Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc

Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA

1		<b>Table of Contents</b>	
2	I.	INTRODUCTION	3
3	II.	GENERAL DISCLAIMER AND VOTING PROCEDURE	3
4	III.	WHO MAY OBJECT TO CONFIRMATION OF THE PLAN	4
5	IV.	WHO MAY VOTE TO ACCEPT OR REJECT THE PLAN	5
6	V.	VOTES NECESSARY TO CONFIRM THE PLAN	6
7	VI.	INFORMATION REGARDING VOTING IN THIS CASE	7
8	VII.	DESCRIPTION OF DEBTOR'S PAST AND FUTURE BUSINESS AND EVENTS PRECIPITATING BANKRUPTCY FILING	7
9	VIII.	CRITICAL PLAN PROVISIONS	15
10	IX.	DESCRIPTION AND TREATMENT OF CLAIMS	16
11	X.	SOURCE OF MONEY TO PAY CLAIMS AND INTEREST-HOLDERS	29
12	XI.	FINANCIAL RECORDS TO ASSIST IN DETERMINING WHETHER	20
13	XII.	PROPOSED PAYMENT PLAN IS FEASIBLE	
14	XIII.	TREATMENT OF NONCONSENTING CLASSES	
15			34
16	XIV.	TREATMENT OF NONCONSENTING MEMBERS OF CONSENTING CLASS (CHAPTER 7 LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS)	35
17	XV.	FUTURE DEBTOR	36
18	XVI.	SALE OR TRANSFER OF PROPERTY; ASSUMPTION OF CONTRACTS AND LEASES; OTHER PROVISIONS	40
19	YVII	BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS	
20		TAX CONSEQUENCES OF PLAN	
21	XIX.	EFFECT OF CONFIRMATION OF PLAN	
22	74174.		72
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		2	

## I. INTRODUCTION

On August 15, 2011 (the "Petition Date"), Summer View Sherman Oaks, LLC ("Debtor" or "Proponent"), filed a bankruptcy petition under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code ("Code"). The document you are reading is both the Plan of Reorganization ("Plan") and the Disclosure Statement ("Disclosure Statement"). The Debtor has proposed the Plan to treat the claims of the Debtor's creditors and, if applicable, the interests of shareholders or partners and to reorganize the Debtor's business affairs. The Debtor proposes to provide distributions to general unsecured claims from the proceeds of the sale of the Debtor's assets or from income generated from operation of the Debtor, as that term is defined below, whichever is earlier. A disclosure statement describes the assumptions that underlie the Plan and how the Plan will be executed. The Bankruptcy Court ("Court") has approved the form of this document as an adequate disclosure statement, containing enough information to enable parties affected by the Plan to make an informed judgment about the Plan. The Court has not yet confirmed the Plan, which means the terms of the Plan are not now binding on anyone.

The Proponent has reserved 2012 in Courtroom 303 for a hearing to determine whether the Court will confirm the Plan.

Any interested party desiring further information should contact:

Karasik Law Group, LLP, 555 W. 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3100, Los Angeles, CA 90013 Tel: (213) 623-9200 Fax: (213) 623-9323 Attention: Terry D. Shaylin.

## II. GENERAL DISCLAIMER AND VOTING PROCEDURE

PLEASE READ THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING THE ATTACHED EXHIBITS,

CAREFULLY. IT EXPLAINS WHO MAY OBJECT TO CONFIRMATION OF THE

PLAN.

IT EXPLAINS WHO IS ENTITLED TO VOTE TO ACCEPT OR REJECT THE PLAN.

IT

4

5

3

6

7 8

9 10

12

11

13 14 15

**16** 17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

**26** 

27

28

ALSO TELLS ALL CREDITORS AND ANY SHAREHOLDERS OR PARTNERS WHAT TREATMENT THEY CAN EXPECT TO RECEIVE UNDER THE PLAN, SHOULD THE PLAN BE CONFIRMED BY THE COURT.

THE SOURCES OF FINANCIAL DATA RELIED UPON IN FORMULATING THIS DOCUMENT ARE SET FORTH IN THE DECLARATION APPENDED HERETO. ALL REPRESENTATIONS ARE TRUE TO THE PROPONENT'S BEST KNOWLEDGE.

NO REPRESENTATIONS CONCERNING THE DEBTOR THAT ARE INCONSISTENT WITH ANYTHING CONTAINED HEREIN ARE AUTHORIZED EXCEPT TO THE EXTENT, IF AT ALL, THAT THE COURT ORDERS OTHERWISE.

After carefully reviewing this document and the attached exhibits, please vote on the enclosed ballot and return it in the enclosed envelope.

The Proponent has reserved a hearing date for a hearing to determine whether the Court will confirm the Plan. Please refer to Section I above for the specific hearing date. If, after receiving the ballots, it appears that the Proponent has the requisite number of votes required by the Code, the Proponent will file a motion for an order confirming the Plan.

The Motion shall at least be served on all impaired creditors and partners or shareholders who reject the Plan and on the Office of the United States Trustee. Any opposition to the Motion shall be filed and served on the Proponent and the Committee no later than eleven days prior to the hearing date. Failure to oppose the confirmation of the Plan may be deemed consent to the Plan's confirmation.

#### III. WHO MAY OBJECT TO CONFIRMATION OF THE PLAN

Any party in interest may object to confirmation of the Plan, but as explained below not everyone is entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan.

## IV. WHO MAY VOTE TO ACCEPT OR REJECT THE PLAN

2

4

5

6

1

It requires both an allowed and impaired claim or interest in order to vote either to accept or reject the Plan. A claim is defined by the Code to include a right to payment from the Debtor. An interest represents an ownership stake in the Debtor.

In order to vote a creditor or interest-holder must first have an allowed claim or interest.

7 8 9

properly filed before any bar date and no party in interest has objected, or if the court has entered an order allowing the claim or interest. Please refer to Section VI below for specific information regarding bar dates in this case.

With the exceptions explained below, a claim is allowed if proof of the claim or interest is

111213

10

Under certain circumstances a creditor may have an allowed claim even if a proof of claim was not filed and the bar date for filing a proof of claim has passed. A claim is deemed allowed if the claim is listed on the Debtor's schedules and is not scheduled as disputed, contingent, or unliquidated. **Exhibit "A"** contains a list of claims that are not scheduled as disputed, contingent, or unliquidated.

1516

14

Similarly, an interest is deemed allowed if it is shown on the list of equity security holders filed by the Debtor with the court and is not scheduled as disputed.

18

19

17

In order to vote, an allowed claim or interest must also be impaired by the Plan.

20212223

24

25

**26** 

Impaired creditors include those whose legal, equitable, and contractual rights are altered by the Plan, even if the alteration is beneficial to the creditor. A contract provision that entitles a creditor to accelerated payment upon default does not, however, necessarily render the claimant impaired, even if the Debtor defaulted and the Plan does not provide the creditor with accelerated payment. The creditor is deemed unimpaired so long as the Plan cures the default, reinstates the maturity of such claim as it existed before default, compensates for any damages incurred as a result of reasonable reliance upon the acceleration clause, and (except for a default arising from failure to operate a nonresidential lease subject to 11 U.S.C. § 365(b)(1)(A)) compensates for any actual pecuniary loss incurred as a result of any failure to perform a non-monetary obligation.

#### Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Main Document Page 6 of 44

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

**16** 

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**26** 

27

28

<u>Impaired interest-holders</u> include those whose legal, equitable, and contractual rights are altered by the Plan, even if the alteration is beneficial to the interest holder.

There are also some types of claims that the Code requires be treated a certain way. For that reason they are considered unimpaired and therefore holders of these claims cannot vote.

To summarize, there are two prerequisites to voting: a claim or interest must be both allowed and impaired under the Plan.

If a creditor or interest-holder has an allowed and impaired claim or interest, then he or she may vote either to accept or reject the Plan (unimpaired claimants or interest-holders are deemed to have accepted the Plan). Impaired claims or interests are placed in classes and it is the class that must accept the Plan. Members of unimpaired classes do not vote, although as stated above, they may object to confirmation of the Plan. Even if all classes do not vote in favor of the Plan, the Plan may nonetheless be confirmed if the dissenting classes are treated in a manner prescribed by the Code. Please refer to Section VI below for information regarding impaired and unimpaired classes in this case.

Section IX sets forth which claims are in which class. Secured claims are placed in separate classes from unsecured claims. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3018(d) provides: "A creditor whose claim has been allowed in part as a secured claim and in part as an unsecured claim shall be entitled to accept or reject a plan in both capacities."

#### V. VOTES NECESSARY TO CONFIRM THE PLAN

The Court may confirm the Plan if at least one noninsider impaired class of claims has accepted and certain statutory requirements are met as to both nonconsenting members within a consenting class and as to dissenting classes. A class of claims has accepted the Plan when more than one-half in number and at least two-thirds in amount of the allowed claims actually voting, vote in favor of the Plan. A class of interests has accepted the Plan when at least two-thirds in amount of the allowed interests of such class actually voting have accepted it. It is important to remember that even if the requisite number of votes to confirm the Plan are obtained, the Plan

Case	1:11-bk-19800-AA	Doc 137	Filed 11/15/11	Entered 11	/15/11 23:48:12	Desc
			ocument Pag			

will not bind the parties unless and until the Court makes an independent determination that confirmation is appropriate. That is the subject of any upcoming confirmation hearing.

### VI. INFORMATION REGARDING VOTING IN THIS CASE

The bar date for filing a proof of claim in this case is November 1, 2011.

