## Case 11-07388-PB11 Filed 09/21/11 Doc 145 Pg. 1 of 41

- 1							
1	Jack F. Fitzmaurice, Esq. (SBN # FITZMAURICE & DEMERGIAN	061129)					
2	1061 Tierra Del Rey, Suite 204 Chula Vista, California 91910						
3	Telephone: 619-591-1000 Telecopier: 619-591-1010 E-Mail: fitz01@earthlink.net						
4	E-Mail: <u>fitz01@earthlink.net</u>						
5							
6	Attorney for Debtor-in-Possession	PREMIER GOLF PROPERTIES, LP					
7							
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Southern District of California						
LO	In Re:	Bankruptcy No. 11-07388-PB11					
L1		Chapter 11 Proceeding					
L2	PREMIER GOLF PROPERTIES, LP, a California limited	SECOND AMENDED DISCLOSURE					
L3	partnership,	STATEMENT OF PREMIER GOLF PROPERTIES, LP					
L4	Debtor-in-Possession,	Date: September 26 2011 Time: 2:00 p.m.					
L5		Dept. 4 Room: 328					
L6		Judge: Hon. Peter Bowie					
L7							
L8	Premier Golf Properties, LP	(hereinafter "Premier",					
L9	"Debtor" or "Cottonwood") submits	this First Amended Disclosure					
20   21	Statement and companion proposed	First Amended Plan of					
22	Reorganization which seeks to address the concerns of the United						
23	States Trustee and objecting FENB	. Changes and additions are					
24	highlighted for the convenience of	f the reader.					
25	INITIAL S	TATEMENT					
26	Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section	n 1125 in connection with the					
27	above-referenced case filed under	Chapter 11 of the United					
28	States Bankruptcy Code, this Firs	t Amended Disclosure Statement					
	is intended to summarize the Firs	t Amended Plan of					

#### Case 11-07388-PB11 Filed 09/21/11 Doc 145 Pg. 2 of 41

Reorganization of the estate of Cottonwood, the debtor-in-possession herein, as well as to provide adequate and reasonable information about the financial affairs of the debtor-in-possession to the holders of claims such that each claimant will be able to make an informed judgment about the Plan.

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

I.

Cottonwood, the debtor-in-possession, (hereinafter also "Debtor" or "Premier") submits the following First Amended Disclosure Statement dated July 9, 2011 for consideration by creditors:

#### A. General Information

Pursuant to Section 1125 of the Bankruptcy Code, this First Amended Disclosure Statement is submitted to provide its creditors and all other interested parties with adequate information to allow them to make an informed judgment about acceptance or rejection of the First Amended Plan of Reorganization ("Plan"). Please refer to the Plan for treatment of claims. The provisions of the Plan are binding on all creditors and interest holders. Therefore, please read the Plan carefully.

The purpose of this First Amended Disclosure Statement is to provide such information as may be deemed material, important and necessary for the creditors of the debtor-in-possession to make a reasonably informed decision in exercising their right to vote for the acceptance or rejection of the First Amended Plan of Reorganization.

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

151617

18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

2627

28

NO REPRESENTATION ABOUT THE DEBTOR; PARTICULARLY ABOUT FUTURE PLANS OR THE VALUE OF PROPERTY AUTHORIZED BY THE DEBTOR OTHER THAN AS SET FORTH IN DISCLOSURE STATEMENT. REPRESENTATIONS ANY SECURE ACCEPTANCE INDUCEMENTS MADE TO OF CONTAINED OTHER THAN AS IN THIS FIRST AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT SHOULD NOT BE RELIED UPON BY ANY CREDITOR OR INTEREST HOLDER. ANY ADDITIONAL REPRESENTATIONS OR INDUCEMENTS SHOULD BE REPORTED TO COUNSEL FOR THE DEBTOR WHO, IN TURN, SHALL DELIVER THE THE BANKRUPTCY COURT OR TAKE OTHER INFORMATION TO APPROPRIATE ACTION.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FIRST AMENDED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT HAS NOT BEEN SUBJECT TO CERTIFIED AUDIT. THE RECORDS KEPT BY THE DEBTOR RELY BOOKKEEPING. THEIR ACCURACY ON INTERNAL REASONABLE EFFORT HAS BEEN MADE TO PRESENT ACCURATE FIGURES. HOWEVER, THE RECORDS KEPT BY THE DEBTOR ARE WARRANTED OR REPRESENTED TO  $\mathbf{BE}$ FREE OF ANY INACCURACY.

The Debtor recommends a vote "for acceptance" of the Plan.

#### B. Manner of Voting and Confirmation of the Plan

- 1. Classes Entitled to Vote The Plan divides the claim of creditors into a number of classes. Only classes of creditors and interest holders impaired under the Plan are entitled to vote. Generally, subject to the specific provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, this includes creditors whose claims, under the Plan, will be modified in terms of principal, interest, length of time for payment, or a combination of the above.
- 2. Procedures for Voting All creditors should cast their vote by completing, dating, and signing the ballot included with the Plan and mailing it to Jack F. Fitzmaurice Esq. at Fitzmaurice & Demergian, 1061 Tierra del Rey, Suite 204, Chula Vista, California 91910. PLEASE NOTE THAT IF YOU FAIL TO VOTE AGAINST THE PLAN, YOU WILL BE TREATED AS HAVING VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE PLAN. IN ORDER TO HAVE YOUR VOTE COUNTED AGAINST

THE PLAN, YOU MUST FILE A BALLOT TO THAT EFFECT WITHIN THE TIME STATED IN THE BALLOT. In order to be counted, the ballot must be received by the date set forth in the ballot. A ballot does not constitute a valid Proof of Claim in the bankruptcy proceedings.

///

#### C. Confirmation of the Plan

- 1. Solicitation of Acceptance This First Amended Disclosure Statement will be provided to each creditor whose Claim has been scheduled by the Debtors or who has timely filed a Proof of Claim with the Bankruptcy Court. This First Amended Disclosure Statement is intended to assist creditors with their evaluation of the Plan and their decision to reject or accept the Plan. Your acceptance of the Plan may not be solicited unless you receive a copy of this First Amended Disclosure Statement prior to or concurrently with the solicitation of acceptance of the Plan.
- 2. Determining Acceptance of the Plan When acceptance of the Plan is determined by the Bankruptcy Court, only the votes from the impaired classes of creditors will be counted. Therefore, votes of claimants will only be counted if submitted by those claimants whose claims or interests are duly scheduled by the Debtors as undisputed, non-contingent and liquidated, or who have timely filed a Proof of Claim with the Bankruptcy Court which as been allowed as provided by 11 U.S.C. Section 502 before confirmation of the Plan. There are no unimpaired classes which are deemed to have accepted the Plan. The classification of Claims is described in Article III below. If

you are in any way uncertain if your claim has been correctly scheduled, you should review the Debtor's schedules and any amendments to schedules which are on file at the Clerk's Office of the United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of California, San Diego, California, during their regular business hours, Monday through Friday, 9:00 a.m. through 4:00 p.m.

