estate's assets.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

	Case 2:10-bk-21336-EC Doc 311 Filed 05/06/11 Entered 05/06/11 22:39:43 Desc Main Document Page 44 of 45
1	10. Attached herein as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of the allowed claims against the
2	estate.
3	11. Attached herein as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of the Debtor's executory
5	contracts and unexpired leases.
6	
7	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
8	foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on May 6, 2011 at
9	CLAREMONT , California.
10	
1.1	fan 1
12	ART FLORES
13	
14	

2 C

3

4

5

7

6

8

10

10

11

12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

2526

27

 $\begin{array}{c|c}
 & \underline{\text{May 6, 2011}} \\
 & \underline{\text{Date}}
\end{array}$

Kim Bui_ Type Name _____/s/ Kim Bui_____ Signature

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: 3731 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 514, Los Angeles, CA 90010.

address is: 3731 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 514, Los Angeles, CA 90010.

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document described **FIRST AMENDED DISCLOSURE**

STATEMENT AND PLAN OF REORGANIZATION FOR ORANGE GROVE SERVICE, INC. will be served or was served (**a**) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (**b**) in the manner indicated below:

I. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING ("NEF")

Pursuant to controlling General Order(s) and LBR(s), the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On <u>May 6, 2011</u> I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following person(s) are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email address(es) indicated below:

- Matthew Abbasi matthew@anhlegal.com
- Jerome S Cohen jsc@jscbklaw.com
- Geoffrey A Heaton gheaton@duanemorris.com
- Bernard J Kornberg bjk@severson.com
- Jeffrey A Krieger jkrieger@ggfirm.com
- Dare Law dare.law@usdoj.gov
- Randall P Mroczynski randym@cookseylaw.com
- Edward G Schloss egs2@ix.netcom.com
- United States Trustee (LA) ustpregion16.la.ecf@usdoj.gov
- II. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL OR OVERNIGHT MAIL(indicate method for each person or entity served): On May 6, 2011 I served the following person(s) and/or entity(ies) at the last

known address(es) in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and/or with an overnight mail service addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

The Honorable Ellen Carroll

United States Bankruptcy Court--Central District of California

Edward R. Roybal Federal Building and Courthouse

255 E. Temple Street, Suite 1634 / Courtroom 1639

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dare Law

725 S Figueroa St 26th Fl

Los Angeles, CA 90017

III. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (indicate

method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on I served the following person(s) and/or entity(ies) by personal delivery, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.