No bar date for filing objections to a proof of claim has been set.

classes 1, 2, 5, and 7 are impaired and therefore entitled to vote. A party that disputes the Proponent's characterization of its claim or interest as unimpaired may request a finding of

In this case, and based on the descriptions provided above, the Proponent believes that

impairment from the Court in order to obtain the right to vote.

Ballots must be received by the Proponent, addressed to Karasik Law Group, LLP, 555 W. 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3100, Los Angeles, CA 90013, Attention: Terry D. Shaylin, by 5:00 p.m. on

## VII. DESCRIPTION OF DEBTOR'S PAST AND FUTURE BUSINESS AND EVENTS PRECIPITATING BANKRUPTCY FILING

The Debtor is a Delaware limited liability company which is qualified to do business in California as Summer View Sherman Oaks Apartments, LLC.

The single asset of the Debtor is a 169 unit apartment building located in at 15353 Weddington Ave, Sherman Oaks, CA 91411. The Debtor derives its revenue and income from the rent and other fees of the Property. During the entire time that Debtor has owned the Property, the Property has been managed by professional management companies.

The Debtor acquired the Property in 2005 for approximately \$25,500,000 million. The Debtor was formed as a single asset LLC.

The sole member of the Debtor is the Efim Sobol Family Trust Dated November 18, 1995 (the "Efim Sobol Trust"). At the time of acquisition of the Property, Dr. Efim Sobol was the trustee of the trust. In February 2007, Dr. Efim Sobol suddenly passed away. His mother, Sonia

## Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc Main Document Page 8 of 44

Sobol, a Nazi concentration camp survivor, was left to deal with her son's estate. Ms. Sobol is currently 88 years old.

The Efim Sobol Trust was subject to a lengthy probate proceeding. In February 2008, Ms. Sobol's husband, Efim's father, Alexy Sobol, suddenly passed away. Ms. Sobol was left to deal with the estate of both her son and her husband. Only in November 2010 Ms. Sobol was finally confirmed as the sole trustee and beneficiary of the Efim Sobol Trust.

The Property was acquired by the Debtor through assumption of the Wachovia Bank loan of the seller of the Property (the "Loan"). At the time of the assumption the principal amount was \$16,000,000.00. The interest rate of the loan is 5.9%. The maturity date of the loan is July 11, 2014 ("Maturity Date"). At the time of the assumption through July 11, 2007, the borrower was obligated to pay interest only in the approximate amount of \$75,500. Starting from August 11, 2007, in addition to the interest payments, the borrower had to make monthly payments toward principal in the approximate amount of \$15,500. The Loan has a pre-paid penalties yield to maintenance provision providing that pre-payment of the loan prior to three months preceding the Maturity Date of July 11, 2014 would results in pre-paid penalties calculated in accordance with the formula provided in the loan documents. As of August 15, 2011, the lender calculated pre-paid penalties in the amount of \$2,362,164.85.

At the time the Debtor purchased the Property, the Property was under the management of Stratus Real Estate, Inc. ("Stratus"). The president of Stratus at that time was Steven Heimler. Prior to Debtor's acquisition of the Property, the Property undertook major renovation under Stratus management. The Property remained under the management of Stratus after the Debtor purchased it. The Deed of Trust, which is part of the Loan, has a provision that the management company of the Property cannot be changed without the approval of the lender. From the date Debtor purchased the Property to present date, all revenues generated by the Property has been deposited and kept in trust accounts under the direct control and custody of the companies that have managed the Property. From these accounts, management companies have paid all Property related expenses, including all debt servicing payments, operating expenses, property taxes, and property insurance premiums.

## Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc Main Document Page 9 of 44

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

**16** 

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

For the period that the Property was managed by Stratus, the Property generated revenues sufficient to pay all property related expenses, including loan payments, property insurance, property taxes, and operating and maintenance expenses, pursuant to the terms of the note. At that time, the occupancy rate on the Property was consistently around 95-98%.

In April 2007, Stratus was acquired by Riverstone Management Company ("Riverstone"). Stratus's team, including Heimler, left Riverstone after a merger, and was replaced by Riverstone personnel. Over time, after Riverstone's acquisition of Stratus and its assumption of management duties of Debtor's Property under the Stratus agreement with Debtor, the quality of management decreased. In November–December 2008, the occupancy rate went below 90% for the first time since 2005 and started fluctuating at around 90%. The rental income generated by the Property started slowly decreasing and declined from 2,396,017 in 2007 to \$2,189,651 in 2009. Operating expenses meanwhile increased from \$863,012 in 2007 to \$1,080,530 without any substantial capital improvement being done. In addition to that, the cost of servicing loan increased by \$186,000 per year from August 11, 2007. After Efim Sobol's death, Ms. Sobol's ability to deal with Riverstone was very limited. The Efim Sobol Trust was subject to a lengthy probate court proceedings. Without confirmation of Ms. Sobol's status by the probate Court allowing her to administer the estate of her son, she was not able to manage affairs of her son's Property. Ms. Sobol wanted to replace Riverstone and was waiting for confirmation of the status as the Trustee of the Efim Sobol Trust. In December 2009, upon Ms. Sobol's request, the lender approved the employment of the replacement of Riverstone with Mashcole Property Management.

When Ms. Sobol replaced Riverstone with Mashcole, her objective was that Mashcole would promptly take care of the Property, increase occupancy rate, take expenses under control and bring the Property back to the stable financial condition with the occupancy rate about 94% as the Property was under Stratus management.

Unfortunately, Mashcole did not fit the job. It turned out to be neither competent, nor diligent, nor reliable. Mashcole immediately increased administrative expenses, started making payments to its affiliated construction companies and vendors, and failed to competently manage the Property. Under Mashcole's management the occupancy rate dropped to 84-89%. The

revenue in 2010 declined to \$2,032,675, while annual operating expenses remained at the level of \$1,054,073 while apparent necessary maintenance was deferred.

funds accumulated by Stratus at the time when the Property consistently operated with positive cash flow were depleted. In addition to that, Mashcole claimed that Riverstone left the Property with \$200,000 worth of unpaid vendors invoices. Mashcole asked Ms. Sobol to provide additional funds to pay the vendors, which she did.

In December 2010, Mashcole did not pay principal and interest to the lender. The last time Mashcole paid the lender was in January 2011, but at the time, according to the lender's accounting, there was already one month in arrearages.

As cumulative result of Riverstone poor management and Mashcole mismanagement all

In February, 2011, Mashcole notified Ms. Sobol that there were not sufficient funds in the trust account for the Property to make loan service payments to the lender. Ms. Sobol became extremely concerned that the expenses being paid by Mashcole were overly high and seemed inflated. Subsequent events showed that she was right. On February 25, 2011, the lender gave the Debtor a notice of default.

In February-March 2011, Ms. Sobol began looking for a new management company to immediately replace Mashcole in order to prevent any further possible mismanagement and/or misuse of Debtor's revenue generated from the Property. She contacted Steven Heimler, who, as the president of Stratus, successfully managed the Property from 2004-2007. After Stratus was acquired by Riverstone, Mr. Heimler formed another management company, Cirrus Assets Management, Inc. ("Cirrus"). Cirrus is located in Calabasas, California, and has at least nine apartment buildings located in San Fernando Valley under its management including a 475-unit building in Northridge, a 236-unit building in North Hollywood, and a 140-unit apartment building in Chatsworth. Mr. Heimler advised Ms. Sobol that Cirrus would immediately be able to take over the management of the Property and that because he and his team were personally familiar with the Property, the transition should be very quick and smooth and he would be able to quickly bring the Property back into good condition, as it was under Stratus's management.

## Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc Main Document Page 11 of 44

At that time, U.S. Bank through the chain of acquisition and assignment became the holder of the promissory note and beneficiary of the Deed of Trust. On March 22, 2011, the Debtor submitted to the lender a letter requesting approval to terminate Mashcole's management of the Property and to hire Cirrus as the management company for the Property. This request was immediately denied by U.S. Bank on the grounds that since the Debtor was in default pursuant to the loan documents, the appointment of a management company was at the discretion of U.S. Bank; U.S Bank exercised its right by rejecting Cirrus's appointment. U.S. Bank further advised the Debtor that it would seek to have a Receiver appointed for the Property. U.S. Bank did not obtain a court order to appoint a Receiver until April 28, 2011. On August 28, 2011, the Los Angeles Superior Court in its Order appointed Clyde Holland of Holland Residential, Inc as the Receiver over the Property. The Receiver then immediately hired its own management company Holland Residential to manage the Property. Both Clyde Holland and Holland Residential are located and operate their business from the City of Vancouver, State of Washington.

Holland Residential took over the management of the Property on May 4, 2011, left the Property under the management of Mashcole for another six days and another rent cycle, and thus allowed Mashcole to collect May 2011 rent contrary to the terms of the Order. Even after Holland Residential took over the management of the Property, Mashcole continued transferring Debtor's money to itself and its affiliated vendors. After April 28, 2011, Mashcole continued to write checks from the Summer View bank account until May 12, 2011, and made twenty withdrawals from the bank account in the total amount of \$52,043.52, including the last \$18,649 payment to Mashcole on May 9, 2011. Only on May 12, 2011, Mashcole turned over \$185,250,75, which was what left of Debtor's funds, to the Receiver.

After Holland Residential took over the management of the Property, it was discovered by Holland Residential that several tenants paid May 2011 rent in cash to the Mashcole on-site manager. That rent has never been reported by Mashcole. Subsequently, Debtor discovered that from December 2010 to May 2011, Mashcole disbursed from the Summer View bank account \$550,345.04, before the turning over \$185.250.75 to Holland Residential on May 12, 2011. Some of Mashcole's expenses during the last five months it managed the Property appear to be

## Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc Main Document Page 12 of 44

extraordinary and at odds with the previous history of operation expenses of the Property, including \$82,676.82 paid to Good Luck Construction company over the period of time from January to May 2011, while there was no major capital improvement, reconstruction or renovation on the Property and \$145,613.79 total paid to Mashcole itself.