- 3. Hearing on Confirmation of the Plan The Bankruptcy Court will set a hearing to determine if the Plan has been accepted by the required number of holders of claims and if the other requirements for confirmation of the Plan outlined by the Bankruptcy Code have been satisfied. Each creditor will receive, either with this First Amended Disclosure Statement or separately, a notice of the date of the Bankruptcy Court's hearing on confirmation of the Plan. A copy of the proposed Plan is filed contemporaneously herewith.
- 4. Acceptance Necessary to Confirm the Plan At the scheduled hearing on confirmation of the Plan, the Bankruptcy Court must determine, among other things, if the Plan has been accepted by each impaired class. Under Section 1126 of the Bankruptcy Code, an impaired class is deemed to have accepted the Plan if at least two-thirds (66-2/3%) in dollar amount and more than one-half (50%) in number of Allowed Claims of class members actually voting have voted in favor of the Plan. Further, the Bankruptcy Court must also find that each class member will receive at least as much under the Plan as he, she or it would receive if the Debtor's property was liquidated, as

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of the Effective Date of the Plan under the provisions of Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code.

Acceptance In the event that the requisite acceptances are not obtained from all of the impaired classes of creditors, the Bankruptcy Court may, nevertheless, confirm the Plan if the Bankruptcy Court finds that all other requirements of confirmation under Section 1129(a) are met and certain additional conditions are met.

These conditions are set forth in the "Cram Down" provisions of Section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and require, generally, a showing that the Plan does not discriminate unfairly, the Plan accords fair and equitable treatment, and the claimants in a non-consenting class will receive either the full value of their claims, or, if they receive less than full value, no class with a junior priority will receive anything (the "absolute priority" rule).

In order to apply the "cram down" provisions of Section 1129(b), the Debtor is required to properly explain the "absolute priority" rule, and the alternatives facing unsecured creditors, including the consequences of denial of confirmation.

In re Genesee Cement, Inc., 31 B.R. 442, 444 (Bankr.E.D.Mich. 1983).

Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 1129 (b) (2) the Court may confirm a plan even if all impaired classes do not vote for the plan in sufficient number and dollar amount so long as one impaired class has accepted the plan and treatment of the respective classes does not violate the "absolute priority"

rule. See Northwest Bank Worthington v. Ahlers, 108 S.Ct. 963 (1988). The absolute priority rule requires that in order for the plan to be confirmed over the objection of a class of impaired unsecured creditors, the holders of an interest that is junior in priority to the interest of such class, such as the debtor, are prohibited from receiving any money or property unless the class of impaired unsecured creditors is to receive the full amount of the allowed claims, plus post confirmation interest at a rate established by the Court.

6. Acceptance Necessary to Confirm the Plan. The Court provides certain minimum requirements for confirmation, but the Court may decide that a plan is not fair and equitable and is therefore unconfirmable even if it is in technical compliance with these requirements. In re Sandy Ridge Dev. Corp., 881 F.2d 1346, 1352 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1989), reh'g denied; In re D&F Construction, Inc. 865 F.2d 673 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1989); Matter of IPC Atlanta Ltd. Partnership, 142 B.R. 547, 555 (Bankr.N.D.Ga. 1992).

The "fair and equitable" requirement is satisfied with respect to a secured claim so long as the claimholder: 1) retains the lien; and 2) receives "deferred cash payments totaling at least the allowed amount of such claim, of a value, as of the effective date of the plan, of at least the value of such holder's interest in the estate's interest in such property." In re Bryson Properties, XVIII, 961 F.2d 496, 500 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1992).

In this case, if cram down is necessary, all secured creditors will be retaining their liens on the property in the priority as existed at the time of the conformation of the Plan.

These are complex statutory provisions and this summary is not intended to be a complete statement of the law. It is the hope of the Debtor that the plan will be consensual and resort to the "cram down" provisions will not be necessary. Until creditors vote on the Plan, it is impossible to determine to what extent the "fair and equitable" test will need to be invoked.

To the extent that any class does not accept the Plan or is deemed not to have accepted the Plan, the Debtor will request the Bankruptcy Court to confirm the Plan pursuant to Section 1129 (b). The Debtor believes that the Plan will meet the "fair and equitable" test and comply with the "absolute priority" rule.

Under the Plan, although all property of the bankruptcy estate revests in the Debtor upon confirmation and such property will be operated for the benefit of the creditors.

II.

#### STATEMENT OF FACT

A. <u>The Debtor</u>: Premier is a California limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of California and legally qualified to do business in the State of California and in fact does business under the fictitious business name of Cottonwood Golf Club. Premier was formed in 2002 with Premier Golf Management, Inc., a California corporation ("Management"), as its general partner. Management's

posture as general partner is its only asset and Management exists to manage the limited partnership. In July, 2002 Premier purchased Cottonwood Golf Club, a golf venue located in the Sweetwater River Valley in the Rancho San Diego area of San Diego County for the sum of \$19,500,000.

Geographically, the venue occupies approximately 297 acres on the floor of the Sweetwater river valley (ancient floodplain) in an oblong shape generally oriented on an east - west axis.

Threading through the entire property from east to west is the bed of the Sweetwater River, a factor of critical import relative to value. Although a "blue line" waterway and thus under the jurisdiction of the United States Corps of Engineers, the river bed is in fact dry except for those rare times when the San Diego region experiences three (3) or four (4) days of continuous rain; in which instance the river bed carries run off down to the Sweetwater reservoir. The flows in no wise impede play on the courses.

The venue consists of two (2) 18 hole golf courses referred to as the Ivanhoe course and the Lakes course. In addition, the facilities include parking, a driving range, practice greens, pro shop (retail), restaurant and bar as well as an enclosed pavilion for banquets, large social events and golf tournaments.

Subsequent to the 2002 acquisition the Debtor commenced a program of visual upgrades and course improvements culminating in the creation of what is now the Lakes Course by way of adding lakes scattered across the playing area, an island tee box and an island green. The Lakes course renovations were completed in 2009 and were but a segment of a strategic development plan

which, in addition to the Lakes course renovation, included -1 and still includes, a new clubhouse and other infrastructure 3 upgrades; all to have been funded by sand extraction and 4 wetlands mitigation set asides. Additionally, active adult citizen residential development was planned. Residential 5 development is made possible by the fact that the premises 6 7 contains 56 +/- developable excess acres; i.e., land not necessary for golf course operations, sand extraction or 8 9 wetlands mitigation set asides. Having so noted, the Debtor no longer plans any real estate development relative to the 10 11 Cottonwood real estate as the state of the economy makes any 12 such planning too uncertain to be relied upon in connection with 13 Plan performance. 14 Having so noted it is of greater import to note that the 15 16

Having so noted it is of greater import to note that the floodplain area occupied by the golf courses consists of a layer of cement quality sand overlaid by soils as well as an area circa the river bed appropriate for wetlands mitigation purposes. It is these aspects together with the two (2) mature golf courses which define the value of the debtor in possession.