In addition to that, the Receiver reported that approximately \$28,000 worth of security deposits held by Mashcole was missed.

As a result, 2011 became the worst year in the history of operation of the Property from the prospective of occupancy, management, and income and cash flow. The Receiver added to the expensed incurred by the Debtor Receiver's fee and legal fee incurred by Receiver's attorneys. On July 28, 2011, the Lender gave the Debtor Notice of the Trustee's Sale. The Trustee's sale was set for August 16, 2011. The Debtor determined that the commencement of this case was necessary and proper. On August 15, 2011, at approximately 7:04 p.m. Debtor filed Chapter 11 petition.

After filing Chapter 11 Petition, U.S. Bank wanted to keep the Property under the management of Receiver's management company Holland Residential and to keep the Receiver as a custodian for the Estate. The Debtor wanted to follow its initial plan of appointing Cirrus as a management company of the apartment building, since Cirrus's team successfully managed in the Property for many years at a 96% and higher occupancy rate and was closely familiar with the Property and managing rent controlled buildings. The Debtor also wanted to terminate the receivership and efficiently reorganize the estate.

The Debtor and U.S. Bank filed competitive motions pertaining to disposition of the receivership and management of the Property. The motions were heard on October 5, 2011. Until the hearing of the receivership motions, the Property remained under the receivership and management of Holland Residential.

On October 5, 2011, the Court granted Debtor's motions to compel the Receiver to Turn Over the Property and Motion to Appoint Cirrus as a management company of the Debtor's apartment building. The orders terminating the receivership and appointing Cirrus was entered

from Holland Residential.

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

11 12

10

**14** 15

13

**16** 

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25 **26** 

27

On October 25, 2011, the Receiver turned over cash from operating and security deposits accounts to the Debtor's respective DIP accounts for operating expenses and security deposits.

on October 17, 2011. On October 19, 2011, Cirrus took over the management of the Property

Cirrus promptly inspected and assessed condition of the property and determined what work needs to be done within the following three months to bring all units in the rentable condition, what safety issues has to be immediately addressed; what needs to be done to make the property attractive to prospective tenants and what steps should be immediately taken to preserve the Property. Based on the assessment, Cirrus together with the Debtor prepared a proposed budget for October/November 2011-Febtiary 2012. The Debtor and U.S. Bank entered into a cash collateral stipulation based on the proposed budget. The Debtor and U.S. Bank stipulated to the monthly adequate proportion payment to the lender in the amount \$78,584 starting November 15, 2011.

Pursuant to the terms of the loan, Efim Sobol Family Trust is the guarantor of the Debtor's obligations under the loan. On October 28, 2011, U.S. Bank filed a breach of warranty lawsuit against Efim Sobol Trust in Los Angeles superior Court. (Case Number BC472138). U.S. Bank seeks \$18,323.199.85 in damages against Efim Sobol Trust for breach of guarantee.

Through this case, the Debtor intends to reorganize its financial affairs and provide a distribution to all creditors.

What follows is a **brief** description of the Debtor's business and future business plans. Further details relating to the Debtor's financial condition and post-confirmation operation of the Debtor are found in sections X, XI, XII, XVI, and XV.

Debtor is in the business of renting real estate.

The Property of the Debtor is located at 15454 Weddington St., Sherman Oaks, CA 91411, the Property was built in 1964.

Rentable square footage of the building is 99,948, with the total lot size of 3.81 acres. The Property consists of four three story buildings, "A", "B", "C" and "D".

## Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc Main Document Page 14 of 44

The total amount of units is 169, including two studio and 167 one bedroom units, the building was built in 1964.

Property amenities include covered parking, secured and gated entrance, fitness center, two swimming pools, basketball and tennis court, barbecues.

Current occupancy rate is 84%.

The Debtor intends to continue to lease its real estate while concurrently contemplating and preparing for the sale of the Property as a part of reorganization plan. The goal is to sell the Property prior to July 2012-September 2012 (the Maturity Date is July 11, 2014). The Debtor intends to start making payments to the creditors on the Effective date and pay off the Loan and all the creditors from the proceeds of sale. The Debtor is in the process of filing its application to employ and to enter into an exclusive listing agreement with Marcus and Millichap as the real estate broker for the Debtor. The proposed listing price is \$21,000,000, with the proposed broker's commission at 2%. Once employment is approved, Marcus and Millichap will effectively market the Property nationwide with the plan to generate interest in the Property and obtain the best offer from a qualified buyer. Any sale contemplated prior to April 2014 should be consummated as a part of the reorganization plan by finding a qualified buyer for assumption of the Loan, unless the Lender agrees to waive its right to pre-paid penalties, or the Court orders it. Marcus and Millichap anticipate to find a qualify buyer for the Property within four months after approval of their employment and to close escrow by September 1, 2012.

During reorganization and prior to the sale of the Property, the Debtor continues to work on: 1) capital improvements; 2) increasing the occupancy rate; and 3) increasing revenues generated by the Property for greater profitability. The Debtor along with Cirrus will continue to use best efforts to improve the Property's operating efficiency and to preserve and increase the the value of the Property.

## VIII. CRITICAL PLAN PROVISIONS

1 2

\_

3

**4 5** 

7

6

8

9

10

1112

1314

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

**28** 

Critical plan provisions are:

- a. Continuing operation the Property, capital improvements of the Property, income and occupancy rate;
- b. Listing the Property for sale immediately after the application to employ a real estate broker and enter into a listing agreement is granted with the target of closing escrow by September 2012. The sale should be completed through the assumption of the existing loan;
- c. Contingency plan of operating the Property until the Maturity Date (July 11, 2014) in the event that the sale does not go through as planned;
- d. Contingency plan to sell the Property to conventional sale prior to the Maturity

  Date without incurring yield to maintenance pre-paid penalties; and
- e. Contingency provision that the Efim Sobol Trust, the member of the Debtor, will provide the Debtor with an unsecured line of credit to cover any cash shortfall during the time of operation of the Property in the amount of up to \$500,000.

General unsecured creditors can expect to have their claims paid as follows: Commencing with the effective date, general unsecured creditors will be receive \$24,339.32 (100% of their claims) in 8 equal quarterly payments.

Listed below are the sources of money earmarked to pay creditors and interest-holders:

- a. Proceeds from the sale of the Property;
- b. Debtor's cash on hand as of the Effective Date of the Plan;
- c. Payment reserve held by the Lender; and
- d. Post-confirmation income.

## IX. DESCRIPTION AND TREATMENT OF CLAIMS

a. Overview of Plan Payments

Below is a summary of who gets paid what and when and from what source. The identity of members within a particular class is explained beginning on the next page. The second column lists two amounts. First, the amount of each payment, or if only one is to be made, then that amount; second, the total amount that will be paid. The Proponent is usually not required by law to pay an unsecured creditor or interest holder everything it would otherwise be entitled to, had a bankruptcy case not commenced. The "Payment Due Date" column states the frequency with which payments will be made and the starting and ending dates. Look at the starting date to figure out who will be paid before and after you and in what amount. The "Source of Payment" column describes the expected source of payment. Further details regarding the source of payment are found in sections X and XI.

The timing of payments to many creditors is determined by the "Effective Date." Administrative claims, unless otherwise stated, must be paid by the Effective Date. In this case, the Effective Date of the Plan (the "Effective Date") will be March 1, 2012, assuming that the Bankruptcy Court has entered an order confirming the Plan (the "Plan Confirmation Order") at least 14 days prior to March 1, 2012 and there is no stay in effect. If there is a stay in effect, the Effective Date shall be the first business day after the stay is no longer in effect with respect to the Plan Confirmation Order. The Debtor, following the Effective Date, will be referred to herein as the "Reorganized Debtor."

## **ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS**

2	D	A 4 - F 1.	D	G
3	Payment Paginiant	Amount of each	<b>Payment Due Date</b>	Source of
4	Recipient	Payment and Total		Payment
- 1	Karasik Law	Amount to be paid Total amount of	Payment shall be	(1) Poorgoniz
5			made upon the later	(1) Reorganiz ed
	Group, LLP (proposed	approximately \$60,000* to be paid in full upon	of (1) Effective	Debtor's cash on
6	* *		Date,	hand available on
7	bankruptcy counsel to the	entry of order approving employment of KLG as Debtor's	and (2) 14 days after	
′	Debtor)	bankruptcy counsel and upon	date of entry of	Payment Due Date,
8	Debioi)	entry of order allowing KLG's	order	Bute,
		fees and costs.	allowing the final	(2) Payment
9		rees and costs.	fee	Reserve held by
10			application,	Lender on the
10		*estimated unpaid	provided	Payment Due Date
11		fees and expenses in	that payments will	Date
		excess of \$20,000 pre-petition	be funded into	
12		retainer and post petition \$20,000	KLG's trust	
		payment toward fee and costs.	account not later	
13		Upon approval of employment,	than seven (7) days	
14		KLG may file an application for	prior to the Plan	
17		approval of interim	confirmation hearing	
15		compensation.		
	Receiver Clyde	\$11	Effective Date	Reorganized
16	Holland,	Additional claim in estimated		Debtor's cash on
17	Debtor's pre-	amount of \$80,607.51, subject to		hand available on
1/	and post-petition	Court's approval.		Payment Due
18	receiver	Receiver indicated in his October		Date
	(including claim	2011 report that he is planning to		
19	by Holland	file a Motion for Reimbursement		
20	Residential)	of Administrative Expenses,		
20		Subject to Court Approval;		
21		Receiver's Counsel Duane Morris		
		indicated that they will be filing		
22		a Motion for Approval of		
23		Attorney's fee as a part of the		
<b>4</b> 3		Receiver's administrative claim,		
24		but were not able to provide the		
		estimated amount of their claim		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Receiver Clyde Holland filed a proof of claim on October 25, 2011 for \$1.00 of priority debt. The proof of claim had no information other than the amount (\$1) and the priority classification.

25

**26** 

**27** 

1

## PRIORITY TAX CLAIMS

1

3	Payment Recipient	Amount of each Payment and Total	Payment Due Date	Source of Payment
4		Amount to be paid		
5	Los Angeles Housing Department	\$ 9,164.87 <sup>2</sup> (RSO Fee)	ASAP, before the Effective Date	Reorganized Debtor's cash on hand available on
6 7 8	Claim Amount: \$ 13,736 (RSO Fee) 5,367 (Late Fee) 8,369 (Delinquent Fee)	5,367 (Late Fee) 8,369 (Delinquent Fee)	Effective Date unless Debtor's request for waiver is granted	Payment Due Date,
9	Unimpaired			
10 11 12	City of Los Angeles, Office of Finance (Business Tax) Claim Amount:	\$5,027	Effective Date	Reorganized Debtor's cash on hand available on Payment Due Date,
13	\$5,027 Unimpaired			
14 15	Los Angeles Property Tax Bill	\$177,805.48	Prior to December 1, 2011 (in order to avoid penalties	Reorganized Debtor's cash on hand available on Payment Due Date.
16			from the cash collateral)	

**17** 

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

<sup>2</sup> Debtor has asked the Los Angeles Housing Department to waive the Late Fee and the Delinquent Fee. The Department occasionally waives such fees and promised to consider doinig so in this case, but only after the base fee has been paid. For this reason Debtor needs to pay the base fee immediately. If the Department decides to waive the Fees, the Debtor will not pay this claim.

28

2

3

23

24

25

26

27

28

**SECURED CLAIMS** 

4	Payment	Amount of each	Payment Due Date	Source of Payment
	Recipient	Payment and Total		
5		Amount to be paid		
	Class 1 –	Debtor will make monthly	Monthly Payments:	Reorganized
6		payments of \$78,584 <sup>3</sup> until	11 <sup>th</sup> of every calendar	Debtor's
7	Allowed	the property is sold without	month that begins	(1) cash on hand;
_ ′	Secured Claim of	triggering a prepayment	after the Effective	(2) postconfirmation
8	U.S. Bank	penalty or through the	Date. The last	income;
		assumption of the existing	payment is on June	(3) payment reserve
9	Claim Amount:	loan.	11, 2014.	held by the Lender.
	\$18,118,041			(4) proceeds from the
10		According to loan	The sale should be	sale
11	Oversecured	documents, the loan must be	completed and	(5) assumption of the
11		paid off on July 11, 2014	escrow closed before	existing obligation by
12	Impaired	with a balloon payment. By	July 11, 2014	the buyer
		the maturity of the loan, the	,	
13		property should be sold with		
		proceeds going to pay off the		
14		mortgage loan amount in full		
4.5		without \$2,263,164.85		
15		prepayment penalties		
16		included in the claim. If the		
10		property is sold before April		
17		2014, the buyer has to		
		assume the mortgage loan		
18		subject to lender's approval		
4.0		to avoid prepayment		
19		penalties. <sup>4</sup>		
20		penances.		
40		Treatment of impounded		
21		property taxes, property		
		insurance, and capital		
22		improvement accounts		
		improvement accounts		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This includes interest payments of \$75,548.30 and principal payments of \$3,381.73. The principal balance on the US Bank's proof of claim is \$15,339,756.46. The note rate is 5.91%. The annual interest payment is \$906,580 (=\$15,339,756.46\*5.91%). The monthly interest payment is 1/12th of that or \$75,548.30.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The lender will not refuse to allow assumption by a qualified buyer unreasonably. Assumption will not trigger a prepayment penalty.

## Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc Main Document Page 20 of 44

Recipient	Payment and Total	-	
	i ayıncını anu i otai		
	Amount to be paid		
	established with the lender is		
	explained in Section IX(c).		
Class 2 –	\$12,078 paid in 12 quarterly	Twelve quarterly	Reorganized
	payments of \$1,007.	payments	Debtor's
Allowed	(Without Interest)	commencing on the	(1) cash on hand;
Secured Claim of		Effective Date, or	(2) postconfirmation
E Rojas	Claimant shall retain its lien	from the proceeds of	income;
Landscape Inc,	on the Debtor's property.	the sale if the	(3) payment reserve
secured with a	The treatment proposed	Property is sold	held by the Lender;
mechanic's lien	herein shall be in full and	before the creditor is	(4) Proceeds of the
	complete satisfaction of all	paid in full through	sale.
Claim Amount:	Class 1 claims.	the quarterly	
\$12,078		payments	
Impaired			
	Allowed Secured Claim of E Rojas Landscape Inc, secured with a mechanic's lien Claim Amount: \$12,078	explained in Section IX(c).  Class 2 —  \$12,078 paid in 12 quarterly payments of \$1,007.  (Without Interest)  Claimant shall retain its lien on the Debtor's property.  The treatment proposed herein shall be in full and complete satisfaction of all Class 1 claims.	explained in Section IX(c).  Sclass 2 —  \$12,078 paid in 12 quarterly payments of \$1,007.  (Without Interest)  Claimant shall retain its lien on the Debtor's property. Secured with a mechanic's lien complete satisfaction of all Claim Amount:  \$12,078  Claimant shall retain its lien on the Debtor's property. The treatment proposed herein shall be in full and complete satisfaction of all Class 1 claims.  Class 1 claims.  Twelve quarterly payments commencing on the Effective Date, or from the proceeds of the sale if the Property is sold before the creditor is paid in full through the quarterly payments

## **Mechanic's Liens:**

The title report shows the following pre-petition mechanic's liens recorded against the Property:

\$3,367.50 lien recorded by PMR Flooring Corporation on September 1, 2010;

\$24,528.00 lien recorded by E. Rojas Landscape on November 29, 2010;

\$52,680 lien recorded by GAC Corp/Ocean Front on December 4, 2009

Debtor's counsel contacted all lienholders and determined that PMR Flooring Corp and GAC Corp claims had been satisfied pre-petition. Both lienholders will take steps necessary to release their liens.

E. Rojas confirmed that he received \$12,450 payment toward his secured claim prepetition, and that the balance of his secured claim is \$12,078.

## **PRIORITY CLAIMS**

3 4	Payment Recipient	Amount of each Payment and Total Amount to be paid	Payment Due Date	Treatment
5 6 7 8	Class 3 –  Priority claims for tenant security deposits (deposits by individuals) that are not currently due.  Claim Amount:	Pay when due	Pay when due	\$56,375.80 held in specially designated for security deposits bank account of the Debtor, cash from operations of the debtor, reserve held by lender, proceeds of the sale
10	\$76,314.03 Class 4 –	One payment of \$ 590	For humanitarian reasons, the payment	Paid in full at the earliest opportunity
11	Priority claims for tenant security deposits (deposits by		will be made, with mortgage lender's consent, before the	from \$56,375.80 held in specially designated for security deposits
12 13	individuals) that became due		effective date.	bank account of the Debtor
14	prepetition. <sup>5</sup> Claim Amount: \$590			

16

## GENERAL UNSECURED CLAIMS

17	Payment Recipient	Amount of each Payment and	Payment Due Date	Treatment
18		Total		
19		Amount to be paid		
	Class 5 –	Paid in 8	Eight	Reorganized
20		quarterly	quarterly	Debtor's
	Allowed Unsecured	payments of	payments	(1) cash on hand;
21	Claims, excluding Insiders	\$3,042.59	commencing	(2) postconfirmation income;
22	,	(Without	on the	(3) payment reserve held by the
22	Claim Amount:	Interest)	Effective	Lender;
23	\$24,340.76		Date, or from	(4) proceeds of the sale
			the proceeds	
24	Impaired		of the sale, if	
25			sale occurred	

**26** 

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> There are three such tenants who have moved out before the filing date of August 15, 2011 and have made requests for security deposits.

Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc Main Document Page 22 of 44

1 before paid in full 2 **EQUITY** 3 **Payment Recipient Amount of Payment** Treatment **Due Date** each 4 Payment and **Total** 5 Amount to be 6 paid Class 6 -The balance Upon The balance of the proceeds of sale after 7 of the closing of payment to all creditors proceeds of escrow 100% Interest 8 sale after Holder Yefim Efim payments to **Sobol Family Trust** all creditors Dated November 18, 1995 (the "Trust") 10 11 **Impaired** 

All claims listed in **Exhibit "A"** attached hereto are undisputed. On the Effective Date (and on the payment dates as the case may be, including the date of closing of escrow upon sale of the Property), the Disbursing Agent will deposit into segregated account (the "Disbursement Account") an amount of cash equal to 100% of the estimated distribution to be paid on the disputed portion of any claim. Cash together with interest accruing thereon will be held in trust for the benefit of holders of disputed claims. No claimant or interest holder is an affiliate of the Debtor.

Below is a detailed description and treatment of administrative expenses, claims and interests

## a. Administrative Expenses

i. These include the "actual, necessary costs and expenses of preserving the estate" as determined by the Court after notice to creditors of a request for payment and after a hearing thereon. These also include the fees and expenses incurred by professionals employed in this case at the expense of the estate which have been approved by the Court on a final basis.

**26** 

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**27** 

## Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc Main Document Page 23 of 44

 ii. The Code requires that allowed administrative expenses be paid on the effective date unless the party holding the administrative expense agrees otherwise. The claimants have not agreed otherwise.