- B. <u>Cottonwood Debt</u>: As of April 1, 2011 the Premier real estate was indebted as follows:
  - (a) Secured debt:
    - 1. Real Estate Taxes \$ 859,079.00
    - 2. First Trust Deed
       (Far East National Bank) \$11,061,000.00
    - 3. Second Trust Deed

(8332 Case St. Inv., Inc.) \$ 692,157.00 Total Secured Debt: \$12,612,236.00

2728

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 ||

(b) Unsecured debt

\$ 1,941,410.00

TOTAL DEBT:

\$14,553,646.00

Distributors & Management, Inc., an entity controlled by an insider, and \$612,056 is owed to RH Rodriguez, Inc., an entity controlled by an insider, for a total insider unsecured debt of \$1,772,017. Thus \$169,393 of the unsecured debt total is owed to non insider unsecured creditors.

C. Initiation of Chapter 11 Proceeding: This proceeding was

Of the \$12,612,236.00 secured debt, \$692,157 is owed to

8332 Case St. Inv., Inc., an entity controlled by an insider. Of

the \$1,941,410 in unsecured debt, \$1,109,961 is owed to Edgewood

initiated on May 2, 2011 by way of the filing of a petition under the auspices of Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. Sec. 101 et seq. The filing itself was triggered by the pursuit of foreclosure upon the balance due under the note underlying the first deed of trust encumbering the real property held by Far East National Bank ("FENB"). In that regard Cottonwood filed an action against Far East National Bank styled Premier Golf Properties, LP v. Far East National Bank, a National Banking Association in the San Diego Superior Court under case no. 37-2011-000653-341-CU-BP-EC. That action is still pending.

FENB denies these allegations, contending that the loan documentation extant between FENB and Premier define the

obligations of both parties and that Premier is in violation of their terms. In consequence, the Debtor and FENB are locked in the litigation identified above. In that connection Premier made application for a preliminary injunction which was denied. A copy of the court's order in that regard is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Premier has removed the FENB litigation to the Bankruptcy Court and FENB has filed a motion to remand the FENB actions back to their respective state courts. Regardless of which court ultimately determines the outcome, the litigation will continue. Finally, given the Debtor's Plan provision providing for interest payment to FENB during the plan performance period and payment in full at or before the end of the performance period, the outcome of the litigation will not have a negative impact on the Plan.

III.

#### SUMMARY OF PLAN AND TREATMENT OF CREDITORS

The Plan provides for the creation of four (4) classes of secured creditors, two (2) classes of unsecured creditors and an administrative expense class. The classes are:

#### SECURED CLAIMANTS

CLASS I A: This class consists of the San Diego County tax assessor as to real property taxes. This obligation is presently \$859,079. The Debtor has had pending for some time a application for reappraisal of valuation for tax purposes of its real property and, since its present appraised value for tax purposes is predicated upon a its original purchase valuation, the Debtor reasonably expects a 25 reduction consequent upon

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

reappraisal. That is so because the significant economic downturn of the past two (2) years has had an impact on golf course fair market value determinations. The present valuation utilized by the County of San Diego is in the \$22,000,000 range given reappraisals in 2006 and 2007. At present, communication with the County and e-mail response from the County in that regard was an e-mail statement; albeit non-binding, that the appraised value will be approximately \$16,000,000. A copy of the referenced e-mail is attached hereto as Exhibit A. In that regard it should be noted that the Debtor had earlier submitted to the County of San Diego a 2010 Cushman & Wakefield appraisal at \$8.9 million dollars which the County rejected out of hand (See Exhibit A referenced above. Therefore as part of the reappraisal process the County of San Diego has provided an indication that the likely adjusted appraised value will be in the \$16,000,000 area, resulting in a revaluation/reduction in excess of 25%. The Debtor has withheld payment and awaits reassessment although it is resuming payment assuming a \$16,000,00 assessed value for that reason. The Debtor in Possession notes that the County does not and cannot impose valuation upon minerals (sand) not yet extracted or wetlands for mitigation not yet designated (and which are already assessed as part of the real property valuation process). The remaining balance will be paid in full upon the financing/re-financing of all or a portion of the Cottonwood venue trust deed debt. the interim the statutory lien for real property taxes remains in place and the Debtor will make the normal and usual semiannual real estate tax payments post petition and post Plan.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The San Diego County tax assessor claim is impaired under the Plan.

This class consists of the first trust deed CLASS I B: indebtedness due FENB in the present principal amount of \$10,874,610.79 with interest accruing thereon at a rate which the Debtor contends to be approximately \$34,835 per month (the note rate of 3.25 + .5%; i.e., 3.75%) from and after September 1, 2010. FENB contends that it is entitled to a "default" rate of \$79,294 per month (note rate + 5%; i.e., 8.75%) until the Effective Date of the Plan when interest payments will resume. See treatment of interest due this Class set out below. The Plan acknowledges the FENB indebtedness, commences interest payments upon the Effective Date of the Plan confirmation and pays FENB in full at or prior to the expiration of the 28 month Plan performance period. As to interest payments under the Plan, the Debtor proposes to pay \$57,147 per month in interest; that monthly sum representing ½ of the difference between the note rate and the default rate. Relative to the interest obligation, the FENB loan documents posit an interest rate of FENB prime (averaging 3.25% over the past year) plus .5%; i.e., 3.75% on average. However, FENB contends that it is entitled to a default rate of interest - which adds 5% to the 3.75% average rate for a default average interest rate of 8.75%. Premier disagrees and treats FENB's interest entitlement in accord with the decision of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in In re: Entz-White Lumber and Supply, Inc, Debtor, 850 F. 2d 1338 (1988), wherein the court held that default rates of interest cannot be applied

where the Plan of Reorganization provides for cure of default by way of payment in full in accord with the Plan. FENB disagrees.

Further, FENB earlier filed a motion to prohibit the debtor from utilizing what FENB contended was its cash collateral, primarily greens fees and driving range income, in connection with the operation of its business. The debtor opposed the FENB motion and the Court ruled that post petition operating income was not subject to the FENB security interest and therefore not FENB cash collateral. Accordingly, the Debtor may use such monies in its operations. FENB has appealed the Court's decision to the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel. Should FENB's appeal be successful, the Debtor would seek an order of this Court seeking to use cash collateral to fund its operations. Such cash collateral orders are common; especially where, as here, the Debtor's Plan will likely have been confirmed before the appeal is decided.

CLASS I C: This class consists of the second position trust deed indebtedness in the amount of \$692,057 due 8332 Case Street Inv. Inc., an entity controlled by an insider. 8332 Case St. Inv., Inc. shall take nothing under the Plan and will subordinate and/or otherwise cooperate with the Debtor. This class is impaired under the Plan.

CLASS I D: This class consists of the claim of Yamaha Motor Corporation USA arising out of the lease of golf carts to the Debtor. The Yamaha relationship is the subject of (1) Premier Golf Properties, LP v. Yamaha Golf Car Company and Yamaha Motor Manufacturing Corporation of America, case number 37-2011-00067450-CU-BT EC pending in the San Diego Superior Court and

(2) Yamaha Motor Corporation v. Premier Golf Properties, LP, 1 case number 30-2010-00411742 pending in the Orange County 3 Superior Court. The litigations center upon allegations of 4 serious defects in golf cart manufacture and the Debtor contends that it owes Yamaha nothing. During the course of this 5 proceeding, Yamaha brought on a motion for relief from stay and 6 7 the Court ultimately ordered the return of the Yamaha carts as 8 of October 24, 2011. In that connection, the Debtor has paid 9 Yamaha cart rental for September and October and Yamaha has filed a claim for administrative expense (cart rental for May, 10 11 June, July and August) and the Debtor is objecting thereto. An administrative claim amount, if any, awarded Yamaha will be paid 12 13 in accord with payment to Class III claimants under the Plan so 14 as to have a reserve to cover any pre-petition unsecured claim, 15 if any, ultimately determined by the Superior Court, which is presently claimed to be \$154,486, this amount is disputed. As 16 noted above, the Court has issued an order lifting the automatic 17 stay so that Yamaha may recover possession of its golf carts as 18 19 of October 24, 2011. Premier has and will continue to comply 20 with the terms of the order and is in the process of leasing 21 replacement carts at a monthly cost not more than the monthly 22 cost of the Yamaha golf carts. Finally, the Court has also 23 terminated the automatic stay as to the Superior Court 24 litigation is lifted so that the Yamaha litigation may proceed 25 to a final determination. Given that the replacement cart lease will have the same or lesser cost than the Yamaha lease, the 26 27 Plan will not be affected. Yamaha is impaired under the Plan. 28 ///

///

1

#### UNSECURED CREDITORS

This group consists of unsecured claimants owed money by reason of the provision of advances as well as for goods and/or services to the Debtor. The sum due the unsecured creditor body is \$1,941,410 consisting of \$1,722,017 owed to two (2) entities controlled by insiders and \$169,393 owed to non insider unsecured creditors. In addition, the unsecured creditor body includes the \$154,486 disputed claim of Yamaha. Unsecured creditors will consist of two (2) classes:

Class II A: This class consists of non insider unsecured creditors and totals \$169,393. In addition Yamaha posits an claim of \$154,000+/- which is disputed. The members of this class will be paid (1) in full at the end of the 28 month plan performance period together with interest at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum calculated from and after the date of filing of the petition herein.. The Debtor will reserve the Yamaha amounts pending the outcome of the Yamaha litigation and will then disburse in accord with the Plan. This class is impaired under the PLAN.

Class II B: This class consists of the \$1,722,017 owed to the two (2) entities controlled by insiders who have made advances to the Debtor over the past few years since its creation. This class will receive no payments under the Plan until all other classes of creditor are paid in full, but the indebtedness due the members hereof shall remain in existence; albeit subordinated to all other Plan obligations. Once all other Plan

28

23

24

25

26

obligations are paid, the Debtor shall commence repayment of this class. This class is impaired under the Plan. Class II C: this class consists of the disputed claim of Yamaha in the amount of \$154,486 arising out of a golf cart lease. The Debtor, from and after the Effective Date of the Plan, will reserve the sum of \$11,000 per month and abide the outcome of the Superior Court litigation described above. Should the Superior Court find that the Debtor is indebted to Yamaha for pre-petition accruals, the debtor shall pay the same in accord with the treatment of Class II B, subject to whether approach (1) or approach (2) is chosen.

The details of the method and time period of payment of unsecured debt is set forth at Article V, MEANS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PLAN.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS

Class III: This class consists of all administrative claims entitled to be approved for payment pursuant to the provisions of 11 U.S.C. § 503 and also specifically includes the quarterly fees of the United States Trustee for the life of the estate and the life of the Plan. All quarterly fees have been and will continue to be paid timely. All other administrative expenses except for legal counsel will be paid in accord with their terms and as incurred.

Legal counsel for the Debtor are also members of this class. Legal counsel were engaged pursuant court approval which provided that counsel would be compensated by payment of fees accrued at counsels' ordinary and usual rates and costs

incurred; all subject to court approval under Section 330 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. 330. Given the retainers in the hands of legal counsel equaling \$60,000, it is estimated that legal counsel will be paid from Debtor funds in hand as opposed to Debtor income earned during the Chapter 11 period of administration. To the extent there is a shortfall the same will be paid from Debtor income during the plan performance period; subject to the proviso that Plan payments are first made. Finally, this class includes a Yamaha administrative claim for equipment rents in the range of \$67,000 claimed by Yamaha (this amount is disputed and the ultimate amount, if any, will be determined by the Court) and which is to be litigated within the ambit of this Chapter 11 proceeding. Upon a determination of an Yamaha administrative claim, if any, the Debtor will pay the amount found by this Court, if any, within 30 days of the Court's determination from funds on hand.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### **EXECUTORY CONTRACTS**

IV.

To the extent that executory contracts are in existence, have not been previously assumed and have not been rejected by specific order of this court prior to confirmation of the Plan, the same shall be assumed as a consequence of confirmation of the Plan. Only the Yamaha golf cart lease shall be rejected by the Plan.

#### MEANS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PLAN

٧.