Administrative Expense #1. Claimant: Karasik Law Group L.L.P., bankruptcy counsel to the Debtor (pending court approval of employment) \$60,000 (estimated unpaid fees and expenses in excess of pre-petition retainer and post petition payment made by the Debtor's insider paid in the aggregate amount of \$40,000), subject to court approval.

Administrative Expense #2. Claimant: Clyde Holland, state court appointed receiver (including the claims of Holland Residential and Duane Morris LLP). Receivership was terminated by the Bankruptcy Court on October 17, 2011. \$1, the amount of claim stated in the proof of claim filed by Clyde Holland. Receiver indicated in his October 2011 report that he is planning to file a Motion for Reimbursement of Administrative Expenses, subject to Court Approval in the amount of \$80,607.51. Receiver's Counsel Duane Morris indicated that they will be filing a Motion for Approval of Attorney's Fees as a part of the Receiver's administrative claim, but were not able to provide the estimated amount of their claim

## TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS: \$60,001 (estimated)

Receiver's motion for reimbursement of administrative expenses anticipated.

## 

## b. <u>Unsecured Tax Claims</u>

i. These include certain types of property, sales, income, and other taxes.

ii. The Code requires that the holders of such claims receive on account of such claim regular installment payments in cash (i) of a total value, as of the Effective Date of the Plan, equal to the allowed amount of such claim;

Over a period ending not later than 5 years after the date of the order for relief under section 301, 302 or 303 of the Bankruptcy Code; and (iii) in a manner not less favorable than the most favored nonpriority unsecured claim provided for by the Plan (other than cash payments made to a class of creditors under section 1122(b) of the Bankruptcy Code). The amount of the

28 allowed claim includes the amount of tax owed plus interest of eight percent (5%). The present

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

**16** 

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**26** 

value is calculated as of the Effective Date.

## **Claimant: Los Angeles Housing Department**

- \$ 9,165 Rent Stabilization Fee will be paid at the earliest possible date to induce the Los Angeles Housing Department to waive the penalty fee (\$5,367) and delinquent fee (\$8,369) and to maintain rental registration. The Los Angeles Housing Department has indicated that once the Rent Stabilization Fee is paid, it may consider waiving the penalty and delinquent fees. The Debtor has applied for waiver of the penalty and delinquent fees.
- \$13,736 Rent Stabilization Penalty Fee (\$5,367) and Delinquent Fees (\$8,369) will be paid on the Effective Date, unless the Los Angeles Housing Department waives these fees before the Effective Date.

## Claimant: City of Los Angeles, Office of Finance

\$ 5,027.43 Business Tax will be paid on the Effective Date.

## **Claimant: Los Angeles County Tax Collector**

\$ 177,805.48 Los Angeles Property Tax will be paid prior to December 1, 2011 from the cash collateral to avoid accruing penalties and delinquent fees.

#### **CLASS ONE** c.

## Secured Claim of U.S. Bank (claim is oversecured)

Total amount of allowed secured claim:	US Bank filed a claim for \$ 18,118,041, which includes \$2,362,164 liquidated pre-paid penalties.
Total amount of payments (over time) to satisfy the secured claim:	Debtor will make monthly payments of \$78,584 <sup>6</sup> until the property is sold without triggering a prepayment penalty. The proceeds will be used to pay off the mortgage debt.  According to loan documents, the loan must be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This includes interest payments of \$75,548.30 and principal payments of \$3,381.73. The principal balance on the US Bank's proof of claim is \$15,339,756.46. The note rate is 5.91%. The annual interest payment is \$906,580 (=\$15,339,756.46\*5.91%). The monthly interest payment is 1/12th of that or \$75,548.30.

28

## Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc Main Document Page 25 of 44

1 2 3 4		paid off on July 11, 2014 with a balloon payment. By the maturity of the loan, the property should be sold with proceeds going to pay off the mortgage loan in full or the loan should be assumed by the buyer of the loan without pre-paid penalties.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Treatment of impounded accounts – Replacement reserve	Pursuant to Section 9 (c) of the Loan Assumption and Substitution Agreement the debtor shall pay to the lender a deposit to a replacement reserve in the amount equal to \$4,225 per month. Replacement reserve is a repair reserve for payment for certain non-recurring types of costs for interior and exterior work to the property.  Treatment in the Plan No payments will be made to the replacement reserve. Instead, The Debtor included in the proposed operating budget \$20,025 turn over costs and \$467,369 capital improvement expenses, including exterior and interior work. In addition, per request of Steve Heimler of Cirrus, the Debtor will establish a separate contingency fund in the annual amount of \$40,000. The Debtor will open a separate bank account for the contingency fund. The fund will be used for plumbing, roofs, water penetration issues, utility interruptions, water heaters replacements, tree damage, and other non-recurring costs.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Treatment of impounded accounts – Impound for Taxes	Pursuant to the term of the loan, the Debtor shall pay the lender a sum equal to one-twelfth estimated by lender to be sufficient to pay all taxes, levies and other similar charges levied against the property for.  Treatment in the Plan Prior to the effective date, the debtor will establish a separate account for taxes and will make monthly deposits of a sum equal to 1/12 of the amount stated in the tax bill. On or before the due date the Debtor will pay property taxes from Debtor's designated tax account and provide the lender with the proof of payment.
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	Treatment of impounded accounts – Reserve for Insurance	The lender maintains an impounded account for property insurance for the Debtor.  Treatment in the Plan Prior to the effective date, the debtor will
	25	

#### d. **CLASS TWO**

20

21

22

## Allowed Secured Claim of E Rojas Landscape Inc (claim is oversecured)

satisfaction of all claims of this class.

23	Total amount of allowed claim:	\$ 12,078
24	Total amount of payments (over time) to satisfy the secured claim:	\$ 12,078
25 26	Interest rate (to compensate creditor because claim is paid over time):	0%
27	Impaired	Yes
20	First payment date:	Effective Date

## Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc Main Document Page 27 of 44

1	Amount of each installment:	\$ 1,509.75	
2	Frequency of payments:	Ouarterly	
3	Total yearly payments:	\$ 6,039	
<b>4 5</b>	Final payment date:	March 1, 2014 (assuming the Effective Date is March 1, 2012) or the day of closing of escrow of the sale of the Property, whichever comes earlier.	
6	Lien is not modified in any way by the Plan	No lien modification as to lien rights.	
7	Description of Collateral:	Debtor's real property – apartment building	
8	Additional Comments:	The Debtor shall have the right to prepay this claim prior to maturity without penalty or fee.	
9		Such treatment shall be in full and complete satisfaction the claims.	
10			

## e. <u>CLASS THREE</u>

Allowed Priority Claims of Tenants for security deposits that are not currently due.

Total amount of allowed claim: \$ 75,724 14 Total amount of payments \$ 75,724 will be placed in specially designated 15 for security deposits bank account of the (over time) to satisfy the Debtor, and paid to Tenants who are moving claim: **16** out when due. 17 Interest rate (to compensate 0% creditor because claim is paid 18 over time): 19 **Impaired** No Placed in a specially designated account: at the First payment date: 20 earliest possible date 21 Paid to Tenants: when due Amount of each installment: Not applicable Frequency of payments: Not applicable 23 Total yearly payments: Not applicable **24** Final payment date: Unknown

## f. <u>CLASS FOUR</u>

Allowed Priority Claims of Tenants for security deposits that became due prepetition.

28

27

25

**26** 

11

12

#### Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc Main Document Page 28 of 44

1	Total amount of allowed claim:	\$ 590	
2	Total amount of payments (over time) to satisfy the claim:	\$ 590	
3 4	Interest rate (to compensate creditor because claim is paid over time):	0%	
5	Impaired	No	
	First payment date:	At the earliest possible date	
6	Amount of each installment:	\$ 590	
7	Frequency of payments:	Not applicable	
8	Total yearly payments:	Not applicable	
	Final payment date:	Not applicable	
9 10	Additional Comments:	Such treatment shall be in full and complete satisfaction of all claims in this class	

#### **CLASS FIVE** g.

All General Unsecured Claims

See Exhibit "A" for list of claimants and amount owed each

15	Total amount of allowed claim:	\$ 24,339.32	
16	Total amount of payments (over time) to satisfy the claim:	\$ 24,339.32	
17	Interest rate:	None	
18	Impaired	Yes	
	First payment date:	On the Effective Date	
19	Amount of each installment:	\$ 3.042.42	
20	Frequency of payments:	Ouarterly, or at the time of closing of escrow	
21	Total yearly payments:	\$ 12,169.66	
22	Final payment date:	March 1, 2014 (assuming the Effective Date is March 1, 2012) Or upon the sale of the Property, whichever is	
23		earlier	
24	Additional Comments:	The Debtor shall have the right to prepay this claim prior to maturity without penalty or fee.	
25		Such treatment shall be in full and complete satisfaction the claims.	
26	h. CLASS SIX		

#### h. **CLASS SIX**

**Equity Interests** 

28

**27** 

11

**12** 

13

The equity holder shall retain its existing equity interest. Equity holder shall receive under the Plan only the proceeds from the sale of the Property upon satisfaction of all claims.

3

4

5

#### X. SOURCE OF MONEY TO PAY CLAIMS AND INTEREST-HOLDERS

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

**17** 

The Plan cannot be confirmed unless the Court finds that it is "feasible," which means that the Proponent has timely submitted evidence establishing that the Debtor will have sufficient funds available to satisfy all expenses, including the scheduled creditor payments discussed above. What follows is a statement of projected cash flow for the duration of the Plan. The focus is on projected cash receipts and cash disbursements. All non-cash items such as depreciation, amortization, gains and losses are omitted. A positive number reflects a source of cash; a (negative number) reflects a use of cash. A detailed statement of cash flow projections for the duration of Plan payments is attached as **Exhibit "B"** Section XV(c). It states the assumptions and details surrounding the statement of projected cash flow.