#### A. Cottonwood Venue Status and Value:

Since approximately 2005 the development plan for the

3

4 5

7

6

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Cottonwood Golf Club has had three (3) goals; more properly defined as value components. Those value components are:

#### 1. Golf operations component

As noted earlier the Cottonwood golfing operation was acquired in 2002 for \$19,500,000. Having done so, Premier, at a level pace, began to improve the golf course infrastructure. Chief among those improvements was the re-design/improvement of what is now the Lakes course as previously described, including the excavation and construction of the lakes themselves and the development of the island green. In late 2007, the golf operations component (land/golf business operation) was valued by Deloitte Financial Advisory Servicesat \$20,500,000 on an "as is" basis and \$22,800,000 once the Lakes course renovation was completed. Aware, of course, of the impact of the recent difficulties in the national economy, the Debtor has caused Deloitte Financial Advisory Services to appraise the golf courses once again. Deloitte did so and found a current "as is" value at December, 2010 of \$14,000,000. A copy of the Deloitte appraisal is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

### 2. Mineral extraction (sand) component:

The conduct of the Lakes course improvement excavations confirmed the felicitous fact set out in the June, 2006 TerraMins, Inc. geologic report and analysis of the Cottonwood venue that the property contained up to nine (9) million tons of PCC (Portland Cement Concrete) grade sand. While it was not the intent of the lake excavations, Cottonwood realized ancillary income in excess of \$1,100,000 from the sale of the sand extracted, net of the cost to create the lakes. Such extraction

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

was done pursuant to permit to create the lakes and, indeed, removal of the sand and its export was necessary to create the lakes. In December, 2010 Premier caused EnviroMine, Inc., an environmental and mine permitting consultation firm, to inspect the site and to conduct an analysis and report so as to appraise the value of the sand presently available for extraction. In so doing EnviroMine, Inc. availed itself of the California Department of Conservation Mineral Land Classification reports as well as other data. The report notes that the San Diego region suffers from a dearth of quality sand for use with concrete and asphalt products. Accordingly, the regional construction aggregates industry imports sand from Baja California and Imperial, Riverside and San Bernardino Counties at significant expense. For a San Diego source that means a stable price and continuous demand. It is EnviroMine, Inc's conclusion that the Cottonwood site would yield a net pretax revenue of \$10.00 to \$11.00 per ton; netting a \$2,500,000 to \$8,250,000 gain. For purposes of valuation within the Debtor's estate, Premier has chosen to value the mineral extraction component at the bottom end of the gain scale, i.e., \$2,500,000. A copy of the EnviroMine, Inc. report is appended hereto as Exhibit "C ".

#### 3. Wetlands mitigation credits component:

As EnviroMine, Inc. also notes, on page 2 of its overview report, that careful conduct of sand extraction activity is estimated to generate approximately 55 to 67 acres of land in the Sweetwater River bed and river plain suitable for wetlands/riparian habitat for use for mitigation purposes (while

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

simultaneously serving as designated hazard and out of bounds areas on the golf courses). This acreage will constitute a mitigation land bank of significant value. Each project of consequence in the County of San Diego, such as highways, bridges, roads, shopping centers and even Walmart facilities requires allocations for open space, wetlands replacement, riparian habitat replacement and the like. Since the land requisite to meet the allocations is seldom available on site, County of San Diego regulations as well those of the State of California mandate the ability to obtain wetlands and riparian land set asides for dedication to meet the allocation requirements of the project. Thus the mitigation bank made up of qualified wetlands/riparian or otherwise qualified acreage for sale/set aside. The purchase/set aside of land in the mitigation bank; which land, of course, remains in place, results in the issuance of mitigation credits which are used by the developer or government agency to meet its project allocation of mitigation responsibility. Finally, since the land is left in its wetlands habitat condition it continues to serve as hazard and out of bounds designated portions of the Cottonwood golf courses. Present research indicates that the current value of wetlands mitigation credits in the Cottonwood portion of the Sweetwater River watershed (there is only one other mitigation bank in the in the whole Sweetwater River watershed) is between \$150,000 and \$500,000 per credit/acre and, given the paucity of mitigation credits extant in the San Diego region, the expectation of value would be toward the upper end of the range. However, taking the most conservative of postures, it posits a

valuation of 55 acres at \$150,000 per acre for a mitigation credit/acre valuation of \$8,250,000.

#### 4. Raw land/Willow Glen side:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Resort to a map demonstrates that the Cottonwood courses form the southerly edge of Willow Glen Dr. for a least one (1) mile. Realty Executives, a Rancho San Diego based real estate consultancy, has identified 21.5 acres (two separate parcels) fronting on Willow Glen Dr. which if sold off as raw, undeveloped parcels, bring in not less than \$150,000 per acre; i.e., \$3,225,000. Debtor notes that the Realty Executives report sets out an estimation of value and is not an appraisal. Realty Executives is a real estate brokerage of long standing in the Rancho San Diego area and is not a real estate appraisal firm. Doing so would not have a significant negative impact upon golf operations. In addition the venue contains 35 +/- acres along its southerly area suitable for residential housing development. In consequence, that land adds significant additional value to the venue. However, for valuation and status purposes the Debtor will refer only to the present valuation of the 21.5 acre paralleling Willow Glen Drive. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is the REALTY EXECUTIVES analysis. While the real estate value component contributes significantly to the value of Cottonwood, the Debtor is not relying upon realization of the value of excess land as a source of funding of its Plan. Moreover, while Premier has in the past posited real estate development as part of its long range plan for the Cottonwood acreage, it no longer does so given the present state of the economy, including the

foreseeable future state of the economy. Accordingly, real estate development forms no part of the Plan.

### B. <u>Summary of Cottonwood Valuation Components</u>:

1.	Value	qua	golf	operation:	\$1	4,0	000,	,000	)

2. Sand extraction: \$2,500,000

3. Mitigation credits/acres: \$8,250,000

4. Willow Glen frontage: \$3,225,000

5. Personal property (Schedule B) \$966,778

TOTAL VALUE: \$28,941,778

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

It is thus apparent that Class IA, Class IB and Class IC secured creditors are more than adequately protected. Moreover, the Debtor has already initiated the processes necessary to obtain the appropriate permits to begin the realization of the land use processes (sand extraction and wetlands mitigation) requisite to turn the existing valuation into cash flow realities. For example, attached hereto as Exhibit E is a copy of correspondence to the San Diego County Director of Planning and Land Use requesting a special study area designation, a designation complementary to forward motion toward the above described goals. The follow-on application materials are in preparation. Although the correspondence does make reference to a real estate development aspect, as noted above, the Debtor has abandoned that aspect of Cottonwood's future. Finally, the Debtor has no present or future intention of reducing the number of golf holes at the Cottonwood courses below the present 36 holes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

It should be noted that the debtor does not intend that pursuit of the sand extraction and wetlands mitigation strategies provide the source of Plan payments during the course of the 28 month Plan performance period. The realization of cash flows from sand extraction and wetlands mitigation enhancements must abide a land use regulation process before sand extraction and permitting and wetlands mitigation designations occur. Indeed, the sand extraction event contributes to the wetlands mitigation creation/designation. In that regard, discussion with County of San Diego officials and private consultants mandates a 19 to 24 month period before permitting and designation will issue. That time estimate is based on the past experience of the experts consulted, direct communication by the Debtor with the involved agencies and past experience with the Corps relative to the construction of the lakes on the Lakes course. The permit process is governed by the U. S. Corps of Engineers because the river channel which transits Cottonwood from east to west is what is commonly referred to as a "blue line" over which the Corps has governance. The application, referred to as a 404 permit, while technically issued by the Corps, the lead agency is the County of San Diego Planning and Land Use Department which does the analysis, inspections, reviews and presentation to the Corps. During the course of that process, Regional Water Quality Control Agency and the Sweetwater Authority (local water district) conduct reviews and comment. Final review and approval is the responsibility of the Corps. Attached hereto prior to the various Exhibits is a schedule of the agencies and steps involved. Finally, it is of import to note that sand extraction

and wetlands mitigation are not discrete events. Rather, they are the consequence of each other. Physically, the process involves removal of topping; i.e., sand, to the ground water table and, as the process moves across the channel area, smoothing and revegetating the disturbed areas — thereby creating the wetlands mitigation areas. In sum, it is one task with two (2) outcomes which occur simultaneously.