On the Effective Date, the Plan pays the amount of \$ 156,643, which is comprised of the following:

18	Administrative claims *	\$ 60,001
19	Priority Tax Claims	\$ 13,736
	Class 1	\$ 78,584
20	Class 2	\$ 1,007
20	Class 3	\$
21	Class 4	\$
	Class 5	\$ 3,315
22	Class 6	\$
	TOTAL	\$ 156,643

23

24

25

26

27

28

\* Debtor has not yet received Receiver's Administrative claim other than the stated \$1 on Clyde Holland's proof of claim.

The Effective Date is projected to occur on March 1, 2011. As shown by the projected cash flow, the Reorganized Debtor will have the following cash on hand under each of the options attached hereto as **Exhibit "B"**:

5

7 8

9

10 11

13

14

12

15 **16** 

18

**17** 

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

**26** 27

\$513,695 on March 1, 2012 (assumption that the Reserve in the amount \$216,738.50 will remain the property of the estate);

1. (Version 1) The Reorganized Debtor will have cash on hand of approximately

- 2. (Version 2) The Reorganized Debtor will have cash on hand of approximately \$1,387,045 (assumption that the Reserve in the amount \$1,090,088.92 and will remain in the property of the estate);
- 3. (Version 3) The Reorganized Debtor will have cash on hand of approximately \$296,956 (assumption that the there will be no reserve).

Therefore, under all three options the Debtor is expected to have sufficient cash on hand on the Effective Date to make the payments required to be made on the Effective Date. Subsequent quarterly payments until March 1, 2014 or in full upon the sale of the Property, whichever is earlier.

## XI. FINANCIAL RECORDS TO ASSIST IN DETERMINING WHETHER PROPOSED PAYMENT PLAN IS FEASIBLE

As discussed above, cash flow projections Version "1," Version "2," and Version "3" for the Plan repayment period until July 11, 2014, the Maturity Date of the Loan, are attached hereto as Exhibit "B". Exhibit "B" also contains a detailed projected capital improvement budget for the years 2013. Attached as **Exhibit "C"** are:

- a. Balance sheets for the period of time from January 1, 2011 to October 22, 2011;
- b. Income and expense statements for the period of time from January 1, 2011 to October 22, 2011;
- c. A summary of the Property occupancy rate from January 2006 to October 2011; and
- d. Twelve months income statements for the Property for years 2009 and 2010 prepared by the management companies.

## Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc Main Document Page 31 of 44

The Summary of Occupancy Rate was prepared by the Debtor based on the monthly rent rolls from 2005 to October 2011 provided by Stratus, Riverstone, Mashcole and Holland Residential. The 2009 twelve months income statement were prepared by Riverstone Property Management, and the 2010 twelve month statement were prepared by Mashcole Property Management. The May-October 2011 financial data used in the balance sheets was prepared by Holland Residential. The summary of the Property occupancy rate was prepared by the Debtor based on the monthly rent rolls from 2005 to October 2011 provided by Stratus, Riverstone, Mashcole and Holland Residential.

Projected income, occupancy rate, operating expenses, and capital improvement expenses of the cash flow and projected capital improvement budget were prepared and provided to the Debtor by Cirrus's president Steve Heimler and Cirrus Regional Manager Gregory Karp, who is in charge for day-to-day operations of the Property.

Mr. Heimler and Mr. Karp made their projections of the occupancy rate, revenue and expenses, and capital improvement required based on Cirrus's team and Mr Heimler's personal experience of operating the Property from 2004 to 2007 at an average 96% occupancy rate, based on the review of monthly financial reports of Riverstone, Mashcole and Holland provided by the Debtor, personal inspections of the Property and careful assessment of the present condition and operations of the Property, and Mr. Heimler's years of experience in operating rent controlled properties like Summer View in the San Fernando Valley.

For capital improvement budget Mr. Karp obtained competitive bids from experienced, competent and reliable vendors.

Proposed listing price and anticipated sale price are based on the appraisal of the Property, BPO opinion of Mr. Lee, and opinion of a proposed listing broker Tony Azzi of Marcus and Millichap.

## XII. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF THE ESTATE

a. Assets

## **The Property**

The latest available appraisal report of the Property of the Debtor, which encompasses substantially all of the Debtor's assets, is attached hereto as **Exhibit "D1"**. The appraisal report dated November 2011 values the assets at \$20,000,000. In evaluating its option of sale of the Property, the Debtor contacted with several brokers specializing in the sale of the apartment buildings of the debtor's and financial consultants, including the firm that the Debtor is applying to employ as a real estate broker subject to the Court's approval, Marcus and Millichap, and Lee and Associates. Robert Leveen of Lee and Associates provided the Debtor with a broker's Opinion of Value upon Debtor's request, attached hereto as **Exhibit "D2"**. Mr. Leveen provided the Opinion of the price between \$20,000,000 and \$21,000,000. Marcus and Millichap, the realtor commercial real estate brokerage firm that was a sale agent for the same building in 2004, provided the Debtor with its analysis of the listing price of \$21,000.

## **Payment Reserve**

At the time when the Debtor purchased the Property through assumption of the existing loan, the Lender requested the Debtor to establish with the Lender a reserve in the amount equal to eighteen monthly installment of principal and interest and all required deposits and impounds in the amount \$1,442,040.44 (80,114 x 18). Loan Assumption and Substitution Agreement provided that if: (1) No default has occurs; and (2) certain litigation involving Efim Sobol has been resolved to the satisfaction of the Lender, the beneficiary shall advance an amount equal to six monthly installment of reserve.

The Loan Assumption and Substitution Agreement further provided that if (1) no default has occurred; (2) the debt service coverage ratio for the property equals or exceeds 1.20:1.00, based in part upon net cash flow, for the then most-recently ended twelve month period, (3) certain litigation involving Efim Sobol has resolved to the satisfaction of beneficiary, and 4) at least 90% of all apartment units located on the Property are leased and occupied for then most recently ended twelve month period, the Lender shall advance the then remaining Payment Reserve to the Debtor.

## Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc Main Document Page 33 of 44

Even though all litigation involving Efim Sobol had been successfully resolved, the property operated at 90% and higher occupancy rate for at least three and a half years and the debt service coverage ratio for the property equaled or exceeded 1.2:1.00, the Lender has not released the Reserve to the Debtor.

According to the proof of claim filed by the Lender on the date of filing the petition the reserve held by the Lender was \$1,090,088.92. The Debtor included \$1,090,088.92 to the list of its assets. The Lender claims that it applied \$873,350 of the reserve to past due interest and principal on August 15, 2011, just a few hours before the Debtor filed its petition and that the amount of the Reserve help by Lender is \$216,738.50. Debtor filed Motion to Enforce Automatic Stay in connection with the Reserve. Lender filed its opposition. The Motion to Enforce Automatic Stay is scheduled to be heard on November 16, 2011. Pending disposition of the issue of Reserve, Debtor prepared its cash flow projections based on three different assumptions: the assumption that the \$1,090.088.92 is a part of the cash available for reorganization; the assumption that \$216,738.50 part of the reserve is a part of the cash available for reorganization and the assumption that there is no reserve funds available for reorganization.

The Debtor also has cash in the operating DIP account in the amount \$775,316.03 as of the date of filing of this Disclosure Statement, and \$56,375.8 of tenants' security deposits in the Debtor's security deposits account, as reflected in liability.

## b. Liabilities

**Exhibit "A"** shows all claims asserted against the estate, claims whose treatment is explained in detail by section IX.

## c. **Summary**

The fair market value of all assets equals approximately \$20,000,000 excluding reserve and \$21,590,000 including reserve. Total liabilities equal approximately \$15,755,876 excluding liquidating pre-paid penalties claimed by the lender and \$18,118,040.75 with liquidating pre-paid penalties claimed by the lender.

## XIII. TREATMENT OF NONCONSENTING CLASSES

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

**16** 

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

As stated above, even if all classes do not consent to the proposed treatment of their claims under the Plan, the Plan may nonetheless be confirmed if the dissenting classes are treated in a manner prescribed by the Code. The process by which dissenting classes are forced to abide by the terms of a plan is commonly referred to as "cramdown." The Code allows dissenting classes to be crammed down if the Plan does not "discriminate unfairly" and is "fair and equitable." The Code does not define discrimination, but it does provide a minimum definition of "fair and equitable." The term can mean that secured claimants retain their liens and receive cash payments whose present value equals the value of their security interest. For example, if a creditor lends the Debtor \$100,000 and obtains a security interest in property that is worth only \$80,000, the "fair and equitable" requirement means that the claimant is entitled to cash payments whose present value equals \$80,000 and not \$100,000. The term means that unsecured claimants whose claims are not fully satisfied at least know that no claim or interest that is junior to theirs will receive anything under the Plan, except where the Debtor is an individual, has elected to retain property included in the Estate under 11 U.S.C. § 1115 and has satisfied 11 U.S.C. § 1129(b)(2)(B)(ii). "Fair and equitable" means that each holder of an interest must receive the value of such interest or else no junior interest is entitled to receive anything. Therefore, if a class of general unsecured claims votes against the Plan, the Plan cannot be confirmed where the Debtor or a class of interest holders (e.g. shareholders or partners) will receive or retain any property under the Plan, unless the Plan provides that the class of general unsecured claims shall be paid in full with interest. If a class of interest holders votes against the Plan, the Plan cannot be confirmed where the Debtor will receive or retain any property under the Plan, unless the Plan provides that the class of interest holders shall be paid in full with interest. These are complex statutory provisions and the preceding paragraphs do not purport to state or explain all of them.