The Debtor's Plan posits a twenty eight (28) month Plan

The Debtor's Plan posits a twenty eight (28) month Plan performance period. The Debtor is presently paying its operating expenses as incurred and has an income stream from operations similar to its pre-petition income. In that regard the gross receipts since filing are:

May: \$288,688.92 (note only 3 weekends)

14 | June: \$296,641.86

July: \$355,855.84

August: \$342,732.38

These amounts reflect gross receipts during the summer which, in the Rancho San Diego area typically has daily temperatures in the high 90 degrees and often above 100 degrees. In consequence, the summer months are lesser in income than the more clement months. In that regard it should be noted that the Debtor's projections for 2011 posit gross income of \$4,268,685 (see Exhibit F hereto);i.e., \$355,000 per month. Noting that the initial period after the filing of a bankruptcy petition is soft because of patron reaction, The Debtor's summer 2011 performance has been well within its projections in that July exceeded the projection slightly and August, normally then worst month of the year, was within 4% of projection. In sum, the Debtor is on its

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

projected track. No reasons have presented themselves to indicate that the Debtor's income will decrease in any significant sense going forward and its experience is that the more clement fall, winter and spring weather will see the debtor meeting or exceeding its projections. It is therefore likely to continue to meet its operating expenses going forward as it has in the past. Accordingly, especially given planned minor increase in charges per round (a \$2.00 increase per round phased in over time will result in a \$160,000 increase in annual income  $-2 \times 80,000 = 160,000$ ), the Debtor will be able to fund its administrative expenses and pursuit of its land use regulation goals, including sand excavation and wetlands mitigation, within the twenty eight (28) month period. Finally, the Debtor's pre petition financial history, including servicing of the FENB debt pre litigation - including pay down of \$500,000 in principal in from its own cash flows over two (2) years, demonstrates the Debtor's financial capacity. That history coupled to the increases in income derived from sand extraction and sale of wetlands mitigation credits mandates that the Debtor will accomplish refinance of the FENB debt on or before the end of the twenty eight (28) month Plan performance period. Once refinance is committed, the Debtor will apply to the Court for the approval thereof.

FENB disagrees with the Debtor's future expectations, contending that the debtor lost \$2,513,994 over 2008, 2009 and 2010. Premier disagrees. In the first instance, FENB includes non-dollar depreciation and non-dollar amortization as dollar losses in its calculations. In fact, depreciation and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

amortization over the 3 year period totaled \$2,594,508. In truth, then, Cottonwood did not lose money on a cash basis during the referenced period. Rather, it netted \$80,514 and that after paying down the FENB note by approximately \$500,000 from its own cash flow. See 2008-2010 profit and loss statement appended hereto as Exhibit F. In the second instance, commencing in the latter part of 2007 and the early part of 2008 the regional economy, like the national economy, entered a deep recession which obtained for the next 2 years and recovery has been extremely slow, leading to flat growth thereafter. Yet unlike other golf course operations, Premier maintained itself throughout the period in question. In the third instance and as noted above, Cottonwood engaged in a renovation of its Lakes course during 2008 resulting in a significant reduction in income. Premier engaged in a soft opening of the Lakes course in late 2008 but charged but \$20.00 per round because of the continued presence of large equipment on the course as well as continuing work addressing waste bunkers and the like. As of early 2009 Premier engaged in a publicly advertised opening of the Lakes course and slowly rebuilt its Lakes course player base.

#### C. Classification and payment to creditors:

Class I A. Real Estate Taxes. From and after the date of filing the petition and semi - annually throughout the 28 month Plan performance period the Debtor will make the normal and usual real estate tax payments. On or before 28 months from and after confirmation of the Plan, the \$859,079 together with any accruals thereon will be paid in full by way of new financing

of the premises. The sand extraction permit and the wetlands mitigation designation being in place will greatly simplify the process of obtaining a first trust deed position loan to pay this class in full.

Class I B. FENB. From and after the confirmation of the Plan, the Debtor will make monthly interest payments to secured creditor FENB for a period of 28 months; at which time FENB will be paid in full by way of new financing of the premises. The amount of this claim is estimated to be \$11,874,610 together with interest accrued thereon up to the Plan confirmation (see pp. 15-16 above) and is impaired under the Plan. The sand extraction and wetlands mitigation designation being in place will simplify the process of obtaining a first position trust deed loan to pay FENB in full. As noted earlier, the inability to obtain the long term loan in circumstances where the Debtor had expectations that it would do so had preempted Debtor from pursuing other financing or joint venturers until the sudden recordation of notice of default upon the FENB trust deed; at which point the need for this proceeding approached and pursuit of replacement financing/joint venture partnering was foreclosed until the confirmation of the Plan herein. Having so stated, in the unlikely event that the Debtor is not successful in securing financing to replace the FENB indebtedness by the close of the Twenty eight (28) month Plan performance period, then FENB may foreclose upon the Debtor's real property. If so all other creditors will receive nothing.

28

27

24

25

Class I C: This class consists of the secured claim of 8332 1 Case St. Inv., Inc. in the amount of \$692,056,157 secured by a 3 second position deed of trust encumbering the real property of 4 the Debtor. This entity is controlled by an insider, shall take nothing under the Plan and will subordinate or otherwise 5 accommodate the refinance of the Debtor's real property. 6 7 Class I D. Yamaha. It is the intention of the Debtor to 8 complete its litigation with Yamaha and believes that it is 9 likely to prevail. The debtor will seek recovery of losses and costs incurred by reason of the collapse/replacement of 10 11 defective charging equipment, charging plugs as well as braking 12 and other parts failed by reason of defective materials in the 13 manufacturing process. The debtor has financed the Yamaha 14 litigation on a timely basis pre-petition and sees no reason 15 why it cannot do so on the same basis during the Plan 16 performance period. In the interim the Debtor will, during the 17 course of the Plan performance period, reserve the monthly 18 payments otherwise due Yamaha. Having so noted, the Debtor 19 remains open to a reasonable compromise with Yamaha. The Debtor 20 expects that litigation of the actions will consume another 21 eight (8) months - which will place the outcome well into the 22 Plan performance period. To the extent that the Debtor may lose 23 all or a portion of the actions, the lease payments reserved 24 will be available to make payment. In addition, the Debtor 25 serviced the lease up to the commencement of golf cart collapse 26 and can resume those payments to cover loss if necessary. 27 Class II A. (Alternative 1) This class consists of \$169,393 in 28 unsecured non insider debt plus the disputed Yamaha claim. This

class will be paid in full with interest thereon at 10% per annum at the completion of the 28 month Plan performance period. The source of funds will be the Debtor's operating income, the refinancing of the Cottonwood real property and sand extraction income.

Class II B. This class consists of unsecured debt owed to insider controlled entities in the amount of \$1,722,017. This class will take nothing during the course of the 28 month Plan performance period. However, the Debtor acknowledges the indebtedness and must commence monthly payment thereon at the end of the Plan performance period.

Class III. This class of obligations will be paid when due during the course of this proceeding and Plan performance period except for legal counsel who will only be compensated after application, judicial review and approval. This class has no material financial impact upon Plan performance.

#### D. Means of performance of the Plan:

As noted above this proceeding has been triggered by the existential threat presented by the initiation of foreclosure proceedings by FENB. Cottonwood is in fact a solvent enterprise. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is Cottonwood's five (5) year projection of profit and loss (statement of cash flows) set out on an non - EBITDA basis. Perusal of the projections, which include interest only debt service to FENB and real estate tax payments, demonstrates that the debtor can and will fund the Plan from operating income inasmuch as the projections reflect a

net operating income of \$1,109,760 and a non operating income; i.e., after debt service to FENB, of \$462,047.

#### A. Cash Collateral Issue.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The FENB loan documents include provisions granting FENB a security interest in certain cash receipts of the Debtor. In that regard the debtor has created a cash collateral account to accumulate monies subject to such FENB cash collateral claims and such account has reached in excess of \$40,000. However, it is the position of the Debtor that such FENB cash collateral entitlements do not reach post petition income from greens fees, driving range income and other service related sources. FENB disagrees and has petitioned the Bankruptcy Court for an order mandating that such services income be turned over to it. Hearing on the issue was had on June 3, 2011, the Court took the question under submission and a decision is pending. Should the Court decide in favor of the Debtor, then no impact upon the Debtor's operations will result. Should the Court determine that services income is in fact cash collateral subject to FENB, then the Debtor will forthwith apply to the Court for an order permitting use of cash collateral in operations which, based on past experience and this Debtor's continued compliance with the strictures of the Code, will most likely result in an order permitting use of cash collateral. Should the Court order that some portion of services cash income be remitted to FENB, the net effect will be similar to commencement of Plan performance a couple of months sooner than contemplated; i.e., an amount similar to the monthly payments of interest presently mandated in the Plan. In that event the impact upon the Debtor will be,

#### Case 11-07388-PB11 Filed 09/21/11 Doc 145 Pg. 33 of 41

in effect, to commence Plan payments to FENB at this time rather than post Plan confirmation. As the five (5) year projections appended hereto as well as the Debtor's pre FENB litigation payment performance demonstrates, the Debtor is capable of doing so. The projections demonstrate beyond cavil that the Debtor can and would meet any reasonable cash collateral and Plan payment obligations. Thus, calculated on a per annum basis:

1. Class I A: Real estate tax:	\$441,625.00
--------------------------------	--------------

2. Class I B: FENB: \$471,430.00

3. Class I C: 8332 Case St: 00.00

4. Class I D: Yamaha (reserved): \$135,600.00

5. Class II A: Non insider unsecured \$00.00

6. Class II B: Insider unsecured 00.00

TOTAL \$1,048,655.00

Given the \$1,109,760 net operating income; i.e., after payment of all current operating responsibilities, there will be sufficient income to meet all of the Debtor's obligations going forward.

#### B. Payments to Insiders.

The management of the Debtor is in the hands of the general partner of the Debtor limited partnership, Premier Golf Management, Inc. That entity is entitled to management compensation equal to three percent (3%) of gross receipts, typically in the \$8,500/mo.range. Application to the Court has been made for the same and then matter is under consideration. No other insiders are contemplated to receive any monies from the Debtor until the Plan is performed.

1 || VI.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

#### E. Liquidation analysis:

This bankruptcy estate is an anomaly in that the Debtor is solvent. The Cottonwood golf venue presently generates 100% of the Debtor's income as a golf course operation and has the value and the capacity to generate enough income to pay all non insider unsecured creditors in full with appropriate interest given the income generating capacity demonstrated by golf operations. Once sand extraction and creation of the mitigation land bank are on line new financing will resolve the real estate tax and FENB liabilities. The problem and consequent risk to the junior secured creditors and the unsecured creditor body arises from the fact that, except for golf operations, the value is not presently capable of realization. In consequence, the failure of the Plan and consequent Chapter 7 liquidation proceeding will result in relief from automatic stay; resulting in foreclosure upon the golf courses by the first trust deed holder. Foreclosure destroys any capacity to generate funds to pay the remainder of the creditor body unless a buyer or buyers at foreclosure bid an amount or amounts in excess of the then secured debt; in which instance any overage proceeds would be distributed pro rata among the remaining creditor - all unsecured - of the Debtor. It is, however, clear that such an event would result in but pennies on the dollar. In such circumstances the junior secured creditor and the unsecured creditor body would receive little or nothing.

28

#### VII.

2

### 3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS, FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES AND PREFERENCES

The Revested Debtor must, if at all, object to any claim within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date of the Plan. The Debtor has reviewed pre-petition financial events with a view toward discovering any fraudulent transfers or preferential transactions. Having done so none of consequence have been discovered.

#### VIII.

#### OPERATIVE PROVISIONS

- Retention of Assets. On the date of Confirmation, Α. the Debtor shall be fully restored to the assets of the estate subject to the terms and conditions of this Plan pursuant to Section 1141(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- Post Confirmation Compliance. During the Plan performance the Debtor shall pay all quarterly fees due the United States Trustee pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1930 et seq., and shall prepare and file the requisite quarterly reports. Failure to pay fees or file reports timely shall constitute Default under the Plan.
- Post Confirmation Management. The business of the C. debtor has been operated prior to and since initiation of this proceeding by Premier Golf Management Inc., the general partner of the Debtor. Premier Golf Management, Inc. shall continue to be responsible for the operations of the Debtor throughout the life of the Plan.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- D. Retention of Automatic Stay. So long as the Debtor is in compliance with the terms of the Plan, the automatic stay imposed by Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code shall remain in effect for the life of the Plan. Subsequent thereto FENB and any junior secured creditor may initiate the foreclosure process should it not be paid in full by the maturity of the Plan performance period.
- Acceleration of the Plan. To the extent that the Debtor Ε. finds it desirable to accelerate performance of the Plan, the Debtor may do so without further approval of the Court. The Debtor may prepay in whole or in part the claims in any class as long as such prepayment does not violate the terms of the Plan; however, acceleration of the Plan will not increase any dividend to any class of creditors. Except as otherwise provided in the Plan, any such partial payment shall be made pro rata among the claims of such class; provided, however, that nothing in the Plan shall prevent or impede the right of the Debtor post confirmation, without court order, to pay in whole or in part, any administrative expense. To the extent the Debtor finds it desirable or necessary to accelerate performance of the Plan, the Debtor may seek a modification of the Plan; possibly including further financial reorganization.
- F. Retention of Jurisdiction. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1471(b), and as agreed between the Debtor and its creditors, the jurisdiction of the Court shall continue after the Effective Date of the Plan until the Plan is fully performed with respect to any matter arising or related to the case herein. So long as no material default has been determined by

the Court to exist under this Plan, no act shall be taken nor shall any action or proceeding estate to enforce or collect, directly or indirectly, any claim covered by the Plan.