26

27

# XIV. TREATMENT OF NONCONSENTING MEMBERS OF CONSENTING CLASS (CHAPTER 7 LIQUIDATION ANALYSIS)

3

4

5

1

2

The Plan must provide that a nonconsenting impaired claimant or interest holder of a consenting class receive at least as much as would be available had the Debtor filed a Chapter 7 petition instead.

6 7

In a Chapter 7 case the general rule is that the Debtor's assets are sold by a trustee.

8

and administrative claimants are paid. Certain unsecured creditors get paid before other unsecured creditors do. Unsecured creditors with the same priority share in proportion to the

amount of their allowed claim in relationship to the total amount of allowed claims.

Unsecured creditors generally share in the proceeds of sale only after secured creditors

1011

12

13

14

15

A creditor would recover from the assets of the bankruptcy estate less under Chapter 7 than under Chapter 11 for at least three reasons. First, the recovery by unsecured creditors in a liquidation would be less than the recovery proposed under the Plan because the trustee would in all probability be unable to realize the full value of all of the Debtor's assets, including the inventory. Upon liquidation, a trustee would face the difficulties of processing, marketing and obtaining value for the Debtor's assets on a distressed sale basis. Thus, in a liquidation, the value of the Debtor's assets would, in all likelihood, decrease considerably from the current market

16171819

20

21

22

23

24

values.

Second, in a chapter 7 case, a trustee is appointed and is entitled to compensation from the bankruptcy estate in an amount no more than 25% of the first \$5,000 of all moneys disbursed, 10% on any amounts over \$5,000 and up to \$50,000, 5% on all amounts over \$50,000 and up to \$1,000,000, and such reasonable compensation no more than 3% of moneys over \$1,000,000. Therefore, the distribution to creditors will be diluted further by the trustee's compensation.

25 26

27

28

Third, the current economic market would further depress the value of the Debtor in a liquidation. To the extent that foreclosure sales do occur, they are for depressed amounts, substantially less than appraised values. While the Debtor believes that the foregoing factors would result in a liquidation value which is less than the appraised value, for purposes of this

Chapter 7

\$80,000

\$12,078

\$623,250

\$224,582

N/A

\$19,400,000

\$60,001 (est.)

\$ 282,048.13

\$18,118,040.75

1

2

3

4

5

liquidation analysis, such a factor is irrelevant. If the Property sells for 100% of its current valuation (i.e., \$20,500,000), after applying cost of sale of 3% (\$600,000), the net proceeds to the estate total \$19,400,000. Liquidating sale will entitle U.S. Bank to the pre-paid penalties, which will result in paying the entre proceeds to one creditor, U.S. Bank, and no other creditors would receive any distribution. The Debtor is confident that all creditors will receive substantially more under the Plan than they would receive in a liquidation, as set forth below.

Chapter 11

\$60,001 (est.)

\$ 282,048.13

\$3,460,560.90

\$16,105,312.57

\$80,000

\$12.078

N/A

N/A

\$20,000,000 (See Exhibit "D")

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

6

Value of Assets
Additional Expected
Administrative Expenses
Administrative Expenses
Class 1 Secured Claim

Class 1 Secured Claim
Class 2 Secured Claim
Priority Unsecured Claims
Chapter 7 Trustee Fee
Exemption(s)
TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR
DISTRIBUTION TO

GENERAL UNSECURED **CREDITORS** 

1.

19, 2011.

16

#### XV. **FUTURE DEBTOR**

management of the Debtor will be performed by Cirrus Assets Management, Inc., the property

management company appointed by the Court's Orders of October 17, 2011 to manage the

apartment building of the Debtor. Cirrus took over the management of the Property on October

i. Names of persons who will manage the Debtor's business affairs: The

18

19

17

## **Management of Debtor**

20 21

22

23 24

25 **26** 

27

28

ii. Proposed compensation to persons listed above: The compensation of the management company will remain the same as stated in the Debtor's application to employ management company - \$4,600 monthly management fee or 3% of gross revenue, whichever is greater.

- 2. 1 Qualifications: Cirrus Property Management team served as the Debtor's 2 management company in 2004-2007, when the Property was under the management of Stratus. 3 Under management of Cirrus team the Property successfully operated at 96-99% occupancy rate 4 with consistent positive cash flow and stable net operating income in 2004-2007. Cirrus has 5 great deal of experience of management multi family residence in Valley and great experience in dealing with rent control properties, as Debtor's. Presently, Cirrus has fifteen apartment buildings 6 7 in Southern California under its management. Cirrus is specializing in management of rent 8 control buildings, like the Property. About 80% of the Cirrus apartment building portfolio 9 consists of the rent control buildings. Among the buildings which Cirrus presently manages in 10 Southern California are nine buildings located in the San Fernando Valley, the area where the 11 Property is located. Cirrus has extensive experience in managing, preserving and protecting 12 residential apartment buildings. Mr. Heimler, the president of Cirrus have managed and operated 13 numerous distressed assets including Class "B" and "C" apartment communities comprising 23,000 apartments at sale. One of the factors contributing to Cirrus's continuous success in 14 15 managing buildings is Cirrus's mission statement and vision statement placed by Cirrus in each of the properties under Cirrus's management, which Cirrus's team follows by the letter. Cirrus's **16** 17 vision statement is "To be the most trusted, respected and innovated company in the rental housing industry". Cirrus's mission statement is "to enhance the life experience of clients and 18 19 customers through superior property performance achieved by dedicated and passionate associates". 20
  - 3. **Job description**: Cirrus Property Management will continue to manage the Property of the Debtor the general operations of Debtor and takes all steps and actions necessary to ensure and maintain the smooth and successful operation of the business. The goal is to increase the occupancy rate to 90% by March 2011, remain above a 90% occupancy rate thereafter while achieving a 95% occupancy rate in September 2012. Upon achieving the target occupancy rate of 95% and a stable performance at an occupancy rate of 95% for several months, Cirrus plans to gradually increase the effective rent rate by \$100-150 per unit.

### b. Disbursing Agent

21

23

24

25

**26** 

27

## Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc Main Document Page 38 of 44

Cirrus Property Management will be responsible for collecting rent payments and security deposits and depositing them into the Debtor's operating account and security deposit accounts. Terry D. Shaylin, Esq., the Debtor's counsel, will be responsible for distribution to claimants and transmitting funds to them.

The address of Terry D. Shaylin, Esq. is 555 W. 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3100, Los Angeles, CA 90017.

- i. **Proposed compensation to person listed above**: With the exception of its attorney fee for legal services provided to the Debtor per court's approval, Terry D. Shaylin, Esq. shall serve as the disbursing agent under the Plan without compensation or bond.
- ii. *Qualifications*: Given that the primary source of the payments required to be made under this Plan is the Debtor's cash on hand as of the Effective Date and proceeds from the sale of the Property from the escrow, the Debtor believes that Terry D. Shaylin, Esq., as counsel of the Debtor, is the best qualified to serve as the disbursing agent. Terry D. Shaylin, Esq. is familiar with the claims in this case and the terms of the Plan; thus, she is qualified to implement the Plan's provisions and make the necessary disbursements.
- iii. *Affiliation of person to Debtor*: Terry D. Shaylin is an attorney for the Debtor. She is intimately familiar with the Debtor's operations and the provisions of the Plan.
- iv. *Job description*: The disbursing agent shall make all distributions in accordance with the provisions of the Plan. Terry D. Shaylin shall be the disbursing agent responsible for transmitting the money intended for distribution to the Debtor's claimants from the Debtor's operating and security deposits accounts. In the event that the Property is sold, the escrow holder will be responsible for disbursement of the funds from the proceeds of sale in accordance with the Plan as per the Court's order approving sale and written escrow instructions.

## c. Future Financial Outlook

The Proponent believes that under Cirrus's management the Debtor's economic health will rapidly improve from its pre-bankruptcy state and within several months, the debtor will be able to return to above 90% occupancy rate. With necessary repair and capital improvements reserved in the budget, all units will be ready for occupancy by January 1, 2012. Once the

## Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc Main Document Page 39 of 44

occupancy rate achieves its target zone, Cirrus will gradually increase the rent and revenue. Cirrus clearly defined middle term, short term and terms goals for stabilizing the Property and bringing it to the 2006-07 occupancy rate and revenue. Cirrus is working on several aspects of making the Property more attractive to the tenants. Cirrus is working on replacing old washers and dryers—a very important factor for consideration for new tenants, on trimming trees which will make the property safe, better looking and attractive, on repairing and replacing gym equipment. In addition to that, Cirrus prepared to launch an aggressive marketing campaign, and most importantly to provide current tenants with high quality of service, attention and care.

Another area of Cirrus focus is re-paving the parking lot, thus, resolving two issues – improving safety and security, operations of the Property and improving Property look.

Replacement of the water pressure device will improve living conditions of all tenants, thus will make the Property far more attractive for present and future tenants. Methodical and systematic improvements of condition of the Property, continuous showing to the tenants that management really care of their wellbeing and not only collecting rent, and diligent enforcement of the terms of the lease with a zero tolerance policy to late payments and delinquent accounts will return the Property to the good reputation it had under Stratus's management in 2004-2007. The Property is unique and has wonderful amenities such as tennis court, two pools, gym. The Property has a great potential of attracting tenants. Cirrus will be able to realize that potential.