4 | | / / /

- default or breach in the terms of the Plan or in the proposed treatment of any claim, any creditor, other party in interest and/or the United States Trustee may file a motion or commence other proceedings with the Bankruptcy Court seeking such relief as such party deems appropriate. The Debtor and any other party in interest shall be entitled to object to such requested relief. Should the Debtor default in connection with his Plan obligations, then the Debtor would have but two choices: These are:
- (a) To make application and to seek approval of the Court, creditors and any other parties in interest, including the United States Trustee and the creditors to modify the Plan; or
- (b) To move to convert the proceeding to a Chapter 7 liquidation proceeding. Should conversion occur, no Plan would be presented, modified or otherwise. Any creditor, other party in interest and/or the United States Trustee may, upon Plan default, move the court for modification of the Plan or conversion of the proceeding to a Chapter 7 liquidation proceeding.
- H. <u>Discharge</u>. The confirmation of the Plan will result in the discharge of pre petition debt per Section 1141(d)(1) of the Code and the Debtor will be bound by the Plan.

- I. <u>Definitions</u>. The following are the definitions applicable to the Plan and shall have the meanings specified below:
- 1.1 "Administrative Expense": Those expenses allowed within the definition of Section 503 of the Code.
- 1.2 "Allowed Claim": Means (a) any claim in respect of which a proof of claim has been filed with the Court on or before the applicable bar date and in accordance with Code Section 501 and Bankruptcy Rule 3003(c), 3004, or 3005; or (b) any claim listed in the schedule of liabilities prepared by the Debtor and filed with the Court pursuant to Code Section 501 and not listed as disputed, contingent or unliquidated as to amount, and in either case to which no objection to the allowance thereof has been interposed within any applicable period of limitation or order of this Court, or as to which any objection has been determined by an order or judgment which is no longer subject to appeal or certiorari proceedings is pending. An allowed claim may be secured or unsecured as the case may be.
- 1.3 "Ballot": Means the written form labeled as such and mailed by the Debtor to the Creditors and by which a creditor votes to accept or reject the Plan. A sample ballot is attached hereto as Exhibit "E".
- 1.4 "Bar Date": Means the last date set by the Court for filing proofs of claim.
- 1.5 "Case": Means this proceeding for the reorganization of the Debtor under Chapter 11 of the Code now pending in the Court and having Case No. 08-36585-D11.
  - 1.6 "Claim": Means any right to payment or right to

an equitable remedy for breach of performance if such breach gives rise to a right of payment, against the Debtor, in existence on or as of April 29, 2011, whether or not such right is liquidated, unliquidated, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured, disputed, undisputed, legal, equitable secured, unsecured, known or unknown.

- 1.7 "Class": Means any class into which allowed claims are classified pursuant to Article III of the Plan.
- 1.8 "Code": Means Title 11, United States Code, Section 101, et seq., commonly referred to as the Bankruptcy Code.
- 1.9 "Confirmation Date": Means the date on which the order of confirmation is entered by the Court.
- 1.10 "Court": Means the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of California, together with any other court granted jurisdiction by 23 U.S.C. Section 1471, and any successor court as may be granted jurisdiction herein by Congress for the Southern District of California.
- 1.11 "Debtor": Premier Golf Properties, LP, the Debtor-in-possession in the above-captioned case.

"Default": Means the failure of the Debtor to make payment or to perform any other act required herein on or before the date of payment performance.

- 1.12 "Disbursing Agent": Means the Debtor.
- 1.13 "Distribution Account": Means a segregated bank account established by the Debtor for the purpose of distributing payments under the Plan.

- 1.14 "Effective Date of the Plan": Means a date 30 days after the date on which the order of confirmation becomes final and binding.
- 1.15 "Impaired by the Plan": Refers to the concept of impairment as set forth in Code Section 1124.
- 1.16 "Insider": Means any person who would be an "insider" as defined in Section 101(28) of the Code.
- 1.17 "Order of Confirmation": Means the order entered by the Code
  - 1.18 "Plan": Means the Plan of Reorganization.
- 1.19 "Priority Claim": Means a claim entitled to priority under Code Section 507(a).
- 1.20 "Proof of Claim": Means the written statement prescribed by Code Section 501 and Bankruptcy Rule 3001 setting forth a creditor's claim.
- 1.21 "Reorganized Debtor": Means the Post-Confirmation Debtor.
- 1.22 "Secured Claim": Means any claim secured by a lien on property in which the Debtor has an interest and any claim as defined in Section 506 of the Code.
- 1.23 "Time": Means the time within which or the date upon which any payment or other act required of the Debtor under the Plan shall be calculated and determined in the manner prescribed by the Bankruptcy Rule 9006(a).
- 1.24 "Unsecured Claim": means any claim against the Debtor which is not a secured claim or a priority claim, including deficient claims of any under secured claim holder.

# Case 11-07388-PB11 Filed 09/21/11 Doc 145 Pg. 41 of 41

- 1	$\Pi$
1	THE FOREGOING IS A BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE PLAN AND SHOULD NOT B
2	RELIED UPON FOR VOTING PURPOSES. CREDITORS ARE URGED TO REAL
3	THE PLAN IN FULL. CREDITORS ARE FURTHER URGED TO CONSULT WITH
4	COUNSEL, OR WITH EACH OTHER, IN ORDER TO FULLY UNDERSTAND TH
5	PLAN. THE PLAN IS COMPLEX, AND AN INTELLIGENT JUDGEMEN
6	CONCERNING SUCH PLAN CANNOT BE MADE WITHOUT UNDERSTANDING IT.
7	Dated: September 21, 2011
8	
9	FITZMAURICE & DEMERGIAN
10	/s/Jack F. Fitzmaurice
11	JACK F. FITZMAURICE, Esq. Attorneys for Premier Golf
12	Properties, LP
13	Premier Golf Properties, LP
14	/s/ Daryl C. Idler  Daryl, C. Idler, president of
15	General Partner
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	