Section X under Versions 1, 2, and 3 provides a summary of the projected cash flow of the Debtor for the duration of the Plan. The assumptions that underlie the projections are set forth in **Exhibit "B"** attached hereto. As previously stated, the Plan payments will primarily come from the continued operation of the Debtor's business and payment reserve, if allowed by the Court, and from the proceeds from the sale of the Property. The Efim Sobol Trust will establish an unsecured line of credit up to \$500,000, with the funds deposited into a separate account for the Debtor to cover the shortfall in cash flow until the sale of the Property. The line of credit will be unsecured and be repaid only after all unsecured claims are paid in full.

At the same time, the Reorganized Debtor will pursue the sale of the Property to the qualified buyer through the assumption of existing loan or through a conventional sale with the

## Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc Main Document Page 40 of 44

goal to close escrow by September 1, 2013. The Debtor is filing an application to employ a nationwide broker Marcus and Millichap, a broker that has a great deal of experience of selling apartment buildings in the area. The listing price will be set at \$21,000,000. Once the broker is employed and the Court approves the listing agreement, the broker will aggressively market the Property nationwide to insure that the Property will be able to generate offers from qualified buyers with the target of closing escrow by September 1, 2012. Simultaneous improvement of the Property's performance and capital improvements contemplated by Cirrus will make the Property more attractive for prospective buyers. In a few months the Property will be turning from a distress asset to a rehabilitated asset on the way to full recovery. Increased revenue and increased occupancy rate will contribute to increase of the property fair market value.

In the event the Debtor is not able to sell the Property until July 11, 2014, the Property will be sold by public auction, to be held on or before September 11, 2014.

## XVI. SALE OR TRANSFER OF PROPERTY; ASSUMPTION OF CONTRACTS AND LEASES; OTHER PROVISIONS

The Plan provides for the sale of the Property ether through assumption of existing loan or through a conventional sale.

With regard to contracts and leases, the Debtor shall file, by not later than 14 days prior to the confirmation hearing, a list identifying the unexpired leases and executory contracts that it intends to assume in connection with confirmation of the Plan (the "Assumption List").

As of the date of filing this document, the Debtor intends to assume the following executory contracts and unexpired leases:

All leases for tenants in the apartment building.

On the Effective Date of the Plan, the Debtor shall assume all unexpired leases and executory contracts that are identified on the Assumption List. All unexpired leases and executory contracts that are not identified on the Assumption List, and that have not been previously rejected by the Debtor, shall be deemed rejected as of the Effective Date.

1

The Court must make certain findings of fact before approving the aforementioned provisions as part of the Plan. The Proponent will request that the Court make the appropriate findings at the confirmation hearing, based upon evidence submitted in support of the confirmation motion.

5

4

6

## XVII. BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS

8

9

7

Following the Petition Date the following orders have been entered by the Court:

10	Date	Docket	Description
		No.	
11	08/29/2011	<u>17</u>	ORDER granting emergency motion for order authorizing extensin of time to file schedules, statement of affairs, and list of equity security holders
12	09/21/2011	<u>58</u>	Order Granting Motion To Extend Deadline to File Schedules or Provide Required Information to 9/30/2011
13	09/23/2011	<u>65</u>	Order Granting Stipulation for (1) Continuance of hearing date on secured
14			creditor US Bank National Association Motion for 11 USC 543(a)(1) relief from turnover and debtor Summer View Sherman Oaks LLC's
15			motion to compel the receiver to turn over the property of the debtor to 10/5/2011 @ 10:00 AM and (2) Continuance of the Status Conference to
16	10/11/2011	110	10/5/2011 @ 10:30 AM Order following Chapter 11 status conference
17	10/17/2011	<u>114</u>	Order to compel the State Court Appointed Receiver Clyde Holland of Holland Residential to turnover the property of the debtor pursuant to
18	10/17/2011	<u>115</u>	U.S.C. 543(a) and (b) Order Granting Application to Employ Cirrus Asset Management, Inc. as the management company for debtor's property
19	10/17/2011	<u>116</u>	Order Denying U.S. Bank National Association's Motion for 11 U.S.C.
20			543(d)(1) relief from turnover of property (and to the extent necessary for relief from automatic stay)
21			

## XVIII. TAX CONSEQUENCES OF PLAN

23

24

25

26

27

28

22

The tax consequences of the Plan are in many cases uncertain and many vary depending on the individual circumstances of the holders of claims and interests. The tax consequences of the Plan to a holder of a claim will depend, in part, on the type of consideration received for the claim, whether the holder is a resident of the United States for tax purposes, and whether the older reports income on the accrual or cash basis method. Holders of claims likely will recognize

## Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc Main Document Page 42 of 44

gain or loss, as the case may be, equal to the difference between the amount realized under the Plan in respect of their claims and their respective tax basis in their claims. The amount realized for this purpose generally will equal the sum of cash and the fair market value of any other consideration received under the Plan in respect of their claims. Any gain or loss recognized in the exchange will be capital or ordinary depending on the status of the claim in the holder's hands.

PERSONS CONCERNED WITH THE TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THIS PLAN SHOULD CONSULT THEIR OWN ACCOUNTANTS, ATTORNEYS AND/OR ADVISORS.

THE PROPONENTS MAKE THE AFOREMENTIONED DISCLOSURE OF POSSIBLE TAX CONSEQUENCES FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF ALERTING READERS OF TAX ISSUES THEY MAY WISH TO CONSIDER. THE PROPONENTS CANNOT AND DO NOT REPRESENT THAT THE TAX CONSEQUENCES MENTIONED ABOVE ARE COMPLETELY ACCURATE BECAUSE THE TAX LAW EMBODIES MANY COMPLICATED RULES, WHICH MAKE IT DIFFICULT TO ACCURATELY STATE WHAT THE TAX IMPLICATIONS OF ANY ACTION MIGHT BE.

### XIX. EFFECT OF CONFIRMATION OF PLAN

## a. General comments

The provisions of a confirmed Plan bind the Debtor, any entity acquiring property under the Plan, and any creditor, interest holder, or general partner of the Debtor, even those who do not vote to accept the Plan.

The confirmation of the Plan vests all property of the estate in the Reorganized Debtor.

The automatic stay is lifted upon confirmation as to property of the estate. However, the stay continues to prohibit collection or enforcement of pre-petition claims against the Debtor or

the Debtor's property until the date the Debtor receives a discharge, if any. If the Debtor does not seek a discharge, the discharge is deemed denied, and the stay as to the Debtor and the Debtor's

property terminates upon entry of the order confirming the Plan.

## b. <u>Discharge of liability for payment of debts; status of liens; equity security</u> holders

3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

10

11

12

13

14

15

**16** 

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

**26** 

27

28

Unless the Debtor is not entitled to receive a discharge pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 1141(d)(3), the debtor may obtain a discharge only upon specific order of the Court. The confirmation of the Plan does not discharge the Debtor from any debt of a kind specified in Sections 523(a)(2)(A)-(B) of the Bankruptcy Code (West 2004 & Supp 2006) that is owed to a domestic governmental unit, or owed to a person as the result of an action filed under subchapter III of chapter 37 or title 31 or any similar State statute or for a tax or customs duty with respect to which the debtor made a fraudulent tax return or willfully attempted in any manner to evade or to defeat such tax or such customs duty.

## c. Modification of the Plan

The Proponent may modify the Plan pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1127.

## d. <u>Post-Confirmation Causes of Action</u>

Debtor is in the process of investigation of its claim against Mashcole Property Management for breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty and conversion. Debtor is continuing to collect evidence supporting its potential claims against Mashcole.

Debtor is in the process of investigation of its claim against Riverstone in connection with missing security deposits.

Debtor is researching implications of U.S. Bank's claims against Debtor's sole member the Efim Sobol Trust for breach of guarantee in Los Angeles Superior Court. Initiation of adversarial proceeding against U.S. Bank in the Bankruptcy Court and cross-complaint in intervention in the state court might be necessary to protect Debtor's rights.

Debtor is investigating cause of action for breach of fiduciary duty, negligence and breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing against U.S. Bank when U.S. Bank refused to terminate Mashcole's employment and approve employment of Cirrus and forcefully keep the Property under Mashcole's management for the following two rent cycles, thus facilitating further mismanagement and misappropriation of Debtor's funds. While ordinary lending institutions do not owe fiduciary duty and duty of care to the borrower, this case appears to be an exception

## Case 1:11-bk-19800-AA Doc 137 Filed 11/15/11 Entered 11/15/11 23:48:12 Desc Main Document Page 44 of 44

because the lender arbitrarily refused to appoint a prudent management company and forcefully 1 kept the Property in the hands of unscrupulous managers and caused significant damage to 2 3 Debtor. It appears that the Debtor has a valid cause of action for breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing against U.S. Bank, because the lender arbitrary exercised its discretionary power 4 5 to deny appointment of Cirrus. Debtor is in the process of investigation of pre-petition transfer of \$82,676.82 of Debtor's funds 6 7 to Good Luck construction to Mashcole Property Management. Debtor is investigating the value 8 provided by Good Luck Construction to the Debtor for the payments received. 9 Debtor is in the process of investigation of claim for conversion against Mashcole on site 10 manager Ami Estrada, who allegedly received unreported cash from the tenants in May 2011. 11 Final Decree e. Once the Plan has been consummated, a final decree may be entered upon motion of the 12 13 Proponent. The effect of the final decree is to close the bankruptcy case. After such closure, a party seeking any type of relief relating to a Plan provision can seek such relief in a state court of 14 general jurisdiction. 15 **16 17** 18 Dated: November 15, 2011 SUMMER VIEW SHERMAN OAKS, LLC 19 /s/ Terry D. Shaylin\_ 20 By: Terry D. Shaylin, Esq. (proposed) 21 attorney for Debtor Summer View 22 23 24 25 **26** 27 28 44